

113TH CONGRESS }
2d Session }

COMMITTEE PRINT

{ No. 4

CARL LEVIN AND HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON
NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

LEGISLATIVE TEXT
AND
JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

TO ACCOMPANY

H.R. 3979

PUBLIC LAW 113-291



DECEMBER 2014

Printed for the use of the Committee on
Armed Services of the House of Representatives

**CARL LEVIN AND HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON
NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015**

113TH CONGRESS }
2d Session }

COMMITTEE PRINT

{ No. 4

CARL LEVIN AND HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON
NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT
FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

LEGISLATIVE TEXT
AND
JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT

TO ACCOMPANY

H.R. 3979

PUBLIC LAW 113-291



DECEMBER 2014

Printed for the use of the Committee on
Armed Services of the House of Representatives

U.S. GOVERNMENT PUBLISHING OFFICE

92-738

WASHINGTON : 2015

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON ARMED SERVICES

ONE HUNDRED THIRTEENTH CONGRESS

HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON, California, *Chairman*

| | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| MAC THORNBERRY, Texas | ADAM SMITH, Washington |
| WALTER B. JONES, North Carolina | LORETTA SANCHEZ, California |
| J. RANDY FORBES, Virginia | MIKE McINTYRE, North Carolina |
| JEFF MILLER, Florida | ROBERT A. BRADY, Pennsylvania |
| JOE WILSON, South Carolina | SUSAN A. DAVIS, California |
| FRANK A. LoBIONDO, New Jersey | JAMES R. LANGEVIN, Rhode Island |
| ROB BISHOP, Utah | RICK LARSEN, Washington |
| MICHAEL R. TURNER, Ohio | JIM COOPER, Tennessee |
| JOHN KLINE, Minnesota | MADELEINE Z. BORDALLO, Guam |
| MIKE ROGERS, Alabama | JOE COURTNEY, Connecticut |
| TRENT FRANKS, Arizona | DAVID LOEBSACK, Iowa |
| BILL SHUSTER, Pennsylvania | NIKI TSONGAS, Massachusetts |
| K. MICHAEL CONAWAY, Texas | JOHN GARAMENDI, California |
| DOUG LAMBORN, Colorado | HENRY C. "HANK" JOHNSON, JR., Georgia |
| ROBERT J. WITTMAN, Virginia | COLLEEN W. HANABUSA, Hawaii |
| DUNCAN HUNTER, California | JACKIE SPEIER, California |
| JOHN FLEMING, Louisiana | RON BARBER, Arizona |
| MIKE COFFMAN, Colorado | ANDRÉ CARSON, Indiana |
| E. SCOTT RIGELL, Virginia | CAROL SHEA-PORTER, New Hampshire |
| CHRISTOPHER P. GIBSON, New York | DANIEL B. MAFFEI, New York |
| VICKY HARTZLER, Missouri | DEREK KILMER, Washington |
| JOSEPH J. HECK, Nevada | JOAQUIN CASTRO, Texas |
| JON RUNYAN, New Jersey | TAMMY DUCKWORTH, Illinois |
| AUSTIN SCOTT, Georgia | SCOTT H. PETERS, California |
| STEVEN M. PALAZZO, Mississippi | WILLIAM L. ENYART, Illinois |
| MO BROOKS, Alabama | PETE P. GALLEGO, Texas |
| RICHARD B. NUGENT, Florida | MARC A. VEASEY, Texas |
| KRISTI L. NOEM, South Dakota | TULSI GABBARD, Hawaii |
| PAUL COOK, California | |
| JIM BRIDENSTINE, Oklahoma | |
| BRAD R. WENSTRUP, Ohio | |
| JACKIE WALORSKI, Indiana | |
| BRADLEY BYRNE, Alabama | |

ROBERT L. SIMMONS II, *Staff Director*
ZACH STEACY, *Director, Legislative Operations*

NOTE FROM THE DIRECTOR, LEGISLATIVE OPERATIONS

This committee print consists of the enrolled text and explanatory material for the Carl Levin and Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (H.R. 3979; Public Law 113–291).

This Act and the material found in this committee print are the product of an agreement between the Chairman and Ranking Member of the House Committee on Armed Services and the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services on H.R. 4435, as passed by the House of Representatives on May 22, 2014, and S. 2410, as reported out of committee on May 22, 2014. The Senate did not bring S. 2410 to the Senate Floor for further consideration, and therefore was unable to initiate a formal conference with the House.

In order to ensure the enactment of an annual National Defense Authorization Act by the end of the calendar year, the Chairman and Ranking Member of the House Committee on Armed Services and the Chairman and Ranking Member of the Senate Committee on Armed Services agreed to reconcile the provisions of H.R. 4435 and S. 2410, including a number of proposed amendments submitted for consideration had the Senate gone to the Floor with its bill and were cleared by both sides. The negotiated agreement was brought to the House Floor in the form of an amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 3979. On December 4, 2014, pursuant to H. Res. 770, the House considered a motion to concur in the Senate amendment to H.R. 3979 with an amendment, which contained the agreed upon legislative text between the House and the Senate. On December 4, 2014, the House agreed to the amendment to the Senate amendment by a vote of 300–119 (Roll no. 551). On December 12, 2014, the Senate agreed to the House amendment to the Senate amendment to H.R. 3979 by a vote of 89–11 (Record Vote Number: 325). The President signed the legislation on December 19, 2014, and it became Public Law 113–291.

Because the House and Senate negotiators were unable to convene a formal conference committee to resolve the differences in the respective versions of the bill, there is no conference report and no formal “joint explanatory statement of the conference committee” for H.R. 3979. Instead, Chairman Howard P. “Buck” McKeon and Chairman Carl Levin submitted a “Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015” in the *Congressional Record* on December 4, 2014 (pages H8671–H8819). The text of the joint explanatory statement is included in this committee print. Section 5 of H.R. 3979 specifies that this explanatory material shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of this legislation as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

In this committee print, the provisions of H.R. 4435, the House-passed version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, are generally referred to as “the House bill.” The provisions of S. 2410, the Senate Committee on Armed Services committee-reported version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, are generally referred to as “the Senate committee-reported bill.” Senate amendments included in the agreements are identified by Senate amendment numbers. The final form of the agreements reached during negotiations between the House and the Senate are referred to as “the agreement.”

The following pages are organized in the manner of a traditional conference report.

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| LEGISLATIVE TEXT | 1 |
| JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY THE CARL LEVIN AND HOWARD P. “BUCK” McKEON NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 | 715 |
| Compliance with rules of the House of Representatives and Senate regarding earmarks and congressionally directed spending items | 717 |
| Summary of discretionary authorizations and budget implication | 715 |
| Budgetary effects of this Act (sec. 4) | 716 |
| DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS | 716 |
| TITLE I—PROCUREMENT | 716 |
| Budget Items | 716 |
| Navy enterprise information technology | 716 |
| Ejection seat safety and reliability improvement program | 717 |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations | 717 |
| Authorization of appropriations (sec. 101) | 717 |
| Subtitle B—Army Programs | 718 |
| Plan on modernization of UH–60A aircraft of Army National Guard (sec. 111) | 718 |
| Subtitle C—Navy Programs | 718 |
| Construction of San Antonio class amphibious ship (sec. 121) | 718 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for mission modules for Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 122) | 718 |
| Extension of limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 123) | 719 |
| Report on test evaluation master plan for Littoral Combat Ship seaframes and mission modules (sec. 124) | 719 |
| Airborne electronic attack capabilities (sec. 125) | 719 |
| Subtitle D—Air Force Programs | 720 |
| Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of MQ–1 Predator aircraft (sec. 131) | 720 |
| Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of U–2 aircraft (sec. 132) | 720 |
| Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of A–10 aircraft (sec. 133) | 720 |
| Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C–130 aircraft (sec. 134) | 721 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Air Force aircraft (sec. 135) | 722 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of E–3 Airborne Warning and Control System aircraft (sec. 136) | 722 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for divestment or transfer of KC– 10 aircraft (sec. 137) | 722 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force C–130H and C–130J aircraft (sec. 138) | 723 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force KC– 135 tankers (sec. 139) | 723 |
| Report on C–130 aircraft (sec. 140) | 723 |
| Report on status of F–16 aircraft (sec. 141) | 724 |
| Report on options to modernize or replace T–1A aircraft (sec. 142) | 724 |
| Report on status of air-launched cruise missile capabilities (sec. 143) | 724 |
| Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters | 724 |
| Additional oversight requirements for the undersea mobility acquisition program of the United States Special Operations Command (sec. 151) | 724 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE I—PROCUREMENT—Continued | |
| Subtitle E—Defense-wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters—Continued | |
| Plan for modernization or replacement of digital avionics equipment (sec. 152) | 725 |
| Comptroller General report on F-35 aircraft acquisition program (sec. 153) | 725 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 725 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for Airborne Reconnaissance Low aircraft | 725 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for moored training ship program .. | 726 |
| Multiyear procurement authority for Tomahawk block IV missiles | 726 |
| Procurement of advanced threat emitters | 726 |
| Sense of Congress regarding the OCONUS basing of the F-35A | 727 |
| TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION | 727 |
| Budget Items | 727 |
| Strategic Capabilities Office | 727 |
| High Energy Liquid Laser Area Defense System | 728 |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations | 729 |
| Authorization of appropriations (sec. 201) | 729 |
| Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations | 729 |
| Modification of authority for prizes for advanced technology achievements (sec. 211) | 729 |
| Modification of Manufacturing Technology Program (sec. 212) | 730 |
| Revision of requirement for acquisition programs to maintain defense research facility records (sec. 213) | 730 |
| Treatment by Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center of significant modifications to test and evaluation facilities and resources (sec. 214) | 730 |
| Revision to the service requirement under the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation defense education program (sec. 215) | 730 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for armored multi-purpose vehicle program (sec. 216) | 731 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike system (sec. 217) | 731 |
| Limitation on Availability of Funds for airborne reconnaissance systems (sec. 218) | 732 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft (sec. 219) | 733 |
| Subtitle C—Reports | 733 |
| Reduction in frequency of reporting by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (sec. 221) | 733 |
| Independent assessment of interagency biodefense research and development (sec. 222) | 733 |
| Briefing on modeling and simulation technological and industrial base in support of requirements of Department of Defense (sec. 223) | 733 |
| Subtitle D—Other Matters | 734 |
| Modification to requirement for contractor cost-sharing in pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems (sec. 231) | 734 |
| Pilot program on assignment to Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency of private sector personnel with critical research and development expertise (sec. 232) | 734 |
| Pilot program on enhancement of preparation of dependents of members of Armed Forces for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (sec. 233) | 734 |
| Sense of Congress on helicopter health and usage monitoring system of the Army (sec. 234) | 735 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 735 |
| Preliminary design review of presidential aircraft recapitalization program | 735 |
| Report on thermal injury prevention | 736 |
| TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 736 |
| Budget Item | 736 |
| Special Operations Forces suicide prevention initiatives | 736 |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations | 737 |

VII

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—Continued | |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations—Continued | |
| Authorization of appropriations (sec. 301) | 737 |
| Subtitle B—Energy and Environment | 737 |
| Elimination of fiscal year limitation on prohibition of payment of fines and penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense (sec. 311) | 737 |
| Method of funding for cooperative agreements under the Sikes Act (sec. 312) | 737 |
| Report on prohibition of disposal of waste in open-air burn pits (sec. 313) | 737 |
| Business case analysis of any plan to design, refurbish, or construct a biofuel refinery (sec. 314) | 738 |
| Environmental restoration at former Naval Air Station Chincoteague, Virginia (sec. 315) | 738 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels (sec. 316) | 739 |
| Decontamination of a portion of former bombardment area on island of Culebra, Puerto Rico (sec. 317) | 739 |
| Alternative fuel automobiles (sec. 318) | 739 |
| Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment | 739 |
| Modification of quarterly readiness reporting requirement (sec. 321) .. | 739 |
| Additional requirement for strategic policy on prepositioning of mate- riel and equipment (sec. 322) | 740 |
| Elimination of authority of Secretary of the Army to abolish arsenals (sec. 323) | 740 |
| Modification of annual reporting requirement related to prepositioning of materiel and equipment (sec. 324) | 740 |
| Subtitle D—Reports | 741 |
| Repeal of annual report on Department of Defense operation and financial support for military museums (sec. 331) | 741 |
| Army assessment of regionally aligned forces (sec. 332) | 741 |
| Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority | 741 |
| Limitation on authority to enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul of the F117 engine (sec. 341) | 741 |
| Limitation on establishment of regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (sec. 342) | 742 |
| Limitation on transfer of MC-12 aircraft to United States Special Operations Command (sec. 343) | 743 |
| Subtitle F—Other Matters | 743 |
| Clarification of authority relating to provision of installation-support services through intergovernmental support agreements (sec. 351) .. | 743 |
| Management of conventional ammunition inventory (sec. 352) | 744 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 744 |
| Increase in funding for civil military programs | 744 |
| Exclusions from definition of “chemical substance” under Toxic Sub- stances Control Act and report on lead ammunition | 744 |
| Exemption of Department of Defense from alternative fuel procure- ment requirement | 744 |
| Study on implementation of requirements for consideration of fuel logistics support requirements in planning, requirements develop- ment, and acquisition processes | 745 |
| Comptroller General study of Department of Defense research and development projects and investments to increase energy security and meet energy goals requirements | 745 |
| Congressional notice of bulk purchase of alternative fuels for oper- ational use | 746 |
| Limitation on procurement of biofuels | 746 |
| Off-installation Department of Defense natural resources projects compliance with integrated natural resource management plans | 746 |
| Recommendation on Air Force energy conservation measures | 746 |
| Prohibition on use of funds to implement certain climate change assessments and reports | 747 |
| Report on enduring requirements and activities currently funded through amounts authorized to be appropriated for Overseas Con- tingency Operations | 747 |
| Report on impacts of funding reductions on military readiness | 747 |

VIII

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE—Continued | |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted—Continued | |
| Limitation on furlough of certain working-capital fund employees | 747 |
| Revised policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms | 748 |
| Sense of Congress on access to training ranges within United States | |
| Pacific Command area of responsibility | 748 |
| Southern sea otter military readiness areas | 749 |
| TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS | 749 |
| Subtitle A—Active Forces | 749 |
| End strengths for active forces (sec. 401) | 749 |
| Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels | |
| (sec. 402) | 749 |
| Subtitle B—Reserve Forces | 750 |
| End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411) | 750 |
| End strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves | |
| (sec. 412) | 750 |
| End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413) | 751 |
| Fiscal year 2015 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians | |
| (sec. 414) | 751 |
| Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active | |
| duty for operational support (sec. 415) | 752 |
| Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations | 752 |
| Military personnel (sec. 421) | 752 |
| TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY | 752 |
| Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy | 752 |
| Authority to limit consideration for early retirement by selective | |
| retirement boards to particular warrant officer year groups and | |
| specialties (sec. 501) | 752 |
| Authority for three-month deferral of retirement for officers selected | |
| for selective early retirement (sec. 502) | 753 |
| Repeal of limits on percentage of officers who may be recommended | |
| for discharge during a fiscal year under enhanced selective dis- | |
| charge authority (sec. 503) | 753 |
| Reports on number and assignment of enlisted aides for officers | |
| of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps (sec. 504) | 753 |
| Repeal of requirement for submission to Congress of annual reports | |
| on joint officer management and promotion policy objectives for | |
| joint officers (sec. 505) | 754 |
| Options for Phase II of joint professional military education (sec. | |
| 506) | 754 |
| Elimination of requirement that a qualified aviator or naval flight | |
| officer be in command of an inactivated nuclear-powered aircraft | |
| carrier before decommissioning (sec. 507) | 754 |
| Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in | |
| performance appraisals of commanding officers (sec. 508) | 754 |
| Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management | 755 |
| Retention on the Reserve active-status list following nonselection | |
| for promotion of certain health professions officers and first lieu- | |
| tenants and lieutenants (junior grade) pursuing baccalaureate de- | |
| grees (sec. 511) | 755 |
| Consultation with Chief of the National Guard Bureau in selection | |
| of directors and deputy directors, Army National Guard and Air | |
| National Guard (sec. 512) | 755 |
| Centralized database of information on military technician positions | |
| (sec. 513) | 755 |
| Report on management of personnel records of members of the Na- | |
| tional Guard (sec. 514) | 755 |
| Subtitle C—General Service Authorities | 756 |
| Enhancement of participation of mental health professionals in | |
| boards for correction of military records and boards for review | |
| of discharge or dismissal of members of the Armed Forces (sec. | |
| 521) | 756 |
| Extension of authority to conduct programs on career flexibility to | |
| enhance retention of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 522) | 756 |
| Provision of information to members of the Armed Forces on privacy | |
| rights relating to receipt of mental health services (sec. 523) | 757 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY—Continued | |
| Subtitle C—General Service Authorities—Continued | |
| Removal of artificial barriers to the service of women in the Armed Forces (sec. 524) | 757 |
| Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Prevention and Response | 758 |
| Technical revisions and clarifications of certain provisions in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 relating to the military justice system (sec. 531) | 758 |
| Ordering of depositions under the Uniform Code of Military Justice (sec. 532) | 758 |
| Access to Special Victims' Counsel (sec. 533) | 758 |
| Enhancement of victims' rights in connection with prosecution of certain sex-related offenses (sec. 534) | 758 |
| Enforcement of crime victims' rights related to protections afforded by certain Military Rules of Evidence (sec. 535) | 759 |
| Modification of military rules of evidence relating to admissibility of general military character toward probability of innocence (sec. 536) | 759 |
| Modification of Rule 513 of the Military Rules of Evidence, relating to the privilege against disclosure of communications between psychotherapists and patients (sec. 537) | 760 |
| Modification of Department of Defense policy on retention of evidence in a sexual assault case to permit return of personal property upon completion of related proceedings (sec. 538) | 760 |
| Requirements relating to sexual assault forensic examiners for the Armed Forces (sec. 539) | 760 |
| Modification of term of judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (sec. 540) | 761 |
| Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial if requested by chief prosecutor (sec. 541) | 761 |
| Analysis and assessment of disposition of most serious offenses identified in unrestricted reports on sexual assaults in annual reports on sexual assaults in the Armed Forces (sec. 542) | 761 |
| Plan for limited use of certain information on sexual assaults in restricted reports by military criminal investigative organizations (sec. 543) | 761 |
| Improved Department of Defense information reporting and collection of domestic violence incidents involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 544) | 762 |
| Additional duties for judicial proceedings panel (sec. 545) | 762 |
| Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces (sec. 546) | 762 |
| Confidential review of characterization of terms of discharge of members of the Armed Forces who are victims of sexual offenses (sec. 547) | 763 |
| Subtitle E—Member Education, Training, and Transition | 763 |
| Enhancement of authority to assist members of the Armed Forces to obtain professional credentials (sec. 551) | 763 |
| Applicability of sexual assault prevention and response and related military justice enhancements to military service academies (sec. 552) | 763 |
| Authorized duration of foreign and cultural exchange activities at military service academies (sec. 553) | 764 |
| Enhancement of authority to accept support for Air Force Academy athletic programs (sec. 554) | 764 |
| Pilot program to assist members of the Armed Forces in obtaining post-service employment (sec. 555) | 764 |
| Plan for education of members of the Armed Forces on cyber matters (sec. 556) | 765 |
| Enhancement of information provided to members of the Armed Forces and veterans regarding use of post-9/11 educational assistance and federal financial aid through transition assistance program (sec. 557) | 765 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY—Continued | |
| Subtitle E—Member Education, Training, and Transition—Continued | |
| Procedures for provision of certain information to state veterans agencies to facilitate the transition of members of the Armed Forces from military service to civilian life (sec. 558) | 765 |
| Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters | 766 |
| Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 561) | 766 |
| Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 562) | 766 |
| Amendments to the Impact Aid Improvement Act of 2012 (sec. 563) ... | 766 |
| Authority to employ non-United States citizens as teachers in Department of Defense overseas dependents' school system (sec. 564) . | 767 |
| Inclusion of domestic dependent elementary and secondary schools among functions of Advisory Council on Dependents' Education (sec. 565) | 767 |
| Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the Armed Forces (sec. 566) | 767 |
| Improved consistency in data collection and reporting in Armed Forces suicide prevention efforts (sec. 567) | 768 |
| Improved data collection related to efforts to reduce underemployment of spouses of members of the Armed Forces and close the wage gap between military spouses and their civilian counterparts (sec. 568) | 768 |
| Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards | 769 |
| Medals for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who were killed or wounded in an attack by a foreign terrorist organization (sec. 571) | 769 |
| Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to members of the Armed Forces for acts of valor during World War I (sec. 572) | 769 |
| Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements | 769 |
| Review and report on military programs and controls regarding professionalism (sec. 581) | 769 |
| Review and report on prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces (sec. 582) | 770 |
| Review and report on provision of job placement assistance and related employment services directly to members of the reserve components (sec. 583) | 770 |
| Report on foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations in overseas military operations (sec. 584) | 771 |
| Deadline for submission of report containing results of review of Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases (sec. 585) | 771 |
| Independent assessment of risk and resiliency of United States Special Operations Forces and effectiveness of the Preservation of the Force and Families and Human Performance Programs (sec. 586) | 771 |
| Comptroller General report on hazing in the Armed Forces (sec. 587) | 772 |
| Comptroller General report on impact of certain mental and physical trauma on discharges from military service for misconduct (sec. 588) | 774 |
| Subtitle I—Other Matters | 772 |
| Inspection of outpatient residential facilities occupied by recovering service members (sec. 591) | 772 |
| Designation of voter assistance offices (sec. 592) | 773 |
| Repeal of electronic voting demonstration project (sec. 593) | 773 |
| Authority for removal from national cemeteries of remains of certain deceased members of the Armed Forces who have no known next of kin (sec. 594) | 773 |
| Sense of Congress regarding leaving no member of the Armed Forces unaccounted for during the drawdown of United States forces in Afghanistan (sec. 595) | 774 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 774 |
| Deferred retirement of chaplains | 774 |
| Compliance with efficiencies directive | 774 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY—Continued | |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted—Continued | |
| National Guard civil and defense support activities and related matters | 775 |
| Electronic tracking of certain reserve duty | 775 |
| Office of Employer Support for the Guard and Reserve | 775 |
| National Guard cyber protection teams | 775 |
| Procedures for judicial review of military personnel decisions relating to correction of military records | 775 |
| Additional required elements of transition assistance program | 776 |
| Protection of the religious freedom of military chaplains to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group | 776 |
| Sense of Senate on upgrade of characterization of discharge of certain Vietnam era members of the armed forces | 776 |
| Revised regulations for religious freedom | 776 |
| Preliminary mental health assessments | 776 |
| Availability of additional leave for members of the Armed Forces in connection with the birth of a child | 777 |
| Authority for Joint Special Operations University to award degrees .. | 777 |
| Minimum confinement period required for conviction of certain sex-related offenses committed by members of the Armed Forces | 777 |
| Establishment of phone service for prompt reporting of hazing involving a member of the Armed Forces | 777 |
| Additional enhancements of military department actions on sexual assault prevention and response | 777 |
| Collaboration between the Department of Defense and the Department of Justice in efforts to prevent and respond to sexual assault . | 778 |
| Report on tuition assistance | 778 |
| Support for efforts to improve academic achievement and transition of military dependent students | 778 |
| Retroactive award of Army Combat Action Badge | 778 |
| Report on Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta | 779 |
| Recognition of Wereth massacre of 11 African-American soldiers of the United States Army during the Battle of the Bulge | 779 |
| Report on Army review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht | 779 |
| Inspector General of the Department of Defense review of separation of members of the Armed Forces who made unrestricted reports of sexual assault | 780 |
| Working group on Integrated Disability Evaluation System | 780 |
| Access of congressional caseworkers to information about Department of Veterans Affairs casework brokered to other offices of the Department | 780 |
| Sense of Congress regarding the recovery of the remains of certain members of the Armed Forces killed in Thurston Island, Antarctica | 780 |
| Name of the Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense joint outpatient clinic, Marina, California | 781 |
| Sense of Congress regarding preservation of Second Amendment rights of Active Duty military personnel stationed or residing in the District of Columbia | 781 |
| TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS | 781 |
| Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances | 781 |
| No fiscal year 2015 increase in basic pay for general and flag officers (sec. 601) | 781 |
| Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances (sec. 602) . | 781 |
| Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau and Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau among senior members of the Armed Forces for purposes of pay and allowances (sec. 603) | 782 |
| Modification of computation of basic allowance for housing inside the United States (sec. 604) | 782 |
| Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays | 783 |

XII

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS—Continued | |
| Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays—Continued | |
| One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611) | 783 |
| One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec. 612) | 783 |
| One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613) | 783 |
| One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities (sec. 614) | 784 |
| One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays (sec. 615) | 784 |
| Subtitle C—Disability Pay, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits | 784 |
| Earlier determination of dependent status with respect to transitional compensation for dependents of certain members separated for dependent abuse (sec. 621) | 784 |
| Modification of determination of retired pay base for officers retired in general and flag officer grades (sec. 622) | 785 |
| Inapplicability of reduced annual adjustment of retired pay for members of the Armed Forces under the age of 62 under the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 who first become members prior to January 1, 2016 (sec. 623) | 785 |
| Survivor Benefit Plan annuities for special needs trusts established for the benefit of dependent children incapable of self-support (sec. 624) | 785 |
| Modification of per-fiscal year calculation of days of certain active duty or active service to reduce eligibility age for retirement for non-regular service (sec. 625) | 786 |
| Subtitle D—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations | 786 |
| Procurement of brand-name and other commercial items for resale by commissary stores (sec. 631) | 786 |
| Authority of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities to enter into contracts with other Federal agencies and instrumentalities to provide and obtain certain goods and services (sec. 632) | 786 |
| Competitive pricing of legal consumer tobacco products sold in Department of Defense retail stores (sec. 633) | 787 |
| Review of management, food, and pricing options for defense commissary system (sec. 634) | 787 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 788 |
| Fiscal year 2015 increase in military basic pay | 788 |
| Authority to enter into contracts for the provision of relocation services | 788 |
| Transportation on military aircraft on a space-available basis for disabled veterans with a service-connected, permanent disability rated as total | 788 |
| Prohibition on the use of funds to close commissary stores | 788 |
| Anonymous survey of members of the Armed Forces regarding their preferences for military pay and benefits | 789 |
| Availability for purchase of Department of Veterans Affairs memorial headstones and markers for members of reserve components who performed certain training | 789 |
| TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS | 789 |
| Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits | 789 |
| Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces (sec. 701) | 789 |
| Modifications of cost-sharing and other requirements for the TRICARE Pharmacy Benefits Program (sec. 702) | 790 |
| Elimination of inpatient day limits and other limits in provision of mental health services (sec. 703) | 790 |
| Authority for provisional TRICARE coverage for emerging health care services and supplies (sec. 704) | 791 |
| Clarification of provision of food to former members and dependents not receiving inpatient care in military medical treatment facilities (sec. 705) | 791 |

XIII

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits—Continued | |
| Availability of breastfeeding support, supplies, and counseling under the TRICARE program (sec. 706) | 791 |
| Subtitle B—Health Care Administration | 791 |
| Provision of notice of change to TRICARE benefits (sec. 711) | 791 |
| Surveys on continued viability of TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra (sec. 712) | 792 |
| Review of military health system modernization study (sec. 713) | 792 |
| Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters | 793 |
| Designation and responsibilities of senior medical advisor for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 721) | 793 |
| Extension of authority for joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund (sec. 722) | 794 |
| Report on status of reductions in TRICARE Prime service areas (sec. 723) | 794 |
| Extension of authority to provide rehabilitation and vocational benefits to members of the Armed Forces with severe injuries or illnesses (sec. 724) | 794 |
| Acquisition strategy for health care professional staffing services (sec. 725) | 795 |
| Pilot program on medication therapy management under TRICARE program (sec. 726) | 795 |
| Antimicrobial stewardship program at medical facilities of the Department of Defense (sec. 727) | 796 |
| Report on improvements in the identification and treatment of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces (sec. 728) | 796 |
| Report on efforts to treat infertility of military families (sec. 729) | 797 |
| Report on implementation of recommendations of Institute of Medicine on improvements to certain resilience and prevention programs of the Department of Defense (sec. 730) | 797 |
| Comptroller General report on transition of care for post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury (sec. 731) | 798 |
| Comptroller General report on mental health stigma reduction efforts in the Department of Defense (sec. 732) | 798 |
| Comptroller General report on women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries (sec. 733) | 798 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 799 |
| Behavioral health treatment of developmental disabilities under the TRICARE program | 799 |
| Cooperative health care agreements between the military departments and non-military health care entities | 799 |
| Limitation on transfer or elimination of graduate medical education billets | 799 |
| Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund matters | 800 |
| Research regarding Alzheimer's disease | 800 |
| Briefing on hospitals in arrears in payments to Department of Defense | 801 |
| Research regarding breast cancer | 801 |
| Sense of Congress regarding access to mental health services by members of the armed forces | 801 |
| Evaluation of wounded warrior care and transition program | 802 |
| Report on military family planning programs of the Department of Defense | 802 |
| Interagency working group on the provision of mental health services to members of the National Guard and the Reserves | 802 |
| Primary blast injury research | 802 |
| Report on Department of Defense support of members of the armed forces who experience traumatic injury as a result of vaccinations required by the Department | 802 |
| Sense of Congress on use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to treat traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder | 803 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS | 803 |
| Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management | 803 |
| Modular open systems approaches in acquisition programs (sec. 801) .. | 803 |
| Recharacterization of changes to Major Automated Information System programs (sec. 802) | 803 |
| Amendments relating to defense business systems (sec. 803) | 804 |
| Report on implementation of acquisition process for information technology systems (sec. 804) | 805 |
| Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations | 805 |
| Extension and modification of contract authority for advanced component development and prototype units (sec. 811) | 805 |
| Amendments relating to authority of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects (sec. 812) ... | 806 |
| Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services (sec. 813) | 806 |
| Improvement in defense design-build construction process (sec. 814) .. | 806 |
| Permanent authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items (sec. 815) | 807 |
| Restatement and revision of requirements applicable to multiyear defense acquisitions to be specifically authorized by law (sec. 816) .. | 807 |
| Sourcing requirements related to avoiding counterfeit electronic parts (sec. 817) | 807 |
| Amendments to Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program (sec. 818) | 807 |
| Subtitle C—Industrial Base Matters | 808 |
| Temporary extension of and amendments to test program for negotiation of comprehensive small business subcontracting plans (sec. 821) | 808 |
| Plan for improving data on bundled or consolidated contracts (sec. 822) | 808 |
| Authority to provide education to small businesses on certain requirements of Arms Export Control Act (sec. 823) | 809 |
| Matters relating to reverse auctions (sec. 824) | 810 |
| Sole source contracts for small business concerns owned and controlled by women (sec. 825) | 810 |
| Subtitle D—Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform | 810 |
| Chief Information Officer authority enhancements (sec. 831) | 810 |
| Enhanced transparency and improved risk management in information technology investments (sec. 832) | 810 |
| Portfolio review (sec. 833) | 811 |
| Federal data center consolidation initiative (sec. 834) | 811 |
| Expansion of training and use of information technology cadres (sec. 835) | 812 |
| Maximizing the benefit of the Federal strategic sourcing initiative (sec. 836) | 812 |
| Governmentwide software purchasing program (sec. 837) | 812 |
| Subtitle E—Never Contract With the Enemy | 812 |
| Prohibition on providing funds to the enemy (sec. 841) | 812 |
| Additional access to records (sec. 842) | 813 |
| Definitions (sec. 843) | 813 |
| Subtitle F—Other Matters | 813 |
| Rapid acquisition and deployment procedures for United States Special Operations Command (sec. 851) | 813 |
| Consideration of corrosion control in preliminary design review (sec. 852) | 814 |
| Program manager development report (sec. 853) | 814 |
| Operational metrics for Joint Information Environment and supporting activities (sec. 854) | 814 |
| Compliance with requirements for senior Department of Defense officials seeking employment with defense contractors (sec. 855) | 815 |
| Enhancement of whistleblower protection for employees of grantees (sec. 856) | 815 |
| Prohibition on reimbursement of contractors for congressional investigations and inquiries (sec. 857) | 815 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS—Continued | |
| Subtitle F—Other Matters—Continued | |
| Requirement to provide photovoltaic devices from United States sources (sec. 858) | 816 |
| Reimbursement of Department of Defense for assistance provided to nongovernmental entertainment-oriented media producers (sec. 859) | 816 |
| Three-year extension of authority for Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund (sec. 860) | 816 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 816 |
| Extension to United States Transportation Command of authorities relating to prohibition on contracting with the enemy | 816 |
| Governance of Joint Information Environment | 816 |
| Improving opportunities for service-disabled veteran-owned small business | 817 |
| Improving Federal Surety Bonds | 818 |
| Publication of required justification that consolidation of contract requirements | 818 |
| Small business prime and subcontract participation goals raised; accounting of subcontracting | 818 |
| Small business cyber education | 818 |
| Procurement of personal protective equipment | 819 |
| Authority for Defense Contract Audit Agency to interview contractor employees in connection with examination of contractor records | 819 |
| Prohibition on funds for contracts violating Executive Order No. 11246 | 820 |
| Requirement for policies and standard checklist in procurement of services | 820 |
| Debarment required of persons convicted of fraudulent use of “made in America” labels | 821 |
| Requirement to buy American flags from domestic sources | 821 |
| Tenure and accountability of program managers for program development periods | 821 |
| Tenure and accountability of program managers for program execution periods | 821 |
| Removal of requirements related to waiver of preliminary design review and post-preliminary design review before Milestone B | 822 |
| Short title | 822 |
| Table of contents | 822 |
| Definitions | 822 |
| Lead coordination role of Chief Information Officers Council | 822 |
| Reports by Government Accountability Office | 823 |
| Purpose | 823 |
| Definitions | 823 |
| Performance requirements related to data center consolidation | 823 |
| Cost savings related to data center optimization | 823 |
| Reporting requirements to Congress and the Federal Chief Information Officer | 823 |
| Website consolidation and transparency | 823 |
| Transition to the cloud | 824 |
| Elimination of unnecessary duplication of contracts by requiring business case analysis | 824 |
| Plan on strengthening program and project management performance | 824 |
| Personnel awards for excellence in the acquisition information systems and information technology | 824 |
| Promoting transparency of blanket purchase agreements | 824 |
| Additional source selection technique in solicitations | 824 |
| Enhanced communication between government and industry | 825 |
| Clarification of current law with respect to technology neutrality in acquisition of software | 825 |
| No additional funds authorized | 825 |
| Short title | 825 |
| TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT | 825 |
| Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management | 825 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT—Continued | |
| Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management—Continued | |
| Reorganization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense and related matters (sec. 901) | 825 |
| Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs (sec. 902) | 826 |
| Requirement for assessment of options to modify the number of combatant commands (sec. 903) | 827 |
| Office of Net Assessment (sec. 904) | 827 |
| Periodic review of Department of Defense management headquarters (sec. 905) | 827 |
| Subtitle B—Other Matters | 827 |
| Modifications of biennial strategic workforce plan relating to senior management, functional, and technical workforces of the Department of Defense (sec. 911) | 827 |
| Repeal of extension of Comptroller General report on inventory (sec. 912) | 828 |
| Extension of authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for nongovernmental personnel at Department of Defense regional centers for security studies (sec. 913) | 828 |
| Pilot program to establish Government lodging program (sec. 914) | 828 |
| Single standard mileage reimbursement rate for privately owned automobiles of Government employees and members of the uniformed services (sec. 915) | 829 |
| Modifications to requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing (sec. 916) | 829 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 830 |
| Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps | 830 |
| Additional responsibility for Director of Operational Test and Evaluation | 830 |
| Requirement for congressional briefing before divesting of Defense Finance and Accounting Service functions | 830 |
| Combatant command efficiency plan | 831 |
| Report related to nuclear forces, deterrence, nonproliferation, and terrorism | 832 |
| Assignment of certain new requirements based on determinations of cost-efficiency | 833 |
| Prohibition on conversion of functions performed by civilian or contractor personnel to performance by military personnel | 833 |
| Notification of compliance with section relating to procurement of services | 833 |
| Public release by Inspectors General of reports of misconduct | 833 |
| TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS | 834 |
| Subtitle A—Financial Matters | 834 |
| General transfer authority (sec. 1001) | 834 |
| Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization and naval reactors (sec. 1002) | 834 |
| Reporting of balances carried forward by the Department of Defense at the end of each fiscal year (sec. 1003) | 834 |
| Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities | 834 |
| Extension of authority to support unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia (sec. 1011) | 834 |
| Extension and modification of authority of Department of Defense to provide support for counterdrug activities of other governmental agencies (sec. 1012) | 835 |
| Availability of funds for additional support for counterdrug activities of certain foreign governments (sec. 1013) | 835 |
| Extension and modification of authority for joint task forces supporting law enforcement agencies conducting activities to counter transnational organized crime to support law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities (sec. 1014) | 836 |
| Sense of Congress regarding security in the Western Hemisphere (sec. 1015) | 836 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards | 836 |
| Definition of combatant and support vessel for purposes of the annual plan and certification relating to budgeting for construction of naval vessels (sec. 1021) | 836 |
| National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund (sec. 1022) | 836 |
| Limitation on use of funds for inactivation of U.S.S. George Washington (sec. 1023) | 837 |
| Sense of Congress recognizing the anniversary of the sinking of U.S.S. Thresher (sec. 1024) | 837 |
| Pilot program for sustainment of Littoral Combat Ships on extended deployments (sec. 1025) | 838 |
| Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships (sec. 1026) | 838 |
| Subtitle D—Counterterrorism | 839 |
| Extension of authority to make rewards for combating terrorism (sec. 1031) | 839 |
| Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1032) | 839 |
| Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1033) | 839 |
| Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations | 840 |
| Modification of Department of Defense authority for humanitarian demining assistance and stockpiled conventional munitions assistance programs (sec. 1041) | 840 |
| Airlift service (sec. 1042) | 840 |
| Authority to accept certain voluntary legal support services (sec. 1043) | 840 |
| Expansion of authority for Secretary of Defense to use the Department of Defense reimbursement rates for transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense entities (sec. 1044) .. | 841 |
| Repeal of authority relating to use of military installations by Civil Reserve Air Fleet contractors (sec. 1045) | 841 |
| Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau among leadership of the Department of Defense provided physical protection and personal security (sec. 1046) | 841 |
| Inclusion of regional organizations in authority for assignment of civilian employees of the Department of Defense as advisors to foreign ministries of defense (sec. 1047) | 841 |
| Report and limitation on availability of funds for aviation foreign internal defense program (sec. 1048) | 842 |
| Modifications to OH-58D Kiowa Warrior aircraft (sec. 1049) | 842 |
| Subtitle F—Studies and Reports | 842 |
| Protection of top-tier defense-critical infrastructure from electromagnetic pulse (sec. 1051) | 842 |
| Response of the Department of Defense to compromises of classified information (sec. 1052) | 843 |
| Study on joint analytic capability of the Department of Defense (sec. 1053) | 843 |
| Business case analysis of the creation of an active duty association for the 168th Air Refueling Wing (sec. 1054) | 844 |
| Reports on recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force (sec. 1055) | 844 |
| Report on protection of military installations (sec. 1056) | 844 |
| Comptroller General briefing and report on Army and Army National Guard force structure changes (sec. 1057) | 844 |
| Improving analytic support to systems acquisition and allocation of acquisition, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets (sec. 1058) | 845 |
| Review of United States military strategy and the force posture of allies and partners in the United States Pacific Command area of responsibility (sec. 1059) | 846 |
| Repeal of certain reporting requirements relating to the Department of Defense (sec. 1060) | 846 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle F—Studies and Reports—Continued | |
| Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General of the United States annual reviews and report on pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force (sec. 1061) | 846 |
| Report on additional matters in connection with report on the force structure of the United States Army (sec. 1062) | 846 |
| Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores (sec. 1063) | 847 |
| Subtitle G—Other Matters | 848 |
| Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1071) | 848 |
| Reform of quadrennial defense review (sec. 1072) | 848 |
| Biennial surveys of Department of Defense civilian employees on workplace and gender relations matters (sec. 1073) | 848 |
| Revision to statute of limitations for aviation insurance claims (sec. 1074) | 848 |
| Pilot program for the Human Terrain System (sec. 1075) | 849 |
| Clarification of policies on management of special use airspace of Department of Defense (sec. 1076) | 849 |
| Department of Defense policies on community involvement in Department community outreach events (sec. 1077) | 850 |
| Notification of foreign threats to information technology systems impacting national security (sec. 1078) | 851 |
| Pilot program to rehabilitate and modify homes of disabled and low-income veterans (sec. 1079) | 851 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 852 |
| Repeal of limitation on Inspector General audits of certain financial statements | 852 |
| Sense of the Senate on sequestration | 852 |
| Management of Defense information systems | 852 |
| Report on auditable financial statements | 853 |
| Report on implementing audit reporting requirements | 853 |
| Submittal of biannual reports on use of funds in the drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, defense-wide account on the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate | 853 |
| National Guard drug interdiction and counter-drug activities | 854 |
| Prohibition on use of funds for certain permitting activities under the Sunken Military Craft Act | 854 |
| Limitation on the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba | 854 |
| Report on facilitation of transfer overseas of certain individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba | 855 |
| Authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States for emergency or critical medical treatment | 855 |
| Prohibition on the use of funds for recreational facilities for individuals detained at Guantanamo | 855 |
| Prohibition on transfer or release to Yemen of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba | 855 |
| Reduction in Department of Defense civilian personnel and review of certain headquarters spending | 855 |
| Submittal of procedures and report relating to sensitive military operations | 856 |
| Limitation on use of Russian-flagged airlift aircraft to support the airlift movement requirements of the United States Transportation Command | 857 |
| Prohibition on use of drones to kill United States citizens | 857 |
| Report and briefing to Congress on procurement and inspection of armored commercial passenger-carrying vehicles to transport civilian employees of the Department of Defense | 857 |
| Report on long-term costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom | 858 |
| Provision of annual voter assistance | 858 |
| Sale or donation of excess personal property for border security activities | 858 |

XIX

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS—Continued | |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted—Continued | |
| Sense of Congress on the life and achievements of Dr. James R. Schlesinger | 858 |
| Resubmission of 2014 quadrennial defense review | 858 |
| Sense of Congress regarding counter-improvised explosive devices | 859 |
| Enhancing presence and capabilities and readiness posture of United States military in Europe | 859 |
| Determination and disclosure of transportation costs incurred by the Secretary of Defense for congressional trips outside the United States | 859 |
| Improvement of financial literacy | 860 |
| Transfer of administration of Ocean Research Advisory Panel from Department of the Navy to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration | 860 |
| Annual report on performance of regional offices of the Department of Veterans Affairs | 860 |
| Sense of Congress regarding the transfer of used military equipment to federal, state, and local agencies | 861 |
| Methods for validating certain service considered to be active service by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs | 861 |
| Cost of wars | 861 |
| Observance of Veterans Day | 861 |
| Findings; sense of Congress | 861 |
| Review of operation of certain ships during the Vietnam Era | 862 |
| Sense of Congress recognizing the 70th anniversary of the Allied amphibious landing on D-Day, June 6, 1944, at Normandy, France | 862 |
| Transportation of supplies to members of the armed forces from nonprofit organizations | 862 |
| Findings and purposes | 863 |
| Comptroller General oversight of Department of Defense audit readiness | 863 |
| Duties of the Advisory Panel | 863 |
| Powers of the Advisory Panel | 863 |
| Advisory Panel personnel matters | 863 |
| Termination of the Advisory Panel | 864 |
| TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS | 866 |
| One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on pay for federal civilian employees working overseas (sec. 1101) | 866 |
| One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone (sec. 1102) | 866 |
| Revision to list of science and technology reinvention laboratories (sec. 1103) | 866 |
| Extension and modification of experimental program for scientific and technical personnel (sec. 1104) | 867 |
| Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities (sec. 1105) | 867 |
| Rate of overtime pay for Department of the Navy employees performing work aboard or dockside in support of the nuclear aircraft carrier forward deployed in Japan (sec. 1106) | 867 |
| Extension of part-time reemployment authority (sec. 1107) | 867 |
| Personnel authorities for civilian personnel for the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments (sec. 1108) | 868 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 868 |
| Judicial review of Merit Systems Protection Board decisions relating to whistleblowers | 868 |
| Pay parity for Department of Defense employees employed at joint bases | 868 |
| TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS | 869 |
| Subtitle A—Assistance and Training | 869 |
| Modification and extension of Global Security Contingency Fund (sec. 1201) | 869 |
| Notice to Congress on certain assistance under authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction (sec. 1202) | 869 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle A—Assistance and Training—Continued | |
| Enhanced authority for provision of support to foreign military liaison officers of foreign countries while assigned to the Department of Defense (sec. 1203) | 870 |
| Prohibition on use of funds for assistance to units of foreign security forces that have committed a gross violation of human rights (sec. 1204) | 870 |
| Codification and enhancement of authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces (sec. 1205) | 870 |
| Training of security forces and associated security ministries of foreign countries to promote respect for the rule of law and human rights (sec. 1206) | 870 |
| Cross servicing agreements for loan of personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment in coalition operations (sec. 1207) ... | 871 |
| Extension and modification of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism (sec. 1208) | 871 |
| Authority to provide assistance to the vetted Syrian opposition (sec. 1209) | 872 |
| Provision of logistic support for the conveyance of certain defense articles to foreign forces training with the United States Armed Forces (sec. 1210) | 872 |
| Biennial report on programs carried out by the Department of Defense to provide training, equipment, or other assistance or reimbursement to foreign security forces (sec. 1211) | 873 |
| Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq | 873 |
| Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan (sec. 1221) | 873 |
| Extension and modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations (sec. 1222) | 873 |
| One-year extension of logistical support for coalition forces supporting certain United States military operations (sec. 1223) | 874 |
| United States plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces through the end of fiscal year 2017 (sec. 1224) | 874 |
| Semiannual report on enhancing security and stability in Afghanistan (sec. 1225) | 875 |
| Sense of Congress on stability and sovereignty of Afghanistan (sec. 1226) | 875 |
| Extension of Afghan Special Immigrant Program (sec. 1227) | 875 |
| Independent assessment of United States efforts against al-Qaeda (sec. 1228) | 875 |
| Sense of Congress on security of Afghan women (sec. 1229) | 876 |
| Review process for use of United States funds for construction projects in Afghanistan that cannot be physically accessed by United States Government personnel (sec. 1230) | 876 |
| Extension of authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Afghanistan (sec. 1231) | 877 |
| One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan (sec. 1232) | 877 |
| Clearance of unexploded ordnance on former United States training ranges in Afghanistan (sec. 1233) | 877 |
| Report on impact of end of major combat operations in Afghanistan on authority to use military force (sec. 1234) | 877 |
| Report on bilateral security cooperation with Pakistan (sec. 1235) | 878 |
| Authority to provide assistance to counter the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (sec. 1236) | 878 |
| Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (sec. 1237) ... | 879 |
| Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation | 879 |
| Limitation on military cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation (sec. 1241) | 879 |
| Notification and assessment of proposal to modify or introduce new aircraft or sensors for flight by the Russian Federation under Open Skies Treaty (sec. 1242) | 880 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation—Continued | |
| Limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation (sec. 1243) | 880 |
| Report on non-compliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty (sec. 1244) | 881 |
| Annual report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation (sec. 1245) | 881 |
| Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or other agreements with Rosoboronexport (sec. 1246) | 882 |
| Report on the New START Treaty (sec. 1247) | 882 |
| Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region | 882 |
| Strategy to prioritize United States defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region (sec. 1251) | 882 |
| Modifications to annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China (sec. 1252) | 883 |
| Military-to-military engagement with the Government of Burma (sec. 1253) | 883 |
| Report on Department of Defense munitions strategy of the United States Pacific Command (sec. 1254) | 884 |
| Missile defense cooperation in Northeast Asia (sec. 1255) | 884 |
| Sense of Congress and report on Taiwan and its contribution to regional peace and stability (sec. 1256) | 884 |
| Independent assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to counter anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies of potential adversaries (sec. 1257) | 884 |
| Sense of Congress reaffirming security cooperation with Japan and the Republic of Korea (sec. 1258) | 885 |
| Report on maritime security strategy in the Asia-Pacific region (sec. 1259) | 886 |
| Sense of Congress on Taiwan maritime capabilities and exercise participation (sec. 1259A) | 886 |
| Modification of matters for discussion in annual reports of United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission (sec. 1259B) | 886 |
| Subtitle E—Other Matters | 887 |
| One-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities (sec. 1261) | 887 |
| Modification of national security planning guidance to deny safe havens to al-Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates (sec. 1262) | 887 |
| Enhanced authority to acquire goods and services of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in United States Africa Command area of responsibility (sec. 1263) | 887 |
| Treatment of the Kurdistan Democratic Party and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan under the Immigration and Nationality Act (sec. 1264) | 888 |
| Prohibition on integration of missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of United States and sense of Congress concerning integration of missile defense systems of Russia into missile defense systems of NATO (sec. 1265) | 888 |
| Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty (sec. 1266) | 889 |
| Notification and review of potentially significant arms control non-compliance (sec. 1267) | 889 |
| Inter-European Air Forces Academy (sec. 1268) | 889 |
| Department of Defense support to security of United States diplomatic facilities (sec. 1269) | 890 |
| Information on sanctioned persons and businesses through the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (sec. 1270) | 890 |
| Reports on nuclear program of Iran (sec. 1271) | 890 |
| Sense of Congress on defense modernization by NATO countries (sec. 1272) | 891 |
| Report on protection of cultural property in event of armed conflict (sec. 1273) | 891 |
| United States strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe (sec. 1274) | 892 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle E—Other Matters—Continued | |
| Report on military assistance to Ukraine (sec. 1275) | 892 |
| Sense of Congress on efforts to remove Joseph Kony from the battle- field and end the atrocities of the Lord's Resistance Army (sec. 1276) | 892 |
| Extension of annual reports on the military power of Iran (sec. 1277) | 893 |
| Report and strategy regarding North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel (sec. 1278) | 893 |
| Rule of construction (sec. 1279) | 893 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 893 |
| Annual report on human rights vetting and verification procedures of the Department of Defense | 893 |
| Use of acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend certain military equipment to certain foreign forces for personnel protec- tion and survivability | 894 |
| Report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan under Operation Resolute Support | 894 |
| Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Af- ghanistan in amount equivalent to 150 percent of all taxes as- sessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan | 894 |
| Limitation on funds to establish permanent military installations or bases in Afghanistan | 894 |
| Actions to support human rights, participation, prevention of vio- lence, existing frameworks, and security and mobility with respect to women and girls in Afghanistan | 895 |
| Sense of Congress relating to Dr. Shakil Afridi | 895 |
| Limitation on availability of funds to transfer missile defense infor- mation to the Russian Federation | 895 |
| Sense of Congress regarding Russian aggression toward Ukraine | 896 |
| Plan to reduce Russian Federation nuclear force dependencies on Ukraine | 896 |
| Requirements relating to certain defense transfers to the Russian Federation | 896 |
| Sense of Congress on future of NATO and enlargement initiatives | 896 |
| Sale of F-16 aircraft to Taiwan | 897 |
| Strategic framework for United States security force assistance and cooperation in the European and Eurasian regions | 897 |
| Requirement of Department of Defense to continue implementation of United States Strategy to Prevent and Respond to Gender-Based Violence Globally and participation in interagency working group .. | 897 |
| Department of Defense situational awareness of economic and finan- cial activity | 898 |
| War Powers of Congress | 898 |
| Combating crime through intelligence capabilities | 898 |
| Statement of policy [on counternarcotics] | 898 |
| Declaration of policy regarding Israel's lawful exercise of self-defense | 899 |
| Statement of policy and report on the inherent right of Israel to self-defense | 899 |
| Sense of Congress on United States presence and cooperation in the Arabian Gulf region to deter Iran | 899 |
| Report on Accountability for Crimes Against Humanity in Nigeria | 899 |
| Sense of Congress regarding the naval capabilities of the Russian Federation | 899 |
| Report on collective and national security implications of Central Asian and South Caucasus energy development | 900 |
| Sense of Congress on Nigeria and Boko Haram | 900 |
| Recognition of victims of Soviet Communist and Nazi regimes | 900 |
| Report relating to rescue efforts in Nigerian kidnapping | 901 |
| TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION | 901 |
| Subtitle A—Funds | 901 |
| Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds (sec. 1301) | 901 |
| Funding Allocations (sec. 1302) | 901 |
| Subtitle B—Consolidation and Modernization of Statutes Relating to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program | 901 |
| Short Title (sec. 1311) | 901 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION—Continued | |
| Subtitle B—Consolidation and Modernization of Statutes Relating to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program—Con- tinued | |
| Definitions (sec. 1312) | 901 |
| PART I—PROGRAM AUTHORITIES | 902 |
| Authority to carry out Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1321) | 902 |
| Use of funds for certain emergent threats or opportunities (sec. 1322) | 902 |
| Authority for urgent threat reduction activities under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1323) | 902 |
| Use of funds for unspecified purposes or for increased amounts (sec. 1324) | 903 |
| Use of contributions to Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1325) | 903 |
| PART II—RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS | 903 |
| Prohibition on use of funds for specified purposes (sec. 1331) | 903 |
| Requirement for on-site managers (sec. 1332) | 903 |
| Limitation on use of funds until certain permits obtained (sec. 1333) . | 904 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for Cooperative Threat Reduction activities with Russian Federation (sec. 1334) | 904 |
| PART III—RECURRING CERTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS | 905 |
| Annual certifications on use of facilities being constructed for Depart- ment of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction projects or activities (sec. 1341) | 905 |
| Requirement to submit summary of amounts requested by project category (sec. 1342) | 905 |
| Reports on activities and assistance under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1343) | 905 |
| Metrics for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1344) | 906 |
| PART IV—REPEALS AND TRANSITION PROVISIONS | 906 |
| Repeals (sec. 1351) | 906 |
| Transition provisions (sec. 1352) | 909 |
| TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 909 |
| Subtitle A—Military Programs | 909 |
| Working capital funds (sec. 1401) | 909 |
| Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense (sec. 1402) | 909 |
| Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1403) | 909 |
| Defense Inspector General (sec. 1404) | 910 |
| Defense Health Program (sec. 1405) | 910 |
| Subtitle B—Other Matters | 910 |
| Authority for transfer of funds to joint Department of Defense- Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, Illinois (sec. 1411) | 910 |
| Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1412) | 910 |
| Comptroller General of the United States report on Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, North Chicago, Illinois (sec. 1413) | 910 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 911 |
| Report on development of secure supply of rare earth materials | 911 |
| Revisions to previously authorized disposals from the National De- fense Stockpile | 911 |
| TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | 912 |
| Budget Item | 912 |
| National Guard and Reserve Component Equipment | 912 |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations | 912 |
| Purpose (sec. 1501) | 912 |
| Procurement (sec. 1502) | 912 |
| Research, development, test and evaluation (sec. 1503) | 913 |
| Operation and maintenance (sec. 1504) | 913 |
| Military personnel (sec. 1505) | 913 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued | |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations—Continued | |
| Other appropriations (secs. 1506–1509) | 913 |
| Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund (sec. 1510) | 913 |
| European Reassurance Initiative (sec. 1511) | 913 |
| Subtitle B—Financial Matters | 914 |
| Treatment as additional authorizations (sec. 1521) | 914 |
| Special transfer authority (sec. 1522) | 914 |
| Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters | 914 |
| Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (sec. 1531) | 914 |
| Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1532) | 914 |
| Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (sec. 1533) | 915 |
| Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund (sec. 1534) | 915 |
| European Reassurance Initiative (sec. 1535) | 915 |
| Plan for transition of funding of United States Special Operations Command from supplemental funding for overseas contingency oper- ations to recurring funding for future-years defense programs (sec. 1536) | 916 |
| Legislative Provision Not Adopted | 916 |
| Codification of Office and Management and Budget criteria | 916 |
| TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS | 917 |
| Subtitle A—Space Activities | 917 |
| Department of Defense Space Security and Defense Program (sec. 1601) | 917 |
| Evolved expendable launch vehicle notification (sec. 1602) | 917 |
| Satellite communications responsibilities of Executive Agent for Space (sec. 1603) | 918 |
| Rocket propulsion system development program (sec. 1604) | 918 |
| Pilot program for acquisition of commercial satellite communication services (sec. 1605) | 919 |
| Update of National Security Space Strategy to include space control and space superiority strategy (sec. 1606) | 919 |
| Allocation of funds for the Space Security and Defense Program; report on space control (sec. 1607) | 920 |
| Prohibition on contracting with Russian suppliers of rocket engines for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program (sec. 1608) | 920 |
| Assessment of evolved expendable launch vehicle program (sec. 1609) | 920 |
| Competitive procedures required to launch payload for mission num- ber five of the Operationally Responsive Space Program (sec. 1610) | 921 |
| Availability of additional rocket cores pursuant to competitive proce- dures (sec. 1611) | 921 |
| Limitations on availability of funds for weather satellite follow-on system and Defense Meteorological Satellite program (sec. 1612) | 921 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for space-based infrared systems space data exploitation (sec. 1613) | 922 |
| Limitations on availability of funds for hosted payload and wide field of view testbed of the space-based infrared systems (sec. 1614) | 923 |
| Limitations on availability of funds for protected tactical demon- stration and protected military satellite communications testbed of the advanced extremely high frequency program (sec. 1615) | 923 |
| Study of space situational awareness architecture (sec. 1616) | 924 |
| Briefing on range support for launches in support of national security (sec. 1617) | 924 |
| Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-related Activities | 925 |
| Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities Executive Agent (sec. 1621) | 925 |
| One-year extension of report on imagery intelligence and geospatial information support provided to regional organizations and security alliances (sec. 1622) | 925 |
| Extension of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities (sec. 1623) | 925 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS—Continued | |
| Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-related Activities—Continued | |
| Extension of authority relating to jurisdiction over Department of Defense facilities for intelligence collection or special operations activities abroad (sec. 1624) | 925 |
| Assessment and limitation on availability of funds for intelligence activities and programs of United States Special Operations Command and special operations forces (sec. 1625) | 926 |
| Annual briefing on the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the combatant commands (sec. 1626) | 926 |
| Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation (sec. 1627) | 926 |
| Personnel security and insider threat (sec. 1628) | 927 |
| Migration of Distributed Common Ground System of Department of the Army to an open system architecture (sec. 1629) | 927 |
| Subtitle C—Cyberspace-related Matters | 928 |
| Budgeting and accounting for cyber mission forces (sec. 1631) | 928 |
| Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors (sec. 1632) | 928 |
| Executive agents for cyber test and training ranges (sec. 1633) | 929 |
| Cyberspace mapping (sec. 1634) | 930 |
| Review of cross domain solution policy and requirement for cross domain solution strategy (sec. 1635) | 930 |
| Requirement for strategy to develop and deploy decryption service for the Joint Information Environment (sec. 1636) | 930 |
| Actions to address economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace (sec. 1637) | 930 |
| Sense of Congress regarding role of reserve components in defense of United States against cyber attacks (sec. 1638) | 931 |
| Sense of Congress on the future of the Internet and the .MIL top-level domain (sec. 1639) | 932 |
| Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces | 933 |
| Preparation of annual budget request regarding nuclear weapons (sec. 1641) | 933 |
| Improvement to biennial assessment on delivery platforms for nuclear weapons and the nuclear command and control system (sec. 1642) | 933 |
| Congressional Budget Office review of cost estimates for nuclear weapons (sec. 1643) | 933 |
| Retention of missile silos (sec. 1644) | 934 |
| Procurement authority for certain parts of intercontinental ballistic missile fuzes (sec. 1645) | 934 |
| Assessment of nuclear weapon secondary requirement (sec. 1646) | 934 |
| Certification on nuclear force structure (sec. 1647) | 935 |
| Advance notice and reports on B61 life extension program (sec. 1648) | 935 |
| Notification and report concerning removal or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft from Europe (sec. 1649) | 935 |
| Reports on installation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems at headquarters of United States Strategic Command (sec. 1650) | 936 |
| Report on plans for response of Department of Defense to INF Treaty violation (sec. 1651) | 937 |
| Statement of policy on the nuclear triad (sec. 1652) | 937 |
| Sense of Congress on deterrence and defense posture of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (sec. 1653) | 937 |
| Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs | 938 |
| Availability of funds for Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system (sec. 1661) | 938 |
| Testing and assessment of missile defense systems prior to production and deployment (sec. 1662) | 938 |
| Acquisition plan for re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle (sec. 1663) | 938 |
| Study on testing program of ground-based midcourse missile defense system (sec. 1664) | 939 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS—Continued | |
| Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs—Continued | |
| Sense of Congress and report on homeland ballistic missile defense (sec. 1665) | 939 |
| Sense of Congress and report on regional ballistic missile defense (sec. 1666) | 939 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 940 |
| Air Force intelligence organization | 940 |
| Authority for Secretary of Defense to engage in commercial activities as security for military operations abroad | 940 |
| Budget increase for Aegis ballistic missile defense | 940 |
| Director of National Intelligence certification with respect to the mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense | 941 |
| Independent review of the personnel reliability program of the Department of Defense and the human reliability program of the Department of Energy | 941 |
| Integrated plan on space launch activities of the federal government .. | 941 |
| Reports and briefings of Strategic Advisory Group | 942 |
| Report on governance and corruption in the Russian Federation | 942 |
| Report on reliance of Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program on foreign manufacturers | 943 |
| Sense of Congress on procurement and deployment of capability enhancement II exo-atmospheric kill vehicle | 943 |
| Sense of the Senate on resolution limits on commercial space imagery | 943 |
| Theater air and missile defense of allies of the United States | 944 |
| TITLE XVII—NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF THE ARMY | 944 |
| National commission on the future of the Army (secs. 1701–1712) | 944 |
| DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS | 944 |
| Summary and explanation of funding tables | 944 |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted | 945 |
| Short title (sec. 2001) | 945 |
| Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002) | 945 |
| Legislative Provision Not Adopted | 945 |
| Effective date | 945 |
| TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 945 |
| Summary | 945 |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted | 946 |
| Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101) | 946 |
| Family housing (sec. 2102) | 946 |
| Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec. 2103) | 946 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project (sec. 2104) | 946 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects (sec. 2105) | 947 |
| Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2106) | 947 |
| Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2107) | 947 |
| Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York (sec. 2108) | 947 |
| Limitation on funding for family housing construction at Camp Walker, Republic of Korea (sec. 2109) | 948 |
| TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 948 |
| Summary | 948 |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted | 948 |
| Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201) | 948 |
| Family housing (sec. 2202) | 948 |
| Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203) | 949 |
| Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204) | 949 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2205) | 949 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION—Continued | |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted—Continued | |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project (sec. 2206) | 949 |
| Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2207) | 949 |
| Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2208) | 950 |
| TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 950 |
| Summary | 950 |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted | 950 |
| Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301) | 950 |
| Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2302) | 950 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project (sec. 2303) | 950 |
| Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2304) | 951 |
| Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2012 project (sec. 2305) | 951 |
| Legislative Provision Not Adopted | 951 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project | 951 |
| TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 952 |
| Summary | 952 |
| Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations | 952 |
| Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401) | 952 |
| Authorized energy conservation projects (sec. 2402) | 952 |
| Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies (sec. 2403) | 953 |
| Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2404) | 953 |
| Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2405) | 953 |
| Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2015 projects pending submission of report (sec. 2406) | 953 |
| Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations | 954 |
| Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide (sec. 2411) | 954 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2000 project (sec. 2412) | 954 |
| TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM | 954 |
| Summary | 954 |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted | 954 |
| Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501) | 954 |
| Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502) | 955 |
| TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES | 955 |
| Summary | 955 |
| Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations | 955 |
| Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601) | 955 |
| Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602) | 956 |
| Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603) | 956 |
| Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604) | 956 |
| Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605) | 956 |
| Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606) | 956 |
| Subtitle B—Other Matters | 956 |
| Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2611) | 956 |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects (sec. 2612) | 957 |

XXVIII

| | Page |
|---|------|
| TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES—Continued | |
| Subtitle B—Other Matters—Continued | |
| Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project (sec. 2613) | 957 |
| Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2614) | 957 |
| TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES | 958 |
| Summary | 958 |
| Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations | 958 |
| Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account (sec. 2701) | 958 |
| Subtitle B—Prohibition on Additional BRAC Round | 958 |
| Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round (sec. 2711) | 958 |
| Subtitle C—Other Matters | 958 |
| Modification of property disposal procedures under base realignment and closure process (sec. 2721) | 958 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 958 |
| HUBZones | 958 |
| Force-structure plans and infrastructure inventory and assessment of infrastructure necessary to support the force structure | 959 |
| Final settlement of claims regarding caretaker agreement for former Defense Depot Ogden, Utah | 959 |
| TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS | 960 |
| Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes | 960 |
| Congressional notification of construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense access road projects conducted under authorities other than a Military Construction Authorization Act (sec. 2801) | 960 |
| Modification of authority to carry out unspecified minor military construction (sec. 2802) | 960 |
| Clarification of authorized use of payments-in-kind and in-kind contributions (sec. 2803) | 961 |
| Use of one-step turn-key contractor selection procedures for additional facility projects (sec. 2804) | 961 |
| Limitations on military construction in European Command area of responsibility and European Reassurance Initiative (sec. 2805) .. | 961 |
| Extension of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States (sec. 2806) | 962 |
| Application of residential building construction standards (sec. 2807) .. | 962 |
| Limitation on construction of new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 2808) | 963 |
| Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration | 963 |
| Renewals, extensions, and succeeding leases for financial institutions operating on military installations (sec. 2811) | 963 |
| Deposit of reimbursed funds to cover administrative expenses relating to certain real property transactions (sec. 2812) | 963 |
| Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment | 963 |
| Realignment of Marine Corps forces in Asia-Pacific region (sec. 2821) .. | 963 |
| Establishment of surface danger zone, Ritidian Unit, Guam National Wildlife Refuge (sec. 2822) | 964 |
| Subtitle D—Land Conveyances | 964 |
| Land conveyance, Gordo Army Reserve Center, Gordo, Alabama (sec. 2831) | 964 |
| Land conveyance, West Nome Tank Farm, Nome, Alaska (sec. 2832) .. | 964 |
| Land conveyance, former Air Force Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point, Norwalk, California (sec. 2833) | 965 |
| Transfer of administrative jurisdiction and alternative land conveyance authority, former Walter Reed Army Hospital, District of Columbia (sec. 2834) | 965 |
| Land conveyance, former Lynn Haven fuel depot, Lynn Haven, Florida (sec. 2835) | 965 |
| Transfers of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill and Lake Lanier, Georgia (sec. 2836) | 966 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS— Continued | |
| Subtitle D—Land Conveyances—Continued | |
| Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii (sec. 2837) | 966 |
| Modification of conditions on land conveyance, Joliet Army Ammu- nition Plant, Illinois (sec. 2838) | 966 |
| Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Gruber, Oklahoma (sec. 2839) | 966 |
| Conveyance, Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina (sec. 2840) | 967 |
| Land exchanges, Arlington County, Virginia (sec. 2841) | 967 |
| Subtitle E—Military Memorials, Monuments, and Museums | 967 |
| Acceptance of in-kind gifts on behalf of Heritage Center for the National Museum of the United States Army (sec. 2851) | 967 |
| Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, San Diego, California (sec. 2852) | 967 |
| Establishment of memorial to the victims of the shooting at the Washington Navy Yard on September 16, 2013 (sec. 2853) | 968 |
| Subtitle F—Designations | 968 |
| Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies (sec. 2861) | 968 |
| Subtitle G—Other Matters | 968 |
| Report on physical security at Department of Defense facilities (sec. 2871) | 968 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 969 |
| Report on prevalence of black mold in buildings located on military installations | 969 |
| Consultation requirement in connection with Department of Defense major land acquisitions | 969 |
| Arsenal installation reutilization authority | 969 |
| Special easement acquisition authority, Pacific Missile Range Facil- ity, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii | 969 |
| Sense of Congress on national security and public lands | 970 |
| Indemnification of transferees of property at military installations closed since October 24, 1988, that remain under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense | 970 |
| Land conveyance, Robert H. Dietz Army Reserve Center, Kingston, New York | 970 |
| Redesignation of Pohakuloa Training Area in Hawaii as the Pohaku- loa Training Center | 971 |
| Additional withdrawal and reservation of public land to support White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico | 971 |
| TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CON- STRUCTION | 973 |
| Summary | 973 |
| Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project (sec. 2901) | 973 |
| Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2902) | 973 |
| Authorized Defense Agency construction and land acquisition project (sec. 2903) | 973 |
| Authorization of appropriations (sec. 2904) | 973 |
| TITLE XXX—NATURAL RESOURCES RELATED GENERAL PROVISIONS | 974 |
| Legislative Provisions Adopted | 974 |
| Summary | 974 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 976 |
| National security considerations for inclusion of Federal property on National Register of Historic Places or designation as National Historic Landmark under the National Historic Preservation Act | 976 |
| Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riv- erside, California | 976 |
| Renaming site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio | 977 |
| Redesignation of Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area, California | 977 |
| Elimination of termination date for public land withdrawals and reservations under Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 | 977 |

| | Page |
|---|------|
| DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 977 |
| Overview | 977 |
| TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS | 978 |
| Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations | 978 |
| National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101) | 978 |
| Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102) | 978 |
| Other defense activities (sec. 3103) | 978 |
| Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations | 978 |
| Design and use of prototypes of nuclear weapons for intelligence purposes (sec. 3111) | 978 |
| Plutonium pit production capacity (sec. 3112) | 979 |
| Life-cycle cost estimates of certain atomic energy defense capital assets (sec. 3113) | 979 |
| Expansion of requirement for independent cost estimates on life extension programs and new nuclear facilities (sec. 3114) | 980 |
| Definition of baseline and threshold for stockpile life extension project (sec. 3115) | 980 |
| Authorized personnel levels of National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3116) | 980 |
| Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3117) | 981 |
| Cost containment for Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project (sec. 3118) | 981 |
| Production of nuclear warhead for long-range standoff weapon (sec. 3119) | 982 |
| Disposition of weapons-usable plutonium (sec. 3120) | 982 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security (sec. 3121) | 982 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for nonproliferation activities between the United States and the Russian Federation (sec. 3122) | 983 |
| Identification of amounts required for uranium technology sustainment in budget materials for fiscal year 2016 (sec. 3123) | 984 |
| Subtitle C—Plans and Reports | 984 |
| Analysis and report on W88 Alt 370 program high explosives options (sec. 3131) | 984 |
| Analysis of existing facilities and sense of Congress with respect to plutonium strategy (sec. 3132) | 984 |
| Plan for verification and monitoring of proliferation of nuclear weapons and fissile material (sec. 3133) | 985 |
| Comments of Administrator for Nuclear Security and Chairman of Nuclear Weapons Council on final report of Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise (sec. 3134) | 985 |
| Subtitle D—Other Matters | 985 |
| Establishment of Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health; Extension of Authority of Office of Ombudsman for Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program (sec. 3141) | 985 |
| Technical corrections to Atomic Energy Defense Act (sec. 3142) | 986 |
| Technical corrections to National Nuclear Security Administration Act (sec. 3143) | 986 |
| Technology Commercialization Fund (sec. 3144) | 986 |
| Legislative Provisions Not Adopted | 987 |
| Additional limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security | 987 |
| Budget increase for defense environmental cleanup | 988 |
| Energy Security and Assurance | 988 |
| Limitation on availability of funds for defense nuclear nonproliferation activities at sites in the Russian Federation | 988 |
| TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD | 988 |
| Authorization (sec. 3201) | 988 |
| Inspector General of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (sec. 3202) | 988 |
| Number of employees of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (sec. 3203) | 989 |
| TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES | 989 |

| | Page |
|--|------|
| TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES—Continued | |
| Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401) | 989 |
| TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION | 989 |
| Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2015 (sec. 3501) | 989 |
| Floating dry docks (sec. 3502) | 989 |
| Sense of Congress on the role of domestic maritime industry in national security (sec. 3503) | 990 |
| United States Merchant Marine Academy Board of Visitors (sec. 3504) | 990 |
| DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES | 990 |
| Authorization of amounts in funding tables (sec. 4001) | 990 |
| Summary of National Defense Authorizations for Fiscal Year 2015 | 990 |
| National Defense Budget Authority Implication | 996 |
| TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT | 997 |
| Procurement (sec. 4101) | 997 |
| Procurement for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4102) | 1032 |
| TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION | 1040 |
| Research, development, test, and evaluation (sec. 4201) | 1040 |
| Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4202) | 1075 |
| TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 1077 |
| Operation and maintenance (sec. 4301) | 1077 |
| Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4302) | 1105 |
| TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL | 1116 |
| Military personnel (sec. 4401) | 1116 |
| Military personnel for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4402) | 1118 |
| TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 1119 |
| Other authorizations (sec. 4501) | 1119 |
| Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4502) . | 1123 |
| TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 1125 |
| Military construction (sec. 4601) | 1125 |
| Military construction for overseas contingency operations (sec. 4602) . | 1138 |
| TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PRO- GRAMS | 1139 |
| Department of Energy national security programs (sec. 4701) | 1139 |

CARL LEVIN AND HOWARD P. “BUCK” McKEON NATIONAL
DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

LEGISLATIVE TEXT

[Note from the Director, Legislative Operations: The following is the enrolled legislative text of H.R. 3979, the Carl Levin and Howard P. “Buck” McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as passed by the House of Representatives and the Senate]

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

(a) **SHORT TITLE.**—*This Act may be cited as the “Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.*

(b) **FINDINGS.**—*Congress makes the following findings:*

(1)(A) *Senator Carl Levin of Michigan was elected a member of the United States Senate on November 7, 1978, for a full term beginning January 3, 1979. He has served continuously in the Senate since that date, and was appointed as a member of the Committee on Armed Services in January 1979. He has served on the Committee on Armed Services since that date, a period of nearly 36 years.*

(B) *A graduate of Detroit Central High School, Senator Levin went on to Swarthmore College, and graduated from Harvard Law School in 1959, gaining admittance to the Michigan bar. He served his State as assistant attorney general and general counsel of the Michigan Civil Rights Commission from 1964–1967, and later served his hometown of Detroit as a member of the Detroit City Council from 1969–1973, and as the council’s president from 1974–1977.*

(C) *Senator Levin first served as chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the United States Senate for a period of the 107th Congress, and has remained chairman since the 110th Congress began in 2007. He has exercised extraordinary leadership as either the chairman or ranking minority member of the committee since the start of the 105th Congress in 1997.*

(D) *Each year, for the past 52 years, the Committee on Armed Services has reliably passed an annual defense authorization act, and this will be the 36th that Senator Levin has had a role in. In his capacity as member, ranking member, and chairman, he has been an advocate for a strong national defense, and has made lasting contributions to the security of our Nation.*

(E) *It is altogether fitting and proper that this Act, the last annual authorization act for the national defense that Senator Levin manages in and for the United States Senate as chair-*

man of the Committee on Armed Services, be named in his honor, as provided in subsection (a).

(2)(A) Representative Howard P. “Buck” McKeon was elected to the House of Representatives in 1992 to represent California’s 25th Congressional District.

(B) Chairman McKeon was born in Los Angeles and grew up in Tujunga CA. He served a two and a half year mission for the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-Day Saints and attended Brigham Young University. Prior to his election to Congress, he was a small business owner, and served both on the William S. Hart Union High School District Board of Trustees and as the first mayor of the City of Santa Clarita.

(C) In the 111th Congress, Chairman McKeon was selected by his peers as the Ranking Member of the House Armed Services Committee and has served as Chairman since in the 112th and 113th Congresses. Previously Chairman McKeon had served as the Chairman of the House Committee on Education and the Workforce.

(D) Chairman McKeon is a champion of a strong national defense, the men and women of America’s Armed Forces and their families, and returning fiscal discipline to the Department of Defense. His priority has been to ensure our troops deployed around the world have the equipment, resources, authorities, training and time they need to successfully complete their missions and return home.

(E) For 52 consecutive years, the House Armed Services Committee, in a bipartisan, bicameral tradition, has passed and enacted an annual defense authorization act. Chairman McKeon had said it has been the privilege of his life to shepherd that tradition under his tenure.

(F) It is therefore fitting this Act, the last national defense authorization act of his tenure, be named in Chairman McKeon’s honor, as provided in subsection (a).

(c) REFERENCES.—Any reference in this or any other Act to the “National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015” shall be deemed to refer to the “Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.

SEC. 2. ORGANIZATION OF ACT INTO DIVISIONS; TABLE OF CONTENTS.

(a) DIVISIONS.—This Act is organized into four divisions as follows:

- (1) Division A—Department of Defense Authorizations.
- (2) Division B—Military Construction Authorizations.
- (3) Division C—Department of Energy National Security Authorizations and Other Authorizations.
- (4) Division D—Funding Tables.

(b) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for this Act is as follows:

- Sec. 1. Short title.
- Sec. 2. Organization of Act into divisions; table of contents.
- Sec. 3. Congressional defense committees.
- Sec. 4. Budgetary effects of this Act.
- Sec. 5. Explanatory statement.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 101. Authorization of Appropriations.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

Sec. 111. Plan on modernization of UH-60A aircraft of Army National Guard.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

Sec. 121. Construction of San Antonio class amphibious ship.

Sec. 122. Limitation on availability of funds for mission modules for Littoral Combat Ship.

Sec. 123. Extension of limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship.

Sec. 124. Report on test evaluation master plan for Littoral Combat Ship seaframes and mission modules.

Sec. 125. Airborne electronic attack capabilities.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

Sec. 131. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of MQ-1 Predator aircraft.

Sec. 132. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of U-2 aircraft.

Sec. 133. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of A-10 aircraft.

Sec. 134. Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft.

Sec. 135. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Air Force aircraft.

Sec. 136. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of E-3 airborne warning and control system aircraft.

Sec. 137. Limitation on availability of funds for divestment or transfer of KC-10 aircraft.

Sec. 138. Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force C-130H and C-130J aircraft.

Sec. 139. Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force KC-135 tankers.

Sec. 140. Report on C-130 aircraft.

Sec. 141. Report on status of F-16 aircraft.

Sec. 142. Report on options to modernize or replace T-1A aircraft.

Sec. 143. Report on status of air-launched cruise missile capabilities.

Subtitle E—Defense-Wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters

Sec. 151. Additional oversight requirements for the undersea mobility acquisition program of the United States Special Operations Command.

Sec. 152. Plan for modernization or replacement of digital avionic equipment.

Sec. 153. Comptroller General report on F-35 aircraft acquisition program.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 201. Authorization of Appropriations.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 211. Modification of authority for prizes for advanced technology achievements.

Sec. 212. Modification of Manufacturing Technology Program.

Sec. 213. Revision of requirement for acquisition programs to maintain defense research facility records.

Sec. 214. Treatment by Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center of significant modifications to test and evaluation facilities and resources.

Sec. 215. Revision to the service requirement under the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation Defense Education Program.

Sec. 216. Limitation on availability of funds for armored multi-purpose vehicle program.

Sec. 217. Limitation on availability of funds for unmanned carrier-launched airborne surveillance and strike system.

Sec. 218. Limitation on availability of funds for airborne reconnaissance systems.

Sec. 219. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft.

Subtitle C—Reports

- Sec. 221. *Reduction in frequency of reporting by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering.*
- Sec. 222. *Independent assessment of interagency biodefense research and development.*
- Sec. 223. *Briefing on modeling and simulation technological and industrial base in support of requirements of Department of Defense.*

Subtitle D—Other Matters

- Sec. 231. *Modification to requirement for contractor cost sharing in pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems.*
- Sec. 232. *Pilot program on assignment to Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency of private sector personnel with critical research and development expertise.*
- Sec. 233. *Pilot program on enhancement of preparation of dependents of members of Armed Forces for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics.*
- Sec. 234. *Sense of Congress on helicopter health and usage monitoring system of the Army.*

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE*Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations*

- Sec. 301. *Authorization of appropriations.*

Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

- Sec. 311. *Elimination of fiscal year limitation on prohibition of payment of fines and penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense.*
- Sec. 312. *Method of funding for cooperative agreements under the Sikes Act.*
- Sec. 313. *Report on prohibition of disposal of waste in open-air burn pits.*
- Sec. 314. *Business case analysis of any plan to design, refurbish, or construct a biofuel refinery.*
- Sec. 315. *Environmental restoration at former Naval Air Station Chincoteague, Virginia.*
- Sec. 316. *Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels.*
- Sec. 317. *Decontamination of a portion of former bombardment area on island of Culebra, Puerto Rico.*
- Sec. 318. *Alternative fuel automobiles.*

Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

- Sec. 321. *Modification of quarterly readiness reporting requirement.*
- Sec. 322. *Additional requirement for strategic policy on prepositioning of materiel and equipment.*
- Sec. 323. *Elimination of authority of Secretary of the Army to abolish arsenals.*
- Sec. 324. *Modification of annual reporting requirement related to prepositioning of materiel and equipment.*

Subtitle D—Reports

- Sec. 331. *Repeal of annual report on Department of Defense operation and financial support for military museums.*
- Sec. 332. *Army assessment of regionally aligned forces.*

Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority

- Sec. 341. *Limitation on authority to enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul of the F117 engine.*
- Sec. 342. *Limitation on establishment of regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers.*
- Sec. 343. *Limitation on transfer of MC-12 aircraft to United States Special Operations Command.*

Subtitle F—Other Matters

- Sec. 351. *Clarification of authority relating to provision of installation-support services through intergovernmental support agreements.*
- Sec. 352. *Management of conventional ammunition inventory.*

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

- Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.*
Sec. 402. Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

- Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.*
Sec. 412. End strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.
Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).
Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2015 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.
Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

- Sec. 421. Military personnel.*

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

- Sec. 501. Authority to limit consideration for early retirement by selective retirement boards to particular warrant officer year groups and specialties.*
Sec. 502. Authority for three-month deferral of retirement for officers selected for selective early retirement.
Sec. 503. Repeal of limits on percentage of officers who may be recommended for discharge during a fiscal year under enhanced selective discharge authority.
Sec. 504. Reports on number and assignment of enlisted aides for officers of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.
Sec. 505. Repeal of requirement for submission to Congress of annual reports on joint officer management and promotion policy objectives for joint officers.
Sec. 506. Options for Phase II of joint professional military education.
Sec. 507. Elimination of requirement that a qualified aviator or naval flight officer be in command of an inactivated nuclear-powered aircraft carrier before decommissioning.
Sec. 508. Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers.

Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management

- Sec. 511. Retention on the reserve active-status list following nonselection for promotion of certain health professions officers and first lieutenants and lieutenants (junior grade) pursuing baccalaureate degrees.*
Sec. 512. Consultation with Chief of the National Guard Bureau in selection of Directors and Deputy Directors, Army National Guard and Air National Guard.
Sec. 513. Centralized database of information on military technician positions.
Sec. 514. Report on management of personnel records of members of the National Guard.

Subtitle C—General Service Authorities

- Sec. 521. Enhancement of participation of mental health professionals in boards for correction of military records and boards for review of discharge or dismissal of members of the Armed Forces.*
Sec. 522. Extension of authority to conduct programs on career flexibility to enhance retention of members of the Armed Forces.
Sec. 523. Provision of information to members of the Armed Forces on privacy rights relating to receipt of mental health services.
Sec. 524. Removal of artificial barriers to the service of women in the Armed Forces.

Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Prevention and Response

- Sec. 531. Technical revisions and clarifications of certain provisions in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 relating to the military justice system.*
Sec. 532. Ordering of depositions under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.

- Sec. 533. Access to Special Victims' Counsel.*
- Sec. 534. Enhancement of victims' rights in connection with prosecution of certain sex-related offenses.*
- Sec. 535. Enforcement of crime victims' rights related to protections afforded by certain Military Rules of Evidence.*
- Sec. 536. Modification of Military Rules of Evidence relating to admissibility of general military character toward probability of innocence.*
- Sec. 537. Modification of Rule 513 of the Military Rules of Evidence, relating to the privilege against disclosure of communications between psychotherapists and patients.*
- Sec. 538. Modification of Department of Defense policy on retention of evidence in a sexual assault case to permit return of personal property upon completion of related proceedings.*
- Sec. 539. Requirements relating to Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners for the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 540. Modification of term of judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 541. Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial if requested by chief prosecutor.*
- Sec. 542. Analysis and assessment of disposition of most serious offenses identified in unrestricted reports on sexual assaults in annual reports on sexual assaults in the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 543. Plan for limited use of certain information on sexual assaults in restricted reports by military criminal investigative organizations.*
- Sec. 544. Improved Department of Defense information reporting and collection of domestic violence incidents involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 545. Additional duties for judicial proceedings panel.*
- Sec. 546. Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 547. Confidential review of characterization of terms of discharge of members of the Armed Forces who are victims of sexual offenses.*

Subtitle E—Member Education, Training, and Transition

- Sec. 551. Enhancement of authority to assist members of the Armed Forces to obtain professional credentials.*
- Sec. 552. Applicability of sexual assault prevention and response and related military justice enhancements to military service academies.*
- Sec. 553. Authorized duration of foreign and cultural exchange activities at military service academies.*
- Sec. 554. Enhancement of authority to accept support for Air Force Academy athletic programs.*
- Sec. 555. Pilot program to assist members of the Armed Forces in obtaining post-service employment.*
- Sec. 556. Plan for education of members of Armed Forces on cyber matters.*
- Sec. 557. Enhancement of information provided to members of the Armed Forces and veterans regarding use of Post-9/11 Educational Assistance and Federal financial aid through Transition Assistance Program.*
- Sec. 558. Procedures for provision of certain information to State veterans agencies to facilitate the transition of members of the Armed Forces from military service to civilian life.*

Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters

- Sec. 561. Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.*
- Sec. 562. Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.*
- Sec. 563. Amendments to the Impact Aid Improvement Act of 2012.*
- Sec. 564. Authority to employ non-United States citizens as teachers in Department of Defense overseas dependents' school system.*
- Sec. 565. Inclusion of domestic dependent elementary and secondary schools among functions of Advisory Council on Dependents' Education.*
- Sec. 566. Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 567. Improved consistency in data collection and reporting in Armed Forces suicide prevention efforts.*

- Sec. 568. *Improved data collection related to efforts to reduce underemployment of spouses of members of the Armed Forces and close the wage gap between military spouses and their civilian counterparts.*

Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards

- Sec. 571. *Medals for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who were killed or wounded in an attack by a foreign terrorist organization.*
- Sec. 572. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to members of the Armed Forces for acts of valor during World War I.*

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements

- Sec. 581. *Review and report on military programs and controls regarding professionalism.*
- Sec. 582. *Review and report on prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces.*
- Sec. 583. *Review and report on provision of job placement assistance and related employment services directly to members of the reserve components.*
- Sec. 584. *Report on foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations in overseas military operations.*
- Sec. 585. *Deadline for submission of report containing results of review of Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases.*
- Sec. 586. *Independent assessment of risk and resiliency of United States Special Operations Forces and effectiveness of the Preservation of the Force and Families and Human Performance Programs.*
- Sec. 587. *Comptroller General report on hazing in the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 588. *Comptroller General report on impact of certain mental and physical trauma on discharges from military service for misconduct.*

Subtitle I—Other Matters

- Sec. 591. *Inspection of outpatient residential facilities occupied by recovering service members.*
- Sec. 592. *Designation of voter assistance offices.*
- Sec. 593. *Repeal of electronic voting demonstration project.*
- Sec. 594. *Authority for removal from national cemeteries of remains of certain deceased members of the Armed Forces who have no known next of kin.*
- Sec. 595. *Sense of Congress regarding leaving no member of the Armed Forces unaccounted for during the drawdown of United States forces in Afghanistan.*

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

- Sec. 601. *No fiscal year 2015 increase in basic pay for general and flag officers.*
- Sec. 602. *Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances.*
- Sec. 603. *Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau and Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau among senior members of the Armed Forces for purposes of pay and allowances.*
- Sec. 604. *Modification of computation of basic allowance for housing inside the United States.*

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

- Sec. 611. *One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.*
- Sec. 612. *One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.*
- Sec. 613. *One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.*
- Sec. 614. *One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.*
- Sec. 615. *One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.*

Subtitle C—Disability Pay, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

- Sec. 621. *Earlier determination of dependent status with respect to transitional compensation for dependents of certain members separated for dependent abuse.*
- Sec. 622. *Modification of determination of retired pay base for officers retired in general and flag officer grades.*
- Sec. 623. *Inapplicability of reduced annual adjustment of retired pay for members of the Armed Forces under the age of 62 under the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 who first become members prior to January 1, 2016.*
- Sec. 624. *Survivor Benefit Plan annuities for special needs trusts established for the benefit of dependent children incapable of self-support.*
- Sec. 625. *Modification of per-fiscal year calculation of days of certain active duty or active service to reduce eligibility age for retirement for non-regular service.*

Subtitle D—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

- Sec. 631. *Procurement of brand-name and other commercial items for resale by commissary stores.*
- Sec. 632. *Authority of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities to enter into contracts with other Federal agencies and instrumentalities to provide and obtain certain goods and services.*
- Sec. 633. *Competitive pricing of legal consumer tobacco products sold in Department of Defense retail stores.*
- Sec. 634. *Review of management, food, and pricing options for defense commissary system.*

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS*Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits*

- Sec. 701. *Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 702. *Modifications of cost-sharing and other requirements for the TRICARE Pharmacy Benefits Program.*
- Sec. 703. *Elimination of inpatient day limits and other limits in provision of mental health services.*
- Sec. 704. *Authority for provisional TRICARE coverage for emerging health care services and supplies.*
- Sec. 705. *Clarification of provision of food to former members and dependents not receiving inpatient care in military medical treatment facilities.*
- Sec. 706. *Availability of breastfeeding support, supplies, and counseling under the TRICARE program.*

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

- Sec. 711. *Provision of notice of change to TRICARE benefits.*
- Sec. 712. *Surveys on continued viability of TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra.*
- Sec. 713. *Review of military health system modernization study.*

Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters

- Sec. 721. *Designation and responsibilities of senior medical advisor for Armed Forces Retirement Home.*
- Sec. 722. *Extension of authority for joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.*
- Sec. 723. *Report on status of reductions in TRICARE Prime service areas.*
- Sec. 724. *Extension of authority to provide rehabilitation and vocational benefits to members of the Armed Forces with severe injuries or illnesses.*
- Sec. 725. *Acquisition strategy for health care professional staffing services.*
- Sec. 726. *Pilot program on medication therapy management under TRICARE program.*
- Sec. 727. *Antimicrobial stewardship program at medical facilities of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 728. *Report on improvements in the identification and treatment of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 729. *Report on efforts to treat infertility of military families.*

- Sec. 730. *Report on implementation of recommendations of Institute of Medicine on improvements to certain resilience and prevention programs of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 731. *Comptroller General report on transition of care for post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury.*
- Sec. 732. *Comptroller General report on mental health stigma reduction efforts in the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 733. *Comptroller General report on women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries.*

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

- Sec. 801. *Modular open systems approaches in acquisition programs.*
- Sec. 802. *Recharacterization of changes to Major Automated Information System programs.*
- Sec. 803. *Amendments relating to defense business systems.*
- Sec. 804. *Report on implementation of acquisition process for information technology systems.*

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations

- Sec. 811. *Extension and modification of contract authority for advanced component development and prototype units.*
- Sec. 812. *Amendments relating to authority of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects.*
- Sec. 813. *Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services.*
- Sec. 814. *Improvement in defense design-build construction process.*
- Sec. 815. *Permanent authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items.*
- Sec. 816. *Restatement and revision of requirements applicable to multiyear defense acquisitions to be specifically authorized by law.*
- Sec. 817. *Sourcing requirements related to avoiding counterfeit electronic parts.*
- Sec. 818. *Amendments to Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program.*

Subtitle C—Industrial Base Matters

- Sec. 821. *Temporary extension of and amendments to test program for negotiation of comprehensive small business subcontracting plans.*
- Sec. 822. *Plan for improving data on bundled or consolidated contracts.*
- Sec. 823. *Authority to provide education to small businesses on certain requirements of Arms Export Control Act.*
- Sec. 824. *Matters relating to reverse auctions.*
- Sec. 825. *Sole source contracts for small business concerns owned and controlled by women.*

Subtitle D—Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform

- Sec. 831. *Chief Information Officer authority enhancements.*
- Sec. 832. *Enhanced transparency and improved risk management in information technology investments.*
- Sec. 833. *Portfolio review.*
- Sec. 834. *Federal data center consolidation initiative.*
- Sec. 835. *Expansion of training and use of information technology cadres.*
- Sec. 836. *Maximizing the benefit of the Federal strategic sourcing initiative.*
- Sec. 837. *Governmentwide software purchasing program.*

Subtitle E—Never Contract With the Enemy

- Sec. 841. *Prohibition on providing funds to the enemy.*
- Sec. 842. *Additional access to records.*
- Sec. 843. *Definitions.*

Subtitle F—Other Matters

- Sec. 851. *Rapid acquisition and deployment procedures for United States Special Operations Command.*
- Sec. 852. *Consideration of corrosion control in preliminary design review.*
- Sec. 853. *Program manager development report.*

- Sec. 854. *Operational metrics for Joint Information Environment and supporting activities.*
- Sec. 855. *Compliance with requirements for senior Department of Defense officials seeking employment with defense contractors.*
- Sec. 856. *Enhancement of whistleblower protection for employees of grantees.*
- Sec. 857. *Prohibition on reimbursement of contractors for congressional investigations and inquiries.*
- Sec. 858. *Requirement to provide photovoltaic devices from United States sources.*
- Sec. 859. *Reimbursement of Department of Defense for assistance provided to non-governmental entertainment-oriented media producers.*
- Sec. 860. *Three-year extension of authority for Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.*

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

- Sec. 901. *Reorganization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense and Related Matters.*
- Sec. 902. *Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs.*
- Sec. 903. *Requirement for assessment of options to modify the number of combatant commands.*
- Sec. 904. *Office of Net Assessment.*
- Sec. 905. *Periodic review of Department of Defense management headquarters.*

Subtitle B—Other Matters

- Sec. 911. *Modifications of biennial strategic workforce plan relating to senior management, functional, and technical workforces of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 912. *Repeal of extension of Comptroller General report on inventory.*
- Sec. 913. *Extension of authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for nongovernmental personnel at Department of Defense regional centers for security studies.*
- Sec. 914. *Pilot program to establish Government lodging program.*
- Sec. 915. *Single standard mileage reimbursement rate for privately owned automobiles of Government employees and members of the uniformed services.*
- Sec. 916. *Modifications to requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing.*

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

- Sec. 1001. *General transfer authority.*
- Sec. 1002. *Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization and naval reactors.*
- Sec. 1003. *Reporting of balances carried forward by the Department of Defense at the end of each fiscal year.*

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

- Sec. 1011. *Extension of authority to support unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia.*
- Sec. 1012. *Extension and modification of authority of Department of Defense to provide support for counterdrug activities of other governmental agencies.*
- Sec. 1013. *Availability of funds for additional support for counterdrug activities of certain foreign governments.*
- Sec. 1014. *Extension and modification of authority for joint task forces supporting law enforcement agencies conducting activities to counter transnational organized crime to support law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.*
- Sec. 1015. *Sense of Congress regarding security in the Western Hemisphere.*

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

- Sec. 1021. *Definition of combatant and support vessel for purposes of the annual plan and certification relating to budgeting for construction of naval vessels.*
- Sec. 1022. *National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund.*
- Sec. 1023. *Limitation on use of funds for inactivation of U.S.S. George Washington.*

- Sec. 1024. *Sense of Congress recognizing the anniversary of the sinking of U.S.S. Thresher.*
- Sec. 1025. *Pilot program for sustainment of Littoral Combat Ships on extended deployments.*
- Sec. 1026. *Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships.*

Subtitle D—Counterterrorism

- Sec. 1031. *Extension of authority to make rewards for combating terrorism.*
- Sec. 1032. *Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
- Sec. 1033. *Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

- Sec. 1041. *Modification of Department of Defense authority for humanitarian demining assistance and stockpiled conventional munitions assistance programs.*
- Sec. 1042. *Airlift service.*
- Sec. 1043. *Authority to accept certain voluntary legal support services.*
- Sec. 1044. *Expansion of authority for Secretary of Defense to use the Department of Defense reimbursement rate for transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense entities.*
- Sec. 1045. *Repeal of authority relating to use of military installations by Civil Reserve Air Fleet contractors.*
- Sec. 1046. *Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau among leadership of the Department of Defense provided physical protection and personal security.*
- Sec. 1047. *Inclusion of regional organizations in authority for assignment of civilian employees of the Department of Defense as advisors to foreign ministries of defense.*
- Sec. 1048. *Report and limitation on availability of funds for aviation foreign internal defense program.*
- Sec. 1049. *Modifications to OH-58D Kiowa Warrior aircraft.*

Subtitle F—Studies and Reports

- Sec. 1051. *Protection of top-tier defense-critical infrastructure from electromagnetic pulse.*
- Sec. 1052. *Response of the Department of Defense to compromises of classified information.*
- Sec. 1053. *Study on joint analytic capability of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1054. *Business case analysis of the creation of an active duty association for the 168th Air Refueling Wing.*
- Sec. 1055. *Reports on recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force.*
- Sec. 1056. *Report on protection of military installations.*
- Sec. 1057. *Comptroller General briefing and report on Army and Army National Guard force structure changes.*
- Sec. 1058. *Improving analytic support to systems acquisition and allocation of acquisition, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets.*
- Sec. 1059. *Review of United States military strategy and the force posture of allies and partners in the United States Pacific Command area of responsibility.*
- Sec. 1060. *Repeal of certain reporting requirements relating to the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1061. *Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General of the United States annual reviews and report on pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force.*
- Sec. 1062. *Report on additional matters in connection with report on the force structure of the United States Army.*
- Sec. 1063. *Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores.*

Subtitle G—Other Matters

- Sec. 1071. *Technical and clerical amendments.*
- Sec. 1072. *Reform of quadrennial defense review.*

- Sec. 1073. *Biennial surveys of Department of Defense civilian employees on workplace and gender relations matters.*
- Sec. 1074. *Revision to statute of limitations for aviation insurance claims.*
- Sec. 1075. *Pilot program for the Human Terrain System.*
- Sec. 1076. *Clarification of policies on management of special use airspace of Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1077. *Department of Defense policies on community involvement in Department community outreach events.*
- Sec. 1078. *Notification of foreign threats to information technology systems impacting national security.*
- Sec. 1079. *Pilot program to rehabilitate and modify homes of disabled and low-income veterans.*

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

- Sec. 1101. *One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.*
- Sec. 1102. *One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone.*
- Sec. 1103. *Revision to list of science and technology reinvention laboratories.*
- Sec. 1104. *Extension and modification of experimental program for scientific and technical personnel.*
- Sec. 1105. *Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities.*
- Sec. 1106. *Rate of overtime pay for Department of the Navy employees performing work aboard or dockside in support of the nuclear aircraft carrier forward deployed in Japan.*
- Sec. 1107. *Extension of part-time reemployment authority.*
- Sec. 1108. *Personnel authorities for civilian personnel for the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments.*

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

- Sec. 1201. *Modification and extension of Global Security Contingency Fund.*
- Sec. 1202. *Notice to Congress on certain assistance under authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.*
- Sec. 1203. *Enhanced authority for provision of support to foreign military liaison officers of foreign countries while assigned to the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1204. *Prohibition on use of funds for assistance to units of foreign security forces that have committed a gross violation of human rights.*
- Sec. 1205. *Codification and enhancement of authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces.*
- Sec. 1206. *Training of security forces and associated security ministries of foreign countries to promote respect for the rule of law and human rights.*
- Sec. 1207. *Cross servicing agreements for loan of personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment in coalition operations.*
- Sec. 1208. *Extension and modification of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism.*
- Sec. 1209. *Authority to provide assistance to the vetted Syrian opposition.*
- Sec. 1210. *Provision of logistic support for the conveyance of certain defense articles to foreign forces training with the United States Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1211. *Biennial report on programs carried out by the Department of Defense to provide training, equipment, or other assistance or reimbursement to foreign security forces.*

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq

- Sec. 1221. *Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1222. *Extension and modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.*
- Sec. 1223. *One-year extension of logistical support for coalition forces supporting certain United States military operations.*
- Sec. 1224. *United States plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces through the end of fiscal year 2017.*
- Sec. 1225. *Semiannual report on enhancing security and stability in Afghanistan.*

- Sec. 1226. *Sense of Congress on stability and sovereignty of Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1227. *Extension of Afghan Special Immigrant Program.*
- Sec. 1228. *Independent assessment of United States efforts against al-Qaeda.*
- Sec. 1229. *Sense of Congress on security of Afghan women.*
- Sec. 1230. *Review process for use of United States funds for construction projects in Afghanistan that cannot be physically accessed by United States Government personnel.*
- Sec. 1231. *Extension of authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1232. *One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1233. *Clearance of unexploded ordnance on former United States training ranges in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1234. *Report on impact of end of major combat operations in Afghanistan on authority to use military force.*
- Sec. 1235. *Report on bilateral security cooperation with Pakistan.*
- Sec. 1236. *Authority to provide assistance to counter the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant.*
- Sec. 1237. *Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*

Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation

- Sec. 1241. *Limitation on military cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1242. *Notification and assessment of proposal to modify or introduce new aircraft or sensors for flight by the Russian Federation under Open Skies Treaty.*
- Sec. 1243. *Limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1244. *Report on non-compliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty.*
- Sec. 1245. *Annual report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1246. *Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or other agreements with Rosoboronexport.*
- Sec. 1247. *Report on the New START Treaty.*

Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region

- Sec. 1251. *Strategy to prioritize United States defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region.*
- Sec. 1252. *Modifications to annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.*
- Sec. 1253. *Military-to-military engagement with the Government of Burma.*
- Sec. 1254. *Report on Department of Defense munitions strategy of the United States Pacific Command.*
- Sec. 1255. *Missile defense cooperation in Northeast Asia.*
- Sec. 1256. *Sense of Congress and report on Taiwan and its contribution to regional peace and stability.*
- Sec. 1257. *Independent assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to counter anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies of potential adversaries.*
- Sec. 1258. *Sense of Congress reaffirming security cooperation with Japan and the Republic of Korea.*
- Sec. 1259. *Report on maritime security strategy in the Asia-Pacific region.*
- Sec. 1259A. *Sense of Congress on Taiwan maritime capabilities and exercise participation.*
- Sec. 1259B. *Modification of matters for discussion in annual reports of United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission.*

Subtitle E—Other Matters

- Sec. 1261. *One-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.*
- Sec. 1262. *Modification of national security planning guidance to deny safe havens to al-Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates.*
- Sec. 1263. *Enhanced authority to acquire goods and services of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in United States Africa Command area of responsibility.*

- Sec. 1264. *Treatment of the Kurdistan Democratic Party and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan under the Immigration and Nationality Act.*
- Sec. 1265. *Prohibition on integration of missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of United States and sense of Congress concerning integration of missile defense systems of Russia into missile defense systems of NATO.*
- Sec. 1266. *Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty.*
- Sec. 1267. *Notification and review of potentially significant arms control noncompliance.*
- Sec. 1268. *Inter-European Air Forces Academy.*
- Sec. 1269. *Department of Defense support to security of United States diplomatic facilities.*
- Sec. 1270. *Information on sanctioned persons and businesses through the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System.*
- Sec. 1271. *Reports on nuclear program of Iran.*
- Sec. 1272. *Sense of Congress on defense modernization by NATO countries.*
- Sec. 1273. *Report on protection of cultural property in event of armed conflict.*
- Sec. 1274. *United States strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe.*
- Sec. 1275. *Report on military assistance to Ukraine.*
- Sec. 1276. *Sense of Congress on efforts to remove Joseph Kony from the battlefield and end the atrocities of the Lord's Resistance Army.*
- Sec. 1277. *Extension of annual reports on the military power of Iran.*
- Sec. 1278. *Report and strategy regarding North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel.*
- Sec. 1279. *Rule of construction.*
- Sec. 1280. *Approval of the Amendment to the Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland for Cooperation on the Uses of Atomic Energy for Mutual Defense Purposes.*

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

Subtitle A—Funds

- Sec. 1301. *Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds.*
- Sec. 1302. *Funding allocations.*

Subtitle B—Consolidation and Modernization of Statutes Relating to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program

- Sec. 1311. *Short title.*
- Sec. 1312. *Definitions.*

PART I—PROGRAM AUTHORITIES

- Sec. 1321. *Authority to carry out Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*
- Sec. 1322. *Use of funds for certain emergent threats or opportunities.*
- Sec. 1323. *Authority for urgent threat reduction activities under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*
- Sec. 1324. *Use of funds for unspecified purposes or for increased amounts.*
- Sec. 1325. *Use of contributions to Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*

PART II—RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

- Sec. 1331. *Prohibition on use of funds for specified purposes.*
- Sec. 1332. *Requirement for on-Site managers.*
- Sec. 1333. *Limitation on use of funds until certain permits obtained.*
- Sec. 1334. *Limitation on availability of funds for Cooperative Threat Reduction activities with Russian Federation.*

PART III—RECURRING CERTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS

- Sec. 1341. *Annual certifications on use of facilities being constructed for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction projects or activities.*
- Sec. 1342. *Requirement to submit summary of amounts requested by project category.*
- Sec. 1343. *Reports on activities and assistance under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*
- Sec. 1344. *Metrics for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*

PART IV—REPEALS AND TRANSITION PROVISIONS

- Sec. 1351. *Repeals.*
 Sec. 1352. *Transition provisions.*

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

- Sec. 1401. *Working capital funds.*
 Sec. 1402. *Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.*
 Sec. 1403. *Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*
 Sec. 1404. *Defense Inspector General.*
 Sec. 1405. *Defense Health Program.*

Subtitle B—Other Matters

- Sec. 1411. *Authority for transfer of funds to joint Department of Defense–Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, Illinois.*
 Sec. 1412. *Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.*
 Sec. 1413. *Comptroller General of the United States report on Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, North Chicago, Illinois.*

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

- Sec. 1501. *Purpose.*
 Sec. 1502. *Procurement.*
 Sec. 1503. *Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
 Sec. 1504. *Operation and maintenance.*
 Sec. 1505. *Military personnel.*
 Sec. 1506. *Working capital funds.*
 Sec. 1507. *Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*
 Sec. 1508. *Defense Inspector General.*
 Sec. 1509. *Defense Health program.*
 Sec. 1510. *Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.*
 Sec. 1511. *European Reassurance Initiative.*

Subtitle B—Financial Matters

- Sec. 1521. *Treatment as additional authorizations.*
 Sec. 1522. *Special transfer authority.*

Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters

- Sec. 1531. *Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund.*
 Sec. 1532. *Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*
 Sec. 1533. *Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.*
 Sec. 1534. *Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.*
 Sec. 1535. *European Reassurance Initiative.*
 Sec. 1536. *Plan for transition of funding of United States Special Operations Command from supplemental funding for overseas contingency operations to recurring funding for future-years defense programs.*

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

Subtitle A—Space Activities

- Sec. 1601. *Department of Defense Space Security and Defense Program.*
 Sec. 1602. *Evolved expendable launch vehicle notification.*
 Sec. 1603. *Satellite communications responsibilities of Executive Agent for Space.*
 Sec. 1604. *Rocket propulsion system development program.*
 Sec. 1605. *Pilot program for acquisition of commercial satellite communication services.*
 Sec. 1606. *Update of National Security Space Strategy to include space control and space superiority strategy.*
 Sec. 1607. *Allocation of funds for the Space Security and Defense Program; report on space control.*
 Sec. 1608. *Prohibition on contracting with Russian suppliers of rocket engines for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program.*

- Sec. 1609. *Assessment of evolved expendable launch vehicle program.*
- Sec. 1610. *Competitive procedures required to launch payload for mission number five of the Operationally Responsive Space Program.*
- Sec. 1611. *Availability of additional rocket cores pursuant to competitive procedures.*
- Sec. 1612. *Limitations on availability of funds for weather satellite follow-on system and Defense Meteorological Satellite program.*
- Sec. 1613. *Limitation on availability of funds for space-based infrared systems space data exploitation.*
- Sec. 1614. *Limitations on availability of funds for hosted payload and wide field of view testbed of the space-based infrared systems.*
- Sec. 1615. *Limitations on availability of funds for protected tactical demonstration and protected military satellite communications testbed of the advanced extremely high frequency program.*
- Sec. 1616. *Study of space situational awareness architecture.*
- Sec. 1617. *Briefing on range support for launches in support of national security.*

Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities

- Sec. 1621. *Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities Executive Agent.*
- Sec. 1622. *One-year extension of report on imagery intelligence and geospatial information support provided to regional organizations and security alliances.*
- Sec. 1623. *Extension of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities.*
- Sec. 1624. *Extension of authority relating to jurisdiction over Department of Defense facilities for intelligence collection or special operations activities abroad.*
- Sec. 1625. *Assessment and limitation on availability of funds for intelligence activities and programs of United States Special Operations Command and special operations forces.*
- Sec. 1626. *Annual briefing on the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the combatant commands.*
- Sec. 1627. *Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation.*
- Sec. 1628. *Personnel security and insider threat.*
- Sec. 1629. *Migration of Distributed Common Ground System of Department of the Army to an open system architecture.*

Subtitle C—Cyberspace-Related Matters

- Sec. 1631. *Budgeting and accounting for cyber mission forces.*
- Sec. 1632. *Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors.*
- Sec. 1633. *Executive agents for cyber test and training ranges.*
- Sec. 1634. *Cyberspace mapping.*
- Sec. 1635. *Review of cross domain solution policy and requirement for cross domain solution strategy.*
- Sec. 1636. *Requirement for strategy to develop and deploy decryption service for the Joint Information Environment.*
- Sec. 1637. *Actions to address economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace.*
- Sec. 1638. *Sense of Congress regarding role of reserve components in defense of United States against cyber attacks.*
- Sec. 1639. *Sense of Congress on the future of the Internet and the .MIL top-level domain.*

Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces

- Sec. 1641. *Preparation of annual budget request regarding nuclear weapons.*
- Sec. 1642. *Improvement to biennial assessment on delivery platforms for nuclear weapons and the nuclear command and control system.*
- Sec. 1643. *Congressional Budget Office review of cost estimates for nuclear weapons.*
- Sec. 1644. *Retention of missile silos.*
- Sec. 1645. *Procurement authority for certain parts of intercontinental ballistic missile fuzes.*
- Sec. 1646. *Assessment of nuclear weapon secondary requirement.*
- Sec. 1647. *Certification on nuclear force structure.*
- Sec. 1648. *Advance notice and reports on B61 life extension program.*
- Sec. 1649. *Notification and report concerning removal or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft from Europe.*
- Sec. 1650. *Reports on installation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems at headquarters of United States Strategic Command.*

- Sec. 1651. *Report on plans for response of Department of Defense to INF Treaty violation.*
- Sec. 1652. *Statement of policy on the nuclear triad.*
- Sec. 1653. *Sense of Congress on deterrence and defense posture of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.*

Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs

- Sec. 1661. *Availability of funds for Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system.*
- Sec. 1662. *Testing and assessment of missile defense systems prior to production and deployment.*
- Sec. 1663. *Acquisition plan for re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle.*
- Sec. 1664. *Study on testing program of ground-based midcourse missile defense system.*
- Sec. 1665. *Sense of Congress and report on homeland ballistic missile defense.*
- Sec. 1666. *Sense of Congress and report on regional ballistic missile defense.*

TITLE XVII—NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF THE ARMY

Subtitle A—Establishment and Duties of Commission

- Sec. 1701. *Short title.*
- Sec. 1702. *National Commission on the Future of the Army.*
- Sec. 1703. *Duties of the Commission.*
- Sec. 1704. *Powers of the Commission.*
- Sec. 1705. *Commission personnel matters.*
- Sec. 1706. *Termination of the Commission.*
- Sec. 1707. *Funding.*

Subtitle B—Related Limitations

- Sec. 1711. *Prohibition on use of fiscal year 2015 funds to reduce strengths of Army personnel.*
- Sec. 1712. *Limitations on the transfer, including preparations for the transfer, of AH-64 Apache helicopters assigned to the Army National Guard.*

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 2001. *Short title.*
- Sec. 2002. *Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law.*

TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 2101. *Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2102. *Family housing.*
- Sec. 2103. *Authorization of appropriations, Army.*
- Sec. 2104. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project.*
- Sec. 2105. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects.*
- Sec. 2106. *Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.*
- Sec. 2107. *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
- Sec. 2108. *Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York.*
- Sec. 2109. *Limitation on funding for family housing construction at Camp Walker, Republic of Korea.*

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 2201. *Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2202. *Family housing.*
- Sec. 2203. *Improvements to military family housing units.*
- Sec. 2204. *Authorization of appropriations, Navy.*
- Sec. 2205. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
- Sec. 2206. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.*
- Sec. 2207. *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*
- Sec. 2208. *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 2301. *Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2302. *Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.*
- Sec. 2303. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project.*
- Sec. 2304. *Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.*
- Sec. 2305. *Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2012 project.*

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

- Sec. 2401. *Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2402. *Authorized energy conservation projects.*
 Sec. 2403. *Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.*
 Sec. 2404. *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*
 Sec. 2405. *Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
 Sec. 2406. *Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2015 projects pending submission of report.*

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

- Sec. 2411. *Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.*
 Sec. 2412. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2000 project.*

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

- Sec. 2501. *Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2502. *Authorization of appropriations, NATO.*

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations

- Sec. 2601. *Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2602. *Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2603. *Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2604. *Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2605. *Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.*
 Sec. 2606. *Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.*

Subtitle B—Other Matters

- Sec. 2611. *Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects.*
 Sec. 2612. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects.*
 Sec. 2613. *Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.*
 Sec. 2614. *Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.*

TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

- Sec. 2701. *Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account.*

Subtitle B—Prohibition on Additional BRAC Round

- Sec. 2711. *Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.*

Subtitle C—Other Matters

- Sec. 2721. *Modification of property disposal procedures under base realignment and closure process.*

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

- Sec. 2801. *Congressional notification of construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense access road projects conducted under authorities other than a Military Construction Authorization Act.*
 Sec. 2802. *Modification of authority to carry out unspecified minor military construction.*
 Sec. 2803. *Clarification of authorized use of payments-In-Kind and in-Kind contributions.*
 Sec. 2804. *Use of one-step turn-key contractor selection procedures for additional facility projects.*

- Sec. 2805. *Limitations on military construction in European Command area of responsibility and European Reassurance Initiative.*
- Sec. 2806. *Extension of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States.*
- Sec. 2807. *Application of residential building construction standards.*
- Sec. 2808. *Limitation on construction of new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

- Sec. 2811. *Renewals, extensions, and succeeding leases for financial institutions operating on military installations.*
- Sec. 2812. *Deposit of reimbursed funds to cover administrative expenses relating to certain real property transactions.*

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment

- Sec. 2821. *Realignment of Marines Corps forces in Asia-Pacific region.*
- Sec. 2822. *Establishment of surface danger zone, Ritidian Unit, Guam National Wildlife Refuge.*

Subtitle D—Land Conveyances

- Sec. 2831. *Land conveyance, Gordo Army Reserve Center, Gordo, Alabama.*
- Sec. 2832. *Land conveyance, West Nome Tank Farm, Nome, Alaska.*
- Sec. 2833. *Land conveyance, former Air Force Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point, Norwalk, California.*
- Sec. 2834. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction and alternative land conveyance authority, former Walter Reed Army Hospital, District of Columbia.*
- Sec. 2835. *Land conveyance, former Lynn Haven fuel depot, Lynn Haven, Florida.*
- Sec. 2836. *Transfers of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill and Lake Lanier, Georgia.*
- Sec. 2837. *Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii.*
- Sec. 2838. *Modification of conditions on land conveyance, Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Illinois.*
- Sec. 2839. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Gruber, Oklahoma.*
- Sec. 2840. *Conveyance, Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina.*
- Sec. 2841. *Land exchanges, Arlington County, Virginia.*

Subtitle E—Military Memorials, Monuments, and Museums

- Sec. 2851. *Acceptance of in-kind gifts on behalf of Heritage Center for the National Museum of the United States Army.*
- Sec. 2852. *Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, San Diego, California.*
- Sec. 2853. *Establishment of memorial to the victims of the shooting at the Washington Navy Yard on September 16, 2013.*

Subtitle F—Designations

- Sec. 2861. *Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.*

Subtitle G—Other Matters

- Sec. 2871. *Report on physical security at Department of Defense facilities.*

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 2901. *Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project.*
- Sec. 2902. *Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.*
- Sec. 2903. *Authorized Defense Agency construction and land acquisition project.*
- Sec. 2904. *Authorization of appropriations.*

TITLE XXX—NATURAL RESOURCES RELATED GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Land Conveyances and Related Matters

- Sec. 3001. *Land conveyance, Wainwright, Alaska.*
- Sec. 3002. *Alaska land entitlement finalization.*
- Sec. 3003. *Southeast Arizona land exchange and conservation.*
- Sec. 3004. *Land exchange, Cibola National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona, and Bureau of Land Management land in Riverside County, California.*
- Sec. 3005. *Special rules for Inyo National Forest, California, land exchange.*

- Sec. 3006. *Land exchange, Trinity Public Utilities District, Trinity County, California, the Bureau of Land Management, and the Forest Service.*
- Sec. 3007. *Idaho County, Idaho, shooting range land conveyance.*
- Sec. 3008. *School District 318, Minnesota, land exchange.*
- Sec. 3009. *Northern Nevada land conveyances.*
- Sec. 3010. *San Juan County, New Mexico, Federal land conveyance.*
- Sec. 3011. *Land conveyance, Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Utah.*
- Sec. 3012. *Conveyance of certain land to the city of Fruit Heights, Utah.*
- Sec. 3013. *Land conveyance, Hanford Site, Washington.*
- Sec. 3014. *Ranch A Wyoming consolidation and management improvement.*

Subtitle B—Public Lands and National Forest System Management

- Sec. 3021. *Bureau of Land Management permit processing.*
- Sec. 3022. *Internet-based onshore oil and gas lease sales.*
- Sec. 3023. *Grazing permits and leases.*
- Sec. 3024. *Cabin user and transfer fees.*

Subtitle C—National Park System Units

- Sec. 3030. *Addition of Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light to the Apostle Islands National Seashore.*
- Sec. 3031. *Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park.*
- Sec. 3032. *Coltsville National Historical Park.*
- Sec. 3033. *First State National Historical Park.*
- Sec. 3034. *Gettysburg National Military Park.*
- Sec. 3035. *Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park, Maryland.*
- Sec. 3036. *Harriet Tubman National Historical Park, Auburn, New York.*
- Sec. 3037. *Hinchliffe Stadium addition to Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.*
- Sec. 3038. *Lower East Side Tenement National Historic Site.*
- Sec. 3039. *Manhattan Project National Historical Park.*
- Sec. 3040. *North Cascades National Park and Stephen Mather Wilderness.*
- Sec. 3041. *Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve.*
- Sec. 3042. *San Antonio Missions National Historical Park.*
- Sec. 3043. *Valles Caldera National Preserve, New Mexico.*
- Sec. 3044. *Vicksburg National Military Park.*

Subtitle D—National Park System Studies, Management, and Related Matters

- Sec. 3050. *Revolutionary War and War of 1812 American battlefield protection program.*
- Sec. 3051. *Special resource studies.*
- Sec. 3052. *National heritage areas and corridors.*
- Sec. 3053. *National historic site support facility improvements.*
- Sec. 3054. *National Park System donor acknowledgment.*
- Sec. 3055. *Coin to commemorate 100th anniversary of the National Park Service.*
- Sec. 3056. *Commission to study the potential creation of a National Women's History Museum.*
- Sec. 3057. *Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.*

Subtitle E—Wilderness and Withdrawals

- Sec. 3060. *Alpine Lakes Wilderness additions and Pratt and Middle Fork Snoqualmie Rivers protection.*
- Sec. 3061. *Columbine-Hondo Wilderness.*
- Sec. 3062. *Hermosa Creek watershed protection.*
- Sec. 3063. *North Fork Federal lands withdrawal area.*
- Sec. 3064. *Pine Forest Range Wilderness.*
- Sec. 3065. *Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Management Area and wilderness additions.*
- Sec. 3066. *Wovoka Wilderness.*
- Sec. 3067. *Withdrawal area related to Wovoka Wilderness.*
- Sec. 3068. *Withdrawal and reservation of additional public land for Naval Air Weapons Station, China Lake, California.*

Subtitle F—Wild and Scenic Rivers

- Sec. 3071. *Illabot Creek, Washington, wild and scenic river.*
- Sec. 3072. *Missisquoi and Trout wild and scenic rivers, Vermont.*

- Sec. 3073. *White Clay Creek wild and scenic river expansion.*
 Sec. 3074. *Studies of wild and scenic rivers.*

Subtitle G—Trust Lands

- Sec. 3077. *Land taken into trust for benefit of the Northern Cheyenne Tribe.*
 Sec. 3078. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Baraboo, Wisconsin.*

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Access and Property Issues

- Sec. 3081. *Ensuring public access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain in the Hanford Reach National Monument.*
 Sec. 3082. *Anchorage, Alaska, conveyance of reversionary interests.*
 Sec. 3083. *Release of property interests in Bureau of Land Management land conveyed to the State of Oregon for establishment of Hermiston Agricultural Research and Extension Center.*

Subtitle I—Water Infrastructure

- Sec. 3087. *Bureau of Reclamation hydropower development.*
 Sec. 3088. *Toledo Bend Hydroelectric Project.*
 Sec. 3089. *East Bench Irrigation District contract extension.*

Subtitle J—Other Matters

- Sec. 3091. *Commemoration of centennial of World War I.*
 Sec. 3092. *Miscellaneous issues related to Las Vegas valley public land and Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument.*
 Sec. 3093. *National Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial.*
 Sec. 3094. *Extension of legislative authority for establishment of commemorative work in honor of former President John Adams.*
 Sec. 3095. *Refinancing of Pacific Coast groundfish fishing capacity reduction loan.*
 Sec. 3096. *Payments in lieu of taxes.*

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY
 AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

- Sec. 3101. *National Nuclear Security Administration.*
 Sec. 3102. *Defense environmental cleanup.*
 Sec. 3103. *Other defense activities.*

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

- Sec. 3111. *Design and use of prototypes of nuclear weapons for intelligence purposes.*
 Sec. 3112. *Plutonium pit production capacity.*
 Sec. 3113. *Life-cycle cost estimates of certain atomic energy defense capital assets.*
 Sec. 3114. *Expansion of requirement for independent cost estimates on life extension programs and new nuclear facilities.*
 Sec. 3115. *Definition of baseline and threshold for stockpile life extension project.*
 Sec. 3116. *Authorized personnel levels of National Nuclear Security Administration.*
 Sec. 3117. *Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration.*
 Sec. 3118. *Cost containment for Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project.*
 Sec. 3119. *Production of nuclear warhead for long-range standoff weapon.*
 Sec. 3120. *Disposition of weapons-usable plutonium.*
 Sec. 3121. *Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security.*
 Sec. 3122. *Limitation on availability of funds for certain nonproliferation activities between the United States and the Russian Federation.*
 Sec. 3123. *Identification of amounts required for uranium technology sustainment in budget materials for fiscal year 2016.*

Subtitle C—Plans and Reports

- Sec. 3131. *Analysis and report on W88 Alt 370 program high explosives options.*
 Sec. 3132. *Analysis of existing facilities and sense of Congress with respect to plutonium strategy.*
 Sec. 3133. *Plan for verification and monitoring of proliferation of nuclear weapons and fissile material.*

- Sec. 3134. *Comments of Administrator for Nuclear Security and Chairman of Nuclear Weapons Council on final report of Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise.*

Subtitle D—Other Matters

- Sec. 3141. *Establishment of Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health; extension of authority of Office of Ombudsman for Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.*
 Sec. 3142. *Technical corrections to Atomic Energy Defense Act.*
 Sec. 3143. *Technical corrections to National Nuclear Security Administration Act.*
 Sec. 3144. *Technology Commercialization Fund.*

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

- Sec. 3201. *Authorization.*
 Sec. 3202. *Inspector General of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.*
 Sec. 3203. *Number of employees of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.*

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

- Sec. 3401. *Authorization of appropriations.*

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

- Sec. 3501. *Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2015.*
 Sec. 3502. *Floating dry docks.*
 Sec. 3503. *Sense of Congress on the role of domestic maritime industry in national security.*
 Sec. 3504. *United States Merchant Marine Academy Board of Visitors.*

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

- Sec. 4001. *Authorization of amounts in funding tables.*

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

- Sec. 4101. *Procurement.*
 Sec. 4102. *Procurement for overseas contingency operations.*

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

- Sec. 4201. *Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
 Sec. 4202. *Research, development, test, and evaluation for overseas contingency operations.*

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

- Sec. 4301. *Operation and maintenance.*
 Sec. 4302. *Operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.*

TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

- Sec. 4401. *Military personnel.*
 Sec. 4402. *Military personnel for overseas contingency operations.*

TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

- Sec. 4501. *Other authorizations.*
 Sec. 4502. *Other authorizations for overseas contingency operations.*

TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 4601. *Military construction.*
 Sec. 4602. *Military construction for overseas contingency operations.*

TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

- Sec. 4701. *Department of Energy national security programs.*

SEC. 3. CONGRESSIONAL DEFENSE COMMITTEES.

In this Act, the term “congressional defense committees” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 4. BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF THIS ACT.

The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purposes of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this Act, jointly submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairmen of the House and Senate Budget Committees, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage in the House acting first on the conference report or amendment between the Houses.

SEC. 5. EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.

The explanatory statement regarding this Act, printed in the House section of the Congressional Record on or about December 3, 2014, by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate, shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 101. Authorization of Appropriations.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

Sec. 111. Plan on modernization of UH-60A aircraft of Army National Guard.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

- Sec. 121. Construction of San Antonio class amphibious ship.*
Sec. 122. Limitation on availability of funds for mission modules for Littoral Combat Ship.
Sec. 123. Extension of limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship.
Sec. 124. Report on test evaluation master plan for Littoral Combat Ship seaframes and mission modules.
Sec. 125. Airborne electronic attack capabilities.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

- Sec. 131. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of MQ-1 Predator aircraft.*
Sec. 132. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of U-2 aircraft.
Sec. 133. Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of A-10 aircraft.
Sec. 134. Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft.
Sec. 135. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Air Force aircraft.
Sec. 136. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of E-3 airborne warning and control system aircraft.
Sec. 137. Limitation on availability of funds for divestment or transfer of KC-10 aircraft.
Sec. 138. Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force C-130H and C-130J aircraft.
Sec. 139. Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force KC-135 tankers.
Sec. 140. Report on C-130 aircraft.
Sec. 141. Report on status of F-16 aircraft.
Sec. 142. Report on options to modernize or replace T-1A aircraft.
Sec. 143. Report on status of air-launched cruise missile capabilities.

Subtitle E—Defense-Wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters

- Sec. 151. Additional oversight requirements for the undersea mobility acquisition program of the United States Special Operations Command.*
- Sec. 152. Plan for modernization or replacement of digital avionics equipment.*
- Sec. 153. Comptroller General report on F-35 aircraft acquisition program.*

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 101. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for procurement for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

SEC. 111. PLAN ON MODERNIZATION OF UH-60A AIRCRAFT OF ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.

(a) PLAN.—Not later than March 15, 2015, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a prioritized plan for modernizing the entire fleet of UH-60A aircraft of the Army National Guard.

(b) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS.—The plan under subsection (a) shall set forth the following:

(1) A detailed timeline for the modernization of the entire fleet of UH-60A aircraft of the Army National Guard.

(2) The number of UH-60L, UH-60L Digital, and UH-60M aircraft that the Army National Guard will possess upon completion of such modernization plan.

(3) The cost, by year, associated with such modernization plan.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

SEC. 121. CONSTRUCTION OF SAN ANTONIO CLASS AMPHIBIOUS SHIP.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Navy may enter into a contract beginning with the fiscal year 2015 program year for the procurement of one San Antonio class amphibious ship. The Secretary may employ incremental funding for such procurement.

(b) CONDITION ON OUT-YEAR CONTRACT PAYMENTS.—A contract entered into under subsection (a) shall provide that any obligation of the United States to make a payment under such contract for any fiscal year after fiscal year 2015 is subject to the availability of appropriations for that purpose for such fiscal year.

SEC. 122. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR MISSION MODULES FOR LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP.

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the procurement of additional mission modules for the Littoral Combat Ship program may be obligated or expended until the Secretary of the Navy

submits to the congressional defense committees each of the following:

(1) The Milestone B program goals for cost, schedule, and performance for each module.

(2) Certification by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation with respect to the total number for each module type that is required to perform all necessary operational testing.

SEC. 123. EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP.

Section 124(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 693) is amended by striking “this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014” and inserting “this Act, the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, or otherwise made available for fiscal years 2014 or 2015”.

SEC. 124. REPORT ON TEST EVALUATION MASTER PLAN FOR LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP SEAFRAMES AND MISSION MODULES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the test evaluation master plan for the seaframes and mission modules for the Littoral Combat Ship program.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) A description of the progress of the Navy with respect to the test evaluation master plan.

(2) An assessment of whether or not completion of the test evaluation master plan will demonstrate operational effectiveness and operational suitability for both seaframes and each mission module.

SEC. 125. AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK CAPABILITIES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Navy shall ensure that the Navy retains the option of procuring more EA–18G aircraft in the event that the Secretary determines that further analysis of airborne electronic attack force structure indicates that the Navy should make such a procurement.

(b) *BRIEFING.*—Not later than March 2, 2015, the Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on—

(1) the options available to the Navy for ensuring that the Navy will not be precluded from procuring more EA–18G aircraft based on a determination made under subsection (a); and

(2) an update on the progress of the Navy in conducting an analysis of emerging requirements for airborne electronic attack.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

SEC. 131. PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF MQ–1 PREDATOR AIRCRAFT.

(a) *PROHIBITION.*—Except as provided by subsection (b), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be used during fiscal year 2015 to retire any MQ–1 Predator aircraft.

(b) *EXCEPTION.*—The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to a damaged MQ-1 Predator aircraft if the Secretary determines that repairing such aircraft is not economically viable.

SEC. 132. PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF U-2 AIRCRAFT.

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to make significant changes to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage U-2 aircraft.

SEC. 133. PROHIBITION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF A-10 AIRCRAFT.

(a) *PROHIBITION ON RETIREMENT.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage any A-10 aircraft, except for such aircraft the Secretary of the Air Force, as of April 9, 2013, planned to retire.

(b) *LIMITATION ON MANNING LEVELS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided under paragraph (2), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to make significant changes to manning levels with respect to any A-10 aircraft squadrons.

(2) *EXCEPTION.*—

(A) *BACK UP FLYING STATUS.*—The Secretary of Defense may authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to move up to 36 A-10 aircraft in the active component to backup flying status, and make conforming personnel adjustments, for the duration of fiscal year 2015 if—

(i) on or before the date that is 45 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees the certification described in subparagraph (B); and

(ii) a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date of such submittal.

(B) *CERTIFICATION.*—A certification described in this subparagraph is a certification that the Secretary of Defense has—

(i) received the results of the independent assessment under subsection (c) by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation regarding alternative ways to provide manpower during fiscal year 2015 to maintain the fighter fleet of the Air Force and to field F-35 aircraft; and

(ii) determined, after giving consideration to such assessment, that an action to move A-10 aircraft under subparagraph (A) is required to avoid—

(I) significantly degrading the readiness of the fighter fleet of the Air Force; or

(II) significantly delaying the planned fielding of F-35 aircraft.

(c) *INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation shall conduct an independent assessment

of alternative ways to provide manpower during fiscal year 2015 to maintain the fighter fleet of the Air Force and to field F-35 aircraft. In conducting such assessment, the Director shall give consideration to the implementation approaches proposed by the Air Force and to other alternatives, including the retirement of other aircraft and the use of civilian or contractor maintainers on an interim basis for A-10 aircraft, F-35 aircraft, or other aircraft.

(d) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL STUDY.**—

(1) **STUDY.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct an independent study of the platforms used to conduct the close air support mission in light of the recommendation of the Air Force to retire the A-10 fleet.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 30, 2015, the Comptroller General shall brief the congressional defense committees on the preliminary findings of the study under paragraph (1), with a report to follow as soon as practicable, that includes an assessment of—

(A) the alternatives considered by the Air Force that led to the recommendation to retire the A-10 fleet, including the relative costs, benefits, and assumptions associated with the alternatives to such retirement;

(B) any capability gaps in close air support that would be created by such retirement and to what extent the Department of Defense has plans to address such capability gaps; and

(C) any capability gaps in air superiority or global strike that could be created by the added cost to the Air Force of retaining the A-10 fleet.

SEC. 134. PROHIBITION ON CANCELLATION OR MODIFICATION OF AVIONICS MODERNIZATION PROGRAM FOR C-130 AIRCRAFT.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be used to—

(A) take any action to cancel or modify the avionics modernization program of record for C-130 aircraft; or

(B) except as provided by paragraph (2), initiate an alternative communication, navigation, surveillance, and air traffic management program for C-130 aircraft that is designed or intended to replace the avionics modernization program described in subparagraph (A).

(2) **EXCEPTION.**—The Secretary of Defense may waive the prohibition in paragraph (1)(B) if the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense committees that the program described in such subparagraph is required to operate C-130 aircraft in airspace controlled by the Federal Aviation Administration or airspace controlled by the government of a foreign country.

(b) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for operation and maintenance for the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force, not more than 85 percent may be obligated or expended until a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary has obligated the funds authorized to be ap-

appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal years prior to fiscal year 2015 for the avionics modernization program of record for C-130 aircraft.

SEC. 135. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF AIR FORCE AIRCRAFT.

(a) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage any aircraft of the Air Force, except for such aircraft the Secretary of the Air Force planned to retire as of April 9, 2013, until a period of 60 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary submits the report under subsection (b)(1).

(b) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the appropriate contributions of the regular Air Force, the Air National Guard, and the Air Force Reserve to the total force structure of the Air Force.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A separate presentation of mix of forces for each mission and aircraft platform of the Air Force.

(B) An analysis and recommendations for not less than 80 percent of the missions and aircraft platforms described in subparagraph (A).

SEC. 136. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF E-3 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM AIRCRAFT.

(a) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to make significant changes to manning levels with respect to any E-3 airborne warning and control systems aircraft, or to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage any such aircraft.

(b) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit or otherwise affect the requirement to maintain the operational capability of the E-3 airborne warning and control system aircraft.

SEC. 137. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR DIVESTMENT OR TRANSFER OF KC-10 AIRCRAFT.

(a) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to transfer, divest, or prepare to divest any KC-10 aircraft until a period of 60 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the costs and benefits of the proposed divestment or transfer.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The assessment referred to in subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

(1) A five-year plan for the force structure laydown of all tanker aircraft.

(2) Current and future air refueling and cargo transportation requirements, broken down by aircraft, needed to meet the global reach and global power objectives of the Department of De-

fense, including how such objectives relate to supporting the 2012 Defense Strategic Guidance.

(3) An operational risk assessment and mitigation strategy that evaluates the ability of the military to meet the requirements and objectives stipulated in the Guidance for Employment of the Force of the Department of Defense, the Joint Strategic Capabilities Plan, and all steady-state rotational and warfighting surge contingency operational planning documents of the commanders of the geographical combatant commands.

SEC. 138. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR TRANSFER OF AIR FORCE C-130H AND C-130J AIRCRAFT.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to transfer from one facility of the Department of Defense to another any C-130H or C-130J aircraft until a period of 60 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the costs and benefits of the proposed transfer.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment referred to in subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

(1) A five-year plan for the force structure laydown of C-130H2, C-130H3, and C-130J aircraft.

(2) An identification of how such plan deviates from the total force structure proposal of the Secretary described in section 1059(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1939).

(3) An explanation of why such plan deviates, if in any detail, from such proposal.

(4) An assessment of the national security benefits and any other expected benefits of the proposed transfers under subsection (a), including benefits for the facilities expected to receive the transferred aircraft.

(5) An assessment of the costs of the proposed transfers, including the impact of the proposed transfers on the facilities from which the aircraft will be transferred.

(6) An analysis of the recommended basing alignment that demonstrates that the recommendation is the most effective and efficient alternative for such basing alignment.

(7) For units equipped with special capabilities, including the modular airborne firefighting system capability, a certification that missions using such capabilities will not be negatively affected by the proposed transfers.

(c) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report required under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a sufficiency review of such report, including any findings and recommendations relating to such review.

SEC. 139. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR TRANSFER OF AIR FORCE KC-135 TANKERS.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be obligated or expended to transfer from Joint Base

Pearl Harbor-Hickam to another facility of the Department of Defense any KC-135 aircraft until a period of 60 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the costs and benefits of the proposed transfer.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment referred to in subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following elements:

(1) A recommended basing alignment of Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam KC-135 aircraft.

(2) An identification of how, and an explanation of why, such recommended basing alignment deviates, if in any detail, from the current basing plan.

(3) An assessment of the national security benefits and any other expected benefits of the proposed transfer under subsection (a), including benefits for the facilities expected to receive the transferred aircraft.

(4) An assessment of the costs of the proposed transfer, including the impact of the proposed transfer on the facilities from which the aircraft will be transferred.

(5) An analysis of the recommended basing alignment that demonstrates that the recommendation is the most effective and efficient alternative for such basing alignment.

SEC. 140. REPORT ON C-130 AIRCRAFT.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report including a complete analysis and fielding plan for C-130 aircraft.

(b) **CONTENT.**—The fielding plan submitted under subsection (a) shall include specific details of the plan of the Secretary to maintain intra-theater airlift capacity and capability within both the active and reserve components, including the modernization and recapitalization plan for C-130H and C-130J aircraft.

SEC. 141. REPORT ON STATUS OF F-16 AIRCRAFT.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status and location, and any plans to change during the period of the future-years defense program the status or locations, of all F-16 aircraft in the inventory of the Air Force.

SEC. 142. REPORT ON OPTIONS TO MODERNIZE OR REPLACE T-1A AIRCRAFT.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on options for the modernization or replacement of the T-1A aircraft capability.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of options for—

(A) new procurement;

(B) conducting a service life extension program on existing aircraft;

(C) replacing organic aircraft with leased aircraft or services for the longer term; and

- (D) replacing organic aircraft with leased aircraft or services while the Secretary executes a new procurement or service life extension program.
- (2) An evaluation of the ability of each alternative to meet future training requirements.
- (3) Estimates of life cycle costs.
- (4) A description of potential cost savings from merging a T-1A capability replacement program with other programs of the Air Force, such as the Companion Trainer Program.

SEC. 143. REPORT ON STATUS OF AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE CAPABILITIES.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress finds the following:

- (1) The capability provided by the nuclear-capable, air-launched cruise missile is critical to maintaining a credible and effective air-delivery leg of the nuclear triad, preserving the ability to respond to geopolitical and technical surprise, and reassuring allies of the United States through credible extended deterrence.
- (2) In the fiscal year 2015 budget request of the Air Force, the Secretary of the Air Force delayed development of the long-range standoff weapon, the follow-on for the air-launched cruise missile, by three years.
- (3) The Secretary plans to sustain the current air-launched cruise missile, known as the AGM-86, until approximately 2030, with multiple service life-extension programs required to preserve but not enhance the existing capabilities of the air-launched cruise missile.
- (4) The AGM-86 was initially developed in the 1970s and deployed in the 1980s.
- (5) The average age of the inventory of air-launched cruise missiles is more than 30 years old.
- (6) The operating environment, particularly the sophistication of integrated air defenses, has evolved substantially since the inception of the air-launched cruise missile.
- (7) The AGM-86 is no longer in production and the inventory of spare bodies for required annual testing continues to diminish, posing serious challenges for long-term sustainment.

(b) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of the current air-launched cruise missile and the development of the follow-on system, the long-range standoff weapon, in accordance with section 217 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66; 127 Stat. 706).

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

- (A) An assessment of the effectiveness and survivability of the air-launched cruise missile through 2030, including the impact of any degradation on the ability of the United States Strategic Command to meet deterrence requirements, including the number of targets held at risk by the air-

launched cruise missile or the burdens placed on other legs of the nuclear triad.

(B) A description of age-related failure trends, an assessment of potential age-related fleet-wide reliability and supportability problems, and the estimated costs for sustaining the air-launched cruise missile.

(C) A detailed plan, including initial cost estimates, for the development and deployment of the follow-on system that will achieve initial operational capability before 2030.

(D) An assessment of the feasibility and advisability of alternative development strategies, including initial cost estimates, that would achieve full operational capability before 2030.

(E) An assessment of current testing requirements and the availability of test bodies to sustain the air-launched cruise missile over the long term.

(F) A description of the extent to which the airframe and other related components can be completed independent of the payload, as determined by the Nuclear Weapons Council established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code.

(G) A statement of the risks assumed by not fielding an operational replacement for the existing air-launched cruise missile by 2030.

(3) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in classified form, but may include an unclassified summary.

Subtitle E—Defense-Wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters

SEC. 151. ADDITIONAL OVERSIGHT REQUIREMENTS FOR THE UNDERSEA MOBILITY ACQUISITION PROGRAM OF THE UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.

Section 144 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1325) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by inserting “or the Joint Capabilities Integration and Development system” before the semicolon; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “, or other comparable and qualified entity selected by the Director” before the semicolon;

(2) by redesignating subsection (c) as subsection (d); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) TECHNOLOGY ROADMAP.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commander shall develop a plan consisting of a technology roadmap for undersea mobility capabilities that includes the following:

“(A) A description of the current capabilities provided by covered elements as of the date of the plan.

“(B) An identification and description of the requirements of the Commander for future undersea mobility platforms.

“(C) An identification of resources necessary to fulfill the requirements identified in subparagraph (B).

“(D) A description of the technology readiness levels of any covered element currently under development as of the date of the plan.

“(E) An identification of any potential gaps or projected shortfall in capability, along with steps to mitigate any such gap or shortfall.

“(F) Any other matters the Commander determines appropriate.

“(2) SUBMISSION.—The Commander shall submit to the congressional defense committees the plan under paragraph (1) at the same time as the Under Secretary submits the first report under subsection (a)(2) following the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.”.

SEC. 152. PLAN FOR MODERNIZATION OR REPLACEMENT OF DIGITAL AVIONIC EQUIPMENT.

(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the potential modernization or replacement of digital avionics equipment, including use of commercial-off-the-shelf digital avionics equipment, to meet the equipment requirements under the Next Generation Air Transportation System of the Federal Aviation Administration.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The plan required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of the requirements imposed on aircraft of the Department of Defense by the Federal Aviation Administration transition to the equipment requirements described in subsection (a), including—

(A) an identification of the type and number of aircraft that the Secretary will need to upgrade;

(B) a definition of the upgrades needed for such aircraft; and

(C) the schedule required for the Secretary to make such upgrades in time to meet such requirements.

(2) A description of options for—

(A) acquiring new equipment, including—

(i) new procurement; and

(ii) leasing equipment and installation and other services, including the use of public-private partnerships; and

(B) modernizing existing equipment.

(3) An evaluation of the ability of each option to meet future operational requirements and to meet the equipment requirements described in subsection (a).

(4) An estimated timeline to modernize or replace the digital avionics equipment in each military department or other element of the Department.

(5) *The estimated costs of options to modernize or replace the avionics equipment in each military department or other element of the Department in order to meet such requirements.*

SEC. 153. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON F-35 AIRCRAFT ACQUISITION PROGRAM.

(a) *ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than April 15, 2015, and each year thereafter until the F-35 aircraft acquisition program enters into full-rate production, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report reviewing such program.*

(b) *MATTERS INCLUDED.—Each report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) *The extent to which the F-35 aircraft acquisition program is meeting cost, schedule, and performance goals.*

(2) *The progress and results of developmental and operational testing.*

(3) *The progress of the procurement and manufacturing of F-35 aircraft.*

(4) *An assessment of any plans or efforts of the Secretary of Defense to improve the efficiency of the procurement and manufacturing of F-35 aircraft.*

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 201. Authorization of Appropriations.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

Sec. 211. Modification of authority for prizes for advanced technology achievements.

Sec. 212. Modification of Manufacturing Technology Program.

Sec. 213. Revision of requirement for acquisition programs to maintain defense research facility records.

Sec. 214. Treatment by Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center of significant modifications to test and evaluation facilities and resources.

Sec. 215. Revision to the service requirement under the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation Defense Education Program.

Sec. 216. Limitation on availability of funds for armored multi-purpose vehicle program.

Sec. 217. Limitation on availability of funds for unmanned carrier-launched airborne surveillance and strike system.

Sec. 218. Limitation on availability of funds for airborne reconnaissance systems.

Sec. 219. Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft.

Subtitle C—Reports

Sec. 221. Reduction in frequency of reporting by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering.

Sec. 222. Independent assessment of interagency biodefense research and development.

Sec. 223. Briefing on modeling and simulation technological and industrial base in support of requirements of Department of Defense.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Sec. 231. Modification to requirement for contractor cost sharing in pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems.

- Sec. 232. *Pilot program on assignment to Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency of private sector personnel with critical research and development expertise.*
- Sec. 233. *Pilot program on enhancement of preparation of dependents of members of Armed Forces for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics.*
- Sec. 234. *Sense of Congress on helicopter health and usage monitoring system of the Army.*

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 201. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified in the funding table in section 4201.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

SEC. 211. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR PRIZES FOR ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ACHIEVEMENTS.

(a) *MODIFICATION OF LIMIT ON AMOUNT OF AWARDS.*—Subsection (c)(1) of section 2374a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “The total amount” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting the following: “No prize competition may result in the award of a cash prize of more than \$10,000,000.”.

(b) *ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS.*—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following new subsection (e):

“(e) *ACCEPTANCE OF FUNDS.*—In addition to such sums as may be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Secretary to award prizes under this section, the Secretary may accept funds from other departments and agencies of the Federal Government, and from State and local governments, to award prizes under this section.”.

(c) *FREQUENCY OF REPORTING.*—Subsection (f) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (b)(1) of this section, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “each year” and inserting “every other year”; and

(B) by striking “fiscal year” and inserting “two fiscal years”;

(2) in paragraph (2), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “a fiscal year” and inserting “a period of two fiscal years”; and

(3) in the subsection heading, by striking “ANNUAL” and inserting “BIENNIAL”.

SEC. 212. MODIFICATION OF MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.

(a) **MODIFICATION OF JOINT DEFENSE MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PANEL REPORTING REQUIREMENT.**—Subsection (e)(5) of section 2521 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering” and inserting “one or more individuals designated by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics for purposes of this paragraph”.

(b) **DECREASED FREQUENCY OF UPDATE OF FIVE-YEAR STRATEGIC PLAN.**—Subsection (f)(3) of such section is amended by striking “on a biennial basis” and inserting “not less frequently than once every four years”.

SEC. 213. REVISION OF REQUIREMENT FOR ACQUISITION PROGRAMS TO MAINTAIN DEFENSE RESEARCH FACILITY RECORDS.

Section 2364 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in paragraph (4)—

(i) by inserting “and issue” after “technology position”; and

(ii) by striking “combatant commands” and inserting “components of the Department of Defense”; and

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking “any position paper” and all that follows through the period and inserting the following: “any technological assessment made by a Defense research facility shall be provided to the Defense Technical Information Center repository to support acquisition decisions.”; and

(2) in subsection (c)—

(A) by striking “this section.” and all that follows through “(1) The term” and inserting “this section, the term”;

(B) by striking paragraph (2); and

(C) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) and (B) as paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively, and moving such paragraphs, as so redesignated, 2 ems to the left.

SEC. 214. TREATMENT BY DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TEST RESOURCE MANAGEMENT CENTER OF SIGNIFICANT MODIFICATIONS TO TEST AND EVALUATION FACILITIES AND RESOURCES.

(a) **REVIEW OF PROPOSED CHANGES.**—Subsection (c)(1)(B) of section 196 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after “Base” the following: “, including with respect to the expansion, divestment, consolidation, or curtailment of activities.”.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF STRATEGIC PLANS.**—Subsection (d)(2) of such section is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraph (E) and (F) as subparagraph (F) and (G), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subparagraph (D) the following new subparagraph:

“(E) An assessment of plans and business case analyses supporting any significant modification of the test and evaluation facilities and resources of the Department projected, proposed, or recommended by the Secretary of a military department or the head of a Defense Agency for such period, including with re-

spect to the expansion, divestment, consolidation, or curtailment of activities.”.

(c) **CERTIFICATION OF BUDGETS.**—Subsection (e)(1) of such section is amended by inserting “and for the period covered by the future-years defense program submitted to Congress under section 221 of this title for that fiscal year” after “activities for a fiscal year”.

(d) **ASSESSMENT OF PLANS FOR FACILITIES.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), and (h) as subsections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

“(f) **APPROVAL OF CERTAIN MODIFICATIONS.**—(1) The Secretary of a military department or the head of a Defense Agency with test and evaluation responsibilities may not implement a projected, proposed, or recommended significant modification of the test and evaluation facilities and resources of the Department, including with respect to the expansion, divestment, consolidation, or curtailment of activities, until—

“(A) the Secretary or the head, as the case may be, submits to the Director a business case analysis for such modification; and

“(B) the Director reviews such analysis and approves such modification.

“(2) The Director shall submit to the Secretary of Defense an annual report containing the comments of the Director with respect to each business case analysis reviewed under paragraph (1)(B) during the year covered by the report.”.

SEC. 215. REVISION TO THE SERVICE REQUIREMENT UNDER THE SCIENCE, MATHEMATICS, AND RESEARCH FOR TRANSFORMATION DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.

Subparagraph (B) of section 2192a(c)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(B) in the case of a person not an employee of the Department of Defense, the person shall enter into a written agreement to accept and continue employment for the period of obligated service determined under paragraph (2)—

“(i) with the Department; or

“(ii) with a public or private entity or organization outside of the Department if the Secretary—

“(I) is unable to find an appropriate position for the person within the Department; and

“(II) determines that employment of the person with such entity or organization for the purpose of such obligated service would provide a benefit to the Department.”.

SEC. 216. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE PROGRAM.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Army, for the armored multi-purpose vehicle program, not more than 80 percent may be obligated or expended until the date on which the Secretary of the

Army submits to the congressional defense committees the report under subsection (b)(1).

(b) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 1, 2015, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the armored multi-purpose vehicle program.

(2) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An identification of the existing capability gaps of the M-113 family of vehicles assigned, as of the date of the report, to units outside of combat brigades.

(B) An identification of the mission roles that are in common between—

(i) such vehicles assigned to units outside of combat brigades; and

(ii) the vehicles examined in the armor brigade combat team during the armored multi-purpose vehicle analysis of alternatives.

(C) The estimated timeline and the rough order of magnitude of funding requirements associated with complete M-113 family of vehicles divestiture within the units outside of combat brigades and the risk associated with delaying the replacement of such vehicles.

(D) A description of the requirements for force protection, mobility, and size, weight, power, and cooling capacity for the mission roles of M-113 family of vehicles assigned to units outside of combat brigades.

(E) A discussion of the mission roles of the M-113 family of vehicles assigned to units outside of combat brigades that are comparable to the mission roles of the M-113 family of vehicles assigned to armor brigade combat teams.

(F) A discussion of whether a one-for-one replacement of the M-113 family of vehicles assigned to units outside of combat brigades is likely.

(G) With respect to mission roles, a discussion of any substantive distinctions that exist in the capabilities of the M-113 family of vehicles that are needed based on the level of the unit to which the vehicle is assigned (not including combat brigades).

(H) A discussion of the relative priority of fielding among the mission roles.

(I) An assessment for the feasibility of incorporating medical wheeled variants within the armor brigade combat teams.

SEC. 217. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR UNMANNED CARRIER-LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE SYSTEM.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Navy, for the unmanned carrier-launched airborne surveillance and strike system may be obligated or expended to award a contract for air vehicle segment development until a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date

on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report that—

(1) certifies that a review of the requirements for air vehicle segments of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system is complete; and

(2) includes the results of such review.

(b) **ADDITIONAL REPORT.**—At the same time that the President submits to Congress the budget for fiscal year 2017 under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that—

(1) identifies the cost and performance trade-offs that the Navy made in arriving at the set of requirements for the air vehicle segments of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system, including with respect to strike capability in an anti-access or area denial environment;

(2) addresses the derivation of requirements for the overall composition of the future carrier air wing, including any contribution made to the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities of carrier strike groups from non-carrier air wing forces, such as the MQ-4C Triton;

(3) specifies how the Navy derived the plan for achieving the best mix of capabilities for the carrier strike group air wing to conduct representative joint intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance strike campaigns in the 2030 timeframe, including how the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system, F-35C aircraft, EA-18G aircraft, and the aircraft that is proposed to replace the F/A-18E/F (FA-XX) would contribute to the overall capability, including in an anti-access or area denial threat environment;

(4) defines the acquisition strategy for the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system program and justifies any changes in such strategy from an acquisition strategy for a traditional program that is consistent with Department of Defense Instruction 5000.02; and

(5) establishes a formal acquisition program cost and schedule baseline to allow the Navy to track unit costs and provide regular reports to Congress on cost, schedule, and performance progress.

SEC. 218. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for imaging and targeting support of airborne reconnaissance systems, not more than 25 percent may be obligated or expended until the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the appropriate congressional committees—

(1) a plan regarding using such funds for such purpose during fiscal year 2015; and

(2) a strategic plan for the funding of advanced airborne reconnaissance technologies supporting manned and unmanned systems.

(b) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

- (1) the congressional defense committees; and
- (2) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

SEC. 219. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OF JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEMS AIRCRAFT.

(a) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Air Force may be used to make any significant changes to manning levels with respect to any operational Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft or take any action to retire or to prepare to retire such aircraft until the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees the report required by subsection (b).

(b) *REPORT.*—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(1) An update of the results of the analysis of alternatives for recapitalizing the current Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems capability.

(2) An assessment of the cost and schedule of developing and fielding a new aircraft and radar system to replace the current Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft that would deliver two replacement aircraft to the Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft operating base by fiscal year 2019.

Subtitle C—Reports

SEC. 221. REDUCTION IN FREQUENCY OF REPORTING BY DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 139b(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively;

(2) in paragraph (3), as so redesignated, by striking “IN GENERAL.—” and all that follows through “Each report” and inserting “CONTENTS.— Each report submitted under paragraph (1) or (2)”;

(3) by inserting before paragraph (3), as so redesignated, the following new paragraphs (1) and (2):

“(1) *ANNUAL REPORT BY DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR DEVELOPMENTAL TEST AND EVALUATION.*—Not later than March 31 of each year, the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Developmental Test and Evaluation shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities undertaken pursuant to subsection (a) during the preceding year.

“(2) *BIENNIAL REPORT BY DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.*—Not later than March 31 of every other year, the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering shall submit to the congressional de-

fense committees a report on the activities undertaken pursuant to subsection (b) during the preceding two-year period.”; and

(4) in the subsection heading, by striking “ANNUAL REPORT” and inserting “ANNUAL AND BIENNIAL REPORTS”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and the first report submitted under paragraph (2) of section 139b(d) of such title, as added by subsection (a)(3), shall be submitted not later than March 31, 2015.

SEC. 222. INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF INTERAGENCY BIODEFENSE RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.

(a) INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract with an entity that is not part of the Department of Defense to conduct an assessment of biodefense research and development activities at the National Interagency Biodefense Campus.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment conducted under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) Identification and assessment of such legal, regulatory, management, and practice barriers as may reduce the effectiveness and efficiency of organizations on the Campus to perform designated missions, including such barriers as may exist with respect to the following:

(A) Sharing of funds for intramural and extramural research and other activities—

(i) within and between the Defense Agencies and the military departments;

(ii) between the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies; and

(iii) between the Department of Defense and the private sector.

(B) Sharing in efforts related to the construction, modernization, and maintenance of research facilities—

(i) within and between the Defense Agencies and the military departments;

(ii) between the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies; and

(iii) between the Department of Defense and the private sector.

(C) Exchange and mobility of personnel—

(i) within and between the Defense Agencies and the military departments;

(ii) between the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies; and

(iii) between the Department of Defense and the private sector.

(D) Technology transfer and transition—

(i) within and between the Defense Agencies and the military departments;

(ii) between the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies; and

(iii) between the Department of Defense and the private sector.

(2) *Formulation of recommendations for such legal, regulatory, management, and practices as may support attempts to overcome the barriers identified under paragraph (1).*

(c) *COORDINATION.—The assessment conducted under subsection (a) shall be conducted in coordination with the following:*

(1) *The Secretary of Homeland Security.*

(2) *The Secretary of Health and Human Services.*

(3) *Such other private and public sector organizations as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

(d) *REPORT.—Not later than 540 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the findings of the entity that conducted the assessment under subsection (a) with respect to such assessment.*

(e) *DEFENSE AGENCY DEFINED.—In this section, the term “Defense Agency” has the meaning given such term in section 101 of title 10, United States Code.*

SEC. 223. BRIEFING ON MODELING AND SIMULATION TECHNOLOGICAL AND INDUSTRIAL BASE IN SUPPORT OF REQUIREMENTS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a briefing that provides—

(1) *an update to the assessment, findings, and recommendations in the report submitted under section 1059 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2465); and*

(2) *the status of implementing any such recommendations.*

Subtitle D—Other Matters

SEC. 231. MODIFICATION TO REQUIREMENT FOR CONTRACTOR COST SHARING IN PILOT PROGRAM TO INCLUDE TECHNOLOGY PROTECTION FEATURES DURING RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT OF CERTAIN DEFENSE SYSTEMS.

Section 243(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended in the matter following paragraph (2)—

(1) *by striking “at least one-half” and inserting “half”; and*

(2) *by inserting “, or such other portion of such cost as the Secretary considers appropriate upon showing of good cause” after “such activities”.*

SEC. 232. PILOT PROGRAM ON ASSIGNMENT TO DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY OF PRIVATE SECTOR PERSONNEL WITH CRITICAL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPERTISE.

(a) *PILOT PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.—In accordance with the provisions of this section, the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency may carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of temporarily assigning covered individuals with significant technical expertise in research and development areas of critical importance to defense missions to the Defense Ad-*

vanced Research Projects Agency to lead research or development projects of the Agency.

(b) *ASSIGNMENT OF COVERED INDIVIDUALS.*—

(1) *NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS ASSIGNED.*—Under the pilot program, the Director may assign covered individuals to the Agency as described in subsection (a), but may not have more than five covered individuals so assigned at any given time.

(2) *PERIOD OF ASSIGNMENT.*—

(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the Director may, under the pilot program, assign a covered individual described in subsection (a) to lead research and development projects of the Agency for a period of not more than two years.

(B) The Director may extend the assignment of a covered individual for one additional period of not more than two years as the Director considers appropriate.

(3) *APPLICATION OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF LAW.*—

(A) Except as otherwise provided in this section, the Director shall carry out the pilot program in accordance with the provisions of subchapter VI of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code, except that, for purposes of the pilot program, the term “other organization”, as used in such subchapter, shall be deemed to include a covered entity.

(B) A covered individual employed by a covered entity who is assigned to the Agency under the pilot program is deemed to be an employee of the Department of Defense for purposes of the following provisions of law:

(i) Chapter 73 of title 5, United States Code.

(ii) Sections 201, 203, 205, 207, 208, 209, 603, 606, 607, 643, 654, 1905, and 1913 of title 18, United States Code.

(iii) Sections 1343, 1344, and 1349(b) of title 31, United States Code.

(iv) Chapter 171 of title 28, United States Code (commonly known as the “Federal Tort Claims Act”), and any other Federal tort liability statute.

(v) The Ethics in Government Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.).

(vi) Section 1043 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(vii) Chapter 21 of title 41, United States Code.

(4) *PAY AND SUPERVISION.*—A covered individual employed by a covered entity who is assigned to the Agency under the pilot program—

(A) may continue to receive pay and benefits from such covered entity with or without reimbursement by the Agency;

(B) is not entitled to pay from the Agency; and

(C) shall be subject to supervision by the Director in all duties performed for the Agency under the pilot program.

(c) *CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.*—

(1) *PRACTICES AND PROCEDURES REQUIRED.*—The Director shall develop practices and procedures to manage conflicts of

interest and the appearance of conflicts of interest that could arise through assignments under the pilot program.

(2) *ELEMENTS.—The practices and procedures required by paragraph (1) shall include, at a minimum, the requirement that each covered individual assigned to the Agency under the pilot program shall sign an agreement that provides for the following:*

(A) *The nondisclosure of any trade secrets or other non-public or proprietary information which is of commercial value to the covered entity from which such covered individual is assigned.*

(B) *The assignment of rights to intellectual property developed in the course of any research or development project under the pilot program—*

(i) to the Agency and its contracting partners in accordance with applicable provisions of law regarding intellectual property rights; and

(ii) not to the covered individual or the covered entity from which such covered individual is assigned.

(C) *Such additional measures as the Director considers necessary to carry out the program in accordance with Federal law.*

(d) *PROHIBITION ON CHARGES BY COVERED ENTITIES.—A covered entity may not charge the Federal Government, as direct or indirect costs under a Federal contract, the costs of pay or benefits paid by the covered entity to a covered individual assigned to the Agency under the pilot program.*

(e) *ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than the first October 31 after the first fiscal year in which the Director carries out the pilot program and each October 31 thereafter that immediately follows a fiscal year in which the Director carries out the pilot program, the Director shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the activities carried out under the pilot program during the most recently completed fiscal year.*

(f) *TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority provided in this section shall expire on September 30, 2025, except that any covered individual assigned to the Agency under the pilot program shall continue in such assignment until the terms of such assignment have been satisfied.*

(g) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

(1) *The term “covered individual” means any individual who is employed by a covered entity.*

(2) *The term “covered entity” means any non-Federal, non-governmental entity that, as of the date on which a covered individual employed by the entity is assigned to the Agency under the pilot program, is a nontraditional defense contractor (as defined in section 2302 of title 10, United States Code).*

SEC. 233. PILOT PROGRAM ON ENHANCEMENT OF PREPARATION OF DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF ARMED FORCES FOR CAREERS IN SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING, AND MATHEMATICS.

(a) *PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of—*

- (1) *enhancing the preparation of covered students for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics; and*
- (2) *providing assistance to teachers at covered schools to enhance preparation described in paragraph (1).*
- (b) *COORDINATION.—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall coordinate with the following:*
- (1) *The Secretaries of the military departments.*
- (2) *The Secretary of Education.*
- (3) *The National Science Foundation.*
- (4) *The heads of such other Federal, State, and local government and private sector organizations as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.*
- (c) *ACTIVITIES.—Activities under the pilot program may include the following:*
- (1) *Establishment of targeted internships and cooperative research opportunities at defense laboratories and other technical centers for covered students and teachers at covered schools.*
- (2) *Establishment of scholarships and fellowships for covered students.*
- (3) *Efforts and activities that improve the quality of science, technology, engineering, and mathematics educational and training opportunities for covered students and teachers at covered schools, including with respect to improving the development of curricula at covered schools.*
- (4) *Development of travel opportunities, demonstrations, mentoring programs, and informal science education for covered students and teachers at covered schools.*
- (d) *METRICS.—The Secretary shall establish outcome-based metrics and internal and external assessments to evaluate the merits and benefits of activities conducted under the pilot program with respect to the needs of the Department of Defense.*
- (e) *AUTHORITIES.—In carrying out the pilot program, the Secretary shall, to the maximum extent practicable, make use of the authorities under chapter 111 and sections 2601, 2605, and 2374a of title 10, United States Code, section 219 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 2358 note), and such other authorities as the Secretary considers appropriate.*
- (f) *REPORT.—Not later than two years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on activities carried out under the pilot program.*
- (g) *TERMINATION.—The pilot program shall terminate on September 30, 2020.*
- (h) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*
- (1) *The term “covered schools” means elementary or secondary schools at which the Secretary determines a significant number of dependents of members of the Armed Forces are enrolled.*
- (2) *The term “covered students” means dependents of members of the Armed Forces who are enrolled at a covered school.*

SEC. 234. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON HELICOPTER HEALTH AND USAGE MONITORING SYSTEM OF THE ARMY.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) a health and usage monitoring system for current and future helicopter platforms of the Army that provides early warning for failing systems may reduce costly emergency maintenance, improve maintenance schedules, and increase fleet readiness; and

(2) the Secretary of the Army should—

(A) consider establishing health and usage monitoring requirements; and

(B) after any decision to proceed with a program of record for such system, use full and open competition in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 301. Authorization of appropriations.

Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

Sec. 311. Elimination of fiscal year limitation on prohibition of payment of fines and penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense.

Sec. 312. Method of funding for cooperative agreements under the Sikes Act.

Sec. 313. Report on prohibition of disposal of waste in open-air burn pits.

Sec. 314. Business case analysis of any plan to design, refurbish, or construct a biofuel refinery.

Sec. 315. Environmental restoration at former Naval Air Station Chincoteague, Virginia.

Sec. 316. Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels.

Sec. 317. Decontamination of a portion of former bombardment area on island of Culebra, Puerto Rico.

Sec. 318. Alternative fuel automobiles.

Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

Sec. 321. Modification of quarterly readiness reporting requirement.

Sec. 322. Additional requirement for strategic policy on prepositioning of materiel and equipment.

Sec. 323. Elimination of authority of Secretary of the Army to abolish arsenals.

Sec. 324. Modification of annual reporting requirement related to prepositioning of materiel and equipment.

Subtitle D—Reports

Sec. 331. Repeal of annual report on Department of Defense operation and financial support for military museums.

Sec. 332. Army assessment of regionally aligned forces.

Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority

Sec. 341. Limitation on authority to enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul of the F117 engine.

Sec. 342. Limitation on establishment of regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers.

Sec. 343. Limitation on transfer of MC-12 aircraft to United States Special Operations Command.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Sec. 351. Clarification of authority relating to provision of installation-support services through intergovernmental support agreements.

Sec. 352. Management of conventional ammunition inventory.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 301. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301.

Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

SEC. 311. ELIMINATION OF FISCAL YEAR LIMITATION ON PROHIBITION OF PAYMENT OF FINES AND PENALTIES FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ACCOUNT, DEFENSE.

Section 2703(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by striking “for fiscal years 1995 through 2010,”; and*
- (2) by striking “for fiscal years 1997 through 2010”.*

SEC. 312. METHOD OF FUNDING FOR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS UNDER THE SIKES ACT.

(a) METHOD OF PAYMENTS UNDER COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.— Subsection (b) of section 103A of the Sikes Act (16 U.S.C. 670c–1) is amended—

- (1) by inserting “(1)” before “Funds”; and*
- (2) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:*

“(2) In the case of a cooperative agreement under subsection (a)(2), such funds—

“(A) may be paid in a lump sum and include an amount intended to cover the future costs of the natural resource maintenance and improvement activities provided for under the agreement; and

“(B) may be placed by the recipient in an interest-bearing or other investment account, and any interest or income shall be applied for the same purposes as the principal.

“(3) If any funds are placed by a recipient in an interest-bearing or other investment account under paragraph (2)(B), the Secretary of Defense shall report biennially to the congressional defense committees on the disposition of such funds.”.

(b) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS; AGREEMENT UNDER OTHER LAWS.— Subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows:

“(c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS; AGREEMENT UNDER OTHER LAWS.— (1) Cooperative agreements and interagency agreements entered into under this section shall be subject to the availability of funds.

“(2) Notwithstanding chapter 63 of title 31, United States Code, a cooperative agreement under this section may be used to acquire property or services for the direct benefit or use of the United States Government.”.

SEC. 313. REPORT ON PROHIBITION OF DISPOSAL OF WASTE IN OPEN-AIR BURN PITS.

(a) REVIEW AND REPORT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the compliance of the military departments and combatant commands with Department of Defense In-

struction 4715.19 and with section 317 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2249; 10 U.S.C. 2701 note) regarding the disposal of covered waste in burn pits. Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of such review. Such report shall address each of the following:

(1) The reporting of covered waste through environmental surveys and assessments, including environmental condition reports, of base camps supporting a contingency operation.

(2) How covered waste and non-covered waste is defined and identified in environmental surveys and assessments covered by paragraph (1), in policies, instructions, and guidance issued by the Department of Defense, the military departments, and the combatant commands, and in the oversight of contracts for, and the operation of, waste disposal facilities at base camps supporting contingency operations.

(3) Whether the two categories of waste are appropriately and clearly distinguished in such surveys and assessments.

(4) The current decision authority responsible for determinations regarding whether a base camp supporting a contingency operation is in compliance with the Department of Defense Instruction and section 317 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2249; 10 U.S.C. 2701 note) and the chain of command by which such determinations are made and reported.

(5) The process through which a waiver of the prohibition on disposal of covered waste in a burn pit is requested and approved, and the process by which Congress is notified of such waiver, pursuant to the applicable provision of law, and how such processes could be improved.

(6) Updates to policies, guidelines, and instructions that have been undertaken pursuant to the review to address gaps and deficiencies regarding covered waste disposal to ensure compliance.

(7) Other matters or recommendations the Secretary of Defense determines are appropriate.

(b) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.**—Not later than 120 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report required under subsection (a), the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the assessment of the Comptroller General of the methodology used by the Secretary of Defense in conducting the review under subsection (a), the adequacy of the report, compliance with Department of Defense Instruction and applicable law regarding the disposal of covered waste in burn pits by the military departments and combatant commands, and any additional findings or recommendations the Comptroller General determines are appropriate.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “covered waste” has the meaning given that term in section 317(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2249; 10 U.S.C. 2701 note).

(2) The term “base camp supporting a contingency operation” means any base, location, site, cooperative security location, forward operating base, forward operating site, main operating base, patrol base, or other location as determined by the Secretary from which support is provided to a contingency operation that—

(A) has at least 100 attached or assigned United States personnel; and

(B) is in place for a period of time of 90 days or longer.

(3) The term “burn pit” means an area that—

(A) does not contain a commercially manufactured incinerator or other equipment specifically designed and manufactured for burning of solid waste; and

(B) is designated for the purpose of disposing of solid waste by burning in the outdoor air;

(C) is in a location where at least 100 United States personnel are attached or assigned; and

(D) is in place longer than 90 days.

(4) The term “contingency operation” has the meaning given such term in section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 314. BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS OF ANY PLAN TO DESIGN, REFINISH, OR CONSTRUCT A BIOFUEL REFINERY.

Not later than 30 days before entering into a contract for the planning, design, refurbishing, or construction of a biofuel refinery, or of any other facility or infrastructure used to refine biofuels, the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of the military department concerned shall submit to the congressional defense committees a business case analysis for such planning, design, refurbishing, or construction.

SEC. 315. ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION AT FORMER NAVAL AIR STATION CHINCOTEAGUE, VIRGINIA.

(a) **ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROJECT.**—Notwithstanding the administrative jurisdiction of the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration over the Wallops Flight Facility, Virginia, the Secretary of Defense may undertake an environmental restoration project in a manner consistent with chapter 160 of title 10, United States Code, at the property constituting that facility in order to provide necessary response actions for contamination from a release of a hazardous substance or a pollutant or contaminant that is attributable to the activities of the Department of Defense at the time the property was under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy or used by the Navy pursuant to a permit or license issued by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in the area formerly known as the Naval Air Station, Chincoteague, Virginia. Any such project may be undertaken jointly or in conjunction with an environmental restoration project of the Administrator.

(b) **INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT.**—The Secretary and the Administrator may enter into an agreement or agreements to provide for the effective and efficient performance of environmental restoration projects for purposes of subsection (a). Notwithstanding section 2215 of title 10, United States Code, any such agreement may provide for environmental restoration projects conducted jointly or by one agency on behalf of the other or both agencies and for reimbursement of

the agency conducting the project by the other agency for that portion of the project for which the reimbursing agency has authority to respond.

(c) **SOURCE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FUNDS.**—Pursuant to section 2703(c) of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary may use funds available in the Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites, account of the Department of Defense for environmental restoration projects conducted for or by the Secretary under subsection (a) and for reimbursable agreements entered into under subsection (b).

(d) **NO EFFECT ON COMPLIANCE WITH ENVIRONMENTAL LAWS.**—Nothing in this section affects or limits the application of or obligation to comply with any environmental law, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980 (42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.) and the Solid Waste Disposal Act (42 U.S.C. 6901 et seq.).

SEC. 316. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR PROCUREMENT OF DROP-IN FUELS.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to make a bulk purchase of a drop-in fuel for operational purposes unless the fully burdened cost of that drop-in fuel is cost-competitive with the fully burdened cost of a traditional fuel available for the same purpose.

(b) **WAIVER.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to the requirements of paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation under subsection (a) with respect to a purchase.

(2) **NOTICE REQUIRED.**—Not later than 30 days after issuing a waiver under this subsection, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees notice of the waiver. Any such notice shall include each of the following:

(A) The rationale of the Secretary for issuing the waiver.

(B) A certification that the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States.

(C) The expected fully burdened cost of the purchase for which the waiver is issued.

(c) **NOTICE OF PURCHASE REQUIRED.**—If the Secretary of Defense intends to purchase a drop-in fuel intended for operational use with a fully burdened cost in excess of 10 percent more than the fully burdened cost of a traditional fuel available for the same purpose, the Secretary shall provide notice of such intended purchase to the congressional defense committees by not later than 30 days before the date on which such purchase is intended to be made.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “drop-in fuel” means a neat or blended liquid hydrocarbon fuel designed as a direct replacement for a traditional fuel with comparable performance characteristics and compatible with existing infrastructure and equipment.

(2) The term “traditional fuel” means a liquid hydrocarbon fuel derived or refined from petroleum.

(3) The term “operational purposes” means for the purposes of conducting military operations, including training, exercises, large scale demonstrations, and moving and sustaining mili-

tary forces and military platforms. The term does not include research, development, testing, evaluation, fuel certification, or other demonstrations.

(4) The term “fully burdened cost” means the commodity price of the fuel plus the total cost of all personnel and assets required to move and, when necessary, protect the fuel from the point at which the fuel is received from the commercial supplier to the point of use.

SEC. 317. DECONTAMINATION OF A PORTION OF FORMER BOMBARDMENT AREA ON ISLAND OF CULEBRA, PUERTO RICO.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that certain limited portions of the former bombardment area on the Island of Culebra should be available for safe public recreational use while the remainder of the area is most advantageously reserved as habitat for endangered and threatened species.

(b) *MODIFICATION OF RESTRICTION ON DECONTAMINATION LIMITATION.*—The first sentence of section 204(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1974 (Public Law 93-166; 87 Stat. 668) shall not apply to the beaches, the campgrounds, and the Carlos Rosario Trail.

(c) *MODIFICATION OF DEED RESTRICTIONS.*—Notwithstanding paragraph 9 of the quitclaim deed, the Secretary of the Army may expend funds available in the Environmental Restoration Account, Formerly Used Defense Sites, established pursuant to section 2703(a)(5) of title 10, United States Code, to decontaminate the beaches, the campgrounds, and the Carlos Rosario Trail of unexploded ordnance.

(d) *PRECISE BOUNDARIES.*—The Secretary of the Army shall determine the exact boundaries of the beaches, the campgrounds, and the Carlos Rosario Trail for purposes of this section.

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “beaches” means the portions of Carlos Rosario Beach, Flamenco Beach, and Tamarindo Beach identified in green in Figure 4 as Beach and located inside of the former bombardment area.

(2) The term “campgrounds” means the areas identified in blue in Figure 4 as Campgrounds in the former bombardment area.

(3) The term “Carlos Rosario Trail” means the trail identified in yellow in Figure 4 as the Carlos Rosario Trail and traversing the southern portion of the former bombardment area from the campground to the Carlos Rosario Beach.

(4) The term “Figure 4” means Figure 4, located on page 8 of the study.

(5) The term “former bombardment area” means that area on the Island of Culebra, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, consisting of approximately 408 acres, conveyed to the Commonwealth by the quitclaim deed, and subject to the first sentence of section 204(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act, 1974 (Public Law 93-166; 87 Stat. 668).

(6) The term “quitclaim deed” means the quitclaim deed from the United States of America to the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico conveying the former bombardment area, signed by the Governor of Puerto Rico on December 20, 1982.

(7) The term “study” means the “Study Relating to the Presence of Unexploded Ordnance in a Portion of the Former Naval Bombardment Area of Culebra Island, Commonwealth of Puerto Rico”, dated April 20, 2012, prepared by the United States Army for the Department of Defense pursuant to section 2815 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4464).

(8) The term “unexploded ordnance” has the meaning given the term in section 101(e)(5) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 318. ALTERNATIVE FUEL AUTOMOBILES.

(a) **MAXIMUM FUEL ECONOMY INCREASE FOR ALTERNATIVE FUEL AUTOMOBILES.**—Section 32906(a) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “(except an electric automobile)” and inserting “(except an electric automobile or, beginning with model year 2016, an alternative fueled automobile that uses a fuel described in subparagraph (E) of section 32901(a)(1))”.

(b) **MINIMUM DRIVING RANGES FOR DUAL FUELED PASSENGER AUTOMOBILES.**—Section 32901(c)(2) of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “, except that beginning with model year 2016, alternative fueled automobiles that use a fuel described in subparagraph (E) of subsection (a)(1) shall have a minimum driving range of 150 miles” after “at least 200 miles”; and

(2) in subparagraph (C), by adding at the end the following: “Beginning with model year 2016, if the Secretary prescribes a minimum driving range of 150 miles for alternative fueled automobiles that use a fuel described in subparagraph (E) of subsection (a)(1), subparagraph (A) shall not apply to dual fueled automobiles (except electric automobiles).”.

(c) **ELECTRIC DUAL FUELED AUTOMOBILES.**—Section 32905 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (d) the following:

“(e) **ELECTRIC DUAL FUELED AUTOMOBILES.**—

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—At the request of the manufacturer, the Administrator may measure the fuel economy for any model of dual fueled automobile manufactured after model year 2015 that is capable of operating on electricity in addition to gasoline or diesel fuel, obtains its electricity from a source external to the vehicle, and meets the minimum driving range requirements established by the Secretary for dual fueled electric automobiles, by dividing 1.0 by the sum of—

“(A) the percentage utilization of the model on gasoline or diesel fuel, as determined by a formula based on the model’s alternative fuel range, divided by the fuel economy measured under section 32904(c); and

“(B) the percentage utilization of the model on electricity, as determined by a formula based on the model’s alternative fuel range, divided by the fuel economy measured under section 32904(a)(2).

“(2) **ALTERNATIVE CALCULATION.**—If the manufacturer does not request that the Administrator calculate the manufacturing

incentive for its electric dual fueled automobiles in accordance with paragraph (1), the Administrator shall calculate such incentive for such automobiles manufactured by such manufacturer after model year 2015 in accordance with subsection (b)."

(d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 32906(b) of title 49, United States Code, is amended by striking “section 32905(e)” and inserting “section 32905(f)”.

Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

SEC. 321. MODIFICATION OF QUARTERLY READINESS REPORTING REQUIREMENT.

Section 482 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting “the” before “military readiness”;

(B) by inserting “of the active and reserve components” after “military readiness”; and

(C) by striking “subsections (b), (d), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), and (k)” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “subsections (b), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), and (i).”;

(2) by striking subsections (d), (e), (f), and (k);

(3) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection (d):

“(d) PREPOSITIONED STOCKS.—Each report shall also include a military department-level or agency-level assessment of the readiness of prepositioned stocks, including—

“(1) an assessment of the fill and materiel readiness of stocks by geographic location;

“(2) an overall assessment by military department or Defense Agency of the ability of the respective stocks to meet operation and contingency plans; and

“(3) a mitigation plan for any shortfalls or gaps identified under paragraph (1) or (2) and a timeline associated with corrective action.”;

(4) by redesignating subsections (g), (h), (i), (j), and (l) as subsections (e), (f), (g), (h), and (j) respectively;

(5) in subsection (e)(1), as redesignated by paragraph (4), by striking “National Response Plan” and inserting “National Response Framework”;

(6) in subsection (f), as so redesignated, by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) The assessment included in the report under paragraph (1) by the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall include a separate assessment prepared by the Commander of United States Cyber Command relating to the readiness of United States Cyber Command and the readiness of the cyber force of each of the military departments.”;

(7) in subsection (h), as so redesignated—

(A) in the subsection heading, by inserting “AND RELATED” after “SUPPORT”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “combat support agencies” and inserting “combat support and related agencies”; and

(C) in paragraph (2), in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “combat support agency” and inserting “combat support and related agencies”; and

(8) by inserting after subsection (h) the following new subsection (i):

“(i) **MAJOR EXERCISE ASSESSMENTS.**—(1) Each report under this section shall also include information on each major exercise conducted by a geographic or functional combatant command or military department, including—

“(A) a list of exercises by name for the period covered by the report;

“(B) the cost and location of each such exercise; and

“(C) a list of participants by country or military department.

“(2) In this subsection, the term ‘major exercise’ means a named major training event, an integrated or joint exercise, or a unilateral major exercise.”.

SEC. 322. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR STRATEGIC POLICY ON PREPOSITIONING OF MATERIEL AND EQUIPMENT.

Section 2229(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting “support for crisis response elements,” after “service requirements,”.

SEC. 323. ELIMINATION OF AUTHORITY OF SECRETARY OF THE ARMY TO ABOLISH ARSENALS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 4532 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “(a) The Secretary” and inserting “The Secretary”;

(2) by striking subsection (b); and

(3) in the section heading, by striking “; **ABOLITION OF**”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 433 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 4532 and inserting the following new item:

“4532. *Factories and arsenals: manufacture at.*”.

SEC. 324. MODIFICATION OF ANNUAL REPORTING REQUIREMENT RELATED TO PREPOSITIONING OF MATERIEL AND EQUIPMENT.

Section 321(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 732; 10 U.S.C. 2229 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “Not later than” and inserting the following:

“(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than”;

(2) by striking “, and annually thereafter”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) **PROGRESS REPORTS.**—Not later than one year after submitting the report required under paragraph (1), and annually thereafter for two years, the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the progress of the Department of Defense in implementing its strategic policy and plan for its prepositioned stocks and including any additional information related to the Department’s management of its prepositioned stocks that the Comptroller General determines appropriate.”.

Subtitle D—Reports

SEC. 331. REPEAL OF ANNUAL REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OPERATION AND FINANCIAL SUPPORT FOR MILITARY MUSEUMS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 489 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 23 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 489.

SEC. 332. ARMY ASSESSMENT OF REGIONALLY ALIGNED FORCES.

At the same time as the President transmits to Congress the budget for fiscal year 2016 under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of how the Army has—

(1) captured and incorporated lessons learned through the initial employment of the regionally aligned forces;

(2) identified, where appropriate, institutionalized and improved region-specific initial, sustaining, and predeployment training;

(3) improved the coordination of activities among special operations forces, Army regionally aligned forces, Department of State country teams, contractors of the Department of State and the Department of Defense, the geographic combatant commands, the Joint Staff, and international partners;

(4) identified and evaluated the various Department of Defense appropriations accounts at the subactivity group, project, program, and activity level and other sources of Federal resources used to fund activities of regionally aligned forces, including the amount of funds obligated or expended from each such account;

(5) identified and assessed the effects associated with activities of regionally aligned forces conducted to meet Department of Defense and geographic combatant command security cooperation requirements;

(6) identified and assessed the effect on the core mission readiness of regionally aligned forces while supporting geographic combatant commander requirements through regionally aligned force activities, and, in the case of any such effect that is assessed as degrading the core mission readiness of such forces, identified plans to mitigate such degradation;

(7) identified and assessed opportunities, costs, benefits, and risks associated with the potential expansion of the regionally aligned forces model; and

(8) identified and assessed opportunities, costs, benefits, and risks associated with retaining or ensuring the availability of regional expertise within forces as aligned to a specific region.

Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority

SEC. 341. LIMITATION ON AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO A CONTRACT FOR THE SUSTAINMENT, MAINTENANCE, REPAIR, OR OVERHAUL OF THE F117 ENGINE.

The Secretary of the Air Force may not enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul of the F117 engine until the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary of the Air Force has obtained sufficient data to determine that the Secretary of the Air Force is paying a fair and reasonable price for F117 sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul as compared to the PW2000 commercial-derivative engine sustainment price for sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul in the private sector. The Secretary may waive the limitation in the preceding sentence to enter into a contract if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is in the interest of national security.

SEC. 342. LIMITATION ON ESTABLISHMENT OF REGIONAL SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES COORDINATION CENTERS.

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to establish Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers.

SEC. 343. LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OF MC-12 AIRCRAFT TO UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.

(a) LIMITATION.—Except as provided under subsection (c), none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance, Defense-wide, may be obligated or expended for the transfer of MC-12 aircraft from the Air Force to the United States Special Operations Command before the date that is 60 days after the date of the delivery of the report required under subsection (b).

(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 1, 2015, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an analysis and justification for the transfer of MC-12 aircraft from the Air Force to the United States Special Operations Command.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include—

(A) a description of the current platform requirements for manned intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft to support United States Special Operations Forces;

(B) an analysis of alternatives comparing various manned intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft, including U-28 aircraft, in meeting the platform requirements for manned intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance aircraft to support United States Special Operations Forces;

(C) an analysis of the remaining service life of the U-28 aircraft to be divested by the United States Special Operations Command and the MC-12 aircraft to be transferred from the Air Force;

(D) a description of the future manned intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance platform requirements of the United States Special Operations Command for areas outside of Afghanistan, including range, payload, endurance, and other requirements, as defined by the Command's "Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Road Map";

(E) an analysis of the cost to convert MC-12 aircraft to provide intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities equal to or better than those provided by the U-28 aircraft;

(F) a description of the engineering and integration needed to convert MC-12 aircraft to provide intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities equal to or better than those provided by the U-28 aircraft; and

(G) the expected annual cost to operate 16 U-28 aircraft as a Government-owned, contractor operated program.

(c) *EXCEPTION.*—Subsection (a) does not apply to up to 13 aircraft designated by the Secretary of the Air Force to be transferred from the Air Force to the United States Special Operations Command and flown by the Air National Guard in support of special operations aviation foreign internal defense and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

SEC. 351. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY RELATING TO PROVISION OF INSTALLATION-SUPPORT SERVICES THROUGH INTERGOVERNMENTAL SUPPORT AGREEMENTS.

(a) *TRANSFER OF SECTION 2336 TO CHAPTER 159.*—

(1) *TRANSFER AND REDESIGNATION.*—Section 2336 of title 10, United States Code, is transferred to chapter 159 of such title, inserted after section 2678, and redesignated as section 2679.

(2) *REVISED SECTION HEADING.*—The heading of such section, as so transferred and redesignated, is amended to read as follows:

“§2679. Installation-support services: intergovernmental support agreements”.

(b) *CLARIFYING AMENDMENTS.*—Such section, as so transferred and redesignated, is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1)—

(i) by striking “The Secretary concerned” and inserting “Notwithstanding any other provision of law governing the award of Federal government contracts for goods and services, the Secretary concerned”; and

(ii) by striking “a State or local” and inserting “, on a sole source basis, with a State or local”;

(B) in paragraph (2)—

(i) by striking “Notwithstanding any other provision of law, an” and inserting “An”;

(ii) by striking subparagraph (A); and

(iii) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (A) and (B) respectively; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) Any contract for the provision of installation-support services awarded by the Federal Government or a State or local government pursuant to an intergovernmental support agreement provided in subsection (a) shall be awarded on a competitive basis.”.

(2) by adding at the end of subsection (e) the following new paragraph:

“(4) The term ‘intergovernmental support agreement’ means a legal instrument reflecting a relationship between the Secretary concerned and a State or local government that contains such terms and conditions as the Secretary concerned considers appropriate for the purposes of this section and necessary to protect the interests of the United States.”.

(c) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 137 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2336.

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 159 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2678 the following new item:

“2679. Installation-support services: intergovernmental support agreements.”.

SEC. 352. MANAGEMENT OF CONVENTIONAL AMMUNITION INVENTORY.

(a) CONSOLIDATION OF DATA.—Not later than 240 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall issue Department-wide guidance designating an authoritative source of data for conventional ammunition. Not later than 10 days after issuing the guidance required by this subsection, the Under Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees on what source of data has been designated under this subsection.

(b) ANNUAL REPORT.—The Secretary of the Army shall include in the appropriate annual ammunition inventory reports, as determined by the Secretary, information on all available ammunition for use during the redistribution process, including any ammunition that was unclaimed and categorized for disposal by another military service during a year before the year during which the report is submitted.

(c) BRIEFING AND REPORT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing and a report on the management of the conventional ammunition demilitarization stockpile of the Department of Defense.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The briefing and report required by paragraph (1) shall include each of the following:

(A) An assessment of the adequacy of Department of Defense policies and procedures governing the demilitariza-

tion of excess, obsolete, and unserviceable conventional ammunition.

(B) An assessment of the adequacy of the maintenance by the Department of information on the quantity, value, condition, and location of excess, obsolete, and unserviceable conventional ammunition for each of the Armed Forces.

(C) An assessment of whether the Department has conducted an analysis comparing the costs of storing and maintaining items in the conventional ammunition demilitarization stockpile with the costs of the disposal of items in the stockpile.

(D) An assessment of whether the Department has—

(i) identified challenges in managing the current and anticipated conventional ammunition demilitarization stockpile; and

(ii) if so, developed mitigation plans to address such challenges.

(E) Such other matters relating to the management of the conventional ammunition demilitarization stockpile as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(3) DEADLINES.—The briefing required by paragraph (1) shall be provided by not later than April 30, 2015. The report required by that paragraph shall be submitted not later than June 1, 2015.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

Sec. 401. End strengths for active forces.

Sec. 402. Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

Sec. 411. End strengths for Selected Reserve.

Sec. 412. End strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves.

Sec. 413. End strengths for military technicians (dual status).

Sec. 414. Fiscal year 2015 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians.

Sec. 415. Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support.

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 421. Military personnel.

Subtitle A—Active Forces

SEC. 401. END STRENGTHS FOR ACTIVE FORCES.

The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for active duty personnel as of September 30, 2015, as follows:

(1) The Army, 490,000.

(2) The Navy, 323,600.

(3) The Marine Corps, 184,100.

(4) The Air Force, 312,980.

SEC. 402. REVISIONS IN PERMANENT ACTIVE DUTY END STRENGTH MINIMUM LEVELS.

Section 691(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking paragraphs (1) through (4) and inserting the following new paragraphs:

- “(1) For the Army, 490,000.
- “(2) For the Navy, 323,600.
- “(3) For the Marine Corps, 184,100.
- “(4) For the Air Force, 310,900.”.

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

SEC. 411. END STRENGTHS FOR SELECTED RESERVE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Armed Forces are authorized strengths for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components as of September 30, 2015, as follows:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 350,200.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 202,000.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 57,300.
- (4) The Marine Corps Reserve, 39,200.
- (5) The Air National Guard of the United States, 105,000.
- (6) The Air Force Reserve, 67,100.
- (7) The Coast Guard Reserve, 7,000.

(b) *END STRENGTH REDUCTIONS.*—The end strengths prescribed by subsection (a) for the Selected Reserve of any reserve component shall be proportionately reduced by—

- (1) the total authorized strength of units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component which are on active duty (other than for training) at the end of the fiscal year; and
- (2) the total number of individual members not in units organized to serve as units of the Selected Reserve of such component who are on active duty (other than for training or for unsatisfactory participation in training) without their consent at the end of the fiscal year.

(c) *END STRENGTH INCREASES.*—Whenever units or individual members of the Selected Reserve of any reserve component are released from active duty during any fiscal year, the end strength prescribed for such fiscal year for the Selected Reserve of such reserve component shall be increased proportionately by the total authorized strengths of such units and by the total number of such individual members.

SEC. 412. END STRENGTHS FOR RESERVES ON ACTIVE DUTY IN SUPPORT OF THE RESERVES.

Within the end strengths prescribed in section 411(a), the reserve components of the Armed Forces are authorized, as of September 30, 2015, the following number of Reserves to be serving on full-time active duty or full-time duty, in the case of members of the National Guard, for the purpose of organizing, administering, recruiting, instructing, or training the reserve components:

- (1) The Army National Guard of the United States, 31,385.
- (2) The Army Reserve, 16,261.
- (3) The Navy Reserve, 9,973.

- (4) *The Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261.*
- (5) *The Air National Guard of the United States, 14,704.*
- (6) *The Air Force Reserve, 2,830.*

SEC. 413. END STRENGTHS FOR MILITARY TECHNICIANS (DUAL STATUS).

The minimum number of military technicians (dual status) as of the last day of fiscal year 2015 for the reserve components of the Army and the Air Force (notwithstanding section 129 of title 10, United States Code) shall be the following:

- (1) *For the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210.*
- (2) *For the Army Reserve, 7,895.*
- (3) *For the Air National Guard of the United States, 21,792.*
- (4) *For the Air Force Reserve, 9,789.*

SEC. 414. FISCAL YEAR 2015 LIMITATION ON NUMBER OF NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS.

(a) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **NATIONAL GUARD.**—*Within the limitation provided in section 10217(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, the number of non-dual status technicians employed by the National Guard as of September 30, 2015, may not exceed the following:*

- (A) *For the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600.*
- (B) *For the Air National Guard of the United States, 350.*

(2) **ARMY RESERVE.**—*The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Army Reserve as of September 30, 2015, may not exceed 595.*

(3) **AIR FORCE RESERVE.**—*The number of non-dual status technicians employed by the Air Force Reserve as of September 30, 2015, may not exceed 90.*

(b) **NON-DUAL STATUS TECHNICIANS DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term “non-dual status technician” has the meaning given that term in section 10217(a) of title 10, United States Code.*

SEC. 415. MAXIMUM NUMBER OF RESERVE PERSONNEL AUTHORIZED TO BE ON ACTIVE DUTY FOR OPERATIONAL SUPPORT.

During fiscal year 2015, the maximum number of members of the reserve components of the Armed Forces who may be serving at any time on full-time operational support duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, is the following:

- (1) *The Army National Guard of the United States, 17,000.*
- (2) *The Army Reserve, 13,000.*
- (3) *The Navy Reserve, 6,200.*
- (4) *The Marine Corps Reserve, 3,000.*
- (5) *The Air National Guard of the United States, 16,000.*
- (6) *The Air Force Reserve, 14,000.*

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 421. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—*Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the*

Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4401.

(b) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.—The authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) supersedes any other authorization of appropriations (definite or indefinite) for such purpose for fiscal year 2015.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

- Sec. 501. Authority to limit consideration for early retirement by selective retirement boards to particular warrant officer year groups and specialties.*
- Sec. 502. Authority for three-month deferral of retirement for officers selected for selective early retirement.*
- Sec. 503. Repeal of limits on percentage of officers who may be recommended for discharge during a fiscal year under enhanced selective discharge authority.*
- Sec. 504. Reports on number and assignment of enlisted aides for officers of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps.*
- Sec. 505. Repeal of requirement for submission to Congress of annual reports on joint officer management and promotion policy objectives for joint officers.*
- Sec. 506. Options for Phase II of joint professional military education.*
- Sec. 507. Elimination of requirement that a qualified aviator or naval flight officer be in command of an inactivated nuclear-powered aircraft carrier before decommissioning.*
- Sec. 508. Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers.*

Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management

- Sec. 511. Retention on the reserve active-status list following nonselection for promotion of certain health professions officers and first lieutenants and lieutenants (junior grade) pursuing baccalaureate degrees.*
- Sec. 512. Consultation with Chief of the National Guard Bureau in selection of Directors and Deputy Directors, Army National Guard and Air National Guard.*
- Sec. 513. Centralized database of information on military technician positions.*
- Sec. 514. Report on management of personnel records of members of the National Guard.*

Subtitle C—General Service Authorities

- Sec. 521. Enhancement of participation of mental health professionals in boards for correction of military records and boards for review of discharge or dismissal of members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 522. Extension of authority to conduct programs on career flexibility to enhance retention of members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 523. Provision of information to members of the Armed Forces on privacy rights relating to receipt of mental health services.*
- Sec. 524. Removal of artificial barriers to the service of women in the Armed Forces.*

Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Prevention and Response

- Sec. 531. Technical revisions and clarifications of certain provisions in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 relating to the military justice system.*
- Sec. 532. Ordering of depositions under the Uniform Code of Military Justice.*
- Sec. 533. Access to Special Victims' Counsel.*
- Sec. 534. Enhancement of victims' rights in connection with prosecution of certain sex-related offenses.*
- Sec. 535. Enforcement of crime victims' rights related to protections afforded by certain Military Rules of Evidence.*

- Sec. 536. *Modification of Military Rules of Evidence relating to admissibility of general military character toward probability of innocence.*
- Sec. 537. *Modification of Rule 513 of the Military Rules of Evidence, relating to the privilege against disclosure of communications between psychotherapists and patients.*
- Sec. 538. *Modification of Department of Defense policy on retention of evidence in a sexual assault case to permit return of personal property upon completion of related proceedings.*
- Sec. 539. *Requirements relating to Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners for the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 540. *Modification of term of judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 541. *Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial if requested by chief prosecutor.*
- Sec. 542. *Analysis and assessment of disposition of most serious offenses identified in unrestricted reports on sexual assaults in annual reports on sexual assaults in the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 543. *Plan for limited use of certain information on sexual assaults in restricted reports by military criminal investigative organizations.*
- Sec. 544. *Improved Department of Defense information reporting and collection of domestic violence incidents involving members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 545. *Additional duties for judicial proceedings panel.*
- Sec. 546. *Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 547. *Confidential review of characterization of terms of discharge of members of the Armed Forces who are victims of sexual offenses.*

Subtitle E—Member Education, Training, and Transition

- Sec. 551. *Enhancement of authority to assist members of the Armed Forces to obtain professional credentials.*
- Sec. 552. *Applicability of sexual assault prevention and response and related military justice enhancements to military service academies.*
- Sec. 553. *Authorized duration of foreign and cultural exchange activities at military service academies.*
- Sec. 554. *Enhancement of authority to accept support for Air Force Academy athletic programs.*
- Sec. 555. *Pilot program to assist members of the Armed Forces in obtaining post-service employment.*
- Sec. 556. *Plan for education of members of Armed Forces on cyber matters.*
- Sec. 557. *Enhancement of information provided to members of the Armed Forces and veterans regarding use of Post-9/11 Educational Assistance and Federal financial aid through Transition Assistance Program.*
- Sec. 558. *Procedures for provision of certain information to State veterans agencies to facilitate the transition of members of the Armed Forces from military service to civilian life.*

Subtitle F—Defense Dependents' Education and Military Family Readiness Matters

- Sec. 561. *Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees.*
- Sec. 562. *Impact aid for children with severe disabilities.*
- Sec. 563. *Amendments to the Impact Aid Improvement Act of 2012.*
- Sec. 564. *Authority to employ non-United States citizens as teachers in Department of Defense overseas dependents' school system.*
- Sec. 565. *Inclusion of domestic dependent elementary and secondary schools among functions of Advisory Council on Dependents' Education.*
- Sec. 566. *Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 567. *Improved consistency in data collection and reporting in Armed Forces suicide prevention efforts.*
- Sec. 568. *Improved data collection related to efforts to reduce underemployment of spouses of members of the Armed Forces and close the wage gap between military spouses and their civilian counterparts.*

Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards

- Sec. 571. *Medals for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who were killed or wounded in an attack by a foreign terrorist organization.*
- Sec. 572. *Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to members of the Armed Forces for acts of valor during World War I.*

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements

- Sec. 581. *Review and report on military programs and controls regarding professionalism.*
- Sec. 582. *Review and report on prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces.*
- Sec. 583. *Review and report on provision of job placement assistance and related employment services directly to members of the reserve components.*
- Sec. 584. *Report on foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations in overseas military operations.*
- Sec. 585. *Deadline for submission of report containing results of review of Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases.*
- Sec. 586. *Independent assessment of risk and resiliency of United States Special Operations Forces and effectiveness of the Preservation of the Force and Families and Human Performance Programs.*
- Sec. 587. *Comptroller General report on hazing in the Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 588. *Comptroller General report on impact of certain mental and physical trauma on discharges from military service for misconduct.*

Subtitle I—Other Matters

- Sec. 591. *Inspection of outpatient residential facilities occupied by recovering service members.*
- Sec. 592. *Designation of voter assistance offices.*
- Sec. 593. *Repeal of electronic voting demonstration project.*
- Sec. 594. *Authority for removal from national cemeteries of remains of certain deceased members of the Armed Forces who have no known next of kin.*
- Sec. 595. *Sense of Congress regarding leaving no member of the Armed Forces unaccounted for during the drawdown of United States forces in Afghanistan.*

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy***SEC. 501. AUTHORITY TO LIMIT CONSIDERATION FOR EARLY RETIREMENT BY SELECTIVE RETIREMENT BOARDS TO PARTICULAR WARRANT OFFICER YEAR GROUPS AND SPECIALTIES.***

Section 581(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3);*
- (2) by designating the second sentence of paragraph (1) as paragraph (2); and*
- (3) in paragraph (2), as so designated—*
- (A) by striking “the list shall include each” and inserting “the list shall include—*
- “(A) the name of each”;*
- (B) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”;*
- and*
- (C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:*
- “(B) with respect to a group of warrant officers designated under subparagraph (A) who are in a particular grade and competitive category, only those warrant officers in that grade and competitive category who are also in a particular year*

group or specialty, or any combination thereof determined by the Secretary concerned.”.

SEC. 502. AUTHORITY FOR THREE-MONTH DEFERRAL OF RETIREMENT FOR OFFICERS SELECTED FOR SELECTIVE EARLY RETIREMENT.

(a) **WARRANT OFFICERS.**—Section 581(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by inserting “(1)” before “The Secretary concerned”;
- (2) by striking “90 days” and inserting “three months”; and
- (3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) An officer recommended for early retirement under this section, if approved for deferral under paragraph (1), shall be retired on the date requested by the officer, and approved by the Secretary concerned, which date shall be not later than the first day of the tenth calendar month beginning after the month in which the Secretary concerned approves the report of the board which recommended the officer for early retirement.”.

(b) **OFFICERS ON THE ACTIVE-DUTY LIST.**—Section 638(b) of such title is amended—

- (1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(1)(A) An officer in a grade below brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half) who is recommended for early retirement under this section or section 638a of this title and whose early retirement is approved by the Secretary concerned shall be retired, under any provision of law under which he is eligible to retire, on the date requested by him and approved by the Secretary concerned, which date shall be not later than the first day of the seventh calendar month beginning after the month in which the Secretary concerned approves the report of the board which recommended the officer for early retirement.

“(B) If an officer described in subparagraph (A) is not eligible for retirement under any provision of law, the officer shall be retained on active duty until the officer is qualified for retirement under section 3911, 6323, or 8911 of this title, and then be retired under that section, unless the officer is sooner retired or discharged under some other provision of law, with such retirement under that section occurring not later than the later of the following:

- (i) The first day of the month beginning after the month in which the officer becomes qualified for retirement under that section.
- (ii) The first day of the seventh calendar month beginning after the month in which the Secretary concerned approves the report of the board which recommended the officer for early retirement.”; and

- (2) in paragraph (3)—

- (A) by inserting “(A)” before “The Secretary concerned”;
- (B) by striking “90 days” and inserting “three months”;
- and

- (C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(B) An officer recommended for early retirement under paragraph (1)(A) or section 638a of this title, if approved for deferral under subparagraph (A), shall be retired on the date requested by

the officer, and approved by the Secretary concerned, which date shall be not later than the first day of the tenth calendar month beginning after the month in which the Secretary concerned approves the report of the board which recommended the officer for early retirement.

“(C) The Secretary concerned may defer the retirement of an officer otherwise approved for early retirement under paragraph (1)(B), but in no case later than the first day of the tenth calendar month beginning after the month in which the Secretary concerned approves the report of the board which recommended the officer for early retirement.

“(D) An officer recommended for early retirement under paragraph (2), if approved for deferral under subparagraph (A), shall be retired on the date requested by the officer, and approved by the Secretary concerned, which date shall be not later than the first day of the thirteenth calendar month beginning after the month in which the Secretary concerned approves the report of the board which recommended the officer for early retirement.”.

SEC. 503. REPEAL OF LIMITS ON PERCENTAGE OF OFFICERS WHO MAY BE RECOMMENDED FOR DISCHARGE DURING A FISCAL YEAR UNDER ENHANCED SELECTIVE DISCHARGE AUTHORITY.

Section 638a(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) by striking paragraph (3); and
- (2) by redesignating paragraphs (4) and (5) as paragraphs (3) and (4), respectively.

SEC. 504. REPORTS ON NUMBER AND ASSIGNMENT OF ENLISTED AIDES FOR OFFICERS OF THE ARMY, NAVY, AIR FORCE, AND MARINE CORPS.

(a) ANNUAL REPORT ON NUMBER OF ENLISTED AIDES.—Section 981 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) Not later than March 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report—

“(1) specifying the number of enlisted aides authorized and allocated for general officers and flag officers of the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and joint pool as of September 30 of the previous year; and

“(2) justifying, on a billet-by-billet basis, the authorization and assignment of each enlisted aide to each general officer and flag officer position.”.

(b) REPORT ON REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF ENLISTED AIDES AND AUTHORIZATION AND ASSIGNMENT PROCEDURES AND DUTIES.—Not later than June 30, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the following:

(1) A list of the official military and official representational duties that each Secretary of a military department—

(A) authorizes enlisted aides to perform on the personal staffs of officers of an Armed Force under the jurisdiction of the Secretary concerned; and

(B) considers necessary to be performed by enlisted aides to relieve the officers from minor duties, which, if per-

formed by the officers, would be done at the expense of the officers' primary military or official duties.

(2) Subject to the limitations in section 981 of title 10, United States Code, the procedures used for allocating authorized enlisted aides—

(A) between the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps and the joint pool;

(B) within each Armed Force, including the regulations prescribed by the Secretaries of the military departments regarding the allocation of enlisted aides; and

(C) within the joint pool.

(3) The justification, on a billet-by-billet basis, for the authorization and assignment of each enlisted aide to each general officer and flag officer position as of September 30, 2014.

(4) Such recommendations as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate for changes to the statutory method of calculating the authorized number of enlisted aides.

(c) **REPORT OBJECTIVE.**—In developing the report required by subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall have the objective of reducing the maximum number of enlisted aides authorized and allocated for general officers and flag officers by 40, subject to the validation of duties under subsection (b)(1) and the billet-by-billet justification of positions under subsection (b)(3).

(d) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.**—

(1) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall review the report submitted by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (b).

(2) **ELEMENTS OF REVIEW.**—The review under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An assessment of the methodology used by the Secretary of Defense in satisfying the requirements imposed by paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) of subsection (b).

(B) An assessment of the adequacy of the data used by the Secretary to support the conclusions contained in the report.

(3) **REPORT ON RESULTS OF REVIEW.**—Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report under subsection (b), the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the review conducted under paragraph (1).

SEC. 505. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS OF ANNUAL REPORTS ON JOINT OFFICER MANAGEMENT AND PROMOTION POLICY OBJECTIVES FOR JOINT OFFICERS.

(a) **REPEAL OF ANNUAL REPORTS.**—

(1) **JOINT OFFICER MANAGEMENT.**—Section 667 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(2) **PROMOTION POLICY OBJECTIVES FOR JOINT OFFICERS.**—Section 662 of such title is amended—

(A) by striking “(a) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—”; and

(B) by striking subsection (b).

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—*The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 38 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 667.*

SEC. 506. OPTIONS FOR PHASE II OF JOINT PROFESSIONAL MILITARY EDUCATION.

Section 2154(a)(2) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “consisting of a joint professional military education curriculum” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting the following: “consisting of—

“(A) a joint professional military education curriculum taught in residence at the Joint Forces Staff College or a senior level service school that has been designated and certified by the Secretary of Defense as a joint professional military education institution; or

“(B) a senior level service course of at least ten months that has been designated and certified by the Secretary of Defense as a joint professional military education course.”.

SEC. 507. ELIMINATION OF REQUIREMENT THAT A QUALIFIED AVIATOR OR NAVAL FLIGHT OFFICER BE IN COMMAND OF AN INACTIVATED NUCLEAR-POWERED AIRCRAFT CARRIER BEFORE DECOMMISSIONING.

Section 5942(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” after “(a)”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) Paragraph (1) does not apply to command of a nuclear-powered aircraft carrier that has been inactivated for the purpose of permanent decommissioning and disposal.”.

SEC. 508. REQUIRED CONSIDERATION OF CERTAIN ELEMENTS OF COMMAND CLIMATE IN PERFORMANCE APPRAISALS OF COMMANDING OFFICERS.

The Secretary of a military department shall ensure that the performance appraisal of a commanding officer in an Armed Force under the jurisdiction of that Secretary indicates the extent to which the commanding officer has or has not established a command climate in which—

(1) allegations of sexual assault are properly managed and fairly evaluated; and

(2) a victim of criminal activity, including sexual assault, can report the criminal activity without fear of retaliation, including ostracism and group pressure from other members of the command.

Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management

SEC. 511. RETENTION ON THE RESERVE ACTIVE-STATUS LIST FOLLOWING NONSELECTION FOR PROMOTION OF CERTAIN HEALTH PROFESSIONS OFFICERS AND FIRST LIEUTENANTS AND LIEUTENANTS (JUNIOR GRADE) PURSUING BACCALAUREATE DEGREES.

(a) RETENTION OF CERTAIN FIRST LIEUTENANTS AND LIEUTENANTS (JUNIOR GRADE) FOLLOWING NONSELECTION FOR PROMOTION.—*Subsection (a)(1) of section 14701 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) by striking “A reserve officer of” and inserting “(A) A reserve officer of the Army, Navy, Air Force, or Marine Corps described in subparagraph (B) who is required to be removed from the reserve active-status list under section 14504 of this title, or a reserve officer of”;

(2) by striking “of this title may, subject to the needs of the service and to section 14509 of this title,” and inserting “of this title, may”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(B) A reserve officer covered by this subparagraph is a reserve officer of the Army, Air Force, or Marine Corps who holds the grade of first lieutenant, or a reserve officer of the Navy who holds the grade of lieutenant (junior grade), and who—

“(i) is a health professions officer; or

“(ii) is actively pursuing an undergraduate program of education leading to a baccalaureate degree.

“(C) The consideration of a reserve officer for continuation on the reserve active-status list pursuant to this paragraph is subject to the needs of the service and to section 14509 of this title.”.

(b) **RETENTION OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS OFFICERS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **CONTINUATION OF HEALTH PROFESSIONS OFFICERS.**—(1) Notwithstanding subsection (a)(6), a health professions officer obligated to a period of service incurred under section 16201 of this title who is required to be removed from the reserve active-status list under section 14504, 14505, 14506, or 14507 of this title and who has not completed a service obligation incurred under section 16201 of this title shall be retained on the reserve active-status list until the completion of such service obligation and then discharged, unless sooner retired or discharged under another provision of law.

“(2) The Secretary concerned may waive the applicability of paragraph (1) to any officer if the Secretary determines that completion of the service obligation of that officer is not in the best interest of the service.

“(3) A health professions officer who is continued on the reserve active-status list under this subsection who is subsequently promoted or whose name is on a list of officers recommended for promotion to the next higher grade is not required to be discharged or retired upon completion of the officer’s service obligation. Such officer may continue on the reserve active-status list as other officers of the same grade unless separated under another provision of law.”.

SEC. 512. CONSULTATION WITH CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU IN SELECTION OF DIRECTORS AND DEPUTY DIRECTORS, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD AND AIR NATIONAL GUARD.

(a) **ROLE OF CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU.**—Paragraph (1) of section 10506(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “(after consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau)” after “selected by the Secretary of the Army”; and

(2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “(after consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau)” after “selected by the Secretary of the Air Force”.

(b) **CLARIFYING AMENDMENT.**—Paragraph (2) of such section is amended by striking “The officers so selected” and inserting “The Director and Deputy Director, Army National Guard, and the Director and Deputy Director, Air National Guard,”.

(c) **REPEAL OF OBSOLETE PROVISION.**—Paragraph (3) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (D); and

(2) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (D).

(d) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to assignments to the National Guard Bureau under section 10506 of title 10, United States Code, that occur after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 513. CENTRALIZED DATABASE OF INFORMATION ON MILITARY TECHNICIAN POSITIONS.

(a) **CENTRALIZED DATABASE REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish and maintain a centralized database of information on military technician positions that will contain and set forth current information on all military technician positions of the Armed Forces.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—

(1) **IDENTIFICATION OF POSITIONS.**—The database required by subsection (a) shall identify each military technician position, whether dual-status or non-dual status.

(2) **ADDITIONAL DETAILS.**—For each military technician position identified pursuant to paragraph (1), the database required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the functions of the position.

(B) A statement of the military necessity for the position.

(C) A statement of whether the position is—

(i) a general administration, clerical, or office service occupation; or

(ii) directly related to the maintenance of military readiness.

(c) **CONSULTATION.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish the database required by subsection (a) in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments.

(d) **IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.**—Not later than September 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report describing the progress made in establishing the database required by subsection (a).

SEC. 514. REPORT ON MANAGEMENT OF PERSONNEL RECORDS OF MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL GUARD.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than December 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report regarding the management of personnel records of members of the Army National Guard of the United States and the Air Guard of the United States.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—In preparing the report under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall assess the following:

(1) *The roles and responsibilities of States and Federal agencies in the management of the records of members of the Army National Guard of the United States and the Air Guard of the United States.*

(2) *The extent to which States have digitized the records of National Guard members.*

(3) *The extent to which States and Federal agencies have the capability to share digitized records of National Guard members.*

(4) *The measures required to correct deficiencies, if any, noted by the Secretary of Defense in the capability of Federal agencies to effectively manage the records of National Guard members.*

(5) *The authorities, responsibilities, processes, and procedures for the maintenance and disposition of the records of National Guard members who—*

(A) *are discharged or separated from the National Guard;*

(B) *are transferred to the Retired Reserve; or*

(C) *but for age, would be eligible for retired or retainer pay.*

Subtitle C—General Service Authorities

SEC. 521. ENHANCEMENT OF PARTICIPATION OF MENTAL HEALTH PROFESSIONALS IN BOARDS FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS AND BOARDS FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **BOARDS FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.**—Section 1552 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (g) as subsection (h); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (f) the following new subsection (g):

“(g) Any medical advisory opinion issued to a board established under subsection (a)(1) with respect to a member or former member of the armed forces who was diagnosed while serving in the armed forces as experiencing a mental health disorder shall include the opinion of a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist if the request for correction of records concerned relates to a mental health disorder.”.

(b) **BOARDS FOR REVIEW OF DISCHARGE OR DISMISSAL.**—

(1) **REVIEW FOR CERTAIN FORMER MEMBERS WITH PTSD OR TBI.**—Subsection (d)(1) of section 1553 of such title is amended by striking “physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist” the second place it appears and inserting “clinical psychologist or psychiatrist, or a physician with training on mental health issues connected with post traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury (as applicable)”.

(2) **REVIEW FOR CERTAIN FORMER MEMBERS WITH MENTAL HEALTH DIAGNOSES.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) In the case of a former member of the armed forces (other than a former member covered by subsection (d)) who was diagnosed while serving in the armed forces as experiencing a mental health disorder, a board established under this section to review the former member’s discharge or dismissal shall include a member

who is a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist, or a physician with special training on mental health disorders.”.

SEC. 522. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT PROGRAMS ON CAREER FLEXIBILITY TO ENHANCE RETENTION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) *EXTENSION OF PROGRAM AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (m) of section 533 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 10 U.S.C. prec. 701 note) is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “No member”;

(2) by striking “December 31, 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2019”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) A member may not be reactivated to active duty in the Armed Forces under a pilot program conducted under this section after December 31, 2022.”.

(b) *REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.*—Subsection (k) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and 2017” and inserting “2017, and 2019”;

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “March 1, 2019” and inserting “March 1, 2023”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) *ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS FOR FINAL REPORT.*—In addition to the elements required by paragraph (3), the final report under this subsection shall include the following:

“(A) A description of the costs to each military department of each pilot program conducted under this section.

“(B) A description of the reasons why members choose to participate in the pilot programs.

“(C) A description of the members who did not return to active duty at the conclusion of their inactivation from active duty under the pilot programs, and a statement of the reasons why the members did not return to active duty.

“(D) A statement whether members were required to perform inactive duty training as part of their participation in the pilot programs, and if so, a description of the members who were required to perform such inactive duty training, a statement of the reasons why the members were required to perform such inactive duty training, and a description of how often the members were required to perform such inactive duty training.”.

SEC. 523. PROVISION OF INFORMATION TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES ON PRIVACY RIGHTS RELATING TO RECEIPT OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.

(a) *PROVISION OF INFORMATION REQUIRED.*—The Secretaries of the military departments shall ensure that the information described in subsection (b) is provided—

(1) to each officer candidate during initial training;

(2) to each recruit during basic training; and

(3) to other members of the Armed Forces at such times as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(b) *REQUIRED INFORMATION.*—The information required to be provided under subsection (a) shall include information on the applica-

bility of the Department of Defense Instruction on Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information in DoD Health Care Programs and other regulations regarding privacy prescribed pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–191) to records regarding a member of the Armed Forces seeking and receiving mental health services.

SEC. 524. REMOVAL OF ARTIFICIAL BARRIERS TO THE SERVICE OF WOMEN IN THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **ROLE OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE IN DEVELOPMENT OF GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STANDARDS.**—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the gender-neutral occupational standards being developed by the Secretaries of the military departments pursuant to section 543 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 113 note), as amended by section 523 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 756)—

(1) accurately predict performance of actual, regular, and recurring duties of a military occupation; and

(2) are applied equitably to measure individual capabilities.

(b) **FEMALE PERSONAL PROTECTION GEAR.**—The Secretary of Defense shall direct each Secretary of a military department to take immediate steps to ensure that combat equipment distributed to female members of the Armed Forces—

(1) is properly designed and fitted; and

(2) meets required standards for wear and survivability.

(c) **REVIEW OF OUTREACH AND RECRUITMENT EFFORTS FOCUSED ON OFFICERS.**—

(1) **REVIEW REQUIRED.**—The Comptroller General of the United States shall conduct a review of Services' Outreach and Recruitment Efforts gauged toward women representation in the officer corps.

(2) **ELEMENTS OF REVIEW.**—In conducting the review under this subsection, the Comptroller General shall—

(A) identify and evaluate current initiatives the Armed Forces are using to increase accession of women into the officer corps;

(B) identify new recruiting efforts to increase accessions of women into the officer corps specifically at the military service academies, Officer Candidate Schools, Officer Training Schools, the Academy of Military Science, and Reserve Officer Training Corps; and

(C) identify efforts, resources, and funding required to increase military service academy accessions by women.

(3) **SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.**—Not later than October 1, 2015, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review under this subsection.

Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault and Domestic Violence Prevention and Response

SEC. 531. TECHNICAL REVISIONS AND CLARIFICATIONS OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS IN THE NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 RELATING TO THE MILITARY JUSTICE SYSTEM.

(a) REVISIONS OF ARTICLE 32 AND ARTICLE 60, UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.—

(1) EXPLICIT AUTHORITY FOR CONVENING AUTHORITY TO TAKE ACTION ON FINDINGS OF A COURT-MARTIAL WITH RESPECT TO A QUALIFYING OFFENSE.—Paragraph (3) of subsection (c) of section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 955), is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “and may be taken only with respect to a qualifying offense” after “is not required”;

(B) in subparagraph (B)(i)—

(i) by striking “, other than a charge or specification for a qualifying offense,”; and

(ii) by inserting “, but may take such action with respect to a qualifying offense” after “thereto”; and

(C) in subparagraph (B)(ii)—

(i) by striking “, other than a charge or specification for a qualifying offense,”; and

(ii) by inserting “, but may take such action with respect to a qualifying offense” before the period.

(2) CLARIFICATION OF APPLICABILITY OF REQUIREMENT FOR EXPLANATION IN WRITING FOR MODIFICATION TO FINDINGS OF A COURT-MARTIAL.—Paragraph (3)(C) of subsection (c) of section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 955), is amended by striking “(other than a qualifying offense)”.

(3) VICTIM SUBMISSION OF MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION BY CONVENING AUTHORITY DURING CLEMENCY PHASE OF COURTMARTIAL PROCESS.—Subsection (d) of section 860 of title 10, United States Code (article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by section 1706(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 960), is amended—

(A) in paragraph (2)(A)—

(i) in clause (i), by inserting “, if applicable” after “(article 54(e))”; and

(ii) in clause (ii), by striking “if applicable,”; and

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking “loss” and inserting “harm”.

(4) RESTORATION OF WAIVER OF ARTICLE 32 HEARINGS BY THE ACCUSED.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 832(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code (article 32(a)(1) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 954), is amended by inserting “, unless such hearing is waived by the accused” after “preliminary hearing”.

(B) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Section 834(a)(2) of such title (article 34(a)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702(c)(3)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 957), is amended by inserting “(if there is such a report)” after “a preliminary hearing under section 832 of this title (article 32)”.

(5) *NON-APPLICABILITY OF PROHIBITION ON PRE-TRIAL AGREEMENTS FOR CERTAIN OFFENSES WITH MANDATORY MINIMUM SENTENCES.*—Section 860(c)(4)(C)(ii) of title 10, United States Code (article 60(c)(4)(C)(ii) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1702(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 955), is amended by inserting “pursuant to section 856(b) of this title (article 56(b))” after “applies”.

(b) *DEFENSE COUNSEL INTERVIEW OF VICTIM OF AN ALLEGED SEX-RELATED OFFENSE.*—

(1) *REQUESTS TO INTERVIEW VICTIM THROUGH COUNSEL.*—Subsection (b)(1) of section 846 of title 10, United States Code (article 46(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 958), is amended by striking “through trial counsel” and inserting “through the Special Victims’ Counsel or other counsel for the victim, if applicable”.

(2) *CORRECTION OF REFERENCES TO TRIAL COUNSEL.*—Such section is further amended by striking “trial counsel” each place it appears and inserting “counsel for the Government”.

(3) *CORRECTION OF REFERENCES TO DEFENSE COUNSEL.*—Such section is further amended—

(A) in the heading, by striking “DEFENSE COUNSEL” and inserting “COUNSEL FOR ACCUSED”; and

(B) by striking “defense counsel” each place it appears and inserting “counsel for the accused”.

(c) *SPECIAL VICTIMS’ COUNSEL FOR VICTIMS OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.*—Section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 1716(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 966), is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(4), by striking “the Department of Defense” and inserting “the United States”;

(2) in subsection (d)(2), by inserting “, and within the Marine Corps, by the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps” after “employed”; and

(3) in subsection (e)(1), by inserting “concerned” after “jurisdiction of the Secretary”.

(d) *REPEAL OF OFFENSE OF CONSENSUAL SODOMY UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.*—

(1) *CLARIFICATION OF DEFINITION OF FORCIBLE SODOMY.*—Section 925(a) of title 10, United States Code (article 125(a) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as amended by section 1707 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 961), is amended by striking “force” and inserting “unlawful force”.

(2) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—

(A) *ARTICLE 43.*—Section 843(b)(2)(B) of such title (article 43(b)(2)(B) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended—

(i) in clause (iii), by striking “Sodomy” and inserting “Forcible sodomy”; and

(ii) in clause (v), by striking “sodomy” and inserting “forcible sodomy”.

(B) *ARTICLE 118.*—Section 918(4) of such title (article 118(4) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice) is amended by striking “sodomy” and inserting “forcible sodomy”.

(e) *CLARIFICATION OF SCOPE OF PROSPECTIVE MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR PURPOSES OF INAPPROPRIATE AND PROHIBITED RELATIONSHIPS.*—Section 1741(e)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 977; 10 U.S.C. prec. 501 note) is amended by inserting “who is pursuing or has recently pursued becoming a member of the Armed Forces and” after “a person”.

(f) *EXTENSION OF CRIME VICTIMS’ RIGHTS TO VICTIMS OF OFFENSES UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.*—

(1) *CLARIFICATION OF LIMITATION ON DEFINITION OF VICTIM TO NATURAL PERSONS.*—Subsection (b) of section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), as added by section 1701 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 952), is amended by striking “a person” and inserting “an individual”.

(2) *CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO APPOINT INDIVIDUALS TO ASSUME RIGHTS OF CERTAIN VICTIMS.*—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(A) in the heading, by striking “LEGAL GUARDIAN” and inserting “APPOINTMENT OF INDIVIDUALS TO ASSUME RIGHTS”;

(B) by inserting “(but who is not a member of the armed forces)” after “under 18 years of age”;

(C) by striking “designate a legal guardian from among the representatives” and inserting “designate a representative”;

(D) by striking “other suitable person” and inserting “another suitable individual”; and

(E) by striking “the person” and inserting “the individual”.

(g) *REVISION TO EFFECTIVE DATES TO FACILITATE TRANSITION TO REVISED RULES FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING REQUIREMENTS AND CONVENING AUTHORITY ACTION POST-CONVICTION.*—

(1) *EFFECTIVE DATE FOR AMENDMENTS RELATED TO ARTICLE 32.*—Effective as of December 26, 2013, and as if included therein as enacted, section 1702(d)(1) of the National Defense Au-

thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 958; 10 U.S.C. 802 note, 832 note) is amended by striking “one year after” and all that follows through the end of the sentence and inserting “on the later of December 26, 2014, or the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 and shall apply with respect to preliminary hearings conducted on or after that effective date.”.

(2) **TRANSITION RULE FOR AMENDMENTS RELATED TO ARTICLE 60.**—

(A) **TRANSITION RULE.**—Section 1702(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 958; 10 U.S.C. 860 note) is amended—

(i) by striking “The amendments” and inserting “(A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the amendments”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) With respect to the findings and sentence of a court-martial that includes both a conviction for an offense committed before the effective date specified in subparagraph (A) and a conviction for an offense committed on or after that effective date, the convening authority shall have the same authority to take action on such findings and sentence as was in effect on the day before such effective date, except with respect to a mandatory minimum sentence under section 856(b) of title 10, United States Code (article 56(b) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).”.

(B) **APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.**—The amendments made by subparagraph (A) shall not apply to the findings and sentence of a court-martial with respect to which the convening authority has taken action before the date that is 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 532. ORDERING OF DEPOSITIONS UNDER THE UNIFORM CODE OF MILITARY JUSTICE.

Subsection (a) of section 849 of title 10, United States Code (article 49 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended to read as follows:

“(a)(1) At any time after charges have been signed as provided in section 830 of this title (article 30), oral or written depositions may be ordered as follows:

“(A) Before referral of such charges for trial, by the convening authority who has such charges for disposition.

“(B) After referral of such charges for trial, by the convening authority or the military judge hearing the case.

“(2) An authority authorized to order a deposition under paragraph (1) may order the deposition at the request of any party, but only if the party demonstrates that, due to exceptional circumstances, it is in the interest of justice that the testimony of the prospective witness be taken and preserved for use at a preliminary hearing under section 832 of this title (article 32) or a court-martial.

“(3) If a deposition is to be taken before charges are referred for trial, the authority under paragraph (1)(A) may designate commis-

sioned officers as counsel for the Government and counsel for the accused, and may authorize those officers to take the deposition of any witness.”

SEC. 533. ACCESS TO SPECIAL VICTIMS’ COUNSEL.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subsection (a) of section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) *DESIGNATION; PURPOSES.*—(1) The Secretary concerned shall designate legal counsel (to be known as ‘Special Victims’ Counsel’) for the purpose of providing legal assistance to an individual described in paragraph (2) who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense, regardless of whether the report of that offense is restricted or unrestricted.

“(2) An individual described in this paragraph is any of the following:

“(A) An individual eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title.

“(B) An individual who is—

“(i) not covered under subparagraph (A);

“(ii) a member of a reserve component of the armed forces; and

“(iii) a victim of an alleged sex-related offense as described in paragraph (1)—

“(I) during a period in which the individual served on active duty, full-time National Guard duty, or inactive-duty training; or

“(II) during any period, regardless of the duty status of the individual, if the circumstances of the alleged sex-related offense have a nexus to the military service of the victim, as determined under regulations prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.”

(b) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—Subsection (f) of such section is amended by striking “eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title” each place it appears and inserting “described in subsection (a)(2)”.

SEC. 534. ENHANCEMENT OF VICTIMS’ RIGHTS IN CONNECTION WITH PROSECUTION OF CERTAIN SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.

(a) *REPRESENTATION BY SPECIAL VICTIMS’ COUNSEL.*—Section 1044e(b)(6) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “Accompanying the victim” and inserting “Representing the victim”.

(b) *CONSULTATION REGARDING VICTIM’S PREFERENCE IN PROSECUTION VENUE.*—

(1) *CONSULTATION PROCESS REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall establish a process to ensure consultation with the victim of an alleged sex-related offense that occurs in the United States to solicit the victim’s preference regarding whether the offense should be prosecuted by court-martial or in a civilian court with jurisdiction over the offense.

(2) *CONVENING AUTHORITY CONSIDERATION OF PREFERENCE.*—The preference expressed by the victim of an alleged sex-related offense under paragraph (1) regarding the prosecution of the offense, while not binding, should be considered by the convening authority in making the determination regarding whether to

refer the charge or specification for the offense to a court-martial for trial.

(3) NOTICE TO APPROPRIATE JURISDICTION OF VICTIM'S PREFERENCE FOR CIVILIAN PROSECUTION.—If the victim of an alleged sex-related offense expresses a preference under paragraph (1) for prosecution of the offense in a civilian court, the convening authority described in paragraph (2) shall ensure that the civilian authority with jurisdiction over the offense is notified of the victim's preference for civilian prosecution.

(4) NOTICE TO VICTIM OF STATUS OF CIVILIAN PROSECUTION WHEN VICTIM EXPRESSES PREFERENCE FOR CIVILIAN PROSECUTION.—Following notification of the civilian authority with jurisdiction over an alleged sex-related offense of the preference of the victim of the offense for prosecution of the offense in a civilian court, the convening authority shall be responsible for notifying the victim if the convening authority learns of any decision by the civilian authority to prosecute or not prosecute the offense in a civilian court.

(c) MODIFICATION OF MANUAL FOR COURTS-MARTIAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Part III of the Manual for Courts-Martial shall be modified to provide that when a victim of an alleged sex-related offense has a right to be heard in connection with the prosecution of the alleged sex-related such offense, the victim may exercise that right through counsel, including through a Special Victims' Counsel under section 1044e of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)).

(d) NOTICE TO COUNSEL ON SCHEDULING OF PROCEEDINGS.—The Secretary concerned shall establish policies and procedures designed to ensure that any counsel of the victim of an alleged sex-related offense, including a Special Victims' Counsel under section 1044e of title 10, United States Code (as amended by subsection (a)), is provided prompt and adequate notice of the scheduling of any hearing, trial, or other proceeding in connection with the prosecution of such offense in order to permit such counsel the opportunity to prepare for such proceeding.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term "alleged sex-related offense" has the meaning given that term in section 1044e(g) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term "Secretary concerned" has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(9) of such title.

SEC. 535. ENFORCEMENT OF CRIME VICTIMS' RIGHTS RELATED TO PROTECTIONS AFFORDED BY CERTAIN MILITARY RULES OF EVIDENCE.

Section 806b of title 10, United States Code (article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

"(e) ENFORCEMENT BY COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS.—(1) If the victim of an offense under this chapter believes that a court-martial ruling violates the victim's rights afforded by a Military Rule of Evidence specified in paragraph (2), the victim may petition the Court of Criminal Appeals for a writ of mandamus to require the court-martial to comply with the Military Rule of Evidence.

“(2) Paragraph (1) applies with respect to the protections afforded by the following:

“(A) Military Rule of Evidence 513, relating to the psychotherapist-patient privilege.

“(B) Military Rule of Evidence 412, relating to the admission of evidence regarding a victim’s sexual background.”.

SEC. 536. MODIFICATION OF MILITARY RULES OF EVIDENCE RELATING TO ADMISSIBILITY OF GENERAL MILITARY CHARACTER TOWARD PROBABILITY OF INNOCENCE.

(a) **MODIFICATION REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Rule 404(a) of the Military Rules of Evidence shall be amended to provide that the general military character of an accused is not admissible for the purpose of showing the probability of innocence of the accused for an offense specified in subsection (b).

(b) **COVERED OFFENSES.**—Subsection (a) applies to the following offenses under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice):

(1) An offense under sections 920 through 923a of such title (articles 120 through 123a).

(2) An offense under sections 925 through 927 of such title (articles 125 through 127).

(3) An offense under sections 929 through 932 of such title (articles 129 through 132).

(4) Any other offense under such chapter (the Uniform Code of Military Justice) in which evidence of the general military character of the accused is not relevant to an element of an offense for which the accused has been charged.

(5) An attempt to commit an offense or a conspiracy to commit an offense specified in a preceding paragraph as punishable under section 880 or 881 of such title (article 80 or 81).

SEC. 537. MODIFICATION OF RULE 513 OF THE MILITARY RULES OF EVIDENCE, RELATING TO THE PRIVILEGE AGAINST DISCLOSURE OF COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN PSYCHOTHERAPISTS AND PATIENTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, Rule 513 of the Military Rules of Evidence shall be modified as follows:

(1) To include communications with other licensed mental health professionals within the communications covered by the privilege.

(2) To strike the current exception to the privilege contained in subparagraph (d)(8) of Rule 513.

(3) To require a party seeking production or admission of records or communications protected by the privilege—

(A) to show a specific factual basis demonstrating a reasonable likelihood that the records or communications would yield evidence admissible under an exception to the privilege;

(B) to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the requested information meets one of the enumerated exceptions to the privilege;

(C) to show that the information sought is not merely cumulative of other information available; and

(D) to show that the party made reasonable efforts to obtain the same or substantially similar information through non-privileged sources.

(4) To authorize the military judge to conduct a review in camera of records or communications only when—

(A) the moving party has met its burden as established pursuant to paragraph (3); and

(B) an examination of the information is necessary to rule on the production or admissibility of protected records or communications.

(5) To require that any production or disclosure permitted by the military judge be narrowly tailored to only the specific records or communications, or portions of such records or communications, that meet the requirements for one of the enumerated exceptions to the privilege and are included in the stated purpose for which the such records or communications are sought.

SEC. 538. MODIFICATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICY ON RETENTION OF EVIDENCE IN A SEXUAL ASSAULT CASE TO PERMIT RETURN OF PERSONAL PROPERTY UPON COMPLETION OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS.

Section 586 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) RETURN OF PERSONAL PROPERTY UPON COMPLETION OF RELATED PROCEEDINGS.—Notwithstanding subsection (c)(4)(A), personal property retained as evidence in connection with an incident of sexual assault involving a member of the Armed Forces may be returned to the rightful owner of such property after the conclusion of all legal, adverse action, and administrative proceedings related to such incident.”.

SEC. 539. REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC EXAMINERS FOR THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) PERSONNEL ELIGIBLE FOR ASSIGNMENT.—

(1) SPECIFIED PERSONNEL.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), an individual who may be assigned to duty as a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) for the Armed Forces is limited to members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who are also one of the following:

(A) A physician.

(B) A nurse practitioner.

(C) A nurse midwife.

(D) A physician assistant.

(E) A registered nurse.

(2) INDEPENDENT DUTY CORPSMEN.—An independent duty corpsman or equivalent may be assigned to duty as a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner for the Armed Forces if the assignment of an individual specified in paragraph (1) is impracticable.

(b) TRAINING AND CERTIFICATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish and maintain, and update when appropriate, a training and certification program for Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners. The training and certification programs shall apply uniformly

to all Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners under the jurisdiction of the Secretaries of the military departments.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—Each training and certification program under this subsection shall include training in sexual assault forensic examinations by qualified personnel who possess—

(A) a Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner—Adult/Adolescent (SANE–A) certification or equivalent certification; or

(B) training and clinical or forensic experience in sexual assault forensic examinations similar to that required for a certification described in subparagraph (A).

(3) *NATURE OF TRAINING.*—The training provided under each training and certification program under this subsection shall incorporate and reflect current best practices and standards on sexual assault forensic examinations.

(4) *APPLICABILITY OF TRAINING REQUIREMENTS.*—Effective beginning one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, an individual may not be assigned to duty as a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner for the Armed Forces unless the individual has completed, by the date of such assignment, all training required under the training and certification program under this subsection.

(c) *REPORT ON TRAINING AND QUALIFICATIONS OF SEXUAL ASSAULT FORENSIC EXAMINERS.*—

(1) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall prepare a report on the adequacy of the training and qualifications of each member of the Armed Forces and civilian employee of the Department of Defense who is assigned responsibilities of a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner.

(2) *REPORT ELEMENTS.*—The report shall include the following:

(A) An assessment of the adequacy of the training and certifications required for the members and employees described in paragraph (1).

(B) Such improvements as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate in the process used to select and assign members and employees to positions that include responsibility for sexual assault forensic examinations.

(C) Such improvements as the Secretary considers appropriate for training and certifying member and employees that perform sexual assault forensic examinations.

(3) *SUBMISSION.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit the report to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

(d) *CONFORMING AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.*—

(1) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—Subsection (b) of section 1725 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 971) is amended—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “NURSE EXAMINERS” and inserting “FORENSIC EXAMINERS”;

(B) in paragraphs (1) and (2), by striking “sexual assault nurse examiner” each place it appears and inserting “Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner”;

(C) in paragraph (1), by striking “sexual assault nurse examiners” and inserting “Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners”; and

(D) by striking paragraph (3).

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The heading of such section is amended by striking “NURSE EXAMINERS” and inserting “FORENSIC EXAMINERS”.

SEC. 540. MODIFICATION OF TERM OF JUDGES OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) MODIFICATION OF TERMS.—Section 942(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code (article 142(b)(2) of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “March 31” and inserting “January 31”;

(B) by striking “October 1” and inserting “July 31”; and

(C) by striking “September 30” and inserting “July 31”;

and

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “September 30” each place it appears and inserting “July 31”; and

(B) by striking “April 1” and inserting “February 1”.

(b) SAVING PROVISION.—No person who is serving as a judge of the court on the date of the enactment of this Act, and no survivor of any such person, shall be deprived of any annuity provided by section 945 of title 10, United States Code, by the operation of the amendments made by subsection (a).

SEC. 541. REVIEW OF DECISIONS NOT TO REFER CHARGES OF CERTAIN SEX-RELATED OFFENSES FOR TRIAL BY COURT-MARTIAL IF REQUESTED BY CHIEF PROSECUTOR.

Section 1744(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 981; 10 U.S.C. 834 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “(c)” and all that follows through “In any case where” and inserting the following:

“(c) REVIEW OF CERTAIN CASES NOT REFERRED TO COURT-MARTIAL.—

“(1) CASES NOT REFERRED FOLLOWING STAFF JUDGE ADVOCATE RECOMMENDATION FOR REFERRAL FOR TRIAL.—In any case where”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) CASES NOT REFERRED BY CONVENING AUTHORITY UPON REQUEST FOR REVIEW BY CHIEF PROSECUTOR.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—In any case where a convening authority decides not to refer a charge of a sex-related offense to trial by court-martial, the Secretary of the military department concerned shall review the decision as a superior authority authorized to exercise general court-martial convening authority if the chief prosecutor of the Armed Force concerned, in response to a request by the detailed counsel for the Government, requests review of the decision by the Secretary.

“(B) CHIEF PROSECUTOR DEFINED.—In this paragraph, the term ‘chief prosecutor’ means the chief prosecutor or equivalent position of an Armed Force, or, if an Armed

Force does not have a chief prosecutor or equivalent position, such other trial counsel as shall be designated by the Judge Advocate General of that Armed Force, or in the case of the Marine Corps, the Staff Judge Advocate to the Commandant of the Marine Corps.”.

SEC. 542. ANALYSIS AND ASSESSMENT OF DISPOSITION OF MOST SERIOUS OFFENSES IDENTIFIED IN UNRESTRICTED REPORTS ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN ANNUAL REPORTS ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) SUBMITTAL TO SECRETARY OF DEFENSE OF INFORMATION ON EACH ARMED FORCE.—Subsection (b) of section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(11) An analysis of the disposition of the most serious offenses occurring during sexual assaults committed by members of the Armed Force during the year covered by the report, as identified in unrestricted reports of sexual assault by any members of the Armed Forces, including the numbers of reports identifying offenses that were disposed of by each of the following:

“(A) Conviction by court-martial, including a separate statement of the most serious charge preferred and the most serious charge for which convicted.

“(B) Acquittal of all charges at court-martial.

“(C) Non-judicial punishment under section 815 of title 10, United States Code (article 15 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

“(D) Administrative action, including by each type of administrative action imposed.

“(E) Dismissal of all charges, including by reason for dismissal and by stage of proceedings in which dismissal occurred.”.

(b) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE ASSESSMENT OF INFORMATION IN REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “and” at the end;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (2) as paragraph (3);

(3) by inserting after paragraph (1) the following new paragraph (2):

“(2) an assessment of the information submitted to the Secretary pursuant to subsection (b)(11); and”; and

(4) in paragraph (3), as redesignated by paragraph (2) of this subsection, by inserting “other” before “assessments”.

(c) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENTS.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and apply beginning with the report regarding sexual assaults involving members of the Armed Forces required to be submitted by March 1, 2015, under section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

SEC. 543. PLAN FOR LIMITED USE OF CERTAIN INFORMATION ON SEXUAL ASSAULTS IN RESTRICTED REPORTS BY MILITARY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE ORGANIZATIONS.

(a) PLAN REQUIRED.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the

Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a plan that will allow an individual who files a restricted report on an incident of sexual assault to elect to permit a military criminal investigative organization, on a confidential basis and without affecting the restricted nature of the report, to access certain information in the report, including identifying information of the alleged perpetrator if available, for the purpose of identifying individuals who are suspected of perpetrating multiple sexual assaults.

(b) PLAN ELEMENTS.—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An explanation of how the military criminal investigative organization would use, maintain, and protect information in the restricted report.

(2) An explanation of how the identity of an individual who elects to provide access to such information will be protected.

(3) A timeline for implementation of the plan during the one-year period beginning on the date of the submission of the plan to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

SEC. 544. IMPROVED DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE INFORMATION REPORTING AND COLLECTION OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE INCIDENTS INVOLVING MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) DATA REPORTING AND COLLECTION IMPROVEMENTS.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a comprehensive management plan to address deficiencies in the reporting of information on incidents of domestic violence involving members of the Armed Forces for inclusion in the Department of Defense database on domestic violence incidents required by section 1562 of title 10, United States Code, to ensure that the database provides an accurate count of domestic violence incidents and any consequent disciplinary action.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 543(a) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 1562 note) is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1); and

(2) by redesignating paragraphs (2) through (4) as paragraphs (1) through (3), respectively.

SEC. 545. ADDITIONAL DUTIES FOR JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL.

(a) ADDITIONAL DUTIES IMPOSED.—The independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under section 576(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758), known as the “judicial proceedings panel”, shall perform the following additional duties:

(1) Conduct a review and assessment regarding the impact of the use of any mental health records of the victim of an offense under chapter 47 of title 10, United States Code (the Uniform Code of Military Justice), by the accused during the preliminary hearing conducted under section 832 of such title (article 32 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice), and during court-martial proceedings, as compared to the use of similar records in civilian criminal legal proceedings.

(2) Conduct a review and assessment regarding the establishment of a privilege under the Military Rules of Evidence against the disclosure of communications between—

(A) users of and personnel staffing the Department of Defense Safe Helpline; and

(B) users of and personnel staffing of the Department of Defense Safe HelpRoom.

(b) *SUBMISSION OF RESULTS.*—The judicial proceedings panel shall include the results of the reviews and assessments conducted under subsection (a) in one of the reports required by section 576(c)(2)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1760).

SEC. 546. DEFENSE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON INVESTIGATION, PROSECUTION, AND DEFENSE OF SEXUAL ASSAULT IN THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall establish and maintain within the Department of Defense an advisory committee to be known as the “Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces” (in this section referred to as the “Advisory Committee”).

(2) *DEADLINE FOR ESTABLISHMENT.*—The Secretary shall establish the Advisory Committee not later than 30 days before the termination date of the independent panel established by the Secretary under section 576(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1758), known as the “judicial proceedings panel”.

(b) *MEMBERSHIP.*—The Advisory Committee shall consist of not more than 20 members, to be appointed by the Secretary of Defense, who have experience with the investigation, prosecution, and defense of allegations of sexual assault offenses. Members of the Advisory Committee may include Federal and State prosecutors, judges, law professors, and private attorneys. Members of the Armed Forces serving on active duty may not serve as a member of the Advisory Committee.

(c) *DUTIES.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Advisory Committee shall advise the Secretary of Defense on the investigation, prosecution, and defense of allegations of rape, forcible sodomy, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct involving members of the Armed Forces.

(2) *BASIS FOR PROVISION OF ADVICE.*—For purposes of providing advice to the Secretary pursuant to this subsection, the Advisory Committee shall review, on an ongoing basis, cases involving allegations of sexual misconduct described in paragraph (1).

(d) *ANNUAL REPORTS.*—Not later than March 30 each year, the Advisory Committee shall submit to the Secretary of Defense and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report describing the results of the activities of the Advisory Committee pursuant to this section during the preceding year.

(e) *TERMINATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Advisory Committee shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date of the establishment of the Advisory Committee pursuant to subsection (a).

(2) *CONTINUATION.*—The Secretary of Defense may continue the Advisory Committee after the termination date applicable under paragraph (1) if the Secretary determines that continuation of the Advisory Committee after that date is advisable and appropriate. If the Secretary determines to continue the Advisory Committee after that date, the Secretary shall submit to the President and the congressional committees specified in subsection (d) a report describing the reasons for that determination and specifying the new termination date for the Advisory Committee.

(f) *DUE DATE FOR ANNUAL REPORT OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS PANEL.*—Section 576(c)(2)(B) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1760) is amended by inserting “annually thereafter” after “reports”.

SEC. 547. CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW OF CHARACTERIZATION OF TERMS OF DISCHARGE OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO ARE VICTIMS OF SEXUAL OFFENSES.

(a) *CONFIDENTIAL REVIEW PROCESS THROUGH BOARDS FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.*—The Secretaries of the military departments shall each establish a confidential process, utilizing boards for the correction of military records of the military department concerned, by which an individual who was the victim of a sex-related offense during service in the Armed Forces may challenge the terms or characterization of the discharge or separation of the individual from the Armed Forces on the grounds that the terms or characterization were adversely affected by the individual being the victim of such an offense.

(b) *CONSIDERATION OF INDIVIDUAL EXPERIENCES IN CONNECTION WITH OFFENSES.*—In deciding whether to modify the terms or characterization of the discharge or separation from the Armed Forces of an individual described in subsection (a), the Secretary of the military department concerned shall instruct boards for the correction of military records—

(1) to give due consideration to the psychological and physical aspects of the individual’s experience in connection with the sex-related offense; and

(2) to determine what bearing such experience may have had on the circumstances surrounding the individual’s discharge or separation from the Armed Forces.

(c) *PRESERVATION OF CONFIDENTIALITY.*—Documents considered and decisions rendered pursuant to the process required by subsection (a) shall not be made available to the public, except with the consent of the individual concerned.

(d) *SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “sex-related offense” means any of the following:

(1) Rape or sexual assault under subsection (a) or (b) of section 920 of title 10, United States Code (article 120 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(2) Forcible sodomy under section 925 of such title (article 125 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).

(3) *An attempt to commit an offense specified in paragraph (1) or (2) as punishable under section 880 of such title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice).*

Subtitle E—Member Education, Training, and Transition

SEC. 551. ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY TO ASSIST MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES TO OBTAIN PROFESSIONAL CREDENTIALS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 2015 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§2015. Program to assist members in obtaining professional credentials

“(a) *PROGRAM REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, shall carry out a program to enable members of the armed forces to obtain, while serving in the armed forces, professional credentials related to military training and skills that—

“(1) are acquired during service in the armed forces incident to the performance of their military duties; and

“(2) translate into civilian occupations.

“(b) *PAYMENT OF EXPENSES.*—(1) Under the program required by this section, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security, with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, shall provide for the payment of expenses of members for professional accreditation, Federal occupational licenses, State-imposed and professional licenses, professional certification, and related expenses.

“(2) The authority under paragraph (1) may not be used to pay the expenses of a member to obtain professional credentials that are a prerequisite for appointment in the armed forces.

“(c) *REGULATIONS.*—(1) The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security shall prescribe regulations to carry out this section.

“(2) The regulations shall apply uniformly to the armed forces to the extent practicable.

“(3) The regulations shall include the following:

“(A) Requirements for eligibility for participation in the program under this section.

“(B) A description of the professional credentials and occupations covered by the program.

“(C) Mechanisms for oversight of the payment of expenses and the provision of other benefits under the program.

“(D) Such other matters in connection with the payment of expenses and the provision of other benefits under the program as the Secretaries consider appropriate.

“(d) *EXPENSES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘expenses’ means expenses for class room instruction, hands-on training (and associated materials), manuals, study guides and materials, text books, processing fees, and test fees and related fees.”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—*The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 101 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 2015 and inserting the following new item:*

“2015. Program to assist members in obtaining professional credentials.”.

SEC. 552. APPLICABILITY OF SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE AND RELATED MILITARY JUSTICE ENHANCEMENTS TO MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMIES.

(a) *MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMIES.*—*The Secretary of the military department concerned shall ensure that the provisions of title XVII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 950), including amendments made by that title, and the provisions of subtitle D, including amendments made by such subtitle, apply to the United States Military Academy, the Naval Academy, and the Air Force Academy, as applicable.*

(b) *COAST GUARD ACADEMY.*—*The Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating shall ensure that the provisions of title XVII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 950), including amendments made by that title, and the provisions of subtitle D, including amendments made by such subtitle, apply to the Coast Guard Academy.*

SEC. 553. AUTHORIZED DURATION OF FOREIGN AND CULTURAL EXCHANGE ACTIVITIES AT MILITARY SERVICE ACADEMIES.

(a) *UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY.*—*Section 4345a(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “two weeks” and inserting “four weeks”.*

(b) *NAVAL ACADEMY.*—*Section 6957b(a) of such title is amended by striking “two weeks” and inserting “four weeks”.*

(c) *AIR FORCE ACADEMY.*—*Section 9345a(a) of such title is amended by striking “two weeks” and inserting “four weeks”.*

SEC. 554. ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT SUPPORT FOR AIR FORCE ACADEMY ATHLETIC PROGRAMS.

Section 9362 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking subsections (e), (f), and (g) and inserting the following new subsections:

“(e) ACCEPTANCE OF SUPPORT.—

“(1) SUPPORT RECEIVED FROM THE CORPORATION.—Notwithstanding section 1342 of title 31, the Secretary of the Air Force may accept from the corporation funds, supplies, equipment, and services for the support of the athletic programs of the Academy.

“(2) FUNDS RECEIVED FROM OTHER SOURCES.—The Secretary may charge fees for the support of the athletic programs of the Academy. The Secretary may accept and retain fees for services and other benefits provided incident to the operation of its athletic programs, including fees from the National Collegiate Athletic Association, fees from athletic conferences, game guarantees from other educational institutions, fees for ticketing or licensing, and other consideration provided incidental to the execution of the athletic programs of the Academy.

“(3) LIMITATIONS.—The Secretary shall ensure that contributions accepted under this subsection do not—

“(A) reflect unfavorably on the ability of the Department of the Air Force, any of its employees, or any member of the armed forces to carry out any responsibility or duty in a fair and objective manner; or

“(B) compromise the integrity or appearance of integrity of any program of the Department of the Air Force, or any individual involved in such a program.

“(f) LEASES AND LICENSES.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Air Force may, in accordance with section 2667 of this title, enter into leases or licenses with the corporation for the purpose of supporting the athletic programs of the Academy. Consideration provided under such a lease or license may be provided in the form of funds, supplies, equipment, and services for the support of the athletic programs of the Academy.

“(2) SUPPORT SERVICES.—The Secretary may provide support services to the corporation without charge while the corporation conducts its support activities at the Academy. In this paragraph, the term ‘support services’ includes utilities, office furnishings and equipment, communications services, records staging and archiving, audio and video support, and security systems in conjunction with the leasing or licensing of property. Any such support services may only be provided without any liability of the United States to the corporation.

“(g) CONTRACTS AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary of the Air Force may enter into contracts and cooperative agreements with the corporation for the purpose of supporting the athletic programs of the Academy. Notwithstanding section 2304(k) of this title, the Secretary may enter such contracts or cooperative agreements on a sole source basis pursuant to section 2304(c)(5) of this title. Notwithstanding chapter 63 of title 31, a cooperative agreement under this section may be used to acquire property, services, or travel for the direct benefit or use of the athletic programs of the Academy.

“(h) TRADEMARKS AND SERVICE MARKS.—

“(1) LICENSING, MARKETING, AND SPONSORSHIP AGREEMENTS.—An agreement under subsection (g) may, consistent with section 2260 of this title (other than subsection (d) of such section), authorize the corporation to enter into licensing, marketing, and sponsorship agreements relating to trademarks and service marks identifying the Academy, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Air Force.

“(2) LIMITATIONS.—No licensing, marketing, or sponsorship agreement may be entered into under paragraph (1) if—

“(A) such agreement would reflect unfavorably on the ability of the Department of the Air Force, any of its employees, or any member of the armed forces to carry out any responsibility or duty in a fair and objective manner; or

“(B) the Secretary determines that the use of the trademark or service mark would compromise the integrity or appearance of integrity of any program of the Department of the Air Force, or any individual involved in such a program.

“(i) *RETENTION AND USE OF FUNDS.*—Any funds received under this section may be retained for use in support of the athletic programs of the Academy and shall remain available until expended.”.

SEC. 555. PILOT PROGRAM TO ASSIST MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES IN OBTAINING POST-SERVICE EMPLOYMENT.

(a) *PROGRAM AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of Defense may conduct the program described in subsection (c) to enhance the efforts of the Department of Defense to provide job placement assistance and related employment services to eligible members of the Armed Forces described in subsection (b) for the purposes of—

(1) assisting such members in obtaining post-service employment; and

(2) reducing the amount of “Unemployment Compensation for Ex-Servicemembers” that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating pays into the Unemployment Trust Fund.

(b) *ELIGIBLE MEMBERS.*—Employment services provided under the program are limited to members of the Armed Forces, including members of the reserve components, who are being separated from the Armed Forces or released from active duty.

(c) *EVALUATION OF USE OF CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT STAFFING AGENCIES.*—

(1) *PROGRAM DESCRIBED.*—The Secretary of Defense may execute a program to evaluate the feasibility and cost-effectiveness of utilizing the services of civilian employment staffing agencies to assist eligible members of the Armed Forces in obtaining post-service employment.

(2) *PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.*—To manage the program authorized by this subsection, the Secretary of Defense may select a civilian organization (in this section referred to as the “program manager”) whose principal members have experience—

(A) administering pay-for-performance programs; and

(B) within the employment staffing industry.

(3) *EXCLUSION.*—The program manager may not be a staffing agency.

(d) *ELIGIBLE CIVILIAN EMPLOYMENT STAFFING AGENCIES.*—In consultation with the program manager if utilized under subsection (c)(2), the Secretary of Defense shall establish the eligibility requirements to be used for the selection of civilian employment staffing agencies to participate in the program. In establishing the eligibility requirements for the selection of the civilian employment staffing agencies, the Secretary of Defense shall also take into account civilian employment staffing agencies that are willing to work and consult with State and county Veterans Affairs offices and State National Guard offices, when appropriate.

(e) *PAYMENT OF STAFFING AGENCY FEES.*—To encourage employers to employ an eligible member of the Armed Forces under the program if executed under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall pay a participating civilian employment staffing agency a portion of its agency fee (not to exceed 50 percent above the member’s hourly wage). Payment of the agency fee will only be made after the member has been employed and paid by the private sector and the hours worked have been verified by the Secretary. The staffing agency

shall be paid on a weekly basis only for hours the member worked, but not to exceed a total of 800 hours.

(f) **OVERSIGHT REQUIREMENTS.**—In conducting the program, the Secretary of Defense shall establish—

(1) program monitoring standards; and

(2) reporting requirements, including the hourly wage for each eligible member of the Armed Forces obtaining employment under the program, the numbers of hours worked during the month, and the number of members who remained employed with the same employer after completing the first 800 hours of employment.

(g) **SOURCE AND LIMITATION ON PROGRAM OBLIGATIONS.**—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Defense for operation and maintenance for each fiscal year during which the program under this section is authorized, not more than \$35,000,000 may be used to carry out the program.

(h) **REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.**—

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—If the Secretary of Defense executes the program under this section, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report describing the results of the program, particularly whether the program achieved the purposes specified in subsection (a). The report shall be submitted not later than January 15, 2019.

(2) **COMPARISON WITH OTHER PROGRAMS.**—The report shall include a comparison of the results of the program conducted under this section and the results of other employment assistant programs utilized by the Department of Defense. The comparison shall include the number of members of the Armed Forces obtaining employment through each program and the cost to the Department per member.

(3) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate.

(i) **DURATION OF AUTHORITY.**—The authority of the Secretary of Defense to carry out programs under this section expires on September 30, 2018.

SEC. 556. PLAN FOR EDUCATION OF MEMBERS OF ARMED FORCES ON CYBER MATTERS.

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—Not later than 360 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretaries of the military departments, shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a plan for the education of officers and enlisted members of the Armed Forces relating to cyber security and cyber activities of the Department of Defense.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The plan submitted under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A framework for provision of basic cyber education for all members of the Armed Forces.

(2) A framework for undergraduate and postgraduate education, joint professional military education, and strategic war gaming for cyber strategic and operational leadership.

(3) Definitions of required positions, including military occupational specialties and rating specialties for each military department, along with the corresponding level of cyber training, education, qualifications, or certifications required for each specialty.

SEC. 557. ENHANCEMENT OF INFORMATION PROVIDED TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND VETERANS REGARDING USE OF POST-9/11 EDUCATIONAL ASSISTANCE AND FEDERAL FINANCIAL AID THROUGH TRANSITION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.

(a) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED.—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enhance the higher education component of the Transition Assistance Program (TAP) of the Department of Defense by providing additional information that is more complete and accurate than the information provided as of the day before the date of the enactment of this Act to individuals who apply for educational assistance under chapter 30 or 33 of title 38, United States Code, to pursue a program of education at an institution of higher learning.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The additional information required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) Information provided by the Secretary of Education that is publically available and addresses—

(i) to the extent practicable, differences between types of institutions of higher learning in such matters as tuition and fees, admission requirements, accreditation, transferability of credits, credit for qualifying military training, time required to complete a degree, and retention and job placement rates; and

(ii) how Federal educational assistance provided under title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070 *et seq.*) may be used in conjunction with educational assistance provided under chapters 30 and 33 of title 38, United States Code.

(B) Information about the Postsecondary Education Complaint System of the Department of Defense, the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Department of Education, and the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.

(C) Information about the GI Bill Comparison Tool of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

(D) Information about each of the Principles of Excellence established by the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and the Secretary of Education pursuant to Executive Order 13607 of April 27, 2012 (77 Fed. Reg. 25861), including how to recognize whether an institution of higher learning may be violating any of such principles.

(E) Information to enable individuals described in paragraph (1) to develop a post-secondary education plan appropriate and compatible with their educational goals.

(F) *Such other information as the Secretary of Education considers appropriate.*

(3) **CONSULTATION.**—*In carrying out this subsection, the Secretary of Defense shall consult with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the Secretary of Education, and the Director of the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.*

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF HIGHER EDUCATION COMPONENT ONLINE.**—*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the higher education component of the Transition Assistance Program is available to members of the Armed Forces on an Internet website of the Department of Defense so that members have an option to complete such component electronically and remotely.*

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) *The term “institution of higher learning” has the meaning given such term in section 3452 of title 38, United States Code.*

(2) *The term “types of institutions of higher learning” means the following:*

(A) *An educational institution described in section 101(a) of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001(a)).*

(B) *An educational institution described in subsection (b) or (c) of section 102 of such Act (20 U.S.C. 1002).*

SEC. 558. PROCEDURES FOR PROVISION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION TO STATE VETERANS AGENCIES TO FACILITATE THE TRANSITION OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FROM MILITARY SERVICE TO CIVILIAN LIFE.

(a) **PROCEDURES REQUIRED.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall develop procedures to share the information described in subsection (b) regarding members of the Armed Forces who are being separated from the Armed Forces with State veterans agencies in electronic data format as a means of facilitating the transition of such members from military service to civilian life.*

(b) **COVERED INFORMATION.**—*The information to be shared with State veterans agencies regarding a member shall include the following:*

(1) *Military service and separation data.*

(2) *A personal email address.*

(3) *A personal telephone number.*

(4) *A mailing address.*

(c) **CONSENT.**—*The procedures developed pursuant to subsection (a) shall require the consent of a member of the Armed Forces before any information described in subsection (b) regarding the member is shared with a State veterans agency.*

(d) **USE OF INFORMATION.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the information shared with State veterans agencies in accordance with the procedures developed pursuant to subsection (a) is only shared by such agencies with county government veterans service offices for such purposes as the Secretary shall specify for the administration and delivery of benefits.*

(e) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Veterans’ Affairs of the*

Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the progress made by the Secretary—

(A) in developing the procedures required by subsection (a); and

(B) in sharing information with State veterans agencies as described in such subsection.

(2) *CONTENTS.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the procedures developed to share information with State veterans agencies.

(B) A description of the sharing activities carried out by the Secretary in accordance with such procedures.

(C) The number of members of the Armed Force who gave their consent for the sharing of information with State veterans agencies.

(D) Such recommendations as the Secretary may have for legislative or administrative action to improve the sharing of information as described in subsection (a).

Subtitle F—Defense Dependents’ Education and Military Family Readiness Matters

SEC. 561. CONTINUATION OF AUTHORITY TO ASSIST LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES THAT BENEFIT DEPENDENTS OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES.

(a) *ASSISTANCE TO SCHOOLS WITH SIGNIFICANT NUMBERS OF MILITARY DEPENDENT STUDENTS.*—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, \$25,000,000 shall be available only for the purpose of providing assistance to local educational agencies under subsection (a) of section 572 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 20 U.S.C. 7703b).

(b) *LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCY DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “local educational agency” has the meaning given that term in section 8013(9) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7713(9)).

SEC. 562. IMPACT AID FOR CHILDREN WITH SEVERE DISABILITIES.

Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 pursuant to section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for Defense-wide activities as specified in the funding table in section 4301, \$5,000,000 shall be available for payments under section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398; 114 Stat. 1654A–77; 20 U.S.C. 7703a).

SEC. 563. AMENDMENTS TO THE IMPACT AID IMPROVEMENT ACT OF 2012.

Section 563(c) of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1748; 20 U.S.C. 6301 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

- (A) by inserting “(other than the amendment made by paragraph (3)(A) of such subsection)” after “subsection (b)”; and
- (B) by striking “2-year” and inserting “5-year”; and
- (2) in paragraph (4)—
- (A) by inserting “(other than the amendment made by paragraph (3)(A) of such subsection)” after “subsection (b)”;
- (B) by striking “2-year” and inserting “5-year”; and
- (C) by inserting “(other than the amendment made by paragraph (3)(A) of such subsection)” after “made by such subsection”.

SEC. 564. AUTHORITY TO EMPLOY NON-UNITED STATES CITIZENS AS TEACHERS IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OVERSEAS DEPENDENTS’ SCHOOL SYSTEM.

Section 2(2)(A) of the Defense Department Overseas Teachers Pay and Personnel Practices Act (20 U.S.C. 901(2)(A)) is amended by inserting before the comma at the end the following: “or, in the case of a teaching position that involves instruction in the host-nation language, a local national when a citizen of the United States is not reasonably available to provide such instruction”.

SEC. 565. INCLUSION OF DOMESTIC DEPENDENT ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS AMONG FUNCTIONS OF ADVISORY COUNCIL ON DEPENDENTS’ EDUCATION.

(a) *EXPANSION OF FUNCTIONS.*—Subsection (c) of section 1411 of the Defense Dependents’ Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 929) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by inserting “, and of the domestic dependent elementary and secondary school system established under section 2164 of title 10, United States Code,” after “of the defense dependents’ education system”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by inserting “and in the domestic dependent elementary and secondary school system” before the comma at the end.

(b) *MEMBERSHIP OF COUNCIL.*—Subsection (a)(1)(B) of such section is amended—

(1) by inserting “and the domestic dependent elementary and secondary schools established under section 2164 of title 10, United States Code” after “the defense dependents’ education system”; and

(2) by inserting “either” before “such system”.

SEC. 566. PROTECTION OF CHILD CUSTODY ARRANGEMENTS FOR PARENTS WHO ARE MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) *CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION.*—Title II of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 521 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 208. CHILD CUSTODY PROTECTION.

“(a) *DURATION OF TEMPORARY CUSTODY ORDER BASED ON CERTAIN DEPLOYMENTS.*—If a court renders a temporary order for custodial responsibility for a child based solely on a deployment or anticipated deployment of a parent who is a servicemember, the court shall require that the temporary order shall expire not later than the period justified by the deployment of the servicemember.

“(b) *LIMITATION ON CONSIDERATION OF MEMBER’S DEPLOYMENT IN DETERMINATION OF CHILD’S BEST INTEREST.*—If a motion or a petition is filed seeking a permanent order to modify the custody of the child of a servicemember, no court may consider the absence of the servicemember by reason of deployment, or the possibility of deployment, as the sole factor in determining the best interest of the child.

“(c) *NO FEDERAL JURISDICTION OR RIGHT OF ACTION OR REMOVAL.*—Nothing in this section shall create a Federal right of action or otherwise give rise to Federal jurisdiction or create a right of removal.

“(d) *PREEMPTION.*—In any case where State law applicable to a child custody proceeding involving a temporary order as contemplated in this section provides a higher standard of protection to the rights of the parent who is a deploying servicemember than the rights provided under this section with respect to such temporary order, the appropriate court shall apply the higher State standard.

“(e) *DEPLOYMENT DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘deployment’ means the movement or mobilization of a servicemember to a location for a period of longer than 60 days and not longer than 540 days pursuant to temporary or permanent official orders—

“(1) that are designated as unaccompanied;

“(2) for which dependent travel is not authorized; or

“(3) that otherwise do not permit the movement of family members to that location.”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of contents in section 1(b) of such Act is amended by adding at the end of the items relating to title II the following new item:

“Sec. 208. Child custody protection.”.

SEC. 567. IMPROVED CONSISTENCY IN DATA COLLECTION AND REPORTING IN ARMED FORCES SUICIDE PREVENTION EFFORTS.

(a) *POLICY FOR STANDARD SUICIDE DATA COLLECTION, REPORTING, AND ASSESSMENT.*—

(1) *POLICY REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe a policy for the development of a standard method for collecting, reporting, and assessing information regarding—

(A) any suicide or attempted suicide involving a member of the Armed Forces, including reserve components thereof; and

(B) any death that is reported as a suicide involving a dependent of a member of the Armed Forces.

(2) *PURPOSE OF POLICY.*—The purpose of the policy required by this subsection is to improve the consistency and comprehensiveness of—

(A) the suicide prevention policy developed pursuant to section 582 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note); and

(B) the suicide prevention and resilience program for the National Guard and Reserves established pursuant to section 10219 of title 10, United States Code.

(3) *CONSULTATION.*—The Secretary of Defense shall develop the policy required by this subsection in consultation with the Secretaries of the military departments and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau.

(b) *SUBMISSION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF POLICY.*—

(1) *SUBMISSION.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit the policy developed under subsection (a) to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(2) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—The Secretaries of the military departments shall implement the policy developed under subsection (a) not later than 180 days after the date of the submittal of the policy under paragraph (1).

(c) *DEPENDENT DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “dependent”, with respect to a member of the Armed Forces, means a person described in section 1072(2) of title 10, United States Code, except that, in the case of a parent or parent-in-law of the member, the income requirements of subparagraph (E) of such section do not apply.

SEC. 568. IMPROVED DATA COLLECTION RELATED TO EFFORTS TO REDUCE UNDEREMPLOYMENT OF SPOUSES OF MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND CLOSE THE WAGE GAP BETWEEN MILITARY SPOUSES AND THEIR CIVILIAN COUNTERPARTS.

(a) *DATA COLLECTION EFFORTS.*—In addition to monitoring the number of spouses of members of the Armed Forces who obtain employment through military spouse employment programs, the Secretary of Defense shall collect data to evaluate the effectiveness of military spouse employment programs—

(1) in addressing the underemployment of military spouses;

(2) in matching military spouses’ education and experience to available employment positions; and

(3) in closing the wage gap between military spouses and their civilian counterparts.

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report evaluating the progress of military spouse employment programs—

(1) in reducing military spouse unemployment and underemployment; and

(2) in reducing the wage gap between military spouses and their civilian counterparts.

(c) *MILITARY SPOUSE EMPLOYMENT PROGRAMS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “military spouse employment programs” means the Military Spouse Employment Partnership (MSEP).

Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards

SEC. 571. MEDALS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WHO WERE KILLED OR WOUNDED IN AN ATTACK BY A FOREIGN TERRORIST ORGANIZATION.

(a) *PURPLE HEART.*—

(1) *AWARD.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 57 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1129 the following new section:

“§ 1129a. Purple Heart: members killed or wounded in attacks by foreign terrorist organizations

“(a) *IN GENERAL.*—For purposes of the award of the Purple Heart, the Secretary concerned shall treat a member of the armed forces described in subsection (b) in the same manner as a member who is killed or wounded as a result of an international terrorist attack against the United States.

“(b) *COVERED MEMBERS.*—(1) A member described in this subsection is a member on active duty who was killed or wounded in an attack by a foreign terrorist organization in circumstances where the death or wound is the result of an attack targeted on the member due to such member’s status as a member of the armed forces, unless the death or wound is the result of willful misconduct of the member.

“(2) For purposes of this section, an attack by an individual or entity shall be considered to be an attack by a foreign terrorist organization if—

“(A) the individual or entity was in communication with the foreign terrorist organization before the attack; and

“(B) the attack was inspired or motivated by the foreign terrorist organization.

“(c) *FOREIGN TERRORIST ORGANIZATION DEFINED.*—In this section, the term ‘foreign terrorist organization’ means an entity designated as a foreign terrorist organization by the Secretary of State pursuant to section 219 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189).”

(B) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 57 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1129 the following new item:

“1129a. Purple Heart: members killed or wounded in attacks by foreign terrorist organizations.”

(2) *RETROACTIVE EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.*—

(A) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendments made by paragraph (1) shall take effect as of September 11, 2001.

(B) *REVIEW OF CERTAIN PREVIOUS INCIDENTS.*—The Secretary concerned shall undertake a review of each death or wounding of a member of the Armed Forces that occurred between September 11, 2001, and the date of the enactment of this Act under circumstances that could qualify as being the result of an attack described in section 1129a of title 10, United States Code (as added by paragraph (1)), to determine whether the death or wounding qualifies as a death or wounding resulting from an attack by a foreign terrorist organization for purposes of the award of the Purple Heart pursuant to such section (as so added).

(C) *ACTIONS FOLLOWING REVIEW.*—If the death or wounding of a member of the Armed Forces reviewed under subparagraph (B) is determined to qualify as a death or wounding resulting from an attack by a foreign terrorist or-

ganization as described in section 1129a of title 10, United States Code (as so added), the Secretary concerned shall take appropriate action under such section to award the Purple Heart to the member.

(D) **SECRETARY CONCERNED DEFINED.**—In this paragraph, the term “Secretary concerned” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(9) of title 10, United States Code.

(b) **SECRETARY OF DEFENSE MEDAL FOR THE DEFENSE OF FREEDOM.**—

(1) **REVIEW OF THE NOVEMBER 5, 2009, ATTACK AT FORT HOOD, TEXAS.**—If the Secretary concerned determines, after a review under subsection (a)(2)(B) regarding the attack that occurred at Fort Hood, Texas, on November 5, 2009, that the death or wounding of any member of the Armed Forces in that attack qualified as a death or wounding resulting from an attack by a foreign terrorist organization as described in section 1129a of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), the Secretary of Defense shall make a determination as to whether the death or wounding of any civilian employee of the Department of Defense or civilian contractor in the same attack meets the eligibility criteria for the award of the Secretary of Defense Medal for the Defense of Freedom.

(2) **AWARD.**—If the Secretary of Defense determines under paragraph (1) that the death or wounding of any civilian employee of the Department of Defense or civilian contractor in the attack that occurred at Fort Hood, Texas, on November 5, 2009, meets the eligibility criteria for the award of the Secretary of Defense Medal for the Defense of Freedom, the Secretary shall take appropriate action to award the Secretary of Defense Medal for the Defense of Freedom to the employee or contractor.

SEC. 572. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE MEDAL OF HONOR TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR ACTS OF VALOR DURING WORLD WAR I.

(a) **WILLIAM SHEMIN.**—

(1) **WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.**—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President may award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to William Shemin for the acts of valor during World War I described in paragraph (1).

(2) **ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.**—The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (1) are the actions of William Shemin while serving as a Rifleman with G Company, 2d Battalion, 47th Infantry Regiment, 4th Division, American Expeditionary Forces, in connection with combat operations against an armed enemy on the Vesle River, near Bazoches, France, from August 7 to August 9, 1918, during World War I for which he was originally awarded the Distinguished Service Cross.

(b) **HENRY JOHNSON.**—

(1) **WAIVER OF TIME LIMITATIONS.**—Notwithstanding the time limitations specified in section 3744 of title 10, United States Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the awarding

of certain medals to persons who served in the Armed Forces, the President may award the Medal of Honor under section 3741 of such title to Henry Johnson for the acts of valor during World War I described in paragraph (2).

(2) *ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.*—The acts of valor referred to in paragraph (2) are the actions of Henry Johnson while serving as a member of Company C, 369th Infantry Regiment, 93rd Division, American Expeditionary Forces, during combat operations against the enemy on the front lines of the Western Front in France on May 15, 1918, during World War I for which he was previously awarded the Distinguished Service Cross.

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements

SEC. 581. REVIEW AND REPORT ON MILITARY PROGRAMS AND CONTROLS REGARDING PROFESSIONALISM.

(a) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a preliminary review of the effectiveness of current programs and controls of the Department of Defense and the military departments regarding the professionalism of members of the Armed Forces.

(b) *SUBMISSION OF REPORT.*—Not later than September 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing recommendations to strengthen professionalism programs in the Department of Defense.

SEC. 582. REVIEW AND REPORT ON PREVENTION OF SUICIDE AMONG MEMBERS OF UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.

(a) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, shall conduct a review of Department of Defense efforts regarding the prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents.

(b) *CONSULTATION.*—In conducting the review under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consult with, and consider the recommendations of, the Office of Suicide Prevention, the Secretaries of the military departments, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, and the United States Special Operations Command regarding the feasibility of implementing, for members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents, particular elements of the Department of Defense suicide prevention policy developed pursuant to section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note) and section 582 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note).

(c) *ELEMENTS OF REVIEW.*—The review conducted under subsection (a) shall specifically include an assessment of each of the following:

(1) Current Armed Forces and United States Special Operations Command policy guidelines on the prevention of suicide

among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents.

(2) Current and directed Armed Forces and United States Special Operations Command suicide prevention programs and activities for members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents, including programs provided by the Defense Health Program and the Office of Suicide Prevention and programs supporting family members.

(3) Current Armed Forces and United States Special Operations Command strategies to reduce suicides among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents, including the cost of such strategies across the future-years defense program.

(4) Current Armed Forces and United States Special Operations Command standards of care for suicide prevention among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents, including training standards for behavioral health care providers to ensure that such providers receive training on clinical best practices and evidence-based treatments as information on such practices and treatments becomes available.

(5) The integration of mental health screenings and suicide risk and prevention efforts for members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents into the delivery of primary care for such members and dependents.

(6) The standards for responding to attempted or completed suicides among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents, including guidance and training to assist commanders in addressing incidents of attempted or completed suicide within their units.

(7) The standards regarding data collection for individual members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents, including related factors such as domestic violence and child abuse.

(8) The means to ensure the protection of privacy of members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents who seek or receive treatment related to suicide prevention.

(9) The potential need to differentiate members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents from members of conventional forces and their dependents in the development and delivery of the Department of Defense suicide prevention program.

(10) Such other matters as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate in connection with the prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents.

(d) SUBMISSION OF REPORT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review conducted under subsection (a).

SEC. 583. REVIEW AND REPORT ON PROVISION OF JOB PLACEMENT ASSISTANCE AND RELATED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES DIRECTLY TO MEMBERS OF THE RESERVE COMPONENTS.

(a) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the feasibility of improving the efforts of the Department of Defense to provide job placement assistance and related employment services directly to members in the National Guard and Reserves. In evaluating potential job placement programs, the Secretary shall consider—

- (1) the likely cost of the program;
- (2) the impact of the program on increasing employment opportunities and results for members of the reserve components; and
- (3) how a Department program would compare to other unemployment or underemployment programs of the Federal Government already available to members of the reserve components.

(b) *SUBMISSION OF REPORT.*—Not later than April 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review.

SEC. 584. REPORT ON FOREIGN LANGUAGE, REGIONAL EXPERTISE, AND CULTURE CONSIDERATIONS IN OVERSEAS MILITARY OPERATIONS.

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report concerning—

- (1) foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations, including gender-based considerations in the context of foreign cultural norms; and
- (2) how such considerations factor into the planning and execution of overseas operations and missions of the Armed Forces.

(b) *CONSULTATION.*—In preparing the report under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall consult with, and consider the recommendations of, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

(c) *ELEMENTS OF REPORT.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

- (1) An assessment of how foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations, including gender-based considerations in the context of foreign cultural norms, affect overseas operations and missions of the Armed Forces, including lessons learned as a result of members of the Armed Forces engaging with female civilian populations in Iraq and Afghanistan and during other overseas operations and missions.
- (2) An identification of how the Department of Defense addresses such considerations in its planning and execution of overseas operations and missions, including how it educates military commanders on foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations, including gender-based considerations in the context of foreign cultural norms.
- (3) An evaluation of the adequacy of current programs and the need for additional or modified programs to train members of the Armed Forces regarding such considerations, including proposed changes in the length of training and curriculum.

(4) *An evaluation of the need for advisors within the military commands and Armed Forces, including billet descriptions for such advisors, where to assign them within the military command and Armed Forces, and the desirability and feasibility of assigning such advisors in combatant command and joint task force staffs.*

(5) *Any other matters the Secretary of Defense may determine to be appropriate.*

(d) **FORM OF REPORT.**—*The report prepared under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

SEC. 585. DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF REPORT CONTAINING RESULTS OF REVIEW OF OFFICE OF DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT AND EQUAL OPPORTUNITY ROLE IN SEXUAL HARASSMENT CASES.

Not later than April 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review conducted pursuant to section 1735 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 976).

SEC. 586. INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF RISK AND RESILIENCY OF UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES AND EFFECTIVENESS OF THE PRESERVATION OF THE FORCE AND FAMILIES AND HUMAN PERFORMANCE PROGRAMS.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall provide for an independent assessment of—*

(1) *the mental, behavioral, and psychological health challenges facing members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces; and*

(2) *the effectiveness of the Preservation of the Force and Families Program and the Human Performance Program of the United States Special Operations Command in addressing such challenges.*

(b) **ENTITY CONDUCTING ASSESSMENT.**—*To conduct the assessment required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall select a federally funded research and development center or another appropriate independent entity.*

(c) **ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS.**—*The assessment required by subsection (a) shall specifically include the following:*

(1) *The factors contributing to the mental, behavioral, and psychological health challenges facing members of the Armed Forces assigned to special operations forces.*

(2) *The effectiveness of the Preservation of the Force and Families Program in addressing the mental, behavioral, and psychological health of members of the special operations forces, including the extent to which measurements of effectiveness are being utilized to assess progress—*

(A) *in reducing suicide and other mental, behavioral, and psychological risks; and*

(B) *in increasing the resiliency of such members.*

(3) *The effectiveness of the Human Performance Program in improving the mental, behavioral, and psychological health of members of the special operations forces, including the extent to*

which measurements of effectiveness are being utilized to assess progress—

(A) in reducing suicide and other mental, behavioral and psychological risks; and

(B) in increasing the resiliency of such members.

(4) Such other matters as the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.

(d) **SUBMISSION OF REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the results of the assessment conducted under subsection (a).

SEC. 587. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON HAZING IN THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the designated congressional committees a report on the policies to prevent hazing, and systems initiated to track incidents of hazing, in each of the Armed Forces.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An evaluation of the definition of hazing by the Armed Forces.

(2) A description of the criteria used, and the methods implemented, in the systems to track incidents of hazing in the Armed Forces.

(3) The number of alleged and substantiated incidents of hazing, as reflected in the tracking systems, over the last two years for each Armed Force, the nature of these incidents, and actions taken to address such incidents through non-judicial and judicial action.

(4) An assessment of the following:

(A) The prevalence of hazing in each Armed Force.

(B) The policies in place and the training on hazing provided to members throughout the course of their careers for each Armed Force.

(C) The available outlets through which victims or witnesses of hazing can report hazing both within and outside their chain of command, and whether or not anonymous reporting is permitted.

(D) The actions taken to mitigate hazing incidents in each Armed Force.

(E) The effectiveness of the training and policies in place regarding hazing.

(5) An evaluation of the additional actions, if any, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Homeland Security propose to take to further address hazing in the Armed Forces.

(6) Such recommendations as the Comptroller General considers appropriate for improving hazing prevention programs, policies, and other actions taken to address hazing within the Armed Forces.

(c) **DESIGNATED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “designated congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Commerce, Science and Transportation of the Senate; and

(2) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure of the House of Representatives.*

SEC. 588. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON IMPACT OF CERTAIN MENTAL AND PHYSICAL TRAUMA ON DISCHARGES FROM MILITARY SERVICE FOR MISCONDUCT.

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—*The Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the impact of mental and physical trauma relating to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI), behavioral health matters not related to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, and other neurological combat traumas (in this section referred to as “covered traumas”) on the discharge of members of the Armed Forces from the Armed Forces for misconduct.*

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—*The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) *An assessment of the extent to which the Armed Forces have in place processes for the consideration of the impact of mental and physical trauma relating to covered traumas on members of the Armed Forces who are being considered for discharge from the Armed Forces for misconduct, including the compliance of the Armed Forces with such processes and mechanisms in the Department of Defense for ensuring the compliance of the Armed Forces with such processes.*

(2) *An assessment of the extent to which the Armed Forces provide members of the Armed Forces, including commanding officers, junior officers, and noncommissioned officers, training on the symptoms of covered traumas and the identification of the presence of such conditions in members of the Armed Forces.*

(3) *An assessment of the extent to which members of the Armed Forces who receive treatment for a covered trauma before discharge from the Armed Forces are later discharged from the Armed Forces for misconduct.*

(4) *An identification of the number of members of the Armed Forces discharged as described in paragraph (3) who are ineligible for benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs based on characterization of discharge.*

(5) *An assessment of the extent to which members of the Armed Forces who accept a discharge from the Armed Forces for misconduct in lieu of trial by court-martial are counseled on the potential for ineligibility for benefits from the Department of Veterans Affairs as a result of such discharge before acceptance of such discharge.*

Subtitle I—Other Matters

SEC. 591. INSPECTION OF OUTPATIENT RESIDENTIAL FACILITIES OCCUPIED BY RECOVERING SERVICE MEMBERS.

Section 1662(a) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note) is amended by striking “inspected on a semiannual basis for the first two years after the enact-

ment of this Act and annually thereafter” and inserting “inspected at least once every two years”.

SEC. 592. DESIGNATION OF VOTER ASSISTANCE OFFICES.

(a) *DESIGNATION AUTHORITY.*—Subsection (a) of section 1566a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 and under” and inserting “Under”; and

(2) by inserting after “their jurisdiction” the following: “, or at such installations as the Secretary of the military department concerned shall determine are best located to provide access to voter assistance services for all covered individuals in a particular location,”.

(b) *REPORT ON CLOSURE OF VOTER ASSISTANCE OFFICE.*—Subsection (f) of such section is amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “The Secretary of Defense”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) The Secretary of a military department shall provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives with notice of any decision by the Secretary to close a voter assistance office that was designated on an installation before the date of the enactment of this paragraph. The notice shall include the rationale for the closure, the timing of the closure, the number of covered individuals supported by the office, and the plan for providing the assistance available under subsection (a) to covered individuals after the closure of the office.”.

SEC. 593. REPEAL OF ELECTRONIC VOTING DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.

Section 1604 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 52 U.S.C. 20301 note) is repealed.

SEC. 594. AUTHORITY FOR REMOVAL FROM NATIONAL CEMETERIES OF REMAINS OF CERTAIN DECEASED MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WHO HAVE NO KNOWN NEXT OF KIN.

(a) *REMOVAL AUTHORITY.*—Section 1488 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) *REMOVAL OF REMAINS OF CERTAIN MEMBERS WITH NO KNOWN NEXT OF KIN.*—(1) The Secretary of the Army may authorize the removal of the remains of a covered member of the armed forces who is buried in an Army National Military Cemetery from the Army National Military Cemetery for transfer to any other cemetery.

“(2) The Secretary of the Army, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, may authorize the removal of the remains of a covered member of the armed forces who is buried in a cemetery of the National Cemetery System from that cemetery for transfer to any Army National Military Cemetery.

“(3) A removal of remains may not be authorized under this subsection unless the individual seeking the removal of the remains—

“(A) demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the Army that the member of the armed forces concerned has no known next of kin or other person who is interested in maintaining the place of burial; and

“(B) undertakes full responsibility for all expenses of the removal of the remains and the reburial of the remains at another cemetery as authorized by this subsection.

“(4) In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘Army National Military Cemetery’ means a cemetery specified in section 4721(b) of this title.

“(B) The term ‘covered member of the armed forces’ means a member of the armed forces who—

“(i) has been awarded the Medal of Honor; and

“(ii) has no known next of kin.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by inserting before “If a cemetery” the following:

“(a) REMOVAL UPON DISCONTINUANCE OF INSTALLATION CEMETERY.—”;

(2) by striking “his jurisdiction” and inserting “the jurisdiction of the Secretary concerned”; and

(3) by inserting before “With respect to” the following:

“(b) REMOVAL FROM TEMPORARY INTERMENT OR ABANDONED GRAVE OR CEMETERY.—”.

SEC. 595. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING LEAVING NO MEMBER OF THE ARMED FORCES UNACCOUNTED FOR DURING THE DRAWDOWN OF UNITED STATES FORCES IN AFGHANISTAN.

It is the sense of Congress that the United States—

(1) *should undertake every reasonable effort—*

(A) *to search for and repatriate members of the Armed Forces who are missing; and*

(B) *to repatriate members of the Armed Forces who are captured;*

(2) *has a responsibility to keep the promises made to members of the Armed Forces who risk their lives on a daily basis on behalf of the people of the United States; and*

(3) *while continuing to transition leadership roles in combat operations in Afghanistan to the people of Afghanistan, must continue to fulfill the promise of the United States Soldier’s Creed and the Warrior Ethos, which states that “I will never leave a fallen comrade”, with respect to any member of the Armed Forces who is in a missing status or captured as a result of service in Afghanistan now or in the future.*

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

Sec. 601. No fiscal year 2015 increase in basic pay for general and flag officers.

Sec. 602. Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances.

Sec. 603. Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau and Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau among senior members of the Armed Forces for purposes of pay and allowances.

Sec. 604. Modification of computation of basic allowance for housing inside the United States.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

- Sec. 611. *One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces.*
- Sec. 612. *One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals.*
- Sec. 613. *One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers.*
- Sec. 614. *One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities.*
- Sec. 615. *One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays.*

Subtitle C—Disability Pay, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

- Sec. 621. *Earlier determination of dependent status with respect to transitional compensation for dependents of certain members separated for dependent abuse.*
- Sec. 622. *Modification of determination of retired pay base for officers retired in general and flag officer grades.*
- Sec. 623. *Inapplicability of reduced annual adjustment of retired pay for members of the Armed Forces under the age of 62 under the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 who first become members prior to January 1, 2016.*
- Sec. 624. *Survivor Benefit Plan annuities for special needs trusts established for the benefit of dependent children incapable of self-support.*
- Sec. 625. *Modification of per-fiscal year calculation of days of certain active duty or active service to reduce eligibility age for retirement for non-regular service.*

Subtitle D—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

- Sec. 631. *Procurement of brand-name and other commercial items for resale by commissary stores.*
- Sec. 632. *Authority of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities to enter into contracts with other Federal agencies and instrumentalities to provide and obtain certain goods and services.*
- Sec. 633. *Competitive pricing of legal consumer tobacco products sold in Department of Defense retail stores.*
- Sec. 634. *Review of management, food, and pricing options for defense commissary system.*

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances**SEC. 601. NO FISCAL YEAR 2015 INCREASE IN BASIC PAY FOR GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICERS.**

In the case of commissioned officers in the uniformed services in pay grades O-7 through O-10—

(1) section 203(a)(2) of title 37, United States Code, shall be applied for rates of basic pay payable for such officers during calendar year 2015 by using the rate of pay for level II of the Executive Schedule in effect during 2014; and

(2) the rates of monthly basic pay payable for such officers shall not increase during calendar year 2015.

SEC. 602. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE TEMPORARY INCREASE IN RATES OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING UNDER CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES.

Section 403(b)(7)(E) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

SEC. 603. INCLUSION OF CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU AND SENIOR ENLISTED ADVISOR TO THE CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU AMONG SENIOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES FOR PURPOSES OF PAY AND ALLOWANCES.

(a) **BASIC PAY RATE EQUAL TREATMENT OF CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU AND SENIOR ENLISTED ADVISOR TO THE CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU.**—

(1) **CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU.**—*The rate of basic pay for an officer while serving as the Chief of the National Guard Bureau shall be the same as the rate of basic pay for the officers specified in Footnote 2 of the table entitled “COMMISSIONED OFFICERS” in section 601(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 37 U.S.C. 1009 note), regardless of cumulative years of service computed under section 205 of title 37, United States Code.*

(2) **SENIOR ENLISTED ADVISOR TO THE CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—*Subsection (a)(1) of section 685 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 37 U.S.C. 205 note) is amended by inserting “or as Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau” after “Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff”.*

(B) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—*The heading of such section is amended by inserting “AND FOR THE CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU” after “CHAIRMAN OF THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF”.*

(b) **PAY DURING TERMINAL LEAVE AND WHILE HOSPITALIZED.**—*Section 210 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) *in subsection (a), by inserting “or the senior enlisted advisor to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff or the Chief of the National Guard Bureau” after “that armed force” the first place it appears; and*

(2) *in subsection (c), by striking paragraph (6).*

(c) **PERSONAL MONEY ALLOWANCE.**—*Section 414 of title 37, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) *in subsection (a)(5), by striking “or Commandant of the Coast Guard” and inserting “Commandant of the Coast Guard, or Chief of the National Guard Bureau”; and*

(2) *in subsection (c), by striking “or the Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff” and inserting “the Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, or the Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau”.*

(d) **RETIRED BASE PAY.**—*Section 1406(i) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—*

(1) *in the subsection heading, by inserting “CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU,” after “CHIEFS OF SERVICE,”;*

(2) *in paragraph (1)—*

(A) *by inserting “as Chief of the National Guard Bureau,” after “Chief of Service,”; and*

(B) *by inserting “or the senior enlisted advisor to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff or the Chief of the National Guard Bureau” after “of an armed force”; and*

(3) in paragraph (3)(B), by striking clause (vi).

(e) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—This section and the amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to months of service that begin on or after that date.

SEC. 604. MODIFICATION OF COMPUTATION OF BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Paragraph (3) of section 403(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(3)(A) The monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing for an area of the United States for a member of a uniformed service shall be the amount equal to the difference between—

“(i) the amount of the monthly cost of adequate housing in that area, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, for members of the uniformed services serving in the same pay grade and with the same dependency status as the member; and

“(ii) the amount equal to a specified percentage (determined under subparagraph (B)) of the national average monthly cost of adequate housing in the United States, as determined by the Secretary, for members of the uniformed services serving in the same pay grade and with the same dependency status as the member.

“(B) The percentage to be used for purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be determined by the Secretary of Defense and may not exceed one percent.”.

(b) **SPECIAL RULE.**—Any reduction authorized by paragraph (3) of subsection (b) of section 403 of title 37, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), shall not apply with respect to benefits paid by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs under the laws administered by the Secretary, including pursuant to sections 3108 and 3313 of title 38, United States Code. Such benefits that are determined in accordance with such section 403 shall be subject to paragraph (3) of such section as such paragraph was in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

SEC. 611. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR RESERVE FORCES.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”:

(1) Section 308b(g), relating to Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus.

(2) Section 308c(i), relating to Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus.

(3) Section 308d(c), relating to special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units.

(4) Section 308g(f)(2), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service.

(5) Section 308h(e), relating to Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(6) Section 308i(f), relating to Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service.

(7) Section 478a(e), relating to reimbursement of travel expenses for inactive-duty training outside of normal commuting distance.

(8) Section 910(g), relating to income replacement payments for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for active duty service.

SEC. 612. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF CERTAIN BONUS AND SPECIAL PAY AUTHORITIES FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS.

(a) TITLE 10 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 10, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”:

(1) Section 2130a(a)(1), relating to nurse officer candidate accession program.

(2) Section 16302(d), relating to repayment of education loans for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve.

(b) TITLE 37 AUTHORITIES.—The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”:

(1) Section 302c–1(f), relating to accession and retention bonuses for psychologists.

(2) Section 302d(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for registered nurses.

(3) Section 302e(a)(1), relating to incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists.

(4) Section 302g(e), relating to special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties.

(5) Section 302h(a)(1), relating to accession bonus for dental officers.

(6) Section 302j(a), relating to accession bonus for pharmacy officers.

(7) Section 302k(f), relating to accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties.

(8) Section 302l(g), relating to accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

SEC. 613. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF SPECIAL PAY AND BONUS AUTHORITIES FOR NUCLEAR OFFICERS.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”:

(1) Section 312(f), relating to special pay for nuclear-qualified officers extending period of active service.

(2) Section 312b(c), relating to nuclear career accession bonus.

(3) Section 312c(d), relating to nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

SEC. 614. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO TITLE 37 CONSOLIDATED SPECIAL PAY, INCENTIVE PAY, AND BONUS AUTHORITIES.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”:

(1) Section 331(h), relating to general bonus authority for enlisted members.

(2) Section 332(g), relating to general bonus authority for officers.

(3) Section 333(i), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers.

(4) Section 334(i), relating to special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers.

(5) Section 335(k), relating to special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions.

(6) Section 336(g), relating to contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.

(7) Section 351(h), relating to hazardous duty pay.

(8) Section 352(g), relating to assignment pay or special duty pay.

(9) Section 353(i), relating to skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus.

(10) Section 355(h), relating to retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

SEC. 615. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PAYMENT OF OTHER TITLE 37 BONUSES AND SPECIAL PAYS.

The following sections of title 37, United States Code, are amended by striking "December 31, 2014" and inserting "December 31, 2015":

(1) Section 301b(a), relating to aviation officer retention bonus.

(2) Section 307a(g), relating to assignment incentive pay.

(3) Section 308(g), relating to reenlistment bonus for active members.

(4) Section 309(e), relating to enlistment bonus.

(5) Section 316a(g), relating to incentive pay for members of precommissioning programs pursuing foreign language proficiency.

(6) Section 324(g), relating to accession bonus for new officers in critical skills.

(7) Section 326(g), relating to incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage.

(8) Section 327(h), relating to incentive bonus for transfer between branches of the Armed Forces.

(9) Section 330(f), relating to accession bonus for officer candidates.

**Subtitle C—Disability Pay, Retired Pay,
and Survivor Benefits**

SEC. 621. EARLIER DETERMINATION OF DEPENDENT STATUS WITH RESPECT TO TRANSITIONAL COMPENSATION FOR DEPENDENTS OF CERTAIN MEMBERS SEPARATED FOR DEPENDENT ABUSE.

Section 1059(d)(4) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking "as of the date on which the individual described in sub-

section (b) is separated from active duty” and inserting “as of the date on which the separation action is initiated by a commander of the individual described in subsection (b)”.

SEC. 622. MODIFICATION OF DETERMINATION OF RETIRED PAY BASE FOR OFFICERS RETIRED IN GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER GRADES.

(a) *REINSTATEMENT OF EARLIER METHOD OF DETERMINATION.*—Section 1407a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 1407a. Retired pay base: officers retired in general or flag officer grades

“(a) *RATES OF BASIC PAY TO BE USED IN DETERMINATION.*—Except as otherwise provided in this section, in a case in which the determination under section 1406 or 1407 of this title of the retired pay base applicable to the computation of the retired pay of a covered general or flag officer involves a rate of basic pay payable to that officer for any period between October 1, 2006, and December 31, 2014, that was subject to a reduction under section 203(a)(2) of title 37 for such period, such retired-pay-base determination shall be made using the rate of basic pay for such period provided by law, without regard to the reduction under section 203(a)(2) of title 37.

“(b) *PARTIAL PRESERVATION OF COMPUTATION OF RETIRED PAY BASE USING UNCAPPED RATES OF BASIC PAY FOR COVERED OFFICERS WHO FIRST BECAME MEMBERS BEFORE SEPTEMBER 8, 1980, AND WHOSE RETIRED PAY COMMENCES AFTER DECEMBER 31, 2014.*—

“(1) *OFFICERS RETIRING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 2014.*—In the case of a covered general or flag officer who first became a member of a uniformed service before September 8, 1980, and who is retired after December 31, 2014, under any provision of law other than chapter 1223 of this title or is transferred to the Retired Reserve after December 31, 2014, the retired pay base applicable to the computation of the retired pay of that officer shall be determined as provided in paragraph (2) if determination of such retired pay base as provided in that paragraph results in a higher retired pay base than determination of such retired pay base as otherwise provided by law (including the application of section 203(a)(2) of title 37).

“(2) *ALTERNATIVE DETERMINATION OF RETIRED PAY BASE USING UNCAPPED RATES OF BASIC PAY AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2014.*—For a determination in accordance with this paragraph, the amount of an officer’s retired pay base shall be determined by using the rate of basic pay provided as of December 31, 2014, for that officer’s grade as of that date for purposes of basic pay, with that officer’s years of service creditable as of that date for purposes of basic pay, and without regard to any reduction under section 203(a)(2) of title 37.

“(3) *EXCEPTION FOR OFFICER RETIRED IN A LOWER GRADE.*—In a case in which the retired grade of the officer is lower than the grade in which the officer was serving on December 31, 2014, paragraph (2) shall be applied as if the officer was serving on that date in the officer’s retired grade.

“(c) **PRESERVATION OF COMPUTATION OF RETIRED PAY BASE USING UNCAPPED RATES OF BASIC PAY FOR OFFICERS TRANSFERRING TO RETIRED RESERVE DURING SPECIFIED PERIOD.**—In the case of a covered general or flag officer who is transferred to the Retired Reserve between October 1, 2006, and December 31, 2014, and who becomes entitled to receive retired pay under section 12731 of this title after December 31, 2014, the retired pay base applicable to the computation of the retired pay of that officer shall be determined using the rates of basic pay provided by law without regard to any reduction in rates of basic pay under section 203(a)(2) of title 37.

“(d) **COVERED GENERAL OR FLAG OFFICER DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘covered general or flag officer’ means a member or former member of a uniformed service who after September 30, 2006—

“(1) is retired in a general officer grade or flag officer grade (or an equivalent grade, in the case of an officer of the commissioned corps of the Public Health Service or the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration); or

“(2) is transferred to the Retired Reserve in a general officer grade or flag officer grade.”.

(b) **APPLICABILITY.**—Section 1407a of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a), shall be effective for retired pay that commences after December 31, 2014.

SEC. 623. INAPPLICABILITY OF REDUCED ANNUAL ADJUSTMENT OF RETIRED PAY FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES UNDER THE AGE OF 62 UNDER THE BIPARTISAN BUDGET ACT OF 2013 WHO FIRST BECOME MEMBERS PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 2016.

Subparagraph (G) of section 1401a(b)(4) of title 10, United States Code, which shall take effect December 1, 2015, pursuant to section 403(a) of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 (Public Law 113–67; 127 Stat. 1186)), as amended by section 10001 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2014 (division C of Public Law 113–76; 128 Stat. 151) and section 2 of Public Law 113–82 (128 Stat. 1009), is amended by striking “January 1, 2014” and inserting “January 1, 2016”.

SEC. 624. SURVIVOR BENEFIT PLAN ANNUITIES FOR SPECIAL NEEDS TRUSTS ESTABLISHED FOR THE BENEFIT OF DEPENDENT CHILDREN INCAPABLE OF SELF-SUPPORT.

(a) **SPECIAL NEEDS TRUST AS ELIGIBLE BENEFICIARY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of section 1450 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5); and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph (4):

“(4) **SPECIAL NEEDS TRUSTS FOR SOLE BENEFIT OF CERTAIN DEPENDENT CHILDREN.**—Notwithstanding subsection (i), a supplemental or special needs trust established under subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 1917(d)(4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396p(d)(4)) for the sole benefit of a dependent child considered disabled under section 1614(a)(3) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 1382c(a)(3)) who is incapable of self-support because of mental or physical incapacity.”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—

(A) *ANNUITIES EXEMPTION.*—Subsection (i) of such section is amended by inserting “(a)(4) or” after “subsection”.

(B) *PLAN REQUIREMENTS.*—Section 1448 of such title is amended—

(i) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) *SPECIAL NEEDS TRUSTS FOR SOLE BENEFIT OF CERTAIN DEPENDENT CHILDREN.*—A person who has established a supplemental or special needs trust under subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 1917(d)(4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396p(d)(4)) for the sole benefit of a dependent child considered disabled under section 1614(a)(3) of that Act (42 U.S.C. 1382c(a)(3)) who is incapable of self-support because of mental or physical incapacity may elect to provide an annuity to that supplemental or special needs trust.”;

(ii) in subsection (d)(2)—

(I) in subparagraph (A), by striking “section 1450(a)(2)” and inserting “subsection (a)(2) or (a)(4) of section 1450”; and

(II) in subparagraph (B), by striking “section 1450(a)(3)” and inserting “subsection (a)(3) or (a)(4) of section 1450”; and

(iii) in subsection (f)(2), by inserting “, or to a special needs trust pursuant to section 1450(a)(4) of this title,” after “dependent child”.

(b) *REGULATIONS.*—Section 1455(d) of such title is amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “AND FIDUCIARIES” and inserting “, FIDUCIARIES, AND SPECIAL NEEDS TRUSTS”;

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “and” at the end;

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(C) a dependent child incapable of self-support because of mental or physical incapacity for whom a supplemental or special needs trust has been established under subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 1917(d)(4) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1396p(d)(4)).”;

(3) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (C) through (H) as subparagraphs (D) through (I), respectively;

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following new subparagraph (C):

“(C) In the case of an annuitant referred to in paragraph (1)(C), payment of the annuity to the supplemental or special needs trust established for the annuitant.”;

(C) in subparagraph (D), as redesignated by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, by striking “subparagraphs (D) and (E)” and inserting “subparagraphs (E) and (F)”;

and

(D) in subparagraph (H), as so redesignated—

(i) by inserting “or (1)(C)” after “paragraph (1)(B)” in the matter preceding clause (i);

(ii) in clause (i), by striking “and” at the end;
 (iii) in clause (ii), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

(iv) by adding at the end the following new clause:
 “(iii) procedures for determining when annuity payments to a supplemental or special needs trust shall end based on the death or marriage of the dependent child for which the trust was established.”; and

(4) in paragraph (3), by striking “OR FIDUCIARY” in the paragraph heading and inserting “, FIDUCIARY, OR TRUST”.

SEC. 625. MODIFICATION OF PER-FISCAL YEAR CALCULATION OF DAYS OF CERTAIN ACTIVE DUTY OR ACTIVE SERVICE TO REDUCE ELIGIBILITY AGE FOR RETIREMENT FOR NON-REGULAR SERVICE.

Section 12731(f)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “, subject to subparagraph (C),” after “shall be reduced”; and

(2) by striking “so performs in any fiscal year after such date, subject to subparagraph (C)” and inserting “serves on such active duty or performs such active service in any fiscal year after January 28, 2008, or in any two consecutive fiscal years after September 30, 2014”.

Subtitle D—Commissary and Non-appropriated Fund Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

SEC. 631. PROCUREMENT OF BRAND-NAME AND OTHER COMMERCIAL ITEMS FOR RESALE BY COMMISSARY STORES.

Subsection (f) of section 2484 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(f) **PROCUREMENT OF COMMERCIAL ITEMS USING PROCEDURES OTHER THAN COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES.**—The Secretary of Defense may use the exception provided in section 2304(c)(5) of this title for the procurement of any commercial item (including brand-name and generic items) for resale in, at, or by commissary stores.”.

SEC. 632. AUTHORITY OF NONAPPROPRIATED FUND INSTRUMENTALITIES TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS WITH OTHER FEDERAL AGENCIES AND INSTRUMENTALITIES TO PROVIDE AND OBTAIN CERTAIN GOODS AND SERVICES.

Section 2492 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “Federal department, agency, or instrumentality” and all that follows through the period at the end of the section and inserting the following: “Federal department, agency, or instrumentality—

“(1) to provide or obtain goods and services beneficial to the efficient management and operation of the exchange system or that morale, welfare, and recreation system; or

“(2) to provide or obtain food services beneficial to the efficient management and operation of the dining facilities on military installations offering food services to members of the armed forces.”.

SEC. 633. COMPETITIVE PRICING OF LEGAL CONSUMER TOBACCO PRODUCTS SOLD IN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RETAIL STORES.

(a) *PROHIBITION ON BANNING SALE OF LEGAL CONSUMER TOBACCO PRODUCTS.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretaries of the military departments may not take any action to implement any new policy that would ban the sale of any legal consumer tobacco product category sold as of January 1, 2014, within the defense retail systems or on any Department of Defense vessel at sea.

(b) *USE OF PRICES COMPARABLE TO LOCAL PRICES.*—The Secretary of Defense shall issue regulations regarding the pricing of tobacco and tobacco-related products sold in an outlet of the defense retail systems inside the United States, including territories and possessions of the United States, to prohibit the sale of a product at a price below the most competitive price for that product in the local community.

(c) *APPLICATION TO OVERSEAS DEFENSE RETAIL SYSTEMS.*—The regulations required by subsection (b) shall direct that the price of a tobacco or tobacco-related product sold in an outlet of the defense retail systems outside of the United States shall be within the range of prices established for that product in outlets of the defense retail systems inside the United States.

(d) *DEFENSE RETAIL SYSTEMS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “defense retail systems” has the meaning given that term in section 2487(b)(2) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 634. REVIEW OF MANAGEMENT, FOOD, AND PRICING OPTIONS FOR DEFENSE COMMISSARY SYSTEM.

(a) *REVIEW REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review, utilizing the services of an independent organization experienced in grocery retail analysis, of the defense commissary system to determine the qualitative and quantitative effects of—

- (1) using variable pricing in commissary stores to reduce the expenditure of appropriated funds to operate the defense commissary system;
- (2) implementing a program to make available more private label products in commissary stores;
- (3) converting the defense commissary system to a non-appropriated fund instrumentality; and
- (4) eliminating or at least reducing second-destination funding.

(b) *ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF REVIEW.*—The review required by this section also shall consider the following:

- (1) The impact of changes to the operation of the defense commissary system on commissary patrons, in particular junior enlisted members and junior officers and their dependents, that would result from—
 - (A) displacing current value and name-brand products with private-label products; and
 - (B) reducing or eliminating financial subsidies to the commissary system.
- (2) The sensitivity of commissary patrons, in particular junior enlisted members and junior officers and their dependents, to pricing changes that may result in reduced overall cost savings for patrons.

(3) *The feasibility of generating net revenue from pricing and stock assortment changes.*

(4) *The relationship of higher prices and reduced patron savings to patron usage and accompanying sales, both on a national and regional basis.*

(5) *The impact of changes to the operation of the defense commissary system on industry support; such as vendor stocking, promotions, discounts, and merchandising activities and programs.*

(6) *The ability of the current commissary management and information technology systems to accommodate changes to the existing pricing and management structure.*

(7) *The product category management systems and expertise of the Defense Commissary Agency.*

(8) *The impact of changes to the operation of the defense commissary system on military exchanges and other morale, welfare, and recreation programs for members of the Armed Forces.*

(9) *The identification of management and legislative changes that would be required in connection with changes to the defense commissary system.*

(10) *An estimate of the time required to implement recommended changes to the current pricing and management model of the defense commissary system.*

(c) *SUBMISSION.—Not later than September 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review required by this section.*

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits

Sec. 701. Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces.

Sec. 702. Modifications of cost-sharing and other requirements for the TRICARE Pharmacy Benefits Program.

Sec. 703. Elimination of inpatient day limits and other limits in provision of mental health services.

Sec. 704. Authority for provisional TRICARE coverage for emerging health care services and supplies.

Sec. 705. Clarification of provision of food to former members and dependents not receiving inpatient care in military medical treatment facilities.

Sec. 706. Availability of breastfeeding support, supplies, and counseling under the TRICARE program.

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

Sec. 711. Provision of notice of change to TRICARE benefits.

Sec. 712. Surveys on continued viability of TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra.

Sec. 713. Review of military health system modernization study.

Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters

Sec. 721. Designation and responsibilities of senior medical advisor for Armed Forces Retirement Home.

Sec. 722. Extension of authority for joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Sec. 723. Report on status of reductions in TRICARE Prime service areas.

Sec. 724. Extension of authority to provide rehabilitation and vocational benefits to members of the Armed Forces with severe injuries or illnesses.

Sec. 725. Acquisition strategy for health care professional staffing services.

- Sec. 726. Pilot program on medication therapy management under TRICARE program.
- Sec. 727. Antimicrobial stewardship program at medical facilities of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 728. Report on improvements in the identification and treatment of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces.
- Sec. 729. Report on efforts to treat infertility of military families.
- Sec. 730. Report on implementation of recommendations of Institute of Medicine on improvements to certain resilience and prevention programs of the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 731. Comptroller General report on transition of care for post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury.
- Sec. 732. Comptroller General report on mental health stigma reduction efforts in the Department of Defense.
- Sec. 733. Comptroller General report on women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries.

Subtitle A—TRICARE and Other Health Care Benefits

SEC. 701. MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) **ANNUAL MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1074m the following new section:

“§ 1074n. Annual mental health assessments for members of the armed forces

“(a) **MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS.**—Subject to subsection (c), not less frequently than once each calendar year, the Secretary of Defense shall provide a person-to-person mental health assessment for—

“(1) each member of a regular component of the armed forces; and

“(2) each member of the Selected Reserve of an armed force.

“(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The mental health assessments provided pursuant to this section shall—

“(1) be conducted in accordance with the requirements of subsection (c)(1) of section 1074m of this title with respect to a mental health assessment provided pursuant to such section; and

“(2) include a review of the health records of the member that are related to each previous health assessment or other relevant activities of the member while serving in the armed forces, as determined by the Secretary.

“(c) **SUFFICIENCY OF OTHER MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS.**—(1) The Secretary is not required to provide a mental health assessment pursuant to this section to an individual in a calendar year in which the individual has received a mental health assessment pursuant to section 1074m of this title.

“(2) The Secretary may treat periodic health assessments and other person-to-person assessments that are provided to members of the armed forces, including examinations under section 1074f of this title, as meeting the requirements for mental health assessments required under this section if the Secretary determines that such as-

assessments and person-to-person assessments meet the requirements for mental health assessments established by this section.

“(d) *PRIVACY MATTERS.*—Any medical or other personal information obtained under this section shall be protected from disclosure or misuse in accordance with the laws on privacy applicable to such information.

“(e) *REGULATIONS.*—The Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the other administering Secretaries, prescribe regulations for the administration of this section.”.

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 55 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1074m the following new item:

“1074n. Annual mental health assessments for members of the armed forces.”.

(3) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the issuance of the regulations prescribed under section 1074n(e) of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall implement such regulations.

(4) *REPORT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date on which the Secretary of Defense implements the regulations described in paragraph (3), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives a report on the annual mental health assessments of members of the Armed Forces conducted pursuant to section 1074n of title 10, United States Code, as added by paragraph (1).

(B) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The report under subparagraph (A) shall include the following:

(i) A description of the tools and processes used to provide the annual mental health assessments of members of the Armed Forces conducted pursuant to such section 1074n, including—

(I) whether such tools and processes are evidenced-based; and

(II) the process by which such tools and processes have been approved for use in providing mental health assessments.

(ii) Such recommendations for improving the tools and processes used to conduct such assessments, including tools that may address the underreporting of mental health conditions, as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(iii) Such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate for improving the monitoring and reporting of the number of members of the Armed Forces—

(I) who receive such assessments;

(II) who are referred for care based on such assessments; and

(III) who receive care based on such referrals.

(C) *TREATMENT OF CERTAIN INFORMATION.*—No personally identifiable information of a member of the Armed

Forces may be included in any report under subparagraph (A).

(5) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 1074m(e)(1) of such title is amended by inserting “and section 1074n of this title” after “pursuant to this section”.

(b) **FREQUENCY OF MENTAL HEALTH ASSESSMENTS FOR DEPLOYED MEMBERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 1074m of such title is further amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1)—

(i) by redesignating subparagraphs (B) and (C) as subparagraphs (C) and (D), respectively; and

(ii) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the following new subparagraph:

“(B) Until January 1, 2019, once during each 180-day period during which a member is deployed.”; and

(B) in subsection (c)(1)(A)—

(i) in clause (i), by striking “; and” and inserting a semicolon;

(ii) by redesignating clause (ii) as clause (iii); and

(iii) by inserting after clause (i) the following new clause:

“(ii) by personnel in deployed units whose responsibilities include providing unit health care services if such personnel are available and the use of such personnel for the assessments would not impair the capacity of such personnel to perform higher priority tasks; and”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (a)(2) of such section 1074m is amended by striking “subparagraph (B) and (C)” and inserting “subparagraphs (C) and (D)”.

SEC. 702. MODIFICATIONS OF COST-SHARING AND OTHER REQUIREMENTS FOR THE TRICARE PHARMACY BENEFITS PROGRAM.

(a) **AVAILABILITY OF PHARMACEUTICAL AGENTS THROUGH NATIONAL MAIL-ORDER PHARMACY PROGRAM.**—Paragraph (5) of section 1074g(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “at least one of the means described in paragraph (2)(E)” and inserting “the national mail-order pharmacy program”; and

(2) by striking “may include” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “shall include cost-sharing by the eligible covered beneficiary as specified in paragraph (6).”.

(b) **MODIFICATION OF COST-SHARING AMOUNTS.**—Paragraph (6)(A) of such section 1074g(a) is amended—

(1) in clause (i)—

(A) in subclause (I), by striking “\$5” and inserting “\$8”;

(B) in subclause (II), by striking “\$17; and” and inserting “\$20.”; and

(C) by striking subclause (III); and

(2) in clause (ii)—

(A) in subclause (II), by striking “\$13” and inserting “\$16”; and

(B) in subclause (III), by striking “\$43” and inserting “\$46”.

(c) **REFILLS OF PRESCRIPTION MAINTENANCE MEDICATIONS THROUGH MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITY PHARMACIES OR NATIONAL MAIL ORDER PHARMACY PROGRAM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(9)(A) Beginning on October 1, 2015, the pharmacy benefits program shall require eligible covered beneficiaries generally to refill non-generic prescription maintenance medications through military treatment facility pharmacies or the national mail-order pharmacy program.

“(B) The Secretary shall determine the maintenance medications subject to the requirement under subparagraph (A). The Secretary shall ensure that—

“(i) such medications are generally available to eligible covered beneficiaries through retail pharmacies only for an initial filling of a 30-day or less supply; and

“(ii) any refills of such medications are obtained through a military treatment facility pharmacy or the national mail-order pharmacy program.

“(C) The Secretary may exempt the following prescription maintenance medications from the requirement of subparagraph (A):

“(i) Medications that are for acute care needs.

“(ii) Such other medications as the Secretary determines appropriate.”

(2) **TERMINATION OF PILOT PROGRAM.**—Section 716(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 1074g note) is amended by striking “December 31, 2017” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

(d) **GAO REPORT ON PILOT PROGRAM.**—Not later than July 1, 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the satisfaction of beneficiaries participating in the pilot program under section 716 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 10 U.S.C. 1074g note). Such report shall address the following:

(1) The satisfaction of beneficiaries participating in the pilot program.

(2) The timeliness of refilling prescriptions under the pilot program.

(3) The accuracy of prescription refills under the pilot program.

(4) The availability of medications refilled under the pilot program.

(5) The cost savings to the Department of Defense realized by the pilot program.

(6) The number of beneficiaries who did not participate in the pilot program by reason of subsection (c) of such section 716.

(7) Any other matters the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

SEC. 703. ELIMINATION OF INPATIENT DAY LIMITS AND OTHER LIMITS IN PROVISION OF MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES.

(a) **INPATIENT DAY LIMITS.**—Section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

- (A) by striking paragraph (6); and
- (B) by redesignating paragraphs (7) through (17) as paragraphs (6) through (16), respectively;
- (2) by striking subsection (i); and
- (3) by redesignating subsections (j) through (q) as subsections (i) through (p), respectively.

(b) **WAIVER OF NONAVAILABILITY STATEMENT OR PREAUTHORIZATION.**—Section 721(a) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (10 U.S.C. 1073 note) is amended by striking “(other than mental health services)”.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in section 1079(e)(7), by striking “subsection (a)(13)” and inserting “subsection (a)(12)”;

(2) in section 1086—

(A) in subsection (d)(4)(A)(ii), by striking “section 1079(j)(1)” and inserting “section 1079(i)(1)”; and

(B) in subsection (g), by striking “Section 1079(j)” and inserting “Section 1079(i)”; and

(3) in section 1105(c), by striking “section 1079(a)(7)” and inserting “section 1079(a)(6)”.

SEC. 704. AUTHORITY FOR PROVISIONAL TRICARE COVERAGE FOR EMERGING HEALTH CARE SERVICES AND SUPPLIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1079b the following new section:

“§ 1079c. Provisional coverage for emerging services and supplies

“(a) **PROVISIONAL COVERAGE.**—In carrying out the TRICARE program, including pursuant to section 1079(a)(12) of this title, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, may provide provisional coverage for the provision of a service or supply if the Secretary determines that such service or supply is widely recognized in the United States as being safe and effective.

“(b) **CONSIDERATION OF EVIDENCE.**—In making a determination under subsection (a), the Secretary may consider—

“(1) clinical trials published in refereed medical literature;

“(2) formal technology assessments;

“(3) the positions of national medical policy organizations;

“(4) national professional associations;

“(5) national expert opinion organizations; and

“(6) such other validated evidence as the Secretary considers appropriate.

“(c) **INDEPENDENT EVALUATION.**—In making a determination under subsection (a), the Secretary may arrange for an evaluation from the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies or such other independent entity as the Secretary selects.

“(d) **DURATION AND TERMS OF COVERAGE.**—(1) Provisional coverage under subsection (a) for a service or supply may be in effect for not longer than a total of five years.

“(2) Prior to the expiration of provisional coverage of a service or supply, the Secretary shall determine the coverage, if any, that will

follow such provisional coverage and take appropriate action to implement such determination. If the Secretary determines that the implementation of such determination regarding coverage requires legislative action, the Secretary shall make a timely recommendation to Congress regarding such legislative action.

“(3) The Secretary, at any time, may—

“(A) terminate the provisional coverage under subsection (a) of a service or supply, regardless of whether such termination is before the end of the period described in paragraph (1);

“(B) establish or disestablish terms and conditions for such coverage; or

“(C) take any other action with respect to such coverage.

“(e) **PUBLIC NOTICE.**—The Secretary shall promptly publish on a publicly accessible Internet website of the TRICARE program a notice for each service or supply that receives provisional coverage under subsection (a), including any terms and conditions for such coverage.

“(f) **FINALITY OF DETERMINATIONS.**—Any determination to approve or disapprove a service or supply under subsection (a) and any action made under subsection (d)(3) shall be final.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1079b the following new item:

“1079c. Provisional coverage for emerging services and supplies.”

SEC. 705. CLARIFICATION OF PROVISION OF FOOD TO FORMER MEMBERS AND DEPENDENTS NOT RECEIVING INPATIENT CARE IN MILITARY MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITIES.

Section 1078b of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking “A member” each place it appears and inserting “A member or former member”; and

(2) in subsection (a)(2)(C), by striking “member or dependent” and inserting “member, former member, or dependent”.

SEC. 706. AVAILABILITY OF BREASTFEEDING SUPPORT, SUPPLIES, AND COUNSELING UNDER THE TRICARE PROGRAM.

Section 1079(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(17) Breastfeeding support, supplies (including breast pumps and associated equipment), and counseling shall be provided as appropriate during pregnancy and the postpartum period.”

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

SEC. 711. PROVISION OF NOTICE OF CHANGE TO TRICARE BENEFITS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 1097c the following new section:

“§ 1097d. TRICARE program: notice of change to benefits

“(a) **PROVISION OF NOTICE.**—(1) If the Secretary makes a significant change to any benefits provided by the TRICARE program to covered beneficiaries, the Secretary shall provide individuals described in paragraph (2) with notice explaining such changes.

“(2) The individuals described by this paragraph are covered beneficiaries participating in the TRICARE program who may be

affected by a significant change covered by a notification under paragraph (1).

“(3) The Secretary shall provide notice under paragraph (1) through electronic means.

“(b) **TIMING OF NOTICE.**—The Secretary shall provide notice under paragraph (1) of subsection (a) by the earlier of the following dates:

“(1) The date that the Secretary determines would afford individuals described in paragraph (2) of such subsection adequate time to understand the change covered by the notification.

“(2) The date that is 90 days before the date on which the change covered by the notification becomes effective.

“(3) The effective date of a significant change that is required by law.

“(c) **SIGNIFICANT CHANGE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term ‘significant change’ means a systemwide change—

“(1) in the structure of the TRICARE program or the benefits provided under the TRICARE program (not including the addition of new services or benefits); or

“(2) in beneficiary cost-share rates of more than 20 percent.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 1097c the following new item:

“1097d. TRICARE program: notice of change to benefits.”.

SEC. 712. SURVEYS ON CONTINUED VIABILITY OF TRICARE STANDARD AND TRICARE EXTRA.

Section 711(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 1073 note) is amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—

(1) by striking “on a biennial basis”; and

(2) by striking “paragraph (1)” and inserting the following: “paragraph (1) during 2017 and 2020”.

SEC. 713. REVIEW OF MILITARY HEALTH SYSTEM MODERNIZATION STUDY.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may not restructure or realign a military medical treatment facility based on the modernization study until a 90-day period has elapsed following the date on which the Comptroller General of the United States is required to submit to the congressional defense committees the report under subsection (b)(3).

(2) **REPORT.**—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the following:

(A) During the period from 2006 to 2012, for each military medical treatment facility considered under the modernization study—

(i) the average daily inpatient census;

(ii) the average inpatient capacity;

(iii) the top five inpatient admission diagnoses;

(iv) each medical specialty available;

(v) the average daily percent of staffing available for each medical specialty;

(vi) the beneficiary population within the catchment area;

- (vii) *the budgeted funding level;*
 - (viii) *whether the facility has a helipad capable of receiving medical evacuation airlift patients arriving on the primary evacuation aircraft platform for the military installation served;*
 - (ix) *a determination of whether the civilian hospital system in which the facility resides is a Federally-designated underserved medical community and the effect on such community from any reduction in staff or functions or downgrade of the facility;*
 - (x) *if the facility serves a training center—*
 - (I) *a determination of the risk with respect to high-tempo, live-fire military operations, treating battlefield-like injuries, and the potential for a mass casualty event if the facility is downgraded to a clinic or reduced in personnel or capabilities; and*
 - (II) *a description of the extent to which the Secretary, in making such determination, consulted with the appropriate training directorate, training and doctrine command, and forces command of each military department;*
 - (xi) *a site assessment by TRICARE to assess the network capabilities of TRICARE providers in the local area;*
 - (xii) *the inpatient mental health availability; and*
 - (xiii) *the average annual inpatient care directed to civilian medical facilities.*
- (B) *For each military medical treatment facility considered under the modernization study—*
- (i) *the civilian capacity by medical specialty in each catchment area;*
 - (ii) *the distance in miles to the nearest civilian emergency care department;*
 - (iii) *the distance in miles to the closest civilian inpatient hospital, listed by level of care and whether the facility is designated a sole community hospital;*
 - (iv) *the availability of ambulance service on the military installation and the distance in miles to the nearest civilian ambulance service, including the average response time to the military installation;*
 - (v) *an estimate of the cost to restructure or realign the military medical treatment facility, including with respect to bed closures and civilian personnel reductions; and*
 - (vi) *if the military medical treatment facility is restructured or realigned, an estimate of—*
 - (I) *the number of civilian personnel reductions, listed by series;*
 - (II) *the number of local support contracts terminated; and*
 - (III) *the increased cost of purchased care.*

(C) *The results of the modernization study with respect to the recommendations of the Secretary to restructure or realign military medical treatment facilities.*

(D) *An assessment of the analysis made by the Secretary to inform decisions regarding the modernization of the military health care system in the modernization study.*

(E) *An assessment of the extent to which the Secretary evaluated in the modernization study the impact on the access of eligible beneficiaries to quality health care, and satisfaction with such care, caused by the following changes proposed in the study:*

(i) *Changes in military medical treatment facility infrastructure.*

(ii) *Changes in staffing levels of professionals.*

(iii) *Changes in inpatient, ambulatory surgery, and specialty care capacity and capabilities.*

(F) *An assessment of the extent to which the Secretary evaluated in the modernization study how any reduced inpatient, ambulatory surgery, or specialty care capacity and capabilities at military medical treatment facilities covered by the study would impact timely access to care for eligible beneficiaries at local civilian community hospitals within reasonable driving distances of the catchment areas of such facilities.*

(G) *An assessment of the extent to which the Secretary consulted in conducting the modernization study with community hospitals in locations covered by the study to determine their capacities for additional inpatient and ambulatory surgery patients and their capabilities to meet additional demands for specialty care services.*

(H) *An assessment of the extent to which the Secretary considered in the modernization study the impact that the change in the structure or alignment of military medical treatment facilities covered by the study would have on timely access by local civilian populations to inpatient, ambulatory surgery, or specialty care services if additional eligible beneficiaries also sought access to such services from the same providers.*

(I) *An assessment of the impact of the elimination of health care services at military medical treatment facilities covered by the modernization study on civilians employed at such facilities.*

(b) **COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.—**

(1) **REVIEW.**—*The Comptroller General of the United States shall review the report under subsection (a)(2).*

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—*The review under paragraph (1) shall include the following:*

(A) *An assessment of the methodology used by the Secretary of Defense in conducting the study.*

(B) *An assessment of the adequacy of the data used by the Secretary with respect to such study.*

(3) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary submits the report under subsection (a)(2), the*

Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the review under paragraph (1).

(c) **MODERNIZATION STUDY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “modernization study” means the Military Health System Modernization Study of the Department of Defense directed by the Resource Management Decision of the Department of Defense numbered MP-D-01.

Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters

SEC. 721. DESIGNATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF SENIOR MEDICAL ADVISOR FOR ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.

(a) **DESIGNATION OF SENIOR MEDICAL ADVISOR.**—Subsection (a) of section 1513A of the Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991 (24 U.S.C. 413a) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “Deputy Director of the TRICARE Management Activity” and inserting “Deputy Director of the Defense Health Agency”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “Deputy Director of the TRICARE Management Activity” both places it appears and inserting “Deputy Director of the Defense Health Agency”.

(b) **CLARIFICATION OF RESPONSIBILITIES AND DUTIES OF SENIOR MEDICAL ADVISOR.**—Subsection (c)(2) of such section is amended by striking “health care standards of the Department of Veterans Affairs” and inserting “nationally recognized health care standards and requirements”.

SEC. 722. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR JOINT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE-DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND.

Section 1704(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2573) is amended by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2016”.

SEC. 723. REPORT ON STATUS OF REDUCTIONS IN TRICARE PRIME SERVICE AREAS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Section 732 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 1097a note) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subsections (b) and (c) as subsections (c) and (d), respectively; and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **ADDITIONAL REPORT.**—

“(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the status of reducing the availability of TRICARE Prime in regions described in subsection (d)(1)(B).

“(2) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

“(A) A description of the implementation of the transition for affected eligible beneficiaries under the TRICARE pro-

gram who no longer have access to TRICARE Prime under TRICARE managed care contracts as of the date of the report, including—

“(i) the number of eligible beneficiaries who have transitioned from TRICARE Prime to the TRICARE Standard option of the TRICARE program since October 1, 2013;

“(ii) the number of eligible beneficiaries who transferred their TRICARE Prime enrollment to a more distant available Prime service area to remain in TRICARE Prime, by State;

“(iii) the number of eligible beneficiaries who were eligible to transfer to a more distant available Prime service area, but chose to use TRICARE Standard;

“(iv) the number of eligible beneficiaries who elected to return to TRICARE Prime pursuant to subsection (c)(1); and

“(v) the number of affected eligible beneficiaries who, as of the date of the report, changed residences to remain eligible for TRICARE Prime in a new region.

“(B) An estimate of the increased annual costs per affected eligible beneficiary incurred by such beneficiary for health care under the TRICARE program.

“(C) A description of the efforts of the Department to assess the impact on access to health care and beneficiary satisfaction for affected eligible beneficiaries.

“(D) A description of the estimated cost savings realized by reducing the availability of TRICARE Prime in regions described in subsection (d)(1)(B).”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (b)(3)(A) of such section is amended by striking “subsection (c)(1)(B)” and inserting “subsection (d)(1)(B)”.

SEC. 724. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE REHABILITATION AND VOCATIONAL BENEFITS TO MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES WITH SEVERE INJURIES OR ILLNESSES.

Section 1631(b)(2) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 1071 note) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

SEC. 725. ACQUISITION STRATEGY FOR HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONAL STAFFING SERVICES.

(a) **ACQUISITION STRATEGY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall develop and carry out an acquisition strategy with respect to entering into contracts for the services of health care professional staff at military medical treatment facilities.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The acquisition strategy under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) Identification of the responsibilities of the military departments and elements of the Department of Defense in carrying out such strategy.

(B) Methods to analyze, using reliable and detailed data covering the entire Department, the amount of funds expended on contracts for the services of health care professional staff.

(C) *Methods to identify opportunities to consolidate requirements for such services and reduce cost.*

(D) *Methods to measure cost savings that are realized by using such contracts instead of purchased care.*

(E) *Metrics to determine the effectiveness of such strategy.*

(F) *Metrics to evaluate the success of the strategy in achieving its objectives, including metrics to assess the effects of the strategy on the timeliness of beneficiary access to professional health care services in military medical treatment facilities.*

(G) *Such other matters as the Secretary considers appropriate.*

(b) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of implementing the acquisition strategy under paragraph (1) of subsection (a), including how each element under subparagraphs (A) through (G) of paragraph (2) of such subsection is being carried out.*

SEC. 726. PILOT PROGRAM ON MEDICATION THERAPY MANAGEMENT UNDER TRICARE PROGRAM.

(a) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—*In accordance with section 1092 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall carry out a pilot program to evaluate the feasibility and desirability of including medication therapy management as part of the TRICARE program.*

(b) **ELEMENTS OF PILOT PROGRAM.**—*In carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure the following:*

(1) *Patients who participate in the pilot program are patients who—*

(A) *have more than one chronic condition; and*

(B) *are prescribed more than one medication.*

(2) *Medication therapy management services provided under the pilot program are focused on improving patient use and outcomes of prescription medications.*

(3) *The design of the pilot program considers best commercial practices in providing medication therapy management services, including practices under the prescription drug program under part D of title XVIII of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395w–101 et seq.).*

(4) *The pilot program includes methods to measure the effect of medication therapy management services on—*

(A) *patient use and outcomes of prescription medications; and*

(B) *the costs of health care.*

(c) **LOCATIONS.**—

(1) **SELECTION.**—*The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a) in not less than three locations.*

(2) **FIRST LOCATION CRITERIA.**—*Not less than one location selected under paragraph (1) shall meet the following criteria:*

(A) *The location is a pharmacy at a military medical treatment facility.*

(B) *The patients participating in the pilot program at such location generally receive primary care services from health care providers at such facility.*

(3) *SECOND LOCATION CRITERIA.*—Not less than one location selected under paragraph (1) shall meet the following criteria:

(A) *The location is a pharmacy at a military medical treatment facility.*

(B) *The patients participating in the pilot program at such location generally do not receive primary care services from health care providers at such facility.*

(4) *THIRD LOCATION CRITERION.*—Not less than one location selected under paragraph (1) shall be a pharmacy located at a location other than a military medical treatment facility.

(d) *DURATION.*—The Secretary shall carry out the pilot program under subsection (a) for a period determined appropriate by the Secretary that is not less than two years.

(e) *REPORT.*—Not later than 30 months after the date on which the Secretary commences the pilot program under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the pilot program that includes—

(1) *information on the effect of medication therapy management services on—*

(A) *patient use and outcomes of prescription medications; and*

(B) *the costs of health care;*

(2) *the recommendations of the Secretary with respect to incorporating medication therapy management into the TRICARE program; and*

(3) *such other information as the Secretary determines appropriate.*

(f) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *The term “medication therapy management” means professional services provided by qualified pharmacists to patients to improve the effective use and outcomes of prescription medications provided to the patients.*

(2) *The term “TRICARE program” has the meaning given that term in section 1072 of title 10, United States Code.*

SEC. 727. ANTIMICROBIAL STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM AT MEDICAL FACILITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall carry out an antimicrobial stewardship program at medical facilities of the Department of Defense.

(b) *COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS OF DATA.*—In carrying out the antimicrobial stewardship program required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall develop a consistent manner in which to collect and analyze data on antibiotic usage, health issues related to antibiotic usage, and antimicrobial resistance trends at medical facilities of the Department.

(c) *PLAN.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a plan for carrying out the antimicrobial stewardship program required by subsection (a).

SEC. 728. REPORT ON IMPROVEMENTS IN THE IDENTIFICATION AND TREATMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH CONDITIONS AND TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY AMONG MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth an evaluation of specific tools, processes, and best practices to improve the identification of and treatment by the Armed Forces of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An evaluation of existing peer-to-peer identification and intervention programs in each of the Armed Forces.

(2) An evaluation of programs that provide training and certification to health care providers that treat mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury in members of the Armed Forces.

(3) An evaluation of programs and services provided by the Armed Forces that provide training and certification to providers of cognitive rehabilitation and other rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury to members of the Armed Forces.

(4) An evaluation of programs and services provided by the Armed Forces that assist members of the Armed Forces and family members affected by suicides among members of the Armed Forces.

(5) An evaluation of tools and processes used by the Armed Forces to identify traumatic brain injury in members of the Armed Forces and to distinguish mental health conditions likely caused by traumatic brain injury from mental health conditions caused by other factors.

(6) An evaluation of the unified effort of the Armed Forces to promote mental health and prevent suicide through the integration of clinical and nonclinical programs of the Armed Forces.

(7) Recommendations with respect to improving, consolidating, expanding, and standardizing the programs, services, tools, processes, and efforts described in paragraphs (1) through (6).

(8) A description of existing efforts to reduce the time from development and testing of new mental health and traumatic brain injury tools and treatments for members of the Armed Forces to widespread dissemination of such tools and treatments among the Armed Forces.

(9) Recommendations as to the feasibility and advisability of conducting mental health assessments before the enlistment or commissioning of a member of the Armed Forces and again during the 90-day period preceding the date of discharge or release of the member from the Armed Forces, including the utility of using tools and processes in such mental health assessments that conform to those used in other mental health assessments provided to members of the Armed Forces.

(10) Recommendations on how to track changes in the mental health assessment of a member of the Armed Forces relating to

traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, anxiety, and other conditions.

(c) **PRIVACY MATTERS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Any medical or other personal information obtained pursuant to any provision of this section shall be protected from disclosure or misuse in accordance with the laws on privacy applicable to such information.*

(2) **EXCLUSION OF PERSONALLY IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION FROM REPORTS.**—*No personally identifiable information may be included in the report required by subsection (a).*

SEC. 729. REPORT ON EFFORTS TO TREAT INFERTILITY OF MILITARY FAMILIES.

(a) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the access of members of the Armed Forces and the dependents of such members to reproductive counseling and treatments for infertility.*

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—*The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) *A description, by location, of the infertility treatment services available at military medical treatment facilities throughout the military health care system.*

(2) *An identification of factors that might disrupt treatment, including lack of timely access to treatment, change in duty station, or overseas deployments.*

(3) *The number of members of the Armed Forces who have received specific infertility treatment services during the five-year period preceding the date of the report.*

(4) *The number of dependents of members who have received specific infertility treatment services during the five-year period preceding the date of the report.*

(5) *The number of births resulting from infertility treatment services described in paragraphs (3) and (4).*

(6) *A comparison of infertility treatment services covered by health plans sponsored by the Federal Government and infertility treatment services provided by the military health care system.*

(7) *The current cost to the Department of Defense for providing infertility treatment services to members and dependents.*

(8) *The current cost to members and dependents for infertility treatment services provided by the military health care system.*

(9) *Any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.*

SEC. 730. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS OF INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE ON IMPROVEMENTS TO CERTAIN RESILIENCE AND PREVENTION PROGRAMS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of implementing the recommendations of the Institute of Medicine regarding improvements to programs of the Department of Defense intended to strengthen mental, emotional, and behavioral abilities

associated with managing adversity, adapting to change, recovering, and learning in connection with service in the Armed Forces.

SEC. 731. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON TRANSITION OF CARE FOR POST-TRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER OR TRAUMATIC BRAIN INJURY.

(a) *REPORT.*—Not later than September 1, 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report that assesses the transition of care for post-traumatic stress disorder and traumatic brain injury.

(b) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) *The programs, policies, and regulations that affect the transition of care, particularly with respect to individuals who are taking or have been prescribed antidepressants, stimulants, antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, anxiolytics, depressants, or hallucinogens.*

(2) *Upon transitioning to care furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, the extent to which the pharmaceutical treatment plan of an individual changes, and the factors determining such changes.*

(3) *The extent to which the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs have worked together to identify and apply best pharmaceutical treatment practices.*

(4) *A description of the off-formulary waiver process of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, and the extent to which the process is applied efficiently at the treatment level.*

(5) *The benefits and challenges of harmonizing the formularies across the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs.*

(6) *Any other issues that the Comptroller General determines appropriate.*

(c) *TRANSITION OF CARE DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “transition of care” means the transition of an individual from receiving treatment furnished by the Secretary of Defense to treatment furnished by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

SEC. 732. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON MENTAL HEALTH STIGMA REDUCTION EFFORTS IN THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Comptroller General of the United States shall carry out a review of the policies, procedures, and programs of the Department of Defense to reduce the stigma associated with mental health treatment for members of the Armed Forces and deployed civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The review under subsection (a) shall address, at a minimum, the following:

(1) *An assessment of the availability and access to mental health treatment services for members of the Armed Forces and deployed civilian employees of the Department of Defense.*

(2) *An assessment of the perception of the impact of the stigma of mental health treatment on the career advancement and retention of members of the Armed Forces and such employees.*

(3) *An assessment of the policies, procedures, and programs, including training and education, of each of the Armed Forces to reduce the stigma of mental health treatment for members of the Armed Forces and such employees at each unit level of the organized forces.*

(c) *REPORT.—Not later than March 1, 2016, the Comptroller General shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on the review under subsection (a).*

SEC. 733. COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE SERVICES FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND OTHER COVERED BENEFICIARIES.

(a) *REPORT.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the House of Representatives and the Senate a report on women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces serving on active duty and other covered beneficiaries under chapter 55 of title 10, United States Code.*

(b) *ELEMENTS.—The report under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) *A description and assessment of women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries, including with respect to access to care, scope of available care, and availability of speciality care, and with a particular emphasis on maternity care.*

(2) *An assessment of whether the quality measures used by the military health care system with respect to women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries facilitate expected outcomes, and an assessment of whether another, or additional, evidence-based quality measures would improve outcomes in the military health care system.*

(3) *A description and assessment of nationally recognized recommendations to improve access to health services and better health outcomes for women members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries.*

(4) *Such recommendations for legislative or administrative action as the Comptroller General considers appropriate to improve women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries.*

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Sec. 801. Modular open systems approaches in acquisition programs.

Sec. 802. Recharacterization of changes to Major Automated Information System programs.

Sec. 803. Amendments relating to defense business systems.

Sec. 804. Report on implementation of acquisition process for information technology systems.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations

- Sec. 811. Extension and modification of contract authority for advanced component development and prototype units.*
- Sec. 812. Amendments relating to authority of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects.*
- Sec. 813. Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services.*
- Sec. 814. Improvement in defense design-build construction process.*
- Sec. 815. Permanent authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items.*
- Sec. 816. Restatement and revision of requirements applicable to multiyear defense acquisitions to be specifically authorized by law.*
- Sec. 817. Sourcing requirements related to avoiding counterfeit electronic parts.*
- Sec. 818. Amendments to Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program.*

Subtitle C—Industrial Base Matters

- Sec. 821. Temporary extension of and amendments to test program for negotiation of comprehensive small business subcontracting plans.*
- Sec. 822. Plan for improving data on bundled or consolidated contracts.*
- Sec. 823. Authority to provide education to small businesses on certain requirements of Arms Export Control Act.*
- Sec. 824. Matters relating to reverse auctions.*
- Sec. 825. Sole source contracts for small business concerns owned and controlled by women.*

Subtitle D—Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform

- Sec. 831. Chief Information Officer authority enhancements.*
- Sec. 832. Enhanced transparency and improved risk management in information technology investments.*
- Sec. 833. Portfolio review.*
- Sec. 834. Federal data center consolidation initiative.*
- Sec. 835. Expansion of training and use of information technology cadres.*
- Sec. 836. Maximizing the benefit of the Federal strategic sourcing initiative.*
- Sec. 837. Governmentwide software purchasing program.*

Subtitle E—Never Contract With the Enemy

- Sec. 841. Prohibition on providing funds to the enemy.*
- Sec. 842. Additional access to records.*
- Sec. 843. Definitions.*

Subtitle F—Other Matters

- Sec. 851. Rapid acquisition and deployment procedures for United States Special Operations Command.*
- Sec. 852. Consideration of corrosion control in preliminary design review.*
- Sec. 853. Program manager development report.*
- Sec. 854. Operational metrics for Joint Information Environment and supporting activities.*
- Sec. 855. Compliance with requirements for senior Department of Defense officials seeking employment with defense contractors.*
- Sec. 856. Enhancement of whistleblower protection for employees of grantees.*
- Sec. 857. Prohibition on reimbursement of contractors for congressional investigations and inquiries.*
- Sec. 858. Requirement to provide photovoltaic devices from United States sources.*
- Sec. 859. Reimbursement of Department of Defense for assistance provided to non-governmental entertainment-oriented media producers.*
- Sec. 860. Three-year extension of authority for Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.*

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

SEC. 801. MODULAR OPEN SYSTEMS APPROACHES IN ACQUISITION PROGRAMS.

(a) *PLAN FOR MODULAR OPEN SYSTEMS APPROACH THROUGH DEVELOPMENT AND ADOPTION OF STANDARDS AND ARCHITECTURES.*—Not later than January 1, 2016, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives detailing a plan to develop standards and define architectures necessary to enable open systems approaches in the key mission areas of the Department of Defense with respect to which the Under Secretary determines that such standards and architectures would be feasible and cost effective.

(b) *CONSIDERATION OF MODULAR OPEN SYSTEMS APPROACHES.*—

(1) *REVIEW OF ACQUISITION GUIDANCE.*—The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall review current acquisition guidance, and modify such guidance as necessary, to—

(A) ensure that acquisition programs include open systems approaches in the product design and acquisition of information technology systems to the maximum extent practicable; and

(B) for any information technology system not using an open systems approach, ensure that written justification is provided in the contract file for the system detailing why an open systems approach was not used.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The review required in paragraph (1) shall—

(A) consider whether the guidance includes appropriate exceptions for the acquisition of—

(i) commercial items; and

(ii) solutions addressing urgent operational needs;

(B) determine the extent to which open systems approaches should be addressed in analysis of alternatives, acquisition strategies, system engineering plans, and life cycle sustainment plans; and

(C) ensure that increments of acquisition programs consider the extent to which the increment will implement open systems approaches as a whole.

(3) *DEADLINE FOR REVIEW.*—The review required in this subsection shall be completed no later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) *TREATMENT OF ONGOING AND LEGACY PROGRAMS.*—

(1) *REPORT REQUIREMENT.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report covering the matters specified in paragraph (2).

(2) *MATTERS COVERED.*—Subject to paragraph (3), the report required in this subsection shall—

(A) identify all information technology systems that are in development, production, or deployed status as of the date of the enactment of this Act, that are or were major defense acquisition programs or major automated information systems, and that are not using an open systems approach;

(B) identify gaps in standards and architectures necessary to enable open systems approaches in the key mission areas of the Department of Defense, as determined pursuant to the plan submitted under subsection (a); and

(C) outline a process for potential conversion to an open systems approach for each information technology system identified under subparagraph (A).

(3) LIMITATIONS.—The report required in this subsection shall not include information technology systems—

(A) having a planned increment before fiscal year 2021 that will result in conversion to an open systems approach; and

(B) that will be in operation for fewer than 15 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.—The term “information technology” has the meaning given the term in section 11101(6) of title 40, United States Code.

(2) OPEN SYSTEMS APPROACH.—The term “open systems approach” means, with respect to an information technology system, an integrated business and technical strategy that—

(A) employs a modular design and uses widely supported and consensus-based standards for key interfaces;

(B) is subjected to successful validation and verification tests to ensure key interfaces comply with widely supported and consensus-based standards; and

(C) uses a system architecture that allows components to be added, modified, replaced, removed, or supported by different vendors throughout the lifecycle of the system to afford opportunities for enhanced competition and innovation while yielding—

(i) significant cost and schedule savings; and

(ii) increased interoperability.

SEC. 802. RECHARACTERIZATION OF CHANGES TO MAJOR AUTOMATED INFORMATION SYSTEM PROGRAMS.

(a) ADDITION TO COVERED DETERMINATION OF A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE.—Subsection (c)(2) of section 2445c of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (B), by striking “; or” and inserting a semicolon;

(2) in subparagraph (C), by striking the period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(D) the automated information system or information technology investment failed to achieve a full deployment decision within five years after the Milestone A decision for the program or, if there was no Milestone A decision, the date when the preferred alternative is selected for the pro-

gram (excluding any time during which program activity is delayed as a result of a bid protest).”.

(b) **REMOVAL OF COVERED DETERMINATION OF A CRITICAL CHANGE.**—Subsection (d)(3) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking subparagraph (A); and

(2) by redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C), and (D) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively.

(c) **TECHNICAL AMENDMENT FOR CLARITY.**—Subsection (d)(2) of such section is amended by striking “(A) is primarily due to an extension of a program, and (B) involves” and inserting “are primarily due to an extension of a program and involve”.

SEC. 803. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEMS.

(a) **EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN INFORMATION SYSTEMS FROM DEFINITION OF DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM.**—Subsection (j)(1) of section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by inserting “(A)” after “(1)”;

(2) by striking “, other than a national security system,”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) The term does not include—

“(i) a national security system; or

“(ii) an information system used exclusively by and within the defense commissary system or the exchange system or other instrumentality of the Department of Defense conducted for the morale, welfare, and recreation of members of the armed forces using nonappropriated funds.”.

(b) **BUSINESS PROCESS MAPPING REQUIREMENT.**—Section 2222 of such title is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(A), by inserting “, including business process mapping,” after “re-engineering efforts”; and

(2) in subsection (j), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(6) The term ‘business process mapping’ means a procedure in which the steps in a business process are clarified and documented in both written form and in a flow chart.”.

SEC. 804. REPORT ON IMPLEMENTATION OF ACQUISITION PROCESS FOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the acquisition process for information technology systems required by section 804 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2402; 10 U.S.C. 2225 note).

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall, at a minimum, include the following elements:

(1) The applicable regulations, instructions, or policies implementing the acquisition process.

(2) With respect to the criteria established for such process in section 804(a) of such Act—

(A) an explanation for any criteria not yet implemented;

(B) a schedule for the implementation of any criteria not yet implemented; and

- (C) an explanation for any proposed deviation from the criteria.
- (3) Identification of any categories of information technology acquisitions to which the acquisition process will not apply.
- (4) Recommendations for any legislation that may be required to implement the remaining criteria of the acquisition process.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations

SEC. 811. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT AUTHORITY FOR ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPE UNITS.

Section 819 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2409; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “advanced component development or prototype of technology” and inserting “advanced component development, prototype, or initial production of technology”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “prototype items” and inserting “items”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5);

(B) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following new paragraph (4):

“(4) **APPLICABILITY.**—The authority provided in subsection (a) applies only to the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of the Navy, and the Secretary of the Air Force.”; and

(C) in paragraph (5), as so redesignated, by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2019”.

SEC. 812. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO AUTHORITY OF THE DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN PROTOTYPE PROJECTS.

(a) **AMENDMENT RELATING TO AUTHORITY.**—Section 845(a)(1) of Public Law 103–160 (10 U.S.C. 2371 note) is amended by striking “weapons or weapon systems proposed to be acquired or developed by the Department of Defense, or to improvement of weapons or weapon systems in use by the Armed Forces” and inserting the following: “enhancing the mission effectiveness of military personnel and the supporting platforms, systems, components, or materials proposed to be acquired or developed by the Department of Defense, or to improvement of platforms, systems, components, or materials in use by the Armed Forces”.

(b) **AMENDMENTS RELATING TO SMALL BUSINESS.**—Section 845 of Public Law 103–160 (10 U.S.C. 2371 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d)(1)(B), by inserting “or small business” after “defense contractor”; and

(2) in subsection (f)—

(A) by striking “NONTRADITIONAL DEFENSE CONTRACTOR DEFINED.—In this section, the” and inserting the following: “DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph: “(2) The term ‘small business’ means a small business concern as defined under section 3 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632).”.

SEC. 813. EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON AGGREGATE ANNUAL AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR CONTRACT SERVICES.

Section 808 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1489), as amended by section 802 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 804) is further amended—

(1) in subsections (a) and (b), by striking “or 2014” and inserting “2014, or 2015”;

(2) in subsection (c)(3), by striking “and 2014” and inserting “2014, and 2015”;

(3) in subsection (d)(4), by striking “or 2014” and inserting “2014, or 2015”;

(4) in subsection (e), by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”; and

(5) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(f) *USE OF OTHER DATA.*—For purposes of compliance with subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (c)(2), the Secretaries of the military departments and the heads of the Defense Agencies may use other available sources of data, such as advisory and assistance services information collected for purposes of the annual budget submission of the Department of Defense, to corroborate data from the annual inventory of contractor services required in section 2330a of title 10, United States Code. Any discrepancy identified between the inventory data and the data from other available sources shall be resolved and reported to the congressional defense committees.”.

SEC. 814. IMPROVEMENT IN DEFENSE DESIGN-BUILD CONSTRUCTION PROCESS.

Section 2305a of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking the second sentence of subsection (d) and inserting the following: “If the contract value exceeds \$4,000,000, the maximum number specified in the solicitation shall not exceed 5 unless the head of the contracting activity, delegable to a level no lower than the senior contracting official within the contracting activity, approves the contracting officer’s justification with respect to an individual solicitation that a number greater than 5 is in the Federal Government’s interest. The contracting officer shall provide written documentation of how a maximum number exceeding 5 is consistent with the purposes and objectives of the two-phase selection procedures.”.

SEC. 815. PERMANENT AUTHORITY FOR USE OF SIMPLIFIED ACQUISITION PROCEDURES FOR CERTAIN COMMERCIAL ITEMS.

Section 4202 of the Clinger-Cohen Act of 1996 (division D of Public Law 104–106; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note) is amended by striking subsection (e).

SEC. 816. RESTATEMENT AND REVISION OF REQUIREMENTS APPLICABLE TO MULTIYEAR DEFENSE ACQUISITIONS TO BE SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY LAW.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subsection (i) of section 2306b of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(i) *DEFENSE ACQUISITIONS SPECIFICALLY AUTHORIZED BY LAW.*—

(1) *In the case of the Department of Defense, a multiyear contract in an amount equal to or greater than \$500,000,000 may not be entered into under this section unless the contract is specifically authorized by law in an Act other than an appropriations Act.*

“(2) *In submitting a request for a specific authorization by law to carry out a defense acquisition program using multiyear contract authority under this section, the Secretary of Defense shall include in the request the following:*

“(A) *A report containing preliminary findings of the agency head required in paragraphs (1) through (6) of subsection (a), together with the basis for such findings.*

“(B) *Confirmation that the preliminary findings of the agency head under subparagraph (A) were made after the completion of a cost analysis performed by the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation for the purpose of section 2334(e)(1) of this title, and that the analysis supports those preliminary findings.*

“(3) *A multiyear contract may not be entered into under this section for a defense acquisition program that has been specifically authorized by law to be carried out using multiyear contract authority unless the Secretary of Defense certifies in writing, not later than 30 days before entry into the contract, that each of the following conditions is satisfied:*

“(A) *The Secretary has determined that each of the requirements in paragraphs (1) through (6) of subsection (a) will be met by such contract and has provided the basis for such determination to the congressional defense committees.*

“(B) *The Secretary’s determination under subparagraph (A) was made after completion of a cost analysis conducted on the basis of section 2334(e)(2) of this title, and the analysis supports the determination.*

“(C) *The system being acquired pursuant to such contract has not been determined to have experienced cost growth in excess of the critical cost growth threshold pursuant to section 2433(d) of this title within 5 years prior to the date the Secretary anticipates such contract (or a contract for advance procurement entered into consistent with the authorization for such contract) will be awarded.*

“(D) *A sufficient number of end items of the system being acquired under such contract have been delivered at or within the most current estimates of the program acquisition unit cost or procurement unit cost for such system to determine that current estimates of such unit costs are realistic.*

“(E) *During the fiscal year in which such contract is to be awarded, sufficient funds will be available to perform the contract in such fiscal year, and the future-years defense program for such fiscal year will include the funding required to execute the program without cancellation.*

“(F) *The contract is a fixed price type contract.*

“(G) The proposed multiyear contract provides for production at not less than minimum economic rates given the existing tooling and facilities.

“(4) If for any fiscal year a multiyear contract to be entered into under this section is authorized by law for a particular procurement program and that authorization is subject to certain conditions established by law (including a condition as to cost savings to be achieved under the multiyear contract in comparison to specified other contracts) and if it appears (after negotiations with contractors) that such savings cannot be achieved, but that substantial savings could nevertheless be achieved through the use of a multiyear contract rather than specified other contracts, the President may submit to Congress a request for relief from the specified cost savings that must be achieved through multiyear contracting for that program. Any such request by the President shall include details about the request for a multiyear contract, including details about the negotiated contract terms and conditions.

“(5)(A) The Secretary may obligate funds for procurement of an end item under a multiyear contract for the purchase of property only for procurement of a complete and usable end item.

“(B) The Secretary may obligate funds appropriated for any fiscal year for advance procurement under a contract for the purchase of property only for the procurement of those long-lead items necessary in order to meet a planned delivery schedule for complete major end items that are programmed under the contract to be acquired with funds appropriated for a subsequent fiscal year (including an economic order quantity of such long-lead items when authorized by law).

“(6) The Secretary may make the certification under paragraph (3) notwithstanding the fact that one or more of the conditions of such certification are not met, if the Secretary determines that, due to exceptional circumstances, proceeding with a multiyear contract under this section is in the best interest of the Department of Defense and the Secretary provides the basis for such determination with the certification.

“(7) The Secretary may not delegate the authority to make the certification under paragraph (3) or the determination under paragraph (6) to an official below the level of Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (a)(7) of such section is amended by striking “subparagraphs (C) through (F) of paragraph (1) of subsection (i)” and inserting “subparagraphs (C) through (F) of subsection (i)(3)”.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to requests for specific authorization by law to carry out defense acquisition programs using multiyear contract authority that are made on or after that date.

SEC. 817. SOURCING REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO AVOIDING COUNTERFEIT ELECTRONIC PARTS.

Section 818(c)(3) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1495; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “, whenever possible,”;

(B) in clause (i)—

(i) by striking “trusted suppliers” and inserting “suppliers identified as trusted suppliers in accordance with regulations issued pursuant to subparagraph (C) or (D)”;

(ii) by striking “, and” and inserting a semicolon;

(C) in clause (ii), by striking “trusted suppliers,” and inserting “suppliers identified as trusted suppliers in accordance with regulations issued pursuant to subparagraph (C) or (D); and”;

(D) by adding at the end the following new clause:

“(iii) obtain electronic parts from alternate suppliers if such parts are not available from original manufacturers, their authorized dealers, or suppliers identified as trusted suppliers in accordance with regulations prescribed pursuant to subparagraph (C) or (D);”;

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by inserting “for” before “inspection”; and

(B) by striking “subparagraph (A)” and inserting “clause (i) or (ii) of subparagraph (A), if obtaining the electronic parts in accordance with such clauses is not possible”; and

(3) in subparagraph (C), by striking “identify trusted suppliers that have appropriate policies” and inserting “identify as trusted suppliers those that have appropriate policies”.

SEC. 818. AMENDMENTS TO PROOF OF CONCEPT COMMERCIALIZATION PILOT PROGRAM.

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR SECRETARIES OF MILITARY DEPARTMENTS TO CARRY OUT PILOT.**—Section 1603(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 944; 10 U.S.C. 2359 note) is amended by inserting after “Engineering” the following: “and the Secretary of each military department”.

(b) **REVIEW BOARD REVISIONS.**—

(1) Section 1603(c)(3)(B)(i) of such Act is amended to read as follows:

“(i) rigorous review of commercialization potential or military utility of technologies, including through use of outside expertise;”.

(2) Section 1603(d)(1) of such Act is amended by striking “, including incentives and activities undertaken by review board experts”.

(c) **INCREASE IN AMOUNT OF AWARDS.**—Section 1603(c)(5)(B)(i) of such Act is amended by striking “\$500,000” and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

(d) **AUTHORITY FOR USE OF BASIC RESEARCH FUNDS.**—Section 1603(f) of such Act is amended—

(1) by inserting “AND USE OF FUNDS” after “LIMITATION”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following: “The Secretary of a military department may use basic research funds, or other funds considered appropriate by the Secretary, to conduct the pilot program within the military department concerned.”

(e) **ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.**—Section 1603(g) of such Act is amended by striking “2018” and inserting “2019”.

Subtitle C—Industrial Base Matters

SEC. 821. TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF AND AMENDMENTS TO TEST PROGRAM FOR NEGOTIATION OF COMPREHENSIVE SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTING PLANS.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Subsection (e) of section 834 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (15 U.S.C. 637 note) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2017”.

(b) *ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR COMPREHENSIVE SUBCONTRACTING PLANS.*—Subsection (b) of section 834 of such Act is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “paragraph (3)” and inserting “paragraph (4)”;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (3) as paragraph (4), and in that paragraph by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$100,000,000”; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2) the following new paragraph (3):

“(3) Each comprehensive subcontracting plan of a contractor shall require that the contractor report to the Secretary of Defense on a semi-annual basis the following information:

“(A) The amount of first-tier subcontract dollars awarded during the six-month period covered by the report to covered small business concerns, with the information set forth separately—

“(i) by North American Industrial Classification System code;

“(ii) by major defense acquisition program, as defined in section 2430(a) of title 10, United States Code;

“(iii) by contract, if the contract is for the maintenance, overhaul, repair, servicing, rehabilitation, salvage, modernization, or modification of supplies, systems, or equipment and the total value of the contract, including options, exceeds \$100,000,000; and

“(iv) by military department.

“(B) The total number of subcontracts active under the test program during the six-month period covered by the report that would have otherwise required a subcontracting plan under paragraph (4) or (5) of section 8(d) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)).

“(C) Costs incurred in negotiating, complying with, and reporting on comprehensive subcontracting plans.

“(D) Costs avoided by adoption of a comprehensive subcontracting plan.”.

(c) *ADDITIONAL CONSEQUENCE FOR FAILURE TO MAKE GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO COMPLY.*—

(1) *AMENDMENTS.*—Subsection (d) of section 834 of such Act is amended—

(A) by striking “COMPANY-WIDE” and inserting “COMPREHENSIVE” in the heading;

(B) by striking “company-wide” and inserting “comprehensive subcontracting”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following: “In addition, any such failure shall be a factor considered as part of the evaluation of past performance of an offeror.”

(2) **REPEAL OF SUSPENSION OF SUBSECTION (D).**—Section 402 of Public Law 101–574 (104 Stat. 2832; 15 U.S.C. 637 note) is repealed.

(d) **ELIGIBILITY REQUIREMENT.**—Subsection (d) of section 834 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1990 and 1991 (15 U.S.C. 637 note) is further amended—

(1) by inserting “(1)” before “A contractor that”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(2) Effective in fiscal year 2016 and each fiscal year thereafter in which the test program is in effect, the Secretary of Defense may not negotiate a comprehensive subcontracting plan for a fiscal year with any contractor with which such a plan was negotiated in the prior fiscal year if the Secretary determines that the contractor did not meet the subcontracting goals negotiated in the plan for the prior fiscal year.”

(e) **REPORT BY COMPTROLLER GENERAL.**—Subsection (f) of section 834 of such Act is amended to read as follows:

“(f) **REPORT.**—Not later than September 30, 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit a report on the results of the test program to the Committees on Armed Services and on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Armed Services and on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate.”

(f) **ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS.**—

(1) **COVERED SMALL BUSINESS CONCERN.**—Subsection (g) of section 834 of such Act is amended to read as follows:

“(g) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section, the term ‘covered small business concern’ includes each of the following:

“(1) A small business concern, as that term is defined under section 3(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 632(a)).

“(2) A small business concern owned and controlled by veterans, as that term is defined in section 3(q)(3) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(q)(3)).

“(3) A small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans, as that term is defined in section 3(q)(2) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(q)(2)).

“(4) A qualified HUBZone small business concern, as that term is defined under section 3(p)(5) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(p)(5)).

“(5) A small business concern owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, as that term is defined in section 8(d)(3)(C) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 637(d)(3)(C)).

“(6) A small business concern owned and controlled by women, as that term is defined under section 3(n) of such Act (15 U.S.C. 632(n)).”

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (a)(1) of section 834 of such Act is amended by striking “small business concerns and small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals” and inserting “covered small business concerns”.

SEC. 822. PLAN FOR IMPROVING DATA ON BUNDLED OR CONSOLIDATED CONTRACTS.

(a) *PLAN REQUIRED.*—Section 15 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 644) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(s) *DATA QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than October 1, 2015, the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, in consultation with the Small Business Procurement Advisory Council, the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, and the Administrator of General Services, shall develop a plan to improve the quality of data reported on bundled or consolidated contracts in the Federal procurement data system (described in section 1122(a)(4)(A) of title 41, United States Code).

“(2) *PLAN REQUIREMENTS.*—The plan shall—

“(A) describe the roles and responsibilities of the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, each Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, the Administrator of General Services, senior procurement executives, and Chief Acquisition Officers in—

“(i) improving the quality of data reported on bundled or consolidated contracts in the Federal procurement data system; and

“(ii) contributing to the annual report required by subsection (p)(4);

“(B) recommend changes to policies and procedures, including training procedures of relevant personnel, to properly identify and mitigate the effects of bundled or consolidated contracts;

“(C) recommend requirements for periodic and statistically valid data verification and validation; and

“(D) recommend clear data verification responsibilities.

“(3) *PLAN SUBMISSION.*—The Administrator of the Small Business Administration shall submit the plan to the Committee on Small Business of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship of the Senate not later than December 1, 2016.

“(4) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection, the following definitions apply:

“(A) *CHIEF ACQUISITION OFFICER; SENIOR PROCUREMENT EXECUTIVE.*—The terms ‘Chief Acquisition Officer’ and ‘senior procurement executive’ have the meanings given such terms in section 44(a) of this Act.

“(B) *BUNDLED OR CONSOLIDATED CONTRACT.*—The term ‘bundled or consolidated contract’ means a bundled contract (as defined in section 3(o)) or a contract resulting from the consolidation of contracting requirements (as defined in section 44(a)(2)).”.

(b) *TECHNICAL AMENDMENT.*—Section 44(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 657q(a)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by inserting “appointed or” before “designated”; and

- (B) by striking “section 16(a) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(a))” and inserting “section 1702(a) of title 41, United States Code”; and
- (2) in paragraph (3), by striking “section 16(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(c))” and inserting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United States Code”.

SEC. 823. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE EDUCATION TO SMALL BUSINESSES ON CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS OF ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.

(a) **ASSISTANCE AT SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT CENTERS.**—Section 21(c)(1) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 648(c)(1)) is amended by inserting at the end the following: “Applicants receiving grants under this section may also assist small businesses by providing, where appropriate, education on the requirements applicable to small businesses under the regulations issued under section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778) and on compliance with those requirements.”.

(b) **PROCUREMENT TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.**—Section 2418 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) An eligible entity assisted by the Department of Defense under this chapter also may furnish education on the requirements applicable to small businesses under the regulations issued under section 38 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778) and on compliance with those requirements.”.

SEC. 824. MATTERS RELATING TO REVERSE AUCTIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall clarify regulations on reverse auctions, as necessary, to ensure that—

- (1) single bid contracts may not be entered into resulting from reverse auctions unless compliant with existing Federal regulations and Department of Defense memoranda providing guidance on single bid offers;
- (2) all reverse auctions provide offerors with the ability to submit revised bids throughout the course of the auction;
- (3) if a reverse auction is conducted by a third party—
 - (A) inherently governmental functions are not performed by private contractors, including by the third party; and
 - (B) past performance or financial responsibility information created by the third party is made available to offerors; and

(4) reverse auctions resulting in design-build military construction contracts specifically authorized in law are prohibited.

(b) **TRAINING.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President of the Defense Acquisition University shall establish comprehensive training available for contract specialists in the Department of Defense on the use of reverse auctions.

(c) **DESIGN-BUILD DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “design-build” means procedures used for the selection of a contractor on the basis of price and other evaluation criteria to perform, in accordance with the provisions of a firm fixed-price contract, both the design and construction of a facility using performance specifications supplied by the Secretary of Defense.

SEC. 825. SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN.

(a) **AUTHORITY FOR SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FOR CERTAIN SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN.**—Subsection (m) of section 8 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637(m)) is amended—

(1) by amending paragraph (2)(E) to read as follows:

“(E) each of the concerns is certified by a Federal agency, a State government, the Administrator, or a national certifying entity approved by the Administrator as a small business concern owned and controlled by women.”;

(2) in paragraph (5), by striking “paragraph (2)(F)” each place such term appears and inserting “paragraph (2)(E)”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(7) **AUTHORITY FOR SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FOR ECONOMICALLY DISADVANTAGED SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN.**—A contracting officer may award a sole source contract under this subsection to any small business concern owned and controlled by women described in paragraph (2)(A) and certified under paragraph (2)(E) if—

“(A) such concern is determined to be a responsible contractor with respect to performance of the contract opportunity and the contracting officer does not have a reasonable expectation that 2 or more businesses described in paragraph (2)(A) will submit offers;

“(B) the anticipated award price of the contract (including options) will not exceed—

“(i) \$6,500,000, in the case of a contract opportunity assigned a standard industrial classification code for manufacturing; or

“(ii) \$4,000,000, in the case of any other contract opportunity; and

“(C) in the estimation of the contracting officer, the contract award can be made at a fair and reasonable price.

“(8) **AUTHORITY FOR SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN IN SUBSTANTIALLY UNDERREPRESENTED INDUSTRIES.**—A contracting officer may award a sole source contract under this subsection to any small business concern owned and controlled by women certified under paragraph (2)(E) that is in an industry in which small business concerns owned and controlled by women are substantially underrepresented (as determined by the Administrator under paragraph (3)) if—

“(A) such concern is determined to be a responsible contractor with respect to performance of the contract opportunity and the contracting officer does not have a reasonable expectation that 2 or more businesses in an industry that has received a waiver under paragraph (3) will submit offers;

“(B) the anticipated award price of the contract (including options) will not exceed—

“(i) \$6,500,000, in the case of a contract opportunity assigned a standard industrial classification code for manufacturing; or

“(ii) \$4,000,000, in the case of any other contract opportunity; and

“(C) in the estimation of the contracting officer, the contract award can be made at a fair and reasonable price.”.

(b) **REPORTING ON GOALS FOR SOLE SOURCE CONTRACTS FOR SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN.**—Clause (viii) of subsection 15(h)(2)(E) of such Act is amended—

- (1) in subclause (IV), by striking “and” after the semicolon;
- (2) by redesignating subclause (V) as subclause (VIII); and
- (3) by inserting after subclause (IV) the following new subclauses:

“(V) through sole source contracts awarded using the authority under subsection 8(m)(7);

“(VI) through sole source contracts awarded using the authority under section 8(m)(8);

“(VII) by industry for contracts described in subclause (III), (IV), (V), or (VI); and”.

(c) **ACCELERATED DEADLINE FOR REPORT ON INDUSTRIES UNDER-REPRESENTED BY SMALL BUSINESS CONCERNS OWNED AND CONTROLLED BY WOMEN.**—Paragraph (2) of section 29(o) of such Act is amended by striking “5 years after the date of enactment” and inserting “3 years after the date of enactment”.

Subtitle D—Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform

SEC. 831. CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER AUTHORITY ENHANCEMENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter II of chapter 113 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 11319. Resources, planning, and portfolio management

“(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘covered agency’ means each agency listed in section 901(b)(1) or 901(b)(2) of title 31.

“(2) The term ‘information technology’ has the meaning given that term under capital planning guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget.

“(b) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITIES FOR CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICERS.**—

“(1) **PLANNING, PROGRAMMING, BUDGETING, AND EXECUTION AUTHORITIES FOR CIOS.**—

“(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The head of each covered agency other than the Department of Defense shall ensure that the Chief Information Officer of the agency has a significant role in—

“(i) the decision processes for all annual and multi-year planning, programming, budgeting, and execution decisions, related reporting requirements, and reports related to information technology; and

“(ii) the management, governance, and oversight processes related to information technology.

“(B) BUDGET FORMULATION.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall require in the annual information technology capital planning guidance of the Office of Management and Budget the following:

“(i) That the Chief Information Officer of each covered agency other than the Department of Defense approve the information technology budget request of the covered agency, and that the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense review and provide recommendations to the Secretary of Defense on the information technology budget request of the Department.

“(ii) That the Chief Information Officer of each covered agency certify that information technology investments are adequately implementing incremental development, as defined in capital planning guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget.

“(C) REVIEW.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—A covered agency other than the Department of Defense—

“(I) may not enter into a contract or other agreement for information technology or information technology services, unless the contract or other agreement has been reviewed and approved by the Chief Information Officer of the agency;

“(II) may not request the reprogramming of any funds made available for information technology programs, unless the request has been reviewed and approved by the Chief Information Officer of the agency; and

“(III) may use the governance processes of the agency to approve such a contract or other agreement if the Chief Information Officer of the agency is included as a full participant in the governance processes.

“(ii) DELEGATION.—

“(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subclause (II), the duties of a Chief Information Officer under clause (i) are not delegable.

“(II) NON-MAJOR INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS.—For a contract or agreement for a non-major information technology investment, as defined in the annual information technology capital planning guidance of the Office of Management and Budget, the Chief Information Officer of a covered agency other than the Department of Defense may delegate the approval of the contract or agreement under clause (i) to an individual who reports directly to the Chief Information Officer.

“(2) PERSONNEL-RELATED AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for each covered agency other than the Department of Defense, the Chief Information Officer of the covered agency shall approve the appointment of any other employee with the title of Chief Information Officer, or who func-

tions in the capacity of a Chief Information Officer, for any component organization within the covered agency.

“(c) *LIMITATION.*—None of the authorities provided in this section shall apply to telecommunications or information technology that is fully funded by amounts made available—

“(1) under the National Intelligence Program, defined by section 3(6) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(6));

“(2) under the Military Intelligence Program or any successor program or programs; or

“(3) jointly under the National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program (or any successor program or programs).”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections for chapter 113 of title 40, United States Code, is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 11318 the following new item:

“11319. Resources, planning, and portfolio management.”.

SEC. 832. ENHANCED TRANSPARENCY AND IMPROVED RISK MANAGEMENT IN INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS.

Section 11302(c) of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (2) and (5), respectively;

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following new paragraph (1):

“(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘covered agency’ means an agency listed in section 901(b)(1) or 901(b)(2) of title 31.

“(B) The term ‘major information technology investment’ means an investment within a covered agency information technology investment portfolio that is designated by the covered agency as major, in accordance with capital planning guidance issued by the Director.

“(C) The term ‘national security system’ has the meaning provided in section 3542 of title 44.”; and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following new paragraphs:

“(3) *PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Director shall make available to the public a list of each major information technology investment, without regard to whether the investments are for new information technology acquisitions or for operations and maintenance of existing information technology, including data on cost, schedule, and performance.

“(B) *AGENCY INFORMATION.*—

“(i) The Director shall issue guidance to each covered agency for reporting of data required by subparagraph (A) that provides a standardized data template that can be incorporated into existing, required data reporting formats and processes. Such guidance shall integrate the reporting process into current budget reporting that each covered agency provides to the Office of Management and Budget, to minimize additional workload. Such guidance shall also clearly specify that the investment evaluation required under subpara-

graph (C) adequately reflect the investment's cost and schedule performance and employ incremental development approaches in appropriate cases.

“(ii) The Chief Information Officer of each covered agency shall provide the Director with the information described in subparagraph (A) on at least a semi-annual basis for each major information technology investment, using existing data systems and processes.

“(C) INVESTMENT EVALUATION.—For each major information technology investment listed under subparagraph (A), the Chief Information Officer of the covered agency, in consultation with other appropriate agency officials, shall categorize the investment according to risk, in accordance with guidance issued by the Director.

“(D) CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT.—If either the Director or the Chief Information Officer of a covered agency determines that the information made available from the agency's existing data systems and processes as required by subparagraph (B) is not timely and reliable, the Chief Information Officer, in consultation with the Director and the head of the agency, shall establish a program for the improvement of such data systems and processes.

“(E) WAIVER OR LIMITATION AUTHORITY.—The applicability of subparagraph (A) may be waived or the extent of the information may be limited by the Director, if the Director determines that such a waiver or limitation is in the national security interests of the United States.

“(F) ADDITIONAL LIMITATION.—The requirements of subparagraph (A) shall not apply to national security systems or to telecommunications or information technology that is fully funded by amounts made available—

“(i) under the National Intelligence Program, defined by section 3(6) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(6));

“(ii) under the Military Intelligence Program or any successor program or programs; or

“(iii) jointly under the National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program (or any successor program or programs).

“(4) RISK MANAGEMENT.—For each major information technology investment listed under paragraph (3)(A) that receives a high risk rating, as described in paragraph (3)(C), for 4 consecutive quarters—

“(A) the Chief Information Officer of the covered agency and the program manager of the investment within the covered agency, in consultation with the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government, shall conduct a review of the investment that shall identify—

“(i) the root causes of the high level of risk of the investment;

“(ii) the extent to which these causes can be addressed; and

“(iii) the probability of future success;

“(B) the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government shall communicate the results of the review under subparagraph (A) to—

“(i) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

“(ii) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

“(iii) the committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives with primary jurisdiction over the agency;

“(C) in the case of a major information technology investment of the Department of Defense, the assessment required by subparagraph (A) may be accomplished in accordance with section 2445c of title 10, provided that the results of the review are provided to the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government upon request and to the committees identified in subsection (B); and

“(D) for a covered agency other than the Department of Defense, if on the date that is one year after the date of completion of the review required under subsection (A), the investment is rated as high risk under paragraph (3)(C), the Director shall deny any request for additional development, modernization, or enhancement funding for the investment until the date on which the Chief Information Officer of the covered agency determines that the root causes of the high level of risk of the investment have been addressed, and there is sufficient capability to deliver the remaining planned increments within the planned cost and schedule.

“(5) SUNSET OF CERTAIN PROVISIONS.—Paragraphs (1), (3), and (4) shall not be in effect on and after the date that is 5 years after the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.”.

SEC. 833. PORTFOLIO REVIEW.

Section 11319 of title 40, United States Code, as added by section 831, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“(c) INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY PORTFOLIO, PROGRAM, AND RESOURCE REVIEWS.—

“(1) PROCESS.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Chief Information Officers of appropriate agencies, shall implement a process to assist covered agencies in reviewing their portfolio of information technology investments—

“(A) to identify or develop ways to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the information technology investments of the covered agency;

“(B) to identify or develop opportunities to consolidate the acquisition and management of information technology services, and increase the use of shared-service delivery models;

“(C) to identify potential duplication and waste;

“(D) to identify potential cost savings;

“(E) to develop plans for actions to optimize the information technology portfolio, programs, and resources of the covered agency;

“(F) to develop ways to better align the information technology portfolio, programs, and financial resources of the covered agency to any multi-year funding requirements or strategic plans required by law;

“(G) to develop a multi-year strategy to identify and reduce duplication and waste within the information technology portfolio of the covered agency, including component-level investments and to identify projected cost savings resulting from such strategy; and

“(H) to carry out any other goals that the Director may establish.

“(2) METRICS AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS.—The Director of the Office of Management and Budget, in consultation with the Chief Information Officers of appropriate agencies, shall develop standardized cost savings and cost avoidance metrics and performance indicators for use by agencies for the process implemented under paragraph (1).

“(3) ANNUAL REVIEW.—The Chief Information Officer of each covered agency, in conjunction with the Chief Operating Officer or Deputy Secretary (or equivalent) of the covered agency and the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government, shall conduct an annual review of the information technology portfolio of the covered agency.

“(4) APPLICABILITY TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—In the case of the Department of Defense, processes established pursuant to this subsection shall apply only to the business systems information technology portfolio of the Department of Defense and not to national security systems as defined by section 11103(a) of this title. The annual review required by paragraph (3) shall be carried out by the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense (or any successor to such Officer), in consultation with the Chief Information Officer, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, and other appropriate Department of Defense officials. The Secretary of Defense may designate an existing investment or management review process to fulfill the requirement for the annual review required by paragraph (3), in consultation with the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government.

“(5) QUARTERLY REPORTS.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government shall submit a quarterly report on the cost savings and reductions in duplicative information technology investments identified through the review required by paragraph (3) to—

“(i) the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

“(ii) the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives; and

“(iii) upon a request by any committee of Congress, to that committee.

“(B) INCLUSION IN OTHER REPORTS.—The reports required under subparagraph (A) may be included as part of another report submitted to the committees of Congress described in clauses (i), (ii), and (iii) of subparagraph (A).

“(6) SUNSET.—This subsection shall not be in effect on and after the date that is 5 years after the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.”.

SEC. 834. FEDERAL DATA CENTER CONSOLIDATION INITIATIVE.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Administrator” means the Administrator of the Office of Electronic Government established under section 3602 of title 44, United States Code (and also known as the Office of E-Government and Information Technology), within the Office of Management and Budget.

(2) COVERED AGENCY.—The term “covered agency” means the following (including all associated components of the agency):

- (A) Department of Agriculture.
- (B) Department of Commerce.
- (C) Department of Defense.
- (D) Department of Education.
- (E) Department of Energy.
- (F) Department of Health and Human Services.
- (G) Department of Homeland Security.
- (H) Department of Housing and Urban Development.
- (I) Department of the Interior.
- (J) Department of Justice.
- (K) Department of Labor.
- (L) Department of State.
- (M) Department of Transportation.
- (N) Department of Treasury.
- (O) Department of Veterans Affairs.
- (P) Environmental Protection Agency.
- (Q) General Services Administration.
- (R) National Aeronautics and Space Administration.
- (S) National Science Foundation.
- (T) Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
- (U) Office of Personnel Management.
- (V) Small Business Administration.
- (W) Social Security Administration.
- (X) United States Agency for International Development.

(3) FDCCI.—The term “FDCCI” means the Federal Data Center Consolidation Initiative described in the Office of Management and Budget Memorandum on the Federal Data Center Consolidation Initiative, dated February 26, 2010, or any successor thereto.

(4) GOVERNMENT-WIDE DATA CENTER CONSOLIDATION AND OPTIMIZATION METRICS.—The term “Government-wide data center consolidation and optimization metrics” means the metrics established by the Administrator under subsection (b)(2)(G).

(b) FEDERAL DATA CENTER CONSOLIDATION INVENTORIES AND STRATEGIES.—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—

(A) *ANNUAL REPORTING.*—*Except as provided in subparagraph (C), each year, beginning in the first fiscal year after the date of the enactment of this Act and each fiscal year thereafter, the head of each covered agency, assisted by the Chief Information Officer of the agency, shall submit to the Administrator—*

(i) a comprehensive inventory of the data centers owned, operated, or maintained by or on behalf of the agency; and

(ii) a multi-year strategy to achieve the consolidation and optimization of the data centers inventoried under clause (i), that includes—

(I) performance metrics—

(aa) that are consistent with the Government-wide data center consolidation and optimization metrics; and

(bb) by which the quantitative and qualitative progress of the agency toward the goals of the FDCCI can be measured;

(II) a timeline for agency activities to be completed under the FDCCI, with an emphasis on benchmarks the agency can achieve by specific dates;

(III) year-by-year calculations of investment and cost savings for the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the date set forth in subsection (e), broken down by each year, including a description of any initial costs for data center consolidation and optimization and life cycle cost savings and other improvements, with an emphasis on—

(aa) meeting the Government-wide data center consolidation and optimization metrics; and

(bb) demonstrating the amount of agency-specific cost savings each fiscal year achieved through the FDCCI; and

(IV) any additional information required by the Administrator.

(B) *USE OF OTHER REPORTING STRUCTURES.*—*The Administrator may require a covered agency to include the information required to be submitted under this subsection through reporting structures determined by the Administrator to be appropriate.*

(C) *DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REPORTING.*—*For any year that the Department of Defense is required to submit a performance plan for reduction of resources required for data servers and centers, as required under section 2867(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 2223a note), the Department of Defense—*

(i) may submit to the Administrator, in lieu of the multi-year strategy required under subparagraph (A)(ii)—

(I) the defense-wide plan required under section 2867(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 2223a note); and

(II) the report on cost savings required under section 2867(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 2223a note); and

(ii) shall submit the comprehensive inventory required under subparagraph (A)(i), unless the defense-wide plan required under section 2867(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 2223a note)—

(I) contains a comparable comprehensive inventory; and

(II) is submitted under clause (i).

(D) STATEMENT.—Each year, beginning in the first fiscal year after the date of the enactment of this Act and each fiscal year thereafter, the head of each covered agency, acting through the Chief Information Officer of the agency, shall—

(i)(I) submit a statement to the Administrator stating whether the agency has complied with the requirements of this section; and

(II) make the statement submitted under subclause (I) publicly available; and

(ii) if the agency has not complied with the requirements of this section, submit a statement to the Administrator explaining the reasons for not complying with such requirements.

(E) AGENCY IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIES.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Each covered agency, under the direction of the Chief Information Officer of the agency, shall—

(I) implement the strategy required under subparagraph (A)(ii); and

(II) provide updates to the Administrator, on a quarterly basis, of—

(aa) the completion of activities by the agency under the FDCCI;

(bb) any progress of the agency towards meeting the Government-wide data center consolidation and optimization metrics; and

(cc) the actual cost savings and other improvements realized through the implementation of the strategy of the agency.

(ii) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—For purposes of clause (i)(I), implementation of the defense-wide plan required under section 2867(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 2223a note) by the Department of Defense shall be considered implementation of the strategy required under subparagraph (A)(ii).

(F) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the reporting of information by a covered agency to the Administrator, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, or Congress.

(2) *ADMINISTRATOR RESPONSIBILITIES.*—The Administrator shall—

(A) establish the deadline, on an annual basis, for covered agencies to submit information under this section;

(B) establish a list of requirements that the covered agencies must meet to be considered in compliance with paragraph (1);

(C) ensure that information relating to agency progress towards meeting the Government-wide data center consolidation and optimization metrics is made available in a timely manner to the general public;

(D) review the inventories and strategies submitted under paragraph (1) to determine whether they are comprehensive and complete;

(E) monitor the implementation of the data center strategy of each covered agency that is required under paragraph (1)(A)(ii);

(F) update, on an annual basis, the cumulative cost savings realized through the implementation of the FDCCI; and

(G) establish metrics applicable to the consolidation and optimization of data centers Government-wide, including metrics with respect to—

(i) costs;

(ii) efficiencies, including, at a minimum, server efficiency; and

(iii) any other factors the Administrator considers appropriate.

(3) *COST SAVING GOAL AND UPDATES FOR CONGRESS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator shall develop, and make publicly available, a goal, broken down by year, for the amount of planned cost savings and optimization improvements achieved through the FDCCI during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the date set forth in subsection (e).

(B) *ANNUAL UPDATE.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date on which the goal described in subparagraph (A) is made publicly available, and each year thereafter, the Administrator shall aggregate the reported cost savings of each covered agency and optimization improvements achieved to date through the FDCCI and compare the savings to the projected cost savings and optimization improvements developed under subparagraph (A).

(ii) *UPDATE FOR CONGRESS.*—The goal required to be developed under subparagraph (A) shall be submitted to Congress and shall be accompanied by a statement describing—

(I) the extent to which each covered agency has developed and submitted a comprehensive inventory under paragraph (1)(A)(i), including an analysis of the inventory that details specific numbers, use, and efficiency level of data centers in each inventory; and

(II) the extent to which each covered agency has submitted a comprehensive strategy that addresses the items listed in paragraph (1)(A)(ii).

(4) GAO REVIEW.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, and each year thereafter, the Comptroller General of the United States shall review and verify the quality and completeness of the inventory and strategy of each covered agency required under paragraph (1)(A).

(B) REPORT.—The Comptroller General of the United States shall, on an annual basis, publish a report on each review conducted under subparagraph (A).

(c) ENSURING CYBERSECURITY STANDARDS FOR DATA CENTER CONSOLIDATION AND CLOUD COMPUTING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In implementing a data center consolidation and optimization strategy under this section, a covered agency shall do so in a manner that is consistent with Federal guidelines on cloud computing security, including—

(A) applicable provisions found within the Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program (FedRAMP); and

(B) guidance published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology.

(2) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ability of the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to update or modify the Federal guidelines on cloud computing security.

(d) WAIVER OF REQUIREMENTS.—The Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of Defense, or their respective designee, may waive the applicability to any national security system, as defined in section 3542 of title 44, United States Code, of any provision of this section if the Director of National Intelligence or the Secretary of Defense, or their respective designee, determines that such waiver is in the interest of national security. Not later than 30 days after making a waiver under this subsection, the Director of National Intelligence or the Secretary of Defense, or their respective designee, shall submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives a statement describing the waiver and the reasons for the waiver.

(e) SUNSET.—This section is repealed effective on October 1, 2018.

SEC. 835. EXPANSION OF TRAINING AND USE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CADRES.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to ensure timely progress by Federal agencies toward developing, strengthening, and deploying information technology acquisition cadres consisting of

personnel with highly specialized skills in information technology acquisition, including program and project managers.

(b) **STRATEGIC PLANNING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy, in consultation with the Administrator for E-Government and Information Technology, shall work with Federal agencies, other than the Department of Defense, to update their acquisition human capital plans that were developed pursuant to the October 27, 2009, guidance issued by the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy in furtherance of section 1704(g) of title 41, United States Code (originally enacted as section 869 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4553)), to address how the agencies are meeting their human capital requirements to support the timely and effective acquisition of information technology.*

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—*The updates required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted to the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy and shall address, at a minimum, each Federal agency’s consideration or use of the following procedures:*

(A) *Development of an information technology acquisition cadre within the agency or use of memoranda of understanding with other agencies that have such cadres or personnel with experience relevant to the agency’s information technology acquisition needs.*

(B) *Development of personnel assigned to information technology acquisitions, including cross-functional training of acquisition information technology and program personnel.*

(C) *Use of the specialized career path for information technology program managers as designated by the Office of Personnel Management and plans for strengthening information technology program management.*

(D) *Use of direct hire authority.*

(E) *Conduct of peer reviews.*

(F) *Piloting of innovative approaches to information technology acquisition workforce development, such as industry-government rotations.*

(c) **FEDERAL AGENCY DEFINED.**—*In this section, the term “Federal agency” means each agency listed in section 901(b) of title 31, United States Code.*

SEC. 836. MAXIMIZING THE BENEFIT OF THE FEDERAL STRATEGIC SOURCING INITIATIVE.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy shall prescribe regulations providing that when the Federal Government makes a purchase of services and supplies offered under the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative (managed by the Office of Federal Procurement Policy) but such Initiative is not used, the contract file for the purchase shall include a brief analysis of the comparative value, including price and nonprice factors, between the services and supplies offered under such Initiative and services and supplies offered under the source or sources used for the purchase.

SEC. 837. GOVERNMENTWIDE SOFTWARE PURCHASING PROGRAM.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Administrator of General Services shall identify and develop a strategic sourcing initiative to enhance Governmentwide acquisition, shared use, and dissemination of software, as well as compliance with end user license agreements.

(b) *GOVERNMENTWIDE USER LICENSE AGREEMENT.*—The Administrator, in developing the initiative under subsection (a), shall allow for the purchase of a license agreement that is available for use by all Executive agencies (as defined in section 105 of title 5, United States Code) as one user to the maximum extent practicable and as appropriate.

Subtitle E—Never Contract With the Enemy**SEC. 841. PROHIBITION ON PROVIDING FUNDS TO THE ENEMY.**

(a) *IDENTIFICATION OF PERSONS AND ENTITIES.*—The Secretary of Defense shall, in conjunction with the Director of National Intelligence and in consultation with the Secretary of State, establish in each covered combatant command a program to identify persons and entities within the area of responsibility of such command that—

(1) provide funds, including goods and services, received under a covered contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of an executive agency directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity; or

(2) fail to exercise due diligence to ensure that none of the funds, including goods and services, received under a covered contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of an executive agency are provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(b) *NOTICE OF IDENTIFIED PERSONS AND ENTITIES.*—

(1) *NOTICE.*—Upon the identification of a person or entity as being described by subsection (a), the head of the executive agency concerned (or the designee of such head) and the commander of the covered combatant command concerned (or the specified deputies of the commander) shall be notified, in writing, of such identification of the person or entity.

(2) *RESPONSIVE ACTIONS.*—Upon receipt of a notice under paragraph (1), the head of the executive agency concerned (or the designee of such head) and the commander of the covered combatant command concerned (or the specified deputies of the commander) may notify the heads of contracting activities, or other appropriate officials of the agency or command, in writing of such identification.

(3) *MAKING OF NOTIFICATIONS.*—Any written notification pursuant to this subsection shall be made in accordance with procedures established to implement the revisions of regulations required by this section.

(c) *AUTHORITY TO TERMINATE OR VOID CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS AND TO RESTRICT FUTURE AWARD.*—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Federal Acquisition Regulation, the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, and the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards

shall be revised to provide that, upon notice from the head of an executive agency (or the designee of such head) or the commander of a covered combatant command (or the specified deputies of the commander) pursuant to subsection (b), the head of contracting activity of an executive agency, or other appropriate official, may do the following:

(1) Restrict the award of contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements of the executive agency concerned upon a written determination by the head of contracting activity or other appropriate official that the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement would provide funds received under such contract, grant, or cooperative agreement directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(2) Terminate for default any contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of the executive agency concerned upon a written determination by the head of contracting activity or other appropriate official that the contractor, or the recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, has failed to exercise due diligence to ensure that none of the funds received under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement are provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(3) Void in whole or in part any contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of the executive agency concerned upon a written determination by the head of contracting activity or other appropriate official that the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement provides funds directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(d) **CLAUSE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Federal Acquisition Regulation, the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, and the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards shall be revised to require that—

(A) the clause described in paragraph (2) shall be included in each covered contract, grant, and cooperative agreement of an executive agency that is awarded on or after the date that is 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act; and

(B) to the maximum extent practicable, each covered contract, grant, and cooperative agreement of an executive agency that is awarded before the date of the enactment of this Act shall be modified to include the clause described in paragraph (2).

(2) **CLAUSE DESCRIBED.**—The clause described in this paragraph is a clause that—

(A) requires the contractor, or the recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, to exercise due diligence to ensure that none of the funds, including goods and services, received under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement are provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity; and

(B) notifies the contractor, or the recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, of the authority of the head of con-

tracting activity, or other appropriate official, to terminate or void the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement, in whole or in part, as provided in subsection (c).

(3) *TREATMENT AS VOID.*—For purposes of this section:

(A) A contract, grant, or cooperative agreement that is void is unenforceable as contrary to public policy.

(B) A contract, grant, or cooperative agreement that is void in part is unenforceable as contrary to public policy with regard to a segregable task or effort under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement.

(4) *PUBLIC COMMENT.*—The President shall ensure that the process for revising regulations required by paragraph (1) shall include an opportunity for public comment, including an opportunity for comment on standards of due diligence required by this section.

(e) *REQUIREMENTS FOLLOWING CONTRACT ACTIONS.*—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Federal Acquisition Regulation, the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, and the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards shall be revised as follows:

(1) To require that any head of contracting activity, or other appropriate official, taking an action under subsection (c) to terminate, void, or restrict a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement notify in writing the contractor or recipient of the grant or cooperative agreement, as applicable, of the action.

(2) To permit the contractor or recipient of a grant or cooperative agreement subject to an action taken under subsection (c) to terminate or void the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement, as the case may be, an opportunity to challenge the action by requesting an administrative review of the action under the procedures of the executive agency concerned not later than 30 days after receipt of notice of the action.

(f) *ANNUAL REVIEW; PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.*—

(1) *ANNUAL REVIEW.*—The Secretary of Defense, in conjunction with the Director of National Intelligence and in consultation with the Secretary of State shall, on an annual basis, review the lists of persons and entities previously covered by a notice under subsection (b) as having been identified as described by subsection (a) in order to determine whether or not such persons and entities continue to warrant identification as described by subsection (a). If a determination is made pursuant to such a review that a person or entity no longer warrants identification as described by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall notify the head of the executive agency concerned (or the designee of such head) and the commander of the covered combatant command concerned (or the specified deputies of the commander) in writing of such determination.

(2) *PROTECTION OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.*—Classified information relied upon to make an identification in accordance with subsection (a) may not be disclosed to a contractor or a recipient of a grant or cooperative agreement with respect to which an action is taken pursuant to the authority provided in subsection (c), or to their representatives, in the absence of a

protective order issued by a court of competent jurisdiction established under Article I or Article III of the Constitution of the United States that specifically addresses the conditions upon which such classified information may be so disclosed.

(g) DELEGATION OF CERTAIN RESPONSIBILITIES.—

(1) COMBATANT COMMAND RESPONSIBILITIES.—*The commander of a covered combatant command may delegate the responsibilities in this section to any deputies of the commander specified by the commander for purposes of this section. Any delegation of responsibilities under this paragraph shall be made in writing.*

(2) NONDELEGATION OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR CERTAIN ACTIONS.—*The authority provided by subsection (c) to terminate, void, or restrict contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements, in whole or in part, may not be delegated below the level of head of contracting activity, or equivalent official for purposes of grants or cooperative agreements.*

(h) ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF EXECUTIVE AGENCIES.—

(1) SHARING OF INFORMATION ON SUPPORTERS OF THE ENEMY.—*The Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, carry out a program through which agency components may provide information to heads of executive agencies (or the designees of such heads) and the commanders of the covered combatant commands (or the specified deputies of the commanders) relating to persons or entities who may be providing funds, including goods and services, received under contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements of the executive agencies directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity. The program shall be designed to facilitate and encourage the sharing of risk and threat information between executive agencies and the covered combatant commands.*

(2) INCLUSION OF INFORMATION ON CONTRACT ACTIONS IN FAPIIS AND OTHER SYSTEMS.—*Upon the termination, voiding, or restriction of a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement of an executive agency under subsection (c), the head of contracting activity of the executive agency shall provide for the inclusion in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), or other formal system of records on contractors or entities, of appropriate information on the termination, voiding, or restriction, as the case may be, of the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement.*

(3) REPORTS.—*The head of contracting activity that receives a notice pursuant to subsection (b) shall submit to the head of the executive agency concerned (or the designee of such head) and the commander of the covered combatant command concerned (or specified deputies) a report on the action, if any, taken by the head of contracting activity pursuant to subsection (c), including a determination not to terminate, void, or restrict the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement as otherwise authorized by subsection (c).*

(i) REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—*Not later than March 1 of 2016, 2017, and 2018, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget*

shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the use of the authorities in this section in the preceding calendar year, including the following:

(A) For each instance in which an executive agency exercised the authority to terminate, void, or restrict a contract, grant, and cooperative agreement pursuant to subsection (c), based on a notification under subsection (b), the following:

- (i) The executive agency taking such action.
- (ii) An explanation of the basis for the action taken.
- (iii) The value of the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement voided or terminated.
- (iv) The value of all contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements of the executive agency in force with the person or entity concerned at the time the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement was terminated or voided.

(B) For each instance in which an executive agency did not exercise the authority to terminate, void, or restrict a contract, grant, and cooperative agreement pursuant to subsection (c), based on a notification under subsection (b), the following:

- (i) The executive agency concerned.
- (ii) An explanation of the basis for not taking the action.

(2) FORM.—Any report under this subsection may, at the election of the Director—

- (A) be submitted in unclassified form, but with a classified annex; or
- (B) be submitted in classified form.

(j) INAPPLICABILITY TO CERTAIN CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—The provisions of this section do not apply to contracts, grants, and cooperative agreements that are performed entirely inside the United States.

(k) NATIONAL SECURITY EXCEPTION.—Nothing in this section shall apply to the authorized intelligence or law enforcement activities of the United States Government.

(l) CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER AUTHORITIES.—Except as provided in subsection (m), the authorities in this section shall be in addition to, and not to the exclusion of, any other authorities available to executive agencies to implement policies and purposes similar to those set forth in this section.

(m) COORDINATION WITH CURRENT AUTHORITIES.—

(1) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY RELATED TO CENTCOM.—Effective 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1510; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is repealed.

(2) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY RELATED TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—Effective 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, section 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 810; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is repealed.

(3) *USE OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITIES IN IMPLEMENTATION OF REQUIREMENTS.*—In providing for the implementation of the requirements of this section by the Department of Defense, the Secretary of Defense may use and modify for that purpose the regulations and procedures established for purposes of the implementation of the requirements of section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 and section 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

(n) *SUNSET.*—The provisions of this section shall cease to be effective on December 31, 2019.

SEC. 842. ADDITIONAL ACCESS TO RECORDS.

(a) *CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, applicable regulations shall be revised to provide that, except as provided under subsection (c)(1), the clause described in paragraph (2) may, as appropriate, be included in each covered contract, grant, and cooperative agreement of an executive agency that is awarded on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) *CLAUSE.*—The clause described in this paragraph is a clause authorizing the head of the executive agency concerned, upon a written determination pursuant to paragraph (3), to examine any records of the contractor, the recipient of a grant or cooperative agreement, or any subcontractor or subgrantee under such contract, grant, or cooperative agreement to the extent necessary to ensure that funds, including goods and services, available under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement are not provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(3) *WRITTEN DETERMINATION.*—The authority to examine records pursuant to the contract clause described in paragraph (2) may be exercised only upon a written determination by the contracting officer, or comparable official responsible for a grant or cooperative agreement, upon a finding by the commander of a covered combatant command (or the specified deputies of the commander) or the head of an executive agency (or the designee of such head) that there is reason to believe that funds, including goods and services, available under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement concerned may have been provided directly or indirectly to a covered person or entity.

(4) *FLOWDOWN.*—A clause described in paragraph (2) may also be included in any subcontract or subgrant under a covered contract, grant, or cooperative agreement if the subcontract or subgrant has an estimated value in excess of \$50,000.

(b) *REPORTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than March 1 of 2016, 2017, and 2018, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the use of the authority provided by this section in the preceding calendar year.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—Each report under this subsection shall identify, for the calendar year covered by such report, each instance in which an executive agency exercised the authority provided under this section to examine records, explain the basis for the

action taken, and summarize the results of any examination of records so undertaken.

(3) *FORM*.—Any report under this subsection may be submitted in classified form.

(c) *RELATIONSHIP TO EXISTING AUTHORITIES APPLICABLE TO CENTCOM*.—

(1) *APPLICABILITY*.—This section shall not apply to contracts, grants, or cooperative agreements covered under section 842 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1513; 10 U.S.C. 2313 note).

(2) *EXTENSION OF CURRENT AUTHORITIES APPLICABLE TO CENTCOM*.—Section 842(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1514; 10 U.S.C. 2313 note) is amended by striking “date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.

SEC. 843. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle:

(1) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS*.—The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(2) *CONTINGENCY OPERATION*.—The term “contingency operation” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code.

(3) *CONTRACT*.—The term “contract” includes a contract for commercial items but is not limited to a contract for commercial items.

(4) *COVERED COMBATANT COMMAND*.—The term “covered combatant command” means the following:

(A) The United States Africa Command.

(B) The United States Central Command.

(C) The United States European Command.

(D) The United States Pacific Command.

(E) The United States Southern Command.

(F) The United States Transportation Command.

(5) *COVERED CONTRACT, GRANT, OR COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT DEFINED*.—The term “covered contract, grant, or cooperative agreement” means a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement with an estimated value in excess of \$50,000 that is performed outside the United States, including its possessions and territories, in support of a contingency operation in which members of the Armed Forces are actively engaged in hostilities.

(6) *COVERED PERSON OR ENTITY*.—The term “covered person or entity” means a person or entity that is actively opposing United States or coalition forces involved in a contingency oper-

ation in which members of the Armed Forces are actively engaged in hostilities.

(7) *EXECUTIVE AGENCY.*—The term “executive agency” has the meaning given that term in section 133 of title 41, United States Code.

(8) *HEAD OF CONTRACTING ACTIVITY.*—The term “head of contracting activity” has the meaning described in section 1.601 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation.

(9) *UNIFORM ADMINISTRATIVE REQUIREMENTS, COST PRINCIPLES, AND AUDIT REQUIREMENTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS.*—The term “Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards” means the guidance issued by the Office of Management and Budget in part 200 of chapter II of title 2 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

SEC. 851. RAPID ACQUISITION AND DEPLOYMENT PROCEDURES FOR UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.

(a) *AUTHORITY TO ESTABLISH PROCEDURES.*—The Secretary may prescribe procedures for the rapid acquisition and deployment of items for the United States Special Operations Command that are currently under development by the Department of Defense or available from the commercial sector and are—

(1) urgently needed to react to an enemy threat or to respond to significant and urgent safety situations;

(2) needed to avoid significant risk of loss of life or mission failure; or

(3) needed to avoid collateral damage risk where the absence of collateral damage is a requirement for mission success.

(b) *ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED.*—The procedures prescribed under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A process for streamlined communication between the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command and the acquisition and research and development communities, including—

(A) a process for the Commander to communicate needs to the acquisition community and the research and development community; and

(B) a process for the acquisition community and the research and development community to propose items that meet the needs communicated by the Commander.

(2) Procedures for demonstrating, rapidly acquiring, and deploying items proposed pursuant to paragraph (1)(B), including—

(A) a process for demonstrating performance and evaluating for current operational purposes the existing capability of an item;

(B) a process for developing an acquisition and funding strategy for the deployment of an item; and

(C) a process for making deployment determinations based on information obtained pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B).

(c) *TESTING REQUIREMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The process for demonstrating performance and evaluating for current operational purposes the existing capability of an item prescribed under subsection (b)(2)(A) shall include—

(A) an operational assessment in accordance with expedited procedures prescribed by the Director of Operational Testing and Evaluation; and

(B) a requirement to provide information to the deployment decision-making authority about any deficiency of the item in meeting the original requirements for the item (as stated in an operational requirements document or similar document).

(2) *DEFICIENCY NOT A DETERMINING FACTOR.*—The process may not include a requirement for any deficiency of an item to be the determining factor in deciding whether to deploy the item.

(3) *ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT IN CASE OF DEFICIENCY.*—In the case of any deficiency of an item, a decision to deploy the item may be made only if the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command determines that, for reasons of national security, the deficiency of the item is acceptable.

(d) *LIMITATION.*—The quantity of items of a system procured using the procedures prescribed pursuant to this section may not exceed the number established for low-rate initial production for the system. Any such items shall be counted for purposes of the number of items of the system that may be procured through low-rate initial production.

(e) *ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION.*—Of the funds available to the Commander of the United States Special Operations Command in any given fiscal year, not more than \$50,000,000 may be used to procure items under this section.

(f) *RELATIONSHIP TO OTHER RAPID ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.*—The Commander of the United States Special Operations Command may not use the authority under this section at the same time the Commander uses the authority under section 806 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note).

(g) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATIONS.*—

(1) *NOTIFICATION BEFORE PROCEDURES GO INTO EFFECT.*—The Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees at least 30 days before the procedures prescribed pursuant to this section are made effective.

(2) *NOTIFICATION AFTER USE OF PROCEDURES.*—The Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees not later than 48 hours after each use of the procedures prescribed pursuant to this section.

SEC. 852. CONSIDERATION OF CORROSION CONTROL IN PRELIMINARY DESIGN REVIEW.

The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall ensure that Department of Defense Instruction 5000.02 and other applicable guidance require full consideration, during preliminary design review for a product, of metals, materials, and technologies that effectively prevent or control corrosion over the life cycle of the product.

SEC. 853. PROGRAM MANAGER DEVELOPMENT REPORT.

(a) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on enhancing the role of Department of Defense civilian and military program managers in developing and carrying out defense acquisition programs.

(b) *MATTERS TO BE ADDRESSED.*—The report required by this section shall address, at a minimum, recommendations for—

(1) enhancing training and educational opportunities for program managers;

(2) increasing emphasis on the mentoring of current and future program managers by experienced senior executives and program managers within the Department;

(3) improving career paths and career opportunities for program managers;

(4) creating additional incentives for the recruitment and retention of highly qualified individuals to serve as program managers;

(5) improving required resource levels and support (including systems engineering expertise, cost estimating expertise, and software development expertise) for program managers;

(6) improving means of collecting and disseminating best practices and lessons learned to enhance program management across the Department;

(7) creating common templates and tools to support improved data gathering and analysis for program management and oversight purposes;

(8) increasing accountability of program managers for the results of defense acquisition programs;

(9) enhancing monetary and nonmonetary awards for successful accomplishment of program objectives by program managers; and

(10) improving program manager tenure with the goal of maintaining both civilian and military program managers in their positions for a sufficient period of time to ensure program stability and consistency of leadership, including consideration of tying program manager tenure to milestone decision points for major defense acquisition programs and major automated information system programs.

SEC. 854. OPERATIONAL METRICS FOR JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT AND SUPPORTING ACTIVITIES.

(a) *GUIDANCE.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall issue guidance for measuring the operational effectiveness and efficiency of the Joint Information Environment within the military departments, Defense Agencies, and combatant commands. The guidance shall include a definition of specific metrics for data collection, and a requirement for each military department, Defense Agency, and combatant command to regularly collect and assess data on such operational effectiveness and efficiency and report the results to such Chief Information Officer on a regular basis.

(b) *BASELINE ARCHITECTURE.*—The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense shall identify a baseline architecture for

the Joint Information Environment by identifying and reporting to the Secretary of Defense any information technology programs or other investments that support that architecture.

(c) *JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “Joint Information Environment” means the initiative of the Department of Defense to modernize the information technology networks and systems within the Department.

SEC. 855. COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR SENIOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OFFICIALS SEEKING EMPLOYMENT WITH DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.

Section 847(b)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 243; 10 U.S.C. 1701 note) is amended by inserting after “repository” the following: “maintained by the General Counsel of the Department”.

SEC. 856. ENHANCEMENT OF WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION FOR EMPLOYEES OF GRANTEEES.

(a) *ADDITION OF REFERENCE TO GRANTEE.*—Section 2409(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “or subcontractor” and inserting “, subcontractor, grantee, or subgrantee”.

(b) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—Section 2409(g) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (4), by striking “or a grant”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(7) The term ‘grantee’ means a person awarded a grant with an agency.”.

SEC. 857. PROHIBITION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF CONTRACTORS FOR CONGRESSIONAL INVESTIGATIONS AND INQUIRIES.

Section 2324(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(Q) Costs incurred by a contractor in connection with a congressional investigation or inquiry into an issue that is the subject matter of a proceeding resulting in a disposition as described in subsection (k)(2).”.

SEC. 858. REQUIREMENT TO PROVIDE PHOTOVOLTAIC DEVICES FROM UNITED STATES SOURCES.

(a) *CONTRACT REQUIREMENT.*—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that each covered contract includes a provision requiring that any photovoltaic device installed under the contract be manufactured in the United States substantially all from articles, materials, or supplies mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States, unless the head of the department or independent establishment concerned determines, on a case-by-case basis, that the inclusion of such requirement is inconsistent with the public interest or involves unreasonable costs, subject to exceptions provided in the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (19 U.S.C. 2501 et seq.) or otherwise provided by law.

(b) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *COVERED CONTRACT.*—The term “covered contract” means a contract awarded by the Department of Defense that provides for a photovoltaic device to be—

(A) installed inside the United States on Department of Defense property or in a facility owned by the Department of Defense; or

(B) reserved for the exclusive use of the Department of Defense in the United States for the full economic life of the device.

(2) **PHOTOVOLTAIC DEVICE.**—The term “photovoltaic device” means a device that converts light directly into electricity through a solid-state, semiconductor process.

SEC. 859. REIMBURSEMENT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FOR ASSISTANCE PROVIDED TO NONGOVERNMENTAL ENTERTAINMENT-ORIENTED MEDIA PRODUCERS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subchapter II of chapter 134 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 2264. Reimbursement for assistance provided to nongovernmental entertainment-oriented media producers

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There shall be credited to the applicable appropriations account or fund from which the expenses described in subsection (b) were charged any amounts received by the Department of Defense as reimbursement for such expenses.

“(b) DESCRIPTION OF EXPENSES.—The expenses referred to in subsection (a) are any expenses—

“(1) incurred by the Department of Defense as a result of providing assistance to a nongovernmental entertainment-oriented media producer;

“(2) for which the Department of Defense requires reimbursement under section 9701 of title 31 or any other provision of law; and

“(3) for which the Department of Defense received reimbursement after the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.”.

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such subchapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2264. Reimbursement for assistance provided to nongovernmental entertainment-oriented media producers.”.

SEC. 860. THREE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND.

Section 2216a(e) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2018”.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

Sec. 901. *Reorganization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense and Related Matters.*

Sec. 902. *Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs.*

Sec. 903. *Requirement for assessment of options to modify the number of combatant commands.*

Sec. 904. *Office of Net Assessment.*

Sec. 905. *Periodic review of Department of Defense management headquarters.*

Subtitle B—Other Matters

- Sec. 911. *Modifications of biennial strategic workforce plan relating to senior management, functional, and technical workforces of the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 912. *Repeal of extension of Comptroller General report on inventory.*
- Sec. 913. *Extension of authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for nongovernmental personnel at Department of Defense regional centers for security studies.*
- Sec. 914. *Pilot program to establish Government lodging program.*
- Sec. 915. *Single standard mileage reimbursement rate for privately owned automobiles of Government employees and members of the uniformed services.*
- Sec. 916. *Modifications to requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing.*

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

SEC. 901. REORGANIZATION OF THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AND RELATED MATTERS.

(a) CONVERSION OF POSITION OF DEPUTY CHIEF MANAGEMENT OFFICER TO POSITION OF UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND INFORMATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Effective on February 1, 2017, section 132a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 132a. Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information

“(a) There is an Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information, appointed from civilian life by the President, by and with the advice and consent of the Senate.

“(b) The Under Secretary also serves as—

“(1) the Performance Improvement Officer of the Department of Defense; and

“(2) the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense.

“(c) Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense in the role of the Deputy Secretary as the Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense, the Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information shall perform such duties and exercise such powers as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe, including the following:

“(1) Assisting the Deputy Secretary of Defense in the Deputy Secretary’s role as the Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense under section 132(c) of this title.

“(2) Supervising the management of the business operations of the Department of Defense and adjudicating issues and conflicts in functional domain business policies.

“(3) Establishing business strategic planning and performance management policies and measures and developing the Department of Defense Strategic Management Plan.

“(4) Establishing business information technology portfolio policies and overseeing investment management of that portfolio for the Department of Defense.

“(5) Establishing end-to-end business process and policies for establishing, eliminating, and implementing business standards, and managing the Business Enterprise Architecture.

“(6) Supervising the business process reengineering of the functional domains of the Department in order to support investment planning and technology development decision making for information technology systems.

“(d) The Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information takes precedence in the Department of Defense after the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense.”.

(2) PLACEMENT IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—Effective on the effective date specified in paragraph (1), section 131(b)(2) of such title is amended—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (E) as subparagraphs (B) through (F), respectively; and

(B) by inserting before subparagraph (B) (as so redesignated) the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) The Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information.”.

(b) CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—

(1) STATUTORY ESTABLISHMENT OF POSITION.—Chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 141 the following new section:

“§ 142. Chief Information Officer

“(a) There is a Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense.

“(b)(1) The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense—

“(A) is the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense for the purposes of sections 3506(a)(2) and 3544(a)(3) of title 44;

“(B) has the responsibilities and duties specified in section 11315 of title 40;

“(C) has the responsibilities specified for the Chief Information Officer in sections 2222, 2223(a), and 2224 of this title; and

“(D) exercises authority, direction, and control over the Information Assurance Directorate of the National Security Agency.

“(2) The Chief Information Officer shall perform such additional duties and exercise such powers as the Secretary of Defense may prescribe.

“(c) The Chief Information Officer takes precedence in the Department of Defense with the officials serving in positions specified in section 131(b)(4) of this title. The officials serving in positions specified in section 131(b)(4) and the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense take precedence among themselves in the order prescribed by the Secretary of Defense.”.

(2) PLACEMENT IN THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—Section 131(b) of such title, as amended by subsection (a)(2), is further amended—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (5), (6), (7), and (8) as paragraphs (6), (7), (8), and (9), respectively; and

(B) by inserting after paragraph (4) the following new paragraph (5):

“(5) The Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense.”

(c) **REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEM MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE.**—Section 186 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(d) **ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR DEFENSE BUSINESS SYSTEMS.**—Section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by inserting “and” at the end of paragraph (1);

(B) by striking “; and” at the end of paragraph (2) and inserting a period; and

(C) by striking paragraph (3);

(2) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “Defense Business Systems Management Committee” and inserting “investment review board established under subsection (g)”; and

(3) in subsection (g)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “, not later than March 15, 2012,”;

(B) in paragraph (2)(C), by striking “each” the first place it appears and inserting “the”; and

(C) in paragraph (2)(F), by striking “and the Defense Business Systems Management Committee, as required by section 186(c) of this title,”.

(e) **DEADLINE FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF INVESTMENT REVIEW BOARD AND INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT PROCESS.**—The investment review board and investment management process required by section 2222(g) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (d)(3), shall be established not later than March 15, 2015.

(f) **REDESIGNATION OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR OPERATIONAL ENERGY PLANS AND PROGRAMS TO REFLECT MERGER WITH DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT.**—Paragraph (9) of section 138(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(9) One of the Assistant Secretaries is the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment. The Assistant Secretary—

“(A) is the principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics on matters relating to energy, installations, and environment; and

“(B) is the principal advisor to the Secretary of Defense and the Deputy Secretary of Defense regarding operational energy plans and programs.”.

(g) **CLARIFICATION OF POLICY AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR ENERGY, INSTALLATIONS, AND ENVIRONMENT.**—

(1) **TRANSFER OF POLICY PROVISIONS FROM SECTION 138C.**—Chapter 173 of such title is amended—

(A) by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 2926. Operational energy activities”;

(B) by transferring paragraph (3) of section 138c(c) of such title to section 2926, as added by subparagraph (A), inserting such paragraph after the section heading, and redesignating such paragraph as subsection (a);

(C) in subsection (a) (as so inserted and redesignated)—

(i) by inserting “ALTERNATIVE FUEL ACTIVITIES.—” before “The Assistant Secretary”;

(ii) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (E) as paragraphs (1) through (5), respectively; and

(iii) in paragraph (5) (as so redesignated), by striking “subsection (e)(4)” and inserting “subsection (c)(4)”;

(D) by transferring subsections (d), (e), and (f) of section 138c of such title to section 2926, as added by subparagraph (A), inserting those subsections after subsection (a) (as transferred and redesignated by subparagraph (B)), and redesignating those subsections as subsections (b), (c), and (d), respectively;

(E) in subsections (a), (b), (c), and (d) of section 2926 (as transferred and redesignated by subparagraphs (B) and (D)), by inserting “of Defense for Installations, Energy, and Environment” after “Assistant Secretary” the first place it appears in each such subsection;

(F) in subsection (b) of section 2926 (as transferred and redesignated by subparagraph (D)), by striking “provide guidance to, and consult with, the Secretary of Defense, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, the Secretaries of the military departments,” and inserting “make recommendations to the Secretary of Defense and Deputy Secretary of Defense and provide guidance to the Secretaries of the military departments”; and

(G) in subsection (c) of section 2926 (as transferred and redesignated by subparagraph (D)), by amending paragraphs (4), (5), and (6) to read as follows:

“(4) Not later than 30 days after the date on which the budget for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the proposed budgets for that fiscal year that were reviewed by the Assistant Secretary under paragraph (3).

“(5) For each proposed budget covered by a report under paragraph (4) for which the certification of the Assistant Secretary under paragraph (3) is that the budget is not adequate for implementation of the strategy, the report shall include the following:

“(A) A copy of the report set forth in paragraph (3).

“(B) A discussion of the actions that the Secretary proposes to take, together with any recommended legislation that the Secretary considers appropriate, to address the inadequacy of the proposed budget.

“(C) An appendix prepared by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff describing—

“(i) the progress made by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council in implementing the energy Key Performance Parameter; and

“(ii) details regarding how operational energy is being addressed in defense planning, scenarios, support to strategic analysis, and resulting policy to improve combat capability.

“(D) An appendix prepared by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics certifying that and describing how the acquisition system is addressing operational energy in the procurement process, including long-term sustainment considerations, and how programs are extending combat capability as a result of these considerations.

“(E) A separate statement of estimated expenditures and requested appropriations for that fiscal year for the activities of the Assistant Secretary in carrying out the duties of the Assistant Secretary.

“(F) Any additional comments that the Secretary considers appropriate regarding the inadequacy of the proposed budgets.

“(6) For each proposed budget covered by a report under paragraph (4) for which the certification of the Assistant Secretary under paragraph (3) is that the budget is adequate for implementation of the strategy, the report shall include the items set forth in subparagraphs (C), (D), and (E) of paragraph (5).”

(2) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED PROVISION.—Sections 138c of such title is repealed.

(h) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO CERTAIN PRESCRIBED ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE POSITIONS.—Chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, is further amended as follows:

(1) ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR LOGISTICS AND MATERIEL READINESS.—Paragraph (7) of section 138(b) is amended—

(A) in the first sentence, by inserting after “Readiness” the following: “, who shall be appointed from among persons with an extensive background in the sustainment of major weapons systems and combat support equipment”;

(B) by striking the second sentence;

(C) by transferring to the end of that paragraph (as amended by subparagraph (B)) the text of subsection (b) of section 138a;

(D) by transferring to the end of that paragraph (as amended by subparagraph (C)) the text of subsection (c) of section 138a; and

(E) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (3) in the text transferred by subparagraph (C) of this paragraph as subparagraphs (A) through (C), respectively.

(2) ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING.—Paragraph (8) of such section is amended—

(A) by striking the second sentence and inserting the text of subsection (a) of section 138b;

(B) by inserting after the text added by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph the following: “The Assistant Secretary, in consultation with the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Developmental Test and Evaluation, shall—”;

(C) by transferring paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (b) of section 138b to the end of that paragraph (as amended by subparagraphs (A) and (B)), indenting those para-

graphs 2 ems from the left margin, and redesignating those paragraphs as subparagraphs (A) and (B), respectively;

(D) in subparagraph (A) (as so transferred and redesignated)—

(i) by striking “The Assistant Secretary” and all that follows through “Test and Evaluation, shall”; and

(ii) by striking the period at the end and inserting “; and”;

(E) in subparagraph (B) (as so transferred and redesignated), by striking “The Assistant Secretary” and all that follows through “Test and Evaluation, shall”.

(3) ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR NUCLEAR, CHEMICAL, AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAMS.—Paragraph (10) of such section is amended—

(A) by striking the second sentence and inserting the text of subsection (b) of section 138d; and

(B) by inserting after the text added by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph the text of subsection (a) of such section and in that text as so inserted—

(i) by striking “of Defense for Nuclear, Chemical, and Biological Defense Programs”; and

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (1) through (3) as subparagraphs (A) through (C), respectively.

(4) REPEAL OF SEPARATE SECTIONS.—Sections 138a, 138b, and 138d are repealed.

(i) CODIFICATION OF RESTRICTIONS ON USE OF THE DEPUTY UNDER SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TITLE.—

(1) CODIFICATION.—Effective on January 1, 2015, section 137a(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) The officials authorized under this section shall be the only Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense.”.

(2) CONFORMING REPEAL.—Effective on the effective date specified in paragraph (1), section 906(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2426; 10 U.S.C. 137a note) is repealed.

(j) CLARIFICATION OF ORDERS OF PRECEDENCE.—

(1) CLARIFICATION RELATING TO CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER.—Effective on the effective date specified in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) section 131(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(i) by striking paragraph (5); and

(ii) by redesignating paragraphs (6), (7), (8), and (9) as paragraphs (5), (6), (7), and (8), respectively; and

(B) section 142 of such title is amended by striking subsection (c).

(2) CLARIFICATION RELATING TO OTHER POSITIONS.—Effective on the effective date specified in subsection (a)(1)—

(A) section 133(e)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “and the Deputy Secretary of Defense” and inserting “, the Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information”;

(B) section 134(c) of such title is amended by inserting “the Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information,” after “the Deputy Secretary of Defense.”;

(C) section 137a(d) of such title is amended in the first sentence by striking all that follows after “the military departments,” and inserting “and the Under Secretaries of Defense.”; and

(D) section 138(d) of such title is amended by striking “the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.”.

(k) TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Title 10, United States Code, is further amended as follows:

(1) In paragraph (8) of section 131(b) (as redesignated by subsection (b)(2))—

(A) by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (H) as subparagraphs (B) through (I), respectively; and

(B) by inserting before subparagraph (B), as redesignated by subparagraph (A) of this paragraph, the following new subparagraph (A):

“(A) The two Deputy Directors within the Office of the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation under section 139a(c) of this title.”.

(2) In section 132(b), by striking “is disabled or there is no Secretary of Defense” and inserting “dies, resigns, or is otherwise unable to perform the functions and duties of the office”.

(3) In section 137a(b), by striking “is absent or disabled” and inserting “dies, resigns, or is otherwise unable to perform the functions and duties of the office”.

(3) Effective on the effective date specified in subsection (a)(1), in section 2222—

(A) by striking “the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense” each place it appears in subsections (c)(2)(E), (f)(1)(D), (f)(1)(E), (f)(2)(E), and (g)(1) and inserting “the Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information”; and

(B) in subsection (g)(3)(A)—

(i) by striking “Deputy Chief Management Officer” the first place it appears and inserting “Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information”; and

(ii) by striking “Deputy Chief Management Officer” the second, third, and fourth places it appears and inserting “Under Secretary”.

(4) In section 2925(b), by striking “Operational Energy Plans and Programs” and inserting “Energy, Installations, and Environment”.

(l) CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.—

(1) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(A) effective on the effective date specified in subsection (a)(1), by amending the item relating to section 132a to read as follows:

“132a. Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information.”;

(B) by striking the items relating to sections 138a, 138b, 138c, and 138d; and

(C) by inserting after the item relating to section 141 the following new item:

“142. Chief Information Officer.”

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 186.

(3) The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter III of chapter 173 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2926. Operational energy activities.”

(m) EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE MATTERS.—

(1) EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE LEVEL II.—Effective on the effective date specified in subsection (a)(1), section 5313 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by inserting above the item relating to the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics the following:

“Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information.”

(2) EXECUTIVE SCHEDULE LEVEL III.—Effective on the effective date specified in subsection (a)(1), section 5314 of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense.”

(3) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO PRIOR REDUCTION IN NUMBER OF ASSISTANT SECRETARIES OF DEFENSE.—Section 5315 of such title is amended by striking “Assistant Secretaries of Defense (16)” and inserting “Assistant Secretaries of Defense (14)”.

(n) REFERENCES.—

(1) DCMO.—After February 1, 2017, any reference to the Deputy Chief Management Officer of the Department of Defense in any provision of law or in any rule, regulation, or other record, document, or paper of the United States shall be deemed to refer to the Under Secretary of Defense for Business Management and Information.

(2) ASDEIE.—Any reference to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Operational Energy Plans and Programs or to the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Installations and Environment in any provision of law or in any rule, regulation, or other paper of the United States shall be deemed to refer to the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations, and Environment.

SEC. 902. ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS.

(a) SINGLE ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR MANPOWER AND RESERVE AFFAIRS.—

(1) REDESIGNATION OF POSITION.—The position of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs is hereby redesignated as the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs. The individual serving in that position on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act may continue in office after that date without further appointment.

(2) STATUTORY DUTIES.—Paragraph (2) of section 138(b) of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(2) One of the Assistant Secretaries is the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs. In addition to any duties and powers prescribed under paragraph (1), the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs shall have as the principal duty of such Assistant Secretary the overall supervision of manpower and reserve affairs of the Department of Defense.”.

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) CROSS REFERENCE IN SUBTITLE E.—Section 10201 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“§ 10201. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs

“As provided in section 138(b)(2) of this title, the official in the Department of Defense with responsibility for overall supervision of reserve affairs of the Department of Defense is the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 1007 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 10201 and inserting the following new item:

“10201. Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs.”.

SEC. 903. REQUIREMENT FOR ASSESSMENT OF OPTIONS TO MODIFY THE NUMBER OF COMBATANT COMMANDS.

(a) ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment of the feasibility, advisability, and recommendations, if any, for reducing or increasing the number or consolidating the common staff functions and infrastructure of the combatant commands by the end of fiscal year 2020.

(b) MATTERS COVERED.—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An analysis of alternative versions of the Unified Command Plan for distribution and assignment of the following:

(A) Command responsibility and authority.

(B) Span of control.

(C) Headquarters structure and organization.

(D) Staff functions, capabilities, and capacities.

(2) A detailed analysis of each alternative that reduces or increases the number or consolidates the common staff functions of the combatant commands in terms of assigned personnel, resources, and infrastructure, set forth separately by fiscal year, by the end of fiscal year 2020.

(3) A description of the changes to the Unified Command Plan necessary to implement such reductions, increases, or consolidations.

(4) An assessment of the feasibility, advisability, risks, and estimated costs associated with such reductions, increases, or consolidations.

(5) An assessment of efficiencies, potential savings from such efficiencies, and operational risk, if any, that could be realized by—

(A) combining or otherwise sharing common staff or support functions between two or more combatant command headquarters;

(B) establishing a new organization to manage the combined staff or support functions of two or more combatant command headquarters; or

(C) any other efficiency initiatives or arrangements that the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) **USE OF PREVIOUS STUDIES AND OUTSIDE EXPERTS.**—In conducting the assessment required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff may—

(1) use and incorporate previous plans or studies of the Department of Defense; and

(2) consult with and incorporate views of defense experts from outside the Department.

(d) **REPORT.**—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the findings and recommendations of the assessment required by subsection (a). The report shall include the views of the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

(2) **FORM.**—The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 904. OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT.

(a) **INDEPENDENT OFFICE REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall establish and maintain an independent organization within the Department of Defense to develop and coordinate net assessments of the standing, trends, and future prospects of the military capabilities and potential of the United States in comparison with the military capabilities and potential of other countries or groups of countries, so as to identify emerging or future threats or opportunities for the United States.

(b) **DIRECT REPORT TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—The head of the office established and maintained pursuant to subsection (a) shall report directly to the Secretary of Defense without intervening authority and may communicate views on matters within the responsibility of the office directly to the Secretary without obtaining the approval or concurrence of any other official within the Department of Defense.

SEC. 905. PERIODIC REVIEW OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS.

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan for implementing a periodic review and analysis of the Department of Defense personnel requirements for management headquarters.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF PLAN.**—The plan required by subsection (a) shall include the following for each covered organization:

(1) A description of how current management headquarters are sized and structured to execute Department of Defense assigned mission requirements, including a list of the reference documents and instructions that explain the mission require-

ments of the management headquarters and how the management headquarters are sized and structured.

(2) A description of the critical capabilities and skillsets required by management headquarters to execute Department of Defense strategic guidance in order to fulfill mission objectives.

(3) An identification and analysis of the factors that directly or indirectly influence or contribute to the expense of Department of Defense management headquarters.

(4) An assessment of the effectiveness of current systems in use to track how military, civilian, and contract personnel are identified, managed, and tracked at the management headquarters.

(5) A description of the proposed timeline, required resources necessary, and Department of Defense documents, instructions, and regulations that need to be updated in order to implement a permanent periodic review and analysis of Department of Defense personnel requirements for management headquarters.

(c) COVERED ORGANIZATION DEFINED.—In this section, the term “covered organization” includes each of the following:

(1) The Office of the Secretary of Defense.

(2) The Joint Staff.

(3) The Defense Agencies.

(4) The Department of Defense field activities.

(5) The headquarters of the combatant commands.

(6) Headquarters, Department of the Army, including the Secretary of the Army, the Office of the Chief of Staff of the Army, and the Army Staff.

(7) The major command headquarters of the Army.

(8) The Office of the Secretary of the Navy, the Office of the Chief of Naval Operations, and the Headquarters, United States Marine Corps.

(9) The major command headquarters of the Navy and the Marine Corps.

(10) Headquarters, Department of the Air Force, including the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force, the Office of the Air Force Chief of Staff, and the Air Staff.

(11) The major command headquarters of the Air Force.

(12) The National Guard Bureau.

(d) REPORT.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees the plan required by subsection (a).

(e) AMENDMENTS.—Section 904(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 816; 10 U.S.C. 111 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “2016” and inserting “2017”;

(2) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “, consolidations,” after “through changes”;

(3) in subparagraph (C)—

(A) by inserting “, consolidations,” after “through changes”; and

(B) by inserting “, or other associated cost drivers, including a discussion of how the changes, consolidations, or reductions were prioritized,” after “programs and offices”;

(4) in subparagraph (E), by inserting “, including the risks of, and capabilities gained or lost by implementing, such modifications” before the period; and

(5) by adding at the end the following new subparagraphs:

“(F) A description of how the plan supports or affects current Department of Defense strategic guidance, policy, and mission requirements, including the quadrennial defense review, the Unified Command Plan, and the strategic choices and management review.

“(G) A description of the associated costs specifically addressed by the savings.”.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

SEC. 911. MODIFICATIONS OF BIENNIAL STRATEGIC WORKFORCE PLAN RELATING TO SENIOR MANAGEMENT, FUNCTIONAL, AND TECHNICAL WORKFORCES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **SENIOR MANAGEMENT WORKFORCE.**—Subsection (c) of section 115b of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking paragraph (1) and inserting the following new paragraph (1):

“(1) Each strategic workforce plan under subsection (a) shall—

“(A) specifically address the shaping and improvement of the senior management workforce of the Department of Defense; and

“(B) include an assessment of the senior functional and technical workforce of the Department of Defense within the appropriate functional community.”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “such senior management, functional, and technical workforce” and inserting “such senior management workforce and such senior functional and technical workforce”.

(b) **HIGHLY QUALIFIED EXPERTS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “subsection (f)(1)” in subparagraphs (D) and (E) and inserting “subsection (h)(1) or (h)(2)”;

(2) by redesignating subsections (f) and (g) as subsections (g) and (h), respectively; and

(3) by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection (f):

“(f) **HIGHLY QUALIFIED EXPERTS.**—(1) Each strategic workforce plan under subsection (a) shall include an assessment of the workforce of the Department of Defense comprising highly qualified experts appointed pursuant to section 9903 of title 5 (in this subsection referred to as the ‘HQE workforce’).

“(2) For purposes of paragraph (1), each plan shall include, with respect to the HQE workforce—

“(A) an assessment of the critical skills and competencies of the existing HQE workforce and projected trends in that workforce based on expected losses due to retirement and other attrition;

“(B) specific strategies for attracting, compensating, and motivating the HQE workforce of the Department, including the program objectives of the Department to be achieved through such strategies and the funding needed to implement such strategies;

“(C) any incentives necessary to attract or retain HQE personnel;

“(D) any changes that may be necessary in resources or in the rates or methods of pay needed to ensure the Department has full access to appropriately qualified personnel; and

“(E) any legislative actions that may be necessary to achieve HQE workforce goals.”

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—Subsection (h) of such section (as redesignated by subsection (b)(2)) is amended to read as follows:

“(h) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘senior management workforce of the Department of Defense’ includes the following categories of Department of Defense civilian personnel:

“(A) Appointees in the Senior Executive Service under section 3131 of title 5.

“(B) Persons serving in the Defense Intelligence Senior Executive Service under section 1606 of this title.

“(2) The term ‘senior functional and technical workforce of the Department of Defense’ includes the following categories of Department of Defense civilian personnel:

“(A) Persons serving in positions described in section 5376(a) of title 5.

“(B) Scientists and engineers appointed pursuant to section 342(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1995 (Public Law 103–337; 108 Stat. 2721), as amended by section 1114 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398 (114 Stat. 1654A–315)).

“(C) Scientists and engineers appointed pursuant to section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note).

“(D) Persons serving in Intelligence Senior Level positions under section 1607 of this title.

“(3) The term ‘acquisition workforce’ includes individuals designated under section 1721 of this title as filling acquisition positions.”

(d) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of subsection (c) of such section is amended to read as follows: “**SENIOR MANAGEMENT WORKFORCE; SENIOR FUNCTIONAL AND TECHNICAL WORKFORCE.**—”.

(e) **FORMATTING OF ANNUAL REPORT.**—Subsections (d)(1) and (e)(1) of such section are each amended by striking “include a separate chapter to”.

SEC. 912. REPEAL OF EXTENSION OF COMPTROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON INVENTORY.

Section 803(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2402), as amended by section 951(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 839), is amended by striking “2013, 2014, and 2015” and inserting “and 2013”.

SEC. 913. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS OF ACTIVITIES FOR NONGOVERNMENTAL PERSONNEL AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REGIONAL CENTERS FOR SECURITY STUDIES.

Section 941(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 184 note) is amended by striking “through 2014” and inserting “through 2019”.

SEC. 914. PILOT PROGRAM TO ESTABLISH GOVERNMENT LODGING PROGRAM.

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—Notwithstanding the provisions of section 5911 of title 5, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense may, for the period of time described in subsection (b), establish and carry out a Government lodging program to provide Government or commercial lodging for employees of the Department of Defense or members of the uniformed services under the Secretary’s jurisdiction performing duty on official travel, and may require such travelers to occupy adequate quarters on a rental basis when available.

(b) *PROGRAM DURATION.*—The authority to establish and execute a Government lodging program under this section expires on December 31, 2019.

(c) *LIMITATION.*—A Government lodging program developed under the authority in subsection (a), and a requirement under subsection (a) with respect to an employee of the Department of Defense, may not be construed to be subject to a duty to negotiate under chapter 71 of title 5, United States Code.

(d) *REPORTS.*—

(1) *INITIAL REPORT.*—Not later than six months after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the exercise of authority provided by subsection (a). The report shall include a detailed description of the facets of the Government lodging program, a description of how the program will increase travel efficiencies within the Department, a description of how the program will increase the safety of authorized travelers of the Department of Defense, and an estimate of the savings expected to be achieved by the program.

(2) *ANNUAL REPORTS.*—Each year, the Secretary shall include with the materials submitted to Congress by the Secretary in support of the budget submitted by the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, a report that provides actual savings achieved (or costs incurred) under the Government lodging program to date and a description of estimated savings for the fiscal year budget being submitted, any changes to program rules made since the prior report, and an overall assessment to date of the program’s effectiveness in increasing efficiency of travel and safety of Department employees.

(3) *FINAL REPORT.*—With the budget materials submitted to Congress by the Secretary in support of the budget submitted by the President for fiscal year 2019, the Secretary shall include a final report providing the Secretary’s overall assessment of the effectiveness of the Government lodging program established under subsection (a), including a statement of savings achieved (or costs incurred) as of that date, and a recommendation for whether the program shall be made permanent. The Secretary may, in consultation with the heads of other Federal agencies,

make a recommendation on whether the program should be expanded and made permanent with respect to those other Federal agencies.

(4) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Oversight and Government Reform, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 915. SINGLE STANDARD MILEAGE REIMBURSEMENT RATE FOR PRIVATELY OWNED AUTOMOBILES OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES AND MEMBERS OF THE UNIFORMED SERVICES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 5704(a)(1) of title 5, United States Code, is amended in the last sentence by striking all that follows “the rate per mile” and inserting “shall be the single standard mileage rate established by the Internal Revenue Service.”.

(b) REGULATIONS AND REPORTS.—

(1) PROVISIONS RELATING TO PRIVATELY OWNED AIRPLANES AND MOTORCYCLES.—Paragraph (1)(A) of section 5707(b) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(1)(A) The Administrator of General Services shall conduct periodic investigations of the cost of travel and the operation of privately owned airplanes and privately owned motorcycles by employees while engaged on official business, and shall report the results of such investigations to Congress at least once a year.”.

(2) PROVISIONS RELATING TO PRIVATELY OWNED AUTOMOBILES.—Clause (i) of section 5707(b)(2)(A) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(i) shall provide that the mileage reimbursement rate for privately owned automobiles, as provided in section 5704(a)(1), is the single standard mileage rate established by the Internal Revenue Service referred to in that section, and”.

SEC. 916. MODIFICATIONS TO REQUIREMENTS FOR ACCOUNTING FOR MEMBERS OF THE ARMED FORCES AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES LISTED AS MISSING.

(a) DESIGNATION OF AGENCY AND DIRECTOR.—Subsection (a) of section 1501 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(a) RESPONSIBILITY FOR MISSING PERSONS.—(1)(A) The Secretary of Defense shall designate a single organization within the Department of Defense to have responsibility for Department matters relating to missing persons, including accounting for missing persons and persons whose remains have not been recovered from the conflict in which they were lost.

“(B) The organization designated under this paragraph shall be a Defense Agency or other entity of the Department of Defense outside the military departments and is referred to in this chapter as the ‘designated Defense Agency’.

“(C) The head of the organization designated under this paragraph is referred to in this chapter as the ‘designated Agency Director’.

“(2) Subject to the authority, direction, and control of the Secretary of Defense, the responsibilities of the designated Agency Director shall include the following:

“(A) Policy, control, and oversight within the Department of Defense of the entire process for investigation and recovery related to missing persons, including matters related to search, rescue, escape, and evasion.

“(B) Policy, control, and oversight of the program established under section 1509 of this title.

“(C) Responsibility for accounting for missing persons, including locating, recovering, and identifying missing persons or their remains after hostilities have ceased.

“(D) Coordination for the Department of Defense with other departments and agencies of the United States on all matters concerning missing persons.

“(E) Dissemination of appropriate information on the status of missing persons to authorized family members.

“(F) Establishment of a means for communication between officials of the designated Defense Agency and family members of missing persons, veterans service organizations, concerned citizens, and the public on the Department’s efforts to account for missing persons, including a readily available means for communication of their views and recommendations to the designated Agency Director.

“(3) In carrying out the responsibilities established under this subsection, the designated Agency Director shall be responsible for the coordination for such purposes within the Department of Defense among the military departments, the Joint Staff, and the commanders of the combatant commands.

“(4) The designated Agency Director shall establish policies, which shall apply uniformly throughout the Department of Defense, for personnel recovery (including search, rescue, escape, and evasion) and for personnel accounting (including locating, recovering, and identifying missing persons or their remains after hostilities have ceased).

“(5) The designated Agency Director shall establish procedures to be followed by Department of Defense boards of inquiry, and by officials reviewing the reports of such boards, under this chapter.”.

(b) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS AND OTHER FORMS OF SUPPORT.—Chapter 76 of such title is amended by inserting after section 1501 the following new section:

“§ 1501a. Public-private partnerships; other forms of support

“(a) PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into arrangements known as public-private partnerships with appropriate entities outside the Government for the purposes of facilitating the activities of the designated Defense Agency. The Secretary may only partner with foreign governments or foreign entities with the concurrence of the Secretary of State. Any such arrangement shall be entered into in accordance with authorities provided under this section or any other authority otherwise available to the

Secretary. Regulations prescribed under subsection (e)(1) shall include provisions for the establishment and implementation of such partnerships.

“(b) ACCEPTANCE OF VOLUNTARY PERSONAL SERVICES.—The Secretary of Defense may accept voluntary services to facilitate accounting for missing persons in the same manner as the Secretary of a military department may accept such services under section 1588(a)(9) of this title.

“(c) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS AND GRANTS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense may enter into a cooperative agreement with, or make a grant to, a private entity for purposes related to support of the activities of the designated Defense Agency.

“(2) INAPPLICABILITY OF CERTAIN CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS.—Notwithstanding section 2304(k) of this title, the Secretary may enter such cooperative agreements or grants on a sole-source basis pursuant to section 2304(c)(5) of this title.

“(d) USE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PERSONAL PROPERTY.—The Secretary may allow a private entity to use, at no cost, personal property of the Department of Defense to assist the entity in supporting the activities of the designated Defense Agency.

“(e) REGULATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe regulations to implement this section.

“(2) LIMITATION.—Such regulations shall provide that acceptance of a gift (including a gift of services) or use of a gift under this section may not occur if the nature or circumstances of the acceptance or use would compromise the integrity, or the appearance of integrity, of any program of the Department of Defense or any individual involved in such program.

“(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT.—The term ‘cooperative agreement’ means an authorized cooperative agreement as described in section 6305 of title 31.

“(2) GRANT.—The term ‘grant’ means an authorized grant as described in section 6304 of title 31.”.

(c) SECTION 1505 CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 1505(c) of such title is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “the office established under section 1501 of this title” and inserting “the designated Agency Director”; and

(2) in paragraphs (2) and (3), by striking “head of the office established under section 1501 of this title” and inserting “designated Agency Director”.

(d) SECTION 1509 AMENDMENTS.—Section 1509 of such title is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “PROCESS”;

(B) in paragraph (1), by striking “POW/MIA accounting community” and inserting “through the designated Agency Director”;

(C) by striking paragraph (2) and inserting the following new paragraph (2):

“(2)(A) *The Secretary shall assign or detail to the designated Defense Agency on a full-time basis a senior medical examiner from the personnel of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System. The primary duties of the medical examiner so assigned or detailed shall include the identification of remains in support of the function of the designated Agency Director to account for unaccounted for persons covered by subsection (a).*

“(B) *In carrying out functions under this chapter, the medical examiner so assigned or detailed shall report to the designated Agency Director.*

“(C) *The medical examiner so assigned or detailed shall—*

“(i) *exercise scientific identification authority;*

“(ii) *establish identification and laboratory policy consistent with the Armed Forces Medical Examiner System; and*

“(iii) *advise the designated Agency Director on forensic science disciplines.*

“(D) *Nothing in this chapter shall be interpreted as affecting the authority of the Armed Forces Medical Examiner under section 1471 of this title.”;*

(2) *in subsection (d)—*

(A) *in the subsection heading, by inserting “; CENTRALIZED DATABASE” after “FILES”; and*

(B) *by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

“(4) *The Secretary of Defense shall establish and maintain a single centralized database and case management system containing information on all missing persons for whom a file has been established under this subsection. The database and case management system shall be accessible to all elements of the Department of Defense involved in the search, recovery, identification, and communications phases of the program established by this section.”; and*

(3) *in subsection (f)—*

(A) *in paragraph (1)—*

(i) *by striking “establishing and”; and*

(ii) *by striking “Secretary of Defense shall coordinate” and inserting “designated Agency Director shall ensure coordination”;*

(B) *in paragraph (2)—*

(i) *by inserting “staff” after “National Security Council”; and*

(ii) *by striking “POW/MIA accounting community”; and*

(C) *by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

“(3) *In carrying out the program, the designated Agency Director shall coordinate all external communications and events associated with the program.”.*

(e) **REPORT ON POW/MIA POLICIES.—**

(1) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report on policies and proposals for providing access to information and documents to the next of kin of missing service personnel, including under chapter 76 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by this section.*

(2) *ELEMENTS OF REPORT.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) A description of information and documents to be provided to the next of kin, including the status of recovery efforts and service records.

(B) A description of the Department's plans, if any, to review the classification status of records related to past covered conflicts and missing service personnel.

(C) An assessment of whether it is feasible and advisable to develop a public interface for any database of missing personnel being developed.

(f) *CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.*—

(1) *SECTION HEADING.*—The heading of section 1509 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“§ 1509. Program to resolve missing person cases”.

(2) *TABLE OF SECTIONS.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 76 of such title is amended—

(A) by inserting after the item relating to section 1501 the following new item:

“1501a. Public-private partnerships; other forms of support.”; and

(B) by striking the item relating to section 1509 and inserting the following new item:

“1509. Program to resolve missing person cases.”.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

Sec. 1001. General transfer authority.

Sec. 1002. Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization and naval reactors.

Sec. 1003. Reporting of balances carried forward by the Department of Defense at the end of each fiscal year.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

Sec. 1011. Extension of authority to support unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia.

Sec. 1012. Extension and modification of authority of Department of Defense to provide support for counterdrug activities of other governmental agencies.

Sec. 1013. Availability of funds for additional support for counterdrug activities of certain foreign governments.

Sec. 1014. Extension and modification of authority for joint task forces supporting law enforcement agencies conducting activities to counter transnational organized crime to support law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities.

Sec. 1015. Sense of Congress regarding security in the Western Hemisphere.

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

Sec. 1021. Definition of combatant and support vessel for purposes of the annual plan and certification relating to budgeting for construction of naval vessels.

Sec. 1022. National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund.

Sec. 1023. Limitation on use of funds for inactivation of U.S.S. George Washington.

Sec. 1024. Sense of Congress recognizing the anniversary of the sinking of U.S.S. Thresher.

Sec. 1025. Pilot program for sustainment of Littoral Combat Ships on extended deployments.

Sec. 1026. *Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships.*

Subtitle D—Counterterrorism

- Sec. 1031. *Extension of authority to make rewards for combating terrorism.*
 Sec. 1032. *Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*
 Sec. 1033. *Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.*

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

- Sec. 1041. *Modification of Department of Defense authority for humanitarian demining assistance and stockpiled conventional munitions assistance programs.*
 Sec. 1042. *Airlift service.*
 Sec. 1043. *Authority to accept certain voluntary legal support services.*
 Sec. 1044. *Expansion of authority for Secretary of Defense to use the Department of Defense reimbursement rate for transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense entities.*
 Sec. 1045. *Repeal of authority relating to use of military installations by Civil Reserve Air Fleet contractors.*
 Sec. 1046. *Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau among leadership of the Department of Defense provided physical protection and personal security.*
 Sec. 1047. *Inclusion of regional organizations in authority for assignment of civilian employees of the Department of Defense as advisors to foreign ministries of defense.*
 Sec. 1048. *Report and limitation on availability of funds for aviation foreign internal defense program.*
 Sec. 1049. *Modifications to OH-58D Kiowa Warrior aircraft.*

Subtitle F—Studies and Reports

- Sec. 1051. *Protection of top-tier defense-critical infrastructure from electromagnetic pulse.*
 Sec. 1052. *Response of the Department of Defense to compromises of classified information.*
 Sec. 1053. *Study on joint analytic capability of the Department of Defense.*
 Sec. 1054. *Business case analysis of the creation of an active duty association for the 168th Air Refueling Wing.*
 Sec. 1055. *Reports on recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force.*
 Sec. 1056. *Report on protection of military installations.*
 Sec. 1057. *Comptroller General briefing and report on Army and Army National Guard force structure changes.*
 Sec. 1058. *Improving analytic support to systems acquisition and allocation of acquisition, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets.*
 Sec. 1059. *Review of United States military strategy and the force posture of allies and partners in the United States Pacific Command area of responsibility.*
 Sec. 1060. *Repeal of certain reporting requirements relating to the Department of Defense.*
 Sec. 1061. *Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General of the United States annual reviews and report on pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force.*
 Sec. 1062. *Report on additional matters in connection with report on the force structure of the United States Army.*
 Sec. 1063. *Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores.*

Subtitle G—Other Matters

- Sec. 1071. *Technical and clerical amendments.*
 Sec. 1072. *Reform of quadrennial defense review.*
 Sec. 1073. *Biennial surveys of Department of Defense civilian employees on workplace and gender relations matters.*
 Sec. 1074. *Revision to statute of limitations for aviation insurance claims.*
 Sec. 1075. *Pilot program for the Human Terrain System.*

- Sec. 1076. Clarification of policies on management of special use airspace of Department of Defense.
- Sec. 1077. Department of Defense policies on community involvement in Department community outreach events.
- Sec. 1078. Notification of foreign threats to information technology systems impacting national security.
- Sec. 1079. Pilot program to rehabilitate and modify homes of disabled and low-income veterans.

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

SEC. 1001. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this division for fiscal year 2015 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—Except as provided in paragraph (3), the total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this section may not exceed \$4,500,000,000.

(3) **EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.**—A transfer of funds between military personnel authorizations under title IV shall not be counted toward the dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

(b) LIMITATIONS.—The authority provided by subsection (a) to transfer authorizations—

(1) may only be used to provide authority for items that have a higher priority than the items from which authority is transferred; and

(2) may not be used to provide authority for an item that has been denied authorization by Congress.

(c) **EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.**—A transfer made from one account to another under the authority of this section shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for the account to which the amount is transferred by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(d) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS.**—The Secretary shall promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under subsection (a).

SEC. 1002. AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER FUNDS TO THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION TO SUSTAIN NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION AND NAVAL REACTORS.

(a) **TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.**—If the amount authorized to be appropriated for the weapons activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration under section 3101 or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 is less than \$8,700,000,000 (the amount projected to be required for such activities in fiscal year 2015 as specified in the report under section 1251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2549)), the Secretary of Defense may transfer, from amounts author-

ized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 pursuant to this Act, to the Secretary of Energy an amount, not to exceed \$150,000,000, to be available only for naval reactors or weapons activities of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(b) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—In the event of a transfer under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall promptly notify Congress of the transfer, and shall include in such notice the Department of Defense account or accounts from which funds are transferred.

(c) *TRANSFER MECHANISM.*—Any funds transferred under this section shall be transferred in accordance with established procedures for reprogramming under section 1001 or successor provisions of law.

(d) *CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORITY.*—The transfer authority provided under subsection (a) is in addition to any other transfer authority provided under this Act.

SEC. 1003. REPORTING OF BALANCES CARRIED FORWARD BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AT THE END OF EACH FISCAL YEAR.

Not later March 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, and make publicly available on the Internet website of the Department of Defense, the following information:

(1) The total dollar amount, by account, of all balances carried forward by the Department of Defense at the end of the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year during which such information is submitted.

(2) The total dollar amount, by account, of all unobligated balances carried forward by the Department of Defense at the end of the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year during which such information is submitted.

(3) The total dollar amount, by account, of any balances (both obligated and unobligated) that have been carried forward by the Department of Defense for five years or more as of the end of the fiscal year preceding the fiscal year during which such information is submitted.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

SEC. 1011. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT UNIFIED COUNTERDRUG AND COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOMBIA.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 126 Stat. 843), is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”; and

(2) in subsection (c), by striking “2014” and inserting “2016”.

(b) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON ASSISTANCE.*—Not later than 15 days before providing assistance under section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (as amended by subsection (a)) using funds available for fiscal year

2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notice setting forth the assistance to be provided, including the types of such assistance, the budget for such assistance, and the anticipated completion date and duration of the provision of such assistance.

SEC. 1012. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO PROVIDE SUPPORT FOR COUNTERDRUG ACTIVITIES OF OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Subsection (a) of section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 374 note) is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2017”.

(b) *EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY TO INCLUDE ACTIVITIES TO COUNTER TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME.*—Such section is further amended—

(1) by inserting “or activities to counter transnational organized crime” after “counter-drug activities” each place it appears;

(2) in subsection (a)(3), by inserting “or responsibilities for countering transnational organized crime” after “counter-drug responsibilities”; and

(3) in subsection (b)(5), by inserting “or counter-transnational organized crime” after “Counter-drug”.

(c) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON FACILITIES PROJECTS.*—Subsection (h)(2) of such section is amended by striking “\$500,000” and inserting “\$250,000”.

(d) *DEFINITION OF TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME.*—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(j) *DEFINITION OF TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME.*—In this section, the term ‘transnational organized crime’ means self-perpetuating associations of individuals who operate transnationally for the purpose of obtaining power, influence, monetary, or commercial gains, wholly or in part by illegal means, while protecting their activities through a pattern of corruption or violence or through a transnational organization structure and the exploitation of transnational commerce or communication mechanisms.”.

(e) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 1004. ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES AND ACTIVITIES TO COUNTER TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME.”.

SEC. 1013. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR COUNTERDRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.

Subsection (e) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most recently amended by section 1013(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 844), is amended to read as follows:

“(e) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—Of the amount authorized to be appropriated for any fiscal year after fiscal year 2014 in which the authority under this section is in effect for drug interdiction and

counter-drug activities, an amount not to exceed \$125,000,000 shall be available in such fiscal year for the provision of support under this section.”.

SEC. 1014. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR JOINT TASK FORCES SUPPORTING LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONDUCTING ACTIVITIES TO COUNTER TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME TO SUPPORT LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CONDUCTING COUNTER-TERRORISM ACTIVITIES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subsection (a) of section 1022 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (10 U.S.C. 371 note) is amended by inserting “or counter-transnational organized crime activities” after “counter-terrorism activities”.

(b) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “2015” and inserting “2020”;

(2) by inserting “for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities that are” after “funds”; and

(3) by inserting “or counter-transnational organized crime” after “counter-terrorism”.

(c) *REPORTS.*—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “after 2008”; and

(B) by striking “Congress” and inserting “the congressional defense committees”;

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by inserting “, counter-transnational organized crime,” after “counter-drug” the first place it appears; and

(B) by striking “counterterrorism support” and inserting “counter-terrorism or counter-transnational organized crime support”;

(3) in paragraph (2), by inserting before the period the following: “, and a description of the objectives of such support”; and

(4) in paragraph (3), by striking “conducting counter-drug operations” and inserting “exercising the authority under subsection (a)”.

(d) *CONDITIONS.*—Subsection (d)(2) of such section is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A) by inserting “or counter-transnational organized crime” after “counter-terrorism”;

(2) in subparagraph (B)—

(A) by striking “Congress” and inserting “the congressional defense committees”; and

(B) by inserting before the period at the end of the second sentence the following: “, together with a description of the vital national security interests associated with the support covered by such waiver”; and

(3) by striking subparagraph (C).

(e) *SUPPORT FOR COUNTER-TRANSNATIONAL ORGANIZED CRIME.*—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—(1) In this section, the term ‘transnational organized crime’ has the meaning given such term in section 1004(j) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 374 note).

“(2) For purposes of applying the definition of transnational organized crime under paragraph (1) to this section, the term ‘illegal means’, as it appears in such definition, includes the trafficking of money, human trafficking, illicit financial flows, illegal trade in natural resources and wildlife, trade in illegal drugs and weapons, and other forms of illegal means determined by the Secretary of Defense.”.

SEC. 1015. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING SECURITY IN THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE.

(a) *FINDINGS.*—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) *The stability and security of the Western Hemisphere has a direct impact on the security interests of the United States.*

(2) *Over the past decade, there has been a marked increase in violence and instability in the region as a result of weak governance and increasingly capable transnational criminal organizations. These criminal organizations operate global, multi-billion dollar networks that traffic narcotics, humans, weapons, and bulk cash.*

(3) *Conflict between the various transnational criminal organizations for smuggling routes and territory has resulted in skyrocketing violence. According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, Honduras has the highest murder rate in the world with 90 murders per 100,000 people.*

(4) *United States Northern Command and United States Southern Command are the lead combatant commands for Department of Defense efforts to combat illicit trafficking in the Western Hemisphere.*

(5) *To combat these destabilizing threats, through a variety of authorities, the Department of Defense advises, trains, educates, and equips vetted troops in the region to enhance their military and police forces, with an emphasis on human rights and the rule of law.*

(6) *As a result of decades of instability and violence, tens of thousands of unaccompanied alien children and their families have fled to the border between the United States and Mexico. In fiscal year 2014, approximately 66,000 such children were apprehended crossing into the United States from Mexico.*

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) *the Department of Defense should continue its efforts to combat transnational criminal organizations in the Western Hemisphere;*

(2) *the Department of Defense should increase its maritime, aerial and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities in the region to more effectively support efforts to reduce illicit trafficking into the United States; and*

(3) *enhancing the capacity of partner nations in the region to combat the threat posed by transnational criminal organizations should be a cornerstone of the Department of Defense’s strategy in the region.*

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

SEC. 1021. DEFINITION OF COMBATANT AND SUPPORT VESSEL FOR PURPOSES OF THE ANNUAL PLAN AND CERTIFICATION RELATING TO BUDGETING FOR CONSTRUCTION OF NAVAL VESSELS.

Section 231(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4) The term ‘combatant and support vessel’ means any commissioned ship built or armed for naval combat or any naval ship designed to provide support to combatant ships and other naval operations. Such term does not include patrol coastal ships, non-commissioned combatant craft specifically designed for combat roles, or ships that are designated for potential mobilization.”.

SEC. 1022. NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND.

(a) ESTABLISHMENT OF FUND.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 131 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 2218 the following new section:

“§ 2218a. National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—There is established in the Treasury of the United States a fund to be known as the ‘National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund’.

“(b) ADMINISTRATION OF FUND.—The Secretary of Defense shall administer the Fund consistent with the provisions of this section.

“(c) FUND PURPOSES.—(1) Funds in the Fund shall be available for obligation and expenditure only for construction (including design of vessels), purchase, alteration, and conversion of national sea-based deterrence vessels.

“(2) Funds in the Fund may not be used for a purpose or program unless the purpose or program is authorized by law.

“(d) DEPOSITS.—There shall be deposited in the Fund all funds appropriated to the Department of Defense for construction (including design of vessels), purchase, alteration, and conversion of national sea-based deterrence vessels.

“(e) EXPIRATION OF FUNDS AFTER 5 YEARS.—No part of an appropriation that is deposited in the Fund pursuant to subsection (d) shall remain available for obligation more than five years after the end of fiscal year for which appropriated except to the extent specifically provided by law.

“(f) BUDGET REQUESTS.—Budget requests submitted to Congress for the Fund shall separately identify the amount requested for programs, projects, and activities for construction (including design of vessels), purchase, alteration, and conversion of national sea-based deterrence vessels.

“(g) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘Fund’ means the National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund established by subsection (a).

“(2) The term ‘national sea-based deterrence vessel’ means any vessel owned, operated, or controlled by the Department of Defense that carries operational intercontinental ballistic missiles.”.

(2) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—*The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 131 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 2218 the following new item: “2218a. National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund.”.*

(b) *TRANSFER AUTHORITY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Subject to paragraph (2), and to the extent provided in appropriations Acts, the Secretary of Defense may transfer to the National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund established by section 2218a of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a)(1), amounts not to exceed \$3,500,000,000 from unobligated funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years 2014, 2015, or 2016 for the Navy for the Ohio Replacement Program. The transfer authority provided under this paragraph is in addition to any other transfer authority provided to the Secretary of Defense by law.*

(2) *AVAILABILITY.*—*Funds transferred to the National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund pursuant to paragraph (1) shall remain available for the same period for which the transferred funds were originally appropriated.*

SEC. 1023. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR INACTIVATION OF U.S.S. GEORGE WASHINGTON.

No funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Navy may be obligated or expended to conduct tasks connected to the inactivation of the U.S.S. George Washington (CVN-73) unless such tasks are identical to tasks that would be necessary to conduct a refueling and complex overhaul of the vessel.

SEC. 1024. SENSE OF CONGRESS RECOGNIZING THE ANNIVERSARY OF THE SINKING OF U.S.S. THRESHER.

(a) *FINDINGS.*—*Congress makes the following findings:*

(1) *U.S.S. Thresher was first launched at Portsmouth Naval Shipyard on July 9, 1960.*

(2) *U.S.S. Thresher departed Portsmouth Naval Shipyard for her final voyage on April 9, 1963, with a crew of 16 officers, 96 sailors, and 17 civilians.*

(3) *The mix of that crew reflects the unity of the naval submarine service, military and civilian, in the protection of the United States.*

(4) *At approximately 7:47 a.m. on April 10, 1963, while in communication with the surface ship U.S.S. Skylark, and approximately 220 miles off the coast of New England, U.S.S. Thresher began her final descent.*

(5) *U.S.S. Thresher was declared lost with all hands on April 10, 1963.*

(6) *In response to the loss of U.S.S. Thresher, the United States Navy instituted new regulations to ensure the health of the submariners and the safety of the submarines of the United States.*

(7) *Those regulations led to the establishment of the Submarine Safety and Quality Assurance program (SUBSAFE), now one of the most comprehensive military safety programs in the world.*

(8) *SUBSAFE* has kept the submariners of the United States safe at sea ever since as the strongest, safest submarine force in history.

(9) Since the establishment of *SUBSAFE*, no *SUBSAFE*-certified submarine has been lost at sea, which is a legacy owed to the brave individuals who perished aboard U.S.S. *Thresher*.

(10) From the loss of U.S.S. *Thresher*, there arose in the institutions of higher education in the United States the ocean engineering curricula that enables the preeminence of the United States in submarine warfare.

(11) The crew of U.S.S. *Thresher* demonstrated the "last full measure of devotion" in service to the United States, and this devotion characterizes the sacrifices of all submariners, past and present.

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS*.—Congress—

(1) recognizes the 51st anniversary of the sinking of U.S.S. *Thresher*;

(2) remembers with profound sorrow the loss of U.S.S. *Thresher* and her gallant crew of sailors and civilians on April 10, 1963; and

(3) expresses its deepest gratitude to all submariners on "eternal patrol", who are forever bound together by dedicated and honorable service to the United States of America.

SEC. 1025. PILOT PROGRAM FOR SUSTAINMENT OF LITTORAL COMBAT SHIPS ON EXTENDED DEPLOYMENTS.

(a) *AUTHORITY*.—Notwithstanding subsection (a) of section 7310 of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy may establish a pilot program for the sustainment of Littoral Combat Ships when operating on extended deployment as follows:

(1) The pilot program shall be limited to no more than three Littoral Combat Ships at any one time operating in extended deployment status.

(2) Sustainment authorized under the pilot program is limited to corrective and preventive maintenance or repair (whether intermediate- or depot-level) and facilities maintenance. Such maintenance or repair may be performed—

(A) in a foreign shipyard;

(B) at a facility outside of a foreign shipyard; or

(C) at any other facility convenient to the vessel.

(3) Such maintenance or repair may be performed on a vessel as described in paragraph (2) only if the work is performed by United States Government personnel or United States contractor personnel.

(4) Facilities maintenance may be performed by a foreign contractor on a vessel as described in paragraph (2).

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED*.—Not later than 120 days after the conclusion of the pilot program authorized under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the pilot program. Such report shall include each of the following:

(1) Lessons learned from the pilot program regarding sustainment of Littoral Combat Ships while operating on extended deployments, including the extent to which shipboard personnel were involved in performing maintenance.

(2) A comprehensive sustainment strategy, including maintenance requirements, concepts, and costs, intended to support Littoral Combat Ships operating on extended deployments.

(3) Observations and recommendations regarding limited exceptions to existing authorities required to support Littoral Combat Ships operating on extended deployments.

(4) The effect of the pilot program on material readiness and operational availability.

(5) Whether overseas maintenance periodicities undertaken during the pilot program were accomplished in the scheduled or allotted timeframes throughout the pilot program.

(6) The total cost to sustain the three Littoral Combat Ships selected for the pilot program during the program, including all costs for Federal and contractor employees performing corrective and preventive maintenance, and all facilitization costs, both ashore and shipboard.

(7) A detailed comparison of costs, including the cost of labor, between maintenance support provided in the United States and any savings achieved by performing facilities maintenance in foreign shipyards.

(8) A description of the permanent facilities required to support Littoral Combat Ships operating on extended deployment at overseas locations.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) The term “corrective and preventive maintenance or repair” means—

(A) maintenance or repair actions performed as a result of a failure in order to return or restore equipment to acceptable performance levels; or

(B) scheduled maintenance or repair actions intended to prevent or discover functional failures, including scheduled periodic maintenance requirements and integrated class maintenance plan tasks that are time-directed maintenance actions.

(2) The term “facilities maintenance” means—

(A) preservation or corrosion control efforts, including surface preparation and preservation of the structural facility to minimize effects of corrosion; or

(B) cleaning services, including—

(i) light surface cleaning of ship structures and compartments; and

(ii) deep cleaning of bilges to remove dirt, oily waste, and other foreign matter.

(d) **TERMINATION.**—The authority to carry out a pilot program under subsection (a) shall terminate on September 30, 2016.

SEC. 1026. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RETIREMENT OR INACTIVATION OF TICONDEROGA CLASS CRUISERS OR DOCK LANDING SHIPS.

(a) **LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as otherwise provided in this section, none of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense by this Act or the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) may be obligated or expended to retire,

prepare to retire, inactivate, or place in storage a cruiser or dock landing ship.

(2) *USE OF SMOSF FUNDS.*—As provided by section 8107 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113–76), funds in the Ship, Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund may be used only for 11 Ticonderoga-class cruisers (CG 63 through CG 73) and 3 dock landing ships (LSD 41, LSD 42, and LSD 46).

(b) *MODERNIZATION OF TICONDEROGA CLASS CRUISERS AND DOCK LANDING SHIPS.*—The Secretary of the Navy shall begin the upgrade of two cruisers specified in (a)(2) during fiscal year 2015, including—

- (1) hull, mechanical, and electrical upgrades; and
- (2) combat systems modernizations.

(c) *REQUIREMENTS AND LIMITATIONS ON MODERNIZATION.*—

(1) *REQUIREMENTS.*—During the period of modernization under subsection (b) of the vessels specified in subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of the Navy shall—

(A) continue to maintain the vessels in a manner that will ensure the ability of the vessels to reenter the operational fleet;

(B) conduct planning activities to ensure scheduled and deferred maintenance and modernization work items are identified and included in maintenance availability work packages; and

(C) conduct hull, mechanical, and electrical and combat system modernization necessary to achieve a service life of 40 years.

(2) *LIMITATIONS.*—During the period of modernization under subsection (b) of the vessels specified in subsection (a)(2), the Secretary may not—

(A) permit removal or cannibalization of equipment or systems to support operational vessels, other than—

(i) rotatable pool equipment; and

(ii) equipment or systems necessary to support urgent operational requirements (but only with the approval of the Secretary of Defense); or

(B) make any irreversible modifications that will prohibit the vessel from reentering the operational fleet.

(d) *REPORTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—At the same time as the submittal to Congress of the budget of the President under section 1105 of title 31, United States, for each fiscal year during which activities under the modernization of vessels will be carried out under this section, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees a written report on the status of the modernization of vessels under this section.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—Each report under this subsection shall include the following:

(A) The status of modernization efforts, including availability schedules, equipment procurement schedules, and by-fiscal year funding requirements.

(B) *The readiness and operational and manning status of each vessel to be undergoing modernization under this section during the fiscal year covered by such report.*

(C) *The current material condition assessment for each such vessel.*

(D) *A list of rotatable pool equipment that is identified across the whole class of cruisers to support operations on a continuing basis.*

(E) *A list of equipment, other than rotatable pool equipment and components incidental to performing maintenance, removed from each such vessel, including a justification for the removal, the disposition of the equipment, and plan for restoration of the equipment.*

(F) *A detailed plan for obligations and expenditures by vessel for the fiscal year beginning during the calendar year during which the report is submitted, and projections of obligations by vessel by fiscal year for the remaining time a vessel is projected to be in the modernization program.*

(G) *A statement of the funding required for that fiscal year to ensure the Ship, Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund account has adequate resources to execute the plan under subparagraph (F) for that fiscal year and the following fiscal year.*

(3) **NOTICE ON VARIANCE FROM PLAN.**—*Not later than 30 days before executing any material deviation from a plan described in paragraph (2)(F) for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees in writing of such deviation from the plan.*

(e) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED LIMITATION.**—*Section 1023 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 846) is repealed.*

Subtitle D—Counterterrorism

SEC. 1031. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO MAKE REWARDS FOR COMBATING TERRORISM.

Section 127b(c)(3)(C) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

SEC. 1032. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.

Section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 850) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

SEC. 1033. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDIVIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.

Section 1034 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 851) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

SEC. 1041. MODIFICATION OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORITY FOR HUMANITARIAN DEMINING ASSISTANCE AND STOCKPILED CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS.

(a) *INCLUSION OF INFORMATION ABOUT INSUFFICIENT FUNDING IN ANNUAL REPORT.*—Subsection (d)(3) of section 407 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting “or insufficient funding” after “such activities”.

(b) *DEFINITION OF STOCKPILED CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS ASSISTANCE.*—Subsection (e)(2) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “and includes” and inserting the following: “small arms, and light weapons, including man-portable air-defense systems. Such term includes”; and

(2) by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, small arms, and light weapons, including man-portable air-defense systems”.

SEC. 1042. AIRLIFT SERVICE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 931 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§9516. Airlift service

“(a) *INTERSTATE TRANSPORTATION.*—(1) Except as provided in subsection (d) of this section, the transportation of passengers or property by CRAF-eligible aircraft in interstate air transportation obtained by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department through a contract for airlift service in the United States may be provided only by an air carrier that—

“(A) has aircraft in the civil reserve air fleet or offers to place the aircraft in that fleet; and

“(B) holds a certificate issued under section 41102 of title 49.

“(2) The Secretary of Transportation shall act as expeditiously as possible on an application for a certificate under section 41102 of title 49 to provide airlift service.

“(b) *TRANSPORTATION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND FOREIGN LOCATIONS.*—Except as provided in subsection (d), the transportation of passengers or property by CRAF-eligible aircraft between a place in the United States and a place outside the United States obtained by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department through a contract for airlift service shall be provided by an air carrier referred to in subsection (a).

“(c) *TRANSPORTATION BETWEEN FOREIGN LOCATIONS.*—The transportation of passengers or property by CRAF-eligible aircraft between two places outside the United States obtained by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department through a contract for airlift service shall be provided by an air carrier referred to in subsection (a) whenever transportation by such an air carrier is reasonably available.

“(d) *EXCEPTION.*—When the Secretary of Defense decides that no air carrier holding a certificate under section 41102 of title 49 is capable of providing, and willing to provide, the airlift service, the

Secretary of Defense may make a contract to provide the service with an air carrier not having a certificate.

“(e) **CRAF-ELIGIBLE AIRCRAFT DEFINED.**—In this section, ‘CRAF-eligible aircraft’ means aircraft of a type the Secretary of Defense has determined to be eligible to participate in the civil reserve air fleet.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“9516. Airlift service.”

SEC. 1043. AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CERTAIN VOLUNTARY LEGAL SUPPORT SERVICES.

Section 1588(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(10) Voluntary legal support services provided by law students through internship and externship programs approved by the Secretary concerned.”

SEC. 1044. EXPANSION OF AUTHORITY FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE TO USE THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE REIMBURSEMENT RATE FOR TRANSPORTATION SERVICES PROVIDED TO CERTAIN NON-DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ENTITIES.

(a) **ELIGIBLE CATEGORIES OF TRANSPORTATION.**—Subsection (a) of section 2642 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary”;

(2) in paragraph (3)—

(A) by striking “During the period beginning on October 28, 2009, and ending on October 28, 2019, for” and inserting “For”; and

(B) by striking “of Defense” the first place it appears and all that follows through “military sales” and inserting “of Defense”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(4) For military transportation services provided in support of foreign military sales.

“(5) For military transportation services provided to a State, local, or tribal agency (including any organization composed of State, local, or tribal agencies).

“(6) For military transportation services provided to a Department of Defense contractor when transporting supplies that are for, or destined for, a Department of Defense entity.”

(b) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN CATEGORIES OF TRANSPORTATION.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN CATEGORIES OF TRANSPORTATION.**—The provisions of paragraphs (3), (4), (5), and (6) of subsection (a) shall apply only to military transportation services provided before October 1, 2019.”

(c) **CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.**—

(1) **SECTION HEADING.**—The heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“§ 2642. Transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense agencies and entities: use of Department of Defense reimbursement rate”.

(2) *TABLE OF SECTIONS.*—The item relating to such section in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 157 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“2642. Transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense agencies and entities: use of Department of Defense reimbursement rate.”.

SEC. 1045. REPEAL OF AUTHORITY RELATING TO USE OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS BY CIVIL RESERVE AIR FLEET CONTRACTORS.

(a) *REPEAL.*—Section 9513 of title 10, United States Code, is repealed.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 931 of such title is amended by striking the item relating to section 9513.

SEC. 1046. INCLUSION OF CHIEF OF THE NATIONAL GUARD BUREAU AMONG LEADERSHIP OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROVIDED PHYSICAL PROTECTION AND PERSONAL SECURITY.

(a) *INCLUSION.*—Subsection (a) of section 1074 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 330) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (7) as paragraph (8); and

(2) by inserting after paragraph (6) the following new paragraph (7):

“(7) Chief of the National Guard Bureau.”.

(b) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by striking “paragraphs (1) through (7)” and inserting “paragraphs (1) through (8)”.

SEC. 1047. INCLUSION OF REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IN AUTHORITY FOR ASSIGNMENT OF CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AS ADVISORS TO FOREIGN MINISTRIES OF DEFENSE.

(a) *INCLUSION OF REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS IN AUTHORITY.*—Section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1599; 10 U.S.C. 168 note) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “or regional organizations with security missions” after “foreign countries”; and

(B) by inserting “or regional organization” after “ministry” each place it appears in paragraphs (1) and (2);

(2) by redesignating subsections (c) and (d) as subsections (d) and (e), respectively, and inserting after subsection (b) the following new subsection (c):

“(c) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTICE.*—Not later than 15 days before assigning a civilian employee of the Department of Defense as an advisor to a regional organization with a security mission under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committees on Armed Services and Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives

a notification of such assignment. Such a notification shall include each of the following:

“(1) A statement of the intent of the Secretary to assign the employee as an advisor to the regional organization.

“(2) The name of the regional organization and the location and duration of the assignment.

“(3) A description of the assignment, including a description of the training or assistance proposed to be provided to the regional organization, the justification for the assignment, a description of the unique capabilities the employee can provide to the regional organization, and a description of how the assignment serves the national security interests of the United States.

“(4) Any other information relating to the assignment that the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate.”;

(3) in subsection (d), as so redesignated, by inserting “and regional organizations with security missions” after “defense ministries” each place it appears in paragraphs (1) and (5); and

(4) in subsection (e), as so redesignated, by striking “subsection (c)” and inserting “subsection (d)”.

(b) **UPDATE OF POLICY GUIDANCE ON AUTHORITY.**—The Under Secretary of Defense for Policy shall issue an update of the policy of the Department of Defense for assignment of civilian employees of the Department as advisors to foreign ministries of defense and regional organizations under the authority in section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1599; 10 U.S.C. 168 note), as amended by this section.

(c) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The section heading of such section is amended to read as follows:

“SEC. 1081. AUTHORITY FOR ASSIGNMENT OF CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AS ADVISORS TO FOREIGN MINISTRIES OF DEFENSE AND REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS.”.

SEC. 1048. REPORT AND LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR AVIATION FOREIGN INTERNAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.

(a) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the aviation foreign internal defense program. Such report shall include each of the following:

(A) An overall description of the program, including validated requirements from each of the geographic combatant commands and the Joint Staff, and of the statutory authorities used to support fixed and rotary wing aviation foreign internal defense programs within the Department of Defense.

(B) Program goals, proposed metrics of performance success, and anticipated procurement and operation and maintenance costs across the Future Years Defense Program.

(C) A comprehensive strategy outlining and justifying contributing commands and units for program execution, including the use of the Air Force, the Special Operations

Command, the reserve components of the Armed Forces, and the National Guard.

(D) The results of any analysis of alternatives and efficiencies reviews for any contracts awarded to support the aviation foreign internal defense program.

(E) A certification that the program is cost effective and meets the requirements of the geographic combatant commands.

(F) Any other items the Secretary of Defense determines appropriate.

(2) **FORM.**—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(b) **LIMITATION.**—Not more than 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for Procurement, Defense-wide, for the fixed-wing aviation foreign internal defense program, may be obligated or expended until the date that is 45 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense provides to the congressional defense committees the certification required under subsection (a).

SEC. 1049. MODIFICATIONS TO OH-58D KIOWA WARRIOR AIRCRAFT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 2244a of title 10, United States Code, the Secretary of the Army may modify OH-58D Kiowa Warrior aircraft of the Army that the Secretary determines will not be retired and will remain in the aircraft fleet of the Army.

(b) **MANNER OF MODIFICATIONS.**—The Secretary shall carry out the modifications under subsection (a) in a manner that ensures—

(1) the safety and survivability of the crews of the OH-58D Kiowa Warrior aircraft;

(2) the safety of flight for such aircraft; and

(3) that the minimum capability requirements of the commanders of the combatant commands are met.

Subtitle F—Studies and Reports

SEC. 1051. PROTECTION OF TOP-TIER DEFENSE-CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE FROM ELECTROMAGNETIC PULSE.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than June 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on whether top-tier defense-critical infrastructure requiring electromagnetic pulse protection that receives its power supply from commercial or other non-military sources is protected from the adverse effects of man-made or naturally occurring electromagnetic pulse. In the case of any of such infrastructure that the Secretary determines is not protected from such adverse effects, the Secretary shall include in the report a description of the actions that would be required to provide for the protection of such infrastructure from such adverse effects.

(b) **FORM OF SUBMISSION.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in classified form.

(c) **DEFINITION.**—In this section, the term “top-tier defense-critical infrastructure” means Department of Defense infrastructure essen-

tial to project, support, and sustain the Armed Forces and military operations worldwide.

SEC. 1052. RESPONSE OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO COMPROMISES OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION.

(a) *FINDINGS.*—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) *Compromises of classified information cause indiscriminate and long-lasting damage to United States national security and often have a direct impact on the safety of warfighters.*

(2) *In 2010, hundreds of thousands of classified documents were illegally copied and disclosed across the Internet.*

(3) *Classified information has been disclosed in numerous public writings and manuscripts endangering current operations.*

(4) *In 2013, nearly 1,700,000 files were downloaded from United States Government information systems, threatening the national security of the United States and placing the lives of United States personnel at extreme risk. The majority of the information compromised relates to the capabilities, operations, tactics, techniques, and procedures of the Armed Forces of the United States, and is the single greatest quantitative compromise in the history of the United States.*

(5) *The Department of Defense is taking steps to mitigate the harm caused by these leaks.*

(6) *Congress must be kept apprised of the progress of the mitigation efforts to ensure the protection of the national security of the United States.*

(b) *REPORTS REQUIRED.*—

(1) *INITIAL REPORT.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on actions taken by the Secretary in response to significant compromises of classified information. Such report shall include each of the following:

(A) *A description of any changes made to Department of Defense policies or guidance relating to significant compromises of classified information, including regarding security clearances for employees of the Department, information technology, and personnel actions.*

(B) *An overview of the efforts made by any task force responsible for the mitigation of such compromises of classified information.*

(C) *A description of the resources of the Department that have been dedicated to efforts relating to such compromises.*

(D) *A description of the plan of the Secretary to continue evaluating the damage caused by, and to mitigate the damage from, such compromises.*

(E) *A general description and estimate of the anticipated costs associated with mitigating such compromises.*

(2) *UPDATES TO REPORT.*—During calendar years 2015 and 2016, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees quarterly updates to the report required by paragraph (1). Each such update shall include information regarding any changes or progress with respect to the matters covered by such report.

SEC. 1053. STUDY ON JOINT ANALYTIC CAPABILITY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) *INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.*—The Secretary of Defense shall commission an appropriate entity outside the Department of Defense to conduct an independent assessment of the joint analytic capabilities of the Department of Defense to support strategy, plans, and force development and their link to resource decisions.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include each of the following:

(1) An assessment of the analytical capability of the Office of the Secretary of Defense and the Joint Staff to support force planning, defense strategy development, program and budget decisions, and the review of war plans.

(2) Recommendations on improvements to such capability as required, including changes to processes or organizations that may be necessary.

(c) *REPORT.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the entity that conducts the assessment required by subsection (a) shall provide to the Secretary an unclassified report, with a classified annex (if appropriate), containing its findings as a result of the assessment. Not later than 90 days after the date of the receipt of the report, the Secretary shall transmit the report to the congressional defense committees, together with such comments on the report as the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 1054. BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS OF THE CREATION OF AN ACTIVE DUTY ASSOCIATION FOR THE 168TH AIR REFUELING WING.

(a) *BUSINESS CASE ANALYSIS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force shall conduct a business case analysis of the creation of a 4-PAA (Personnel-Only) KC-135R active association with the 168th Air Refueling Wing. Such analysis shall include consideration of—

(1) any efficiencies or cost savings achieved assuming the 168th Air Refueling Wing meets 100 percent of current air refueling requirements after the active association is in place;

(2) improvements to the mission requirements of the 168th Air Refueling Wing and Air Mobility Command; and

(3) effects on the operations of Air Mobility Command.

(b) *REPORT.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the business case analysis conducted under subsection (a).

SEC. 1055. REPORTS ON RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE AIR FORCE.

(a) *REPORTS.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of the submittal to Congress pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, of the budget of the President for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2019, the Secretary of the Air Force shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the response of the Air Force to the 42 specific recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force in the report of the Commission pursuant to section 363(b) of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force Act of 2012 (subtitle G of title III of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1704).

(b) *ELEMENTS OF INITIAL REPORT.*—The initial report of the Secretary under subsection (a) shall set forth the following:

(1) *Specific milestones for review by the Air Force of the recommendations of the Commission described in subsection (a).*

(2) *A preliminary implementation plan for each of such recommendations that do not require further review by the Air Force as of the date of such report for implementation.*

(c) *ELEMENTS OF SUBSEQUENT REPORTS.—Each report of the Secretary under subsection (a) after the initial report shall set forth the following:*

(1) *An implementation plan for each of the recommendations of the Commission described in subsection (a), and not previously covered by a report under this section, that do not require further review by the Air Force as of the date of such report for implementation.*

(2) *A description of the accomplishments of the Air Force in implementing the recommendations of the Commission previously identified as not requiring further review by the Air Force for implementation in an earlier report under this section, including a description of any such recommendation that is fully implemented as of the date of such report.*

(d) *DEVIATION FROM COMMISSION RECOMMENDATIONS.—If any implementation plan under this section includes a proposal to deviate in a material manner from a recommendation of the Commission described in subsection (a), the report setting forth such implementation plan shall—*

(1) *describe the deviation; and*

(2) *include a justification of the Air Force for the deviation.*

(e) *ALLOCATION OF SAVINGS.—Each report of the Secretary under subsection (a) shall—*

(1) *identify any savings achieved by the Air Force as of the date of such report in implementing the recommendations of the Commission described in subsection (a) when compared with spending anticipated by the budget of the President for fiscal year 2015; and*

(2) *indicate the manner in which such savings affected the budget request of the President for the fiscal year beginning in the year in which such report is submitted.*

SEC. 1056. REPORT ON PROTECTION OF MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Attorney General and the Secretary of Homeland Security, shall submit to Congress a report on the protection of military installations. Such report shall include each of the following:

(1) *An identification of specific issues, shortfalls, and gaps related to the authorities providing for the protection of military installations by the agencies concerned and risks associated with such gaps.*

(2) *A description of specific and detailed examples of incidents that have actually occurred that illustrate the concerns referred to in paragraph (1).*

(3) *Any recommendations for proposed legislation that would—*

(A) *improve the ability of the Department of Defense to fulfill its requirement to provide for the protection of military installations; and*

(B) address the concerns referred to in paragraph (1).

SEC. 1057. COMPTROLLER GENERAL BRIEFING AND REPORT ON ARMY AND ARMY NATIONAL GUARD FORCE STRUCTURE CHANGES.

(a) BRIEFING AND REPORT.—

(1) BRIEFING.—Not later than March 1, 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a written briefing on the assessment of the Comptroller General of the Aviation Restructuring Initiative of the Army and of any proposals submitted by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or the Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation Office of the Department of Defense that could serve as alternatives to the Army's proposal for adjusting the structure and mix of its combat aviation forces among regular Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard units.

(2) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the submittal of the briefing under paragraph (1), the Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a final report on the assessment referred to in that paragraph.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The briefing and report of the Comptroller General required by subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, each of the following:

(1) A comparison of the assumptions on strategy, current demands, historical readiness rates, anticipated combat requirements, and the constraints and limitations associated with mobilization, utilization, and rotation policies underlying the Aviation Restructuring Initiative and any alternatives proposed by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau and the Department of Defense Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation Office.

(2) An assessment of the models used to estimate future costs and cost savings associated with each proposal for allocating Army aviation platforms among the regular Army, Army Reserve, and Army National Guard units.

(3) A comparison of the military and civilian personnel requirements for supporting combat aviation brigades under each proposal, including a description of the anticipated requirements and funding allocated for active Guard Reserve and full-time military technicians supporting the Army National Guard AH-64 "Apache" units.

(c) SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING ADDITIONAL FUNDING FOR THE ARMY.—Congress is concerned with the planned reductions and realignments the Army has proposed for the regular Army, the Army National Guard, and the Army Reserves in order to comply with the funding constraints under the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112-25). Concerns are particularly associated with proposed reductions in end strength for all components that will result in additional reductions in the number of regular Army and National Guard brigade combat teams as well as reductions and realignments of combat aircraft within and between the regular Army and the Army National Guard. Sufficient funding should be provided to retain the force structure and sustain the readiness of as much Total Army combat capability as possible.

SEC. 1058. IMPROVING ANALYTIC SUPPORT TO SYSTEMS ACQUISITION AND ALLOCATION OF ACQUISITION, INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE AND RECONNAISSANCE ASSETS.

(a) *GUIDANCE.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall review and issue or revise guidance to components of the Department of Defense to improve the application of operations research and systems analysis to—

(1) *the requirements process for acquisition of major defense acquisition programs and major automated information systems; and*

(2) *the allocation of intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems to the combatant commands.*

(b) *BRIEFING OF CONGRESS.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall brief—

(1) *the congressional defense committees on any guidance issued or revised under subsection (a); and*

(2) *the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives on any guidance issued or revised under subsection (a)(2) relevant to intelligence.*

SEC. 1059. REVIEW OF UNITED STATES MILITARY STRATEGY AND THE FORCE POSTURE OF ALLIES AND PARTNERS IN THE UNITED STATES PACIFIC COMMAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.

(a) *INDEPENDENT REVIEW.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall commission an independent review of the United States Asia-Pacific rebalance, with a focus on issues expected to be critical during the ten-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, including the national security interests and military strategy of the United States in the Asia-Pacific region.

(2) *CONDUCT OF REVIEW.*—The review conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall be conducted by an independent organization that has—

(A) *recognized credentials and expertise in national security and military affairs; and*

(B) *access to policy experts throughout the United States and from the Asia-Pacific region.*

(3) *ELEMENTS.*—The review conducted pursuant to paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) *An assessment of the risks to United States national security interests in the United States Pacific Command area of responsibility during the ten-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act as a result of changes in the security environment.*

(B) *An assessment of the current and planned United States force posture adjustments and the impact of such adjustments on the strategy to rebalance to the Asia-Pacific region.*

(C) *An assessment of the current and planned force posture and adjustments of United States allies and partners in the region and the impact of such adjustments on the strategy to rebalance to the Asia-Pacific region.*

(D) An evaluation of the key capability gaps and shortfalls of the United States and its allies and partners in the Asia-Pacific region, including undersea warfare (including submarines), naval and maritime, ballistic missile defense, cyber, munitions, and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance capabilities.

(E) An analysis of the willingness and capacity of allies, partners, and regional organizations to contribute to the security and stability of the Asia-Pacific region, including potential required adjustments to United States military strategy based on that analysis.

(F) An appraisal of the Arctic ambitions of actors in the Asia-Pacific region in the context of current and projected capabilities, including an analysis of the adequacy and relevance of the Arctic Roadmap prepared by the Navy.

(G) An evaluation of theater security cooperation efforts of the United States Pacific Command in the context of current and projected threats, and desired capabilities and priorities of the United States and its allies and partners.

(H) The views of noted policy leaders and regional experts, including military commanders, in the Asia-Pacific region.

(b) REPORT.—

(1) SUBMISSION TO THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the independent organization that conducted the review pursuant to subsection (a)(1) shall submit to the Secretary of Defense a report containing the findings of the review. The report shall be submitted in classified form, but may contain an unclassified annex.

(2) SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of receipt of the report required by paragraph (1), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the report, together with any comments on the report that the Secretary considers appropriate.

SEC. 1060. REPEAL OF CERTAIN REPORTING REQUIREMENTS RELATING TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) OVERSIGHT OF PROCUREMENT, TEST, AND OPERATIONAL PLANS FOR BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE PROGRAMS.—Section 223a is amended by striking subsection (d).

(2) ANNUAL REPORT ON PUBLIC-PRIVATE COMPETITION.—

(A) REPEAL.—Chapter 146 is amended by striking section 2462.

(B) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 146 is amended by striking the item relating to section 2462.

(b) DISPLAY OF ANNUAL BUDGET REQUIREMENTS FOR AIR SOVEREIGNTY ALERT MISSION UNDER DUNCAN HUNTER NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009.—Section 354 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417; 122 Stat. 4426; 10 U.S.C. 221 note) is hereby repealed.

SEC. 1061. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES ANNUAL REVIEWS AND REPORT ON PILOT PROGRAM ON COMMERCIAL FEE-FOR-SERVICE AIR REFUELING SUPPORT FOR THE AIR FORCE.

Section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–81; 122 Stat. 335) is amended by striking subsection (d).

SEC. 1062. REPORT ON ADDITIONAL MATTERS IN CONNECTION WITH REPORT ON THE FORCE STRUCTURE OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to Congress a report on the matters specified in subsection (b) with respect to the report of the Secretary on the force structure of the United States Army submitted under section 1066 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1943).

(b) **MATTERS.**—The matters specified in this subsection with respect to the report referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

(1) An update of the planning assumptions and scenarios used to determine the size and force structure of the Army, including the reserve components, for the future-years defense program for fiscal years 2016 through 2020.

(2) An updated evaluation of the adequacy of the proposed force structure for meeting the goals of the national military strategy of the United States.

(3) A description of any new alternative force structures considered, if any, including the assessed advantages and disadvantages of each and a brief explanation of why those not selected were rejected.

(4) The estimated resource requirements of each of the new alternative force structures referred to in paragraph (3).

(5) An updated independent risk assessment of the proposed Army force structure, to be conducted by the Chief of Staff of the Army.

(6) A description of plans and actions taken to implement and apply the recommendations of the Comptroller General of the United States regarding force reduction analysis and decision process improvements in the report entitled “Defense Infrastructure: Army Brigade Combat Team Inactivations Informed by Analysis but Actions Needed to Improve Stationing Process” (GAO–14–76, December 2013) used in the Supplemental Programmatic Environmental Assessment of the Army.

(7) Such other information or updates as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1063. CERTIFICATION FOR REALIGNMENT OF FORCES AT LAJES AIR FORCE BASE, AZORES.

Prior to taking any action to realign forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, the Secretary of Defense shall certify to the congressional defense committees that—

(1) the action is supported by a European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment initiated by the Secretary of Defense on January 25, 2013, including a specific assessment of the effi-

cacy of Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, in support of the United States overseas force posture; and

(2) the Secretary of Defense has determined, based on an analysis of operational requirements, that Lajes Air Force Base is not an optimal location for United States Special Operations Command or for United States Africa Command. The certification shall include a discussion of the basis for such determination.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

SEC. 1071. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMENDMENTS.

(a) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE, TO REFLECT ENACTMENT OF TITLE 41, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 2013(a)(1) is amended by striking “section 6101(b)–(d) of title 41” and inserting “section 6101 of title 41”.

(2) Section 2302 is amended—

(A) in paragraph (7), by striking “section 4 of such Act” and inserting “such section”; and

(B) in paragraph (9)(A)—

(i) by striking “section 26 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422)” and inserting “chapter 15 of title 41”; and

(ii) by striking “such section” and inserting “such chapter”.

(3) Section 2306a(b)(3)(B) is amended by striking “section 4(12)(C)(i) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)(C)(i))” and inserting “section 103(3)(A) of title 41”.

(4) Section 2314 is amended by striking “Sections 6101(b)–(d)” and inserting “Sections 6101”.

(5) Section 2321(f)(2) is amended by striking “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))” and inserting “section 104 of title 41”.

(6) Section 2359b(k)(4)(A) is amended by striking “section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)” and inserting “section 110 of title 41”.

(7) Section 2379 is amended—

(A) in subsections (a)(1)(A), (b)(2)(A), and (c)(1)(B)(i), by striking “section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting “section 103 of title 41”; and

(B) in subsections (b) and (c)(1), by striking “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))” and inserting “section 104 of title 41”.

(8) Section 2410m(b)(1) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking “section 7 of such Act” and inserting “section 7104(a) of such title”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B)(ii), by striking “section 7 of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978” and inserting “section 7104(a) of title 41”.

(9) Section 2533(a) is amended by striking “such Act” in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting “chapter 83 of such title”.

(10) Section 2533b is amended—

(A) in subsection (h)—

(i) in paragraph (1), by striking “sections 34 and 35 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 430 and 431)” and inserting “sections 1906 and 1907 of title 41”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2), by striking “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))” and inserting “section 104 of title 41”; and

(B) in subsection (m)—

(i) in paragraph (2), by striking “section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)” and inserting “section 105 of title 41”; and

(ii) in paragraph (3), by striking “section 4 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403)” and inserting “section 131 of title 41”; and

(iii) in paragraph (5), by striking “section 35(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 431(c))” and inserting “section 104 of title 41”.

(11) Section 2545(1) is amended by striking “section 4(16) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(16))” and inserting “section 131 of title 41”.

(12) Section 7312(f) is amended by striking “Section 3709 of the Revised Statutes (41 U.S.C. 5)” and inserting “Section 6101 of title 41”.

(b) AMENDMENTS TO OTHER DEFENSE-RELATED STATUTES TO REFLECT ENACTMENT OF TITLE 41, UNITED STATES CODE.—

(1) The Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 846(a) (10 U.S.C. 2534 note) is amended—

(i) by striking “the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10a et seq.)” and inserting “chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(ii) by striking “that Act” and inserting “that chapter”.

(B) Section 866 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(i) in subsection (b)(4)(A), by striking “section 26 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422)” and inserting “chapter 15 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(ii) in subsection (e)(2)(A), by striking “section 4(13) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(13))” and inserting “section 110 of title 41, United States Code”.

(C) Section 893(f)(2) (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by striking “section 26 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422)” and inserting “chapter 15 of title 41, United States Code”.

(2) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 805(c)(1) (10 U.S.C. 2330 note) is amended—

(i) in subparagraph (A), by striking “section 4(12)(E) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)(E))” and inserting “section 103(5) of title 41, United States Code”; and

(ii) in subparagraph (C)(i), by striking “section 4(12)(F) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)(F))” and inserting “section 103(6) of title 41, United States Code”.

(B) Section 821(b)(2) (10 U.S.C. 2304 note) is amended by striking “section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting “section 103 of title 41, United States Code”.

(C) Section 847 (10 U.S.C. 1701 note) is amended—

(i) in subsection (a)(5), by striking “section 27(e) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 423(e))” and inserting “section 2105 of title 41, United States Code”;

(ii) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “section 4(16) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act” and inserting “section 131 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(iii) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “section 27 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 423)” and inserting “chapter 21 of title 41, United States Code”.

(D) Section 862 (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(i) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “section 25 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421)” and inserting “section 1303 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(ii) in subsection (d)(1), by striking “section 6(j) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405(j))” and inserting “section 1126 of title 41, United States Code”.

(3) The John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 832(d)(3) (10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by striking “section 8(b) of the Service Contract Act of 1965 (41 U.S.C. 357(b))” and inserting “section 6701(3) of title 41, United States Code”.

(B) Section 852(b)(2)(A)(ii) (10 U.S.C. 2324 note) is amended by striking “section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting “section 103 of title 41, United States Code”.

(4) Section 8118 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2005 (Public Law 108–287; 10 U.S.C. 2533a note) is amended by striking “section 34 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 430)” and inserting “section 1906 of title 41, United States Code”.

(5) The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136) is amended as follows:

(A) Section 812(b)(2) (10 U.S.C. 2501 note) is amended by striking “section 6(d)(4)(A) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12)(A))” and inserting “section 103 of title 41, United States Code”.

ment Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 405(d)(4)(A))” and inserting “section 1122(a)(4)(A) of title 41, United States Code”.

(B) Section 1601(c) (10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended—
 (i) in paragraph (1)(A), by striking “section 32A of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act, as added by section 1443 of this Act” and inserting “section 1903 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(ii) in paragraph (2)(B), by striking “Subsections (a) and (b) of section 7 of the Anti-Kickback Act of 1986 (41 U.S.C. 57(a) and (b))” and inserting “Section 8703(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

(6) Section 8025(c) of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2004 (Public Law 108–87; 10 U.S.C. 2410d note), is amended by striking “the Javits-Wagner-O’Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48)” and inserting “chapter 85 of title 41, United States Code”.

(7) Section 817(e)(1)(B) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 2306a note) is amended by striking “section 26(f)(5)(B) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 422(f)(5)(B))” and inserting “section 1502(b)(3)(B) of title 41, United States Code”.

(8) Section 801(f)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107–107; 10 U.S.C. 2330 note) is amended by striking “section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3))” and inserting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United States Code”.

(9) Section 803(d) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261; 10 U.S.C. 2306a note) is amended by striking “subsection (b)(1)(B) of section 304A of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 254b)” and inserting “section 3503(a)(2) of title 41, United States Code”.

(10) Section 848(e)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note) is amended by striking “section 32 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 428)” and inserting “section 1902 of title 41, United States Code”.

(11) Section 722(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104–201; 10 U.S.C. 1073 note) is amended by striking “section 25(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c))” and inserting “section 1303(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

(12) Section 3412(k) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104–106, 10 U.S.C. 7420 note) is amended by striking “section 303(c) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 (41 U.S.C. 253(c))” and inserting “section 3304(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

(13) Section 845 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 2371 note) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(2)(A), by striking “section 16(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(c))”

and inserting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United States Code,”;

(B) in subsection (d)(1)(B)(ii), by striking “section 16(3) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 414(3))” and inserting “section 1702(c) of title 41, United States Code”;

(C) in subsection (e)(2)(A), by striking “section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 403(12))” and inserting “section 103 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(D) in subsection (h), by striking “section 27 of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 423)” and inserting “chapter 21 of title 41, United States Code”.

(14) Section 326(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by striking “section 25(c) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c))” and inserting “section 1303(a) of title 41, United States Code”.

(15) Section 806 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Years 1992 and 1993 (Public Law 102-190; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(A) in subsection (b), by striking “section 4(12) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act” and inserting “section 103 of title 41, United States Code”; and

(B) in subsection (c)—

(i) by striking “section 25(a) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act” and inserting “section 1302(a) of title 41, United States Code”; and

(ii) by striking “section 25(c)(1) of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy Act (41 U.S.C. 421(c)(1))” and inserting “section 1303(a)(1) of such title 41”.

(16) Section 831 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

(A) by designating the subsection after subsection (k), relating to definitions, as subsection (l); and

(B) in paragraph (8) of that subsection, by striking “the first section of the Act of June 25, 1938 (41 U.S.C. 46; popularly known as the ‘Wagner-O’Day Act’)” and inserting “section 8502 of title 41, United States Code”.

(c) AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE, TO REFLECT RECLASSIFICATION OF PROVISIONS OF LAW CODIFIED IN TITLE 50, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Sections 113(b), 125(a), and 155(d) are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3002)”.

(2) Sections 113(e)(2), 117(a)(1), 118(b)(1), 118a(b)(1), 153(b)(1)(C)(i), 231(b)(1), 231a(c)(1), and 2501(a)(1)(A) are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 404a)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3043)”.

(3) Sections 167(g), 421(c), and 2557(c) are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 413 et seq.)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3091 et seq.)”.

(4) Section 201(b)(1) is amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 403–6(b))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3041(b))”.

(5) Section 429 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “Section 102A of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403–1)” and inserting “section 102A of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3024)”; and

(B) in subsection (e), by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401a(4))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3003(4))”.

(6) Section 442(d) is amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 404e(a))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3045(a))”.

(7) Section 444 is amended—

(A) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “(50 U.S.C. 403o)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3515)”; and

(B) in subsection (e)(2)(B), by striking “(50 U.S.C. 403a et seq.)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.)”.

(8) Section 457 is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “(50 U.S.C. 431)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3141)”; and

(B) in subsection (c), by striking “(50 U.S.C. 431(b))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3141(b))”.

(9) Sections 462, 1599a(a), and 1623(a) are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 402 note)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3614)”.

(10) Sections 491(c)(3), 494(d)(1), 496(a)(1), 2409(e)(1) are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401a(4))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3003(4))”.

(11) Section 1605(a)(2) is amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 403r)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3518)”.

(12) Section 2723(d)(2) is amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 413)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3091)”.

(d) AMENDMENTS TO OTHER DEFENSE-RELATED STATUTES TO REFLECT RECLASSIFICATION OF PROVISIONS OF LAW CODIFIED IN TITLE 50, UNITED STATES CODE.—

(1) The following provisions of law are amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401a(4))” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3003(4))”:

(A) Section 911(3) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 2271 note).

(B) Sections 801(b)(3) and 911(e)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note; 2271 note).

(C) Section 812(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 2501 note).

(2) Section 901(d) of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107–314; 10 U.S.C. 137 note) is amended by striking “(50 U.S.C. 401 et seq.)” and inserting “(50 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.)”.

(e) DATE OF ENACTMENT REFERENCES.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 1218(d)(3) is amended by striking “on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010” and inserting “on October 28, 2014”.

(2) Section 1566a(a) is amended by striking “Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 and under” and inserting “Under”.

(3) Section 2275(d) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “before the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013” and inserting “before January 2, 2013”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “on or after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013” and inserting “on or after January 2, 2013”.

(4) Section 2601a(e) is amended by striking “after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012” and inserting “after December 31, 2011,”.

(5) Section 6328(c) is amended by striking “on or after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010” and inserting “on or after October 28, 2009,”.

(f) OTHER TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 10, United States Code, is amended as follows:

(1) Section 118 is amended by striking subsection (g).

(2) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 is amended—

(A) by striking the item relating to section 130e and inserting the following new item:

“130e. Treatment under Freedom of Information Act of certain critical infrastructure security information.”; and

(B) by striking the item relating to section 130f and inserting the following new item:

“130f. Congressional notification of sensitive military operations.”.

(3) The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 7 is amended by inserting a period at the end of the item relating to section 189.

(4) Section 189(c)(1) is amended by striking “139c” and inserting “2430(a)”.

(5) Section 407(a)(3)(A) is amended by striking the comma after “as applicable”.

(6) Section 429(c) is amended by striking “act” and inserting “law”.

(7) Section 488(a) is amended by inserting a comma after “Every three years”.

(8) Section 674(b) is amended by striking “afer” and inserting “after”.

(9) Section 949i(b) is amended by striking “,” and inserting a comma.

(10) Section 950b(b)(2)(A) is amended by striking “give” and inserting “given”.

(11) Section 1040(a)(1) is amended by striking “.” and inserting a period.

(12) Section 1044(d)(2) is amended by striking “.” and inserting a period.

(13) Section 1074m(a)(2) is amended by striking “subparagraph” in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) and inserting “subparagraphs”.

(14) Section 1154(a)(2)(A)(ii) is amended by striking “U.S.C.1411” and inserting “U.S.C. 1411”.

(15) Section 1513(1) is amended in the last sentence by striking “subsection (b)” and inserting “subsection (c)”.

(16) Section 2222(g)(3) is amended by striking “(A)” after “(3)”.

(17) Section 2335(d) is amended—

(A) by designating the last sentence of paragraph (2) as paragraph (3); and

(B) in paragraph (3), as so designated—

(i) by inserting before “each of” the following paragraph heading: “OTHER TERMS.—”.

(ii) by striking “the term” and inserting “that term”; and

(iii) by striking “Federal Campaign” and inserting “Federal Election Campaign”.

(18) Section 2430(c)(2) is amended by striking “section 2366a(a)(4)” and inserting “section 2366a(a)(6)”.

(19) Section 2601a is amended—

(A) in subsection (a)(1), by striking “issue” and inserting “prescribe”; and

(B) in subsection (d), by striking “issued” and inserting “prescribed”.

(20) Section 2371 is amended by striking subsection (h).

(21) The item relating to section 2642 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 157 is amended by striking “rates” and inserting “rate”.

(22) Section 2642(a)(3) is amended by inserting “and” after “Department of Defense”.

(23) Section 2684a(h) is amended by inserting “670” after “U.S.C.”.

(24) Section 2853(c)(1)(A) is amended by striking “can be still be” and inserting “can still be”.

(25) Section 2866(a)(4)(A) is amended by striking “repayed” and inserting “repaid”.

(26) Section 2884(c) is amended by striking “on evaluation” in the matter preceding paragraph (1) and inserting “an evaluation”.

(27) Section 7292(d)(2) is amended by striking “section 1024(a)” and inserting “section 1018(a)”.

(g) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014.—Effective as of December 26, 2013, and as if included therein as enacted, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) is amended as follows:

(1) Section 314 (127 Stat. 729) is amended by striking “Section 317(c)(2)” and inserting “Section 317(d)(2)”.

(2) Section 812(a)(3)(B) (127 Stat. 807) is amended by inserting “the first place it appears” before the semicolon.

(3) Section 905(b) (127 Stat. 818) is amended by striking “TRAINING, AND EDUCATION” and inserting “TRAINING, AND EDUCATION”.

(4) Section 1073(a)(2)(B) (127 Stat. 869) is amended by striking “and” after “inserting”.

(5) Section 1709(b)(1)(B) (127 Stat. 962; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by striking “of” after “such”.

(6) Section 2712 (127 Stat. 1004) is repealed.

(7) Section 2809(a) (127 Stat. 1013) is amended by striking “subsection” and inserting “subsection”.

(8) Section 2966 (127 Stat. 1042) is amended in the section heading by striking “TITLE” and inserting “ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION”.

(9) Section 2971(a) (127 Stat. 1044) is amended—

(A) by striking “the map” and inserting “the maps”; and

(B) by striking “the mineral leasing laws, and the geothermal leasing laws” and inserting “and the mineral leasing laws”.

(10) Section 2972(d)(1) (127 Stat. 1045) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “public” before “land”; and

(B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “public”.

(11) Section 2977(c)(3) (127 Stat. 1047) is amended by striking “; and” and inserting a period.

(h) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013.—Effective as of January 2, 2013, and as if included therein as enacted, section 604(b)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1774) is amended by striking “on the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013” and inserting “on January 2, 2013,”.

(i) IKE SKELTON NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011.—Section 1631(b)(6) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended by striking “section 596(b) of such Act” and inserting “section 596(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note)”.

(j) STRATEGIC AND CRITICAL MATERIALS STOCK PILING ACT.—Section 11(b)(2) of the Strategic and Critical Materials Stock Piling Act (50 U.S.C. 98h-2(b)(2)) is amended by striking “under section 9(b)(2)(G)” and inserting “under section 9(b)(2)(H)”.

(k) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amendments made by provisions of this Act other than this section, the amendments made by this section shall be treated as having been enacted immediately before any such amendments by other provisions of this Act.

SEC. 1072. REFORM OF QUADRENNIAL DEFENSE REVIEW.

(a) IN GENERAL.—

(1) REFORM.—Section 118 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 118. Defense Strategy Review

“(a) DEFENSE STRATEGY REVIEW.—

“(1) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Every four years, during a year following a year evenly divisible by four, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct a comprehensive examination (to be known as a

'Defense Strategy Review') of the national defense strategy, force structure, modernization plans, posture, infrastructure, budget plan, and other elements of the defense program and policies of the United States with a view toward determining and expressing the defense strategy of the United States and establishing a defense program. Each such Defense Strategy Review shall be conducted in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

"(2) CONDUCT OF REVIEW.—Each Defense Strategy Review shall be conducted so as to—

"(A) delineate a national defense strategy in support of the most recent National Security Strategy prescribed by the President pursuant to section 108 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3043);

"(B) provide a mechanism for—

"(i) setting priorities for sizing and shaping the force, guiding the development and sustainment of capabilities, allocating resources, and adjusting the organization of the Department of Defense to respond to changes in the strategic environment;

"(ii) monitoring, assessing, and holding accountable agencies within the Department of Defense for the development of policies and programs that support the national defense strategy;

"(iii) integrating and supporting other national and related interagency security policies and strategies with other Department of Defense guidance, plans, and activities; and

"(iv) communicating such national defense strategy to Congress, relevant United States Government agencies, allies and international partners, and the private sector;

"(C) consider three general timeframes of the near-term (associated with the future-years defense program), mid-term (10 to 15 years), and far-term (20 years);

"(D) address the security environment, threats, trends, opportunities, and challenges, and define the nature and magnitude of the strategic and military risks associated with executing the national defense strategy by using the most recent net assessment submitted by the Secretary of Defense under section 113 of this title, the risk assessment submitted by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff under section 153 of this title, and, as determined necessary or useful by the Secretary, any other Department of Defense, Government, or non-government strategic or intelligence estimate, assessment, study, or review;

"(E) define the force size and structure, capabilities, modernization plans, posture, infrastructure, readiness, organization, and other elements of the defense program of the Department of Defense that would be required to execute missions called for in such national defense strategy;

"(F) to the extent practical, estimate the budget plan sufficient to execute the missions called for in such national defense strategy;

“(G) define the nature and magnitude of the strategic and military risks associated with executing such national defense strategy; and

“(H) understand the relationships and tradeoffs between missions, risks, and resources.

“(3) SUBMISSION OF REPORT ON DEFENSE STRATEGY REVIEW TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The Secretary shall submit a report on each Defense Strategy Review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives. Each such report shall be submitted by not later than March 1 of the year following the year in which the review is conducted. If the year in which the review is conducted is in the second term of a President, the Secretary may submit an update to the Defense Strategy Review report submitted during the first term of that President.

“(4) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (3) shall provide a comprehensive discussion of the Review, including each of the following:

“(A) The national defense strategy of the United States.

“(B) The assumed or defined prioritized national security interests of the United States that inform the national defense strategy defined in the Review.

“(C) The assumed strategic environment, including the threats, developments, trends, opportunities, and challenges that affect the assumed or defined national security interests of the United States.

“(D) The assumed steady state activities, crisis and conflict scenarios, military end states, and force planning construct examined in the review.

“(E) The prioritized missions of the armed forces under the strategy and a discussion of the roles and missions of the components of the armed forces to carry out those missions.

“(F) The assumed roles and capabilities provided by other United States Government agencies and by allies and international partners.

“(G) The force size and structure, capabilities, posture, infrastructure, readiness, organization, and other elements of the defense program that would be required to execute the missions called for in the strategy.

“(H) An assessment of the significant gaps and shortfalls between the force size and structure, capabilities, and additional elements as required by subparagraph (G) and the current elements in the Department’s existing program of record, a prioritization of those gaps and shortfalls, and an understanding of the relationships and tradeoffs between missions, risks, and resources.

“(I) An assessment of the risks assumed by the strategy, including—

“(i) how the Department defines, categorizes, and measures risk, including strategic and military risk; and

“(ii) the plan for mitigating major identified risks, including the expected timelines for, and extent of, any

such mitigation, and the rationale for where greater risk is accepted.

“(J) Any other key assumptions and elements addressed in the review or that the Secretary considers necessary to include.

“(5) CJCS REVIEW.—(A) Upon the completion of each Review under this subsection, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall prepare and submit to the Secretary of Defense the Chairman’s assessment of risks under the defense strategy developed by the Review and a description of the capabilities needed to address such risks.

“(B) The Chairman’s assessment shall be submitted to the Secretary in time for the inclusion of the assessment in the report on the Review required by paragraph (3). The Secretary shall include the Chairman’s assessment, together with the Secretary’s comments, in the report in its entirety.

“(6) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (3) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex if the Secretary determines it is necessary to protect national security.

“(b) NATIONAL DEFENSE PANEL.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—Not later than February 1 of a year following a year evenly divisible by four, there shall be established an independent panel to be known as the National Defense Panel (in this subsection referred to as the ‘Panel’). The Panel shall have the duties set forth in this subsection.

“(2) MEMBERSHIP.—The Panel shall be composed of ten members from private civilian life who are recognized experts in matters relating to the national security of the United States. Eight of the members shall be appointed as follows:

“(A) Two by the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

“(B) Two by the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

“(C) Two by the ranking member of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

“(D) Two by the ranking member of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate.

“(3) CO-CHAIRS OF THE PANEL.—In addition to the members appointed under paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense shall appoint two members from private civilian life to serve as co-chairs of the panel.

“(4) PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES.—Members shall be appointed for the life of the Panel. Any vacancy in the Panel shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

“(5) DUTIES.—The Panel shall have the following duties with respect to a Defense Strategy Review conducted under subsection (a):

“(A) Assessing the current and future security environment, including threats, trends, developments, opportunities, challenges, and risks, by using the most recent net assessment submitted by the Secretary of Defense under section 113 of this title, the risk assessment submitted by Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staffs under section 153 of

this title, and, as determined necessary or useful by the Panel, any other Department of Defense, Government, or non-government strategic or intelligence estimate, assessment, study, review, or expert.

“(B) Suggesting key issues that should be addressed in the Defense Strategy Review.

“(C) Based upon the assessment under subparagraph (A), identifying and discussing the national security interests of the United States and the role of the armed forces and the Department of Defense related to the protection or promotion of those interests.

“(D) Assessing the report on the Defense Strategy Review submitted by the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a)(3).

“(E) Assessing the assumptions, strategy, findings, and risks of the report on the Defense Strategy Review submitted under subsection (a)(3).

“(F) Considering alternative defense strategies.

“(G) Assessing the force structure and capabilities, posture, infrastructure, readiness, organization, budget plans, and other elements of the defense program of the United States to execute the missions called for in the Defense Strategy Review and in the alternative strategies considered under subparagraph (F).

“(H) Providing to Congress and the Secretary of Defense, in the report required by paragraph (7), any recommendations it considers appropriate for their consideration.

“(6) FIRST MEETING.—If the Secretary of Defense has not made the Secretary’s appointments to the Panel under paragraph (3) by March 1 of a year in which the Panel is established, the Panel shall convene for its first meeting with the remaining members.

“(7) REPORTS.—Not later than three months after the date on which the report on a Defense Strategy Review is submitted under paragraph (3) of subsection (a) to the committees of Congress referred to in such paragraph, the Panel shall submit to such committees a report on the Panel’s assessment of such Defense Strategy Review, as required by paragraph (5).

“(8) ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS.—The following administrative provisions apply to a Panel established under paragraph (1):

“(A) The Panel may request directly from the Department of Defense and any of its components such information as the Panel considers necessary to carry out its duties under this subsection. The head of the department or agency concerned shall cooperate with the Panel to ensure that information requested by the Panel under this paragraph is promptly provided to the maximum extent practical.

“(B) Upon the request of the co-chairs, the Secretary of Defense shall make available to the Panel the services of any federally funded research and development center that is covered by a sponsoring agreement of the Department of Defense.

“(C) The Panel shall have the authorities provided in section 3161 of title 5 and shall be subject to the conditions set forth in such section.

“(D) Funds for activities of the Panel shall be provided from amounts available to the Department of Defense.

“(9) **TERMINATION.**—A Panel established under paragraph (1) shall terminate 45 days after the date on which the Panel submits its report on a Defense Strategy Review under paragraph (7).”.

(2) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The item relating to section 118 at the beginning of chapter 2 of such title is amended to read as follows:

“118. Defense Strategy Review.”.

(b) **REPEAL OF QUADRENNIAL ROLES AND MISSIONS REVIEW.**—

(1) **REPEAL.**—Chapter 2 of such title is amended by striking section 118b.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The table of sections at the beginning of such chapter is amended by striking the item relating to section 118b.

(c) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—Section 118 of such title, as amended by subsection (a), and the amendments made by this section, shall take effect on October 1, 2015.

(d) **ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT FOR NEXT DEFENSE STRATEGY REVIEW.**—The first Defense Strategy Review required by subsection (a)(1) of section 118 of title 10, United States Code, as amended by subsection (a) of this section, shall include an analysis of enduring mission requirements for equipping, training, sustainment, and other operation and maintenance activities of the Department of Defense, including the Defense Agencies and military departments, that are financed by amounts authorized to be appropriated for overseas contingency operations.

SEC. 1073. BIENNIAL SURVEYS OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES ON WORKPLACE AND GENDER RELATIONS MATTERS.

(a) **SURVEYS REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 23 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after section 481 the following new section:

“§481a. Workplace and gender relations issues: surveys of Department of Defense civilian employees

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—(1) The Secretary of Defense shall carry out every other fiscal year a survey of civilian employees of the Department of Defense to solicit information on gender issues, including issues relating to gender-based assault, harassment, and discrimination, and the climate in the Department for forming professional relationships between male and female civilian employees of the Department.

“(2) Each survey under this section shall be known as a ‘Department of Defense Civilian Employee Workplace and Gender Relations Survey’.

“(b) **ELEMENTS.**—Each survey conducted under this section shall be conducted so as to solicit information on the following:

“(1) Indicators of positive and negative trends for professional and personal relationships between male and female civilian employees of the Department of Defense.

“(2) The specific types of assault on civilian employees of the Department by other personnel of the Department (including contractor personnel) that have occurred, and the number of times each respondent has been so assaulted during the preceding fiscal year.

“(3) The effectiveness of Department policies designed to improve professional relationships between male and female civilian employees of the Department.

“(4) The effectiveness of current processes for complaints on and investigations into gender-based assault, harassment, and discrimination involving civilian employees of the Department.

“(5) Any other issues relating to assault, harassment, or discrimination involving civilian employees of the Department that the Secretary considers appropriate.

“(c) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Upon the completion of a survey under this section, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report containing the results of the survey.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 23 of such title is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 481 the following new item:

“481a. Workplace and gender relations issues: surveys of Department of Defense civilian employees.”

(3) INITIAL SURVEY.—The Secretary of Defense shall carry out the first survey required by section 481a of title 10, United States Code (as added by this subsection), during fiscal year 2016.

(b) REPORT ON FEASIBILITY OF SIMILAR SURVEYS OF MILITARY DEPENDENTS AND DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACTORS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report setting forth an assessment by the Secretary of the feasibility of conducting recurring surveys of each population specified in paragraph (2) on issues relating to gender-based assault, harassment, and discrimination.

(2) COVERED POPULATIONS.—The populations specified in this paragraph are the following:

(A) Military dependents.

(B) Contractors of the Department of Defense.

SEC. 1074. REVISION TO STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR AVIATION INSURANCE CLAIMS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 44309 of title 49, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(2), by adding at the end the following new sentence: “A civil action shall not be instituted against the United States under this chapter unless the claimant first presents the claim to the Secretary of Transportation and such claim is finally denied by the Secretary in writing and notice of the denial of such claim is sent by certified or registered mail.”; and

(2) by striking subsection (c) and inserting the following new subsection (c):

“(c) **TIME REQUIREMENTS.**—(1) Except as provided under paragraph (2), an insurance claim made under this chapter against the United States shall be forever barred unless it is presented in writing to the Secretary of Transportation within two years after the date on which the loss event occurred. Any civil action arising out of the denial of such a claim shall be filed by not later than six months after the date of the mailing, by certified or registered mail, of notice of final denial of the claim by the Secretary.

“(2)(A) For claims based on liability to persons with whom the insured has no privity of contract, an insurance claim made under the authority of this chapter against the United States shall be forever barred unless it is presented in writing to the Secretary of Transportation by not later than the earlier of—

“(i) the date that is 60 days after the date on which final judgment is entered by a tribunal of competent jurisdiction; or

“(ii) the date that is six years after the date on which the loss event occurred.

“(B) Any civil action arising out of the denial of such claim shall be filed by not later than six months after the date of mailing, by certified or registered mail, of notice of final denial of the claim by the Secretary.

“(3) A claim made under this chapter shall be deemed to be administratively denied if the Secretary fails to make a final disposition of the claim before the date that is 6 months after the date on which the claim is presented to the Secretary, unless the Secretary makes a different agreement with the claimant when there is good cause for an agreement.”

(b) **APPLICABILITY.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall apply with respect to a claim arising after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1075. PILOT PROGRAM FOR THE HUMAN TERRAIN SYSTEM.

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of the Army may carry out a pilot program under which the Secretary utilizes Human Terrain System assets in the United States Pacific Command area of responsibility to support phase 0 shaping operations and the theater security cooperation plans of the Commander of the United States Pacific Command.

(b) **REPORTS.**—

(1) **INITIAL REPORT.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of the pilot program under this section. Such report shall include the independent analysis and recommendations of the Commander of the United States Pacific Command regarding the effectiveness of the program and how it could be improved.

(2) **FINAL REPORT.**—Not later than December 1, 2016, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a final report on the pilot program. Such report shall include an analysis of the comparative value of human terrain information relative to other analytic tools and techniques, recommendations regarding expanding the program to include other combatant commands, and any improvements to

the program and necessary resources that would enable expanding the program.

(c) **TERMINATION.**—*The authority to carry out a pilot program under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2016.*

SEC. 1076. CLARIFICATION OF POLICIES ON MANAGEMENT OF SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **ISSUANCE OF GUIDANCE.**—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall issue guidance to clarify the policies of the Department of Defense with respect to—*

(1) *the appropriate management of special use airspace managed by the Department; and*

(2) *governing access by non-Department users to such special use airspace.*

(b) **BRIEFING.**—*Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the status of implementing the guidance issued under subsection (a).*

SEC. 1077. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE POLICIES ON COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN DEPARTMENT COMMUNITY OUTREACH EVENTS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report setting forth such recommendations as the Secretary considers appropriate for modifications of the policies of the Department of Defense on the involvement of non-Federal entities in Department community outreach events (including air shows, parades, open houses, and performances by military musical units) that feature any unit, aircraft, vessel, equipment, or members of the Armed Forces in order to increase the involvement of non-Federal entities in such events.*

(b) **CONSULTATION.**—*The Secretary shall prepare the report required by subsection (a) in consultation with the Director of the Office of Government Ethics.*

(c) **ELEMENTS.**—*The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) *A description of current Department of Defense policies and regulations on the acceptance and use of voluntary gifts, donations, sponsorships, and other forms of support from non-Federal entities and persons for Department community outreach events described in subsection (a), including the authorities or requirements of the Department to accept fees for such air shows, parades, open houses, and performances by military musical units.*

(2) *Recommendations for modifications of such policies and regulations in order to permit additional voluntary support and funding from non-Federal entities for such events, including recommendations on matters such as increased recognition of donors, authority for military units to endorse the fundraising efforts of certain donors, and authority for the Armed Forces to charge fees or solicit and accept donations for parking and admission to such events.*

SEC. 1078. NOTIFICATION OF FOREIGN THREATS TO INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SYSTEMS IMPACTING NATIONAL SECURITY.

(a) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after the Secretary of Defense determines, through the use of open source information or the use of existing authorities (including section 806 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4260; 10 U.S.C. 2304 note)), that there is evidence of a national security threat described in paragraph (2), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notification of such threat.

(2) **NATIONAL SECURITY THREAT.**—A national security threat described in this paragraph is a threat to an information technology or telecommunications component or network by an agent of a foreign power in which the compromise of such technology, component, or network poses a significant risk to the programs and operations of the Department of Defense, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

(3) **FORM.**—A notification under this subsection shall be submitted in classified form.

(b) **ACTION PLAN REQUIRED.**—In the event that a notification is submitted pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary shall work with the head of any department or agency affected by the national security threat to develop a plan of action for responding to the concerns leading to the notification.

(c) **AGENT OF A FOREIGN POWER.**—In this section, the term “agent of a foreign power” has the meaning given such term in section 101(b) of the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978 (50 U.S.C. 1801(b)).

SEC. 1079. PILOT PROGRAM TO REHABILITATE AND MODIFY HOMES OF DISABLED AND LOW-INCOME VETERANS.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) **DISABLED.**—The term “disabled” means an individual with a disability, as defined by section 12102 of title 42, United States Code.

(2) **ELIGIBLE VETERAN.**—The term “eligible veteran” means a disabled or low-income veteran.

(3) **ENERGY EFFICIENT FEATURES OR EQUIPMENT.**—The term “energy efficient features or equipment” means features of, or equipment in, a primary residence that help reduce the amount of electricity used to heat, cool, or ventilate such residence, including insulation, weatherstripping, air sealing, heating system repairs, duct sealing, or other measures.

(4) **LOW-INCOME VETERAN.**—The term “low-income veteran” means a veteran whose income does not exceed 80 percent of the median income for an area, as determined by the Secretary.

(5) **NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION.**—The term “nonprofit organization” means an organization that is—

(A) described in section 501(c)(3) or 501(c)(19) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986; and

(B) exempt from tax under section 501(a) of such Code.

(6) **PRIMARY RESIDENCE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The term “primary residence” means a single family house, a duplex, or a unit within a multiple-

dwelling structure that is the principal dwelling of an eligible veteran and is owned by such veteran or a family member of such veteran.

(B) *FAMILY MEMBER DEFINED.*—For purposes of this paragraph, the term “family member” includes—

- (i) *a spouse, child, grandchild, parent, or sibling;*
- (ii) *a spouse of such a child, grandchild, parent, or sibling; or*
- (iii) *any individual related by blood or affinity whose close association with a veteran is the equivalent of a family relationship.*

(7) *QUALIFIED ORGANIZATION.*—The term “qualified organization” means a nonprofit organization that provides nationwide or statewide programs that primarily serve veterans or low-income individuals.

(8) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

(9) *VETERAN.*—The term “veteran” has the meaning given the term in section 101 of title 38, United States Code.

(10) *VETERANS SERVICE ORGANIZATION.*—The term “veterans service organization” means any organization recognized by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs for the representation of veterans under section 5902 of title 38, United States Code.

(b) *ESTABLISHMENT OF A PILOT PROGRAM.*—

(1) *GRANT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall establish a pilot program to award grants to qualified organizations to rehabilitate and modify the primary residence of eligible veterans.

(B) *COORDINATION.*—The Secretary shall work in conjunction with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to establish and oversee the pilot program and to ensure that such program meets the needs of eligible veterans.

(C) *MAXIMUM GRANT.*—A grant award under the pilot program to any one qualified organization shall not exceed \$1,000,000 in any one fiscal year, and such an award shall remain available until expended by such organization.

(2) *APPLICATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Each qualified organization that desires a grant under the pilot program shall submit an application to the Secretary at such time, in such manner, and, in addition to the information required under subparagraph (B), accompanied by such information as the Secretary may reasonably require.

(B) *CONTENTS.*—Each application submitted under subparagraph (A) shall include—

- (i) *a plan of action detailing outreach initiatives;*
- (ii) *the approximate number of veterans the qualified organization intends to serve using grant funds;*
- (iii) *a description of the type of work that will be conducted, such as interior home modifications, energy efficiency improvements, and other similar categories of work; and*

(iv) a plan for working with the Department of Veterans Affairs and veterans service organizations to identify veterans who are not eligible for programs under chapter 21 of title 38, United States Code, and meet their needs.

(3) *USE OF FUNDS.*—A grant award under the pilot program shall be used—

(A) to modify and rehabilitate the primary residence of an eligible veteran, and may include—

(i) installing wheelchair ramps, widening exterior and interior doors, reconfiguring and re-equipping bathrooms (which includes installing new fixtures and grab bars), removing doorway thresholds, installing special lighting, adding additional electrical outlets and electrical service, and installing appropriate floor coverings to—

(I) accommodate the functional limitations that result from having a disability; or

(II) if such residence does not have modifications necessary to reduce the chances that an elderly, but not disabled person, will fall in their home, reduce the risks of such an elderly person from falling;

(ii) rehabilitating such residence that is in a state of interior or exterior disrepair; and

(iii) installing energy efficient features or equipment if—

(I) an eligible veteran's monthly utility costs for such residence is more than 5 percent of such veteran's monthly income; and

(II) an energy audit of such residence indicates that the installation of energy efficient features or equipment will reduce such costs by 10 percent or more; and

(B) in connection with modification and rehabilitation services provided under the pilot program, to provide technical, administrative, and training support to an affiliate of a qualified organization receiving a grant under such pilot program.

(4) *LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.*—Funds may be expended under the pilot program only for the benefit of an eligible veteran who the Secretary determines is residing in and reasonably intends to continue residing in a primary residence owned by such veteran or by a member of such veteran's family. The Secretary shall make this determination on the basis of a certification by the veteran or a member of the veteran's family that the veteran intends to continue residing in the primary residence for a sufficient period of time to be determined by the Secretary.

(5) *OVERSIGHT.*—The Secretary shall direct the oversight of the grant funds for the pilot program so that such funds are used efficiently until expended to fulfill the purpose of addressing the adaptive housing needs of eligible veterans.

(6) *MATCHING FUNDS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—A qualified organization receiving a grant under the pilot program shall contribute towards the housing modification and rehabilitation services provided to eligible veterans an amount equal to not less than 50 percent of the grant award received by such organization.

(B) *IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.*—In order to meet the requirement under subparagraph (A), such organization may arrange for in-kind contributions.

(7) *LIMITATION COST TO THE VETERANS.*—A qualified organization receiving a grant under the pilot program shall modify or rehabilitate the primary residence of an eligible veteran at no cost to such veteran (including application fees) or at a cost such that such veteran pays no more than 30 percent of his or her income in housing costs during any month.

(8) *REPORTS.*—

(A) *ANNUAL REPORT.*—The Secretary shall submit to Congress, on an annual basis, a report that provides, with respect to the year for which such report is written—

(i) the number of eligible veterans provided assistance under the pilot program;

(ii) the socioeconomic characteristics of such veterans, including their gender, age, race, and ethnicity;

(iii) the total number, types, and locations of entities contracted under such program to administer the grant funding;

(iv) the amount of matching funds and in-kind contributions raised with each grant;

(v) a description of the housing rehabilitation and modification services provided, costs saved, and actions taken under such program;

(vi) a description of the outreach initiatives implemented by the Secretary to educate the general public and eligible entities about such program;

(vii) a description of the outreach initiatives instituted by grant recipients to engage eligible veterans and veteran service organizations in projects utilizing grant funds under such program;

(viii) a description of the outreach initiatives instituted by grant recipients to identify eligible veterans and their families; and

(ix) any other information that the Secretary considers relevant in assessing such program.

(B) *FINAL REPORT.*—Not later than 6 months after the completion of the pilot program, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that provides such information that the Secretary considers relevant in assessing the pilot program.

(C) *INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORT.*—Not later than March 31, 2019, the Inspector General of the Department of Housing and Urban Development shall submit to the Chairmen and Ranking Members of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives a report containing a review of—

(i) the use of appropriated funds by the Secretary and by grantees under the pilot program; and

(ii) oversight and accountability of grantees under the pilot program.

(9) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—There are authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Housing and Urban Development for carrying out this section \$4,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2015 through 2019.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

Sec. 1101. One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on premium pay and aggregate limitation on pay for Federal civilian employees working overseas.

Sec. 1102. One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone.

Sec. 1103. Revision to list of science and technology reinvention laboratories.

Sec. 1104. Extension and modification of experimental program for scientific and technical personnel.

Sec. 1105. Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities.

Sec. 1106. Rate of overtime pay for Department of the Navy employees performing work aboard or dockside in support of the nuclear aircraft carrier forward deployed in Japan.

Sec. 1107. Extension of part-time reemployment authority.

Sec. 1108. Personnel authorities for civilian personnel for the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments.

SEC. 1101. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO WAIVE ANNUAL LIMITATION ON PREMIUM PAY AND AGGREGATE LIMITATION ON PAY FOR FEDERAL CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES WORKING OVERSEAS.

Effective January 1, 2015, section 1101(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4615), as most recently amended by section 1101 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), is further amended by striking “through 2014” and inserting “through 2015”.

SEC. 1102. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY TO GRANT ALLOWANCES, BENEFITS, AND GRATUITIES TO PERSONNEL ON OFFICIAL DUTY IN A COMBAT ZONE.

Paragraph (2) of section 1603(a) of the Emergency Supplemental Appropriations Act for Defense, the Global War on Terror, and Hurricane Recovery, 2006 (Public Law 109–234; 120 Stat. 443), as added by section 1102 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4616) and most recently amended by section 1102 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), is further amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2016”.

SEC. 1103. REVISION TO LIST OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY REINVENTION LABORATORIES.

Section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2487; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(18) The Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.

“(19) The Space and Missile Defense Command Technical Center.”.

SEC. 1104. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM FOR SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL PERSONNEL.

(a) POSITIONS COVERED BY AUTHORITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (b)(1) of section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (5 U.S.C. 3104 note) is amended—

(A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “60 scientific and engineering positions” and inserting “100 scientific and engineering positions”;

(B) in subparagraph (B), by adding “and” at the end;

(C) by striking subparagraphs (C) and (D); and

(D) by redesignating subparagraph (E) as subparagraph (C).

(2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (c)(2) of such section is amended by striking “the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency” and inserting “the Department of Defense”.

(b) ADDITIONAL PAYMENTS.—Subsection (d) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “12-month period” and inserting “calendar year”; and

(2) in paragraph (2), by striking “fiscal year” and inserting “calendar year”.

(c) EXTENSION.—Subsection (e)(1) of such section is amended by striking “September 30, 2016” and inserting “September 30, 2019”.

SEC. 1105. TEMPORARY AUTHORITIES FOR CERTAIN POSITIONS AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING FACILITIES.

Section 1107 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) STUDENTS ENROLLED IN SCIENTIFIC AND ENGINEERING PROGRAMS.—The director of any STRL may appoint qualified candidates enrolled in a program of undergraduate or graduate instruction leading to a bachelor’s or an advanced degree in a scientific, technical, engineering or mathematical course of study at an institution of higher education (as that term is defined in section 101 and 102 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001)) to positions described in paragraph (3) of subsection (b) as an employee in a laboratory described in that paragraph without regard to the provisions of subchapter I of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code (other than sections 3303 and 3328 of such title).”;

(2) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) CANDIDATES ENROLLED IN SCIENTIFIC AND ENGINEERING PROGRAMS.—The positions described in this paragraph are scientific and engineering positions that may be temporary or term in any laboratory designated by section 1105(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2486; 10 U.S.C. 2358 note) as a Department of Defense science and technology reinvention laboratory.”; and

(3) in subsection (c), by adding at the end the following:

“(3) In the case of a laboratory described in subsection (b)(3), with respect to appointment authority under subsection (a)(3), the number equal to 3 percent of the total number of scientific and engineering positions in such laboratory that are filled as of the close of the fiscal year last ending before the start of such calendar year.”.

SEC. 1106. RATE OF OVERTIME PAY FOR DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY EMPLOYEES PERFORMING WORK ABOARD OR DOCKSIDE IN SUPPORT OF THE NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER FORWARD DEPLOYED IN JAPAN.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Subparagraph (B) of section 5542(a)(6) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”.

(b) *LIMITATION ON OVERTIME PAY.*—Notwithstanding the authority provided by such section (as amended by subsection (a)), during fiscal year 2015 the Secretary of the Navy may not pay more than \$250,000 in overtime pay under such section until the Director of the Office of Personnel Management submits a report containing the information described in section 1105(b)(2) of Public Law 111-383, the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011.

SEC. 1107. EXTENSION OF PART-TIME REEMPLOYMENT AUTHORITY.

(a) *CSRS.*—Section 8344(l)(7) of title 5, United States Code, is amended by striking “5 years after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010” and inserting “on December 31, 2019”.

(b) *FERS.*—Section 8468(i)(7) of such title is amended by striking “5 years after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010” and inserting “on December 31, 2019”.

(c) *APPLICABILITY.*—The amendments made by subsections (a) and (b) shall be effective as of October 28, 2014.

SEC. 1108. PERSONNEL AUTHORITIES FOR CIVILIAN PERSONNEL FOR THE UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND AND THE CYBER COMPONENT HEADQUARTERS OF THE MILITARY DEPARTMENTS.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Principal Cyber Advisor to the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) identify improvements to be made to the employment, compensation, and promotion authorities of the Department of Defense to meet the needs of the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments for obtaining and retaining civilian personnel with the skills and experience required to support the missions and responsibilities of those organizations;

(2) identify the additional employment, compensation, and promotion authorities necessary to ensure that the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments have a civilian workforce able to support the missions and responsibilities of those organizations; and

(3) submit to the Secretary recommendations for administrative and legislative actions, including actions in connection with authorities identified pursuant to paragraph (2), to ensure

that the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments have a civilian workforce able to support the missions and responsibilities of those organizations.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

- Sec. 1201. Modification and extension of Global Security Contingency Fund.*
- Sec. 1202. Notice to Congress on certain assistance under authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction.*
- Sec. 1203. Enhanced authority for provision of support to foreign military liaison officers of foreign countries while assigned to the Department of Defense.*
- Sec. 1204. Prohibition on use of funds for assistance to units of foreign security forces that have committed a gross violation of human rights.*
- Sec. 1205. Codification and enhancement of authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces.*
- Sec. 1206. Training of security forces and associated security ministries of foreign countries to promote respect for the rule of law and human rights.*
- Sec. 1207. Cross servicing agreements for loan of personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment in coalition operations.*
- Sec. 1208. Extension and modification of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism.*
- Sec. 1209. Authority to provide assistance to the vetted Syrian opposition.*
- Sec. 1210. Provision of logistic support for the conveyance of certain defense articles to foreign forces training with the United States Armed Forces.*
- Sec. 1211. Biennial report on programs carried out by the Department of Defense to provide training, equipment, or other assistance or reimbursement to foreign security forces.*

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq

- Sec. 1221. Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1222. Extension and modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.*
- Sec. 1223. One-year extension of logistical support for coalition forces supporting certain United States military operations.*
- Sec. 1224. United States plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces through the end of fiscal year 2017.*
- Sec. 1225. Semiannual report on enhancing security and stability in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1226. Sense of Congress on stability and sovereignty of Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1227. Extension of Afghan Special Immigrant Program.*
- Sec. 1228. Independent assessment of United States efforts against al-Qaeda.*
- Sec. 1229. Sense of Congress on security of Afghan women.*
- Sec. 1230. Review process for use of United States funds for construction projects in Afghanistan that cannot be physically accessed by United States Government personnel.*
- Sec. 1231. Extension of authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1232. One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1233. Clearance of unexploded ordnance on former United States training ranges in Afghanistan.*
- Sec. 1234. Report on impact of end of major combat operations in Afghanistan on authority to use military force.*
- Sec. 1235. Report on bilateral security cooperation with Pakistan.*
- Sec. 1236. Authority to provide assistance to counter the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant.*
- Sec. 1237. Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq.*

Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation

- Sec. 1241. *Limitation on military cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1242. *Notification and assessment of proposal to modify or introduce new aircraft or sensors for flight by the Russian Federation under Open Skies Treaty.*
- Sec. 1243. *Limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1244. *Report on non-compliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty.*
- Sec. 1245. *Annual report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation.*
- Sec. 1246. *Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or other agreements with Rosoboronexport.*
- Sec. 1247. *Report on the New START Treaty.*

Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region

- Sec. 1251. *Strategy to prioritize United States defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region.*
- Sec. 1252. *Modifications to annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.*
- Sec. 1253. *Military-to-military engagement with the Government of Burma.*
- Sec. 1254. *Report on Department of Defense munitions strategy of the United States Pacific Command.*
- Sec. 1255. *Missile defense cooperation in Northeast Asia.*
- Sec. 1256. *Sense of Congress and report on Taiwan and its contribution to regional peace and stability.*
- Sec. 1257. *Independent assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to counter anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies of potential adversaries.*
- Sec. 1258. *Sense of Congress reaffirming security cooperation with Japan and the Republic of Korea.*
- Sec. 1259. *Report on maritime security strategy in the Asia-Pacific region.*
- Sec. 1259A. *Sense of Congress on Taiwan maritime capabilities and exercise participation.*
- Sec. 1259B. *Modification of matters for discussion in annual reports of United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission.*

Subtitle E—Other Matters

- Sec. 1261. *One-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities.*
- Sec. 1262. *Modification of national security planning guidance to deny safe havens to al-Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates.*
- Sec. 1263. *Enhanced authority to acquire goods and services of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in United States Africa Command area of responsibility.*
- Sec. 1264. *Treatment of the Kurdistan Democratic Party and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan under the Immigration and Nationality Act.*
- Sec. 1265. *Prohibition on integration of missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of United States and sense of Congress concerning integration of missile defense systems of Russia into missile defense systems of NATO.*
- Sec. 1266. *Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty.*
- Sec. 1267. *Notification and review of potentially significant arms control noncompliance.*
- Sec. 1268. *Inter-European Air Forces Academy.*
- Sec. 1269. *Department of Defense support to security of United States diplomatic facilities.*
- Sec. 1270. *Information on sanctioned persons and businesses through the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System.*
- Sec. 1271. *Reports on nuclear program of Iran.*
- Sec. 1272. *Sense of Congress on defense modernization by NATO countries.*
- Sec. 1273. *Report on protection of cultural property in event of armed conflict.*
- Sec. 1274. *United States strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe.*
- Sec. 1275. *Report on military assistance to Ukraine.*

- Sec. 1276. *Sense of Congress on efforts to remove Joseph Kony from the battlefield and end the atrocities of the Lord's Resistance Army.*
- Sec. 1277. *Extension of annual reports on the military power of Iran.*
- Sec. 1278. *Report and strategy regarding North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel.*
- Sec. 1279. *Rule of construction.*
- Sec. 1280. *Approval of the Amendment to the Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland for Cooperation on the Uses of Atomic Energy for Mutual Defense Purposes.*

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

SEC. 1201. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF GLOBAL SECURITY CONTINGENCY FUND.

(a) **REVISIONS TO GLOBAL SECURITY CONTINGENCY FUND.**—Subsection (c)(1) of section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1625; 22 U.S.C. 2151 note) is amended by striking “the provision of equipment, supplies, and training.” and inserting the following: “the provision of the following:

“(A) Equipment, including routine maintenance and repair of such equipment.

“(B) Supplies.

“(C) With respect to amounts in the Fund appropriated or transferred into the Fund after the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, small-scale construction not exceeding \$750,000 on a per-project basis.

“(D) Training.”

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—Subsection (i) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “Amounts” and inserting the following:

“(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Except as provided in paragraph (2), amounts”;

(2) by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2017”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) **EXCEPTION.**—Amounts appropriated and transferred to the Fund before the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 shall remain available for obligation and expenditure after September 30, 2015, only for activities under programs commenced under subsection (b) before September 30, 2015.”

(c) **EXPIRATION.**—Subsection (p) of such section, as amended by section 1202(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 894), is further amended—

(1) by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2017”;

(2) by striking “fiscal years 2012 through 2015” and inserting “fiscal years 2012 through 2017”; and

(3) by adding at the end before the period the following: “and subject to the requirements contained in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (i)”.

SEC. 1202. NOTICE TO CONGRESS ON CERTAIN ASSISTANCE UNDER AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT ACTIVITIES TO ENHANCE THE CAPABILITY OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO RESPOND TO INCIDENTS INVOLVING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION.

Section 1204(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 896; 10 U.S.C. 401 note) is amended by inserting after “congressional defense committees” the following: “and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives”.

SEC. 1203. ENHANCED AUTHORITY FOR PROVISION OF SUPPORT TO FOREIGN MILITARY LIAISON OFFICERS OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.

(a) **ELIGIBILITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “The Secretary of Defense” and inserting “Subject to subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense”; and

(B) by striking “involved in a military operation with the United States”;

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “in connection with the planning for, or conduct of, a military operation”; and

(3) in paragraph (2), by striking “To the headquarters of” and all that follows and inserting “To the Joint Staff.”

(b) **TRAVEL, SUBSISTENCE, AND MEDICAL CARE EXPENSES.**—Subsection (b) of such section is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “to the headquarters of a combatant command”; and

(B) by inserting “or by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, as appropriate” before the period at the end; and

(2) in paragraph (3), by striking “if such travel” and all that follows and inserting “if such travel meets each of the following conditions:

“(A) The travel is in support of the national interests of the United States.

“(B) The commander of the relevant combatant command or the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, as applicable, directs round-trip travel from the assigned location to one or more travel locations.”.

(c) **TERMS OF REIMBURSEMENT.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended—

(1) by striking “To the extent that the Secretary determines appropriate, the” and inserting “The”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new sentence: “The terms of reimbursement shall be specified in the appropriate agreement used to assign the liaison officer to a combatant command or to the Joint Staff.”.

(d) **LIMITATION AND OVERSIGHT.**—Such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (d) as subsection (f); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (c) the following new subsection:

“(d) *LIMITATION AND OVERSIGHT.*—(1) *The amount of unreimbursed support for any liaison officer supported under subsection (b)(1) in any fiscal year may not exceed \$200,000 (in fiscal year 2014 constant dollars).*

“(2) *The Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall be responsible for implementing the authority under this section.*”.

(e) *SECRETARY OF STATE COORDINATION.*—*Such section, as so amended, is further amended by inserting after subsection (d), as added by subsection (d)(2) of this section, the following new subsection (e):*

“(e) *SECRETARY OF STATE COORDINATION.*—*The authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide administrative services and support under subsection (a) for the performance of duties by a liaison officer of another nation may be exercised only with respect to a liaison officer of another nation whose assignment as described in that subsection is accepted by the Secretary of Defense with the coordination of the Secretary of State.*”.

(f) *DEFINITION.*—*Subsection (f) of such section (as so redesignated) is amended by inserting “training programs conducted to familiarize, orient, or certify liaison personnel regarding unique aspects of the assignments of the liaison personnel,” after “police protection,”.*

SEC. 1204. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR ASSISTANCE TO UNITS OF FOREIGN SECURITY FORCES THAT HAVE COMMITTED A GROSS VIOLATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS.

(a) *PROHIBITION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Subchapter I of chapter 134 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:*

“§2249e. Prohibition on use of funds for assistance to units of foreign security forces that have committed a gross violation of human rights

“(a) *IN GENERAL.*—(1) *Of the amounts made available to the Department of Defense, none may be used for any training, equipment, or other assistance for a unit of a foreign security force if the Secretary of Defense has credible information that the unit has committed a gross violation of human rights.*

“(2) *The Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, ensure that prior to a decision to provide any training, equipment, or other assistance to a unit of a foreign security force full consideration is given to any credible information available to the Department of State relating to human rights violations by such unit.*

“(b) *EXCEPTION.*—*The prohibition in subsection (a)(1) shall not apply if the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, determines that the government of such country has taken all necessary corrective steps, or if the equipment or other assistance is necessary to assist in disaster relief operations or other humanitarian or national security emergencies.*

“(c) *WAIVER.*—*The Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, may waive the prohibition in subsection (a)(1) if the Secretary determines that the waiver is required by extraordinary circumstances.*

“(d) PROCEDURES.—The Secretary of Defense shall establish, and periodically update, procedures to ensure that any information in the possession of the Department of Defense about gross violations of human rights by units of foreign security forces is shared on a timely basis with the Department of State.

“(e) REPORT.—Not later than 15 days after the application of any exception under subsection (b) or the exercise of any waiver under subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report—

“(1) in the case of an exception under subsection (b), providing notice of the use of the exception and stating the grounds for the exception; and

“(2) in the case of a waiver under subsection (c), describing—

“(A) the information relating to the gross violation of human rights;

“(B) the extraordinary circumstances that necessitate the waiver;

“(C) the purpose and duration of the training, equipment, or other assistance; and

“(D) the United States forces and the foreign security force unit involved.

“(f) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘appropriate committees of Congress’ means—

“(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

“(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of subchapter I of chapter 134 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2249e. Prohibition on use of funds for assistance to units of foreign security forces that have committed a gross violation of human rights.”

(b) ANNUAL REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 31, 2015, and every March 31 thereafter through 2024, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth for the preceding fiscal year the following:

(A) The total number of cases submitted for vetting for purposes of section 2249e of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a)), and the total number of such cases approved, or suspended or rejected for human rights reasons, non-human rights reasons, or administrative reasons.

(B) In the case of units rejected for non-human rights reasons, a detailed description of the reasons relating to the rejection.

(C) A description of the interagency processes that were used to evaluate compliance with requirements to conduct vetting.

(D) An addendum that includes any comments by the commanders of the combatant commands about the impact

of section 2249e of title 10, United States Code (as so added), on their theater security cooperation plan.

(E) Such other matters with respect to the administration of section 2249e of title 10, United States Code (as so added), as the Secretary considers appropriate.

(2) *FORM.*—Each report under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(3) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this subsection, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” has the meaning given that term in subsection (f) of section 2249e of title 10, United States Code (as so added).

SEC. 1205. CODIFICATION AND ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY TO BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN SECURITY FORCES.

(a) *CODIFICATION, EXTENSION, AND ENHANCEMENT OF AUTHORITY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 136 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§2282. Authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces

“(a) *AUTHORITY.*—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, is authorized to conduct or support a program or programs as follows:

“(1) To build the capacity of a foreign country’s national military forces in order for that country to—

“(A) conduct counterterrorism operations; or

“(B) participate in or support on-going allied or coalition military or stability operations that benefit the national security interests of the United States.

“(2) To build the capacity of a foreign country’s national maritime or border security forces to conduct counterterrorism operations.

“(3) To build the capacity of a foreign country’s national-level security forces that have among their functional responsibilities a counterterrorism mission in order for such forces to conduct counterterrorism operations.

“(b) *TYPES OF CAPACITY BUILDING.*—

“(1) *AUTHORIZED ELEMENTS.*—A program under subsection (a) may include the provision of equipment, supplies, training, defense services, and small-scale military construction.

“(2) *REQUIRED ELEMENTS.*—A program under subsection (a) shall include elements that promote the following:

“(A) Observance of and respect for human rights and fundamental freedoms.

“(B) Respect for civilian control of the military.

“(c) *LIMITATIONS.*—

“(1) *ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION.*—The Secretary of Defense may use amounts specifically authorized and appropriated or otherwise made available to carry out programs under this section on an annual basis to carry out programs authorized by subsection (a).

“(2) *ASSISTANCE OTHERWISE PROHIBITED BY LAW.*—The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority in subsection (a) to

provide any type of assistance described in subsection (b) that is otherwise prohibited by any provision of law.

“(3) *LIMITATION ON ELIGIBLE COUNTRIES.*—The Secretary of Defense may not use the authority in subsection (a) to provide assistance described in subsection (b) to any foreign country that is otherwise prohibited from receiving such type of assistance under any other provision of law.

“(4) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ACTIVITIES ACROSS FISCAL YEARS.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Amounts made available in a fiscal year to carry out the authority in subsection (a) may be used for programs under that authority that begin in the fiscal year such amounts are made available but end in the next fiscal year.

“(B) *ACHIEVEMENT OF FULL OPERATIONAL CAPABILITY.*—If, in accordance with subparagraph (A), equipment is delivered under a program under the authority in subsection (a) in the fiscal year after the fiscal year in which the program begins, amounts for supplies, training, defense services, and small-scale military construction associated with such equipment and necessary to ensure that the recipient unit achieves full operational capability for such equipment may be used in the fiscal year in which the foreign country takes receipt of such equipment and in the next fiscal year.

“(5) *LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR SMALL-SCALE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.*—

“(A) *ACTIVITIES UNDER PARTICULAR PROGRAMS.*—The amount that may be obligated or expended for small-scale military construction activities under any particular program authorized under subsection (a) may not exceed \$750,000.

“(B) *ACTIVITIES UNDER ALL PROGRAMS.*—The amount that may be obligated or expended for small-scale military construction activities during a fiscal year for all programs authorized under subsection (a) during that fiscal year may not exceed up to five percent of the amount made available in such fiscal year to carry out the authority in subsection (a).

“(d) *FORMULATION AND EXECUTION OF PROGRAM.*—The Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State shall jointly formulate any program under subsection (a). The Secretary of Defense shall coordinate with the Secretary of State in the implementation of any program under subsection (a).

“(e) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not less than 15 days before initiating activities under a program under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a notice of the following:

“(A) *The country whose capacity to engage in activities in subsection (a) will be built under the program.*

“(B) *The budget, implementation timeline with milestones, anticipated delivery schedule for assistance, military department responsible for management and associated*

program executive office, and completion date for the program.

“(C) The source and planned expenditure of funds to complete the program.

“(D) A description of the arrangements, if any, for the sustainment of the program and the source of funds to support sustainment of the capabilities and performance outcomes achieved under the program beyond its completion date, if applicable.

“(E) A description of the program objectives and assessment framework to be used to develop capability and performance metrics associated with operational outcomes for the recipient unit.

“(F) Information, including the amount, type, and purpose, on the assistance provided the country during the three preceding fiscal years under each of the following programs, accounts, or activities:

“(i) A program under this section.

“(ii) The Foreign Military Financing program under the Arms Export Control Act.

“(iii) Peacekeeping Operations.

“(iv) The International Narcotics Control and Law Enforcement (INCLE) program under section 481 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2291).

“(v) Nonproliferation, Anti-Terrorism, Demining, and Related Programs (NADR).

“(vi) Counterdrug activities authorized by section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (10 U.S.C. 374 note) and section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998.

“(vii) Any other significant program, account, or activity for the provision of security assistance that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State consider appropriate.

“(G) An assessment of the capacity of the recipient country to absorb assistance under the program.

“(H) An assessment of the manner in which the program fits into the theater security cooperation strategy of the applicable geographic combatant command.

“(2) COORDINATION WITH SECRETARY OF STATE.—Any notice under paragraph (1) shall be prepared in coordination with the Secretary of State.

“(f) ASSESSMENTS OF PROGRAMS.—Amounts available to conduct or support programs under subsection (a) shall be available to the Secretary of Defense to conduct assessments and determine the effectiveness of such programs in building the operational capacity and performance of the recipient units concerned.

“(g) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term ‘appropriate committees of Congress’ means—

“(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

“(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.”

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 136 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“2282. Authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—

(1) Section 943(g)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4578), as most recently amended by section 1205(f) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1624), is further amended by striking “sections 1206 and 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 119 Stat. 3456 and 3458)” and inserting “section 2282 of title 10, United States Code, and section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 119 Stat. 3458)”.

(2) Section 1209(b)(1)(A) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 368), as most recently amended by section 1203(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2512), is further amended by striking “section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 119 Stat. 3456)” and inserting “section 2282 of title 10, United States Code”.

(c) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.—Section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163) is repealed.

(d) FUNDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance as specified in the funding table in section 4301, up to \$350,000,000 may be used for programs under subsection (a) of section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a) of this section).

(2) LIMITATION ON AMOUNT FOR BUILDING CAPACITY TO PARTICIPATE IN ALLIED OR COALITION MILITARY OR STABILITY OPERATIONS.—Of the amount available under paragraph (1) for fiscal year 2015, not more than \$150,000,000 may be used in such fiscal year for purposes described in subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as so added).

(e) ANNUAL SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REPORTS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the end of each of fiscal years 2015 through 2020, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report summarizing the findings of the assessments of programs carried out under subsection (f) of section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as so added), during such fiscal year.

(2) ELEMENTS.—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include, for each program assessed under such subsection (f) during the fiscal year covered by such report, the following:

(A) A description of the nature and the extent of the potential or actual terrorist threat, if any, that the program is intended to address.

(B) A description of the program, including the objectives of the program, the types of recipient country units receiving assistance under the program, and the baseline operational capability and performance of the units receiving assistance under the program before the commencement of receipt of assistance under the program.

(C) A description of the extent to which the program is implemented by United States Government personnel or contractors.

(D) A description of the assessment framework to be used to develop capability and performance metrics associated with operational outcomes for units receiving assistance under the program.

(E) An assessment of the program using the assessment framework described in subparagraph (D).

(F) An assessment of the effectiveness of the program in achieving its intended purpose.

(f) **BIENNIAL COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES AUDITS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 31 of each of 2016, 2018 and 2020, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress an audit of such program or programs conducted or supported pursuant to section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as so added), during the preceding two fiscal years as the Comptroller General shall select for purposes of such report.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—Each report should, to the extent information is available, include, for the program or programs covered by such report, the following:

(A) A description of the program or programs, including—

- (i) the objectives of the program or programs;
- (ii) the types of units receiving assistance under the program or programs;
- (iii) the delivery and completion schedules for assistance under the program or programs; and
- (iv) the baseline operational capability and performance of the units receiving assistance under the program or programs before the commencement of receipt of assistance under the program or programs.

(B) An assessment of the capacity of each recipient country to absorb assistance under the program or programs.

(C) An assessment of the arrangements, if any, for the sustainment of the program or programs, including any source of funds to support sustainment of the capabilities and performance outcomes achieved under the program or program beyond completion date, if applicable.

(D) An assessment of the effectiveness of the program or programs in achieving their intended purpose.

(E) Such other matters as the Comptroller considers appropriate.

(g) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In subsections (e) and (f), the term “appropriate committees of Congress” has the meaning given that term in subsection (g) of section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as so added).

SEC. 1206. TRAINING OF SECURITY FORCES AND ASSOCIATED SECURITY MINISTRIES OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO PROMOTE RESPECT FOR THE RULE OF LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense is authorized to conduct human rights training of security forces and associated security ministries of foreign countries.

(b) *CONSTRUCTION WITH LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS.*—Human rights training authorized by this section may be conducted for security forces otherwise prohibited from receiving such training under any provision of law only if—

(1) such training is conducted in the country of origin of the security forces;

(2) such training is withheld from any individual of a unit when there is credible information that such individual has committed a gross violation of human rights or has commanded a unit that has committed a gross violation of human rights;

(3) such training may be considered a corrective step, but is not sufficient for meeting the accountability requirement under the exception established in subsection (b) of section 2249e of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 1204(a) of this Act); and

(4) reasonable efforts have been made to assist the foreign country to take all necessary corrective steps regarding a gross violation of human rights with respect to the unit, including using funds authorized by this Act to provide technical assistance or other types of support for accountability.

(c) *ROLE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE.*—

(1) *CONCURRENCE.*—Training activities may be conducted under this section only with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

(2) *CONSULTATION.*—The Secretary of Defense shall consult with the Secretary of State on the content of the training, the methods of instruction to be provided, and the intended beneficiaries of training conducted under this section.

(d) *AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.*—Human rights training authorized by this section may include associated activities and expenses necessary for the conduct of training and assessments designed to further the purposes of this section, including technical assistance or other types of support for accountability.

(e) *ANNUAL REPORTS.*—Not later than March 31 each year through 2020, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the use of the authority in this section during the preceding fiscal year. Each report shall include information on any human rights training (as defined in subsection (f)) or other assistance that was provided during the fiscal year to foreign security forces.

(f) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section

(1) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and*

(B) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.*

(2) *The term “human rights training” means training for the purpose of directly improving the conduct of foreign security forces to—*

(A) *prevent gross violations of human rights and support accountability for such violations;*

(B) *strengthen compliance with the laws of armed conflict and respect for civilian control over the military;*

(C) *promote and assist in the establishment of a military justice system and other mechanisms for accountability; and*

(D) *prevent the use of child soldiers.*

(g) **SUNSET.**—*The authority in subsection (a) shall expire on September 30, 2020.*

SEC. 1207. CROSS SERVICING AGREEMENTS FOR LOAN OF PERSONNEL PROTECTION AND PERSONNEL SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT IN COALITION OPERATIONS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary of Defense may, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, enter into an arrangement, under an agreement concluded pursuant to section 2342 of title 10, United States Code, under which the United States agrees to loan personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment for the use of such equipment by military forces of a nation participating in the following:*

(1) *A coalition operation with the United States as part of a contingency operation.*

(2) *A coalition operation with the United States as part of a peacekeeping operation under the Charter of the United Nations or another international agreement.*

(3) *Training of such forces in connection with the deployment of such forces to be deployed to an operation described in paragraph (1) or (2).*

(b) **LIMITATIONS.**—

(1) **LOAN ONLY OF EQUIPMENT FOR WHICH U.S. FORCES HAVE NO UNFULFILLED REQUIREMENTS.**—*Equipment may be loaned to the military forces of a nation under the authority of this section only upon a determination by the Secretary of Defense that the United States forces in the coalition operation concerned have no unfulfilled requirements for such equipment.*

(2) **SCOPE OF USE OF LOANED EQUIPMENT.**—*Equipment loaned to the military forces of a nation under the authority of this section may be used by those forces only for personnel protection or to aid in the personnel survivability of those forces and only in—*

(A) *a coalition operation with the United States described in paragraph (1) or (2) of subsection (a); or*

(B) *training described in paragraph (3) of subsection (a).*

(3) **DURATION OF USE OF LOANED EQUIPMENT.**—*Equipment loaned to the military forces of a nation under the authority of*

this section may be used by the military forces of that nation not longer than the duration of that country's participation in the coalition operation concerned.

(4) NOTICE AND WAIT ON LOAN OF EQUIPMENT FOR TRAINING.—Equipment may not be loaned under subsection (a) in connection with training described in paragraph (3) of that subsection until 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the appropriate committees of Congress written notice on the loan of such equipment for such purpose.

(c) WAIVER OF REIMBURSEMENT IN CASE OF LOSS OF EQUIPMENT IN COMBAT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of equipment loaned under the authority of this section that is damaged or destroyed as a result of combat operations during coalition operations while held by forces to which loaned under this section, the Secretary of Defense may, with respect to such equipment, waive any other requirement under applicable law for—

(A) reimbursement;

(B) replacement-in-kind; or

(C) exchange of supplies or services of an equal value.

(2) BASIS FOR WAIVER.—Any waiver under this subsection may be made only if the Secretary determines that the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States.

(3) WAIVER ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.—Any waiver under this subsection may be made only on a case-by-case basis.

(d) REPORTS TO CONGRESS.—If the authority provided under this section is exercised during a fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the exercise of such authority by not later than October 30 of the year in which such fiscal year ends. Each report on the exercise of such authority shall specify the recipient country of the equipment loaned, the type of equipment loaned, and the duration of the loan of such equipment.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(2) The term “personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment” means items enumerated in categories I, II, III, VII, X, XI, and XIII of the United States Munitions List under section 38(a)(1) of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2778(a)(1) that the Secretary of Defense designates as available for loan under this section.

(f) EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.—The authority in subsection (a) shall expire on September 30, 2019.

SEC. 1208. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR SUPPORT OF SPECIAL OPERATIONS TO COMBAT TERRORISM.

(a) AMOUNT AVAILABLE FOR SUPPORT.—Subsection (a) of section 1208 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375; 118 Stat. 2086), as most recently amended by section 1203(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat.

1621), is further amended by striking “\$50,000,000” and inserting “\$75,000,000”.

(b) *EXTENSION.*—Subsection (h) of such section 1208, as most recently amended by section 1203(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2012, is further amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2017”.

SEC. 1209. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO THE VETTED SYRIAN OPPOSITION.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense is authorized, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide assistance, including training, equipment, supplies, stipends, construction of training and associated facilities, and sustainment, to appropriately vetted elements of the Syrian opposition and other appropriately vetted Syrian groups and individuals, through December 31, 2016, for the following purposes:

(1) Defending the Syrian people from attacks by the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), and securing territory controlled by the Syrian opposition.

(2) Protecting the United States, its friends and allies, and the Syrian people from the threats posed by terrorists in Syria.

(3) Promoting the conditions for a negotiated settlement to end the conflict in Syria.

(b) *NOTICE BEFORE PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.*—Not later than 15 days prior to the provision of assistance authorized under subsection (a) to appropriately vetted recipients for the first time—

(1) the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and Senate a report, in unclassified form with a classified annex as appropriate, that contains a description of—

(A) the plan for providing such assistance;

(B) the requirements and process used to determine appropriately vetted recipients; and

(C) the mechanisms and procedures that will be used to monitor and report to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and Senate on unauthorized end-use of provided training and equipment and other violations of relevant law by appropriately vetted recipients; and

(2) the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and Senate a report, in unclassified form with a classified annex as appropriate, that contains a description of how such assistance fits within a larger regional strategy.

(c) *PLAN ELEMENTS.*—The plan required in subsection (b)(1) shall include, at a minimum, a description of—

(1) the goals and objectives of assistance authorized under subsection (a);

(2) the concept of operations, timelines, and types of training, equipment, stipends, sustainment, construction, and supplies to be provided;

(3) the roles and contributions of partner nations;

(4) the number and role of United States Armed Forces personnel involved;

(5) any additional military support and sustainment activities; and

(6) any other relevant details.

(d) *QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT.*—Not later than 90 days after the Secretary of Defense submits the report required in subsection (b)(1), and every 90 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and the Senate a progress report. Such progress report shall, based on the most recent quarterly information, include—

(1) any updates to or changes in the plan, strategy, vetting requirements and process, and end-use monitoring mechanisms and procedures, as required in subsection (b)(1);

(2) a description of how the threat of attacks against United States or coalition personnel is being mitigated, statistics on any such attacks, including green-on-blue attacks, and how such attacks are being mitigated;

(3) a description of the appropriately vetted recipients receiving assistance authorized under subsection (a);

(4) the recruitment, throughput, and retention rates of appropriately vetted recipients and equipment;

(5) any misuse or loss of provided training and equipment and how such misuse or loss is being mitigated;

(6) a description of the command and control of appropriately vetted recipients;

(7) an assessment of the operational effectiveness of the appropriately vetted recipients in meeting the purposes specified in subsection (a);

(8) a description of sustainment support provided to appropriately vetted recipients pursuant to subsection (a);

(9) a list of construction projects carried out under authority in subsection (a);

(10) a statement of the amount of funds expended during the period for which the report is submitted, and in aggregate since September 19, 2014, to provide assistance by authorized category pursuant to subsection (a) and section 149 of the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2015 (Public Law 113–164); and

(11) an assessment of the effectiveness of the assistance authorized under subsection (a) as measured against subsections (b) and (c).

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—For purposes of this section, the following definitions shall apply:

(1) The term “appropriately vetted” means, with respect to elements of the Syrian opposition and other Syrian groups and individuals, at a minimum—

(A) assessments of such elements, groups, and individuals for associations with terrorist groups, Shia militias aligned with or supporting the Government of Syria, and groups associated with the Government of Iran. Such groups include, but are not limited to, the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), Jabhat al Nusra, Ahrar al Sham, other al-Qaeda related groups, and Hezbollah; and

(B) a commitment from such elements, groups, and individuals to promoting the respect for human rights and the rule of law.

(2) The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

(f) **REPROGRAMMING REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense may submit a reprogramming or transfer request of funds made available for Overseas Contingency Operations beginning on October 1, 2014, and ending on December 31, 2016, to the congressional defense committees to carry out activities authorized under this section.

(g) **AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CONTRIBUTIONS.**—The Secretary of Defense may accept and retain contributions, including assistance in-kind, from foreign governments to provide assistance as authorized by this section. Any funds so accepted by the Secretary shall be credited to appropriations for the appropriate operation and maintenance accounts, except that any funds so accepted by the Secretary shall not be available for obligation until a reprogramming request is submitted to the congressional defense committees.

(h) **CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to constitute a specific statutory authorization for the introduction of United States Armed Forces into hostilities or into situations wherein hostilities are clearly indicated by the circumstances.

(i) **WAR POWERS RESOLUTION MATTERS.**—Nothing in this section supersedes or alters the continuing obligations of the President to report to Congress pursuant to section 4 of the War Powers Resolution (50 U.S.C. 1543) regarding the use of United States Armed Forces abroad.

(j) **WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—For purposes of the provision of assistance pursuant to subsection (a), the President may waive any provision of law if the President determines that such provision of law would (but for the waiver) impede national security objectives of the United States by prohibiting, restricting, delaying, or otherwise limiting the provision of such assistance. Such waiver shall not take effect until 30 days after the date on which the President notifies the appropriate congressional committees of such determination and the provision of law to be waived.

(k) **ASSISTANCE TO THIRD COUNTRIES IN PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.**—The Secretary may provide assistance to third countries for purposes of the provision of assistance authorized under this section.

SEC. 1210. PROVISION OF LOGISTIC SUPPORT FOR THE CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN DEFENSE ARTICLES TO FOREIGN FORCES TRAINING WITH THE UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—During fiscal years 2015 and 2016, the Secretary of Defense is authorized to provide logistic support for the conveyance of certain defense articles in Afghanistan to the armed

forces of a country with which the Armed Forces of the United States plan to conduct bilateral or multilateral training overseas during fiscal years 2015 and 2016.

(b) *LIMITATIONS.*—The Secretary may provide logistic support under subsection (a) only—

(1) in accordance with the Arms Export Control Act and other relevant export control laws of the United States;

(2) in accordance with section 516(c)(2) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2321j); and

(3) with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

(c) *LIMITATION.*—The total value of logistic support provided under subsection (a) for a fiscal year may not exceed \$10,000,000.

(d) *SOURCE OF FUNDS.*—To provide logistic support under subsection (a), the Secretary may use funds available for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-wide, for fiscal years 2015 and 2016.

(e) *REPORT.*—Not later than 30 days after the last day of a fiscal year during which the Secretary of Defense exercises the authority under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the exercise of authority under this section during that fiscal year. Such report shall include a description of the types of defense articles provided, the amount of funds expended, and the countries that received defense articles.

(f) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “logistic support” means—

(A) the use of military transportation and cargo-handling assets, including aircraft;

(B) materiel support in the form of fuel, petroleum, oil, or lubricants; and

(C) commercially contracted transportation.

(2) The term “certain defense article” means an item that has been declared an excess defense article and has been transferred from the stocks of the Department of Defense in Afghanistan but has not yet been made available for disposal through the Defense Logistics Agency process.

SEC. 1211. BIENNIAL REPORT ON PROGRAMS CARRIED OUT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO PROVIDE TRAINING, EQUIPMENT, OR OTHER ASSISTANCE OR REIMBURSEMENT TO FOREIGN SECURITY FORCES.

(a) *BIENNIAL REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than February 1 of each of 2016, 2018, and 2020, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that sets forth, on a country-by-country basis, a description of each program carried out by the Department of Defense to provide training, equipment, or other security assistance or reimbursement during the two fiscal years ending in the year before the year in which such report is submitted under the authorities specified in subsection (c).

(b) *ELEMENTS OF REPORT.*—Each report required under subsection (a) shall provide for each program covered by such report, and for the reporting period covered by such report, the following:

(1) A description of the purpose and type of the training, equipment, or assistance or reimbursement provided, including

how the training, equipment, or assistance or reimbursement provided advances the theater security cooperation strategy of the combatant command, as appropriate.

(2) The cost of such training, equipment, or assistance or reimbursement, including by type of support provided.

(3) A description of the metrics, if any, used for assessing the effectiveness of such training, equipment, or assistance or reimbursement provided.

(c) SPECIFIED AUTHORITIES.—The authorities specified in this subsection are the following authorities (or any successor authorities):

(1) Section 127d of title 10, United States Code, relating to authority to provide logistic support, supplies, and services to allied forces participating in a combined operation with the Armed Forces.

(2) Section 166a(b)(6) of title 10, United States Code, relating to humanitarian and civic assistance by the commanders of the combatant commands.

(3) Section 168 of title 10, United States Code, relating to authority—

(A) to provide assistance to nations of the former Soviet Union as part of the Warsaw Initiative Fund;

(B) to conduct the Defense Institution Reform Initiative; and

(C) to conduct a program to increase defense institutional legal capacity through the Defense Institute of International Legal Studies.

(4) Section 2010 of title 10, United States Code, relating to authority to reimburse foreign troops for participation in combined exercises.

(5) Section 2011 of title 10, United States Code, relating to authority to reimburse foreign troops for participation in Joint Combined Exercise Training.

(6) Section 2249c of title 10, United States Code, relating to authority to use appropriated funds for costs associated with education and training of foreign officials under the Regional Defense Combating Terrorism Fellowship Program.

(7) Section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 1205 of this Act), relating to authority to build the capacity of foreign military forces, or the predecessor authority to such section in section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 119 Stat. 3456).

(8) Section 2561 of title 10, United States Code, relating to authority to provide humanitarian assistance.

(9) Section 1532, relating to the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.

(10) Section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (32 U.S.C. 107 note), relating to authority for National Guard State Partnership program.

(11) Section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 168 note), relating to the Ministry of Defense Advisors program.

(12) Section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (22 U.S.C. 2151 note), relating to the Global Security Contingency Fund.

(13) Section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 393), relating to authority to reimburse certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations.

(14) Section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (122 Stat. 394), relating to authorization for logistical support for coalition forces supporting certain United States military operations.

(15) Section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), relating to authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of Peru and Colombia.

(16) Section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (10 U.S.C. 374 note), relating to additional support for counter-drug activities.

(17) Any other authority on assistance or reimbursement that the Secretary of Defense considers appropriate and consistent with subsection (a).

(d) **NONDUPLICATION OF EFFORT.**—If any information required under subsection (a) has been included in another report or notification previously submitted to Congress by law, the Secretary of Defense may provide a list of such reports and notifications at the time of submitting the report required by subsection (a) in lieu of including such information in the report required by subsection (a).

(e) **FORM.**—Each report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex.

(f) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED REQUIREMENT.**—Section 1209 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (122 Stat. 368) is repealed.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq

SEC. 1221. COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM IN AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **ONE-YEAR EXTENSION.**—Section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as most recently amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 904), is further amended by striking “fiscal year 2014” each place it appears and inserting “fiscal year 2015”.

(b) **SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS.**—Subsection (b) of such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) in the subsection heading, by striking “QUARTERLY” and inserting “SEMI-ANNUAL”; and

(2) in paragraph (1)—

(A) in the paragraph heading, by striking “QUARTERLY” and inserting “SEMI-ANNUAL”; and

(B) by striking “fiscal year quarter” and inserting “half fiscal year”; and

(C) by striking “that quarter” and inserting “that half fiscal year”.

(c) FUNDS AVAILABLE DURING FISCAL YEAR 2015.—Subsection (a) of such section, as so amended, is further amended by striking “\$60,000,000” and inserting “\$10,000,000”.

(d) RESTRICTION ON AMOUNT OF PAYMENTS.—Subsection (e) of such section is amended by striking “\$20,000,000” and inserting “\$2,000,000”.

(e) NOTIFICATION ON CERTAIN PROJECTS.—Subsection (g) of such section is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “\$5,000,000” and inserting “\$500,000”;

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “to advance the military campaign plan for Afghanistan” and inserting “to directly benefit the security or stability of the people of Afghanistan”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by striking “any agreement with either the Government of Afghanistan,” and inserting “any written agreement with either the Government of Afghanistan, an entity owned or controlled by the Government of Afghanistan,”.

(f) SUBMITTAL OF REVISED GUIDANCE.—Not later than 15 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a copy of the guidance issued by the Secretary to the Armed Forces concerning the Commanders’ Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan as revised to take into account the amendments made by this section.

SEC. 1222. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSEMENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.

(a) EXTENSION.—Subsection (a) of section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section 1213 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 905), is further amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”; and

(2) in paragraph (1), by striking “Operation Enduring Freedom” and inserting “Iraq or in Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan”.

(b) OTHER SUPPORT.—Subsection (b) of such section, as so amended, is further amended by inserting “Iraq or in” before “Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan”.

(c) LIMITATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.—Subsection (d)(1) of such section, as so amended, is further amended—

(1) in the second sentence, by striking “during fiscal year 2014 may not exceed \$1,500,000,000” and inserting “during fiscal year 2015 may not exceed \$1,200,000,000”; and

(2) in the third sentence, by striking “during fiscal year 2013 may not exceed \$1,200,000,000” and inserting “during fiscal year 2015 may not exceed \$1,000,000,000”.

(d) EXTENSION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENT RELATING TO REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN FOR SUPPORT PROVIDED BY PAKISTAN.—Section 1232(b)(6) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (122 Stat. 393), as most recently amended by section

1213(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (127 Stat. 906), is further amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2015”.

(e) **EXTENSION OF LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.**—Section 1227(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2001), as amended by section 1213(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (127 Stat. 906), is further amended by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”.

(f) **ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN PENDING CERTIFICATION ON PAKISTAN.**—Of the total amount of reimbursements and support authorized for Pakistan during fiscal year 2015 pursuant to the third sentence of section 1233(d)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (as amended by subsection (b)(2)), \$300,000,000 shall not be eligible for the waiver under section 1227(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (126 Stat. 2001) unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that—

(1) Pakistan has undertaken military operations in North Waziristan that have contributed to significantly disrupting the safe haven and freedom of movement of the Haqqani network in Pakistan; and

(2) Pakistan has taken steps that have demonstrated a commitment to ensuring that North Waziristan does not return to being a safe haven for the Haqqani network.

SEC. 1223. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR COALITION FORCES SUPPORTING CERTAIN UNITED STATES MILITARY OPERATIONS.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 394), as most recently amended by section 1217(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 909), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”;

(2) in subsection (d), by striking “during the period beginning on October 1, 2013, and ending on December 31, 2014” and inserting “during the period beginning on October 1, 2014, and ending on December 31, 2015”; and

(3) in subsection (e)(1), by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

(b) **AUTHORITY FOR USE OF FUNDS IN CONNECTION WITH IRAQ.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (a) of such section 1234, as so amended, is further amended by inserting “and Iraq” after “in Afghanistan”.

(2) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—The heading of such section 1234 is amended by inserting “**AND IRAQ**” after “**AFGHANISTAN**”.

SEC. 1224. UNITED STATES PLAN FOR SUSTAINING THE AFGHANISTAN NATIONAL SECURITY FORCES THROUGH THE END OF FISCAL YEAR 2017.

(a) **PLAN REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with

the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains a detailed plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Army (ANA) and the Afghanistan National Police (ANP) of the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF) through the end of fiscal year 2017, with the objective of ensuring that the ANSF will be able to independently and effectively conduct operations and maintain security and stability in Afghanistan.

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The plan contained in the report required under subsection (a) shall include a description of the following matters:

(1) A comprehensive sustainment strategy, including target end-strengths, budget, and defined objectives.

(2) The commitments for funding contributions from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and non-NATO nations for sustaining the ANSF through the end of fiscal year 2017, any shortfalls in funding for such purposes, and the plan for achieving such commitments as necessary to sustain the ANSF.

(3) A mechanism for tracking funding, equipment, training, and services provided to the ANSF by the United States, countries participating in NATO's Operation Resolute Support, and other members of the international community contributing to the sustainment of the ANSF.

(4) Plans for assisting the Government of Afghanistan to achieve the following goals:

(A) Improve and sustain effective Afghan security institutions with fully capable senior leadership and staff, including logistics, intelligence, medical, and recruiting units.

(B) Train and equip key enabling capabilities, including for the Afghan Special Operations Forces, the Afghan Air Force, and Afghan Special Mission Wing, such that these entities are fully-capable of conducting operations independently and in sufficient numbers.

(C) Establish effective and sustainable ANSF-readiness assessment tools and metrics.

(D) Improve and sustain strong, professional ANSF officers at the junior-, mid-, and senior-levels.

(E) Enhance strong ANSF communication and control between central command and regions, provinces, and districts.

(F) Develop and improve institutional mechanisms for incorporating lessons learned and best practices into ANSF operations.

(G) Improve ANSF oversight mechanisms, including an effective record-keeping system to track ANSF equipment and personnel and a sustainable process to identify, investigate, and eliminate corruption.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the congressional defense committees; and

(2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1225. SEMIANNUAL REPORT ON ENHANCING SECURITY AND STABILITY IN AFGHANISTAN.

(a) REPORTS REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—*The Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress on a semiannual basis a report on building and sustaining the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) and enhancing security and stability in Afghanistan.*

(2) SUBMITTAL.—*A report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted not later than June 15 each year, for the 6-month period ending on May 31 of such year, and not later than December 15 each year, for the 6-month period ending on November 30 of such year. No report is required to be submitted under paragraph (1) after the report required to be submitted on December 15, 2017.*

(3) FORM.—*Each report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.*

(b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—*Each report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) STRATEGY AND OBJECTIVES OF UNITED STATES AND NATO MISSIONS IN AFGHANISTAN AFTER 2014.—*A detailed description of—*

(A) the strategy and objectives of any post-2014 United States mission and any mission agreed by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), to train, advise, and assist the ANSF or to conduct counterterrorism operations; and

(B) indicators of effectiveness as developed by the Secretary or NATO, as appropriate, in the assessment of any such United States train, advise, and assist mission and of any such train, advise, and assist mission agreed by NATO, including efforts to build the counterterrorism capabilities of the ANSF.

(2) THREAT ASSESSMENT.—*An assessment of the current security conditions in Afghanistan and the security conditions anticipated in Afghanistan during the 24-month period beginning on the date of the submittal of such report, including with respect to threats from terrorist groups such as al-Qaeda, the Taliban, and the Haqqani Network.*

(3) DESCRIPTION OF SIZE AND STRUCTURE AND STRATEGY AND BUDGET OF ANSF.—*A description of—*

(A) the size and force structure of the ANSF, including the Afghanistan National Army (ANA), the Afghanistan National Police (ANP), the Afghan Border Police, the Afghan Local Police, and such other major force components of the ANSF as the Secretary considers appropriate;

(B) the rationale for any changes in the overall end strength or the mix of force structure for the ANSF during the period covered by such report;

(C) levels of recruitment, retention, and attrition within the ANSF, in the aggregate and by force component;

(D) personnel end strength within the Afghanistan Ministry of Defense and the Afghanistan Ministry of Security;

(E) the strategy and budget of the ANSF; and

(F) a description of the activities of the ANSF during the period covered by the report.

(4) **ASSESSMENT OF SIZE, STRUCTURE, CAPABILITIES, AND STRATEGY OF ANSF.**—An assessment whether the size, structure, capabilities, and strategy of the ANSF are sufficient to provide security in light of the current security conditions in Afghanistan and the security conditions anticipated in Afghanistan during the 24-month period beginning on the date of the submittal of such report. Such assessment should describe the risks and trade-offs the ANSF are making and any gaps in the capacity and capabilities of the ANSF.

(5) **BUILDING KEY CAPABILITIES AND ENABLING FORCES WITHIN ANSF.**—

(A) A description of programs to achieve key mission enabling capabilities within the ANSF, including any major milestones and timelines, and the end states intended to be achieved by such programs, including for the following:

- (i) Security institution capacity building.
- (ii) Special operations forces and their key enablers.
- (iii) Intelligence.
- (iv) Logistics.
- (v) Maintenance.
- (vi) Air forces.

(B) Metrics, as developed by the Commander of United States forces in Afghanistan, for monitoring and evaluating the performance of such programs in achieving the intended outcomes of such programs.

(6) **FINANCING THE ANSF.**—A description of—

(A) any plan agreed by the United States, the international community, and the Government of Afghanistan to fund and sustain the ANSF that serves as current guidance on such matters during the period covered by such report, including a description of whether such plan differs from—

(i) in the case of the first report submitted under subsection (a), commitments undertaken at the 2012 NATO Summit in Chicago and the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework; or

(ii) in the case of any other report submitted under subsection (a), such plan as set forth in the previous report submitted under subsection (a);

(B) the Afghan Security Forces Fund financing plan through 2017;

(C) contributions by the international community to sustaining the ANSF during the period covered by such report;

(D) contributions by the Government of Afghanistan to sustaining the ANSF during the period covered by such report; and

(E) efforts to ensure that the Government of Afghanistan can assume an increasing financial responsibility for sustaining the ANSF consistent with its commitments at the Chicago Summit and the Tokyo Mutual Accountability Framework.

(c) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(d) *REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.*—Section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) is repealed.

SEC. 1226. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON STABILITY AND SOVEREIGNTY OF AFGHANISTAN.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) a top national security priority for the United States continues to be to support the stability and sovereignty of Afghanistan and to help Afghanistan ensure that its territory is not used by al Qaeda, the Haqqani Network, or other violent extremist groups to launch attacks against the United States or its interests;

(2) the presence of United States military forces in Afghanistan after 2014 to train, advise, and assist the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF) and conduct counterterrorism operations is a key step to maintaining the significant gains achieved in Afghanistan and should be executed consistent with the security conditions on the ground;

(3) any drawdown of such United States military forces and operations should be considered in relation to security conditions on the ground in Afghanistan at the time of the drawdown and the recommendations of senior United States military commanders; and

(4) NATO member countries and other members of the international community should honor their commitments to support Afghanistan at the Lisbon, Chicago, and Tokyo conferences taking into account the mutual accountability framework agreed by the Government of Afghanistan.

SEC. 1227. EXTENSION OF AFGHAN SPECIAL IMMIGRANT PROGRAM.

Section 602(b) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)(A)—

(A) by amending clause (ii) to read as follows:

“(ii) was or is employed in Afghanistan on or after October 7, 2001, for not less than 1 year—

“(I) by, or on behalf of, the United States Government; or

“(II) by the International Security Assistance Force in a capacity that required the alien—

“(aa) while traveling off-base with United States military personnel stationed at International Security Assistance Force, to serve as an interpreter or translator for such United States military personnel; or

“(bb) to perform sensitive and trusted activities for United States military personnel stationed at International Security Assistance Force;”;

(B) in clause (iii), by striking “the United States Government,” and inserting “an entity or organization described in clause (ii),”; and

(C) in clause (iv), by striking “by the United States Government.” and inserting “described in clause (ii).”;

(2) by adding at the end of paragraph (3) the following:

“(F) FISCAL YEARS 2015 AND 2016.—In addition to any unused balance under subparagraph (D), for the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this subparagraph and ending on September 30, 2016, the total number of principal aliens who may be provided special immigrant status under this section shall not exceed 4,000. For purposes of status provided under this subparagraph—

“(i) the period during which an alien must have been employed in accordance with paragraph (2)(A)(ii) must terminate on or before September 30, 2015;

“(ii) the principal alien seeking special immigrant status under this subparagraph shall apply to the Chief of Mission in accordance with paragraph (2)(D) not later than December 31, 2015; and

“(iii) the authority to issue visas shall commence on the date of the enactment of this subparagraph and shall terminate on March 31, 2017.”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(14) REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security, in consultation with the Secretary of Defense, shall submit a report to the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives containing the following information:

“(A) The occupations of aliens who—

“(i) were provided special immigrant status under this section; and

“(ii) were considered principal aliens for such purpose.

“(B) The number of appeals submitted under paragraph (2)(D)(ii)(I)(bb) from application denials by the Chief of Mission and the number of those applications that were approved pursuant to the appeal.

“(C) The number of applications denied by the Chief of Mission on the basis of derogatory information that were appealed and the number of those applications that were approved pursuant to the appeal.

“(D) The number of applications denied by the Chief of Mission on the basis that the applicant did not establish faithful and valuable service to the United States Government that were appealed and the number of those applications that were approved pursuant to the appeal.

“(E) The number of applications denied by the Chief of Mission for failure to establish the one-year period of employment required that were appealed and the number of those applications that were approved pursuant to the appeal.

“(F) The number of applications denied by the Chief of Mission for failure to establish employment by or on behalf of the United States Government that were appealed and the number of those applications that were approved pursuant to the appeal.

“(G) The number of special immigrant status approvals revoked by the Chief of Mission and the reason for each revocation.

“(H) The number of special immigrant status approvals revoked by the Chief of Mission that were appealed and the number of those revocations that were overturned pursuant to the appeal.”

SEC. 1228. INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF UNITED STATES EFFORTS AGAINST AL-QAEDA.

(a) **INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, shall provide for the conduct of an independent assessment of the effectiveness of the United States efforts to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al-Qaeda, including its affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents since September 11, 2001.

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—The assessment required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of al-Qaeda core’s current relationship with affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents, and how it has changed over time.

(2) An assessment of the current objectives, capabilities, and overall strategy of al-Qaeda core, its affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents, and how they have changed over time.

(3) An assessment of the operational and organizational structure of al-Qaeda core, its affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents, and how it has changed over time.

(4) An analysis of the activities that have proven to be most effective and least effective at disrupting and dismantling al-Qaeda, its affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents.

(5) Recommendations for United States policy to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al-Qaeda, its affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents.

(6) Other matters that the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(c) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the entity selected for the conduct of the assessment required by subsection (a) shall provide to the Secretary of Defense and the appropriate committees of Congress a report containing its findings as a result of the assessment.

(2) **FORM.**—The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—*In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—*

- (1) *the congressional defense committees;*
- (2) *the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*
- (3) *the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

SEC. 1229. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON SECURITY OF AFGHAN WOMEN.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) *the United States Government should continue to work with the Government of Afghanistan and Afghan civil society to promote the rights of women in Afghanistan and their inclusion in the political, economic, and security transition process; and*

(2) *the United States Government should continue to support and encourage efforts by the Government of Afghanistan to recruit, integrate, train, and retain women in the Afghanistan National Security Forces (ANSF), including through the use of not less than \$25,000,000 as specified in section 1531(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 938) for programs and activities for such purposes, which may include—*

(A) *assistance in prioritizing efforts to increase the number of women serving in the ANSF, taking into account the Master Ministerial Development Plan for Afghanistan National Army (ANA) Gender Integration;*

(B) *further development of training for the ANA and the Afghanistan National Police (ANP) to increase awareness and responsiveness among ANA and ANP personnel regarding the unique security challenges women confront when serving in those forces;*

(C) *assistance in the development of a plan to increase the number of female security officers specifically trained to address gender-based violence, such as the Family Response Units of the ANP, and to ensure that such units are appropriately resourced;*

(D) *assistance in the development of accountability mechanisms for ANA and ANP personnel relating to the treatment of women and girls, including female members of the ANSF;*

(E) *assistance in the implementation of a plan, developed in coordination with the Government of Afghanistan, to promote the equal treatment of female members of the ANA and ANP through such steps as providing appropriate equipment, modifying facilities, and ensuring literacy and gender awareness training for female recruits and male counterparts; and*

(F) *assistance to the Afghan Ministry of Defense and the Afghan Ministry of Interior in recruiting, training, and funding sufficient female searchers and security officers to staff voting stations during the 2015 parliamentary elections.*

SEC. 1230. REVIEW PROCESS FOR USE OF UNITED STATES FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN AFGHANISTAN THAT CANNOT BE PHYSICALLY ACCESSED BY UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated or expended for a construction project in Afghanistan in excess of \$1,000,000 that cannot be audited and physically inspected by authorized United States Government personnel or their designated representatives, in accordance with generally-accepted auditing guidelines.

(2) **APPLICABILITY.**—Paragraph (1) shall apply only with respect to a project that is initiated on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) **WAIVER.**—The prohibition in subsection (a) may be waived with respect to a project otherwise covered by that subsection if not later than 15 days prior to the initial obligation of funds for the project the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report that contains the following:

(1) A determination of the Secretary of Defense that—

(A) the project clearly contributes to United States national interests or strategic objectives;

(B) the project has been coordinated with the Government of Afghanistan and any other implementing agencies or international donors; and

(C) adequate arrangements have been made for sustainment of the project following its completion, including arrangements with respect to funding and technical capacity for sustainment.

(2) A plan that contains—

(A) a description of how the Secretary of Defense will monitor the use of the funds for the project—

(i) to ensure the funds are used for the specific purposes for which the funds are intended; and

(ii) to mitigate waste, fraud, and abuse; and

(B) metrics to measure the progress and effectiveness of the project in meeting its objectives.

SEC. 1231. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER DEFENSE ARTICLES AND PROVIDE DEFENSE SERVICES TO THE MILITARY AND SECURITY FORCES OF AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (h) of section 1222 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1992) is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

(b) **QUARTERLY REPORTS.**—Subsection (f)(1) of such section is amended by striking “March 31, 2015” and inserting “March 31, 2016”.

(c) **EXCESS DEFENSE ARTICLES.**—Subsection (i)(2) of such section is amended by striking “and 2014” each place it appears and inserting “, 2014, and 2015”.

SEC. 1232. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO USE FUNDS FOR REINTEGRATION ACTIVITIES IN AFGHANISTAN.

Section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383; 124 Stat. 4392), as

most recently amended by section 1212 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 905), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (a)—

(A) by striking “\$25,000,000” and inserting “\$5,000,000”; and

(B) by striking “for fiscal year 2014” and inserting “for fiscal year 2015”; and

(2) in subsection (e), by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

SEC. 1233. CLEARANCE OF UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE ON FORMER UNITED STATES TRAINING RANGES IN AFGHANISTAN.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT CLEARANCE.**—Subject to subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense may, using funds specified in subsection (c), conduct surface and sub-surface clearance of unexploded ordnance at closed training ranges used by the Armed Forces of the United States in Afghanistan.

(b) **CONDITIONS ON AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **LIMITATION TO RANGES NOT TRANSFERRED TO AFGHANISTAN.**—The surface and sub-surface clearance of unexploded ordnance authorized under subsection (a) may only take place on training ranges managed and operated by the Armed Forces of the United States that have not been transferred to the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan for use by its armed forces.

(2) **LIMITATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.**—Funds expended for clearance pursuant to the authority in subsection (a) through September 30, 2016, may not exceed \$250,000,000.

(c) **FUNDS.**—The surface and sub-surface clearance of unexploded ordnance authorized by subsection (a) shall be paid for using amounts as follows:

(1) For fiscal year 2015, amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 1502 and available for operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.

(2) For fiscal year 2016, amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2016 for the Department of Defense as additional authorizations of appropriations for overseas contingency operations and available for operation and maintenance for overseas contingency operations.

(d) **UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “unexploded ordnance” has the meaning given that term in section 101(e)(5) of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 1234. REPORT ON IMPACT OF END OF MAJOR COMBAT OPERATIONS IN AFGHANISTAN ON AUTHORITY TO USE MILITARY FORCE.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Attorney General, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report setting forth an assessment of the impact, if any, of the end of major combat operations in Afghanistan on the authority of the Armed Forces of the United States to use military force, including the authority to detain, with regard to al Qaeda, the Taliban, and associated forces, pursuant to—

(1) the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40); and

(2) any other available legal authority.

(b) *FORM.*—The report under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on the Judiciary of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1235. REPORT ON BILATERAL SECURITY COOPERATION WITH PAKISTAN.

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and every six months thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on the nature and extent of bilateral security cooperation between the United States and Pakistan.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required under subsection (a) shall include, at a minimum, the following:

(1) A description of any strategic security objectives that the United States and Pakistan have agreed to pursue in cooperation.

(2) A description of programs or activities that the United States and Pakistan have jointly undertaken to pursue mutually agreed security cooperation objectives.

(3) A description and assessment of the effectiveness of efforts by Pakistan, unilaterally or jointly with the United States, to disrupt operations and eliminate safe havens of al Qaeda, Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan, and other militant extremist groups such as the Haqqani Network and the Quetta Shura Taliban located in Pakistan.

(4) A description and assessment of efforts by Pakistan, unilaterally or jointly with the United States, to counter the threat of improvised explosive devices and the networks involved in the acquisition, production, and delivery of such devices and their precursors and components.

(5) An assessment of the effectiveness of any United States security assistance to Pakistan to achieve the strategic security objectives described in paragraph (1).

(6) A description of any metrics used to assess the effectiveness of programs and activities described in paragraph (2).

(c) *FORM.*—The report required under subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) *SUNSET.*—The requirements in this section shall terminate on December 31, 2017.

(e) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Appropriations, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

(f) **REPEAL OF OBSOLETE AND SUPERSEDED REQUIREMENTS.**—Section 1232 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) is amended by striking subsections (a) and (c).

SEC. 1236. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO COUNTER THE ISLAMIC STATE IN IRAQ AND THE LEVANT.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary of Defense is authorized, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide assistance, including training, equipment, logistics support, supplies, and services, stipends, facility and infrastructure repair and renovation, and sustainment, to military and other security forces of or associated with the Government of Iraq, including Kurdish and tribal security forces or other local security forces, with a national security mission, through December 31, 2016, for the following purposes:*

(1) *Defending Iraq, its people, allies, and partner nations from the threat posed by the Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL) and groups supporting ISIL.*

(2) *Securing the territory of Iraq.*

(b) **NOTICE BEFORE PROVISION OF ASSISTANCE.**—*Of the funds authorized to be appropriated under this section, not more than 25 percent of such funds may be obligated or expended until not later than 15 days after—*

(1) *the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, submits to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and Senate a report, in unclassified form with a classified annex as appropriate, that contains a description of—*

(A) *the plan for providing such assistance;*

(B) *an identification of such forces designated to receive such assistance; and*

(C) *the plan for re-training and re-building such forces; and*

(2) *the President submits to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and Senate a report, in unclassified form with a classified annex as appropriate, that contains a description of how such assistance supports a larger regional strategy.*

(c) **PLAN ELEMENTS.**—*The plan required in subsection (a)(1) shall include, at a minimum, a description of—*

(1) *the goals and objectives of assistance authorized under subsection (a);*

(2) *the concept of operations, timelines, and types of training, equipment, stipends, sustainment, and supplies to be provided;*

(3) *the roles and contributions of partner nations;*

(4) *the number and role of United States Armed Forces personnel involved;*

(5) *any additional military support and sustainment activities; and*

(6) *any other relevant details.*

(d) **QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT.**—*Not later than 90 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits the report re-*

quired in subsection (b)(1), and every 30 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall provide the appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and the Senate with a progress report. Such progress report shall, based on the most recent quarterly information, include a description of the following:

(1) Any updates to or changes in the plan, strategy, process, vetting requirements and process as described in subsection (e), and end-use monitoring mechanisms and procedures.

(2) A description of how attacks against United States or coalition personnel are being mitigated, statistics on any such attacks, including “green-on-blue” attacks.

(3) A description of the forces receiving assistance authorized under subsection (a).

(4) A description of the recruitment, throughput, and retention rates of recipients and equipment.

(5) A description of any misuse or loss of provided equipment and how such misuse or loss is being mitigated.

(6) An assessment of the operational effectiveness of the forces receiving assistance authorized under subsection (a).

(7) A description of sustainment support provided to the forces authorized under subsection (a).

(8) A list of projects to repair or renovate facilities authorized under subsection (a).

(9) A statement of the amount of funds expended during the period for which the report is submitted.

(10) An assessment of the effectiveness of the assistance authorized under subsection (a).

(e) VETTING.—The Secretary of Defense should ensure that prior to providing assistance to elements of any forces described in subsection (a) such elements are appropriately vetted, including at a minimum, by—

(1) conducting assessments of such elements for associations with terrorist groups or groups associated with the Government of Iran; and

(2) receiving commitments from such elements to promote respect for human rights and the rule of law.

(f) DEFINITION.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

(g) FUNDING.—Of the amounts authorized to be appropriated in this Act for Overseas Contingency Operations in title XV for fiscal year 2015, there are authorized to be appropriated \$1,618,000,000 to carry out this section. Amounts authorized to be appropriated under this subsection are authorized to remain available until September 30, 2016.

(h) AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT CONTRIBUTIONS.—The Secretary of Defense may accept and retain contributions, including assistance in-kind, from foreign governments, including the Government of Iraq,

to provide assistance authorized under subsection (a). Any funds accepted by the Secretary may be credited to the account from which funds are made available for the provision of assistance authorized under subsection (a) and may be used for such purpose until expended.

(i) **CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORIZATION.**—Nothing in this section shall be construed to constitute a specific statutory authorization for the introduction of United States Armed Forces into hostilities or into situations wherein hostilities are clearly indicated by the circumstances.

(j) **WAIVER AUTHORITY.**—

(1) **BY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—For purposes of the provision of assistance pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense may waive any provision of law described in subparagraph (B) if the Secretary—

(i) determines that such provision of law would (but for the waiver) prohibit, restrict, delay, or otherwise limit the provision of such assistance; and

(ii) submits to the appropriate congressional committees a notice of and justification for the waiver and the provision of law to be waived.

(B) **PROVISIONS OF LAW.**—The provisions of law described in this subparagraph are the following:

(i) Any provision of law relating to the acquisition of items and support services.

(ii) Sections 40 and 40A of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2780 and 2785).

(2) **BY PRESIDENT.**—For purposes of the provision of assistance pursuant to subsection (a), the President may waive any provision of law other than a provision of law described in paragraph (1)(B) if the President determines that it is vital to the national security interests of the United States to waive such provision of law. Such waiver shall not take effect until 15 days after the date on which the President notifies the appropriate congressional committees of such determination and the provision of law to be waived.

(3) **REPORT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act the President shall transmit to the congressional defense committees a report that provides a specific list of provisions of law that need to be waived under this subsection for purposes of the provision of assistance pursuant to subsection (a) and a justification for each such waiver.

(B) **UPDATE.**—The President shall submit to the congressional defense committees an update of the report required by subparagraph (A) not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(k) **COST-SHARING REQUIREMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated under this subsection, not more than 60 percent of such funds may be obligated or expended until not later than 15 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense certifies to the appro-

appropriate congressional committees and leadership of the House of Representatives and the Senate that an amount equal to not less than 40 percent of the amount authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section has been contributed by other countries and entities for the purposes described in subsection (a), which may include contributions of in-kind support for forces described in subsection (a), as determined from October 1, 2014, of which not less than 50 percent of such amount contributed by other countries and entities has been contributed by the Government of Iraq.

(2) *EXCEPTION.*—The limitation in paragraph (1) shall not apply if the Secretary of Defense determines, in writing, that the national security objectives of the United States will be compromised by the application of the limitation to any such assistance, and notifies the appropriate congressional committees not less than 15 days in advance of the exemption taking effect, including a justification for the Secretary's determination and a description of the assistance to be exempted from the application of such limitation.

SEC. 1237. EXTENSION AND MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Subsection (f)(1) of section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1631; 10 U.S.C. 113 note), as most recently amended by section 1214 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 906; 10 U.S.C. 113 note), is further amended—

(1) by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”;

(2) by striking “non-operational”; and

(3) by striking “in an institutional environment” and inserting “at a base or facility of the Government of Iraq”.

(b) *AMOUNT AVAILABLE.*—Such section is further amended—

(1) in subsection (c), by striking “fiscal year 2014 may not exceed \$209,000,000” and inserting “fiscal year 2015 may not exceed \$140,000,000”; and

(2) in subsection (d), by striking “fiscal year 2014” and inserting “fiscal year 2015”.

Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation

SEC. 1241. LIMITATION ON MILITARY COOPERATION BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

(a) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be used for any bilateral military-to-military cooperation between the Governments of the United States and the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that—

(1) the Russian Federation has ceased its occupation of Ukrainian territory and its aggressive activities that threaten

the sovereignty and territorial integrity of Ukraine and members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization; and

(2) the Russian Federation is abiding by the terms of and taking steps in support of the Minsk Protocol, signed on September 5, 2014, regarding a ceasefire in eastern Ukraine.

(b) NONAPPLICABILITY.—The limitation in subsection (a) shall not apply to—

(1) any activities necessary to ensure the compliance of the United States with its obligations or the exercise of rights of the United States under any bilateral or multilateral arms control or nonproliferation agreement or any other treaty obligation of the United States; and

(2) any activities required to provide logistical or other support to the conduct of United States or North Atlantic Treaty Organization military operations in Afghanistan or the withdrawal from Afghanistan.

(c) WAIVER.—The Secretary of Defense may waive the limitation in subsection (a) if—

(1) the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State—

(A) determines that the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States; and

(B) submits to the appropriate congressional committees—

(i) a notification that the waiver is in the national security interest of the United States and a description of the national security interest covered by the waiver; and

(ii) a report explaining why the Secretary of Defense cannot make the certification under subsection (a); and

(2) a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, submits the information in the report under subparagraph (B)(ii).

(d) EXCEPTION FOR CERTAIN MILITARY BASES.—The certification requirement specified in paragraph (1) of subsection (a) shall not apply to military bases of the Russian Federation in Ukraine’s Crimean peninsula operating in accordance with its 1997 agreement on the Status and Conditions of the Black Sea Fleet Stationing on the Territory of Ukraine.

(e) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(f) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section takes effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and applies with respect to funds described in subsection (a) that are unobligated on or after such date of enactment.

SEC. 1242. NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSAL TO MODIFY OR INTRODUCE NEW AIRCRAFT OR SENSORS FOR FLIGHT BY THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION UNDER OPEN SKIES TREATY.

(a) *NOTIFICATION.*—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Russian Federation submits to the States Parties to the Open Skies Treaty a proposal to modify or introduce a new aircraft or sensor for flight by the Russian Federation under the Open Skies Treaty, the President shall notify the appropriate committees of Congress of such proposal and the relevant details thereof.

(b) *ASSESSMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 30 days prior to the date on which the United States intends to agree to a proposal described in subsection (a), the Director of National Intelligence, jointly with the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress an assessment of such proposal on the national security of the United States.

(2) *ADDITIONAL ELEMENT.*—The assessment required by paragraph (1) shall include a description of any plans of the United States to mitigate the effect of the proposal on the national security of the United States, including an analysis of the cost and effectiveness of any such plans.

(3) *FORM.*—The assessment required by paragraph (1) may be submitted in classified or unclassified form as appropriate.

(c) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.*—The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(A) the congressional defense committees;

(B) the Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(C) the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(2) *OPEN SKIES TREATY.*—The term “Open Skies Treaty” means the Treaty on Open Skies, done at Helsinki March 24, 1992, and entered into force January 1, 2002.

SEC. 1243. LIMITATIONS ON PROVIDING CERTAIN MISSILE DEFENSE INFORMATION TO THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

Section 1246(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 923) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “2016” and inserting “2017”;

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by inserting after “2014” the following: “or 2015”; and

(B) by adding at the end before the period the following: “or information relating to velocity at burnout of United States missile defense interceptors or targets”; and

(3) in paragraph (3), by inserting “and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives” after “congressional defense committees”.

SEC. 1244. REPORT ON NON-COMPLIANCE BY THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION WITH ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE INF TREATY.

(a) *FINDINGS.*—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) *It was the object and purpose of the INF Treaty to eliminate the production or deployment of ground launched ballistic and cruise missiles with a range of between 500 and 5,500 kilometers, which was accomplished in 1992.*

(2) *The July 2014 Department of State annual report on “Adherence to and Compliance with Arms Control, Nonproliferation, and Disarmament Agreements and Commitments” stated that “The United States has determined that the Russian Federation is in violation of its obligations under the INF Treaty not to possess, produce, or flight-test a ground-launched cruise missile (GLCM) with a range capability of 500km to 5,500km, or to possess or produce launchers of such missiles.”*

(3) *In a letter to the Senate Armed Services Committee dated October 23, 2014, General Martin Dempsey, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, wrote “these violations are a serious challenge to the security of the United States and our allies. These actions, particularly when placed in the broader context of Russian regional aggression, must be met with a strategic response.”*

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) *the Russian Federation’s actions in violation of its obligations under the INF Treaty adversely affect the national security of the United States and its allies, including the members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and those in East Asia;*

(2) *the Government of the Russian Federation is responsible for this violation and also for returning to compliance with the INF Treaty;*

(3) *it is in the national security interests of the United States and its allies for the INF Treaty to remain in effect and for the Russian Federation to return to full and verifiable compliance with all its obligations under the INF Treaty; and*

(4) *as identified in section 1061 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 865), the President should take appropriate actions to resolve the issues relating to noncompliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty.*

(c) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on noncompliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) *An assessment of the effect of Russian noncompliance on the national security interests of the United States and its allies, including the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, and those in East Asia.*

(B) *A description of the President’s plan to resolve issues related to Russian noncompliance, including—*

(i) actions that have been taken, and what further actions are planned or warranted by the United States;

(ii) plans to address Russian noncompliance diplomatically with the Russian Federation to resolve concerns about such noncompliance and bring Russia back into full compliance with the INF Treaty;

(iii) an assessment of possible steps (including verification measures) that would permit confidence that the Russian Federation has returned to full compliance; and

(iv) the status of any United States efforts to develop coordinated or cooperative responses with allies.

(C) An assessment of whether Russian noncompliance threatens the viability of the INF Treaty, whether such noncompliance constitutes a material breach of the INF Treaty, and whether it is in the interests of the United States to remain a party to the INF Treaty if such noncompliance continues.

(3) FORM.—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—At the time of the submission of the report required under subsection (c), and every six months thereafter until the date on which the Russian Federation is in compliance with its obligations under the INF Treaty, the Secretary of State, jointly with the Secretary of Defense and the heads of such other departments or agencies as appropriate, shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on the status of United States efforts to resolve its concerns relating to noncompliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty.

(e) NOTIFICATION.—In the event the President determines that the Russian Federation has deployed, or intends to deploy, systems that violate the INF Treaty, the President shall promptly notify the appropriate congressional committees of such determination and any plans to respond to such deployments.

(f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the congressional defense committees;

(B) the Committee on Foreign Relations and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(C) the Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(2) INF TREATY.—The term “INF Treaty” means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, commonly referred to as the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed at Washington December 8, 1987, and entered into force June 1, 1988.

SEC. 1245. ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than June 1 of each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report, in both classified and unclassified form, on the security and military strategies and capabilities of the Russian Federation (in this section referred to as “Russia”).

(b) *MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.*—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of the security priorities and objectives of Russia, including those priorities and objectives that would affect the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), the Middle East, and the People’s Republic of China.

(2) A description of the goals and factors shaping Russian security strategy and military strategy, including military spending and investment priorities and their alignment with the security priorities and objectives described in paragraph (1).

(3) An assessment of the force structure of the Russian military.

(4) A description of Russia’s current missile defense strategy and capabilities, including efforts to develop missile defense capabilities.

(5) A description of developments in Russian military doctrine and training.

(6) An assessment of the tactics, techniques, and procedures used by Russia in operations in Ukraine.

(7) An assessment of the proliferation activities of Russia and Russian entities, as a supplier of materials, technologies, or expertise relating to nuclear weapons or other weapons of mass destruction or missile systems.

(8) A description of Russia’s asymmetric capabilities, including its strategy and efforts to develop and deploy electronic warfare, space and counterspace, and cyber warfare capabilities, including details on the number of malicious cyber incidents and associated activities against Department of Defense networks that are known or suspected to have been conducted or directed by the Government of the Russian Federation.

(9) A description of Russia’s nuclear strategy and associated doctrines and nuclear capabilities, including the size and state of Russia’s nuclear weapons stockpile, its nuclear weapons production capacities, and plans for developing its nuclear capabilities.

(10) A description of Russia’s anti-access and area denial capabilities.

(11) A description of Russia’s modernization program for its command, control, communications, computers, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance program and its applications for Russia’s precision guided weapons.

(12) In consultation with the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of State, developments regarding United States-Russian engagement and cooperation on security matters.

(13) The current state of United States military-to-military cooperation with Russia’s armed forces, which shall include the following:

(A) A comprehensive and coordinated strategy for such military-to-military cooperation.

(B) A summary of all such military-to-military cooperation during the one-year period ending on the day before the date of submission of the report, including a summary of topics discussed.

(C) A description of such military-to-military cooperation planned for the 12-month period beginning on the date of submission of the report.

(D) An assessment by the Secretary of Defense of the benefits that Russia expects to gain from such military-to-military cooperation.

(E) An assessment by the Secretary of Defense of the benefits the Department of Defense expects to gain from such military-to-military cooperation, and any concerns regarding such cooperation.

(F) An assessment by the Secretary of Defense of how such military-to-military cooperation fits into the larger security relationship between the United States and Russia.

(14) A description of changes to United States policy on military-to-military contacts with Russia resulting from Russia's annexation of Crimea.

(15) Other military and security developments involving Russia that the Secretary of Defense considers relevant to United States national security.

(c) **NONDUPLICATION.**—If any information required under subsection (b) has been included in another report or notification previously submitted to Congress as required by law, the Secretary of Defense may provide a list of such reports and notifications at the time of submitting the report required by subsection (a) in lieu of including such information in the report required by subsection (a).

(d) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(e) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.**—Section 10 of the Support for the Sovereignty, Integrity, Democracy, and Economic Stability of Ukraine Act of 2014 (Public Law 113–95) is repealed.

(f) **SUNSET.**—This section shall terminate on June 1, 2018.

SEC. 1246. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO ENTER INTO CONTRACTS OR OTHER AGREEMENTS WITH ROSOBORONEXPORT.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for fiscal year 2015 may be used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, to make a grant to, or to provide a loan or loan guarantee to Rosoboronexport or a subsidiary that is publicly known to be controlled by Rosoboronexport.

(b) **WAIVER.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary of Defense may waive the application of subsection (a) with respect to a contract or other agreement for the supply of spare parts

for, or conduct of any other activity related to, the maintenance of helicopters operated by the Afghan National Security Forces or otherwise purchased by the Department of Defense only if, prior to issuing the waiver, the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a certification described in paragraph (2).

(2) **CERTIFICATION.**—A certification referred to in paragraph (1) is a certification that contains the following:

(A) A determination of the Commander of United States forces in Afghanistan that—

(i) the supply of spare parts or conduct of the related activity is critical to the success of the mission of the Afghan National Security Forces in Afghanistan; and

(ii) the failure to supply spare parts or conduct the related activity would have a negative impact on the mission of United States forces in Afghanistan.

(B) A determination of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics that no practicable alternative exists to entering into such contract or other agreement for supply of spare parts or conduct of the related activity.

(C) A determination of the Secretary of Defense, after consideration of the determinations described in subparagraphs (A) and (B), that the waiver is in the national security interests of the United States.

(3) **INITIAL LIMITATION.**—The Secretary of Defense may exercise the authority of paragraph (1) beginning on or after the date on which the Secretary submits the report required by the matter relating to section 1531 in the Joint Explanatory Statement to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (H.R. 3304, One Hundred Thirteenth Congress) regarding the potential to incorporate United States-manufactured rotary wing aircraft into the Afghan National Security Forces after the current program of record is completed.

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the following:

(1) A list of known transfers of lethal military equipment by Rosoboronexport to the Government of the Syria since March 15, 2011.

(2) A list of known contracts, if any, that Rosoboronexport has signed with the Government of the Syria since March 15, 2011.

(3) A list of existing contracts, subcontracts, memoranda of understanding, cooperative agreements, grants, loans, and loan guarantees between the Department of Defense and Rosoboronexport, including a description of the transactions, signing dates, values, and quantities.

(4) A discussion of what role, if any, Rosoboronexport has had in providing military weapons, including heavy weapons, to the rebel forces in eastern Ukraine.

SEC. 1247. REPORT ON THE NEW START TREATY.

(a) **FINDINGS.**—Congress makes the following findings:

(1) *There have been significant changes in the geopolitical environment during 2014, including developments that pose a challenge to the national security interests of the United States.*

(2) *The July 2014 Department of State annual report on “Adherence to and Compliance with Arms Control, Nonproliferation, and Disarmament Agreements and Commitments” stated that “The United States has determined that the Russian Federation is in violation of its obligations under the INF Treaty not to possess, produce, or flight-test a ground-launched cruise missile (GLCM) with a range capability of 500km to 5,500km, or to possess or produce launchers of such missiles.”*

(3) *The July 2014 Department of State “Annual Report on Implementation of the New START Treaty” stated that “Based on the information available as of December 31, 2013, the United States certifies the Russian Federation to be in compliance with the terms of the New START Treaty.”*

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report stating the reasons continued implementation of the New START Treaty is in the national security interests of the United States.*

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—*The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

(A) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and*

(B) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

(2) **INF TREATY.**—*The term “INF Treaty” means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, commonly referred to as the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed at Washington December 8, 1987, and entered into force June 1, 1988.*

(3) **NEW START TREATY.**—*The term “New START Treaty” means the Treaty between the United States of America and the Russian Federation on Measures for the Further Reduction and Limitation of Strategic Offensive Arms, signed on April 8, 2010, and entered into force on February 5, 2011.*

Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region

SEC. 1251. STRATEGY TO PRIORITIZE UNITED STATES DEFENSE INTERESTS IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.

(a) **REQUIRED REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that contains the strategy of the Department of Defense to prioritize United States defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region.*

(2) *MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall address the following:

(A) *United States national security interests in the Asia-Pacific region.*

(B) *The security environment, including threats to global and regional United States national security interests emanating from the Asia-Pacific region, including efforts by the People's Republic of China to advance their national interests in the Asia-Pacific region.*

(C) *Regional multilateral institutions, such as the Association of Southeast Asia Nations (ASEAN).*

(D) *Bilateral security cooperation relationships, including military-to-military engagements and security assistance.*

(E) *United States military presence, posture, and capabilities supporting the rebalance to the Asia-Pacific region.*

(F) *Humanitarian and disaster relief response capabilities.*

(G) *International rules-based structures.*

(H) *Actions the Department of Defense could take, in cooperation with other Federal agencies, to advance United States national security interests in the Asia-Pacific region.*

(I) *Any other matters the Secretary of Defense determines to be appropriate.*

(3) *FORM.*—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex if necessary.

(b) *RESOURCES.*—The report required by subsection (a)(1) shall be informed by the results of the integrated, multi-year planning and budget strategy for a rebalancing of United States policy in Asia submitted to Congress pursuant to section 7043(a) of the Department of State, Foreign Operations, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 2014 (division K of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2014 (Public Law 113–76; 128 Stat. 533)).

(c) *ANNUAL BUDGET.*—The President, acting through the Director of the Office of Management and Budget, shall ensure that the annual budget submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, clearly highlights programs and projects that are being funded in the annual budget of the United States Government that relate to the strategy required by subsection (a)(1) and the integrated strategy referred to in subsection (b).

SEC. 1252. MODIFICATIONS TO ANNUAL REPORT ON MILITARY AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA.

(a) *MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.*—Subsection (b)(14) of section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 781; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is amended by striking “their response” and inserting “their capabilities, organizational affiliations, roles within China's overall maritime strategy, activities affecting United States allies and partners, and responses”.

(b) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendment made by this section takes effect on the date of the enactment of this Act and applies with respect to reports required to be submitted under subsection (a) of sec-

tion 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 on or after that date.

SEC. 1253. MILITARY-TO-MILITARY ENGAGEMENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF BURMA.

(a) *AUTHORIZATION.*—The Department of Defense is authorized to provide the Government of Burma the following:

(1) Consultation, education, and training on human rights, the laws of armed conflict, civilian control of the military, rule of law, and other legal matters.

(2) Consultation, education, and training on English-language, humanitarian and disaster relief, and improvements to medical and health standards.

(3) Courses or workshops on defense institution reform.

(4) Observer status to bilateral or multilateral humanitarian assistance and disaster relief exercises.

(5) Aid or support in the event of a humanitarian crisis or natural disaster.

(b) *ANNUAL REPORTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and each March 1 thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on military-to-military engagement between the United States Armed Forces and the Burmese military.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—Each report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A description of the military-to-military activities between the United States and Burma, and how engagement with the Burmese military supports the United States national security strategy and promotes reform in Burma.

(B) A description of the objectives of the United States for developing the military-to-military relationship with the Burmese military, how the United States measures progress toward such objectives, and the implications of failing to achieve such objectives.

(C) A description and assessment of the political, military, economic, and civil society reforms being undertaken by the Government of Burma, including those affecting—

(i) individual freedoms and human rights of the Burmese people, including those of ethnic and religious minorities and internally displaced populations;

(ii) the peaceful settlement of armed conflicts between the Government of Burma and ethnic minority groups in Burma;

(iii) civilian control of the armed forces;

(iv) constitutional and electoral reforms;

(v) access for the purposes of human rights monitoring and humanitarian assistance to all areas in Burma, and cooperation with civilian authorities to investigate and resolve cases of human rights violations;

(vi) governmental transparency and accountability; and

(vii) respect for the laws of armed conflict and human rights, including with respect to child soldiers.

- (D) A description and assessment of relationships of the Government of Burma with unlawful or sanctioned entities.
- (3) *FORM.*—Each report under this subsection shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.
- (4) *SUNSET.*—The requirement to submit additional reports under this subsection shall terminate at the end of the 5-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (c) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—No Department of Defense assistance to the Government of Burma is authorized by this Act except as provided in this section.
- (d) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—
- (1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and
 - (2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1254. REPORT ON DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MUNITIONS STRATEGY OF THE UNITED STATES PACIFIC COMMAND.

- (a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than April 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the munitions strategy of the United States Pacific Command to address deficiencies in the ability of the United States Pacific Command to execute major operational plans.
- (b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:
- (1) An identification of current and projected critical munitions requirements, including as identified in the most-recent future-years defense program submitted to Congress by the Secretary of Defense pursuant to section 221 of title 10, United States Code.
 - (2) An assessment of—
 - (A) significant munitions gaps and deficiencies; and
 - (B) munitions capabilities and necessary munitions investments to address identified gaps and deficiencies.
 - (3) A description of current and planned munitions programs to address munitions gaps and deficiencies identified in paragraph (2), including with respect to—
 - (A) research, development, test, and evaluation efforts;
 - (B) cost, schedule, performance, and budget, to the extent such information is available; and
 - (C) known industrial base issues.
 - (4) An assessment of infrastructure deficiencies or needed enhancements to ensure adequate munitions storage and munitions deployment capability.
 - (5) Any other matters concerning the munitions strategy of the United States Pacific Command the Secretary of Defense determines to be appropriate.
- (c) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (a) may be submitted in classified or unclassified form.

SEC. 1255. MISSILE DEFENSE COOPERATION IN NORTHEAST ASIA.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that increased cooperation on missile defense among the United States, Japan, and the Republic of Korea would enhance the security of allies of the United States in Northeast Asia, increase the defense of forward-based forces of the United States, and enhance the protection of the United States with regard to threats from the Korean Peninsula.

(b) *ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment to identify opportunities for increasing missile defense cooperation among the United States, Japan, and the Republic of Korea, and to evaluate options for enhanced short-range missile, rocket, and artillery defense capabilities to address threats from the Korean Peninsula.

(c) *ELEMENTS.*—The assessment under subsection (b) shall include the following:

(1) Candidate areas for increasing missile defense cooperation, including greater information sharing, systems integration, and joint operations.

(2) Potential challenges and limitations to enabling such cooperation and options for mitigating such challenges and limitations.

(3) An assessment of the utility of short-range missile defense and counter-rocket, artillery, and mortar system capabilities on the Korean Peninsula, including with respect to—

(A) meeting the military needs for defense of the Korean Peninsula;

(B) cost, schedule, and availability;

(C) technology maturity and risk; and

(D) consideration of alternatives.

(4) Such other matters as the Secretary of Defense determines to be appropriate.

(d) *BRIEFING REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the assessment under subsection (b).

SEC. 1256. SENSE OF CONGRESS AND REPORT ON TAIWAN AND ITS CONTRIBUTION TO REGIONAL PEACE AND STABILITY.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that the United States reaffirms its security commitments under the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96–8) as the cornerstone of United States relations with Taiwan and as a key instrument of peace, security, and stability in the Taiwan Strait since the enactment of such Act in 1979.

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than December 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the self-defense capabilities of Taiwan.

(c) *ELEMENTS.*—The report required by subsection (b) shall contain the following:

(1) A description of the key assumptions made regarding the impact of the Chinese People's Liberation Army on the maritime

or territorial security of Taiwan, including the Chinese People's Liberation Army's—

- (A) undersea and surface warfare capabilities in the littoral areas in and around the Taiwan Strait;
 - (B) amphibious and heavy sealift capabilities;
 - (C) capabilities to establish air dominance over Taiwan;
 - and
 - (D) capabilities of the Second Artillery Corps.
- (2) An assessment of the force posture, capabilities, and readiness of the armed forces of Taiwan for maintaining the maritime or territorial security of Taiwan, including an assessment of Taiwan's—
- (A) undersea and surface warfare capabilities;
 - (B) air and land-based capabilities;
 - (C) early warning and command and control capabilities;
 - and
 - (D) other deterrent, anti-access and area-denial capabilities, or asymmetric capabilities that could contribute to Taiwan's self-defense.
- (3) Recommendations for further security cooperation and assistance efforts between Taiwan and the United States.

(4) Any other matters the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(d) **FORM.**—The report required by subsection (b) may be submitted in classified or unclassified form.

(e) **NONDUPLICATION OF EFFORTS.**—If any information required under subsection (c) has been included in another report or notification previously submitted to Congress as required by law, the Secretary of Defense may provide a list of such reports and notifications at the time of submitting the report required by subsection (b) in lieu of including such information.

SEC. 1257. INDEPENDENT ASSESSMENT OF THE ABILITY OF THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO COUNTER ANTI-ACCESS AND AREA-DENIAL STRATEGIES, CAPABILITIES, AND OTHER KEY TECHNOLOGIES OF POTENTIAL ADVERSARIES.

(a) **ASSESSMENT REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall enter into an agreement with an independent entity to conduct an assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to counter anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies of potential adversaries.

(2) **MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.**—The assessment required under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An assessment of anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies of potential adversaries during each of the fiscal year periods described in paragraph (3) that would represent a significant challenge to deployed forces and systems of the United States military, including an assessment of the extent to which such strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies could affect United States military operations.

(B) An assessment of gaps and deficiencies in the ability of the Department of Defense to address anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key tech-

nologies described in subparagraph (A), including an assessment of the adequacy of current strategies, programs, and investments of the Department of Defense.

(C) Recommendations for adjustments in United States policy and strategy, force posture, investments in capabilities, systems and technologies, and changes in business and management processes, or other novel approaches to address gaps and deficiencies described in subparagraph (B), or to restore, maintain, or expand United States military technological advantages, particularly in those areas in which potential adversaries are closing gaps or have achieved technological superiority with respect to the United States.

(D) Any other matters the independent entity determines to be appropriate.

(3) **FISCAL YEAR PERIODS DESCRIBED.**—The fiscal year periods described in this paragraph are the following:

(A) Fiscal years 2015 through 2019.

(B) Fiscal years 2020 through 2030.

(C) Fiscal years 2031 and thereafter.

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 1, 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes the assessment required under subsection (a) and any other matters the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(2) **FORM.**—The report required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain a classified annex if necessary.

(c) **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPORT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall provide the independent entity described in subsection (a) with timely access to appropriate information, data, resources, and analysis so that the entity may conduct a thorough and independent assessment as required under subsection (a).

SEC. 1258. SENSE OF CONGRESS REAFFIRMING SECURITY COOPERATION WITH JAPAN AND THE REPUBLIC OF KOREA.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States values its alliances with the Governments of Japan and the Republic of Korea as cornerstones of peace and security in the region, based on shared values of democracy, the rule of law, free and open markets, and respect for human rights;

(2) the United States welcomes Japan's new policy of collective self-defense, which will enable Japan to contribute more proactively to regional and global peace and security, as well as Japan's recent increases in defense funding, adoption of a National Security Strategy, and formation of security institutions such as the Japanese National Security Council;

(3) the United States reaffirms its commitment to the Government of Japan under Article V of the Treaty of Mutual Cooperation and Security between the United States of America and Japan that “[e]ach Party recognizes that an armed attack against either Party in the territories under the administration of Japan would be dangerous to its own peace and safety and

declares that it would act to meet the common danger in accordance with its constitutional provisions and processes”;

(4) the United States welcomes the Republic of Korea’s ratification of a new five-year Special Measures Agreement, which establishes the framework for Republic of Korea contributions to offset costs associated with the stationing of United States forces in the Republic of Korea, as well as efforts by the Republic of Korea to enhance its defense capabilities, including its recent decision to acquire surveillance and strike capabilities;

(5) the United States and the Republic of Korea share deep concerns that the nuclear and ballistic missiles programs of the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea and its repeated provocations pose grave threats to peace and stability on the Korean Peninsula and to Northeast Asia, that the United States and the Republic of Korea and will work together to achieve the peaceful denuclearization of the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea, and that the United States and the Republic of Korea remain fully committed to continuing close cooperation on the full range of issues related to the Democratic People’s Republic of Korea; and

(6) the United States welcomes greater security cooperation with, and among, Japan and the Republic of Korea to promote mutual interests and to address shared concerns.

SEC. 1259. REPORT ON MARITIME SECURITY STRATEGY IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report that outlines the strategy of the Department of Defense with regard to maritime security in the Asia-Pacific region, with particular emphasis on the South China Sea and the East China Sea.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall outline the strategy described in that subsection and include the following:

(1) An assessment of how the actions of the People’s Republic of China in the South China Sea and the East China Sea have affected the status quo with regard to competing territorial and maritime claims and United States security interests in those seas.

(2) An assessment of how the naval and other maritime strategies and capabilities of the People’s Republic of China, including military and law enforcement capabilities, affect the strategy in the Asia-Pacific region.

(3) An assessment of how anti-access and area denial strategies and capabilities of the People’s Republic of China in the Asia-Pacific region, including weapons and technologies, affect the strategy.

(4) A description of any ongoing or planned changes in United States military capabilities, operations, and posture in the Asia-Pacific region to support the strategy.

(5) A description of any current or planned bilateral or regional naval or maritime capacity-building initiatives in the Asia-Pacific region.

(6) An assessment of how the strategy leverages military-to-military engagements between the United States and the People's Republic of China to reduce the potential for miscalculation and tensions in the South China Sea and the East China Sea, including a specific description of the effects of such engagements on particular incidents or interactions involving the People's Republic of China in those seas.

(7) Any other matters the Secretary may determine to be appropriate.

(c) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1259A. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON TAIWAN MARITIME CAPABILITIES AND EXERCISE PARTICIPATION.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States should consider opportunities to help enhance the maritime capabilities and nautical skills of the Taiwanese navy that may contribute to Taiwan's self-defense and to regional peace and stability; and

(2) the People's Republic of China and Taiwan should be afforded opportunities to participate in the humanitarian assistance and disaster relief portions of future multilateral exercises, such as the Pacific Partnership, Pacific Angel, and Rim of the Pacific (RIMPAC) exercises, to increase their respective capacities to conduct these types of operations.

SEC. 1259B. MODIFICATION OF MATTERS FOR DISCUSSION IN ANNUAL REPORTS OF UNITED STATES-CHINA ECONOMIC AND SECURITY REVIEW COMMISSION.

(a) *MATTERS FOR DISCUSSION.*—Section 1238(c)(2) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106–398; 22 U.S.C. 7002(c)(2)) is amended by striking subparagraphs (A) through (J) and inserting the following new subparagraphs:

“(A) The role of the People's Republic of China in the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction and other weapon systems (including systems and technologies of a dual use nature), including actions the United States might take to encourage the People's Republic of China to cease such practices.

“(B) The qualitative and quantitative nature of the transfer of United States production activities to the People's Republic of China, including the relocation of manufacturing, advanced technology and intellectual property, and research and development facilities, the impact of such transfers on the national security of the United States (including the dependence of the national security industrial base of the United States on imports from China), the economic security of the United States, and employment in the United States, and the adequacy of United States export control laws in relation to the People's Republic of China.

“(C) The effects of the need for energy and natural resources in the People's Republic of China on the foreign

and military policies of the People's Republic of China, the impact of the large and growing economy of the People's Republic of China on world energy and natural resource supplies, prices, and the environment, and the role the United States can play (including through joint research and development efforts and technological assistance) in influencing the energy and natural resource policies of the People's Republic of China.

“(D) Foreign investment by the United States in the People's Republic of China and by the People's Republic of China in the United States, including an assessment of its economic and security implications, the challenges to market access confronting potential United States investment in the People's Republic of China, and foreign activities by financial institutions in the People's Republic of China.

“(E) The military plans, strategy and doctrine of the People's Republic of China, the structure and organization of the People's Republic of China military, the decision-making process of the People's Republic of China military, the interaction between the civilian and military leadership in the People's Republic of China, the development and promotion process for leaders in the People's Republic of China military, deployments of the People's Republic of China military, resources available to the People's Republic of China military (including the development and execution of budgets and the allocation of funds), force modernization objectives and trends for the People's Republic of China military, and the implications of such objectives and trends for the national security of the United States.

“(F) The strategic economic and security implications of the cyber capabilities and operations of the People's Republic of China.

“(G) The national budget, fiscal policy, monetary policy, capital controls, and currency management practices of the People's Republic of China, their impact on internal stability in the People's Republic of China, and their implications for the United States.

“(H) The drivers, nature, and implications of the growing economic, technological, political, cultural, people-to-people, and security relations of the People's Republic of China's with other countries, regions, and international and regional entities (including multilateral organizations), including the relationship among the United States, Taiwan, and the People's Republic of China.

“(I) The compliance of the People's Republic of China with its commitments to the World Trade Organization, other multilateral commitments, bilateral agreements signed with the United States, commitments made to bilateral science and technology programs, and any other commitments and agreements strategic to the United States (including agreements on intellectual property rights and prison labor imports), and United States enforcement policies with respect to such agreements.

“(J) The implications of restrictions on speech and access to information in the People’s Republic of China for its relations with the United States in economic and security policy, as well as any potential impact of media control by the People’s Republic of China on United States economic interests.

“(K) The safety of food, drug, and other products imported from China, the measures used by the People’s Republic of China Government and the United States Government to monitor and enforce product safety, and the role the United States can play (including through technical assistance) to improve product safety in the People’s Republic of China.”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall take effect on the date of the enactment of this Act, and shall apply with respect to annual reports submitted under section 1238(c) of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 after such date of enactment.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

SEC. 1261. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION FOR NON-CONVENTIONAL ASSISTED RECOVERY CAPABILITIES.

(a) **EXTENSION.**—Subsection (h) of section 943 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4579), as most recently amended by section 1241 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 920), is further amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2016”.

(b) **CROSS-REFERENCE AMENDMENT.**—Subsection (f) of such section is amended by striking “413b(e)” and inserting “3093(e)”.

SEC. 1262. MODIFICATION OF NATIONAL SECURITY PLANNING GUIDANCE TO DENY SAFE HAVENS TO AL-QAEDA AND ITS VIOLENT EXTREMIST AFFILIATES.

(a) **MODIFICATION.**—Section 1032(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1571; 50 U.S.C. 3043 note) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by redesignating subparagraph (C), (D), and (E) as subparagraph (D), (E), and (F), respectively;

(B) by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:

“(C) For each specified geographic area, a description of the following:

“(i) The feasibility of conducting multilateral programs to train and equip the military forces of relevant countries in the area.

“(ii) The authority and funding that would be required to support such programs.

“(iii) How such programs would be implemented.

“(iv) How such programs would support the national security priorities and interests of the United States and complement other efforts of the United States Government in the area and in other specified geographic areas.”; and

(C) in subparagraph (F) (as redesignated), by striking “subparagraph (C)” and inserting “subparagraph (D)”; and (2) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “paragraph (2)(C)” and inserting “paragraph (2)(D)”.

(b) *REPORT.*—Section 1032(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1571; 50 U.S.C. 3043 note), as amended by subsection (a), is further amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraph (4) as paragraph (5); and
(2) by inserting after paragraph (3) the following:

“(4) *REPORT.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that contains a detailed summary of the national security planning guidance required under paragraph (1), including any updates thereto.

“(B) *FORM.*—The report may include a classified annex as determined to be necessary by the President.

“(C) *DEFINITION.*—In this paragraph, the term ‘appropriate congressional committees’ means—

“(i) the congressional defense committees; and

“(ii) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.”.

SEC. 1263. ENHANCED AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE GOODS AND SERVICES OF DJIBOUTI IN SUPPORT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACTIVITIES IN UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States forces should continue to be forward postured in Africa and in the Middle East;

(2) Djibouti is in a strategic location to support United States vital national security interests in the region;

(3) the United States should take definitive steps to maintain its basing access and agreements with the Government of Djibouti to support United States vital national security interests in the region;

(4) the United States should devise and implement a comprehensive governmental approach to engaging with the Government of Djibouti to reinforce the strategic partnership between the United States and Djibouti; and

(5) the Secretary of State and the Administrator of the United States Agency for International Development, in conjunction with the Secretary of Defense, should take concrete steps to advance and strengthen the relationship between United States and the Government of Djibouti.

(b) *AUTHORITY.*—In the case of a good or service to be acquired in direct support of covered activities for which the Secretary of Defense makes a determination described in subsection (c), the Secretary may conduct a procurement in which—

(1) competition is limited to goods of Djibouti or services of Djibouti; or

(2) a preference is provided for goods of Djibouti or services of Djibouti.

(c) DETERMINATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—A determination described in this subsection is a determination by the Secretary of either of the following:

(A) That the good or service concerned is to be used only in support of covered activities.

(B) That it is vital to the national security interests of the United States to limit competition or provide a preference as described in subsection (b) because such limitation or preference is necessary—

(i) to reduce—

(I) United States transportation costs; or

(II) delivery times in support of covered activities; or

(ii) to promote regional security, stability, and economic prosperity in Africa.

(C) That the good or service is of equivalent quality of a good or service that would have otherwise been acquired.

(2) ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENT.—A determination under paragraph (1)(B) shall not be effective for purposes of a limitation or preference under subsection (b) unless the Secretary also determines that the limitation or preference will not adversely affect—

(A) United States military operations or stability operations in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility; or

(B) the United States industrial base.

(d) REPORTING AND OVERSIGHT.—In exercising the authority under subsection (b) to procure goods or services in support of covered activities, the Secretary of Defense—

(1) in the case of the procurement of services, shall ensure that the procurement is conducted in accordance with the management structure implemented pursuant to section 2330(a) of title 10, United States Code;

(2) shall ensure that such goods or services are identified and reported under a single, joint Department of Defense-wide system for the management and accountability of contractors accompanying United States forces operating overseas or in contingency operations (such as the synchronized predeployment and operational tracker (SPOT) system); and

(3) shall ensure that the United States Africa Command has sufficiently trained staff and adequate resources to conduct oversight of procurements carried out pursuant to subsection (b), including oversight to detect and deter fraud, waste, and abuse.

(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) COVERED ACTIVITIES.—The term “covered activities” means Department of Defense activities in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility.

(2) GOOD OF DJIBOUTI.—The term “good of Djibouti” means a good wholly the growth, product, or manufacture of Djibouti.

(3) *SERVICE OF DJIBOUTI.*—The term “service of Djibouti” means a service performed by a person that—

(A)(i) is operating primarily in Djibouti; or

(ii) is making a significant contribution to the economy of Djibouti through payment of taxes or use of products, materials, or labor of Djibouti, as determined by the Secretary of State; and

(B) is properly licensed or registered by authorities of the Government of Djibouti, as determined by the Secretary of State.

(f) *TERMINATION.*—The authority and requirements of this section expire at the close of September 30, 2018.

SEC. 1264. TREATMENT OF THE KURDISTAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND THE PATRIOTIC UNION OF KURDISTAN UNDER THE IMMIGRATION AND NATIONALITY ACT.

(a) *REMOVAL OF THE KURDISTAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND THE PATRIOTIC UNION OF KURDISTAN FROM TREATMENT AS TERRORIST ORGANIZATIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), the Kurdistan Democratic Party and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan shall be excluded from the definition of terrorist organization (as defined in section 212(a)(3)(B)(vi)(III) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(3)(B)(vi)(III))) for purposes of such section 212(a)(3)(B).

(2) *EXCEPTION.*—The Secretary of State, after consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Attorney General, or the Secretary of Homeland Security, after consultation with the Secretary of State and the Attorney General, may suspend the application of paragraph (1) for either or both of the groups referred to in paragraph (1) in such Secretary’s sole and unreviewable discretion. Prior to or contemporaneous with such suspension, the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Homeland Security shall report their reasons for suspension to the Committees on Judiciary of the House of Representatives and of the Senate, the Committees on Appropriations in the House of Representatives and of the Senate, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate.

(b) *RELIEF REGARDING ADMISSIBILITY OF NONIMMIGRANT ALIENS ASSOCIATED WITH THE KURDISTAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND THE PATRIOTIC UNION OF KURDISTAN.*—

(1) *FOR ACTIVITIES OPPOSING THE BA’ATH REGIME.*—Paragraph (3)(B) of section 212(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(3)(B)) shall not apply to an alien with respect to activities undertaken in association with the Kurdistan Democratic Party or the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan in opposition to the regime of the Arab Socialist Ba’ath Party and the autocratic dictatorship of Saddam Hussein in Iraq.

(2) *FOR MEMBERSHIP IN THE KURDISTAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY AND PATRIOTIC UNION OF KURDISTAN.*—Paragraph (3)(B) of section 212(a) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C.

1182(a)(3)(B)) shall not apply to an alien applying for a non-immigrant visa, who presents themselves for inspection to an immigration officer at a port of entry as a nonimmigrant, or who is applying in the United States for nonimmigrant status, and who is a member of the Kurdistan Democratic Party or the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan and currently serves or has previously served as a senior official (such as Prime Minister, Deputy Prime Minister, Minister, Deputy Minister, President, Vice-President, Member of Parliament, provincial Governor or member of the National Security Council) of the Kurdistan Regional Government or the federal government of the Republic of Iraq.

(3) *EXCEPTION.*—Neither paragraph (1) nor paragraph (2) shall apply if the Secretary of State or the Secretary of Homeland Security (or a designee of one of such Secretaries) determine in their sole unreviewable discretion that such alien poses a threat to the safety and security of the United States, or does not warrant a visa, admission to the United States, or a grant of an immigration benefit or protection, in the totality of the circumstances. This provision shall be implemented by the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Homeland Security in consultation with the Attorney General.

(c) *PROHIBITION ON JUDICIAL REVIEW.*—Notwithstanding any other provision of law (whether statutory or nonstatutory), section 242 of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1252), sections 1361 and 1651 of title 28, United States Code, section 2241 of such title, and any other habeas corpus provision of law, no court shall have jurisdiction to review any determination made pursuant to this section.

SEC. 1265. PROHIBITION ON INTEGRATION OF MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS OF CHINA INTO MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS OF UNITED STATES AND SENSE OF CONGRESS CONCERNING INTEGRATION OF MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS OF RUSSIA INTO MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS OF NATO.

(a) *PROHIBITION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to integrate a missile defense system of the People's Republic of China into any missile defense system of the United States.

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that missile defense systems of the Russian Federation should not be integrated into the missile defense systems of the United States or the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) if such integration undermines the security of the United States or NATO, respectively.

SEC. 1266. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS TO IMPLEMENT THE ARMS TRADE TREATY.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be obligated or expended to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, or to make any change to existing programs, projects, or activities as approved by Congress in furtherance of, pursuant to, or otherwise to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, unless the Arms Trade Treaty has received the advice and consent of the Senate and has been the subject of implementing legislation, as required, by Congress.

(b) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude the Department of Defense from assisting foreign countries in bringing their laws and regulations up to United States standards.

SEC. 1267. NOTIFICATION AND REVIEW OF POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT ARMS CONTROL NONCOMPLIANCE.

(a) *NOTICE TO PRESIDENT.*—If the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, has substantial reason to believe that there is a case of foreign activity that would pose a significant threat to United States national security interests and that may be inconsistent with an arms control treaty to which the United States is a party, and such case is not included in, or is significantly different from a case included in, the most-recent annual report submitted to Congress pursuant to section 403 of the Arms Control and Disarmament Act (22 U.S.C. 2593a), the Secretary of Defense shall notify the President of such belief of the Secretary.

(b) *REFERRAL TO SECRETARY OF STATE.*—If the President receives a notification from the Secretary of Defense under subsection (a), the President shall promptly refer the matter to the Secretary of State to arrange for an inter-agency review of the case in order to provide for an assessment of whether the case constitutes a significant case of non-compliance with an arms control treaty to which the United States is a party.

(c) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS.*—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the President makes a referral under subsection (b), the Secretary of State shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress the results of the assessment of the case with respect to which the referral was made under subsection (b).

(d) *DEFINITION.*—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1268. INTER-EUROPEAN AIR FORCES ACADEMY.

(a) *OPERATION.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may operate the Air Force education and training facility known as the Inter-European Air Forces Academy (in this section referred to as the “Academy”).

(b) *PURPOSE.*—The purpose of the Academy shall be to provide military education and training to military personnel of countries that are members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization or signatories to the Partnership for Peace Framework Documents.

(c) *LIMITATIONS.*—

(1) *CONCURRENCE OF SECRETARY OF STATE.*—Military personnel of a country may be provided education and training under this section only with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

(2) *ASSISTANCE OTHERWISE PROHIBITED BY LAW.*—Education and training may not be provided under this section to the mili-

tary personnel of any country that is otherwise prohibited from receiving such type of assistance under any other provision of law.

(d) *SUPPLIES AND CLOTHING.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may, under such conditions as the Secretary may prescribe, provide to a person receiving education and training under this section the following:

(1) *Transportation incident to such education and training.*

(2) *Supplies and equipment to be used during such education and training.*

(3) *Billeting, food, and health services in connection with the receipt of such education and training.*

(e) *LIVING ALLOWANCE.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may pay to a person receiving education and training under this section a living allowance at a rate to be prescribed by the Secretary, taking into account the rates of living allowances authorized for a member of the Armed Forces under similar circumstances.

(f) *FUNDING.*—Amounts for the operations and maintenance of the Academy, and for the provision of education and training through the Academy, may be paid from funds available for the Air Force for operation and maintenance.

(g) *ANNUAL REPORTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 60 days after the end of each fiscal year in which the Secretary of the Air Force operates the Academy pursuant to this section, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the operations of the Academy during such fiscal year.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—Each report under this subsection shall set forth, for the fiscal year covered by such report, the following:

(A) *A description of the operations of the Academy, including a description of the education and training courses provided under this section.*

(B) *A summary of the number of individuals receiving education and training through the Academy, set forth by country of origin and education or training provided.*

(C) *The amount paid by the Secretary for the operations and maintenance of the Academy.*

(D) *The amounts paid by the Secretary under subsections (d) and (e) in connection with the provision of education and training through the Academy.*

(E) *Any other matters the Secretary determines to be appropriate.*

(h) *EXPIRATION.*—The authority in subsection (a) shall expire on September 30, 2019.

SEC. 1269. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SUPPORT TO SECURITY OF UNITED STATES DIPLOMATIC FACILITIES.

(a) *MARINE CORPS SECURITY GUARD PROGRAM.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, shall—

(A) *develop and implement a plan to incorporate the additional Marine Corps Security Guard personnel authorized under section 404 of the National Defense Authoriza-*

tion Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 10 U.S.C. 5983 note) at United States embassies, consulates, and other facilities;

(B) conduct an annual review of the Marine Corps Security Guard Program, including—

(i) an evaluation of whether the size and composition of the Marine Corps Security Guard Program is adequate to meet global diplomatic security requirements;

(ii) an assessment of whether Marine Corps security guards are appropriately deployed among facilities to respond to evolving security developments and potential threats to United States diplomatic facilities abroad; and

(iii) an assessment of the mission objectives of the Marine Corps Security Guard Program and the procedural rules of engagement to protect diplomatic personnel under the Program; and

(C) provide an assessment of the effectiveness of Department of Defense-provided Security Augmentation Units utilized during the previous year to improve security at high threat, high risk facilities, including an evaluation of any impediments to the effectiveness of such units.

(2) REPORTING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees an unclassified report, with a classified annex as necessary, that addresses the requirements set forth in paragraph (1).

(b) REPORT ON “NEW NORMAL” AND GENERAL MISSION REQUIREMENTS OF UNITED STATES AFRICA COMMAND.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than March 1, 2015, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on what changes, if any, have been made to the force posture and structure of the United States Africa Command or adjacent combatant commands to respond, if requested, to a diplomatic facility’s security requirements (so-called “new normal” requirements) and general mission of United States Africa Command.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

(A) A detailed description of the “new normal” requirements in the area of responsibility of the United States Africa Command.

(B) A description of any changes required for the United States Africa Command or adjacent combatant commands to meet the “new normal” and general mission requirements in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility, including the gaps in capability, size, posture, agreements, basing, and enabler support of crisis response forces and associated assets to respond to requests for support from the Secretary of State.

(C) A discussion and estimate of the military forces required to support mission requirements of the United States

Africa Command and the shortfall, if any, in meeting such requirements.

(D) A discussion and estimate of the annual intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the United States Africa Command and the shortfall, if any, in meeting such requirements.

(3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1270. INFORMATION ON SANCTIONED PERSONS AND BUSINESSES THROUGH THE FEDERAL AWARDEE PERFORMANCE AND INTEGRITY INFORMATION SYSTEM.

Section 2313(c) of title 41, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(8) Whether the person is included on any of the following lists maintained by the Office of Foreign Assets Control of the Department of the Treasury:

“(A) The specially designated nationals and blocked persons list (commonly known as the ‘SDN list’).

“(B) The sectoral sanctions identification list.

“(C) The foreign sanctions evaders list.

“(D) The list of persons sanctioned under the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 (Public Law 104–172; 50 U.S.C. 1701 note) that do not appear on the SDN list (commonly known as the ‘Non-SDN Iranian Sanctions Act list’).

“(E) The list of foreign financial institutions subject to part 561 of title 31, Code of Federal Regulations.”

SEC. 1271. REPORTS ON NUCLEAR PROGRAM OF IRAN.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on the interim agreement relating to the nuclear program of Iran. Such report shall include—

(1) verification of whether Iran is complying with such agreement; and

(2) an assessment of the overall state of the nuclear program of Iran.

(b) ADDITIONAL REPORTS.—If the interim agreement described in subsection (a) is renewed or if a comprehensive and final agreement is entered into regarding the nuclear program of Iran, by not later than 90 days after such renewal or final agreement being entered into, and every 180 days thereafter, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on such renewed or final agreement. Such report shall include the matters described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a).

(c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.

(d) *SUNSET*.—This section shall terminate on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 1272. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DEFENSE MODERNIZATION BY NATO COUNTRIES.

(a) *FINDINGS*.—Congress findings the following:

(1) At the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) summit in Wales in September 2014, NATO members made important commitments to reverse the decline in their defense budgets and to aim to move toward the NATO guideline to spend a minimum of two percent of each member's Gross Domestic Product on defense within a decade.

(2) At the Wales summit, NATO members declared that increased investments in defense should be directed towards meeting the capability priorities of the Alliance.

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS*.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States should work with other NATO members as they seek to modernize their defense capabilities to encourage such members to procure defense systems, including air and missile defense systems, that are interoperable with NATO defense systems and help fill critical NATO shortfalls;

(2) such United States efforts to facilitate the modernization of defense capabilities are particularly important to help address the security requirements of the newer members of NATO in Eastern Europe; and

(3) the United States stands ready to assist other NATO members to modernize their defense capabilities and restructure their armed forces consistent with the objectives set out at the NATO summit in Wales in September 2014.

SEC. 1273. REPORT ON PROTECTION OF CULTURAL PROPERTY IN EVENT OF ARMED CONFLICT.

(a) *REPORT*.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on efforts of the Department of Defense to protect cultural property abroad, including activities undertaken pursuant to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

(b) *ELEMENTS OF REPORT*.—The report required under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A description of Department of Defense policies, directives, and regulations for the protection of cultural property abroad at risk of destruction due to armed conflict.

(2) A description of actions the Armed Forces have taken to protect cultural property abroad, including efforts to avoid damage to cultural property during military construction activities and efforts made to inform military personnel about the identification and protection of cultural property as part of the law of war.

(3) The status and number of specialist personnel in the Armed Forces assigned to secure respect for cultural property

abroad and to cooperate with civilian authorities responsible for safeguarding cultural property abroad, consistent with the requirements of the 1954 Hague Convention.

SEC. 1274. UNITED STATES STRATEGY AND PLANS FOR ENHANCING SECURITY AND STABILITY IN EUROPE.

(a) **REVIEW.**—The Secretary of Defense shall conduct a review of the force posture, readiness, and responsiveness of United States forces and the forces of other members of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) in the area of responsibility of the United States European Command, and of contingency plans for such United States forces, with the objective of ensuring that the posture, readiness, and responsiveness of such forces are appropriate to meet the obligations of collective self-defense under Article V of the North Atlantic Treaty. The review shall include an assessment of the capabilities and capacities needed by the Armed Forces of the United States to respond to unconventional or hybrid warfare tactics like those used by the Russian Federation in Crimea and Eastern Ukraine.

(b) **UNITED STATES STRATEGY AND PLANS.**—

(1) **REPORT ON STRATEGY AND PLANS REQUIRED.**—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall, in coordination with the Secretary of State, submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on a strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required by this subsection shall include the following:

(A) A summary of the relevant findings of the review conducted under subsection (a).

(B) A description of any initiatives or recommendations of the Secretary of Defense for enhancing the force posture, readiness, and responsiveness of United States forces in the area of responsibility of the United States European Command as a result of the review.

(C) A description of any initiatives of other members of NATO for enhancing the force posture, readiness, and responsiveness of their forces within the area of responsibility of NATO.

(D) A plan for reassuring Central European and Eastern European members of NATO regarding the commitment of the United States and other members of NATO to their obligations under the North Atlantic Treaty, including collective defense under Article V, including the following:

(i) A description of measures to be undertaken by the United States to reassure members of NATO regarding the commitment of the United States to its obligations under the North Atlantic Treaty.

(ii) A description of measures undertaken or to be undertaken by other members of NATO to provide assurances of their commitment to meet their obligations under the North Atlantic Treaty.

(iii) A description of any planned measures to increase the presence of the Armed Forces of the United States and the forces of other members of NATO, in-

cluding on a rotational basis, on the territories of the Central European and Eastern European members of NATO.

(iv) A description of the measures undertaken by the United States and other members of NATO to enhance the capability of members of NATO to respond to tactics like those used by the Russian Federation in Crimea and Eastern Ukraine or to assist members of NATO in responding to such tactics.

(E) A plan for enhancing bilateral and multilateral security cooperation with appropriate countries participating in the NATO Partnership for Peace program using the authorities for enhancing security cooperation specified in subsection (c), which plan shall include the following:

(i) An identification of the objectives and priorities of such United States security assistance and cooperation programs, on a bilateral and regional basis, and the resources required to achieve such objectives and priorities.

(ii) A methodology for evaluating the effectiveness of such United States security assistance and cooperation programs, bilaterally and regionally, in making progress toward identified objectives and priorities.

(3) FORM.—The report required by this subsection shall be submitted in an unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(c) AUTHORITIES FOR ENHANCING SECURITY COOPERATION.—The authorities for enhancing security cooperation specified in this subsection include the following:

(1) Section 168 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the Warsaw Initiative Fund.

(2) Section 2282 of title 10, United States Code (as added by section 1205 of this Act), relating to authority to build the capacity of foreign military forces.

(3) Section 1206 of this Act, relating to training of security forces and associated ministries of foreign countries to promote respect for the rule of law and human rights.

(4) Section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (10 U.S.C. 168 note), relating to the Ministry of Defense Advisors program.

(5) Section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (22 U.S.C. 2151 note), relating to the Global Security Contingency Fund.

(6) Any other authority available to the Secretary of Defense or Secretary of State appropriate for the purpose of this section.

(d) APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

(1) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate; and

(2) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives.

SEC. 1275. REPORT ON MILITARY ASSISTANCE TO UKRAINE.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that the United States should provide lethal and nonlethal military assistance to the Government of Ukraine to defend its territory and sovereignty from further aggressive actions designed to undermine regional peace and stability to the extent such assistance is defensive and non-provocative in nature.

(b) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 180 days thereafter, the Secretary of Defense shall conduct an assessment and submit to the congressional defense committees a report related to military assistance to Ukraine.

(c) *ELEMENTS.*—At a minimum, the report required under subsection (b) should provide a detailed explanation of the following matters:

(1) Military equipment, supplies, and defense services, including type, quantity, and prioritization of such items, requested by the Government of Ukraine.

(2) Military equipment, supplies, and defense services, including type, quantity, and actual or estimated delivery date, that the United States Government has provided, is providing, and plans to provide to the Government of Ukraine.

(3) An assessment of what United States military assistance to the Government of Ukraine, including type and quantity, would most effectively improve the military readiness and capabilities of the Ukrainian military, including a discussion of those defensive, lethal capabilities that could be provided by the United States that would enable the Government of Ukraine to better ensure the territorial integrity of Ukraine.

(4) An assessment of the need for, appropriateness of, and force protection concerns of any United States military advisors that may be made available to the armed forces of Ukraine.

(5) Military training requested by the Government of Ukraine.

(6) Military training the United States Government has conducted with Ukraine in the previous six months.

(7) Military training the United States Government plans to conduct with the Government of Ukraine in the next year.

(d) *FORM.*—The report required under subsection (b) shall be unclassified in form, but may contain a classified annex.

(e) *SUNSET.*—The requirements in this section shall terminate on January 31, 2017.

SEC. 1276. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON EFFORTS TO REMOVE JOSEPH KONY FROM THE BATTLEFIELD AND END THE ATROCITIES OF THE LORD'S RESISTANCE ARMY.

Consistent with the provisions of the Lord's Resistance Army Disarmament and Northern Uganda Recovery Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–172), it is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the ongoing United States advise and assist operation in support of regional governments in Central Africa and the African Union to remove Joseph Kony and his top commanders from the battlefield and end atrocities perpetuated by the Lord's Resistance Army, also known as Operation Observant Compass, has made significant progress in achieving its objectives;

(2) the Department of Defense should continue its support of Operation *Observant Compass*, particularly through the provision of key enablers, such as mobility assets and targeted intelligence collection and analytical support, to enable regional partners to effectively conduct operations against Joseph Kony and the Lord's Resistance Army;

(3) Operation *Observant Compass* must be integrated into a comprehensive strategy to support security and stability in the region; and

(4) the regional governments should recommit themselves to the Regional Cooperation Initiative for the Elimination of the Lord's Resistance Army authorized by the African Union.

SEC. 1277. EXTENSION OF ANNUAL REPORTS ON THE MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.

Section 1245(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2544) is amended by striking “December 31 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2016”.

SEC. 1278. REPORT AND STRATEGY REGARDING NORTH AFRICA, WEST AFRICA, AND THE SAHEL.

(a) **REPORT.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with other appropriate Federal officials, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that contains an assessment of the actions taken by the Department of Defense and other Federal agencies to identify, locate, and bring to justice those persons and organizations that planned, authorized, or committed the attacks against the United States facilities in Benghazi, Libya that occurred on September 11 and 12, 2012, and the legal authorities available for such purposes.

(b) **STRATEGY.**—

(1) **TIMING AND CONTENT.**—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a comprehensive strategy to counter the growing threat posed by radical Islamist terrorist groups in North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel, which shall include, among other things—

(A) a description of the radical Islamist terrorist groups active in the region, including an assessment of their origins, strategic aims, tactical methods, funding sources, leadership, and relationships with other terrorist groups or state actors;

(B) a strategy to stem the movement of foreign fighters from North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel to other areas, including Syria and Iraq;

(C) a description of steps the United States is taking to stabilize the political and security situation in North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel and support counterterrorism and stability efforts in the region;

(D) a description of the key military, diplomatic, intelligence, and public diplomacy resources available to address these growing regional terrorist threats; and

(E) a strategy to maximize the coordination between, and the effectiveness of, United States military, diplomatic, in-

telligence, and public diplomacy resources to counter these growing regional terrorist threats.

(2) **DEFINITION OF APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—*In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

(A) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

(B) *the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

SEC. 1279. RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing the use of force against Iran.

SEC. 1280. APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED KINGDOM OF GREAT BRITAIN AND NORTHERN IRELAND FOR COOPERATION ON THE USES OF ATOMIC ENERGY FOR MUTUAL DEFENSE PURPOSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Notwithstanding the provisions for congressional consideration of a proposed agreement for cooperation in subsection d. of section 123 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2153), the amendments to the Agreement Between the Government of the United States of America and the Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland for Cooperation on the Uses of Atomic Energy for Mutual Defense Purposes, done at Washington, July 22, 2014, and transmitted to Congress on July 24, 2014, including all portions thereof (hereinafter in this section referred to as the “Amendment”), may be brought into effect on or after the date of the enactment of this Act as if all the requirements in such section 123 for consideration of the Amendment had been satisfied, subject to subsection (b) of this section.*

(b) **APPLICABILITY OF ATOMIC ENERGY ACT OF 1954 AND OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW.**—*Upon coming into effect, the Amendment shall be subject to the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2011 et seq.) and any other applicable United States law as if the Amendment had come into effect in accordance with the requirements of section 123 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954.*

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

Subtitle A—Funds

Sec. 1301. Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds.

Sec. 1302. Funding allocations.

Subtitle B—Consolidation and Modernization of Statutes Relating to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program

Sec. 1311. Short title.

Sec. 1312. Definitions.

PART I—PROGRAM AUTHORITIES

Sec. 1321. Authority to carry out Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.

- Sec. 1322. *Use of funds for certain emergent threats or opportunities.*
 Sec. 1323. *Authority for urgent threat reduction activities under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*
 Sec. 1324. *Use of funds for unspecified purposes or for increased amounts.*
 Sec. 1325. *Use of contributions to Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*

PART II—RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

- Sec. 1331. *Prohibition on use of funds for specified purposes.*
 Sec. 1332. *Requirement for on-site managers.*
 Sec. 1333. *Limitation on use of funds until certain permits obtained.*
 Sec. 1334. *Limitation on availability of funds for Cooperative Threat Reduction activities with Russian Federation.*

PART III—RECURRING CERTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS

- Sec. 1341. *Annual certifications on use of facilities being constructed for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction projects or activities.*
 Sec. 1342. *Requirement to submit summary of amounts requested by project category.*
 Sec. 1343. *Reports on activities and assistance under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*
 Sec. 1344. *Metrics for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program.*

PART IV—REPEALS AND TRANSITION PROVISIONS

- Sec. 1351. *Repeals.*
 Sec. 1352. *Transition provisions.*

Subtitle A—Funds

SEC. 1301. SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS.

(a) *FISCAL YEAR 2015 COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION FUNDS DEFINED.*—As used in this subtitle, the term “fiscal year 2015 Cooperative Threat Reduction funds” means the funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program established under section 1321.

(b) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program shall be available for obligation for fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017.

SEC. 1302. FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.

Of the \$365,108,000 authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 in section 301 and made available by the funding table in section 4301 for the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program established under section 1321, the following amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

- (1) *For strategic offensive arms elimination, \$1,000,000.*
- (2) *For chemical weapons destruction, \$15,720,000.*
- (3) *For global nuclear security, \$20,703,000.*
- (4) *For cooperative biological engagement, \$256,762,000.*
- (5) *For proliferation prevention, \$40,704,000.*
- (6) *For threat reduction engagement, \$2,375,000.*

(7) For activities designated as *Other Assessments/Administrative Costs*, \$27,844,000.

Subtitle B—Consolidation and Modernization of Statutes Relating to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program

SEC. 1311. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Act”.

SEC. 1312. DEFINITIONS.

In this subtitle:

(1) The term “congressional defense committees” has the meaning given that term in section 101(a)(16) of title 10, United States Code.

(2) The term “Cooperative Threat Reduction funds” means funds appropriated pursuant to an authorization of appropriations for the Program, or otherwise made available to the Program.

(3) The term “Program” means the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program of the Department of Defense established under section 1321.

PART I—PROGRAM AUTHORITIES

SEC. 1321. AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

(a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense may carry out a program, referred to as the “Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program”, with respect to foreign countries to do the following:

(1) Facilitate the elimination and the safe and secure transportation and storage of chemical, biological, or other weapons, weapons components, weapons-related materials, and associated delivery vehicles.

(2) Facilitate—

(A) the safe and secure transportation and storage of nuclear weapons, nuclear weapons-usable or high-threat radiological materials, nuclear weapons components, and associated delivery vehicles; and

(B) the elimination of nuclear weapons, nuclear weapons components, and nuclear weapons delivery vehicles.

(3) Prevent the proliferation of nuclear and chemical weapons, weapons components, and weapons-related materials, technology, and expertise.

(4) Prevent the proliferation of biological weapons, weapons components, and weapons-related materials, technology, and expertise, which may include activities that facilitate detection and reporting of highly pathogenic diseases or other diseases that are associated with or that could be used as an early warning mechanism for disease outbreaks that could affect the

Armed Forces of the United States or allies of the United States, regardless of whether such diseases are caused by biological weapons.

(5) *Prevent the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction-related materials, including materials, equipment, and technology that could be used for the design, development, production, or use of nuclear, chemical, and biological weapons and the means of delivery of such weapons.*

(6) *Carry out military-to-military and defense contacts for advancing the mission of the Program, subject to subsection (f).*

(b) *CONCURRENCE OF SECRETARY OF STATE.—The authority under subsection (a) to carry out the Program is subject to any concurrence of the Secretary of State or other appropriate agency head required under section 1322 or 1323 (unless such concurrence is otherwise exempted pursuant to section 1352 with respect to activities or determinations carried out or made before the date of the enactment of this Act).*

(c) *SCOPE OF AUTHORITY.—The authority to carry out the Program in subsection (a) includes authority to provide equipment, goods, and services, but does not include authority to provide funds directly for a project or activity carried out under the Program.*

(d) *TYPE OF PROGRAM.—The Program carried out under subsection (a) may involve assistance in planning and in resolving technical problems associated with weapons destruction and proliferation. The Program may also involve the funding of critical short-term requirements relating to weapons destruction.*

(e) *REIMBURSEMENT OF OTHER AGENCIES.—The Secretary of Defense may reimburse heads of other departments and agencies of the Federal Government under this section for costs of the participation of the respective departments and agencies in the Program.*

(f) *MILITARY-TO-MILITARY AND DEFENSE CONTACTS.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the military-to-military and defense contacts carried out under subsection (a)(6)—*

(1) *are focused and expanded to support specific relationship-building opportunities, which could lead to the development of the Program in new geographic areas and achieve other benefits of the Program;*

(2) *are directly administered as part of the Program; and*

(3) *include cooperation and coordination with—*

(A) *the unified combatant commands; and*

(B) *the Department of State.*

(g) *PRIOR NOTICE TO CONGRESS OF OBLIGATION OF FUNDS.—*

(1) *ANNUAL REQUIREMENT.—Not less than 15 days before any obligation of any Cooperative Threat Reduction funds, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on that proposed obligation of such funds for that fiscal year.*

(2) *MATTERS INCLUDED.—Each report under paragraph (1) shall specify—*

(A) *the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary plans to obligate funds;*

(B) *the amount of the proposed obligation; and*

(C) *the projected involvement (if any) of any other department or agency of the United States and of the private sec-*

tor of the United States in the activities and forms of assistance for which the Secretary plans to obligate such funds.

(3) *EXCEPTION FOR NOTIFICATIONS PREVIOUSLY PROVIDED.*—Paragraph (1) shall not apply with respect to a proposed obligation of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds that is covered by a notification previously submitted by the Secretary to the congressional defense committees that includes the matters described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of paragraph (2).

SEC. 1322. USE OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN EMERGENT THREATS OR OPPORTUNITIES.

(a) *AUTHORITY.*—For purposes of the Program, the Secretary of Defense may obligate and expend Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a fiscal year, and any Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a prior fiscal year that remain available for obligation, for a proliferation threat reduction project or activity if the Secretary, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, determines each of the following:

(1) That such project or activity will—

(A) assist the United States in the resolution of a critical emerging proliferation threat; or

(B) permit the United States to take advantage of opportunities to achieve long-standing nonproliferation goals.

(2) That such project or activity will be completed in a period not exceeding five years.

(3) That the Department of Defense is the entity of the Federal Government that is most capable of carrying out such project or activity.

(b) *CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.*—At the time at which the Secretary obligates funds under subsection (a) for a project or activity, the Secretary of Defense shall notify, in writing, the congressional defense committees and the Secretary of State shall notify, in writing, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate of the determinations made under such subsection with respect to such project or activity, together with—

(1) a justification for such determinations; and

(2) a description of the scope and duration of such project or activity.

(c) *NON-DEFENSE AGENCY PARTNER-NATION CONTACTS.*—With respect to military-to-military and defense contacts carried out under subsection (a)(6) of section 1321, as further described in subsection (f) of such section, concurrence of the Secretary of State under subsection (a) is required only for participation in such contacts by personnel from non-defense agencies of foreign countries.

(d) *EXCEPTION TO REQUIREMENT FOR CERTAIN DETERMINATIONS.*—The requirement for a determination under subsection (a) shall not apply to a state of the former Soviet Union.

SEC. 1323. AUTHORITY FOR URGENT THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

(a) *LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR URGENT THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES.*—Subject to subsections (b) and (c), not more than 15 percent of the total amount of Cooperative Threat Reduction

funds for any fiscal year may be obligated or expended, notwithstanding any other provision of law, for covered activities.

(b) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE DETERMINATION AND NOTICE FOR URGENT THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES IN GOVERNED AREAS.—With respect to an area not covered by subsection (c), the Secretary of Defense may obligate or expend funds pursuant to subsection (a) for covered activities if—

(1) the Secretary determines, in writing, that—

(A) a threat arising in such area from the proliferation of chemical, nuclear, or biological weapons or weapons-related materials, technologies, or expertise must be addressed urgently;

(B) certain provisions of law would unnecessarily impede the ability of the Secretary to carry out such covered activities to address such threat; and

(C) it is necessary to obligate or expend such funds to carry out such covered activities;

(2) the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy concur with such determination; and

(3) at the time at which the Secretary of Defense first obligates such funds, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate—

(A) the determination under paragraph (1);

(B) a description of the covered activities to be carried out using such funds;

(C) the expected time frame for such activities; and

(D) the expected cost of such activities.

(c) PRESIDENTIAL DETERMINATION AND NOTICE FOR URGENT THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES IN UNGOVERNED AREAS.—With respect to an ungoverned area or an area that is not controlled by an effective governmental authority, as determined by the Secretary of State, the President may obligate or expend funds pursuant to subsection (a) for covered activities if—

(1) the President determines, in writing, that—

(A) a threat arising in such an area from the proliferation of chemical, nuclear, or biological weapons or weapons-related materials, technologies, or expertise must be addressed urgently; and

(B) it is necessary to obligate or expend such funds to carry out such covered activities to address such threat; and

(2) at the time at which the President first obligates such funds, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, submits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate—

(A) the determination under paragraph (1);

(B) a description of the covered activities to be carried out using such funds;

(C) the expected time frame for such activities; and

(D) the expected cost of such activities.

(d) *COVERED ACTIVITY DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “covered activity” means an activity under the Program to address a threat arising from the proliferation of chemical, nuclear, or biological weapons or weapons-related materials, technologies, or expertise.

SEC. 1324. USE OF FUNDS FOR UNSPECIFIED PURPOSES OR FOR INCREASED AMOUNTS.

(a) *NOTICE TO CONGRESS OF INTENT TO USE FUNDS FOR UNSPECIFIED PURPOSES.*—

(1) *REPORT.*—For any fiscal year for which Cooperative Threat Reduction funds are specifically authorized in an Act other than an appropriations Act for specific purposes within the Program, the Secretary of Defense may obligate or expend such funds, or other funds otherwise made available for the Program for that fiscal year, for purposes other than such specified purposes if—

(A) the Secretary determines that such obligation or expenditure is necessary in the national interests of the United States;

(B) the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees—

(i) notification of the intent of the Secretary to make such an obligation or expenditure of funds; and

(ii) a complete discussion of the purpose and justification for such obligation or expenditure, including the amount of funds to be obligated or expended; and

(C) a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary submits the notification and discussion under subparagraph (B).

(2) *CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER LAWS.*—Paragraph (1) may not be construed to authorize the obligation or expenditure of Cooperative Threat Reduction Program funds for a purpose for which the obligation or expenditure of such funds is specifically prohibited under section 1331 or any other provision of law.

(b) *LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL AMOUNTS PROVIDED FOR ANY FISCAL YEAR FOR SPECIFIED PURPOSES.*—For any fiscal year for which Cooperative Threat Reduction funds are specifically authorized in an Act other than an appropriations Act for specific purposes within the Program, the Secretary may obligate or expend such funds, or other funds otherwise made available for the Program for that fiscal year, in excess of the specific amount so authorized for that purpose if—

(1) the Secretary determines that such obligation or expenditure is necessary in the national interests of the United States;

(2) the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees—

(A) notification of the intent of the Secretary to make such an obligation or expenditure of funds in excess of such authorized amount; and

(B) a complete discussion of the justification for exceeding such specified amounts, including the amount by which the Secretary will exceed such specified amounts; and

(3) a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary submits the notification and discussion under paragraph (2).

SEC. 1325. USE OF CONTRIBUTIONS TO DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CO-OPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

(a) **AUTHORITY TO ENTER INTO AGREEMENTS.**—

(1) **AUTHORITY.**—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense may enter into one or more agreements with any person (including a foreign government, international organization, multinational entity, or any other entity) that the Secretary considers appropriate under which the person contributes funds for activities conducted under the Program.

(2) **CONCURRENCE BY SECRETARY OF STATE.**—The Secretary may enter into an agreement under paragraph (1) only with the concurrence of the Secretary of State.

(b) **RETENTION AND USE OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding section 3302 of title 31, United States Code, and subject to subsections (c) and (d), the Secretary of Defense may retain and obligate or expend funds contributed pursuant to subsection (a) for purposes of the Program. Funds so contributed shall be retained in a separate fund established in the Treasury for such purposes and shall be available to be obligated or expended without further appropriation.

(c) **RETURN OF FUNDS NOT OBLIGATED OR EXPENDED WITHIN THREE YEARS.**—If the Secretary does not obligate or expend funds contributed pursuant to subsection (a) by the date that is three years after the date on which the contribution was made, the Secretary shall return the amount to the person who made the contribution.

(d) **NOTICE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 30 days after receiving funds contributed pursuant to subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a notice—

(A) specifying the value of the contribution and the purpose for which the contribution was made; and

(B) identifying the person who made the contribution.

(2) **LIMITATION ON USE OF AMOUNTS.**—The Secretary may not obligate funds contributed pursuant to subsection (a) until a period of 15 days elapses following the date on which the Secretary submits the notice under paragraph (1).

(e) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—Not later than the first Monday in February of each year, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report on amounts contributed pursuant to subsection (a) during the preceding fiscal year. Each such report shall include, for the fiscal year covered by the report, the following:

(1) A statement of any funds contributed pursuant to subsection (a), including, for each such contribution, the value of the contribution and the identity of the person who made the contribution.

(2) A statement of any funds so contributed that were obligated or expended by the Secretary, including, for each such contribution, the purposes for which the funds were obligated or expended.

(3) A statement of any funds so contributed that were retained but not obligated or expended, including, for each such contribution, the purposes (if known) for which the Secretary intends to obligate or expend the amount.

(f) **IMPLEMENTATION PLAN.**—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees—

(1) an implementation plan for the authority provided under this section prior to obligating or expending any funds contributed pursuant to subsection (a); and

(2) any updates to such plan that the Secretary considers appropriate.

(g) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(1) The congressional defense committees.

(2) The Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

PART II—RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

SEC. 1331. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR SPECIFIED PURPOSES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Cooperative Threat Reduction funds may not be obligated or expended for any of the following purposes:

(1) Conducting any peacekeeping exercise or other peacekeeping-related activity.

(2) Provision of housing.

(3) Provision of assistance to promote environmental restoration.

(4) Provision of assistance to promote job retraining.

(5) Provision of assistance to promote defense conversion.

(b) **LIMITATION WITH RESPECT TO CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS.**—Cooperative Threat Reduction funds may not be obligated or expended for the elimination of—

(1) conventional weapons; or

(2) delivery vehicles of conventional weapons, unless such delivery vehicles could reasonably be used or adapted to be used for the delivery of chemical, nuclear, or biological weapons.

SEC. 1332. REQUIREMENT FOR ON-SITE MANAGERS.

(a) **ON-SITE MANAGER REQUIREMENT.**—Before obligating any Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a project described in subsection (b), the Secretary of Defense shall appoint one on-site manager for that project. The manager shall be appointed from among employees of the Federal Government.

(b) **PROJECTS COVERED.**—Subsection (a) applies to a project—

(1) to be located in a state of the former Soviet Union;

(2) which involves dismantlement, destruction, or storage facilities, or construction of a facility; and

(3) with respect to which the total contribution by the Department of Defense is expected to exceed \$50,000,000.

(c) **DUTIES OF ON-SITE MANAGER.**—The on-site manager appointed under subsection (a) shall—

(1) develop, in cooperation with representatives from governments of states participating in the project, a list of those steps or activities critical to achieving the disarmament or non-proliferation goals of the project;

(2) establish a schedule for completing those steps or activities;

(3) meet with all participants to seek assurances that those steps or activities are being completed on schedule; and

(4) suspend the participation of the United States in a project when a participant other than the United States fails to complete a scheduled step or activity on time, unless the Secretary of Defense directs the on-site manager to resume the participation of the United States.

(d) **AUTHORITY TO MANAGE MORE THAN ONE PROJECT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (2), an employee of the Federal Government may serve as on-site manager for more than one project, including projects at different locations.

(2) **LIMITATION.**—If such an employee serves as on-site manager for more than one project in a fiscal year, the total cost of the projects for that fiscal year may not exceed \$150,000,000.

(e) **STEPS OR ACTIVITIES.**—Steps or activities referred to in subsection (c)(1) are those steps or activities that, if not completed, will prevent a project from achieving its disarmament or nonproliferation goals, including, at a minimum, the following:

(1) Identification and acquisition of permits (as defined in section 1333).

(2) Verification that the items, substances, or capabilities to be dismantled, secured, or otherwise modified are available for dismantlement, securing, or modification.

(3) Timely provision of financial, personnel, management, transportation, and other resources.

(f) **NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.**—In any case in which the Secretary directs an on-site manager to resume the participation of the United States in a project under subsection (c)(4), the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of such direction by not later than 30 days after the date of such direction.

SEC. 1333. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS UNTIL CERTAIN PERMITS OBTAINED.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense shall seek to obtain all the permits required to complete each phase of construction of a project under the Program in a state of the former Soviet Union before obligating more than 40 percent of the total costs of that phase of the project.

(b) **USE OF FUNDS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Except as provided in subsection (c), with respect to a new construction project to be carried out by the Program, not more than 40 percent of the total costs of the project may be obligated from Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for any fiscal year until the Secretary—

(1) determines the number and type of permits that may be required for the lifetime of the project in the proposed location or locations of the project; and

(2) obtains from the state in which the project is to be located any permits that may be required to begin construction.

(c) **EXCEPTION TO LIMITATIONS ON USE OF FUNDS.**—The limitation in subsection (b) on the obligation of funds for a construction project otherwise covered by such subsection shall not apply with respect to the obligation of funds for a particular project if the Secretary—

(1) determines that it is necessary in the national interest to obligate funds for such project; and

(2) submits to the congressional defense committees a notification of the intent to obligate funds for such project, together with a complete discussion of the justification for doing so.

(d) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section, with respect to a project under the Program:

(1) The term “new construction project” means a construction project for which no funds have been obligated or expended as of November 24, 2003.

(2) The term “permit” means any local or national permit for development, general construction, environmental, land use, or other purposes that is required for purposes of major construction.

SEC. 1334. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES WITH RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the United States should carry out activities under the Program in the Russian Federation only if those activities are consistent with and in support of the security interests of the United States; and

(2) in carrying out any such activities after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense should focus on only those activities that—

(A) are in support of the arms control obligations of the United States and the Russian Federation; or

(B) will reduce the threats posed by weapons of mass destruction and related materials and technology to the United States and countries in the Euro-Atlantic and Eurasian regions.

(b) **COMPLETION OF COOPERATION THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES IN RUSSIAN FEDERATION.**—Cooperative Threat Reduction funds made available for a fiscal year after fiscal year 2015 may not be obligated or expended for activities in the Russian Federation unless such activities in Russia are specifically authorized by law.

PART III—RECURRING CERTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS

SEC. 1341. ANNUAL CERTIFICATIONS ON USE OF FACILITIES BEING CONSTRUCTED FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROJECTS OR ACTIVITIES.

Not later than the first Monday in February each year, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a certification for each facility of a project or activity of the Program for which construction occurred during the preceding fiscal year on matters as follows:

(1) Whether or not such facility will be used for its intended purpose by the government of the foreign country in which the facility is constructed.

(2) Whether or not the government of such country remains committed to the use of such facility for such purpose.

(3) Whether the actions needed to ensure security at the facility, including the secure transportation of any materials, sub-

stances, or weapons to, from, or within the facility, have been taken.

SEC. 1342. REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT SUMMARY OF AMOUNTS REQUESTED BY PROJECT CATEGORY.

(a) **SUMMARY REQUIRED.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees in the materials and manner specified in subsection (c)—

(1) a descriptive summary, with respect to the appropriations requested for the Program for the fiscal year after the fiscal year in which the summary is submitted, of the amounts requested for each project category under each program element; and

(2) a descriptive summary, with respect to appropriations for the Program for the fiscal year in which the list is submitted and the previous fiscal year, of the amounts obligated or expended, or planned to be obligated or expended, for each project category under each program element.

(b) **DESCRIPTION OF PURPOSE AND INTENT.**—The descriptive summary required under subsection (a) shall include a narrative description of each program and project category under each program element that explains the purpose and intent of the funds requested.

(c) **INCLUSION IN CERTAIN MATERIALS SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS.**—The summary required to be submitted in a fiscal year under subsection (a) shall be set forth by project category, and by amounts specified in paragraphs (1) and (2) of such subsection in connection with such project category, in each of the following:

(1) The annual report on activities and assistance under the Program required in such fiscal year under section 1343.

(2) The budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Defense budget for the fiscal year succeeding such fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code).

SEC. 1343. REPORTS ON ACTIVITIES AND ASSISTANCE UNDER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

(a) **ANNUAL REPORT.**—In any year in which the President submits to Congress, under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the budget for a fiscal year that requests funds for the Department of Defense for activities or assistance under the Program, the Secretary of Defense, after consultation with the Secretary of State, shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report on the activities and assistance carried out under the Program.

(b) **DEADLINE.**—Each report under subsection (a) shall be submitted not later than the first Monday in February of a year.

(c) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—Each report under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An estimate of the total amount that will be required to be expended by the United States during the fiscal year covered by the budget described in subsection (a) in order to achieve the objectives of the Program.

(2) A five-year plan setting forth the amount of funds and other resources proposed to be provided by the United States for

the Program during the period covered by the plan, including the purpose for which such funds and resources will be used.

(3) A description of the activities and assistance carried out under the Program during the fiscal year preceding the submission of the report, including—

(A) the funds notified, obligated, and expended for such activities and assistance and the purposes for which such funds were notified, obligated, and expended for such fiscal year and cumulatively for the Program;

(B) a description of the participation, if any, of each department and agency of the Federal Government in such activities and assistance;

(C) a description of such activities and assistance, including the forms of assistance provided;

(D) a description of the United States private sector participation in the portion of such activities and assistance that were supported by the obligation and expenditure of funds for the Program; and

(E) such other information as the Secretary considers appropriate to fully inform Congress of the operation of activities and assistance carried out under the Program, including, with respect to proposed demilitarization or conversion projects, information on the progress toward demilitarization of facilities and the conversion of the demilitarized facilities to civilian activities.

(4) A description of the means (including program management, audits, examinations, and other means) used by the United States during the fiscal year preceding the submission of the report to ensure that assistance provided under the Program is fully accounted for, that such assistance is being used for its intended purpose, and that such assistance is being used efficiently and effectively, including—

(A) if such assistance consisted of equipment, a description of the current location of such equipment and the current condition of such equipment;

(B) if such assistance consisted of contracts or other services, a description of the status of such contracts or services and the methods used to ensure that such contracts and services are being used for their intended purpose;

(C) a determination whether the assistance described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) has been used for its intended purpose and an assessment of whether the assistance being provided is being used effectively and efficiently; and

(D) a description of the efforts planned to be carried out during the fiscal year beginning in the year of the report to ensure that Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction assistance provided during such fiscal year is fully accounted for and is used for its intended purpose.

(5) A description of the defense and military activities carried out under section 1321(a)(6) during the fiscal year preceding the submission of the report, including—

(A) the amount of funds obligated or expended for such activities;

(B) the strategy, goals, and objectives for which such funds were obligated and expended;

(C) a description of the activities carried out, including the forms of assistance provided, and the justification for each form of assistance provided;

(D) the success of each activity, including the goals and objectives achieved for each activity;

(E) a description of participation by private sector entities in the United States in carrying out such activities, and the participation of any other department or agency of the Federal Government in such activities; and

(F) any other information that the Secretary considers relevant to provide a complete description of the operation and success of activities carried out under the Program.

SEC. 1344. METRICS FOR DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.

The Secretary of Defense shall implement metrics to measure the impact and effectiveness of activities of the Program to address threats arising from the proliferation of chemical, nuclear, and biological weapons and weapons-related materials, technologies, and expertise.

PART IV—REPEALS AND TRANSITION PROVISIONS

SEC. 1351. REPEALS.

The following provisions of law are repealed:

(1) Sections 212, 221, 222, and 231 of the Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (title II of Public Law 102-228; 22 U.S.C. 2551 note).

(2) Sections 1412 and 1431 of the Former Soviet Union Demilitarization Act of 1992 (22 U.S.C. 5902 and 5921).

(3) Sections 1203, 1204, 1206, and 1208 of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (22 U.S.C. 5952, 5953, 5955, and 5957).

(4) Section 1205 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (Public Law 104-106; 22 U.S.C. 5955 note).

(5) Section 1501 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1997 (Public Law 104-201; 50 U.S.C. 2362 note).

(6) Section 1307 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105-261; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

(7) Section 1303 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

(8)(A) Sections 1303 and 1304 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

(B) Section 1306 of such Act (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 114 Stat. 1654A-340).

(C) Section 1308 of such Act (as enacted into law by Public Law 106-398; 22 U.S.C. 5959).

(9) Section 1304 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-107; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

(10) Sections 1305 and 1306 of the Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (Public Law 107-314; 116 Stat. 2673; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

(11) Sections 1303, 1305, 1307, and 1308 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5960, 5961, 5962, and 5963).

(12)(A) Section 1303 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

(B) Sections 1304 and 1305 of such Act (22 U.S.C. 5964 and 5965).

(C) Section 1306 of such Act (Public Law 111-84; 123 Stat. 2560; 22 U.S.C. 5952 note).

SEC. 1352. TRANSITION PROVISIONS.

(a) *DETERMINATIONS RELATING TO CERTAIN PROLIFERATION THREAT REDUCTION PROJECTS AND ACTIVITIES.*—Any determination made before the date of the enactment of this Act under section 1308(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5963(a)) shall be treated as a determination under section 1322(a).

(b) *DETERMINATIONS RELATING TO URGENT THREAT REDUCTION ACTIVITIES.*—Any determination made before the date of the enactment of this Act under section 1305(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5965(b)) shall be treated as a determination under section 1323(b).

(c) *FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAM.*—Funds made available for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs pursuant to the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1632) or the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66; 127 Stat. 672) that remain available for obligation as of the date of the enactment of this Act shall be available for the Program.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

Sec. 1401. Working capital funds.

Sec. 1402. Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.

Sec. 1403. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.

Sec. 1404. Defense Inspector General.

Sec. 1405. Defense Health Program.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Sec. 1411. Authority for transfer of funds to joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, Illinois.

Sec. 1412. Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home.

Sec. 1413. Comptroller General of the United States report on Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, North Chicago, Illinois.

Subtitle A—Military Programs

SEC. 1401. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

SEC. 1402. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.

(a) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.*

(b) *USE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a) are authorized for—*

(1) *the destruction of lethal chemical agents and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and*

(2) *the destruction of chemical warfare materiel of the United States that is not covered by section 1412 of such Act.*

SEC. 1403. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

SEC. 1404. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

SEC. 1405. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense in providing for the health of eligible beneficiaries.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

SEC. 1411. AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS TO JOINT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE—DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS MEDICAL FACILITY DEMONSTRATION FUND FOR CAPTAIN JAMES A. LOVELL FEDERAL HEALTH CARE CENTER, ILLINOIS.

(a) *AUTHORITY FOR TRANSFER OF FUNDS.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated for section 1405 and available for the Defense Health Program for operation and maintenance, \$146,857,000 may be transferred by the Secretary of Defense to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility*

Demonstration Fund established by subsection (a)(1) of section 1704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2571). For purposes of subsection (a)(2) of such section 1704, any funds so transferred shall be treated as amounts authorized and appropriated specifically for the purpose of such a transfer.

(b) USE OF TRANSFERRED FUNDS.—For the purposes of subsection (b) of such section 1704, facility operations for which funds transferred under subsection (a) may be used are operations of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, consisting of the North Chicago Veterans Affairs Medical Center, the Navy Ambulatory Care Center, and supporting facilities designated as a combined Federal medical facility under an operational agreement covered by section 706 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4500).

SEC. 1412. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR ARMED FORCES RETIREMENT HOME.

There is hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund the sum of \$63,400,000 for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

SEC. 1413. COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES REPORT ON CAPTAIN JAMES A. LOVELL FEDERAL HEALTH CARE CENTER, NORTH CHICAGO, ILLINOIS.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the submittal to Congress by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs of the evaluation report on the joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs medical facility demonstration project known as the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, North Chicago, Illinois, that is required to be submitted in March 2016, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report on that demonstration project.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The report required by subsection (a) shall include an assessment by the Comptroller General of the following:

(1) The evaluation measures, standards, and criteria used by the Department of Defense and the Department of Veterans Affairs to measure the overall effectiveness and success of the medical facility referred to in subsection (a).

(2) The measurable effect, if any, on the missions of the Department of the Navy and the Department of Veterans Affairs of the provision of care in a joint facility such as the medical facility.

(3) Such other matters with respect to the medical facility demonstration project described in subsection (a) as the Comptroller General considers appropriate.

(c) AVAILABILITY OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS.—For purposes of the report required by subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs shall make available to the Comptroller General any documents related to the medical facility demonstration project referred to in such subsection, including any evaluation plans, task summaries, in-process reviews, interim reports, and draft final report.

(d) *APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate committees of Congress” means—

- (1) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the Senate; and
- (2) the Committee on Armed Services and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs of the House of Representatives.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

- Sec. 1501. Purpose.*
- Sec. 1502. Procurement.*
- Sec. 1503. Research, development, test, and evaluation.*
- Sec. 1504. Operation and maintenance.*
- Sec. 1505. Military personnel.*
- Sec. 1506. Working capital funds.*
- Sec. 1507. Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide.*
- Sec. 1508. Defense Inspector General.*
- Sec. 1509. Defense Health program.*
- Sec. 1510. Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.*
- Sec. 1511. European Reassurance Initiative.*

Subtitle B—Financial Matters

- Sec. 1521. Treatment as additional authorizations.*
- Sec. 1522. Special transfer authority.*

Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters

- Sec. 1531. Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund.*
- Sec. 1532. Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.*
- Sec. 1533. Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.*
- Sec. 1534. Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.*
- Sec. 1535. European Reassurance Initiative.*
- Sec. 1536. Plan for transition of funding of United States Special Operations Command from supplemental funding for overseas contingency operations to recurring funding for future-years defense programs.*

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 1501. PURPOSE.

The purpose of this subtitle is to authorize appropriations for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency operations being carried out by the Armed Forces.

SEC. 1502. PROCUREMENT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for procurement accounts for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4102.

SEC. 1503. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, develop-

ment, test, and evaluation, as specified in the funding table in section 4202.

SEC. 1504. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4302.

SEC. 1505. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military personnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.

SEC. 1506. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for providing capital for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

SEC. 1507. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

SEC. 1508. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

SEC. 1509. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

SEC. 1510. COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

(b) **DURATION OF AVAILABILITY.**—Amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available for obligation through September 30, 2016.

SEC. 1511. EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015 for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for the European Reassurance Initiative, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

(b) *DURATION OF AVAILABILITY.*—Amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available for obligation through September 30, 2016.

Subtitle B—Financial Matters

SEC. 1521. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AUTHORIZATIONS.

The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

SEC. 1522. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.

(a) AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—

(1) AUTHORITY.—Upon determination by the Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in the national interest, the Secretary may transfer amounts of authorizations made available to the Department of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2015 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authorizations so transferred shall be merged with and be available for the same purposes as the authorization to which transferred.

(2) LIMITATIONS.—The total amount of authorizations that the Secretary may transfer under the authority of this subsection may not exceed \$3,500,000,000.

(b) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—Transfers under this section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions as transfers under section 1001.

(c) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided by this section is in addition to the transfer authority provided under section 1001.

Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters

SEC. 1531. AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND.

No amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act may be available for, or used for purposes of, the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund.

SEC. 1532. AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.

(a) CONTINUATION OF EXISTING LIMITATION ON THE USE OF AMOUNTS IN FUND.—Funds available to the Department of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund for fiscal year 2015 shall be subject to the conditions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 428), as amended by section 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).

(b) EQUIPMENT DISPOSITION.—

(1) ACCEPTANCE OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT.—Subject to paragraph (2), the Secretary of Defense may accept equipment that is procured using amounts in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund authorized under this Act and is intended for transfer to

the security forces of Afghanistan, but is not accepted by such security forces.

(2) **CONDITIONS ON ACCEPTANCE OF EQUIPMENT.**—*Before accepting any equipment under the authority provided by paragraph (1)—*

(A) *the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the report required by subsection (c); and*

(B) *the Commander of United States forces in Afghanistan shall make a determination that the equipment was procured for the purpose of meeting requirements of the security forces of Afghanistan, as agreed to by both the Government of Afghanistan and the United States, but is no longer required by such security forces or was damaged before transfer to such security forces.*

(3) **ELEMENTS OF DETERMINATION.**—*In making a determination under paragraph (2)(B) regarding equipment, the Commander of United States forces in Afghanistan shall consider alternatives to Secretary of Defense acceptance of the equipment. An explanation of each determination, including the basis for the determination and the alternatives considered, shall be included in the relevant quarterly report required under paragraph (5).*

(4) **TREATMENT AS DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE STOCKS.**—*Equipment accepted under the authority provided by paragraph (1) may be treated as stocks of the Department of Defense upon notification to the congressional defense committees of such treatment.*

(5) **QUARTERLY REPORTS ON EQUIPMENT DISPOSITION.**—*Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act and every 90-day period thereafter during which the authority provided by paragraph (1) is exercised, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the equipment accepted under this subsection or section 1531(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 938; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) during the period covered by the report. Each report shall include a list of all equipment that was accepted during the period covered by the report and treated as stocks of the Department and copies of the determinations made under paragraph (2)(B), as required by paragraph (3).*

(c) **REPORT ON AFGHANISTAN EQUIPMENT PROCUREMENT PROCESS.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Commander of United States forces in Afghanistan, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing in detail—*

(1) *the methods used to identify equipment requirements for the security forces of Afghanistan and to incorporate such requirements into the procurement process for such security forces; and*

(2) *the steps being taken to improve coordination between United States forces in Afghanistan and the security forces of Afghanistan within such procurement process.*

(d) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—Section 1531(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 938; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note)—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “prior Acts” and inserting “this Act or prior Acts”; and

(2) by striking paragraph (3).

SEC. 1533. JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND.

(a) *USE AND TRANSFER OF FUNDS.*—Subsections (b) and (c) of section 1514 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364; 120 Stat. 2439), as in effect before the amendments made by section 1503 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4649), but as amended by subsection (b) of this section, shall apply to the funds made available to the Department of Defense for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund for fiscal year 2015.

(b) *PLAN FOR CONSOLIDATION AND ALIGNMENT OF RAPID ACQUISITION ORGANIZATIONS.*—

(1) *PLAN REQUIRED.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to consolidate and align all of the rapid acquisition or quick reaction capability organizations, including, at a minimum, the following—

(A) The Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO).

(B) The Joint Rapid Acquisition Cell (JRAC).

(C) The Warfighter Senior Integration Group (SIG).

(D) The Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Task Force.

(E) The Afghanistan Resources Oversight Council (AROC).

(F) Any other Department of Defense-wide or military department specific organizations, and associated capabilities and funding, carrying out comparable joint urgent operational needs (JUONs) or joint emergent operational needs (JEONs) efforts.

(2) *PLAN ELEMENTS.*—The plan required by this subsection shall include the following elements:

(A) A review, and if necessary, recommended modifications to the current arrangements for oversight of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization within the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

(B) A review and, if necessary, recommended modifications to the current policies and regulations governing the satisfaction of joint urgent operational needs (JUONs) and joint emergent operational needs (JEONs).

(C) A review, and if necessary, recommended modifications to authorities provided to enduring or successor rapid acquisition or quick reaction capability organizations.

(3) *PLAN IMPLEMENTATION.*—The plan required by this subsection shall include a timeline for—

(A) implementation of the consolidation and alignment decisions contained in the plan; and

(B) consolidation of funding sources, including the consolidation of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund with the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

(c) **EXTENSION OF INTERDICTION OF IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE PRECURSOR CHEMICALS AUTHORITY.**—Section 1532(c)(4) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2057), as amended by section 1532(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 939), is amended by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

(d) **PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS.**—

(1) **PROHIBITION; EXCEPTIONS.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization may be used for the purposes of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization assigning personnel or contractors on a permanent or temporary basis, or as a detail, to the combatant commands or associated military components unless such personnel or contractors are supporting—

(A) Operation Enduring Freedom and any successor operation to that operation,

(B) Operation Inherent Resolve and any successor operation to that operation, or

(C) another operation that, as determined by the Secretary of Defense, requires the direct support of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.

(2) **CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.**—If the Secretary of Defense makes a determination pursuant to paragraph (1)(C) that an operation requires the direct support of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notice of the determination and the reasons for the determination.

SEC. 1534. COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND.

(a) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—Amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 by this title for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund shall be available for the following purposes:

(1) To provide support and assistance to foreign security forces or other groups or individuals to conduct, support, or facilitate counterterrorism and crisis response activities under authority provided the Department of Defense by any other provision of law (in this section referred to as an “underlying Department of Defense authority”).

(2) To improve the capacity of the United States Armed Forces to provide enabling support to counterterrorism and crisis response activities undertaken by foreign security forces or other groups or individuals under any underlying Department of Defense authority.

(b) **GEOGRAPHIC LIMITATION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Activities using amounts available pursuant to subsection (a) may be conducted only in the area of responsibility of the United States Central Command or the United States Africa Command, but may not include activities

for the provision of assistance or other support for the Government of Iraq.

(2) **ADDITIONAL AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY.**—Activities using amounts available pursuant to subsection (a) may be conducted in an area of responsibility of a geographic combatant command not specified in paragraph (1) if the Secretary of Defense determines that—

(A) such activities are consistent with the purposes specified in subsection (a);

(B) the absence of such activities would result in an increased risk to the national security of the United States; and

(C) such activities could not be conducted using funds already available to the Department of Defense (other than funds transferred from the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund).

(3) **NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF ADDITIONAL AREAS.**—The Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a notification of any determination made pursuant to paragraph (2) not later than 15 days before transferring amounts from the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund for activities in the area of responsibility covered by such determination.

(c) **CONTRACT AUTHORITY.**—Activities using amounts available pursuant to subsection (a) may be conducted by contract, including contractor-operated capabilities, if the Secretary of Defense typically acquires services or equipment by contract in conducting a similar activity for the Department of Defense.

(d) **TRANSFER REQUIREMENT AND AUTHORITIES.**—

(1) **USE OF FUNDS ONLY PURSUANT TO TRANSFER.**—Amounts in the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund may be used for the purposes specified in subsection (a) only pursuant to transfers authorized by this subsection.

(2) **TRANSFERS AUTHORIZED.**—Amounts in the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund may be transferred from the Fund to any accounts of the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance for the purposes specified in subsection (a).

(3) **REPROGRAMMING REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit a reprogramming or transfer request from amounts authorized to be appropriated by section 1510 to the congressional defense committees to carry out activities supported under this section. Each such request shall set forth the following:

(A) A detailed description of the activities to be supported by the reprogramming or transfer, including the request of the commander of the combatant command concerned for support, urgent operational need, or emergent operational need.

(B) The amount planned to be obligated or expended on such activities, the recipient of such amount, and the timeline for such obligation or expenditure.

(C) The underlying Department of Defense authorities that authorize such activities.

(4) **EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.**—The transfer of an amount to an account under the authority in paragraph (2)

shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for such account by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(5) *TRANSFERS BACK TO THE FUND.*—Upon a determination that all or part of the funds transferred from the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund under paragraph (2) are not necessary for the purpose provided, such funds may be transferred back to the Fund.

(6) *CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER TRANSFER AUTHORITY.*—The transfer authority provided by paragraph (2) is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense.

(e) *CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER LIMITATIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in paragraph (2), nothing in this section may be construed to terminate, alter, or override any requirement or limitation applicable to activities funded with amounts in the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund under the underlying Department of Defense authority that authorizes such activities.

(2) *INAPPLICABILITY OF LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—A limitation on the amount that may be used for activities in a fiscal year under the underlying Department of Defense authority that authorizes such activities shall not apply to amounts made available for such activities in such fiscal year pursuant to this section.

(f) *PLAN.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan for the intended management and use of the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund. The plan shall include the following:

(1) An identification of the underlying Department of Defense authorities that the Secretary has identified as available for use pursuant to subsection (a).

(2) A detailed description, to the maximum extent practicable, of the requirements, activities, and planned allocation of amounts available for use pursuant to subsection (a).

(3) An identification of the senior civilian employee of the Department of Defense designated by the Secretary to serve as manager of the Fund.

(g) *SEMI-ANNUAL REPORTS.*—Not later than 60 days after the end of the first half of fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017, and the second half of fiscal years 2015 and 2016, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth, for the preceding fiscal half-year, the following:

(1) A description of the underlying Department of Defense authorities that authorized activities supported by the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.

(2) A description of the activities supported by the Fund.

(3) A description of any obligations and expenditures of amounts transferred from the Fund, including recipients of amounts, set forth by country (where applicable).

(4) A description of any determinations made as described in subsection (d)(5), and a description of any transfers back to the Fund pursuant to that subsection.

(5) A description of any revisions to the plan submitted pursuant to subsection (f).

(h) DURATION OF AUTHORITY.—No amounts may be transferred from the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund after December 31, 2016.

SEC. 1535. EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE.

(a) TOTAL AMOUNT AND AUTHORIZED PURPOSES OF ERI.—The \$1,000,000,000 authorized to be appropriated in sections 1502, 1504, 1505, 1511, and 2904 for fiscal year 2015 for the European Reassurance Initiative, as specified in the funding tables in sections 4102, 4302, 4402, 4502, and 4602, may be used by the Secretary of Defense solely for the following purposes:

(1) Activities to increase the presence of the United States Armed Forces in Europe.

(2) Bilateral and multilateral military exercises and training with allies and partner nations in Europe.

(3) Activities to improve infrastructure in Europe to enhance the responsiveness of the United States Armed Forces.

(4) Activities to enhance the prepositioning in Europe of equipment of the United States Armed Forces.

(5) Activities to build the defense and security capacity of allies and partner nations in Europe.

(b) ACTIVITIES TO BUILD DEFENSE AND SECURITY CAPACITY OF ALLIES AND PARTNER NATIONS.—Of the funds made available for the European Reassurance Initiative that will be used for the purpose specified in subsection (a)(5)—

(1) not less than \$75,000,000 shall be available to be used for programs, activities, and assistance to support the Government of Ukraine;

(2) not less than \$30,000,000 shall be available to be used for programs and activities to build the capacity of European allies and partner nations; and

(3) the Secretary of Defense may transfer the funds to support activities conducted under the authorities of the Department of Defense specified in section 1274(c) of this Act.

(c) TRANSFER REQUIREMENTS RELATED TO CERTAIN FUNDS.—

(1) USE OF FUNDS ONLY PURSUANT TO TRANSFER.—In the case of the funds authorized to be appropriated in section 1511 for the European Reassurance Initiative Fund, as specified in the funding tables in section 4502, the funds may be used for the purposes specified in subsection (a) only pursuant to a transfer of the funds to either or both of the following accounts of the Department of Defense:

(A) Military personnel accounts.

(B) Operation and maintenance accounts.

(2) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—During fiscal years 2015 and 2016, the transfer of an amount made available for the European Reassurance Initiative to an account under the authority provided by paragraph (1) or subsection (b)(3) shall be deemed to increase the amount authorized for such account by an amount equal to the amount transferred.

(3) CONSTRUCTION WITH OTHER TRANSFER AUTHORITY.—The transfer authority provided by paragraph (1) and subsection

(b)(3) is in addition to any other transfer authority available to the Department of Defense.

(d) *NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.*—Not later than 15 days before that date on which a transfer of funds under subsection (b)(3) or (c)(1) takes effect, the Secretary of Defense shall notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the planned transfer. Each notice of a transfer of funds shall include the following:

(1) A detailed description of the project or activity to be supported by the transfer of funds, including any request of the Commander of the United States European Command for support, urgent operational need, or emergent operational need.

(2) The amount planned to be transferred and expended on such project or activity.

(3) A timeline for expenditure of the transferred funds.

(e) *DURATION OF TRANSFER AUTHORITY.*—The transfer authority provided by subsections (b)(3) and (c)(1) expires September 30, 2016.

SEC. 1536. PLAN FOR TRANSITION OF FUNDING OF UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND FROM SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TO RECURRING FUNDING FOR FUTURE-YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAMS.

At the same time the budget of the President for fiscal year 2016 is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to maintain critical and enduring special operations capabilities for the United States Special Operations Command by fully transitioning funding for the United States Special Operations Command from funds available for overseas contingency operations to funds available for the Department of Defense on a recurring basis for purposes of future-years defense programs.

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE MATTERS

Subtitle A—Space Activities

Sec. 1601. Department of Defense Space Security and Defense Program.

Sec. 1602. Evolved expendable launch vehicle notification.

Sec. 1603. Satellite communications responsibilities of Executive Agent for Space.

Sec. 1604. Rocket propulsion system development program.

Sec. 1605. Pilot program for acquisition of commercial satellite communication services.

Sec. 1606. Update of National Security Space Strategy to include space control and space superiority strategy.

Sec. 1607. Allocation of funds for the Space Security and Defense Program; report on space control.

Sec. 1608. Prohibition on contracting with Russian suppliers of rocket engines for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program.

Sec. 1609. Assessment of evolved expendable launch vehicle program.

Sec. 1610. Competitive procedures required to launch payload for mission number five of the Operationally Responsive Space Program.

Sec. 1611. Availability of additional rocket cores pursuant to competitive procedures.

Sec. 1612. Limitations on availability of funds for weather satellite follow-on system and Defense Meteorological Satellite program.

Sec. 1613. Limitation on availability of funds for space-based infrared systems space data exploitation.

- Sec. 1614. *Limitations on availability of funds for hosted payload and wide field of view testbed of the space-based infrared systems.*
- Sec. 1615. *Limitations on availability of funds for protected tactical demonstration and protected military satellite communications testbed of the advanced extremely high frequency program.*
- Sec. 1616. *Study of space situational awareness architecture.*
- Sec. 1617. *Briefing on range support for launches in support of national security.*

Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities

- Sec. 1621. *Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities Executive Agent.*
- Sec. 1622. *One-year extension of report on imagery intelligence and geospatial information support provided to regional organizations and security alliances.*
- Sec. 1623. *Extension of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities.*
- Sec. 1624. *Extension of authority relating to jurisdiction over Department of Defense facilities for intelligence collection or special operations activities abroad.*
- Sec. 1625. *Assessment and limitation on availability of funds for intelligence activities and programs of United States Special Operations Command and special operations forces.*
- Sec. 1626. *Annual briefing on the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the combatant commands.*
- Sec. 1627. *Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation.*
- Sec. 1628. *Personnel security and insider threat.*
- Sec. 1629. *Migration of Distributed Common Ground System of Department of the Army to an open system architecture.*

Subtitle C—Cyberspace-Related Matters

- Sec. 1631. *Budgeting and accounting for cyber mission forces.*
- Sec. 1632. *Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors.*
- Sec. 1633. *Executive agents for cyber test and training ranges.*
- Sec. 1634. *Cyberspace mapping.*
- Sec. 1635. *Review of cross domain solution policy and requirement for cross domain solution strategy.*
- Sec. 1636. *Requirement for strategy to develop and deploy decryption service for the Joint Information Environment.*
- Sec. 1637. *Actions to address economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace.*
- Sec. 1638. *Sense of Congress regarding role of reserve components in defense of United States against cyber attacks.*
- Sec. 1639. *Sense of Congress on the future of the Internet and the .MIL top-level domain.*

Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces

- Sec. 1641. *Preparation of annual budget request regarding nuclear weapons.*
- Sec. 1642. *Improvement to biennial assessment on delivery platforms for nuclear weapons and the nuclear command and control system.*
- Sec. 1643. *Congressional Budget Office review of cost estimates for nuclear weapons.*
- Sec. 1644. *Retention of missile silos.*
- Sec. 1645. *Procurement authority for certain parts of intercontinental ballistic missile fuzes.*
- Sec. 1646. *Assessment of nuclear weapon secondary requirement.*
- Sec. 1647. *Certification on nuclear force structure.*
- Sec. 1648. *Advance notice and reports on B61 life extension program.*
- Sec. 1649. *Notification and report concerning removal or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft from Europe.*
- Sec. 1650. *Reports on installation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems at headquarters of United States Strategic Command.*
- Sec. 1651. *Report on plans for response of Department of Defense to INF Treaty violation.*
- Sec. 1652. *Statement of policy on the nuclear triad.*
- Sec. 1653. *Sense of Congress on deterrence and defense posture of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization.*

Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs

- Sec. 1661. *Availability of funds for Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system.*

- Sec. 1662. *Testing and assessment of missile defense systems prior to production and deployment.*
- Sec. 1663. *Acquisition plan for re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle.*
- Sec. 1664. *Study on testing program of ground-based midcourse missile defense system.*
- Sec. 1665. *Sense of Congress and report on homeland ballistic missile defense.*
- Sec. 1666. *Sense of Congress and report on regional ballistic missile defense.*

Subtitle A—Space Activities

SEC. 1601. DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM.

- (a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—*It is the sense of Congress that—*
- (1) *critical United States national security space systems are facing a serious growing foreign threat;*
 - (2) *the People's Republic of China and the Russian Federation are both developing capabilities to disrupt the use of space by the United States in a conflict, as recently outlined by the Director of National Intelligence in testimony before Congress; and*
 - (3) *a fully-developed multi-faceted space security and defense program is needed to deter and defeat any adversaries' acts of space aggression.*

(b) *REPORT ON ABILITY OF THE UNITED STATES TO DETER AND DEFEAT ADVERSARY SPACE AGGRESSION.*—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing an assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to deter and defeat any act of space aggression by an adversary.*

(c) *STUDY ON ALTERNATIVE DEFENSE AND DETERRENCE STRATEGIES IN RESPONSE TO FOREIGN COUNTERSPACE CAPABILITIES.*—

(1) *STUDY REQUIRED.*—*The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Office of Net Assessment, shall conduct a study of potential alternative defense and deterrent strategies in response to the existing and projected counterspace capabilities of China and Russia. Such study shall include an assessment of the congruence of such strategies with the current United States defense strategy and defense programs of record, and the associated implications of pursuing such strategies.*

(2) *REPORT.*—*Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees the results of the study required under paragraph (1).*

SEC. 1602. EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE NOTIFICATION.

(a) *NOTIFICATION.*—*At the same time as the President submits the budget required under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for fiscal years 2016 and 2017, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide to the appropriate congressional committees notice of each change to the evolved expendable launch vehicle acquisition plan and schedule from the plan and schedule included in the budget submitted by the President under such section 1105 for fiscal year 2015. Such notification shall include—*

- (1) *an identification of the change;*
- (2) *a national security rationale for the change;*

(3) *the impact of the change on the evolved expendable launch vehicle block buy contract;*

(4) *the impact of the change on the opportunities for competition for certified evolved expendable launch vehicle launch providers; and*

(5) *the costs or savings of the change.*

(b) **INAPPLICABILITY OF NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT IF NO CHANGES.**—*No notification under subsection (a) is required if at the time such notification would be required no change described in subsection (a) has occurred.*

(c) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.**—*In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means—*

(1) *the congressional defense committees; and*

(2) *with respect to a change to the evolved expendable launch vehicle acquisition schedule for an intelligence-related launch, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.*

SEC. 1603. SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS RESPONSIBILITIES OF EXECUTIVE AGENT FOR SPACE.

The Secretary of Defense shall, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, revise Department of Defense directives and guidance to require the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space to ensure that in developing space strategies, architectures, and programs for satellite communications, the Executive Agent shall—

(1) *conduct strategic planning to ensure the Department of Defense is effectively and efficiently meeting the satellite communications requirements of the military departments and commanders of the combatant commands;*

(2) *coordinate with the secretaries of the military departments, the commanders of the combatant commands, and the heads of Defense Agencies to eliminate duplication of effort and to ensure that resources are used to achieve the maximum effort in related satellite communication science and technology; research, development, test and evaluation; production; and operations and sustainment;*

(3) *coordinate with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics and the Chief Information Officer of the Department to ensure that effective and efficient acquisition approaches are being used to acquire military and commercial satellite communications for the Department, including space, ground, and user terminal integration; and*

(4) *coordinate with the chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council to develop a process to identify the current and projected satellite communications requirements of the Department.*

SEC. 1604. ROCKET PROPULSION SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.

(a) **DEVELOPMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall develop a next-generation rocket propulsion system that enables the effective, efficient, and expedient transition from the use of non-al-*

lied space launch engines to a domestic alternative for national security space launches.

(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—The system developed under paragraph (1) shall—

(A) be made in the United States;

(B) meet the requirements of the national security space community;

(C) be developed by not later than 2019;

(D) be developed using full and open competition; and

(E) be available for purchase by all space launch providers of the United States.

(b) **REPORT.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report that includes—

(1) a plan to carry out the development of the rocket propulsion system under subsection (a), including an analysis of the benefits of using public-private partnerships;

(2) the requirements of the program to develop such system; and

(3) the estimated cost of such system.

(c) **APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(1) The congressional defense committees.

(2) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

SEC. 1605. PILOT PROGRAM FOR ACQUISITION OF COMMERCIAL SATELLITE COMMUNICATION SERVICES.

(a) **PILOT PROGRAM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Defense may develop and carry out a pilot program to determine the feasibility and advisability of expanding the use of working capital funds by the Secretary to effectively and efficiently acquire commercial satellite communications services to meet the requirements of the military departments, Defense Agencies, and combatant commanders.

(2) **FUNDING.**—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated for any of fiscal years 2015 through 2020 for the Department of Defense for the acquisition of satellite communications, not more than \$50,000,000 may be obligated or expended for such pilot program during such a fiscal year.

(3) **CERTAIN AUTHORITIES.**—In carrying out the pilot program under paragraph (1), the Secretary may not use the authorities provided in sections 2208(k) and 2210(b) of title 10, United States Code.

(b) **GOALS.**—In developing and carrying out the pilot program under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary shall ensure that the pilot program—

(1) provides a cost-effective and strategic method to acquire commercial satellite communications services;

(2) incentivizes private-sector participation and investment in technologies to meet future requirements of the Department of

Defense with respect to commercial satellite communications services;

(3) takes into account the potential for a surge or other change in the demand of the Department for commercial satellite communications services in response to global or regional events; and

(4) ensures the ability of the Secretary to control and account for the cost of programs and work performed under the pilot program.

(c) DURATION.—The pilot program under subsection (a)(1) shall terminate on October 1, 2020.

(d) REPORTS.—

(1) INITIAL REPORT.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes—

(A) a plan and schedule to carry out the pilot program under subsection (a)(1); or

(B) if the Secretary finds that carrying out the pilot program authorized under subsection (a)(1) is not an appropriate method to effectively and efficiently acquire commercial satellite communications services, a description of how the Secretary will achieve the goals described in subsection (b) without carrying out such pilot program.

(2) FINAL REPORT.—Not later than December 1, 2020, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the pilot program under subsection (a)(1). The report shall include—

(A) an assessment of expanding the use of working capital funds to effectively and efficiently acquire commercial satellite communications services to meet the requirements of the military departments, Defense Agencies, and combatant commanders; and

(B) a description of—

(i) any contract entered into under the pilot program, the funding used under such contract, and the efficiencies realized under such contract;

(ii) the advantages and challenges of using working capital funds as described in subparagraph (A);

(iii) any additional authorities the Secretary determines necessary to acquire commercial satellite communications services as described in subsection (a)(1); and

(iv) any recommendations of the Secretary with respect to improving or extending the pilot program.

SEC. 1606. UPDATE OF NATIONAL SECURITY SPACE STRATEGY TO INCLUDE SPACE CONTROL AND SPACE SUPERIORITY STRATEGY.

(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, update the National Security Space Strategy to include a strategy relating to space control and space superiority for the protection of national security space assets.

(b) ELEMENTS.—The strategy relating to space control and space superiority required by subsection (a) shall address the following:

- (1) *Threats to national security space assets.*
- (2) *Protection of national security space assets.*
- (3) *The role of offensive space operations.*
- (4) *Countering offensive space operations.*
- (5) *Operations to implement the strategy.*
- (6) *Projected resources required over the period covered by the current future-years defense program under section 221 of title 10, United States Code.*
- (7) *The development of an effective deterrence posture.*

(c) **CONSISTENCY WITH SPACE PROTECTION STRATEGY.**—*The Secretary shall, in consultation with the Director, ensure that the strategy relating to space control and space superiority required by subsection (a) is consistent with the Space Protection Strategy developed under section 911 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 2271 note).*

(d) **REPORT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than March 31, 2015, the Secretary shall, in consultation with the Director, submit a report on the strategy relating to space control and space superiority required by subsection (a) to—*

(A) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and*

(B) *the Committee on Armed Services and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

(2) **FORM OF REPORT.**—*If the report required by paragraph (1) is submitted in classified form, such report shall also include an unclassified summary.*

(e) **SPACE PROTECTION STRATEGY.**—*Section 911(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (10 U.S.C. 2271 note) is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:*

“(4) *Fiscal years 2026 through 2030.*”.

SEC. 1607. ALLOCATION OF FUNDS FOR THE SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM; REPORT ON SPACE CONTROL.

(a) **ALLOCATION OF FUNDS.**—*Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or any other Act and made available for the Space Security and Defense Program, a majority of such funds shall be allocated to the development of offensive space control and active defensive strategies and capabilities.*

(b) **STATEMENT WITH RESPECT TO ALLOCATION.**—*The Secretary of Defense shall include, in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the budget of the Department of Defense for a fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), a statement with respect to whether the budget of the Department allocates funds for the Space Security and Defense Program as required by subsection (a).*

(c) **REPORT.**—*Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report that contains the following:*

- (1) *An updated integrated capabilities document for offensive space control.*

(2) *A concept of operations for the defense of critical national security space assets in all orbital regimes.*

(3) *An assessment of the effectiveness of existing deterrence strategies.*

(4) *A review of the appropriate types of accounts that should be used to fund space control programs in accordance with the direction required by subsection (a).*

(d) **TERMINATION OF REQUIREMENT.**—*The requirements under subsections (a) and (b) shall terminate on the date that is five years after the date of the enactment of this Act.*

SEC. 1608. PROHIBITION ON CONTRACTING WITH RUSSIAN SUPPLIERS OF ROCKET ENGINES FOR THE EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Except as provided by subsections (b) and (c), beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense may not award or renew a contract for the procurement of property or services for space launch activities under the evolved expendable launch vehicle program if such contract carries out such space launch activities using rocket engines designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation.*

(b) **WAIVER.**—*The Secretary may waive the prohibition under subsection (a) with respect to a contract for the procurement of property or services for space launch activities if the Secretary determines, and certifies to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days before the waiver takes effect, that—*

(1) *the waiver is necessary for the national security interests of the United States; and*

(2) *the space launch services and capabilities covered by the contract could not be obtained at a fair and reasonable price without the use of rocket engines designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation.*

(c) **EXCEPTION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*The prohibition in subsection (a) shall not apply to either—*

(A) *the placement of orders or the exercise of options under the contract numbered FA8811-13-C-0003 and awarded on December 18, 2013; or*

(B) *subject to paragraph (2), a contract awarded for the procurement of property or services for space launch activities that includes the use of rocket engines designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation that prior to February 1, 2014, were either fully paid for by the contractor or covered by a legally binding commitment of the contractor to fully pay for such rocket engines.*

(2) **CERTIFICATION.**—*The Secretary may not award or renew a contract for the procurement of property or services for space launch activities described in paragraph (1)(B) unless the Secretary, upon the advice of the General Counsel of the Department of Defense, certifies to the congressional defense committees that the offeror has provided to the Secretary sufficient documentation to conclusively demonstrate that prior to February 1, 2014, the offeror had either fully paid for the rocket engines described in such paragraph or made a legally binding commitment to fully pay for such rocket engines.*

SEC. 1609. ASSESSMENT OF EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM.

Not later than June 1, 2015, the Comptroller General of the United States shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the evolved expendable launch vehicle program that includes an assessment of the advisability of the Secretary of Defense requiring, when selecting launch providers for the program using competitive procedures as described in section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, that new entrant launch providers or incumbent launch providers establish or maintain business systems that comply with the data requirements and cost accounting standards of the Department of Defense, including certified cost or price data.

SEC. 1610. COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES REQUIRED TO LAUNCH PAYLOAD FOR MISSION NUMBER FIVE OF THE OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE PROGRAM.

(a) IN GENERAL.—In awarding a contract for the launch of the payload for mission number five of the Operationally Responsive Space Program, the Secretary of the Air Force shall use competitive procedures described in section 2304 of title 10, United States Code, and ensure that the policies of the Department of Defense concerning competitive space launch opportunities are followed.

(b) WAIVER.—The Secretary may waive the requirement under subsection (a) if—

(1) the Secretary—

(A) determines that the waiver is necessary in the national security interests of the United States; and

(B) submits to the congressional defense committees a report on such determination and use of the waiver; and

(2) a period of 15 days elapses following the date on which the Secretary submits such report.

SEC. 1611. AVAILABILITY OF ADDITIONAL ROCKET CORES PURSUANT TO COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Relative to the number of rocket cores for which space launch providers certified under the evolved expendable launch vehicle program may submit bids or competitive proposals under competitive procedures pursuant to the National Security Space Launch Procurement Forecast, as of the date on which the President submitted the budget for fiscal year 2015 to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) during fiscal year 2015, increase by one the number of such cores for which such providers may submit bids or competitive proposals; and

(2) for fiscal years 2015 through 2017, increase by one (in addition to the core referred to in paragraph (1)) the number of such cores for which such providers may submit bids or competitive proposals, unless the Secretary—

(A) determines that there is no practicable way to increase the number of such cores for which such providers may submit bids or competitive proposals and remain in compliance with the requirements of the firm fixed price contract for 36 rocket engine cores during the five fiscal years beginning with fiscal year 2013; and

(B) not later than 45 days after making such determination, submits to the congressional defense committees—

- (i) a certification that there is no practicable way to make the increase described in subparagraph (A); and
- (ii) a description of the basis for the determination.

(b) *COMPETITIVE PROCEDURES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “competitive procedures” means procedures as described in section 2304 of title 10, United States Code.

SEC. 1612. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR WEATHER SATELLITE FOLLOW-ON SYSTEM AND DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM.

(a) *WEATHER SATELLITE FOLLOW-ON SYSTEM.*—

(1) *LIMITATION.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for the weather satellite follow-on system, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees the plan under paragraph (2).

(2) *PLAN REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan to meet the meteorological and oceanographic collection requirements of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, including the requirements of the combatant commands, the military departments, and the Defense Agencies (as defined in section 101(a)(11) of title 10, United States Code). The plan shall include the following:

(A) How the Secretary will use existing assets of the defense meteorological satellite program, including an identification of the extent to which requirements can be addressed by the Defense Meteorological Satellite program.

(B) How the Secretary will use other sources of data, such as civil, commercial satellite weather data, and international partnerships, to meet such requirements, and the extent to which requirements can be addressed by such sources of data.

(C) An explanation of the relevant risks, costs, and schedule.

(D) The requirements of the weather satellite follow-on system.

(3) *GAO REVIEW.*—

(A) The Comptroller General of the United States shall review the analysis of alternatives for the weather satellite follow-on system, or space based environmental monitoring, to determine—

(i) the extent that such analysis of alternatives met best practices and fully addressed the concerns of the acquisition, operation, and user communities; and

(ii) how the Department of Defense assessed and addressed the cost, schedule, and risks posed for each alternative evaluated under such analysis of alternatives.

(B) The Comptroller General shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the review under subparagraph (A).

(b) *DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM.*—

(1) *LIMITATION.*—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program may be obligated or expended for the storage of a satellite of such program until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that—

(A) the Department of Defense intends to launch the satellite; and

(B) storing the satellite until the anticipated launch of the satellite is the most cost-effective approach to meeting the requirements of the Department.

(2) *REQUIREMENTS IN THE EVENT OF NO LAUNCH.*—

(A) If the Secretary determines not to launch the next satellite of the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program, the Secretary shall—

(i) certify to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary will be able to meet the related requirements of the Department; and

(ii) not later than 60 days after making such certification, submit to such committees a report on how the Secretary will meet such related requirements.

(B) The Comptroller General shall—

(i) review the report submitted under subparagraph (A)(ii) to ensure that such report fully addresses the concerns of the user communities; and

(ii) submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing such review.

SEC. 1613. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR SPACE-BASED INFRARED SYSTEMS SPACE DATA EXPLOITATION.

Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for data exploitation under the space-based infrared systems, not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended until the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force, acting as the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space, submits to the congressional defense committees certification that—

(1) such funds will be used in support of data exploitation of the current space-based infrared systems program of record, including the scanning and staring sensor; or

(2) the data from such program of record, including such scanning and staring sensor, is being fully exploited and no further efforts are warranted.

SEC. 1614. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR HOSTED PAYLOAD AND WIDE FIELD OF VIEW TESTBED OF THE SPACE-BASED INFRARED SYSTEMS.

(a) *PHASED LIMITATIONS.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for the hosted payload and wide field of view testbed of the space-based infrared systems program—

(1) not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended on alternative approaches to the program of record of such program until the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the appro-

appropriate congressional committees a copy of the analysis of alternatives for such program of record; and

(2) following the date on which the Secretary submits such analysis of alternatives, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended on alternative approaches to the program of record of such program until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command jointly provide to the appropriate congressional committees a briefing on the findings and recommendations of the Secretary and Commander under such analysis of alternatives, including the cost evaluation of the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.

(b) *EXCEPTION.*—The limitations in subsection (a) shall not apply to efforts to examine and develop technology insertion opportunities for the program of record specified in subsection (a).

(c) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:

(1) The congressional defense committees.

(2) The Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(3) The Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

SEC. 1615. LIMITATIONS ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR PROTECTED TACTICAL DEMONSTRATION AND PROTECTED MILITARY SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS TESTBED OF THE ADVANCED EXTREMELY HIGH FREQUENCY PROGRAM.

(a) *PHASED LIMITATIONS.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for research, development, test, and evaluation, Air Force, for the protected tactical demonstration and protected military satellite communications testbed of the advanced extremely high frequency program—

(1) not more than 50 percent may be obligated or expended on alternative approaches to the program of record for such program until the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a copy of the analysis of alternatives for such program of record; and

(2) following the date on which the Secretary submits such analysis of alternatives, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended on alternative approaches to the program of record for such program until a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command jointly provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the findings and recommendations of the Secretary and Commander under such analysis of alternatives, including the cost evaluation of the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation.

(b) *EXCEPTION.*—The limitations in subsection (a) shall not apply to efforts to examine and develop technology insertion opportunities for the current, as of the date of the enactment of this Act, programs of record.

SEC. 1616. STUDY OF SPACE SITUATIONAL AWARENESS ARCHITECTURE.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense shall direct the Defense Science Board to conduct a study of the effectiveness of the ground and space sensor system architecture for space situational awareness.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The study required by subsection (a) shall include an assessment of the following:

(1) Projected needs, based on current and future threats, for the ground and space sensor system during the five-, 10-, and 20-year periods beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) Capabilities of the ground and space sensor system to conduct defensive and offensive operations.

(3) Integration of ground and space sensors with ground processing, control, and battle management systems.

(4) Any other matters relating to space situational awareness the Secretary considers appropriate.

(c) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the study conducted under subsection (a).

(2) *FORM OF REPORT.*—If the report required by paragraph (1) is submitted in classified form, such report shall also include an unclassified summary.

SEC. 1617. BRIEFING ON RANGE SUPPORT FOR LAUNCHES IN SUPPORT OF NATIONAL SECURITY.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the requirements and investments needed to modernize Department of Defense space launch facilities and supporting infrastructure.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The briefing required under subsection (a) shall include the following elements:

(1) The results of the investigation into the failure of the radar system supporting the Eastern range in March 2014, including the causes for the failure.

(2) An assessment of each current radar and other system as well as supporting infrastructure required to support the mission requirement of the range, including back-up systems.

(3) An estimate of the annual level of dedicated funding required to maintain and modernize the range infrastructure in adequate condition to meet national security requirements.

(4) A review of requirements to repair, upgrade, and modernize the radars and other mission support systems to current technologies.

(5) A prioritized list of projects, costs, and projected funding schedules needed to carry out the maintenance, repair, and modernization requirements.

Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities

SEC. 1621. TACTICAL EXPLOITATION OF NATIONAL CAPABILITIES EXECUTIVE AGENT.

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—Subchapter I of chapter 21 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§430. Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities Executive Agent

“(a) *DESIGNATION.*—The Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence shall designate a civilian employee of the Department or a member of the armed forces to serve as the Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities Executive Agent.

“(b) *DUTIES.*—The Executive Agent designated under subsection (a) shall—

“(1) report directly to the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence;

“(2) work with the combatant commands, military departments, and the intelligence community (as defined in section 3(4) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)) to—

“(A) develop methods to increase warfighter effectiveness through the exploitation of national capabilities; and

“(B) promote cross-domain integration of such capabilities into military operations, training, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance activities.”.

(b) *BRIEFINGS.*—At the same time as the President submits to Congress the budget pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020, the Executive Agent designated under subsection (a) of section 430 of title 10, United States Code (as added by subsection (a) of this section), in consultation with the commanders of the combatant commands, the Secretaries of the military departments, and the heads of the Department of Defense intelligence agencies and offices (including the Directors of the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Security Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, and the National Reconnaissance Office), shall provide to the congressional defense committees, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives a briefing on the investments, activities, challenges, and opportunities of the Executive Agent in carrying out the responsibilities under subsection (b) of such section 430.

SEC. 1622. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF REPORT ON IMAGERY INTELLIGENCE AND GEOSPATIAL INFORMATION SUPPORT PROVIDED TO REGIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND SECURITY ALLIANCES.

Section 921(c)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1878) is amended by striking “2014 and 2015” and inserting “2014 through 2016”.

SEC. 1623. EXTENSION OF SECRETARY OF DEFENSE AUTHORITY TO ENGAGE IN COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES AS SECURITY FOR INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION ACTIVITIES.

Section 431(a) of title 10, United States Code, is amended, in the second sentence, by striking “December 31, 2015” and inserting “December 31, 2017”.

SEC. 1624. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY RELATING TO JURISDICTION OVER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES FOR INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION OR SPECIAL OPERATIONS ACTIVITIES ABROAD.

Section 926(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1541) is amended, in the matter before paragraph (1)—

(1) by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2017”; and

(2) by striking “fiscal year 2016” and inserting “fiscal year 2018”.

SEC. 1625. ASSESSMENT AND LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS OF UNITED STATES SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND AND SPECIAL OPERATIONS FORCES.

(a) ASSESSMENT.—

(1) **REQUIREMENT.**—The Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, and the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency, shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress and the Comptroller General of the United States an assessment of the intelligence activities and programs of United States Special Operations Command and special operations forces.

(2) **INCLUSIONS.**—The assessment under paragraph (1) shall include each of the following elements:

(A) An overall strategy defining such intelligence activities and programs, including definitions of intelligence activities and programs carried out by special operations forces and how such activities and programs relate to conventional military intelligence and the capabilities of the Armed Forces.

(B) The oversight roles and responsibilities of the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, and the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight with respect to the employment of special operations forces for intelligence activities and programs, including an analysis of any oversight limitations or gaps.

(C) A strategy and roadmap of United States Special Operations Command intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance programs and requirements, including enabling capabilities provided by the Armed Forces, for special operations across the future years defense program.

(D) A comprehensive description of Joint Staff-validated current and anticipated future requirements for the intelligence activities and programs of each geographic combatant commander that are likely to be fulfilled by special op-

erations forces, including those that can only be addressed by special operations forces, programs, or capabilities.

(E) Validated current and expected future United States Special Operations Command force structure requirements necessary to meet near-, mid-, and long-term special operations intelligence activities and programs of the geographic combatant commanders.

(F) A comprehensive review and assessment of statutory authorities, and Department and interagency policies, including limitations, for special operations forces intelligence activities and programs.

(G) A cost estimate of special operations intelligence activities and programs, including an estimate of the costs of the period of the current future years defense program, including a description of all rules and assumptions used to develop the cost estimates.

(H) A copy of any memoranda of understanding or memoranda of agreement between the Department of Defense and other departments or agencies of the United States Government, or between components of the Department of Defense that are required to implement objectives of special operations intelligence activities and programs.

(I) Any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.

(3) *FORM.*—The assessment required under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(4) *COMPTROLLER GENERAL REVIEW.*—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the assessment required under paragraph (1) is submitted, the Comptroller General shall submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a review of such assessment. Such review shall include an assessment of—

(A) the extent to which the assessment required under paragraph (1) addressed the elements required under paragraph (2);

(B) the sufficiency of oversight of the intelligence activities and programs of special operations forces by the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, and the Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Intelligence Oversight;

(C) the validity of the cost estimate of special operations intelligence activities and programs required by paragraph (2)(G); and

(D) any other matters the Comptroller General determines are relevant.

(b) *LIMITATIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to paragraph (2), not more than 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for procurement, Defense-wide, for intelligence systems, and for research, development, test, and evaluation, Defense-wide, for intelligence systems development may be obligated until the assessment required under subsection (a) is submitted.

(2) *EXCEPTION.*—Paragraph (1) shall not apply—

(A) with respect to funds authorized to be appropriated for Overseas Contingency Operations under title XV; or
 (B) in any case where the Secretary of Defense determines the limitation in paragraph (1) may impede a current operation.

(c) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **APPROPRIATE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS.**—The term “appropriate committees of Congress” means the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate.

(2) **FUTURE YEARS DEFENSE PROGRAM.**—The term “future years defense program” means the future years defense program under section 221 of title 10, United States Code.

(3) **GEOGRAPHIC COMBATANT COMMANDER.**—The term “geographic combatant commander” means a commander of a combatant command (as defined in section 161(c) of title 10, United States Code) with a geographic area of responsibility.

SEC. 1626. ANNUAL BRIEFING ON THE INTELLIGENCE, SURVEILLANCE, AND RECONNAISSANCE REQUIREMENTS OF THE COMBATANT COMMANDS.

At the same time that the President’s budget is submitted pursuant to section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020—

(1) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff shall provide to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing on—

(A) the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements, by specific intelligence capability type, of each of the combatant commands;

(B) for the year preceding the year in which the briefing is provided, the satisfaction rate of each of the combatant commands with the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements, by specific intelligence capability type, of such combatant command; and

(C) a risk analysis identifying the critical gaps and shortfalls in such requirements in relation to such satisfaction rate; and

(2) the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence shall provide to the congressional defense committees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing on short-term, mid-term, and long-term strategies to address the critical intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance requirements of the combatant commands.

SEC. 1627. PROHIBITION ON NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION.

(a) **PROHIBITION.**—No amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense may be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2015, to execute—

(1) the separation of the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget;

(2) the consolidation of the National Intelligence Program budget within the Department of Defense budget; or

(3) the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for the National Intelligence Program budget.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM.—The term “National Intelligence Program” has the meaning given the term in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

(2) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM BUDGET.—The term “National Intelligence Program budget” means the portions of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program.

SEC. 1628. PERSONNEL SECURITY AND INSIDER THREAT.

(a) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 30, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress a report on the plans of the Department to address—

(1) the adoption of an interim capability to continuously evaluate the security status of the employees and contractors of the Department who have been determined eligible for and granted access to classified information by the Department of Defense Central Adjudication Facilities;

(2) the use of an interim system to assist in developing requirements, lessons learned, business rules, privacy standards, and operational concepts applicable to the objective automated records checks and continuous evaluation capability required by the strategy for modernizing personnel security;

(3) the engineering for an interim system and the objective automated records checks and continuous evaluation capability for initial investigations and reinvestigations required by the strategy for modernizing personnel security to support automation-assisted insider threat analyses conducted across the law enforcement, personnel security, human resources, counterintelligence, physical security, network behavior monitoring, and cybersecurity activities of all the components of the Department of Defense, pursuant to Executive Order 13587;

(4) how competitive processes and open systems designs will be used to acquire advanced commercial technologies throughout the life cycle of the objective continuous evaluation capability required by the strategy for modernizing personnel security;

(5) how the senior agency official in the Department of Defense for insider threat detection and prevention will be supported by experts in counterintelligence, personnel security, law enforcement, human resources, physical security, network monitoring, cybersecurity, and privacy and civil liberties from relevant components of the Department and experts in information technology, large-scale data analysis, systems engineering, and program acquisition;

(6) how the senior agency official, in developing the integrated, automation-assisted insider threat capability, will be supported by—

(A) the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics;

(B) the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense; and

(C) the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness; and

(7) who will be responsible and accountable for managing the development and fielding of the automation-assisted insider threat capability.

(b) **INCLUSION OF GAPS.**—The report required under subsection (a) shall include specific gaps in policy and statute to address the requirements placed on the Department by section 907(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) and Executive Order 13587.

(c) **STRATEGY FOR MODERNIZING PERSONNEL SECURITY DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “strategy for modernizing personnel security” means the strategy developed under section 907(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66).

SEC. 1629. MIGRATION OF DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEM OF DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY TO AN OPEN SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE.

(a) **MIGRATION REQUIRED.**—Not later than three years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall migrate the Distributed Common Ground System of the Department of the Army, including the Red Disk initiative under development at the Intelligence and Security Command, to an open system architecture to enable—

(1) competitive acquisition of components, services, and applications for the Distributed Common Ground System; and

(2) rapid competitive development and integration of new capabilities for the Distributed Common Ground System.

(b) **COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE STANDARDS.**—In carrying out the migration required by subsection (a), the Secretary shall ensure that the Distributed Common Ground System—

(1) is in compliance with the open system architecture standards developed under the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise by the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence; and

(2) reuses services and components of the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise.

(c) **OPEN SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE DEFINED.**—In this section, the term “open system architecture” means, with respect to an information technology system, an integrated business and technical strategy that—

(1) employs a modular design and uses widely supported and consensus-based standards for key interfaces;

(2) is subjected to successful validation and verification tests to ensure key interfaces comply with widely supported and consensus-based standards; and

(3) uses a system architecture that allows components to be added, modified, replaced, removed, or supported by different vendors throughout the life-cycle of the system to afford oppor-

tunities for enhanced competition and innovation while yielding—

- (A) significant cost and schedule savings; and
- (B) increased interoperability.

Subtitle C—Cyberspace-Related Matters

SEC. 1631. BUDGETING AND ACCOUNTING FOR CYBER MISSION FORCES.

(a) **BUDGETING.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Chapter 9 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 238. Cyber mission forces: program elements

“(a) **BUDGET JUSTIFICATION DISPLAY.**—The Secretary of Defense shall submit to Congress, as a part of the defense budget materials for fiscal year 2017 and each fiscal year thereafter, a budget justification display that includes—

“(1) a major force program category for the five-year defense plan of the Department of Defense for the training, manning, and equipping of the cyber mission forces; and

“(2) program elements for the cyber mission forces.

“(b) **WAIVER.**—The Secretary may waive the requirement under subsection (a) for fiscal year 2017 if the Secretary—

“(1) determines the Secretary is unable to comply with such requirement for fiscal year 2017; and

“(2) establishes a plan to implement the requirement for fiscal year 2018.”.

(2) **TABLE OF SECTIONS.**—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 9 of such title is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“238. Cyber mission forces: program elements.”.

(b) **ASSESSMENT OF TRANSFER ACCOUNT FOR CYBER ACTIVITIES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall assess the feasibility and advisability of establishing a transfer account to execute the funds contained in the major force program category required by subsection (a).

(2) **REPORT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than April 1, 2015, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the assessment carried out under paragraph (1).

(B) **CONTENTS.**—The report required by subparagraph

(A) shall include the following:

(i) The findings of the Secretary with respect to the assessment carried out under paragraph (1).

(ii) A recommendation as to whether a transfer account should be established as described in such paragraph.

SEC. 1632. REPORTING ON CYBER INCIDENTS WITH RESPECT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS OF OPERATIONALLY CRITICAL CONTRACTORS.

(a) *REPORTING.*—Part I of subtitle A of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting after chapter 18 the following new chapter:

“CHAPTER 19—CYBER MATTERS

“Sec.

“391. *Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors.*

“§ 391. Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors and certain other contractors

“(a) *DESIGNATION OF DEPARTMENT COMPONENT TO RECEIVE REPORTS.*—The Secretary of Defense shall designate a component of the Department of Defense to receive reports of cyber incidents from contractors in accordance with this section and with section 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 2224 note) or from other governmental entities.

“(b) *PROCEDURES FOR REPORTING CYBER INCIDENTS.*—The Secretary of Defense shall establish procedures that require an operationally critical contractor to report in a timely manner to component designated under subsection (a) each time a cyber incident occurs with respect to a network or information system of such operationally critical contractor.

“(c) *PROCEDURE REQUIREMENTS.*—

“(1) *DESIGNATION AND NOTIFICATION.*—The procedures established pursuant to subsection (a) shall include a process for—

“(A) designating operationally critical contractors; and

“(B) notifying a contractor that it has been designated as an operationally critical contractor.

“(2) *RAPID REPORTING.*—The procedures established pursuant to subsection (a) shall require each operationally critical contractor to rapidly report to the component of the Department designated pursuant to subsection (d)(2)(A) on each cyber incident with respect to any network or information systems of such contractor. Each such report shall include the following:

“(A) An assessment by the contractor of the effect of the cyber incident on the ability of the contractor to meet the contractual requirements of the Department.

“(B) The technique or method used in such cyber incident.

“(C) A sample of any malicious software, if discovered and isolated by the contractor, involved in such cyber incident.

“(D) A summary of information compromised by such cyber incident.

“(3) *DEPARTMENT ASSISTANCE AND ACCESS TO EQUIPMENT AND INFORMATION BY DEPARTMENT PERSONNEL.*—The procedures established pursuant to subsection (a) shall—

“(A) include mechanisms for Department personnel to, if requested, assist operationally critical contractors in detecting and mitigating penetrations; and

“(B) provide that an operationally critical contractor is only required to provide access to equipment or information as described in subparagraph (A) to determine whether information created by or for the Department in connection with any Department program was successfully exfiltrated from a network or information system of such contractor and, if so, what information was exfiltrated.

“(4) PROTECTION OF TRADE SECRETS AND OTHER INFORMATION.—The procedures established pursuant to subsection (a) shall provide for the reasonable protection of trade secrets, commercial or financial information, and information that can be used to identify a specific person.

“(5) DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION.—The procedures established pursuant to subsection (a) shall limit the dissemination of information obtained or derived through the procedures to entities—

“(A) with missions that may be affected by such information;

“(B) that may be called upon to assist in the diagnosis, detection, or mitigation of cyber incidents;

“(C) that conduct counterintelligence or law enforcement investigations; or

“(D) for national security purposes, including cyber situational awareness and defense purposes.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) CYBER INCIDENT.—The term ‘cyber incident’ means actions taken through the use of computer networks that result in an actual or potentially adverse effect on an information system or the information residing therein.

“(2) OPERATIONALLY CRITICAL CONTRACTOR.—The term ‘operationally critical contractor’ means a contractor designated by the Secretary for purposes of this section as a critical source of supply for airlift, sealift, intermodal transportation services, or logistical support that is essential to the mobilization, deployment, or sustainment of the Armed Forces in a contingency operation.”

(b) ISSUANCE OF PROCEDURES.—The Secretary shall establish the procedures required by subsection (b) of section 391 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section, not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) ASSESSMENT OF DEPARTMENT POLICIES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of the Act, the Secretary of Defense shall complete an assessment of—

(A) requirements that were in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act for contractors to share information with Department components regarding cyber incidents (as defined in subsection (d) of such section 391) with respect to networks or information systems of contractors; and

(B) Department policies and systems for sharing information on cyber incidents with respect to networks or information systems of Department contractors.

(2) ACTIONS FOLLOWING ASSESSMENT.—Upon completion of the assessment required by paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—

(A) designate a Department component under subsection (a) of such section 391; and

(B) issue or revise guidance applicable to Department components that ensures the rapid sharing by the component designated pursuant to such section 391 or section 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 2224 note) of information relating to cyber incidents with respect to networks or information systems of contractors with other appropriate Department components.

(d) TABLE OF CHAPTERS AMENDMENT.—The table of chapters at the beginning of subtitle A of title 10, United States Code, and at the beginning of part I of such subtitle, are each amended by inserting after the item relating to chapter 18 the following new item:

“19. Cyber matters 391”.

SEC. 1633. EXECUTIVE AGENTS FOR CYBER TEST AND TRAINING RANGES.

(a) EXECUTIVE AGENT.—Chapter 19 of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 1632 of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 392. Executive agents for cyber test and training ranges

“(a) EXECUTIVE AGENT.—The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Principal Cyber Advisor, shall—

“(1) designate a senior official from among the personnel of the Department of Defense to act as the executive agent for cyber and information technology test ranges; and

“(2) designate a senior official from among the personnel of the Department of Defense to act as the executive agent for cyber and information technology training ranges.

“(b) ROLES, RESPONSIBILITIES, AND AUTHORITIES.—

“(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—The Secretary of Defense shall prescribe the roles, responsibilities, and authorities of the executive agents designated under subsection (a). Such roles, responsibilities, and authorities shall include the development of a biennial integrated plan for cyber and information technology test and training resources.

“(2) BIENNIAL INTEGRATED PLAN.—The biennial integrated plan required under paragraph (1) shall include plans for the following:

“(A) Developing and maintaining a comprehensive list of cyber and information technology ranges, test facilities, test beds, and other means of testing, training, and developing software, personnel, and tools for accommodating the mission of the Department. Such list shall include resources from both governmental and nongovernmental entities.

“(B) Organizing and managing designated cyber and information technology test ranges, including—

“(i) establishing the priorities for cyber and information technology ranges to meet Department objectives;

“(ii) enforcing standards to meet requirements specified by the United States Cyber Command, the training community, and the research, development, testing, and evaluation community;

“(iii) identifying and offering guidance on the opportunities for integration amongst the designated cyber and information technology ranges regarding test, training, and development functions;

“(iv) finding opportunities for cost reduction, integration, and coordination improvements for the appropriate cyber and information technology ranges;

“(v) adding or consolidating cyber and information technology ranges in the future to better meet the evolving needs of the cyber strategy and resource requirements of the Department;

“(vi) finding opportunities to continuously enhance the quality and technical expertise of the cyber and information technology test workforce through training and personnel policies; and

“(vii) coordinating with interagency and industry partners on cyber and information technology range issues.

“(C) Defining a cyber range architecture that—

“(i) may add or consolidate cyber and information technology ranges in the future to better meet the evolving needs of the cyber strategy and resource requirements of the Department;

“(ii) coordinates with interagency and industry partners on cyber and information technology range issues;

“(iii) allows for integrated closed loop testing in a secure environment of cyber and electronic warfare capabilities;

“(iv) supports science and technology development, experimentation, testing and training; and

“(v) provides for interconnection with other existing cyber ranges and other kinetic range facilities in a distributed manner.

“(D) Certifying all cyber range investments of the Department of Defense.

“(E) Performing such other assessments or analyses as the Secretary considers appropriate.

“(3) STANDARD FOR CYBER EVENT DATA.—The executive agents designated under subsection (a), in consultation with the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense, shall jointly select a standard language from open-source candidates for representing and communicating cyber event and threat data. Such language shall be machine-readable for the Joint Information Environment and associated test and training ranges.

“(c) SUPPORT WITHIN DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that the military departments, Defense Agencies, and other components of the Department of Defense provide the executive agents designated under subsection (a) with the appropriate support and resources needed to perform the roles, responsibilities, and authorities of the executive agents.

“(d) *COMPLIANCE WITH EXISTING DIRECTIVE.*—The Secretary shall carry out this section in compliance with Directive 5101.1.

“(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘designated cyber and information technology range’ includes the National Cyber Range, the Joint Information Operations Range, the Defense Information Assurance Range, and the C4 Assessments Division of J6 of the Joint Staff.

“(2) The term ‘Directive 5101.1’ means Department of Defense Directive 5101.1, or any successor directive relating to the responsibilities of an executive agent of the Department of Defense.

“(3) The term ‘executive agent’ has the meaning given the term ‘DoD Executive Agent’ in Directive 5101.1.”.

(b) *DESIGNATION AND ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES.*—The Secretary of Defense shall—

(1) not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, designate the executive agents required under subsection (a) of section 392 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section; and

(2) not later than one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, prescribe the roles, responsibilities, and authorities required under subsection (b) of such section 392.

(c) *SELECTION OF STANDARD LANGUAGE.*—Not later than June 1, 2015, the executive agents designated under subsection (a) of section 392 of title 10, United States Code, as added by subsection (a) of this section, shall select the standard language under subsection (b)(3) of such section 392.

(d) *TABLE OF SECTIONS AMENDMENT.*—The table of sections at the beginning of chapter 19 of title 10, United States Code, as added by section 1632 of this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“392. Executive agents for cyber test and training ranges.”.

SEC. 1634. CYBERSPACE MAPPING.

(a) *DESIGNATION OF NETWORK.*—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall develop a plan to use a controlled laboratory environment or an existing network or network segment within the Department of Defense to identify network mapping capabilities to meet requirements of the United States Cyber Command.

(b) *RECOMMENDATIONS.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Principal Cyber Advisor shall submit to the Secretary policy recommendations regarding the mapping of cyberspace to support the operational requirements of the United States Cyber Command.

SEC. 1635. REVIEW OF CROSS DOMAIN SOLUTION POLICY AND REQUIREMENT FOR CROSS DOMAIN SOLUTION STRATEGY.

(a) *REVIEW OF POLICY.*—The Secretary of Defense shall review the policies and guidance of the Department of Defense concerning the procurement, approval, and use of cross domain solutions by the Department of Defense.

(b) *STRATEGY FOR CROSS DOMAIN SOLUTIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop a strategy for procurement, approval, and use of cross domain solutions by the Department.

(2) *ELEMENTS.*—The strategy required by paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) Identification and assessment of the current cross domain solutions in use throughout the Department of Defense, including the relative capabilities of such solutions and any gaps in current capabilities.

(B) A determination of the requirements for cross domain solutions for enterprise applications as well as deployed warfighting operations, including operations with coalition partners.

(C) A plan to enable verification of compliance with Department of Defense policies regarding the use of cross domain solutions.

(D) A review of the current Department of Defense Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process for the applicability of such process to future virtualized cross domain technology.

(E) A plan to meet the cross domain solution requirements for the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise that must operate within the Joint Information Environment and the Intelligence Community Information Technology Environment.

SEC. 1636. REQUIREMENT FOR STRATEGY TO DEVELOP AND DEPLOY DECRYPTION SERVICE FOR THE JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT.

(a) *STRATEGY REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall develop a strategy to develop and deploy a decryption service that enables the efficient decryption and re-encryption of encrypted communications within the Joint Information Environment and through the Internet access points of the Joint Information Environment in a manner that allows the Secretary to inspect the content of such communications to detect cyber threats and insider threat activity.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The strategy required developed pursuant to subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) Requirements.

(2) An estimate of the cost.

(3) An assessment of the added security benefit.

(4) An architecture.

(5) A concept of operations.

(c) *CONGRESSIONAL BRIEFING.*—Not later than October 1, 2015, the Secretary shall brief the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees (as defined in section 3 of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003)) on the strategy developed under subsection (a).

SEC. 1637. ACTIONS TO ADDRESS ECONOMIC OR INDUSTRIAL ESPIONAGE IN CYBERSPACE.

(a) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, and annually thereafter through 2020, the President shall submit to the appropriate congres-

sional committees a report on foreign economic and industrial espionage in cyberspace during the 12-month period preceding the submission of the report that—

(A) identifies—

(i) foreign countries that engage in economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace with respect to trade secrets or proprietary information owned by United States persons;

(ii) foreign countries identified under clause (i) that the President determines engage in the most egregious economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace with respect to such trade secrets or proprietary information (to be known as “priority foreign countries”);

(iii) categories of technologies or proprietary information developed by United States persons that—

(I) are targeted for economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace; and

(II) to the extent practicable, have been appropriated through such espionage;

(iv) articles manufactured or otherwise produced using technologies or proprietary information described in clause (iii)(II); and

(v) to the extent practicable, services provided using such technologies or proprietary information;

(B) describes the economic or industrial espionage engaged in by the foreign countries identified under clauses (i) and (ii) of subparagraph (A); and

(C) describes—

(i) actions taken by the President to decrease the prevalence of economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace; and

(ii) the progress made in decreasing the prevalence of such espionage.

(2) **DETERMINATION OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES ENGAGING IN ECONOMIC OR INDUSTRIAL ESPIONAGE IN CYBERSPACE.**—For purposes of clauses (i) and (ii) of paragraph (1)(A), the President shall identify a foreign country as a foreign country that engages in economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace with respect to trade secrets or proprietary information owned by United States persons if the government of the foreign country—

(A) engages in economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace with respect to trade secrets or proprietary information owned by United States persons; or

(B) facilitates, supports, fails to prosecute, or otherwise permits such espionage by—

(i) individuals who are citizens or residents of the foreign country; or

(ii) entities that are organized under the laws of the foreign country or are otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the government of the foreign country.

(3) **FORM OF REPORT.**—Each report required by paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form but may contain a classified annex.

(b) **IMPOSITION OF SANCTIONS.**—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The President may, pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), block and prohibit all transactions in all property and interests in property of each person described in paragraph (2), if such property and interests in property are in the United States, come within the United States, or are or come within the possession or control of a United States person.

(2) *PERSONS DESCRIBED.*—A person described in this paragraph is a foreign person the President determines knowingly requests, engages in, supports, facilitates, or benefits from the significant appropriation, through economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace, of technologies or proprietary information developed by United States persons.

(3) *EXCEPTION.*—The authority to impose sanctions under paragraph (1) shall not include the authority to impose sanctions on the importation of goods.

(4) *IMPLEMENTATION; PENALTIES.*—

(A) *IMPLEMENTATION.*—The President may exercise all authorities provided under sections 203 and 205 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1702 and 1704) to carry out this subsection.

(B) *PENALTIES.*—The penalties provided for in subsections (b) and (c) of section 206 of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1705) shall apply to a person that violates, attempts to violate, or conspires to violate, or causes a violation of, this subsection or a regulation prescribed under this subsection to the same extent that such penalties apply to a person that commits an unlawful act described in section 206(a) of that Act.

(c) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to affect the application of any penalty or the exercise of any authority provided for under any other provision of law.

(d) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.*—The term “appropriate congressional committees” means—

(A) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation, the Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, the Committee on Finance, the Committee on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate; and

(B) the Committee on Armed Services, the Committee on Energy and Commerce, the Committee on Homeland Security, the Committee on Financial Services, the Committee on Foreign Affairs, the Committee on Ways and Means, and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.

(2) *CYBERSPACE.*—The term “cyberspace”—

(A) means the interdependent network of information technology infrastructures; and

(B) includes the Internet, telecommunications networks, computer systems, and embedded processors and controllers.

(3) *ECONOMIC OR INDUSTRIAL ESPIONAGE.*—The term “economic or industrial espionage” means—

(A) stealing a trade secret or proprietary information or appropriating, taking, carrying away, or concealing, or by fraud, artifice, or deception obtaining, a trade secret or proprietary information without the authorization of the owner of the trade secret or proprietary information;

(B) copying, duplicating, downloading, uploading, destroying, transmitting, delivering, sending, communicating, or conveying a trade secret or proprietary information without the authorization of the owner of the trade secret or proprietary information; or

(C) knowingly receiving, buying, or possessing a trade secret or proprietary information that has been stolen or appropriated, obtained, or converted without the authorization of the owner of the trade secret or proprietary information.

(4) *KNOWINGLY.*—The term “knowingly”, with respect to conduct, a circumstance, or a result, means that a person has actual knowledge, or should have known, of the conduct, the circumstance, or the result.

(5) *OWN.*—The term “own”, with respect to a trade secret or proprietary information, means to hold rightful legal or equitable title to, or license in, the trade secret or proprietary information.

(6) *PERSON.*—The term “person” means an individual or entity.

(7) *PROPRIETARY INFORMATION.*—The term “proprietary information” means competitive bid preparations, negotiating strategies, executive emails, internal financial data, strategic business plans, technical designs, manufacturing processes, source code, data derived from research and development investments, and other commercially valuable information that a person has developed or obtained if—

(A) the person has taken reasonable measures to keep the information confidential; and

(B) the information is not generally known or readily ascertainable through proper means by the public.

(8) *TECHNOLOGY.*—The term “technology” has the meaning given that term in section 16 of the Export Administration Act of 1979 (50 U.S.C. App. 2415) (as in effect pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.)).

(9) *TRADE SECRET.*—The term “trade secret” has the meaning given that term in section 1839 of title 18, United States Code.

(10) *UNITED STATES PERSON.*—The term “United States person” means—

(A) an individual who is a citizen or resident of the United States;

(B) an entity organized under the laws of the United States or any jurisdiction within the United States; or

(C) a person located in the United States.

SEC. 1638. SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING ROLE OF RESERVE COMPONENTS IN DEFENSE OF UNITED STATES AGAINST CYBER ATTACKS.

It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) members of the reserve components may possess knowledge of critical infrastructure in the States in which the members serve that may be of value for purposes of defending such infrastructure against cyber threats;

(2) traditional members of the reserve components and reserve component technicians may have experience in both the private and public sector that could benefit the readiness of the Department of Defense's cyber force and the development of cyber capabilities;

(3) the long-standing relationship the reserve components has with local and civil authorities may be beneficial for purposes of providing for a coordinated response to a cyber attack and defending against cyber threats;

(4) the States are already working to establish cyber partnerships with the reserve components; and

(5) the reserve components have a role in the defense of the United States against cyber threats and consideration should be given to how the reserve components might be integrated into a comprehensive national approach for cyber defense.

SEC. 1639. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE FUTURE OF THE INTERNET AND THE .MIL TOP-LEVEL DOMAIN.

It is the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should—

(1) work within the existing interagency process underway as of the date of the enactment of this Act regarding the transfer of the remaining role of the United States Government in the functions of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority to a global multi-stakeholder community and support transferring this role only if—

(A) assurances are provided for the protection of the current status of legacy top-level domain names and Internet Protocol address numbers, particularly those used by the Department of Defense and the components of the United States Government for national security purposes;

(B) mechanisms are institutionalized to uphold and protect consensus-based decision making in the multi-stakeholder approach; and

(C) existing stress-testing scenarios of the accountability process of the multi-stakeholder model can be confidently shown to work transparently, securely, and efficiently to maintain a free, open, and resilient Internet; and

(2) take all necessary steps to sustain the successful stewardship and good standing of the Internet root zone servers managed by components of the Department of Defense, including active participation, review, and analysis for transition planning documents and accountability stress testing.

Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces

SEC. 1641. PREPARATION OF ANNUAL BUDGET REQUEST REGARDING NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

Section 179(f) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraphs:

“(3)(A) With respect to the preparation of a budget for a fiscal year to be submitted by the President to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, the Secretary of Defense may not agree to a proposed transfer of estimated nuclear budget request authority unless the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report described in subparagraph (B).

“(B) A report described in this subparagraph is a report that includes the following:

“(i) Except as provided by subparagraph (C), certification that, during the fiscal year prior to the fiscal year covered by the budget for which the report is submitted, the Secretary of Energy obligated or expended any amounts covered by a proposed transfer of estimated nuclear budget request authority made for such prior fiscal year in a manner consistent with a memorandum of agreement that was developed by the Nuclear Weapons Council and entered into by the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy.

“(ii) A detailed assessment by the Nuclear Weapons Council regarding how the Administrator for Nuclear Security implemented any agreements and decisions of the Council made during such prior fiscal year.

“(iii) An assessment from each of the Chairman of the Joints Chiefs of Staff and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command regarding any effects to the military during such prior fiscal year that were caused by the delay or failure of the Administrator to implement any agreements or decisions described in clause (ii).

“(C) With respect to a report described in subparagraph (B), the Secretary may waive the requirement to include the certification described in clause (i) of such subparagraph if the Secretary—

“(i) determines that such waiver is in the national security interests of the United States; and

“(ii) instead of the certification described in such clause (i), includes as part of such report—

“(I) a copy of the agreement that the Secretary has entered into with the Secretary of Energy regarding the manner and the purpose for which the Secretary of Energy will obligate or expend any amounts covered by a proposed transfer of estimated nuclear budget request authority for the fiscal year covered by the budget for which such report is submitted; and

“(II) an explanation for why the Secretary did not include such certification in such report.

“(4) The Secretary of Defense shall include with the defense budget materials for a fiscal year the memorandum of agreement described in subparagraph (B)(i) of paragraph (3), or the agreement described in subparagraph (C) of such paragraph, as the case may be, that covers such fiscal year.

“(5)(A) Not later than 30 days after the President submits to Congress the budget for a fiscal year under section 1105(a) of title 31, the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall submit to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff an assessment of—

“(i) whether such budget allows the Federal Government to meet the nuclear stockpile and stockpile stewardship program requirements during the fiscal year covered by the budget and the four subsequent fiscal years; and

“(ii) if the Commander determines that such budget does not allow the Federal Government to meet such requirements, a description of the steps being taken to meet such requirements.

“(B) Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff receives the assessment of the Commander of the United States Strategic Command under subparagraph (A), the Chairman shall submit to the congressional defense committees—

“(i) such assessment as it was submitted to the Chairman; and

“(ii) any comments of the Chairman.

“(6) In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘budget’ has the meaning given that term in section 231(f) of this title.

“(B) The term ‘defense budget materials’ has the meaning given that term in section 231(f) of this title.

“(C) The term ‘proposed transfer of estimated nuclear budget request authority’ means, in preparing a budget, a request for the Secretary of Defense to transfer an estimated amount of the proposed budget authority of the Secretary to the Secretary of Energy for purposes relating to nuclear weapons.”.

SEC. 1642. IMPROVEMENT TO BIENNIAL ASSESSMENT ON DELIVERY PLATFORMS FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND THE NUCLEAR COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.

Section 492(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by inserting “, and the ability to meet operational availability requirements for,” after “military effectiveness of”.

SEC. 1643. CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE REVIEW OF COST ESTIMATES FOR NUCLEAR WEAPONS.

Section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1576), as most recently amended by section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 861), is further amended by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following new subsection (b):

“(b) **ESTIMATE OF COSTS BY CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE.**—

“(1) **BUDGETS FOR ODD-NUMBERED FISCAL YEARS.**—Not later than July 1 of each year in which the President transmits a covered odd-numbered fiscal year report, the Director of the Congressional Budget Office shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes—

“(A) an estimate of the costs during the 10-year period beginning on the date of such covered odd-numbered fiscal year report associated with fielding and maintaining the current nuclear weapons and nuclear weapon delivery systems of the United States;

“(B) an estimate of the costs during such period of any life extension, modernization, or replacement of any current nuclear weapons or nuclear weapon delivery systems of the United States that is anticipated as of the date of such covered odd-numbered fiscal year report; and

“(C) an estimate of the relative percentage of total defense spending during such period represented by the costs estimated under subparagraphs (A) and (B).

“(2) **BUDGETS FOR EVEN-NUMBERED FISCAL YEARS.**—If the Director determines that a covered even-numbered fiscal year report contains a significant change that affects the estimates of the Director included in the report submitted under paragraph (1) in the year prior to the year in which such covered even-numbered fiscal year report is submitted, the Director shall submit to the congressional defense committees a letter describing such significant changes.

“(3) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this subsection:

“(A) The term ‘covered even-numbered fiscal year report’ means a report required to be transmitted under subsection (a)(1) not later than 30 days after the submission to Congress of the budget of the President for an even-numbered fiscal year.

“(B) The term ‘covered odd-numbered fiscal year report’ means a report required to be transmitted under subsection (a)(1) not later than 30 days after the submission to Congress of the budget of the President for an odd-numbered fiscal year.”.

SEC. 1644. RETENTION OF MISSILE SILOS.

(a) **REQUIREMENT.**—During the period in which the New START Treaty (as defined in section 494(a)(2)(D) of title 10, United States Code) is in effect, the Secretary of Defense shall preserve each intercontinental ballistic missile silo that contains a deployed missile as of the date of the enactment of this Act in, at minimum, a warm status that enables such silo to—

(1) remain a fully functioning element of the interconnected and redundant command and control system of the missile field; and

(2) be made fully operational with a deployed missile.

(b) **RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.**—Nothing in subsection (b) shall be construed to prohibit the Secretary of Defense from temporarily placing an intercontinental ballistic missile silo offline to perform maintenance activities.

SEC. 1645. PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY FOR CERTAIN PARTS OF INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE FUZES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of the Air Force may enter into contracts for the life-of-type procurement of covered parts of the intercontinental ballistic missile fuze.

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.**—Notwithstanding section 1502(a) of title 31, United States Code, of the amount authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 by section 101 and available for Missile Procurement, Air Force as specified in the funding table in section 4101, \$4,700,000 shall be available for the procurement of covered parts pursuant to contracts entered into under subsection (a).

(c) *COVERED PARTS DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “covered parts” means commercially available off-the-shelf items as defined in section 104 of title 41, United States Code.

SEC. 1646. ASSESSMENT OF NUCLEAR WEAPON SECONDARY REQUIREMENT.

(a) *ASSESSMENT.*—The Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall assess the annual secondary production requirement needed to sustain a safe, secure, reliable, and effective nuclear deterrent.

(b) *REPORT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report regarding the assessment conducted under subsection (a).

(2) *MATTERS INCLUDED.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) An explanation of the rationale and assumptions that led to the current 50 to 80 secondaries per year production requirement, including the factors considered in determining such requirement.

(B) An analysis of whether there are any changes to such 50 to 80 secondaries per year production requirement, including the reasons for any such changes.

(C) A description of how the secondary production requirement is affected by or related to—

(i) the demands of stockpile modernization, including the schedule for life extension programs;

(ii) the requirement for a responsive infrastructure, including the ability to hedge against technical failure and geopolitical risk; and

(iii) the number of secondaries held in reserve or the inactive stockpile, and the likelihood such secondaries may be reused.

(E) The proposed timeframe for achieving such 50 to 80 secondaries per year production requirement.

(3) *FORM.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1647. CERTIFICATION ON NUCLEAR FORCE STRUCTURE.

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall certify to the congressional defense committees that the plan for implementation of the New START Treaty (as defined in section 494(a)(2)(D) of title 10, United States Code) announced on April 8, 2014, will enable the United States to meet its obligations under such treaty in a manner that ensures the nuclear forces of the United States—

(1) are capable, survivable, and balanced; and

(2) maintain strategic stability, deterrence and extended deterrence, and allied assurance.

SEC. 1648. ADVANCE NOTICE AND REPORTS ON B61 LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM.

(a) **NOTIFICATION AND REPORTS.**—Not later than 30 days before any decision is made to reduce the number of final production units for the B61 life extension program below the total number of such units planned in the stockpile stewardship and management plan required by section 4203 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523) for fiscal year 2015—

(1) the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council established under section 179 of title 10, United States Code, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes—

(A) a notification of such decision;

(B) an explanation of the proposed changes to the life extension program; and

(C) a comprehensive discussion of the justification for such changes; and

(2) the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that includes—

(A) an assessment of such changes to the life extension program;

(B) a description of the risks associated with such decision;

(C) an assessment of the impact of such decision on the ability of the United States Strategic Command to meet deterrence, extended deterrence, and assurance requirements during the expected lifetime of the B61-12 bomb; and

(D) such other matters as the Commander considers appropriate.

(b) **FORM OF REPORTS.**—Each report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1649. NOTIFICATION AND REPORT CONCERNING REMOVAL OR CONSOLIDATION OF DUAL-CAPABLE AIRCRAFT FROM EUROPE.

(a) **NOTIFICATION AND REPORT.**—Not later than 90 days before the date on which the Secretary of Defense removes or consolidates dual-capable aircraft of the United States from the area of responsibility of the United States European Command, the Secretary shall notify the congressional defense committees of such proposed removal or consolidation. Such notification shall include a report explaining—

(1) how such removal or consolidation is in the national security interests of the United States and the allies of the United States, including the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Alliance; and

(2) whether, and in what respects, such proposed removal or consolidation is affected by—

(A) the armed forces of the Russian Federation continuing to illegally occupy Ukrainian territory;

(B) the Russian Federation deploying or preparing to deploy its nuclear weapons to Ukrainian territory;

(C) the Russian Federation not complying with the INF Treaty and other treaties and agreements to which it is a party; and

(D) the Russian Federation not complying with the CFE Treaty and not lifting its suspension of Russian observance of its treaty obligations.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) The term “CFE Treaty” means the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe, signed at Paris, November 19, 1990, and entered into force July 17, 1992.

(2) The “dual-capable aircraft” means tactical fighter aircraft that can perform both conventional and nuclear missions.

(3) The term “INF Treaty” means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, commonly referred to as the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed at Washington, December 8, 1987, and entered into force June 1, 1988.

SEC. 1650. REPORTS ON INSTALLATION OF NUCLEAR COMMAND, CONTROL, AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS AT HEADQUARTERS OF UNITED STATES STRATEGIC COMMAND.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 30 days after the date on which the budget of the President for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress pursuant to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the installation and operation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems associated with the construction of the headquarters of the United States Strategic Command.*

(b) **ELEMENTS.**—*The report required by subsection (a) shall address, with respect to the installation and operation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems associated with the construction of the headquarters of the United States Strategic Command, the following:*

(1) Milestones and costs associated with installation of communications systems.

(2) Milestones and costs associated with integrating targeting and analysis planning tools.

(3) An assessment of progress on the upgrade of systems that existed before the date of the enactment of this Act, such as the Strategic Automated Command and Control System and the MILSTAR satellite communications system, for compatibility with such nuclear command, control, and communications systems.

(4) Such other information as the Commander of the United States Strategic Command considers necessary to assess adherence to overall cost, scope, and schedule milestones.

(c) **TERMINATION.**—*The Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall not be required to submit a report under subsection (a) with the budget of the President for any fiscal year after the date on which the Commander certifies to the congressional defense committees that all milestones relating to the installation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems associated with the construction of the headquarters of the United States Stra-*

tegic Command have been completed and such systems are fully operational.

SEC. 1651. REPORT ON PLANS FOR RESPONSE OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE TO INF TREATY VIOLATION.

(a) *REPORT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing a detailed description of any steps being taken or planned to be taken by the Secretary in response to actions of the Government of the Russian Federation in violation of its obligations under the INF Treaty in order to reduce the negative impact of such actions on the national security of the United States.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The report under subsection (a) shall include a description of any plans to conduct activities relating to the research, development, testing, or deployment of potential future military capabilities of the United States, including with respect to activities to modify, test, or deploy existing military systems, to deter or defend against the threat of intermediate-range nuclear force systems of Russia if Russia deploys such systems.

(c) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (a) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

(d) *INF TREATY DEFINED.*—In this section, the term “INF Treaty” means the Treaty Between the United States of America and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics on the Elimination of Their Intermediate-Range and Shorter-Range Missiles, commonly referred to as the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, signed at Washington December 8, 1987, and entered into force June 1, 1988.

SEC. 1652. STATEMENT OF POLICY ON THE NUCLEAR TRIAD.

It is the policy of the United States—

(1) *to operate, sustain, and modernize or replace the triad of strategic nuclear delivery systems consisting of—*

(A) *heavy bombers equipped with nuclear gravity bombs and air-launched nuclear cruise missiles;*

(B) *land-based intercontinental ballistic missiles equipped with nuclear warheads that are capable of carrying multiple independently targetable reentry vehicles; and*

(C) *ballistic missile submarines equipped with submarine launched ballistic missiles and multiple nuclear warheads;*

(2) *to operate, sustain, and modernize or replace a capability to forward-deploy nuclear weapons and dual-capable fighter-bomber aircraft;*

(3) *to deter potential adversaries and assure allies and partners of the United States through strong and long-term commitment to the nuclear deterrent of the United States and the personnel, systems, and infrastructure that comprise such deterrent; and*

(4) *to ensure that the members of the Armed Forces who operate the nuclear deterrent of the United States have the training, resources, and national support required to execute the critical national security mission of the members.*

SEC. 1653. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DETERRENCE AND DEFENSE POSTURE OF THE NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION.

It is the sense of Congress that the United States reaffirms and remains committed to the policies enumerated by the North Atlantic Treaty Organization in the Deterrence and Defense Posture Review, dated May 20, 2012, and the Wales Summit Declaration of September 2014, including the following statements:

(1) *As stated in the Deterrence and Defense Posture Review:*

(A) *“The greatest responsibility of the Alliance is to protect and defend our territory and our populations against attack, as set out in Article 5 of the Washington Treaty. The Alliance does not consider any country to be its adversary. However, no one should doubt NATO’s resolve if the security of any of its members were to be threatened. NATO will ensure that it maintains the full range of capabilities necessary to deter and defend against any threat to the safety and security of our populations, wherever it should arise. Allies’ goal is to bolster deterrence as a core element of our collective defense and contribute to the indivisible security of the Alliance.”*

(B) *“Nuclear weapons are a core component of NATO’s overall capabilities for deterrence and defense alongside conventional and missile defense forces. The review has shown that the Alliance’s nuclear force posture currently meets the criteria for an effective deterrence and defense posture.”*

(C) *“The circumstances in which any use of nuclear weapons might have to be contemplated are extremely remote. As long as nuclear weapons exist, NATO will remain a nuclear alliance. The supreme guarantee of the security of the Allies is provided by the strategic nuclear forces of the Alliance, particularly those of the United States; the independent strategic forces of the United Kingdom and France, which have a deterrent role of their own, contribute to the overall deterrence and security of the Allies.”*

(D) *“NATO must have the full range of capabilities necessary to deter and defend against threats to the safety of its populations and the security of its territory, which is the Alliance’s greatest responsibility.”*

(E) *“NATO is committed to maintaining an appropriate mix of nuclear, conventional, and missile defense capabilities for deterrence and defense to fulfill its commitments as set out in the Strategic Concept. These capabilities, underpinned by NATO’s Integrated Command Structure, offer the strongest guarantee of the Alliance’s security and will ensure that it is able to respond to a variety of challenges and unpredictable contingencies in a highly complex and evolving international security environment.”*

(2) *As stated in the Wales Summit Declaration:*

(A) *“Deterrence, based on an appropriate mix of nuclear, conventional, and missile defence capabilities, remains a core element of our overall strategy.”*

(B) *“Arms control, disarmament, and non-proliferation continue to play an important role in the achievement of*

the Alliance's security objectives. Both the success and failure of these efforts can have a direct impact on the threat environment of NATO. In this context, it is of paramount importance that disarmament and non-proliferation commitments under existing treaties are honoured, including the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, which is a crucial element of Euro-Atlantic security. In that regard, Allies call on Russia to preserve the viability of the INF Treaty through ensuring full and verifiable compliance.”.

Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs

SEC. 1661. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR IRON DOME SHORT-RANGE ROCKET DEFENSE SYSTEM.

(a) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated by section 1502 for procurement, Defense-wide, and available for the Missile Defense Agency, not more than \$350,972,000 may be provided to the Government of Israel to procure the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system as specified in the funding table in section 4102, including for co-production of Iron Dome parts and components in the United States by industry of the United States.

(b) *CONDITIONS.*—

(1) *AGREEMENT.*—Funds described in subsection (a) to produce the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense program shall be available subject to the terms, conditions, and co-production targets specified for fiscal year 2015 in the “Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement,” signed on March 5, 2014.

(2) *CERTIFICATION.*—Not later than 30 days prior to the initial obligation of funds described in subsection (a), the Director of the Missile Defense Agency and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees—

(A) a certification that the agreement specified in paragraph (1) is being implemented as provided in such agreement; and

(B) an assessment detailing any risks relating to the implementation of such agreement.

SEC. 1662. TESTING AND ASSESSMENT OF MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS PRIOR TO PRODUCTION AND DEPLOYMENT.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) it is a high priority of the United States that the ballistic missile defense system should work in an operationally effective and cost-effective manner;

(2) prior to making final production decisions for such systems, and prior to the operational deployment of such systems, the United States should conduct operationally realistic intercept flight testing that should create sufficiently challenging operational conditions to establish confidence that such systems

will work in an operationally effective and cost-effective manner when needed; and

(3) in order to achieve these objectives, and to avoid post-production and post-deployment problems, it is essential for the Department of Defense to follow a “fly before you buy” approach to adequately test and assess the elements of the ballistic missile defense system before final production decisions or operational deployment.

(b) SUCCESSFUL TESTING REQUIRED PRIOR TO FINAL PRODUCTION OR OPERATIONAL DEPLOYMENT.—The Secretary of Defense may not make a final production decision for, or operationally deploy, a covered system unless—

(1) the Secretary ensures that—

(A) sufficient and operationally realistic testing of the covered system is conducted to assess the performance of the covered system in order to inform a final production decision or an operational deployment decision; and

(B) the results of such testing have demonstrated a high probability that the covered system—

(i) will work in an operationally effective manner; and

(ii) has the ability to accomplish the intended mission of the covered system;

(2) the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation has carried out subsection (c) with respect to such covered system; and

(3) the Commander of the United States Strategic Command has carried out subsection (d) with respect to such covered system.

(c) ASSESSMENT BY DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION.—The Director of Operational Test and Evaluation shall—

(1) provide to the Secretary the assessment of the Director, based on the available test data, of the sufficiency, adequacy, and results of the testing of each covered system, including an assessment of whether the covered system will be sufficiently effective, suitable, and survivable when needed; and

(2) submit to the congressional defense committees a written summary of such assessment.

(d) ASSESSMENT BY COMMANDER OF UNITED STATES STRATEGIC COMMAND.—The Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall—

(1) provide to the Secretary a military utility assessment of the operational utility of each covered system; and

(2) not later than 30 days after providing such assessment to the Secretary, submit to the congressional defense committees a written summary of such assessment.

(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to alter, modify, or otherwise affect a determination of the Secretary with respect to the participation of the Missile Defense Agency in the Joint Capabilities Integration Development System or the acquisition reporting process under the Department of Defense Directive 5000 series.

(f) COVERED SYSTEM.—In this section, the term “covered system” means a new or substantially upgraded interceptor or weapon system of the ballistic missile defense system, other than the re-de-

signed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle covered by the acquisition plan developed under section 1663.

SEC. 1663. ACQUISITION PLAN FOR RE-DESIGNED EXO-ATMOSPHERIC KILL VEHICLE.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the existing models of the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle of the ground-based midcourse defense system are prototype designs that were developed and deployed without using traditional acquisition practices in order to provide an initial defensive capability for an emerging ballistic missile threat;

(2) consequently, while the deployed models of the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle have demonstrated an initial level of capability against a limited threat, such models do not have the degree of reliability, robustness, cost effectiveness, and performance that are desirable;

(3) the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for the ground-based midcourse defense system needs to be re-designed to substantially improve the performance and reliability of such kill vehicles; and

(4) the Secretary of Defense should follow a robust and rigorous acquisition plan for the design, development, and testing of the re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle.

(b) *ACQUISITION PLAN REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of Defense shall develop an acquisition plan for the re-design of the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle of the ground-based midcourse defense system that includes rigorous elements for system engineering, design, integration, development, testing, and evaluation.

(c) *OBJECTIVES.*—The objectives of the acquisition plan under subsection (b) shall be to ensure that the re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle is operationally effective, reliable, producible, cost effective, maintainable, and testable.

(d) *APPROVAL OF ACQUISITION PLAN REQUIRED.*—The acquisition plan under subsection (b) shall be subject to approval by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

(e) *TESTING REQUIRED.*—Prior to operational deployment of the re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle, the Secretary shall ensure that the re-designed kill vehicle has demonstrated, through successful, operationally realistic flight testing—

(1) a high probability of working in an operationally effective manner; and

(2) the ability to accomplish the intended mission of the re-designed kill vehicle, including against more complex emerging ballistic missile threats.

(f) *REPORT REQUIRED.*—Not later than 60 days after the date on which the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics approves the acquisition plan under subsection (d), the Director of the Missile Defense Agency shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the acquisition plan and the manner in which the plan will meet the objectives described in subsection (c).

SEC. 1664. STUDY ON TESTING PROGRAM OF GROUND-BASED MID-COURSE MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM.

(a) *STUDY.*— Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall enter into a contract

with a federally funded research and development center to conduct a study on the testing program of the ground-based midcourse missile defense system.

(b) *ELEMENTS.*—The study under subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) An assessment of whether the testing program described in subsection (a) has established, as of the date of the study, that the ground-based midcourse missile defense system has a high probability of performing reliably and effectively against limited missile threats from North Korea and Iran under realistic operational conditions, including an explanation of the degree of confidence supporting such assessment.

(2) An assessment of whether the currently planned testing program, if implemented, is sufficient to establish reasonable confidence that the ground-based midcourse missile defense system has a high probability of performing reliably and effectively under realistic operational conditions against current and plausible near- and medium-term limited ballistic missile threats from North Korea and Iran.

(3) Any recommendations for improvements that could be made to the testing program to—

(A) achieve reasonable confidence that the system would be reliable and effective under realistic operational conditions; or

(B) improve test and cost efficiencies.

(c) *REPORT.*—Not later than one year after entering into the contract under subsection (a), the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the study. The report shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1665. SENSE OF CONGRESS AND REPORT ON HOMELAND BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) it is a national priority to defend the United States homeland against the threat of limited ballistic missile attack (whether accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate);

(2) although the currently deployed ground-based midcourse defense system provides a level of protection of the entire United States homeland, including the East Coast, against the threat of limited ballistic missile attack from North Korea and Iran, this capability needs to be improved to meet evolving ballistic missile threats;

(3) the initial step in this process of improvement is to correct the problems that caused the flight test failures with the current kill vehicles, and to improve the reliability of the deployed ground-based interceptor fleet;

(4) as indicated by senior officials of the Department of Defense, continued investments to enhance homeland defense sensor and discrimination capabilities are essential to improve the operational effectiveness and shot doctrine of the ground-based midcourse defense system;

(5) given limitations with the currently deployed exo-atmospheric kill vehicles, it is important to re-design the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle using a rigorous acquisition approach, in-

cluding realistic testing, that can achieve a demonstrated capability as soon as practicable using sound acquisition principles and practices; and

(6) in order to stay ahead of evolving ballistic missile threats, the Department should design the next generation exo-atmospheric kill vehicle to take full advantage of improvements in sensors, discrimination, kill assessment, battle management, and command and control, including the potential to engage multiple objects.

(b) REPORT REQUIRED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Northern Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the status of current and planned efforts to improve the homeland ballistic missile defense capability of the United States.

(2) ELEMENTS.—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) A detailed description of the current assessment of the threat to the United States from limited ballistic missile attack (whether accidental, unauthorized, or deliberate), particularly from countries such as North Korea and Iran, and an assessment of the projected future threat through 2023, including a discussion of confidence levels and uncertainties in such threat assessment.

(B) A detailed description of the status of efforts to correct the problems that caused the flight test failures of the capability enhancement-I and capability enhancement-II exo-atmospheric kill vehicles.

(C) A detailed description of the status of efforts to field the additional 14 ground-based interceptors planned for deployment at Fort Greely, Alaska, including the status of the refurbishment of Missile Field 1 at Fort Greely, and the operational impact of the additional interceptors.

(D) A detailed description of the plans and progress toward improving the capability, reliability, and availability of fielded ground-based interceptors, including progress toward improving the capabilities of ground-based interceptors deployed with upgraded capability enhancement-I and capability enhancement-II exo-atmospheric kill vehicles.

(E) A detailed description of the planned improvements to homeland ballistic missile defense sensor and discrimination capabilities, including through the use of additional sensor systems of the United States, and an assessment of the expected operational benefits of such improvements to homeland ballistic missile defense.

(F) A detailed description of the plans and efforts to redesign, develop, test, and field the exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for the ground-based midcourse defense system, and an explanation of the expected improvements of such kill vehicle with respect to capability, cost effectiveness, reliability, maintainability, and producibility.

(G) A detailed description of the plans for developing, testing, and fielding the next generation exo-atmospheric kill vehicle, and an explanation of how the anticipated capabilities are intended to remain ahead of evolving ballistic missile threats.

(H) A status of efforts on, and goals for, a common kill vehicle with multiple object kill capability, and an explanation of how such capability could keep the missile defense capability of the United States paced ahead of evolving ballistic missile threats.

(I) A detailed description of the options to improve the homeland ballistic missile defense capability that would respond to the emergence of a long-range ballistic missile threat from Iran, including an evaluation of the potential benefits and drawbacks of—

(i) the deployment of a missile defense interceptor site on the East Coast;

(ii) the deployment of a missile defense interceptor site in another location in the United States other than on the East Coast;

(iii) the deployment of a missile defense interceptor site in a location other than in the United States; and

(iv) the deployment of additional ground-based interceptors for the ground-based midcourse defense system at Fort Greely, Alaska, or Vandenberg Air Force Base, California, or both.

(J) Any other matters the Director considers appropriate.

(3) **FORM.**—The report under paragraph (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

SEC. 1666. SENSE OF CONGRESS AND REPORT ON REGIONAL BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE.

(a) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the regional ballistic missile capabilities of countries such as Iran and North Korea pose a serious and growing threat to forward deployed forces of the United States, allies, and partner countries;

(2) given this growing threat, it is a high priority for the United States to develop, test, and deploy effective regional missile defense capabilities to provide the commanders of the geographic combatant commands with capabilities to meet the operational requirements of the commanders, and for allies and partners of the United States to improve their regional missile defense capabilities;

(3) the United States and its North Atlantic Treaty Organization partners should continue the development, testing, and implementation of phases 2 and 3 of the European Phased Adaptive Approach to defend forward deployed forces of the United States, allies, and partners in the North Atlantic Treaty Organization in Europe against the growing regional missile capability of Iran;

(4) the United States should continue efforts to improve regional missile defense capabilities in the Middle East, including its close cooperation with Israel and its efforts with countries of the Gulf Cooperation Council, in order to improve regional se-

curity against the growing regional missile capabilities of Iran; and

(5) the United States should continue to work closely with its allies in Asia, particularly Japan, South Korea, and Australia, to improve regional missile defense capabilities, particularly against the growing threat from North Korean ballistic missiles.

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Director of the Missile Defense Agency, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate a report setting forth the status and progress of efforts to improve the regional missile defense capabilities of the United States in Europe, the Middle East, and the Asia-Pacific region, including efforts and cooperation by allies and partner countries.

(c) **ELEMENTS.**—The report under subsection (b) shall include the following:

(1) A detailed description of the status of implementation (including on the basis of technical development and acquisition of systems and capabilities) of the European Phased Adaptive Approach, including—

(A) the status of efforts to develop, test, and deploy the capabilities planned for phases 2 and 3 of the European Phased Adaptive Approach;

(B) a detailed description of the current and projected defended area of each phase of the European Phased Adaptive Approach and the missile defense requirement for the capability provided under each such phase;

(C) a detailed description of current force structure plans of the United States and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization associated with the different phases of the European Phased Adaptive Approach at various alert conditions and readiness levels;

(D) a detailed explanation of the current concept of operations for phase 1 of the European Phased Adaptive Approach and information on phase 2, including—

(i) the arrangements for allocating the command of assets assigned to the missile defense of Europe between the Commander of the United States European Command and the Supreme Allied Commander, Europe;

(ii) an explanation of the circumstances under which such command would be allocated to each such commander; and

(iii) a description of the prioritization of defense of both the deployed forces of the United States and the territory of the member states of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization using available missile defense interceptor inventory;

(E) an explanation of the concept for the defense of assets of the European Phased Adaptive Approach in the event such assets are targeted by adversaries; and

(F) an explanation of the development and acquisition of the active layered theater ballistic missile defense system of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization, including the interoperability of such system with the ballistic missile defense system and other command and control systems of the United States.

(2) A detailed description of the status of efforts to improve the regional missile defense capabilities of the United States and the countries of the Gulf Cooperation Council in the Middle East against regional missile threats from Iran, including the progress made toward, and benefits of, multilateral cooperation and data sharing among the countries of the Gulf Cooperation Council with respect to multilateral integrated air and missile defense against threats from Iran.

(3) A detailed description of the progress of the United States and the allies of the United States in the Asia-Pacific region, particularly Japan, South Korea, and Australia, to improve regional ballistic missile defense capabilities and an assessment of the value of increasing cooperation, information sharing, and opportunities for additional interoperability on a bilateral and multilateral basis.

(4) A description of how the missile defense acquisitions of allies and partners of the United States, including the acquisition of missile defense technology of the United States, could be optimized to contribute to integrated and networked regional missile defense, including a description of any steps being taken to carry out such optimization.

(5) A detailed description of—

(A) the degree of coordination among the commanders of the geographic combatant commands with respect to integrated missile defense planning and operations, including obstacles and opportunities to improving such coordination and integrated capabilities; and

(B) efforts to integrate offensive and defensive forces, as specified in the “Joint Integrated Air and Missile Defense Strategy: Vision 2020” signed by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff in December 2013.

(6) A detailed description of the phased and adaptive elements of the regional missile defense approaches of the United States tailored to the specific regional requirements in the areas of responsibility of the United States Central Command and the United States Pacific Command, including the role of missile defense capabilities of allies and partners of the United States in each region.

(7) A detailed description of the regional missile defense risk assessment and priorities of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands and a detailed description of the assessed ballistic missile threat facing each geographic combatant command through 2024.

(8) A detailed explanation of the contributions made by the regional missile defense capabilities of the United States to the defense of the United States.

(9) Such other matters as the Director considers appropriate.

(d) *FORM.*—The report required by subsection (b) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may include a classified annex.

TITLE XVII—NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF THE ARMY

Subtitle A—Establishment and Duties of Commission

- Sec. 1701. *Short title.*
 Sec. 1702. *National Commission on the Future of the Army.*
 Sec. 1703. *Duties of the Commission.*
 Sec. 1704. *Powers of the Commission.*
 Sec. 1705. *Commission personnel matters.*
 Sec. 1706. *Termination of the Commission.*
 Sec. 1707. *Funding.*

Subtitle B—Related Limitations

- Sec. 1711. *Prohibition on use of fiscal year 2015 funds to reduce strengths of Army personnel.*
 Sec. 1712. *Limitations on the transfer, including preparations for the transfer, of AH-64 Apache helicopters assigned to the Army National Guard.*

Subtitle A—Establishment and Duties of Commission

SEC. 1701. SHORT TITLE.

This subtitle may be cited as the “National Commission on the Future of the Army Act of 2014”.

SEC. 1702. NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF THE ARMY.

(a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—There is established the National Commission on the Future of the Army (in this subtitle referred to as the “Commission”).

(b) *MEMBERSHIP.*—

(1) *COMPOSITION.*—The Commission shall be composed of eight members, of whom—

(A) four shall be appointed by the President;

(B) one shall be appointed by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate;

(C) one shall be appointed by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate;

(D) one shall be appointed by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives; and

(E) one shall be appointed by the Ranking Member of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.

(2) *APPOINTMENT DATE.*—The appointments of the members of the Commission shall be made not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) *EFFECT OF LACK OF APPOINTMENT BY APPOINTMENT DATE.*—If one or more appointments under subparagraph (A) of paragraph (1) is not made by the appointment date specified in paragraph (2), the authority to make such appointment or appointments shall expire, and the number of members of the Commission shall be reduced by the number equal to the num-

ber of appointments so not made. If an appointment under subparagraph (B), (C), (D), or (E) of paragraph (1) is not made by the appointment date specified in paragraph (2), the authority to make an appointment under such subparagraph shall expire, and the number of members of the Commission shall be reduced by the number equal to the number otherwise appointable under such subparagraph.

(4) *EXPERTISE*.—In making appointments under this subsection, consideration should be given to individuals with expertise in national and international security policy and strategy, military forces capability, force structure design, organization, and employment, and reserve forces policy.

(c) *PERIOD OF APPOINTMENT; VACANCIES*.—Members shall be appointed for the life of the Commission. Any vacancy in the Commission shall not affect its powers, but shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment.

(d) *CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR*.—The Commission shall select a Chair and Vice Chair from among its members.

(e) *INITIAL MEETING*.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which all members of the Commission have been appointed, the Commission shall hold its initial meeting.

(f) *MEETINGS*.—The Commission shall meet at the call of the Chair.

(g) *QUORUM*.—A majority of the members of the Commission shall constitute a quorum, but a lesser number of members may hold hearings.

SEC. 1703. DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION.

(a) *STUDY ON STRUCTURE OF THE ARMY*.—

(1) *IN GENERAL*.—The Commission shall undertake a comprehensive study of the structure of the Army, and policy assumptions related to the size and force mixture of the Army, in order—

(A) to make an assessment of the size and force mixture of the active component of the Army and the reserve components of the Army; and

(B) to make recommendations on the modifications, if any, of the structure of the Army related to current and anticipated mission requirements for the Army at acceptable levels of national risk and in a manner consistent with available resources and anticipated future resources.

(2) *CONSIDERATIONS*.—In undertaking the study required by subsection (a), the Commission shall give particular consideration to the following:

(A) An evaluation and identification of a structure for the Army that—

(i) has the depth and scalability to meet current and anticipated requirements of the combatant commands;

(ii) achieves cost-efficiency between the regular and reserve components of the Army, manages military risk, takes advantage of the strengths and capabilities of each, and considers fully burdened lifecycle costs;

(iii) ensures that the regular and reserve components of the Army have the capacity needed to support cur-

rent and anticipated homeland defense and disaster assistance missions in the United States;

(iv) provides for sufficient numbers of regular members of the Army to provide a base of trained personnel from which the personnel of the reserve components of the Army could be recruited;

(v) maintains a peacetime rotation force to avoid exceeding operational tempo goals of 1:2 for active members of the Army and 1:5 for members of the reserve components of the Army; and

(vi) manages strategic and operational risk by making tradeoffs among readiness, efficiency, effectiveness, capability, and affordability.

(B) An evaluation and identification of force generation policies for the Army with respect to size and force mixture in order to fulfill current and anticipated mission requirements for the Army in a manner consistent with available resources and anticipated future resources, including policies in connection with—

(i) readiness;

(ii) training;

(iii) equipment;

(iv) personnel; and

(v) maintenance of the reserve components as an operational reserve in order to maintain as much as possible the level of expertise and experience developed since September 11, 2001.

(C) An identification and evaluation of the distribution of responsibility and authority for the allocation of Army National Guard personnel and force structure to the States and territories.

(D) An identification and evaluation of the strategic basis or rationale, analytical methods, and decision-making processes for the allocation of Army National Guard personnel and force structure to the States and territories.

(b) **STUDY ON TRANSFER OF CERTAIN AIRCRAFT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission shall also conduct a study of a transfer of Army National Guard AH-64 Apache aircraft from the Army National Guard to the regular Army.

(2) **CONSIDERATIONS.**—In conducting the study required by paragraph (1), the Commission shall consider the factors specified in subsection (a)(2).

(c) **REPORT.**—Not later than February 1, 2016, the Commission shall submit to the President and the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a detailed statement of the findings and conclusions of the Commission as a result of the studies required by subsections (a) and (b), together with its recommendations for such legislative and administrative actions as the Commission considers appropriate in light of the results of the studies.

SEC. 1704. POWERS OF THE COMMISSION.

(a) **HEARINGS.**—The Commission may hold such hearings, sit and act at such times and places, take such testimony, and receive such evidence as the Commission considers advisable to carry out its duties under this subtitle.

(b) *INFORMATION FROM FEDERAL AGENCIES.*—The Commission may secure directly from any Federal department or agency such information as the Commission considers necessary to carry out its duties under this subtitle. Upon request of the Chair of the Commission, the head of such department or agency shall furnish such information to the Commission.

(c) *POSTAL SERVICES.*—The Commission may use the United States mails in the same manner and under the same conditions as other departments and agencies of the Federal Government.

SEC. 1705. COMMISSION PERSONNEL MATTERS.

(a) *COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.*—Each member of the Commission who is not an officer or employee of the Federal Government may be compensated at a rate not to exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of \$155,400 for each day (including travel time) during which such member is engaged in the performance of the duties of the Commission. All members of the Commission who are officers or employees of the United States shall serve without compensation in addition to that received for their services as officers or employees of the United States.

(b) *TRAVEL EXPENSES.*—The members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for employees of agencies under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from their homes or regular places of business in the performance of services for the Commission.

(c) *STAFF.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Chair of the Commission may, without regard to the civil service laws and regulations, appoint and terminate an executive director and such other additional personnel as may be necessary to enable the Commission to perform its duties. The employment of an executive director shall be subject to confirmation by the Commission.

(2) *COMPENSATION.*—The Chair of the Commission may fix the compensation of the executive director and other personnel without regard to chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code, relating to classification of positions and General Schedule pay rates, except that the rate of pay for the executive director and other personnel may not exceed the rate payable for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title.

(d) *DETAIL OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES.*—Any Federal Government employee may be detailed to the Commission without reimbursement, and such detail shall be without interruption or loss of civil service status or privilege.

(e) *PROCUREMENT OF TEMPORARY AND INTERMITTENT SERVICES.*—The Chair of the Commission may procure temporary and intermittent services under section 3109(b) of title 5, United States Code, at rates for individuals which do not exceed the daily equivalent of the annual rate of basic pay prescribed for level V of the Executive Schedule under section 5316 of such title.

SEC. 1706. TERMINATION OF THE COMMISSION.

The Commission shall terminate 90 days after the date on which the Commission submits its report under this subtitle.

SEC. 1707. FUNDING.

Amounts authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 by section 301 and available for operation and maintenance for the Army as specified in the funding table in section 4301 may be available for the activities of the Commission under this subtitle.

Subtitle B—Related Limitations

SEC. 1711. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FISCAL YEAR 2015 FUNDS TO REDUCE STRENGTHS OF ARMY PERSONNEL.

None of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Army may be used to reduce Army personnel below the end strength authorizations for personnel of the Army specified in section 401(1) for active duty personnel and section 411 for Selected Reserve personnel of the reserve components of the Army.

SEC. 1712. LIMITATIONS ON THE TRANSFER, INCLUDING PREPARATIONS FOR THE TRANSFER, OF AH-64 APACHE HELICOPTERS ASSIGNED TO THE ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.

(a) **PROHIBITION ON TRANSFERS DURING FISCAL YEAR 2015.**—During fiscal year 2015, the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army may not transfer any AH-64 Apache helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army.

(b) **ADDITIONAL LIMITATION ON AIRCRAFT OR PERSONNEL TRANSFERS AND RELATED ACTIVITIES.**—In addition to the prohibition on transfers imposed by subsection (a), but subject to the exceptions provided in subsection (e), the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army may not, before March 31, 2016—

(1) divest, retire, or transfer, or prepare to divest, retire, or transfer, any AH-64 Apache helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army; or

(2) reduce personnel related to any AH-64 Apache helicopters of the Army National Guard below the levels of such personnel as of September 30, 2014.

(c) **CONTINUED READINESS OF AIRCRAFT AND PERSONNEL.**—The Secretary of the Army shall ensure the continuing readiness of AH-64 Apache helicopters during fiscal year 2015 as necessary to meet the requirements of combatant commanders.

(d) **EFFECT ON PERSONNEL ACTIONS AND TRAINING.**—Notwithstanding the prohibition imposed by subsection (a), the limitation imposed by subsection (b), and the duty imposed by subsection (c), the Secretary of the Army may—

(1) carry out any personnel action, as determined to be appropriate by the Secretary, necessary to support Army aviation readiness and operations;

(2) conduct qualification and reclassification training for pilots, crew, and military occupational specialties related to Army Aviation; and

(3) continue flight training and advanced qualification courses for selected National Guard personnel related to AH-64 Apache helicopters in accordance with Army readiness requirements.

(e) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Subject to the Secretary of Defense certification required by subsection (f), the Secretary of the Army may—

(1) during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on March 31, 2016, make preparations for the transfer of not more than 48 AH-64 Apache helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army; and

(2) during the period beginning on October 1, 2015, and ending on March 31, 2016, transfer not more than 48 AH-64 Apache helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army.

(f) **CERTIFICATION REQUIRED.**—The certification referred to in subsection (e) is a certification by the Secretary of Defense in writing to the congressional defense committees that the commencement of preparations to transfer AH-64 Apache helicopters pursuant to the exception provided by subsection (e)(1) or a transfer of AH-64 Apache helicopters pursuant to the exception provided by subsection (e)(2) would not create unacceptable risk—

(1) to the strategic depth or regeneration capacities of the Army; and

(2) to the Army National Guard in its role as the combat reserve of the Army.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 2001. SHORT TITLE.

This division may be cited as the “Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015”.

SEC. 2002. EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AND AMOUNTS REQUIRED TO BE SPECIFIED BY LAW.

(a) **EXPIRATION OF AUTHORIZATIONS AFTER THREE YEARS.**—Except as provided in subsection (b), all authorizations contained in titles XXI through XXVII and title XXIX of this division for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor) shall expire on the later of—

(1) October 1, 2017; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2018.

(b) **EXCEPTION.**—Subsection (a) shall not apply to authorizations for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, and contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program (and authorizations of appropriations therefor), for which appropriated funds have been obligated before the later of—

(1) October 1, 2017; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for fiscal year 2018 for military construction projects, land acquisition, family housing projects and facilities, or contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

- Sec. 2101. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects.
 Sec. 2102. Family housing.
 Sec. 2103. Authorization of appropriations, Army.
 Sec. 2104. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project.
 Sec. 2105. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects.
 Sec. 2106. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.
 Sec. 2107. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.
 Sec. 2108. Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York.
 Sec. 2109. Limitation on funding for family housing construction at Camp Walker, Republic of Korea.

SEC. 2101. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ARMY: INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| California | Concord | \$15,200,000 |
| | Fort Irwin | \$45,000,000 |
| Colorado | Fort Carson | \$89,000,000 |
| Hawaii | Fort Shafter | \$311,400,000 |
| Kentucky | Blue Grass Army Depot | \$15,000,000 |
| | Fort Campbell | \$23,000,000 |
| New York | Fort Drum | \$27,000,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Letterkenny Army Depot | \$16,000,000 |
| South Carolina | Fort Jackson | \$52,000,000 |
| Texas | Fort Hood | \$46,000,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Lee | \$86,000,000 |
| | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | \$7,700,000 |

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

ARMY: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Guantanamo Bay | Guantanamo Bay | \$23,800,000 |
| Japan | Kadena Air Base | \$10,600,000 |

SEC. 2102. FAMILY HOUSING.

(a) **CONSTRUCTION AND ACQUISITION.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may construct or acquire family housing units (including land acquisition and supporting facilities) at the installations or locations, in the number of units, and in the amounts set forth in the following table:

ARMY: FAMILY HOUSING

| STATE/COUNTRY | INSTALLATION | UNITS | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|
| Illinois | Rock Island | Family Housing New Construction | \$19,500,000 |
| Korea | Camp Walker | Family Housing New Construction | \$57,800,000 |

(b) **PLANNING AND DESIGN.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2103(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$1,309,000.

SEC. 2103. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, ARMY.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Army as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2101 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$226,400,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) for a Command and Control Facility at Fort Shafter, Hawaii).

(3) \$46,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) for a Simulations Center at Fort Hood, Texas).

(4) \$86,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) for an Advanced Individual Training Barracks Complex, Ph 3, at Fort Lee, Virginia).

(5) \$6,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2119) for cadet barracks at the United States Military Academy, New York).

(6) \$78,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act

for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2119), as amended by section 2105(d) of this Act, for a Secure Administration/Operations Facility at Fort Belvoir, Virginia).

SEC. 2104. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2004 PROJECT.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108-136; 117 Stat. 1697) for Picatinny Arsenal, New Jersey, for construction of an Explosives Research and Development Loading Facility at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may use available unobligated balances of amounts appropriated for military construction for the Army to complete work on the project within the scope specified for the project in the justification data provided to Congress as part of the request for authorization of the project.

SEC. 2105. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECTS.

(a) FORT DRUM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In executing the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2119) for Fort Drum, New York, for construction of an Aircraft Maintenance Hangar at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may provide a capital contribution to a public or private utility company in order for the utility company to extend the utility company's gas line to the installation boundary.

(2) NO CHANGE IN SCOPE.—The capital contribution under subsection (a) shall not be construed as a change in the scope of work under section 2853 of title 10, United States Code.

(b) FORT LEONARD WOOD.—*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2119) for Fort Leonard Wood, Missouri, for construction of Battalion Complex Facilities at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may construct the Battalion Headquarters with classrooms for a unit other than a Global Defense Posture Realignment unit.*

(c) FORT MCNAIR.—*In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2119) for Fort McNair, District of Columbia, for construction of a Vehicle Storage Building at the installation, the Secretary of the Army may construct up to 20,227 square feet of vehicle storage.*

(d) FORT BELVOIR.—*The table in section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 2119) is amended in the item relating to Fort Belvoir, Virginia, by striking “\$94,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$172,000,000”.*

SEC. 2106. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (124 Stat. 4437) and extended by section 2109 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 988), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

ARMY: EXTENSION OF 2011 PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|---------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Georgia | Fort Benning | Land Acquisition | \$12,200,000 |

SEC. 2107. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2101 of that Act (125 Stat. 1661), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) as follows:

ARMY: EXTENSION OF 2012 PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------|
| Georgia | Fort Benning | Land Acquisition | \$5,100,000 |
| | Fort Benning | Land Acquisition | \$25,000,000 |
| North Carolina | Fort Bragg | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Maintenance Hanger | \$54,000,000 |
| Texas | Fort Bliss | Applied Instruction Building | \$8,300,000 |
| | Fort Bliss | Vehicle Maintenance Facility | \$19,000,000 |
| | Fort Hood | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Maintenance Hanger | \$47,000,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Belvoir | Road and Infrastructure Improvements .. | \$25,000,000 |

SEC. 2108. LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION OF CADET BARRACKS AT UNITED STATES MILITARY ACADEMY, NEW YORK.

No amounts may be obligated or expended for the construction of increment 3 of the Cadet Barracks at the United States Military

Academy, New York, as authorized by section 2101(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2119), until the Secretary of the Army certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary intends to award a contract for the renovation of the MacArthur Long Barracks at the United States Military Academy concurrent with assuming beneficial occupancy of the renovated MacArthur Short Barracks at the United States Military Academy.

SEC. 2109. LIMITATION ON FUNDING FOR FAMILY HOUSING CONSTRUCTION AT CAMP WALKER, REPUBLIC OF KOREA.

(a) **LIMITATION.**—None of the funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 for construction of military family housing units at Camp Walker, Republic of Korea, may be obligated or expended until 30 days following the delivery of the report required under subsection (b).

(b) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than March 1, 2015, the Secretary of the Army, in consultation with the Commander, U.S. Forces Korea, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on future military family housing requirements in the Republic of Korea and potential courses of action for meeting those requirements.

(2) **ELEMENTS.**—The report required under paragraph (1) shall, at a minimum—

(A) identify the number of authorized Command Sponsored Families, by location, in the Republic of Korea;

(B) validate that the number of authorized Command Sponsored Families identified pursuant to subparagraph (A) is necessary for operational effectiveness;

(C) identify and validate each key and essential Command Sponsored Family billet requiring on-post housing in the Republic of Korea;

(D) identify and validate the number of authorized Command Sponsored Families in excess of key and essential requiring on-post housing in the Republic of Korea;

(E) identify the number and estimated cost of on-post family housing units required to support the validated requirements;

(F) contain a plan for meeting the on-post family housing requirements in the Republic of Korea, including the source of funding; and

(G) contain a prioritized list of planned military construction projects to be funded with Special Measures Agreement funds over the future-years defense plan, including a certification that each proposed project is a higher priority than family housing.

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Sec. 2201. Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2202. Family housing.

Sec. 2203. Improvements to military family housing units.

Sec. 2204. Authorization of appropriations, Navy.

Sec. 2205. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects.

Sec. 2206. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.

Sec. 2207. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.

Sec. 2208. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.

SEC. 2201. AUTHORIZED NAVY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| <i>STATE</i> | <i>INSTALLATION OR LOCATION</i> | <i>AMOUNT</i> |
|---------------------------|---|---------------|
| Arizona | Yuma | \$16,608,000 |
| California | Bridgeport | \$16,180,000 |
| | Lemoore | \$38,985,000 |
| | San Diego | \$47,110,000 |
| District of Columbia | Naval Support Activity Washington | \$31,735,000 |
| Florida | Jacksonville | \$30,235,000 |
| | Mayport | \$20,520,000 |
| Guam | Joint Region Marianas | \$50,651,000 |
| Hawaii | Kaneohe Bay | \$53,382,000 |
| | Pearl Harbor | \$9,698,000 |
| Maryland | Annapolis | \$120,112,000 |
| | Indian Head | \$15,346,000 |
| | Patuxent River | \$9,860,000 |
| Nevada | Fallon | \$31,262,000 |
| North Carolina | Camp Lejeune | \$50,706,000 |
| | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | \$41,588,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Philadelphia | \$23,985,000 |
| South Carolina | Charleston | \$35,716,000 |
| Virginia | Dahlgren | \$27,313,000 |
| | Norfolk | \$39,274,000 |
| | Portsmouth | \$9,743,000 |
| | Quantico | \$12,613,000 |
| | Yorktown | \$26,988,000 |
| Washington | Bangor | \$13,833,000 |
| | Bremerton | \$16,401,000 |
| | Port Angeles | \$20,638,000 |
| | Whidbey Island | \$24,390,000 |

(b) *OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installation or location outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

NAVY: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|--|--------------|
| Bahrain Island | Southwest Asia | \$27,826,000 |
| Djibouti | Camp Lemonier | \$9,923,000 |
| Japan | Iwakuni | \$6,415,000 |
| | Kadena Air Base | \$19,411,000 |
| | Marine Corps Air Station Futenma | \$4,639,000 |
| | Okinawa | \$35,685,000 |
| Spain | Rota | \$20,233,000 |

SEC. 2202. FAMILY HOUSING.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may carry out architectural and engineering services and construction design activities with respect to the construction or improvement of family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$472,000.

SEC. 2203. IMPROVEMENTS TO MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING UNITS.

Subject to section 2825 of title 10, United States Code, and using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204(a) and available for military family housing functions as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may improve existing military family housing units in an amount not to exceed \$15,940,000.

SEC. 2204. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NAVY.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Navy as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2201 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$90,112,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) for a Center for Cyber Security Studies Building at Annapolis, Maryland).

(3) \$274,099,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666) for an explosive handling wharf at Kitsap, Washington).

(4) \$68,196,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2201(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2633) for ramp parking at Joint Region Marianas, Guam).

SEC. 2205. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.

(a) *YUMA*.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666), for Yuma, Arizona, for construction of a Double Aircraft Maintenance Hangar, the Secretary of the Navy may construct up to approximately 70,000 square feet of additional apron to be utilized as a taxi-lane using amounts appropriated for this project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 of such Act (125 Stat. 1667).

(b) *CAMP PENDELTON*.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666), for Camp Pendelton, California, for construction of an Infantry Squad Defense Range, the Secretary of the Navy may construct up to 9,000 square feet of vehicular bridge using amounts appropriated for this project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 of such Act (125 Stat. 1667).

(c) *KINGS BAY*.—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1666), for Kings Bay, Georgia, for construction of a Crab Island Security Enclave, the Secretary of the Navy may expand the enclave fencing system to three layers of fencing and construct two elevated fixed fighting positions with associated supporting facilities using amounts appropriated for this project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 of such Act (125 Stat. 1667).

SEC. 2206. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2201(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 989), for Yorktown, Virginia, for construction of Small Arms Ranges, the Secretary of the Navy may construct 240 square meters of armory, 48 square meters of Safety Officer/Target Storage Building, and 667 square meters of Range Operations Building using appropriations available for the project pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2204 of such Act (127 Stat. 990).

SEC. 2207. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.

(a) *EXTENSION*.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (124 Stat. 4441) and extended by section 2207 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 991), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE*.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

NAVY: EXTENSION OF 2011 PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

| STATE/COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Bahrain | South West Asia | Navy Central Command Ammunition Magazines | \$89,280,000 |
| Guam | Naval Activities, Guam | Defense Access Roads Improvements | \$66,730,000 |

SEC. 2208. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2201 of that Act (125 Stat. 1666), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

NAVY: EXTENSION OF 2012 PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

| STATE/COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---------------|
| California | Camp Pendelton | North Area Waste Water Conveyance ... | \$78,271,000 |
| | Camp Pendelton | Infantry Squad Defense Range | \$29,187,000 |
| Florida | Twentynine Palms | Land Expansion | \$8,665,000 |
| | Jacksonville | P–8A Hangar Upgrades | \$6,085,000 |
| Georgia | Kings Bay | Crab Island Security Enclave | \$52,913,000 |
| | Kings Bay | WRA Land/Water Interface | \$33,150,000 |
| Maryland | Patuxent River | Aircraft Prototype Facility Phase 2 | \$45,844,000 |

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Sec. 2301. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2302. Authorization of appropriations, Air Force.

Sec. 2303. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project.

Sec. 2304. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project.

Sec. 2305. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2012 project.

SEC. 2301. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2302(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Sec-

retary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

AIR FORCE: INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Alaska | Clear Air Force Station | \$11,500,000 |
| Arizona | Luke Air Force Base | \$26,800,000 |
| Guam | Joint Region Marianas | \$47,800,000 |
| Kansas | McConnell Air Force Base | \$34,400,000 |
| Massachusetts | Hanscom Air Force Base | \$13,500,000 |
| Nevada | Nellis Air Force Base | \$53,900,000 |
| New Jersey | Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst ... | \$5,900,000 |
| Oklahoma | Tinker Air Force Base | \$111,000,000 |
| Texas | Joint Base San Antonio | \$5,800,000 |

(b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2302(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the installation or location outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

AIR FORCE: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| United Kingdom | Royal Air Force Croughton | \$92,223,000 |

SEC. 2302. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, AIR FORCE.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of the Air Force as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2301 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$107,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 992) for the CYBERCOM Joint Operations Center at Fort Meade, Maryland).

SEC. 2303. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2008 PROJECT.

In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 515), for Shaw Air Force Base, South Carolina, for base infrastructure at that location, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire fee or lesser real property interests in approximately 11.5 acres of land contiguous to Shaw Air Force Base for the project using funds appropriated to the Department of the Air Force for construction in years prior to fiscal year 2015.

SEC. 2304. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECT.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorization set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (124 Stat. 4444) and extended by section 2307 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 994), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

AIR FORCE: EXTENSION OF 2011 PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Bahrain | Shaikh Isa Air Base | North Apron Expansion | \$45,000,000. |

SEC. 2305. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECT.

(a) EXTENSION.—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2301 of that Act (125 Stat. 1670), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) TABLE.—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

AIR FORCE: EXTENSION OF 2012 PROJECT AUTHORIZATION

| STATE/COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---------------|
| Italy | Sigonella Naval Air Station | UAS SATCOM Relay Pads and Facility | \$15,000,000 |

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

- Sec. 2401. Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects.*
Sec. 2402. Authorized energy conservation projects.
Sec. 2403. Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies.
Sec. 2404. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.
Sec. 2405. Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects.
Sec. 2406. Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2015 projects pending submission of report.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

- Sec. 2411. Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide.*
Sec. 2412. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2000 project.

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

SEC. 2401. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCIES CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

(a) *INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.*—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for military construction projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

DEFENSE AGENCIES: INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---|---------------|
| Arizona | Fort Huachuca | \$1,871,000 |
| California | Camp Pendleton | \$11,841,000 |
| | Coronado | \$70,340,000 |
| | Lemoore | \$52,500,000 |
| Colorado | Peterson Air Force Base | \$15,200,000 |
| Georgia | Hunter Army Airfield | \$7,692,000 |
| | Robins Air Force Base | \$19,900,000 |
| Hawaii | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | \$52,900,000 |
| Kentucky | Fort Campbell | \$18,000,000 |
| Maryland | Fort Meade | \$54,207,000 |
| | Joint Base Andrews | \$18,300,000 |
| Michigan | Selfridge Air National Guard Base | \$35,100,000 |
| Mississippi | Stennis | \$27,547,000 |
| Nevada | Fallon | \$20,241,000 |
| New Mexico | Cannon Air Force Base | \$23,333,000 |
| North Carolina | Camp Lejeune | \$52,748,000 |
| | Fort Bragg | \$93,136,000 |
| | Seymour Johnson AFB | \$8,500,000 |
| South Carolina | Beaufort | \$40,600,000 |
| South Dakota | Ellsworth Air Force Base | \$8,000,000 |
| Texas | Joint Base San Antonio | \$38,300,000 |
| Virginia | Crane Island | \$36,500,000 |

DEFENSE AGENCIES: INSIDE THE UNITED STATES—Continued

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|------------------------|---|---------------|
| | Defense Distribution Depot Richmond | \$5,700,000 |
| | Fort Belvoir | \$7,239,000 |
| | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | \$41,200,000 |
| | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek-Story | \$39,588,000 |
| | Pentagon | \$15,100,000 |
| CONUS Classified | Classified Location | \$53,073,000 |

(b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for military construction projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

DEFENSE AGENCIES: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Australia | Geraldton | \$9,600,000 |
| Belgium | Brussels | \$79,544,000 |
| Guantanamo Bay | Guantanamo Bay | \$76,290,000 |
| Japan | Misawa Air Base | \$37,775,000 |
| | Okinawa | \$170,901,000 |
| | Sasebo | \$37,681,000 |

SEC. 2402. AUTHORIZED ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS.

(a) **INSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for energy conservation projects inside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS: INSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| California | Edwards Air Force Base | \$4,500,000 |
| | Fort Hunter Liggett | \$13,500,000 |
| | Vandenberg Air Force Base | \$2,965,000 |
| Colorado | Fort Carson | \$3,000,000 |
| Florida | Eglin Air Force Base | \$3,850,000 |
| Georgia | Moody Air Force Base | \$3,600,000 |
| Hawaii | Marine Corps Base Hawaii | \$8,460,000 |
| Illinois | Great Lakes Naval Station | \$2,190,000 |
| Maine | Portsmouth Naval Shipyard | \$2,740,000 |
| Maryland | Fort Detrick | \$2,100,000 |
| Nebraska | Offutt Air Force Base | \$2,869,000 |

ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS: INSIDE THE UNITED STATES—Continued

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Oklahoma | Tinker Air Force Base | \$3,609,000 |
| Oregon | Oregon City Armory | \$9,400,000 |
| Utah | Dugway Proving Ground | \$15,400,000 |
| Virginia | Naval Station Norfolk | \$11,360,000 |
| | Pentagon | \$2,120,000 |
| Various Locations | Various Locations | \$25,112,000 |

(b) **OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.**—Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2403(a) and available for energy conservation projects outside the United States as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of Defense may carry out energy conservation projects under chapter 173 of title 10, United States Code, for the installations or locations outside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ENERGY CONSERVATION PROJECTS: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|
| Diego Garcia | Naval Support Facility | \$14,620,000 |
| Japan | Fleet Activities Yokosuka | \$8,030,000 |
| Germany | Spangdahlem | \$4,800,000 |
| Various Locations | Various Locations | \$5,776,000 |

SEC. 2403. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, DEFENSE AGENCIES.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2013, for military construction, land acquisition, and military family housing functions of the Department of Defense (other than the military departments) as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under section 2401 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$79,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2128) for NSAW Recapitalize Building #1 at Fort Meade, Maryland).

(3) \$20,800,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2129) for the Aegis Ashore Missile Defense System Complex at Deveselu, Romania).

(4) \$141,039,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672), as amended by section 2404(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2130), for a data center at Fort Meade, Maryland).

(5) \$50,500,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for an Ambulatory Care Center at Joint Base Andrews, Maryland).

(6) \$54,300,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1672) for an Ambulatory Care Center at Joint Base San Antonio, Texas).

(7) \$526,168,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(b) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1673) for a hospital at the Rhine Ordnance Barracks, Germany).

(8) \$281,325,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (division B of Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2640) for a hospital at Fort Bliss, Texas).

(9) \$123,827,000 (the balance of the amount authorized as a Military Construction, Defense-Wide project by title X of the Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2009 (Public Law 111–32; 123 Stat. 1888) for a data center at Camp Williams, Utah).

SEC. 2404. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2401 of that Act (124 Stat. 4446), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

DEFENSE AGENCIES: EXTENSION OF 2011 PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|
| District of Columbia | Bolling Air Force Base | Cooling Tower Expansion | \$2,070,000 |
| | | DIAC Parking Garage .. | \$13,586,000 |
| | | Electrical Upgrades | \$1,080,000 |

SEC. 2405. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATIONS OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in section 2401 of that Act (125 Stat. 1672), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

DEFENSE AGENCIES: EXTENSION OF 2012 PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

| STATE/COUNTRY | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|---------------|
| California | Coronado | SOF Support Activity Operations Facility .. | \$42,000,000 |
| Germany | USAG Baumholder | Wetzel-Smith Elementary School | \$59,419,000 |
| Italy | USAG Vicenza | Vicenza High School | \$41,864,000 |
| Japan | Yokota Air Base | Yokota High School | \$49,606,000 |
| Virginia | Pentagon Reservation | Helipport Control Tower and Fire Station | \$6,457,000 |
| | | Pedestrian Plaza | \$2,285,000 |

SEC. 2406. LIMITATION ON PROJECT AUTHORIZATION TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2015 PROJECTS PENDING SUBMISSION OF REPORT.

(a) *LIMITATION.*—No amounts may be obligated or expended for the military construction projects described in subsection (b) and otherwise authorized by section 2401(a) until the report described in subsection (c) has been submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

(b) *COVERED PROJECTS.*—The limitation imposed by subsection (a) applies to the following military construction projects:

(1) The construction of a human performance center facility at Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek–Story, Virginia.

(2) The construction of a squadron operations facility at Cannon Air Force Base, New Mexico.

(c) *REPORT DESCRIBED.*—The report referred to in subsection (a) is the report on the review of Department of Defense efforts regarding the prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents required by section 582 of this Act.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

SEC. 2411. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE.

(a) *AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.*—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for military construction and land acquisition for

chemical demilitarization, as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) **LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.**—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under subsection (a) and the project described in paragraph (2) of this subsection may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(2) \$2,049,000 (the balance of the amount authorized for ammunition demilitarization at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 835), as most recently amended by section 2412 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4450) and section 2412 of this Act.

SEC. 2412. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2000 PROJECT.

(a) **MODIFICATION.**—The table in section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 835), as amended by section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1298), section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (division B of Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat. 2698), section 2414 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4697), and section 2412 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4450), is amended—

(1) in the item relating to Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, by striking “\$746,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$780,000,000”; and

(2) by striking the amount identified as the total in the amount column and inserting “\$1,237,920,000”.

(b) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—Section 2405(b)(3) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 839), as amended by section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (division B of Public Law 107–107; 115 Stat. 1298), section 2405 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 (division B of Public Law 107–314; 116 Stat. 2698), section 2414 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (division B of Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4697), and section 2412 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4450), is further amended by striking “\$723,200,000” and inserting “\$757,200,000”.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Sec. 2501. Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2502. Authorization of appropriations, NATO.

SEC. 2501. AUTHORIZED NATO CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

The Secretary of Defense may make contributions for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program as provided in section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, in an amount not to exceed the sum of the amount authorized to be appropriated for this purpose in section 2502 and the amount collected from the North Atlantic Treaty Organization as a result of construction previously financed by the United States.

SEC. 2502. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATO.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for contributions by the Secretary of Defense under section 2806 of title 10, United States Code, for the share of the United States of the cost of projects for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program authorized by section 2501 as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 2601. Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2602. Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2603. Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2604. Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2605. Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2606. Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Sec. 2611. Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects.

Sec. 2612. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects.

Sec. 2613. Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project.

Sec. 2614. Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 projects.

Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 2601. AUTHORIZED ARMY NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a) and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601,

the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

| STATE | LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Delaware | Dagsboro | \$10,800,000 |
| Maine | Augusta | \$32,000,000 |
| Maryland | Havre De Grace | \$12,400,000 |
| Montana | Helena | \$38,000,000 |
| New Mexico | Alamogordo | \$5,000,000 |
| North Dakota | Valley City | \$10,800,000 |
| Vermont | North Hyde Park | \$4,400,000 |
| Washington | Yakima | \$19,000,000 |

SEC. 2602. AUTHORIZED ARMY RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a) and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in the funding table in section 4601, the Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Army Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

ARMY RESERVE

| STATE | LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|-------------------|--|---------------|
| California | Fresno | \$22,000,000 |
| | March Air Force Base | \$25,000,000 |
| Colorado | Fort Carson | \$5,000,000 |
| Illinois | Arlington Heights | \$26,000,000 |
| Mississippi | Starkville | \$9,300,000 |
| New Jersey | Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst | \$26,000,000 |
| New York | Mattydale | \$23,000,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Lee | \$16,000,000 |

SEC. 2603. AUTHORIZED NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a) and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in section 4601, the Secretary of the Navy may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE

| STATE | LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|--------------------|------------------|---------------|
| Pennsylvania | Pittsburgh | \$17,650,000 |

NAVY RESERVE AND MARINE CORPS RESERVE—Continued

| STATE | LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| Washington | Naval Station Everett | \$47,869,000 |
| | Whidbey Island | \$27,755,000 |

SEC. 2604. AUTHORIZED AIR NATIONAL GUARD CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a) and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air National Guard locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

AIR NATIONAL GUARD

| STATE | LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|---------------------|--|--------------|
| Arkansas | Fort Smith Municipal Airport | \$13,200,000 |
| Connecticut | Bradley International Airport | \$16,306,000 |
| Iowa | Des Moines Municipal Airport | \$8,993,000 |
| Michigan | W.K. Kellogg Regional Airport | \$6,000,000 |
| New Hampshire | Pease International Trade Port | \$41,902,000 |
| Pennsylvania | Horsham Air Guard Station (Willow Grove) | \$5,662,000 |

SEC. 2605. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE RESERVE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

Using amounts appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section 2606(a) and available for the National Guard and Reserve as specified in section 4601, the Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve locations inside the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

AIR FORCE RESERVE

| STATE | LOCATION | AMOUNT |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Arizona | Davis-Monthan Air Force Base | \$14,500,000 |
| Georgia | Robins Air Force Base | \$27,700,000 |
| North Carolina | Seymour Johnson Air Force Base | \$9,800,000 |
| Texas | Forth Worth | \$3,700,000 |

SEC. 2606. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS, NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for the costs of acquisition, architectural and engineering services, and construction of facilities for the Guard and Reserve Forces, and for contributions therefor, under chapter 1803 of title 10, United States Code (including the cost of acquisition of land for those facilities), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

(b) *LIMITATION ON TOTAL COST OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.*—Notwithstanding the cost variations authorized by section 2853 of title 10, United States Code, and any other cost variation authorized by law, the total cost of all projects carried out under sections 2601 through 2605 of this Act may not exceed the sum of the following:

(1) *The total amount authorized to be appropriated under subsection (a), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.*

(2) *\$10,800,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2601 for a National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop at Dagsboro, Delaware).*

(3) *\$19,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2601 for an Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training at Yakima, Washington).*

(4) *\$26,000,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2602 for an Army Reserve Center at Arlington Heights, Illinois).*

(5) *\$9,300,000 (the balance of the amount authorized under section 2602 for an Army Reserve Center at Starkville, Mississippi).*

Subtitle B—Other Matters

SEC. 2611. MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2012 PROJECTS.

(a) *KANSAS CITY.*—

(1) *MODIFICATION.*—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2602 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1678), for Kansas City, Kansas, for construction of an Army Reserve Center at that location, the Secretary of the Army may, instead of constructing a new facility in Kansas City, construct a new facility in the vicinity of Kansas City, Kansas.

(2) *DURATION OF AUTHORITY.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorization set forth in subsection (a) shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *ATTLEBORO.*—

(1) *MODIFICATION.*—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2602 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1678), for Attleboro, Massachusetts, for construction of an Army Reserve Center at that location, the Secretary of the Army may, instead of constructing a new facility in Attleboro, construct a new facility in the vicinity of Attleboro, Massachusetts.

(2) *DURATION OF AUTHORITY.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1660), the authorization set forth in subsection (a) shall remain in effect

until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

SEC. 2612. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2013 PROJECTS.

(a) *STORMVILLE.*—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2601 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2133) for Stormville, New York, for construction of a Combined Support Maintenance Shop Phase I, the Secretary of the Army may instead construct the facility at Camp Smith, New York, and build a 53,760 square foot maintenance facility in lieu of a 75,156 square foot maintenance facility.

(b) *TUSTIN.*—In the case of the authorization contained in the table in section 2602 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2135) for Tustin, California, for construction of an Army Reserve Center, the Secretary of the Army may construct the facility in the vicinity of Tustin instead of constructing the facility in Tustin.

SEC. 2613. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PROJECT.

The table in section 2604 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1002) is amended in the item relating to Martin State Airport, Maryland, for construction of a CYBER/ISR Facility by striking “\$8,000,000” in the amount column and inserting “\$12,900,000”.

SEC. 2614. EXTENSION OF AUTHORIZATION OF CERTAIN FISCAL YEAR 2011 PROJECTS.

(a) *EXTENSION.*—Notwithstanding section 2002 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (division B of Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4436), the authorizations set forth in the table in subsection (b), as provided in sections 2601 and 2602 of that Act (124 Stat. 4452, 4453) and extended by section 2612 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1003), shall remain in effect until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

(b) *TABLE.*—The table referred to in subsection (a) is as follows:

EXTENSION OF 2011 NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE PROJECT AUTHORIZATIONS

| STATE | INSTALLATION OR LOCATION | PROJECT | AMOUNT |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Puerto Rico | Camp Santiago | Multipurpose Machine Gun Range | \$9,200,000 |
| Virginia | Fort Story | Army Reserve Center ... | \$11,000,000 |

TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Sec. 2701. Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account.

Subtitle B—Prohibition on Additional BRAC Round

Sec. 2711. Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Sec. 2721. Modification of property disposal procedures under base realignment and closure process.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

SEC. 2701. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES FUNDED THROUGH DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE BASE CLOSURE ACCOUNT.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for base realignment and closure activities, including real property acquisition and military construction projects, as authorized by the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and funded through the Department of Defense Base Closure Account established by section 2906 of such Act (as amended by section 2711 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2140)), as specified in the funding table in section 4601.

Subtitle B—Prohibition on Additional BRAC Round

SEC. 2711. PROHIBITION ON CONDUCTING ADDITIONAL BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE (BRAC) ROUND.

Nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize an additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

SEC. 2721. MODIFICATION OF PROPERTY DISPOSAL PROCEDURES UNDER BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE PROCESS.

(a) REPORT ON EXCESS PROPERTY.—Section 2905 of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended by inserting after subsection (e) the following new subsection:

“(f) REPORT ON DESIGNATION OF PROPERTY AS EXCESS INSTEAD OF SURPLUS.—(1) Not later than 180 days after the date on which real property located at a military installation closed or realigned

under this part is declared excess, but not surplus, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report identifying the property and including the information required by paragraph (2). The Secretary shall update the report every 180 days thereafter until the property is either declared surplus or transferred to another Federal agency.

“(2) Each report under paragraph (1) shall include the following elements:

“(A) The reason for the excess designation.

“(B) The nature of the contemplated transfer.

“(C) The proposed timeline for the transfer.

“(D) Any impediments to completing the Federal agency screening process.”.

(b) **EFFECT OF LACK OF RECOGNIZED REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY.**—Section 2910(9) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (part A of title XXIX of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) is amended—

(1) by striking “The term” and inserting “(A) The term”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

“(B) If no redevelopment authority referred to in subparagraph (A) exists with respect to a military installation, the term shall include the following:

“(i) The local government in whose jurisdiction the military installation is wholly located.

“(ii) A local government agency or State government agency designated by the chief executive officer of the State in which the military installation is located under subparagraph (B) of section 2905(b)(3) for the purpose of the consultation required by subparagraph (A) of such section.”.

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

Sec. 2801. Congressional notification of construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense access road projects conducted under authorities other than a Military Construction Authorization Act.

Sec. 2802. Modification of authority to carry out unspecified minor military construction.

Sec. 2803. Clarification of authorized use of payments-in-kind and in-kind contributions.

Sec. 2804. Use of one-step turn-key contractor selection procedures for additional facility projects.

Sec. 2805. Limitations on military construction in European Command area of responsibility and European Reassurance Initiative.

Sec. 2806. Extension of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States.

Sec. 2807. Application of residential building construction standards.

Sec. 2808. Limitation on construction of new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

Sec. 2811. Renewals, extensions, and succeeding leases for financial institutions operating on military installations.

Sec. 2812. Deposit of reimbursed funds to cover administrative expenses relating to certain real property transactions.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment

- Sec. 2821. *Realignment of Marines Corps forces in Asia-Pacific region.*
 Sec. 2822. *Establishment of surface danger zone, Ritidian Unit, Guam National Wildlife Refuge.*

Subtitle D—Land Conveyances

- Sec. 2831. *Land conveyance, Gordo Army Reserve Center, Gordo, Alabama.*
 Sec. 2832. *Land conveyance, West Nome Tank Farm, Nome, Alaska.*
 Sec. 2833. *Land conveyance, former Air Force Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point, Norwalk, California.*
 Sec. 2834. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction and alternative land conveyance authority, former Walter Reed Army Hospital, District of Columbia.*
 Sec. 2835. *Land conveyance, former Lynn Haven fuel depot, Lynn Haven, Florida.*
 Sec. 2836. *Transfers of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill and Lake Lanier, Georgia.*
 Sec. 2837. *Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii.*
 Sec. 2838. *Modification of conditions on land conveyance, Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Illinois.*
 Sec. 2839. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Gruber, Oklahoma.*
 Sec. 2840. *Conveyance, Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina.*
 Sec. 2841. *Land exchanges, Arlington County, Virginia.*

Subtitle E—Military Memorials, Monuments, and Museums

- Sec. 2851. *Acceptance of in-kind gifts on behalf of Heritage Center for the National Museum of the United States Army.*
 Sec. 2852. *Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, San Diego, California.*
 Sec. 2853. *Establishment of memorial to the victims of the shooting at the Washington Navy Yard on September 16, 2013.*

Subtitle F—Designations

- Sec. 2861. *Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.*

Subtitle G—Other Matters

- Sec. 2871. *Report on physical security at Department of Defense facilities.*

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

SEC. 2801. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS, LAND ACQUISITIONS, AND DEFENSE ACCESS ROAD PROJECTS CONDUCTED UNDER AUTHORITIES OTHER THAN A MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATION ACT.

Section 2802 of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e)(1) If a construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project described in paragraph (2) will be carried out pursuant to a provision of law other than a Military Construction Authorization Act, the Secretary concerned shall—

“(A) comply with the congressional notification requirement contained in the provision of law under which the construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project will be carried out; or

“(B) in the absence of such a congressional notification requirement, submit to the congressional defense committees, in an electronic medium pursuant to section 480 of this title, a report describing the construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project at least 15 days before commencing the

construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project.

“(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3), a construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project subject to the notification requirement imposed by paragraph (1) is a construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project that—

“(A) is not specifically authorized in a Military Construction Authorization Act;

“(B) will be carried out by a military department, Defense Agency, or Department of Defense Field Activity; and

“(C) will be located on a military installation.

“(3) This subsection does not apply to a construction project, land acquisition, or defense access road project described in paragraph (2) whose cost is less than or equal to the threshold amount specified in section 2805(b) of this title.”

SEC. 2802. MODIFICATION OF AUTHORITY TO CARRY OUT UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

(a) **UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DESCRIBED.**—Subsection (a)(2) of section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “\$2,000,000” and inserting “\$3,000,000”; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking “\$3,000,000” and inserting “\$4,000,000”.

(b) **INCREASED THRESHOLD FOR APPLICATION OF SECRETORY APPROVAL AND CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENTS.**—Subsection (b)(1) of such section is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

(c) **MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS AUTHORIZED TO BE USED FOR PROJECTS.**—Subsection (c) of such section is amended by striking “\$750,000” and inserting “\$1,000,000”.

SEC. 2803. CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORIZED USE OF PAYMENTS-IN-KIND AND IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.

(a) **PAYMENTS-IN-KIND AND IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.**—Subsection (f) of section 2687a of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“(f) **AUTHORIZED USE OF PAYMENTS-IN-KIND AND IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS.**—(1) A military construction project, as defined in chapter 159 of this title, may be accepted as payment-in-kind or as an in-kind contribution required by a bilateral agreement with a host country only if that military construction project is authorized by law.

“(2) Operations of United States forces may be funded through payment-in-kind or an in-kind contribution required by a bilateral agreement with a host country under this section only if the costs covered by such payment or contribution are included in the budget justification documents for the Department of Defense submitted to Congress in connection with the budget submitted under 1105 of title 31.

“(3) If funds previously appropriated for a military construction project or operating costs are subsequently addressed in an agreement for payment-in-kind or by an in-kind contribution required by a bilateral agreement with a host country, the Secretary of Defense

shall return to the Treasury funds in the amount equal to the value of the appropriated funds.

“(4) This subsection does not apply to a military construction project that—

“(A) was specified in a bilateral agreement with a host country that was entered into before December 26, 2013;

“(B) was the subject of negotiation between the United States and a host country as of the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015;

“(C) was accepted as payment-in-kind for the residual value of improvements made by the United States at military installations released to the host country under section 2921 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (division B of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) before December 26, 2013; or

“(D) subject to paragraph (6), will cost less than the cost specified in subsection (a)(2) of section 2805 of this title for certain unspecified minor military construction projects.

“(5) This subsection does not apply to an in-kind contribution toward operating costs that—

“(A) was specified in a bilateral agreement with a host country that was entered into before December 26, 2013;

“(B) was the subject of negotiation between the United States and a host country as of the date of the enactment of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015; or

“(C) was accepted as an in-kind contribution for the residual value of improvements made by the United States at military installations released to the host country under section 2921 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (division B of Public Law 101–510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) before December 26, 2013.

“(6) In the case of a military construction project excluded pursuant to paragraph (4)(D) whose cost will exceed the cost specified in subsection (b) of section 2805 of this title for certain unspecified minor military construction projects, the congressional notification requirements and waiting period specified in paragraph (2) of such subsection shall apply.”

(b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 2802(d) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1), by striking “payment-in-kind contributions” and inserting “payments-in-kind or in-kind contributions”;

(2) by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following new paragraph:

“(3) This subsection does not apply to a military construction project covered by one of the exceptions in section 2687a(f)(4) of this title.”; and

(3) in paragraph (4), by striking “paragraph (3)(C)” and inserting “paragraph (3), by reference to section 2687a(f)(4)(D) of this title.”

(c) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—

(1) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—During the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on the effective date specified in subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense

shall submit to the congressional defense committees a written notification, at least 30 days before the initiation date for any military construction project to be built for Department of Defense personnel outside the United States using payments-in-kind or in-kind contributions.

(2) *ELEMENTS OF NOTICE.*—A written notifications under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The requirements for, and purpose and description of, the proposed military construction project.

(B) The cost of the proposed military construction project.

(C) The scope of the proposed military construction project.

(D) The schedule for the proposed military construction project.

(E) Such other details as the Secretary considers relevant.

(d) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—The amendments made by this section shall take effect on the later of—

(1) September 30, 2016; or

(2) the date of the enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017.

SEC. 2804. USE OF ONE-STEP TURN-KEY CONTRACTOR SELECTION PROCEDURES FOR ADDITIONAL FACILITY PROJECTS.

Section 2862 of title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 2862. Turn-key selection procedures

“(a) AUTHORITY TO USE FOR CERTAIN PURPOSES.—The Secretary concerned may use one-step turn-key selection procedures for the purpose of entering into a contract for any of the following purposes:

“(1) The construction of an authorized military construction project.

“(2) A repair project (as defined in section 2811(e) of this title) with an approved cost equal to or less than \$4,000,000.

“(3) The construction of a facility as part of an authorized security assistance activity.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

“(1) The term ‘one-step turn-key selection procedures’ means procedures used for the selection of a contractor on the basis of price and other evaluation criteria to perform, in accordance with the provisions of a firm fixed-price contract, both the design and construction of a facility using performance specifications supplied by the Secretary concerned.

“(2) The term ‘security assistance activity’ means—

“(A) humanitarian and civic assistance authorized by sections 401 and 2561 of this title;

“(B) foreign disaster assistance authorized by section 404 of this title;

“(C) foreign military construction sales authorized by section 29 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2769);

“(D) foreign assistance authorized under sections 607 and 632 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 (22 U.S.C. 2357, 2392); and

“(E) other international security assistance specifically authorized by law.”.

SEC. 2805. LIMITATIONS ON MILITARY CONSTRUCTION IN EUROPEAN COMMAND AREA OF RESPONSIBILITY AND EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE.

(a) *EXTENSION OF CURRENT LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.*—Section 2809 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1013) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by inserting “or the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015” after “this division”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “the date of the enactment of this Act” and inserting “December 26, 2013”.

(b) *LIMITATION RELATED TO EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE.*—The Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department shall not award any contract in connection with a construction project authorized in title XXIX of this division to be carried out at an installation operated in the European Command area of responsibility until—

(1) the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a project notification that—

(A) includes a completed military construction project data sheet (DD 1391); and

(B) certifies that a pre-financing statement for eligible projects has been submitted through the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program; and

(2) subject to subsection (c), the expiration of the 21-day period beginning on the date the notification is received by the committees or, if earlier, the 14-day period beginning on the date on which a copy of the notification is provided in an electronic medium pursuant to section 480 of title 10, United States Code.

(c) *RELATION TO CURRENT LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS.*—The limitation imposed by subsection (b) is in addition to the limitation on construction projects carried out in the European Command area of responsibility imposed by section 2809 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1013), as amended by subsection (a).

SEC. 2806. EXTENSION OF TEMPORARY, LIMITED AUTHORITY TO USE OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDS FOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN CERTAIN AREAS OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.

Section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136; 117 Stat. 1723), as most recently amended by section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 112–239; 127 Stat. 1012), is further amended—

(1) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “shall not exceed” and all that follows through the period at the end and inserting “shall not exceed \$100,000,000 between October 1, 2014, and the earlier of December 31, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an

Act authorizing funds for military activities of the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016.”; and

(2) in subsection (h)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “December 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “fiscal year 2015” and inserting “fiscal year 2016”.

SEC. 2807. APPLICATION OF RESIDENTIAL BUILDING CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS.

If a residential building project (including repair or remodeling project) is authorized by this Act or will be carried out using amounts appropriated pursuant to an authorization of appropriations in this Act and the project will be designed and constructed to meet an above code green building standard or rating system, the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of the military department concerned may use the ICC 700 National Green Building Standard, the LEED Green Building Standard System, the Green Globes Green Building Certification System, or an equivalent protocol developed using a voluntary consensus standard, as defined in Office of Management and Budget Circular Number A-119.

SEC. 2808. LIMITATION ON CONSTRUCTION OF NEW FACILITIES AT GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.

(a) LIMITATION.—None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense may be used to construct new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that any new construction of facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, has enduring military value independent of a high value detention mission.

(b) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in subsection (a) shall be construed as limiting the ability of the Department of Defense to obligate or expend available funds to correct a deficiency that is life-threatening, health-threatening, or safety-threatening.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

SEC. 2811. RENEWALS, EXTENSIONS, AND SUCCEEDING LEASES FOR FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS OPERATING ON MILITARY INSTALLATIONS.

Section 2667(h) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(4)(A) Paragraph (1) does not apply to a renewal, extension, or succeeding lease by the Secretary concerned with a financial institution selected in accordance with the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation providing for the selection of financial institutions to operate on military installations if each of the following applies:

“(i) The on-base financial institution was selected before the date of the enactment of this paragraph or competitive procedures are used for the selection of any new financial institutions.

“(ii) A current and binding operating agreement is in place between the installation commander and the selected on-base financial institution.

“(B) The renewal, extension, or succeeding lease shall terminate upon the termination of the operating agreement described in subparagraph (A)(ii) associated with that lease.”.

SEC. 2812. DEPOSIT OF REIMBURSED FUNDS TO COVER ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES RELATING TO CERTAIN REAL PROPERTY TRANSACTIONS.

(a) AUTHORITY TO CREDIT REIMBURSED FUNDS TO ACCOUNTS CURRENTLY AVAILABLE.—Section 2695(c) of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

(1) by striking the first sentence and inserting the following: “(1) Amounts collected by the Secretary of a military department under subsection (a) for administrative expenses shall be credited, at the option of the Secretary—

“(A) to the appropriation, fund, or account from which the expenses were paid; or

“(B) to an appropriate appropriation, fund, or account currently available to the Secretary for the purposes for which the expenses were paid.”; and

(2) in the second sentence, by striking “Amounts so credited” and inserting the following:

“(2) Amounts credited under paragraph (1)”.

(b) PROSPECTIVE APPLICABILITY.—The amendments made by subsection (a) shall not apply to administrative expenses related to a real property transaction referred to in section 2695(b) of title 10, United States Code, that were covered by the Secretary of a military department using amounts appropriated to the Secretary before the date of the enactment of this Act.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military Realignment

SEC. 2821. REALIGNMENT OF MARINES CORPS FORCES IN ASIA-PACIFIC REGION.

(a) LIMITATION BASED ON COST ESTIMATES.—

(1) LIMITATION AMOUNT.—Pursuant to the Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the “Guam and Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands Military Relocation (2012 Roadmap Adjustments)”, the total amount obligated or expended from funds appropriated or otherwise made available for military construction for implementation of the Record of Decision for the relocation of Marine Corps forces to Guam associated with such Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement may not exceed \$8,725,000,000, subject to such adjustment as may be made under paragraph (2).

(2) ADJUSTMENT OF LIMITATION AMOUNT.—The Secretary of the Navy may adjust the amount specified in paragraph (1) by the following:

(A) The amounts of increases or decreases in costs attributable to economic inflation after September 30, 2014.

(B) *The amounts of increases or decreases in costs attributable to compliance with changes in Federal, Guam or Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, or local laws enacted after September 30, 2014.*

(3) **WRITTEN NOTICE OF ADJUSTMENT.**—*At the same time that the budget for a fiscal year is submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary of the Navy shall submit to the congressional defense committees written notice of any adjustment to the amount specified in paragraph (1) made by the Secretary during the preceding fiscal year pursuant to the authority provided by paragraph (2).*

(b) **RESTRICTION ON DEVELOPMENT OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE.**—

(1) **RESTRICTION.**—*If the Secretary of Defense determines that any grant, cooperative agreement, transfer of funds to another Federal agency, or supplement of funds available under Federal programs administered by agencies other than the Department of Defense will result in the development (including repair, replacement, renovation, conversion, improvement, expansion, acquisition, or construction) of public infrastructure on Guam, the Secretary of Defense may not carry out such grant, transfer, cooperative agreement, or supplemental funding unless such grant, transfer, cooperative agreement, or supplemental funding—*

(A) is specifically authorized by law; and

(B) will be used to carry out a public infrastructure project included in the report prepared by the Secretary of Defense under section 2822(d)(2) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1017), as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) **PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE DEFINED.**—*In this subsection, the term “public infrastructure” means any utility, method of transportation, item of equipment, or facility under the control of a public entity or State or local government that is used by, or constructed for the benefit of, the general public.*

(c) **REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED LAW.**—*Section 2822 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1016) is repealed. The repeal of such section does not affect the validity of the amendment made by subsection (f) of such section or the responsibilities of the Economic Adjustment Committee and the Secretary of Defense under subsection (d) of such section, as in effect on the day before the date of the enactment of this Act.*

SEC. 2822. ESTABLISHMENT OF SURFACE DANGER ZONE, RITIDIAN UNIT, GUAM NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE.

(a) **AGREEMENT TO ESTABLISH.**—*In order to accommodate the operation of a live-fire training range complex on Andersen Air Force Base-Northwest Field and the management of the adjacent Ritidian Unit of the Guam National Wildlife Refuge, the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior, notwithstanding the National Wildlife Refuge System Administration Act of 1966 (16 U.S.C. 668dd et seq.), may enter into an agreement providing for the establishment and operation of a surface danger zone which*

overlays the Ritidian Unit or such portion thereof as the Secretaries consider necessary.

(b) *ELEMENTS OF AGREEMENT.*—The agreement to establish a surface danger zone over all or a portion of the Ritidian Unit of the Guam National Wildlife Refuge shall include—

(1) measures to maintain the purposes of the Refuge; and

(2) as appropriate, measures, funded by the Secretary of the Navy from funds appropriated after the date of enactment of this Act and otherwise available to the Secretary, for the following purposes:

(A) Relocation and reconstruction of structures and facilities of the Refuge in existence as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(B) Mitigation of impacts to wildlife species present on the Refuge or to be reintroduced in the future in accordance with applicable laws.

(C) Use of Department of Defense personnel to undertake conservation activities within the Ritidian Unit normally performed by Department of the Interior personnel, including habitat maintenance, maintaining the boundary fence, and conducting the brown tree snake eradication program.

(D) Openings and closures of the surface danger zone to the public as may be necessary.

Subtitle D—Land Conveyances

SEC. 2831. LAND CONVEYANCE, GORDO ARMY RESERVE CENTER, GORDO, ALABAMA.

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, to the town of Gordo, Alabama (in this section referred to as the “Town”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 3.79 acres and containing the Gordo Army Reserve Center located at 25226 Highway 82 in Gordo, Alabama, for the purpose of permitting the Town to use the parcel for municipal government purposes, including use by municipal utilities management, the municipal police department, and municipal officials and use as a community center and polling place.

(b) *REVERSIONARY INTEREST.*—If the Secretary of the Army determines at any time that the real property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance specified in subsection (a), all right, title, and interest in and to such real property, including any improvements thereto, shall, at the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A determination by the Secretary under this subsection shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(c) *ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERATION OPTION.*—

(1) *CONSIDERATION OPTION.*—In lieu of exercising the reversionary interest under subsection (b), if the Secretary of the Army determines that the property conveyed under subsection (a) is not being used in accordance with the purpose of the con-

veyance, the Secretary may require the Town to pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the property, excluding the value of any improvements on the property constructed by the Town, as determined by the Secretary.

(2) *TREATMENT OF CONSIDERATION RECEIVED.*—Consideration received by the Secretary under paragraph (1) shall be deposited in the special account in the Treasury established for the Secretary under subsection (e) of section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, and shall be available to the Secretary for the same uses and subject to the same limitations as provided in that section.

(d) *PAYMENT OF COST OF CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of the Army shall require the Town to cover costs (except costs for environmental remediation of the property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation related to the conveyance, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the Town in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Town.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.*—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance or, if the period of availability for obligations for that appropriation has expired, to the appropriations or fund that is currently available to the Secretary for the same purpose. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army.

(f) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2832. LAND CONVEYANCE, WEST NOME TANK FARM, NOME, ALASKA.

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the City of Nome, Alaska (in this section referred to as the “City”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property consisting of approximately seven acres, including improvements thereon, known as the USAF West Nome Tank Farm, and located adjacent to the City’s port facilities along Port Road in Nome, Alaska, for the purpose of permitting the City to use the property for municipal purposes, including municipal office space, port development, fuel storage for the municipal power plant, and municipal public utility facilities.

(b) *INTERIM LEASE.*—Until such time as the real property described in subsection (a) may be conveyed to the City by deed, the Secretary of the Air Force may lease, without consideration, all or part of the real property to the City for municipal purposes, as described in such subsection.

(c) *REVERSIONARY INTEREST AND ALTERNATIVE CONSIDERATION OPTION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—If the Secretary of the Air Force determines at any time that the real property conveyed or leased to the City under this section is not being used for municipal purposes, then, at the option of the Secretary—

(A) all right, title, and interest in and to the real property, including any improvement thereto, shall revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto the property; or

(B) the Secretary may require the City to pay the Secretary an amount equal to the then current fair market value of the property, excluding the value of any improvements on the property constructed by the City, as determined by the Secretary.

(2) *DETERMINATION PROCESS.*—A determination by the Secretary under paragraph (1) shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(3) *TREATMENT OF CASH PAYMENTS RECEIVED.*—Any cash payment received by the Secretary under paragraph (1)(B) shall be deposited in the special account in the Treasury established for the Secretary under section 2667(e) of title 10, United State Code, and shall be available to the Secretary for the same uses and subject to the same limitations as provided in that section.

(d) *PAYMENT OF COSTS.*—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force shall require the City to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out a conveyance or lease under this section, including survey costs, cost for environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance or lease. If amount are collected from the City in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance or lease, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the City.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.*—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance or lease or, if the period of availability for obligations for that appropriation has expired, to the appropriations or fund that is currently available to the Secretary for the same purpose. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(e) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed or leased under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Air Force.

(f) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a conveyance or lease under this section as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2833. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER AIR FORCE NORWALK DEFENSE FUEL SUPPLY POINT, NORWALK, CALIFORNIA.

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey, without consideration, to the City of Norwalk, California (in this section referred to as the “City”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 15 acres at the former Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point for the purpose of permitting the City to use the property for public purposes.

(b) *PAYMENT OF COST OF CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force shall require the City to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation related to the conveyance, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the City in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the City.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.*—Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance or, if the period of availability for obligations for that appropriation has expired, to the appropriations or fund that is currently available to the Secretary for the same purpose. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(c) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Air Force.

(d) *ADDITIONAL TERMS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2834. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION AND ALTERNATIVE LAND CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY, FORMER WALTER REED ARMY HOSPITAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

(a) *TRANSFER OF JURISDICTION AUTHORIZED.*—

(1) *TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Army may transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of

State a parcel of real property at former Walter Reed Army Hospital in the District of Columbia consisting of approximately 43.53 acres for the purpose of permitting the Secretary of State to develop a Foreign Missions Center on the property.

(2) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The property authorized for transfer under this subsection includes the following:

(A) Building 3 (attached parking structure).

(B) Buildings 19, 21, 22, 25, 26, 29, 29a, 30, 35 (residences).

(C) Building 20 (Mologne House).

(D) Building 32 (Wagner Physical Fitness Center).

(E) Building 40 (Army Medical School—Walter Reed Institute of Research).

(F) Building 41 (Red Cross).

(G) Building 52 (warehouse and outpatient clinic).

(H) Building 53 (former post theater).

(I) Building 54 (The Armed Forces Institute of Pathology Building and former Military Medical Museum).

(J) Buildings 55 and 56 (Fisher Houses).

(K) Building 57 (Memorial Chapel).

(b) *ALTERNATIVE CONVEYANCE AUTHORITY.*—

(1) *CONVEYANCE FOR PROTECTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH, INCLUDING RESEARCH.*—If the transfer of administrative jurisdiction authorized by subsection (a) does not occur, the Secretary of the Army may convey, without consideration, to an authorized recipient described in paragraph (2) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and a parcel of real property at former Walter Reed Army Hospital consisting of approximately 13.25 acres and containing of the buildings specified in subparagraphs (A), (G), (H), and (I) of subsection (a) for the purpose of permitting the recipient to use the parcel for the protection of public health, including research.

(2) *AUTHORIZED RECIPIENTS.*—The conveyance authorized by this subsection may be made to the District of Columbia, a political subdivision or instrumentality of the District of Columbia, a tax-supported medical institution, or a hospital or similar institution not operated for profit that has been exempt from taxation under section 501(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(3) *REVERSIONARY INTEREST.*—If the Secretary of the Army determines at any time that real property conveyed under this subsection is not being used in accordance with the purpose of the conveyance specified in paragraph (1), all right, title, and interest in and to such real property, including any improvements thereto, shall, at the option of the Secretary, revert to and become the property of the United States, and the United States shall have the right of immediate entry onto such real property. A determination by the Secretary under this paragraph shall be made on the record after an opportunity for a hearing.

(4) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—

(A) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of the Army shall require the recipient of the property under this subsection to cover costs (except costs for environmental remediation of the property) to be incurred by the Secretary, or

to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under this subsection, including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the recipient of the property.

(B) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—Amounts received as reimbursement under subparagraph (A) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(5) RELATION TO OTHER LAWS.—Section 2905(b) of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990 (title XXIX of Public Law 101-510; 10 U.S.C. 2687 note) and section 2696 of title 10, United States Code, shall not apply with respect to real property conveyed under this subsection.

(c) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTIES.—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be transferred or conveyed under this section shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army.

(d) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a transfer or conveyance under this section as the Secretary of the Army considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2835. LAND CONVEYANCE, FORMER LYNN HAVEN FUEL DEPOT, LYNN HAVEN, FLORIDA.

(a) CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey to the City of Lynn Haven, Florida (in this section referred to as the “City”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 144 acres at the former Lynn Haven Fuel Depot in Bay County, Florida.

(2) EXCLUDED PROPERTY.—The real property to be conveyed under paragraph (1) shall not include the portion of the former Lynn Haven Fuel Depot authorized to be conveyed by the Secretary to Florida State University by section 2843 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110-181; 122 Stat. 553).

(b) CONSIDERATION.—

(1) CONSIDERATION REQUIRED.—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a)(1), the City shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the fair market value of the real property to be conveyed, as determined by the Secretary of the Air Force.

(2) TREATMENT OF CONSIDERATION RECEIVED.—Consideration received by the Secretary under paragraph (1) shall be depos-

ited in the special account in the Treasury established for the Secretary under subsection (e) of section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, and shall be available to the Secretary for the same uses and subject to the same limitations as provided in that section.

(c) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under subsection (a)(1) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Air Force.

(d) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2836. TRANSFERS OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, CAMP FRANK D. MERRILL AND LAKE LANIER, GEORGIA.

(a) *TRANSFERS REQUIRED.*—

(1) *CAMP FRANK D. MERRILL.*—Not later than September 30, 2015, the Secretary of Agriculture shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army for required Army force protection measures certain Federal land administered as part of the Chattahoochee National Forest, but permitted to the Secretary of the Army for Camp Frank D. Merrill in Dahlonaga, Georgia, consisting of approximately 282 acres identified in the permit numbers 0018–01.

(2) *LAKE LANIER PROPERTY.*—In exchange for the land transferred under paragraph (1), the Secretary of the Army (acting through the Chief of Engineers) shall transfer to the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture certain Federal land administered by the Army Corps of Engineers and consisting of approximately 10 acres adjacent to Lake Lanier at 372 Dunlap Landing Road, Gainesville, Georgia.

(b) *USE OF TRANSFERRED LAND.*—

(1) *CAMP FRANK D. MERRILL.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—On receipt of the land under subsection (a)(1), the Secretary of the Army shall—

- (i) continue to use the land for military purposes;
- (ii) maintain a public access road through the land or provide for alternative public access in coordination with the Secretary of Agriculture; and
- (iii) make accommodations for public access and enjoyment of the land, when such public use is consistent with Army mission and force protection requirements.

(B) *RETURN OF JURISDICTION.*—The land transferred under subsection (a)(1) shall return to the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture, based on the best interests of the United States, if the Secretary of the Army determines that the transferred land is no longer needed for military purposes.

(2) *LAKE LANIER PROPERTY.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—On receipt of the land under subsection (a)(2), the Secretary of Agriculture shall use the land for administrative purposes.

(B) *SALE OF LAND.*—The Secretary of Agriculture may—

(i) sell or exchange land transferred under subsection (a)(2);

(ii) deposit the proceeds of a sale or exchange under clause (i) in the fund established under Public Law 90-171 (commonly known as the Sisk Act; 16 U.S.C. 484a); and

(iii) retain the proceeds for future acquisition of land within the Chattahoochee-Oconee National Forest, with the proceeds to remain available for expenditure without further appropriation or fiscal year limitation.

(c) **USE AND OCCUPANCY OF NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LAND.**—Use and occupancy of National Forest System land by the Department of the Army, other than land transferred pursuant to this Act, shall continue to be subject to all laws (including regulations) applicable to the National Forest System.

(d) **ENDANGERED SPECIES.**—

(1) **CRITICAL HABITAT DESIGNATION FOR DARTERS.**—Nothing in the transfer required by subsection (a)(1) shall affect the prior designation of land within the Chattahoochee National Forest as critical habitat for the Etowah darter (*Etheostoma etowahae*) and the Holiday darter (*Etheostoma brevistrum*).

(2) **FUTURE CRITICAL HABITAT LISTINGS AND DESIGNATIONS.**—Nothing in the transfer required by subsection (a)(1) shall affect the operation of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) for future listing or designations of critical habitat.

(e) **LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND MAP.**—

(1) **PREPARATION AND PUBLICATION.**—The Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description and map of both parcels of land to be transferred under subsection (a).

(2) **FORCE OF LAW.**—The legal description and map filed under paragraph (1) for a parcel of land shall have the same force and effect as if included in this Act, except that the Secretaries may correct errors in the legal description and map.

(f) **REIMBURSEMENT OF COSTS.**—The Secretary of the Army shall reimburse the Secretary of Agriculture for all costs related to the transfer required by subsection (a), including, at a minimum, any costs incurred by the Secretary of Agriculture to assist in the preparation of the legal description and maps required by subsection (e).

SEC. 2837. LAND CONVEYANCE, JOINT BASE PEARL HARBOR-HICKAM, HAWAII.

(a) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.**—The Secretary of the Navy may convey, without consideration, to the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation (in this section referred to as the “Honolulu Authority”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 1.2 acres at or in the nearby vicinity of Radford Drive and the Makalapa Gate of Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, for the purpose of permitting the Honolulu Authority to use the property as the location for a rail platform for the public benefit.

(b) **CONDITION ON USE OF REVENUES.**—If the property conveyed under subsection (a) is used, consistent with such subsection, for a public purpose that results in the generation of revenue for the Hon-

olulu Authority, the Honolulu Authority shall agree to use the generated revenue only for passenger rail transit purposes by depositing the revenue in a fund designated for passenger rail transit use.

(c) PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.—

(1) PAYMENT REQUIRED.—*The Secretary of the Navy shall require the Honolulu Authority to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for such costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs for environmental documentation, and any other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts are collected from the Honolulu Authority in advance of the Secretary incurring the actual costs, and the amount collected exceeds the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the Honolulu Authority.*

(2) TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.—*Amounts received as reimbursement under paragraph (1) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover those costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account, and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.*

(d) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—*The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Navy.*

(e) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—*The Secretary of the Navy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

SEC. 2838. MODIFICATION OF CONDITIONS ON LAND CONVEYANCE, JO-LIET ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, ILLINOIS.

Section 2922(c)(2) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (division B of Public Law 104–106; 110 Stat. 605), as added by section 2842 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 863), is amended in the second sentence by striking “23 years of operation” and inserting “38 years of operation”.

SEC. 2839. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, CAMP GRUBER, OKLAHOMA.

(a) TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.—*Upon a determination by the Secretary of the Army that the parcel of property at Camp Gruber, Oklahoma, conveyed by the war asset deed dated June 29, 1949, between the United States of America and the State of Oklahoma, or any portion thereof, is needed for national defense purposes, including military training, and that the transfer of the parcel is in the best interest of the Department of the Army, the Administrator of General Services shall execute the reversionary clause in the deed and immediately transfer administrative jurisdiction to the Department of the Army.*

(b) DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—*The exact acreage and legal description of any real property to be transferred under subsection (a) may be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Army.*

(c) *ADDITIONAL TERM AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary of the Army may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with a transfer under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2840. CONVEYANCE, JOINT BASE CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA.

(a) *CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may convey to the City of Hanahan (in this section referred to as the “City”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 53 total acres at Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina, for the purpose of accommodating the City’s recreation needs.

(b) *CONSIDERATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the City shall provide the United States with consideration in an amount that is acceptable to the Secretary, whether by cash payment, in-kind consideration as described under paragraph (2), or a combination thereof.

(2) *IN-KIND CONSIDERATION.*—In-kind consideration provided by the City under paragraph (1) may include the acquisition, construction, provision, improvement, maintenance, repair, or restoration (including environmental restoration), or combination thereof, of any facilities or infrastructure relating to the needs of Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina, that the Secretary considers acceptable.

(3) *PUBLIC BENEFIT CONVEYANCE.*—A public benefit conveyance may also be used to transfer the property under subsection (a) to the City for public use. The property use must benefit the community as a whole, including use for parks and recreation.

(c) *PAYMENT OF COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *PAYMENT REQUIRED.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require the City to cover costs to be incurred by the Secretary, or to reimburse the Secretary for costs incurred by the Secretary, to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a), including survey costs, costs related to environmental documentation, and other administrative costs related to the conveyance. If amounts paid to the Secretary in advance exceed the costs actually incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance, the Secretary shall refund the excess amount to the City.

(2) *TREATMENT OF AMOUNTS RECEIVED.*—Amounts received under paragraph (1) as reimbursement for costs incurred by the Secretary to carry out the conveyance under subsection (a) shall be credited to the fund or account that was used to cover the costs incurred by the Secretary in carrying out the conveyance. Amounts so credited shall be merged with amounts in such fund or account and shall be available for the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and limitations, as amounts in such fund or account.

(d) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of the property to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Air Force.

(e) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary of the Air Force may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 2841. LAND EXCHANGES, ARLINGTON COUNTY, VIRGINIA.

(a) *EXCHANGES AUTHORIZED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Defense may convey—

(A) to Arlington County, Virginia (in this section referred to as the “County”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to one or more parcels of real property, together with any improvements thereon, located south of Columbia Pike and west of South Joyce Street in Arlington County, Virginia; and

(B) to the Commonwealth of Virginia (in this section referred to as the “Commonwealth”), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to one or more parcels of property east of Joyce Street in Arlington County, Virginia, necessary for the realignment of Columbia Pike and the Washington Boulevard-Columbia Pike interchange, as well as for future improvements to Interstate 395 ramps.

(2) *PHASING.*—The conveyances authorized by this subsection may be accomplished through a phasing of several exchanges if necessary.

(b) *CONSIDERATION.*—As consideration for the conveyances of real property under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall receive—

(1) from the County, all right, title, and interest of the County in and to one or more parcels of real property in the area known as the Southgate Road right-of-way, Columbia Pike right-of-way, and South Joyce Street right-of-way located in Arlington County, Virginia; and

(2) from the Commonwealth, all right, title, and interest of the Commonwealth in and to one or more parcels of property in the area known as the Columbia Pike right-of-way, and the Washington Boulevard-Columbia Pike interchange.

(c) *SELECTION OF PROPERTY FOR CONVEYANCE.*—The Memorandum of Understanding between the Department of the Army and Arlington County signed in January 2013 shall be used as a guide in determining the properties to be exchanged under this section. After consultation with the Commonwealth and the County, the Secretary of Defense shall determine the exact parcels to be exchanged, and such determination shall be final. In selecting the properties to be exchanged under subsections (a) and (b), the parties shall, within their respective authorities, seek—

(1) to remove existing barriers to contiguous expansion of Arlington National Cemetery north of Columbia Pike through a realignment of Southgate Road to the western boundary of the former Navy Annex site;

(2) to provide the County with sufficient property to construct a museum that honors the history of Freedman’s Village, as well as any other County or public use that is compatible with a location immediately adjacent to Arlington National Cemetery; and

(3) to support the realignment and straightening of Columbia Pike, a redesign of the Washington Boulevard-Columbia Pike interchange, and future improvements to the Interstate 395 ramps.

(d) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of the real property to be conveyed under this section shall be determined by surveys satisfactory to the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Commonwealth and the County.

(e) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The conveyances of real property authorized under this section shall be accomplished by one or more exchange agreements upon terms and conditions mutually satisfactory to the Secretary of Defense, the Commonwealth, and the County.

(f) *REPEAL OF OBSOLETE AUTHORITY.*—Section 2881 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (division B of Public Law 108–375; 118 Stat. 2153) is repealed. The repeal of such section does not affect the amendments made by subsections (g) and (h) of such section.

Subtitle E—Military Memorials, Monuments, and Museums

SEC. 2851. ACCEPTANCE OF IN-KIND GIFTS ON BEHALF OF HERITAGE CENTER FOR THE NATIONAL MUSEUM OF THE UNITED STATES ARMY.

Section 4772(c)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “accept funds from the Army Historical Foundation” and insert “accept funds and in-kind gifts, including services, construction materials, and equipment used in construction, from the Army Historical Foundation and other persons”.

SEC. 2852. MT. SOLEDAD VETERANS MEMORIAL, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA.

(a) *REQUIREMENT TO CONVEY MT. SOLEDAD VETERANS MEMORIAL.*—Subject to subsections (b) and (d), the Secretary of Defense shall convey all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial in San Diego, California, to the Mount Soledad Memorial Association, Inc.

(b) *CONTINGENCIES.*—The requirement under subsection (a) to convey the Memorial to the Association is contingent upon—

(1) an agreement between the Association and the Secretary of the Defense regarding consideration to be paid by the Association as described in subsection (c); and

(2) the Association’s agreement to accept the Memorial subject to the conditions described in subsection (d).

(c) *CONSIDERATION.*—

(1) *DETERMINATION OF CONSIDERATION.*—The Secretary of Defense shall convey the Memorial to the Association for consideration that, as determined by the Secretary, reasonably reflects—

(A) the price paid by the United States to purchase the Memorial pursuant to Public Law 109–272 (16 U.S.C. 431 note);

(B) significant reductions in the market value of the Memorial as a result of the conditions imposed by subsection (d); and

(C) any additional equities the Association may have, such as prior occupancy and any improvements made to the Memorial.

(2) *TIME FOR PAYMENT.*—The amount of consideration determined under paragraph (1) need not be received by the United States in full before conveyance of the Memorial. The consideration may be paid over a period of time or through installments, or such other financial instruments or arrangements, as may be reasonably convenient for the Secretary and the Association.

(d) *CONDITIONS OF CONVEYANCE.*—The conveyance of the Memorial under subsection (a) shall be subject to the following conditions:

(1) The Memorial shall be accepted in its condition at the time of the conveyance, commonly known as conveyance “as is”.

(2) The Association, and any successive owner of the Memorial, shall maintain and use the Memorial as a veterans memorial in perpetuity.

(3) If the Secretary of Defense determines that the Memorial is ever put to a use other than as a veterans memorial, the United States shall have the right, at its election, to reacquire all right, title, and interest in and to the Memorial without any right of compensation to the owner or any other person. Any election to reacquire the Memorial under the authority of this paragraph shall be temporary and solely for the purpose of conveying, as expeditiously as practicable, the Memorial to another entity subject to the same conditions in this subsection.

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “Association” means the Mount Soledad Memorial Association, Inc.

(2) The terms “Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial” and “Memorial” mean the memorial in San Diego, California, acquired by the United States pursuant to Public Law 109-272 (16 U.S.C. 431 note).

(3) The term “veterans memorial” means a display of commemorative objects, such as tablets, statuary, and other fixtures, that—

(A) pays tribute to those persons who served in the Armed Forces of the United States; and

(B) is unencumbered by structures not intended for the purpose specified in subparagraph (A).

SEC. 2853. ESTABLISHMENT OF MEMORIAL TO THE VICTIMS OF THE SHOOTING AT THE WASHINGTON NAVY YARD ON SEPTEMBER 16, 2013.

(a) *MEMORIAL AUTHORIZED.*—The Secretary of the Navy may permit a third party to establish and maintain a memorial dedicated to the victims of the shooting attack at the Washington Navy Yard that occurred on September 16, 2013.

(b) *LOCATION OF MEMORIAL.*—The Secretary of the Navy may permit the memorial authorized by subsection (a) to be established at the Washington Navy Yard.

(c) *ESTABLISHMENT OF ACCOUNT.*—An account shall be established on the books of the Treasury for the purpose of managing contributions received pursuant to paragraph (d).

(d) *ACCEPTANCE OF CONTRIBUTIONS.*—The Secretary of the Navy may establish procedures under which the Secretary may solicit and accept monetary contributions or gifts of property for the purpose of the activities described in subsection (a).

(e) *DEPOSIT OF CONTRIBUTIONS.*—Without regard to the limitations set forth under section 2601(c)(2) of title 10, United States Code, amounts collected by the Secretary of the Navy under subsection (d) shall be—

(1) credited as discretionary offsetting collections in the account established under subsection (c); and

(2) available, to the extent and in amounts provided in advance in appropriations Acts, until expended for the purposes described in subsection (a).

(f) *USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS PROHIBITED.*—Federal funds may not be used to design, procure, prepare, install, or maintain the memorial authorized by subsection (a).

(g) *CONDITION.*—The memorial authorized by subsection (a) may not be established until the Secretary of the Navy determines that an assured source of non-Federal funding has been established for the design, procurement, installation, and maintenance of the memorial in perpetuity.

(h) *DESIGN OF MEMORIAL.*—The final design of the memorial authorized by subsection (a) shall be subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Navy.

Subtitle F—Designations

SEC. 2861. REDESIGNATION OF THE ASIA-PACIFIC CENTER FOR SECURITY STUDIES AS THE DANIEL K. INOUE ASIA-PACIFIC CENTER FOR SECURITY STUDIES.

(a) *REDESIGNATION.*—The Department of Defense regional center for security studies known as the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies is hereby renamed the “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”.

(b) *CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.*—

(1) *REFERENCE TO REGIONAL CENTERS FOR STRATEGIC STUDIES.*—Section 184(b)(2)(B) of title 10, United States Code, is amended by striking “Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and inserting “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”.

(2) *ACCEPTANCE OF GIFTS AND DONATIONS.*—Section 2611(a)(2)(B) of such title is amended by striking “Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies” and inserting “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”.

(c) *REFERENCES.*—Any reference to the Department of Defense Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies in any law, regulation, map, document, record, or other paper of the United States shall be deemed to be a reference to the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

SEC. 2871. REPORT ON PHYSICAL SECURITY AT DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FACILITIES.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.**—Not later than April 30, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth a summary of the actions taken by the Department of Defense to respond to recommendations resulting from the reviews of security standards following the November 2009 shootings at Fort Hood, Texas, and the September 2013 shootings at the Washington Navy Yard, District of Columbia, which included an assessment of the ability of the Department to detect, prevent, and respond to future incidents of violence at Department facilities.

(b) **ELEMENTS OF REPORT.**—The report required by subsection (a) shall include the following:

(1) A summary of the recommendations resulting from the security standards reviews referred to in subsection (a).

(2) A description of the actions taken on each recommendation.

(3) An assessment of current and planned physical security capabilities at Department facilities, and their ability to meet Department physical security requirements.

(4) An identification and assessment of known and potential physical security shortfalls at Department facilities.

(5) An assessment of the ability of the Department to eliminate or mitigate shortfalls in physical security at Department facilities, including recommendations on means to increase physical security at such facilities and the funding required to implement such means.

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Sec. 2901. Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project.

Sec. 2902. Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects.

Sec. 2903. Authorized Defense Agency construction and land acquisition project.

Sec. 2904. Authorization of appropriations.

SEC. 2901. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT.

The Secretary of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the installation outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

ARMY: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION | AMOUNT |
|---------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| Romania | Mihail Kogalniceanu | \$37,000,000 |

SEC. 2902. AUTHORIZED AIR FORCE CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECTS.

The Secretary of the Air Force may acquire real property and carry out the military construction projects for the installations out-

side the United States, and in the amounts, set forth in the following table:

AIR FORCE: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| COUNTRY | INSTALLATION | AMOUNT |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------|
| Bulgaria | Graf Ignatievo | \$3,200,000 |
| Estonia | Amari | \$24,780,000 |
| Italy | Camp Darby | \$44,450,000 |
| Latvia | Lielvarde | \$10,710,000 |
| Lithuania | Siauliai | \$13,120,000 |
| Poland | Lask | \$22,400,000 |
| Romania | Camp Turzii | \$2,900,000 |

SEC. 2903. AUTHORIZED DEFENSE AGENCY CONSTRUCTION AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT.

The Secretary of Defense may acquire real property and carry out the military construction project for the installation outside the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the following table:

DEFENSE AGENCY: OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

| INSTALLATION | DEFENSE AGENCY | AMOUNT |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Worldwide Classified | National Security Agency | \$46,000,000 |

SEC. 2904. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal years beginning after September 30, 2014, for the military construction projects outside the United States authorized by this title as specified in the funding table in section 4602.

TITLE XXX—NATURAL RESOURCES RELATED GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Land Conveyances and Related Matters

- Sec. 3001. Land conveyance, Wainwright, Alaska.
- Sec. 3002. Sealaska land entitlement finalization.
- Sec. 3003. Southeast Arizona land exchange and conservation.
- Sec. 3004. Land exchange, Cibola National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona, and Bureau of Land Management land in Riverside County, California.
- Sec. 3005. Special rules for Inyo National Forest, California, land exchange.
- Sec. 3006. Land exchange, Trinity Public Utilities District, Trinity County, California, the Bureau of Land Management, and the Forest Service.
- Sec. 3007. Idaho County, Idaho, shooting range land conveyance.
- Sec. 3008. School District 318, Minnesota, land exchange.
- Sec. 3009. Northern Nevada land conveyances.
- Sec. 3010. San Juan County, New Mexico, Federal land conveyance.
- Sec. 3011. Land conveyance, Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Utah.
- Sec. 3012. Conveyance of certain land to the city of Fruit Heights, Utah.
- Sec. 3013. Land conveyance, Hanford Site, Washington.
- Sec. 3014. Ranch A Wyoming consolidation and management improvement.

Subtitle B—Public Lands and National Forest System Management

- Sec. 3021. Bureau of Land Management permit processing.
- Sec. 3022. Internet-based onshore oil and gas lease sales.

- Sec. 3023. *Grazing permits and leases.*
 Sec. 3024. *Cabin user and transfer fees.*

Subtitle C—National Park System Units

- Sec. 3030. *Addition of Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light to the Apostle Islands National Seashore.*
 Sec. 3031. *Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park.*
 Sec. 3032. *Coltsville National Historical Park.*
 Sec. 3033. *First State National Historical Park.*
 Sec. 3034. *Gettysburg National Military Park.*
 Sec. 3035. *Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park, Maryland.*
 Sec. 3036. *Harriet Tubman National Historical Park, Auburn, New York.*
 Sec. 3037. *Hinchliffe Stadium addition to Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.*
 Sec. 3038. *Lower East Side Tenement National Historic Site.*
 Sec. 3039. *Manhattan Project National Historical Park.*
 Sec. 3040. *North Cascades National Park and Stephen Mather Wilderness.*
 Sec. 3041. *Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve.*
 Sec. 3042. *San Antonio Missions National Historical Park.*
 Sec. 3043. *Valles Caldera National Preserve, New Mexico.*
 Sec. 3044. *Vicksburg National Military Park.*

Subtitle D—National Park System Studies, Management, and Related Matters

- Sec. 3050. *Revolutionary War and War of 1812 American battlefield protection program.*
 Sec. 3051. *Special resource studies.*
 Sec. 3052. *National heritage areas and corridors.*
 Sec. 3053. *National historic site support facility improvements.*
 Sec. 3054. *National Park System donor acknowledgment.*
 Sec. 3055. *Coin to commemorate 100th anniversary of the National Park Service.*
 Sec. 3056. *Commission to study the potential creation of a National Women's History Museum.*
 Sec. 3057. *Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.*

Subtitle E—Wilderness and Withdrawals

- Sec. 3060. *Alpine Lakes Wilderness additions and Pratt and Middle Fork Snoqualmie Rivers protection.*
 Sec. 3061. *Columbine-Hondo Wilderness.*
 Sec. 3062. *Hermosa Creek watershed protection.*
 Sec. 3063. *North Fork Federal lands withdrawal area.*
 Sec. 3064. *Pine Forest Range Wilderness.*
 Sec. 3065. *Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Management Area and wilderness additions.*
 Sec. 3066. *Wovoka Wilderness.*
 Sec. 3067. *Withdrawal area related to Wovoka Wilderness.*
 Sec. 3068. *Withdrawal and reservation of additional public land for Naval Air Weapons Station, China Lake, California.*

Subtitle F—Wild and Scenic Rivers

- Sec. 3071. *Illabot Creek, Washington, wild and scenic river.*
 Sec. 3072. *Missisquoi and Trout wild and scenic rivers, Vermont.*
 Sec. 3073. *White Clay Creek wild and scenic river expansion.*
 Sec. 3074. *Studies of wild and scenic rivers.*

Subtitle G—Trust Lands

- Sec. 3077. *Land taken into trust for benefit of the Northern Cheyenne Tribe.*
 Sec. 3078. *Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Baraboo, Wisconsin.*

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Access and Property Issues

- Sec. 3081. *Ensuring public access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain in the Hanford Reach National Monument.*
 Sec. 3082. *Anchorage, Alaska, conveyance of reversionary interests.*
 Sec. 3083. *Release of property interests in Bureau of Land Management land conveyed to the State of Oregon for establishment of Hermiston Agricultural Research and Extension Center.*

Subtitle I—Water Infrastructure

- Sec. 3087. *Bureau of Reclamation hydropower development.*
 Sec. 3088. *Toledo Bend Hydroelectric Project.*
 Sec. 3089. *East Bench Irrigation District contract extension.*

Subtitle J—Other Matters

- Sec. 3091. *Commemoration of centennial of World War I.*
 Sec. 3092. *Miscellaneous issues related to Las Vegas valley public land and Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument.*
 Sec. 3093. *National Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial.*
 Sec. 3094. *Extension of legislative authority for establishment of commemorative work in honor of former President John Adams.*
 Sec. 3095. *Refinancing of Pacific Coast groundfish fishing capacity reduction loan.*
 Sec. 3096. *Payments in lieu of taxes.*

Subtitle A—Land Conveyances and Related Matters

SEC. 3001. LAND CONVEYANCE, WAINWRIGHT, ALASKA.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

(1) *CORPORATION.—The term “Corporation” means the Olgoonik Corporation, an Alaska Native Corporation established under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.).*

(2) *SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.*

(b) *CONVEYANCE.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act and after the date of completion of the appraisal required under subsection (d)(1)(B), the Secretary shall convey to the Corporation by quitclaim deed, for the amount of consideration determined under subsection (d)(1), all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to a parcel of real property described in subsection (c).*

(c) *DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY.—The parcel to be conveyed under subsection (b) consists of approximately 1,518 acres and improvements comprising a former Distant Early Warning Line site in the National Petroleum Reserve in Alaska near Wainwright, Alaska, and described as United States Survey Number 5252 located within the Umiat Meridian.*

(d) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—*

(1) *CONSIDERATION.—*

(A) *IN GENERAL.—As consideration for the conveyance of the property under subsection (b), the Corporation shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to not less than the fair market value of the conveyed property, to be determined as provided in subparagraph (B).*

(B) *APPRAISAL.—The fair market value of the property to be conveyed under subsection (b) shall be determined based on an appraisal that is conducted—*

(i) *by an independent appraiser selected by the Secretary; and*

(ii) *in accordance with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.*

(2) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the conveyance under subsection (a) as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

SEC. 3002. SEALASKA LAND ENTITLEMENT FINALIZATION.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *MAPS.*—The term “maps” means the maps entitled “Sealaska Land Entitlement Finalization”, numbered 1 through 18, and dated June 14, 2013.

(2) *SEALASKA.*—The term “Sealaska” means the Sealaska Corporation, a Regional Native Corporation established under the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.).

(3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) *STATE.*—The term “State” means the State of Alaska.

(b) *FINALIZATION OF ENTITLEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—If, not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary receives a corporate resolution adopted by the board of directors of Sealaska agreeing to accept the conveyance of land described in paragraph (2) in accordance with this section as full and final satisfaction of the remaining land entitlement of Sealaska under section 14(h) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)), the Secretary shall—

(A) implement the provisions of this section; and

(B) charge the entitlement pool under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)) 70,075 acres, reduced by the number of acres deducted under paragraph (2)(B), in fulfillment of the remaining land entitlement for Sealaska under that Act, notwithstanding whether the surveyed acreage of the 18 parcels of land generally depicted on the maps as “Sealaska Selections” and patented under subsection (c) is less than or more than 69,585 acres, reduced by the number of acres deducted under paragraph (2)(B).

(2) *FINAL ENTITLEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the 70,075 acres of land described in paragraph (1) shall consist of—

(i) the 18 parcels of Federal land comprising approximately 69,585 acres that is generally depicted as “Sealaska Selections” on the maps; and

(ii) a total of not more than 490 acres of Federal land for cemetery sites and historical places comprised of parcels that are applied for in accordance with subsection (d).

(B) *DEDUCTION.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall deduct from the number of acres of Federal land described in subparagraph (A)(i) the number of acres of Federal land for which the Secretary has issued a conveyance under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)) during the period beginning

on August 1, 2012, and ending on the date of receipt of the resolution under paragraph (1).

(ii) *AGREEMENT.*—The Secretary, the Secretary of Agriculture, and Sealaska shall negotiate in good faith to make a mutually agreeable adjustment to the parcel of Federal land generally depicted on the maps numbered 1 and 18 to implement the deduction of acres required by clause (i).

(3) *EFFECT OF ACCEPTANCE.*—The resolution filed by Sealaska in accordance with paragraph (1) shall—

(A) be final and irrevocable; and

(B) without any further administrative action by the Secretary, result in—

(i) the relinquishment of all existing selections made by Sealaska under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)); and

(ii) the termination of all withdrawals by section 16 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1615), except to the extent a selection by a Village Corporation under subsections (b) and (d) of section 16 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1615) remains pending, until the date on which those selections are resolved.

(4) *FAILURE TO ACCEPT.*—If Sealaska fails to file the resolution in accordance with paragraph (1)—

(A) the provisions of this section shall cease to be effective, except as otherwise provided in this subsection;

(B) the Secretary shall, not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, complete the interim conveyance of the remaining land entitlement to Sealaska under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)) from prioritized selections on file with the Secretary on the date of enactment of this Act; and

(C)(i) the remaining land entitlement of Sealaska under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)) shall be 70,075 acres, provided that the Secretary shall deduct the number of acres of Federal land for which the Secretary has issued a conveyance under section 14(h)(8) of that Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)) during the period beginning on August 1, 2012, and ending 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act; and

(ii) if the Governor of the State does not approve the prioritized selections of Sealaska in the Saxman or Yakutat withdrawal areas as required by section 14(h)(8)(B) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)(B)) by the date that is 42 months after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall reject those selections and fulfill the remaining land entitlement of Sealaska from the remaining prioritized selections on file with the Secretary on the date of enactment of this Act.

(5) *SCOPE OF LAW.*—Except as provided in paragraphs (4) and (6), this section provides the exclusive authority under which the remaining land entitlement of Sealaska under section

14(h) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)) may be fulfilled.

(6) *EFFECT.*—Nothing in this section affects any land that is—

(A) the subject of an application under subsection (h)(1) of section 14 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613) that is pending on the date of enactment of this Act; and

(B) conveyed in accordance with that subsection.

(c) *CONVEYANCES TO SEALASKA.*—

(1) *INTERIM CONVEYANCE.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, paragraphs (3), (4), and (5), subsection (b)(2), and subsection (e)(1), the Secretary shall complete the interim conveyance of the 18 parcels of Federal land comprising approximately 69,585 acres generally depicted on the maps by the date that is 60 days after the date of receipt of the resolution under subsection (b)(1), subject to the Secretary identifying and reserving, by the date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, any easement under section 17(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1616(b)) that could have been reserved prior to the interim conveyance.

(B) *FAILURE TO RESERVE EASEMENTS BY DEADLINE.*—If the Secretary does not complete the reservation of easements under subparagraph (A) by the date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall reserve the easements as soon as practicable after that date.

(2) *WITHDRAWAL.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land described in paragraph (1) is withdrawn from—

(i) all forms of appropriation under the public land laws;

(ii) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws;

(iii) disposition under laws relating to mineral or geothermal leasing; and

(iv) selection under the Act of July 7, 1958 (commonly known as the “Alaska Statehood Act”) (48 U.S.C. note prec. 21; Public Law 85-508).

(B) *TERMINATION.*—The withdrawal under subparagraph

(A) shall remain in effect until—

(i) if Sealaska fails to file a resolution in accordance with subsection (b)(1), the date that is 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act; or

(ii) the date on which the Federal land is conveyed under paragraph (1).

(3) *TREATMENT OF LAND CONVEYED.*—Except as otherwise provided in this section, any land conveyed to Sealaska under paragraph (1) shall be—

(A) considered to be land conveyed by the Secretary under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)); and

(B) subject to all laws (including regulations) applicable to entitlements under section 14(h)(8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(8)), including section 907(d) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (43 U.S.C. 1636(d)).

(4) EASEMENTS.—

(A) PUBLIC EASEMENTS.—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The interim conveyance and patents for the land under paragraph (1) shall be subject to the reservation of public easements under section 17(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1616(b)).

(ii) *TERMINATION.*—No public easement reserved on land conveyed under paragraph (1) shall be terminated without publication of notice of the proposed termination in the Federal Register.

(iii) *RESERVATION OF EASEMENTS.*—In the interim conveyance and patents for the land under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall reserve the right of the Secretary to amend the interim conveyance and patents to include reservations of public easements under section 17(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1616(b)) until the completion of the easement reservation process.

(B) CONSERVATION EASEMENTS.—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—In the interim conveyance and patents for the land under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall reserve a conservation easement to protect the aquatic and riparian habitat extending 100 feet on each side of the anadromous water bodies depicted as “100 Foot Conservation Easement” on the maps numbered 3, 4, and 6.

(ii) *PROHIBITION.*—The commercial harvest of timber within the conservation easements described in clause (i) shall be prohibited, except that Sealaska may, for the purpose of harvesting timber outside of the conservation easement—

(I) maintain roads within the conservation easement that are in existence on the date of enactment of this Act; and

(II) construct temporary roads and yarding corridors across the conservation easements in accordance with the applicable National Forest System construction standards.

(iii) *ADMINISTRATION.*—The Secretary of Agriculture shall administer the conservation easements described in clause (i).

(C) *RESEARCH EASEMENT.*—In the interim conveyance and patent for the land generally depicted on the map numbered 7, the Secretary shall reserve an easement—

(i) to access and continue Forest Service research activities on the study plots located on the land; and

(ii) that shall remain in effect for a 10-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(D) KOSCUISKO ISLAND ROAD EASEMENT.—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Concurrently with the conveyance of land under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall grant to Sealaska an easement on Koscuisko Island providing access to and use by Sealaska of the sort yard and all other upland facilities at the sort yard that are associated with the transfer of logs to the marine environment, subject to—

(I) the agreement under clause (iii); and

(II) the agreement under subsection (e)(2).

(ii) *SCOPE OF THE EASEMENT.*—The easement under clause (i) shall enable Sealaska—

(I) to construct, use, and maintain a road connecting the National Forest System Road known as “Cape Pole Road” to the National Forest System Road known as “South Shipley Bay Road” within the corridor depicted on the map numbered 3;

(II) to use, maintain, and if necessary, reconstruct the National Forest System Road known as “South Shipley Bay Road” referred to in subclause (I) to access the sort yard and associated upland facilities at Shipley Bay; and

(III) to use, maintain, and expand the sort yard and associated upland facilities at Shipley Bay that are within the area depicted on the map numbered 3.

(iii) *ROADS AND FACILITIES USE AGREEMENT.*—In addition to the agreement under subsection (e)(2), the Secretary of Agriculture and Sealaska shall enter into an agreement relating to the access, use, maintenance, and improvement of the roads and facilities under this subparagraph.

(iv) *EFFECT.*—Nothing in this subparagraph preempts or otherwise affects State or local regulatory authority.

(5) HUNTING, FISHING, AND RECREATION.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Any land conveyed under paragraph (1) that is located outside a withdrawal area designated under section 16(a) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1615(a)) shall remain open and available to subsistence uses, noncommercial recreational hunting and fishing, and other noncommercial recreational uses by the public under applicable law—

(i) without liability on the part of Sealaska, except for willful acts, to any user as a result of the use; and

(ii) subject to—

(I) any reasonable restrictions that may be imposed by Sealaska on the public use—

(aa) to ensure public safety;

(bb) to minimize conflicts between recreational and commercial uses;

(cc) to protect cultural resources;

(dd) to conduct scientific research; or

(ee) to provide environmental protection; and

(II) the condition that Sealaska post on any applicable property, in accordance with State law, notices of the restrictions on use.

(B) EFFECT.—Access provided to any individual or entity under subparagraph (A) shall not—

(i) create an interest in any third party in the land conveyed under paragraph (1); or

(ii) provide standing to any third party in any review of, or challenge to, any determination by Sealaska with respect to the management or development of the land conveyed under paragraph (1), except as against Sealaska for the management of public access under subparagraph (A).

(d) CEMETERY SITES AND HISTORICAL PLACES.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding section 14(h)(1)(E) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(1)(E)), Sealaska may submit applications for the conveyance under section 14(h)(1)(A) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(1)(A)) of not more than 76 cemetery sites and historical places—

(A) that are listed in the document entitled “Sealaska Cemetery Sites and Historical Places” and dated October 17, 2012;

(B) that are cemetery sites and historical places included in the report by Wilsey and Ham, Inc., entitled “1975 Native Cemetery and Historic Sites of Southeast Alaska (Preliminary Report)” and dated October 1975;

(C) for which Sealaska has not previously submitted an application; and

(D) that are not located within a conservation system unit (as defined in section 102 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 3102)).

(2) PROCEDURE FOR EVALUATING APPLICATIONS.—Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the Secretary shall consider all applications submitted under this subsection in accordance with the criteria and procedures set forth in applicable regulations in effect as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(3) CONVEYANCE.—If approved under the procedures described in paragraph (2), the Secretary shall convey cemetery sites and historical places that result in the conveyance of a total of approximately 490 acres of Federal land comprised of parcels that are—

(A) applied for in accordance with this subsection; and

(B) subject to—

(i) valid existing rights;

(ii) the public access provisions of paragraph (7);

(iii) the condition that the conveyance of land for the site listed under paragraph (1)(A) as “Bay of Pillars Portage” is limited to not more than 25 acres in T.60 S., R.72 E., Sec. 28, Copper River Meridian; and

(iv) the condition that any access to or use of the cemetery sites and historical places shall be consistent with the management plans for adjacent public land, if the management plans are more restrictive than the

laws (including regulations) applicable under paragraph (9).

(4) **TIMELINE.**—No application for a cemetery site or historical place may be submitted under paragraph (1) after the date that is 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(5) **CONSULTATION WITH RECOGNIZED TRIBAL ENTITY.**—Sealaska shall—

(A) consult with any affected federally recognized Indian tribe before submitting any application for a cemetery site or historical place located within the vicinity of the Indian tribe; and

(B) include with each application described in subparagraph (A) a statement that the required consultation was carried out in accordance with that subparagraph.

(6) **SELECTION OF ADDITIONAL CEMETERY SITES.**—If Sealaska submits timely applications to the Secretary in accordance with paragraphs (1), (4), and (5), for all 76 sites listed under paragraph (1)(A), and the Secretary rejects any of those applications in whole or in part—

(A) not later than 2 years after the date on which the Secretary completes the conveyance of eligible cemetery sites and historical places applied for under paragraph (1), and subject to paragraph (5), Sealaska may submit applications for the conveyance under section 14 (h)(1)(A) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(1)(A)) of additional cemetery sites that are not located in a conservation system unit described in paragraph (1)(D), the total acreage of which, together with the cemetery sites and historical places previously conveyed by the Secretary under paragraph (3), shall not exceed 490 acres; and

(B) the Secretary shall—

(i) consider any applications for the conveyance of additional cemetery sites in accordance with paragraph (2); and

(ii) if the applications are approved, provide for the conveyance of the sites in accordance with paragraph (3).

(7) **PUBLIC ACCESS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subparagraph (B), any land conveyed under this subsection shall be subject to—

(i) the reservation of public easements under section 17(b) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1616(b));

(ii) public access across the conveyed land in cases in which no reasonable alternative access around the land is available, without liability to Sealaska, except for willful acts, to any user by reason of the use; and

(iii) public access to and along any Class I stream described in section 705(e) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 539d(e)) for noncommercial recreational and subsistence fishing, without liability to Sealaska, except for willful acts, to any user by reason of the use.

(B) *LIMITATIONS.*—*The public access and use under clauses (ii) and (iii) of subparagraph (A) shall be subject to—*

(i) *any reasonable restrictions that may be imposed by Sealaska on the public access and use—*

(I) *to ensure public safety;*

(II) *to protect and conduct research on the historic, archaeological, and cultural resources of the conveyed land; or*

(III) *to provide environmental protection;*

(ii) *the condition that Sealaska post on any applicable property, in accordance with State law, notices of the restrictions on the public access and use; and*

(iii) *the condition that the public access and use shall not be incompatible with or in derogation of the values of the area as a cemetery site or historical place, as provided in section 2653.11 of title 43, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation).*

(C) *EFFECT.*—*Access provided to any individual or entity by subparagraph (A) shall not—*

(i) *create an interest in any third party in the land conveyed under this subsection; or*

(ii) *provide standing to any third party in any review of, or challenge to, any determination by Sealaska with respect to the management or development of the land conveyed under this subsection, except as against Sealaska for the management of public access under subparagraph (B).*

(8) *PROHIBITION ON TRANSFER OR LOSS.*—

(A) *PROHIBITION ON TRANSFER.*—*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, Sealaska shall not—*

(i) *alienate, transfer, assign, mortgage, or pledge any cemetery site or historical place conveyed under this subsection to any person or entity other than the United States; or*

(ii) *permit development or improvement of the cemetery site or historical place for any use which is incompatible with, or is in derogation of, the values of the area as a cemetery site or historical place.*

(B) *PROHIBITION ON LOSS.*—*Notwithstanding any other provision of law, any cemetery site or historical place conveyed to Sealaska under this subsection shall be exempt from—*

(i) *adverse possession and similar claims based on estoppel;*

(ii) *title 11 of the United States Code or a successor law, any other insolvency or moratorium law, or any other law generally affecting creditors' rights;*

(iii) *judgments in any action at law or in equity to recover sums owed or penalties incurred by Sealaska or any employee, officer, director, or shareholder of Sealaska, except for liens from real property taxes; and*

(iv) involuntary distributions or conveyances to any person or entity other than the United States related to the involuntary dissolution of Sealaska.

(9) *TREATMENT OF LAND CONVEYED.*—Except as otherwise provided in this section, any land conveyed to Sealaska under this subsection shall be—

(A) considered land conveyed by the Secretary under section 14(h)(1) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(1)); and

(B) subject to all laws (including regulations) applicable to conveyances under section 14(h)(1) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(h)(1)), including section 907(d) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (43 U.S.C. 1636(d)).

(e) *MISCELLANEOUS.*—

(1) *SPECIAL USE AUTHORIZATIONS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—On the conveyance of land to Sealaska under subsection (c)(1)—

(i) any guiding or outfitting special use authorization issued by the Forest Service for the use of the conveyed land shall terminate; and

(ii) as a condition of the conveyance and consistent with section 14(g) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1613(g)), Sealaska shall issue the holder of the special use authorization terminated under clause (i) an authorization to continue the authorized use, subject to the terms and conditions that were in the special use authorization issued by the Forest Service, for—

(I) the remainder of the term of the authorization; and

(II) 1 additional consecutive 10-year renewal period.

(B) *NOTICE OF COMMERCIAL ACTIVITIES.*—Sealaska and any holder of a guiding or outfitting authorization under this paragraph shall have a mutual obligation, subject to the guiding or outfitting authorization, to inform the other party of any commercial activities prior to engaging in the activities on the land conveyed to Sealaska under subsection (c)(1).

(C) *NEGOTIATION OF NEW TERMS.*—Nothing in this paragraph precludes Sealaska and the holder of a guiding or outfitting authorization from negotiating a new mutually agreeable guiding or outfitting authorization.

(D) *LIABILITY.*—Neither Sealaska nor the United States shall bear any liability, except for willful acts of Sealaska or the United States, regarding the use and occupancy of any land conveyed to Sealaska under this section, as provided in any outfitting or guiding authorization under this paragraph.

(2) *ROADS AND FACILITIES.*—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture and Sealaska shall negotiate in good faith to develop a binding agreement—

- (A) for the use of National Forest System roads and related transportation facilities by Sealaska; and
 (B) the use of Sealaska roads and related transportation facilities by the Forest Service.

(3) TRADITIONAL TRADE AND MIGRATION ROUTES.—

(A) IDENTIFICATION OF ROUTES.—

(i) THE INSIDE PASSAGE.—The route from Yakutat to Dry Bay, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Traditional Trade and Migration Route, Neix naax aan náx—The Inside Passage” and dated April 22, 2013, shall be known as “Neix naax aan náx” (“The Inside Passage”).

(ii) CANOE ROAD.—The route from the Bay of Pillars to Port Camden, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Traditional Trade and Migration Route, Yakwdeiyí—Canoe Road” and dated April 22, 2013, shall be known as “Yakwdeiyí” (“Canoe Road”).

(iii) THE PEOPLE’S ROAD.—The route from Portage Bay to Duncan Canal, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Traditional Trade and Migration Route, Lingít Deiyí—The People’s Road” and dated April 22, 2013, shall be known as “Lingít Deiyí” (“The People’s Road”).

(B) ACCESS TO TRADITIONAL TRADE AND MIGRATION ROUTES.—The culturally and historically significant trade and migration routes described in subparagraph (A) shall be open to travel by Sealaska and the public in accordance with applicable law, subject to such terms, conditions, and special use authorizations as the Secretary of Agriculture may require.

(4) TONGASS NATIONAL FOREST YOUNG GROWTH MANAGEMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsection (m) of section 6 of the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. 1604) and in addition to the authority provided under that subsection and the terms of section 705(a) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 539d(a)), the Secretary of Agriculture may allow the harvest of trees prior to the culmination of mean annual increment of growth in areas that are available for commercial timber harvest under the Tongass National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan to facilitate the transition from commercial timber harvest of old growth stands.

(B) LIMITATION.—Any sale of trees pursuant to the authority granted under subparagraph (A) shall not—

(i) exceed 15,000 acres during the 10-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, with an annual maximum of 3,000 acres sold;

(ii) exceed a total of 50,000 acres, with an annual maximum of 5,000 acres sold after the first 10-year period;

(iii) be advertised if the indicated rate is deficit (defined as the value of the timber is not sufficient to cover

all logging and stumpage costs and provide a normal profit and risk allowance under the appraisal process of the Forest Service) when appraised using a residual value appraisal; or

(iv) apply to land withdrawn under subsection (c)(2).

(C) APPLICABLE LAW.—Nothing in this section affects the requirement under section 705(a) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 539d(a)) that the Forest Service seek to meet demand for timber from the Tongass National Forest.

(5) EFFECT ON OTHER LAWS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Nothing in this section delays the duty of the Secretary to convey land to—

(i) the State under the Act of July 7, 1958 (commonly known as the “Alaska Statehood Act”) (48 U.S.C. note prec. 21; Public Law 85–508); or

(ii) a Native Corporation under—

(I) the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.); or

(II) the Alaska Land Transfer Acceleration Act (43 U.S.C. 1611 note; Public Law 108–452).

(B) CONVEYANCES.—The Secretary shall promptly proceed with the conveyance of all land necessary to fulfill the final entitlement of all Native Corporations in accordance with—

(i) the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.); and

(ii) the Alaska Land Transfer Acceleration Act (43 U.S.C. 1611 note; Public Law 108–452).

(C) FISH AND WILDLIFE.—Nothing in this section enlarges or diminishes the responsibility and authority of the State with respect to the management of fish and wildlife on public land in the State.

(6) ESCROW FUNDS.—If Sealaska files the resolution in accordance with subsection (b)(1)—

(A) the escrow requirements of section 2 of Public Law 94–204 (43 U.S.C. 1613 note) shall apply to proceeds (including interest) derived from the land withdrawn under subsection (c)(2) from the date of receipt of the resolution; and

(B) Sealaska shall have no right to any proceeds (including interest) held pursuant to the escrow requirements of section 2 of Public Law 94–204 (43 U.S.C. 1613 note) that were derived from land originally withdrawn for selection by section 16 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1615), but not conveyed.

(7) MAPS.—

(A) AVAILABILITY.—Each map referred to in this section shall be available in the appropriate offices of the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture.

(B) CORRECTIONS.—The Secretary of Agriculture may make any necessary correction to a clerical or typographical error in a map referred to in this section.

(f) CONSERVATION AREAS.—

(1) *LUD II MANAGEMENT AREAS.*—If Sealaska files a resolution in accordance with subsection (b)(1), section 508 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96-487; 104 Stat. 4428) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(13) *BAY OF PILLARS.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 20,863 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Bay of Pillars LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(14) *KUSHNEAHIN CREEK.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 33,613 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Kushneahin Creek LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(15) *NORTHERN PRINCE OF WALES.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 8,728 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Northern Prince of Wales LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(16) *WESTERN KOSCIUSKO.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 8,012 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Western Kosciusko LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(17) *EASTERN KOSCIUSKO.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 1,664 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Eastern Kosciusko LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(18) *SARKAR LAKES.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 24,509 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Sarkar Lakes LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(19) *HONKER DIVIDE.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 19,805 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Honker Divide LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.

“(20) *E EK LAKE AND SUKKWAN ISLAND.*—Certain land which comprises approximately 34,873 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Eek Lake and Sukkwan Island LUD II Management Area—Proposed’ and dated June 14, 2013.”

(2) *NO BUFFER ZONES.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The designation of the conservation areas by paragraphs (13) through (20) of section 508 of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (Public Law 96-487; 104 Stat. 4428) (as added by paragraph (1)) (referred to in this subsection as the “conservation areas”) is not intended to lead to the creation of protective perimeters or buffer zones around the conservation areas.

(B) *OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that activities outside of the conservation areas are not consistent with the purposes of the conservation areas or can be seen or heard within the conservation areas shall not preclude the activities or uses outside the boundary of the conservation areas.

(g) *REINSTATEMENT TO SEALASKA CORPORATION.*—

(1) *DEFINITION OF AFFECTED INDIVIDUAL.*—In this subsection, the term “affected individual” means Michael G. Faber, who—

(A) is a former resident of the State of Alaska; and

(B) was previously enrolled in Sealaska under roll number 13-752-39665-01.

(2) **REVOCATION OF MEMBERSHIP IN METLAKATLA INDIAN COMMUNITY.**—Effective on the date on which the affected individual submits written notice to the Metlakatla Indian Community revoking the membership of the affected individual in the Metlakatla Indian Community, the membership of the affected individual in the Metlakatla Indian Community shall be considered to be revoked.

(3) **REINSTATEMENT.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, pursuant to section 5 of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (43 U.S.C. 1604), the Secretary shall, immediately after the affected individual submits the notice under paragraph (2), update the shareholder roll of Sealaska to include the affected individual.

(4) **SHAREHOLDER STATUS.**—As of the date on which the affected individual is added to the shareholder roll of Sealaska under paragraph (3), it is the intent of Congress that Sealaska—

(A) reinstate the affected individual to the shareholder roll of Sealaska; and

(B) ensure the provision to the affected individual of the number of shares originally allocated to the affected individual by Sealaska.

(5) **EFFECT OF SUBSECTION.**—Nothing in this subsection provides to the affected individual any retroactive benefit relating to membership in—

(A) Sealaska; or

(B) the Metlakatla Indian Community.

SEC. 3003. SOUTHEAST ARIZONA LAND EXCHANGE AND CONSERVATION.

(a) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of this section is to authorize, direct, facilitate, and expedite the exchange of land between Resolution Copper and the United States.

(b) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **APACHE LEAP.**—The term “Apache Leap” means the approximately 807 acres of land depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Apache Leap” and dated March 2011.

(2) **FEDERAL LAND.**—The term “Federal land” means the approximately 2,422 acres of land located in Pinal County, Arizona, depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Federal Parcel–Oak Flat” and dated March 2011.

(3) **INDIAN TRIBE.**—The term “Indian tribe” has the meaning given the term in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b).

(4) **NON-FEDERAL LAND.**—The term “non-Federal land” means the parcels of land owned by Resolution Copper that are described in subsection (d)(1) and, if necessary to equalize the land exchange under subsection (c), subsection (c)(5)(B)(i)(I).

(5) **OAK FLAT CAMPGROUND.**—The term “Oak Flat Campground” means the approximately 50 acres of land comprising approximately 16 developed campsites depicted on the map enti-

tled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Oak Flat Campground” and dated March 2011.

(6) OAK FLAT WITHDRAWAL AREA.—The term “Oak Flat Withdrawal Area” means the approximately 760 acres of land depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Oak Flat Withdrawal Area” and dated March 2011.

(7) RESOLUTION COPPER.—The term “Resolution Copper” means Resolution Copper Mining, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, including any successor, assign, affiliate, member, or joint venturer of Resolution Copper Mining, LLC.

(8) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.

(9) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of Arizona.

(10) TOWN.—The term “Town” means the incorporated town of Superior, Arizona.

(11) RESOLUTION MINE PLAN OF OPERATIONS.—The term “Resolution mine plan of operations” means the mine plan of operations submitted to the Secretary by Resolution Copper in November, 2013, including any amendments or supplements.

(c) LAND EXCHANGE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the provisions of this section, if Resolution Copper offers to convey to the United States all right, title, and interest of Resolution Copper in and to the non-Federal land, the Secretary is authorized and directed to convey to Resolution Copper, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land.

(2) CONDITIONS ON ACCEPTANCE.—Title to any non-Federal land conveyed by Resolution Copper to the United States under this section shall be in a form that—

(A) is acceptable to the Secretary, for land to be administered by the Forest Service and the Secretary of the Interior, for land to be administered by the Bureau of Land Management; and

(B) conforms to the title approval standards of the Attorney General of the United States applicable to land acquisitions by the Federal Government.

(3) CONSULTATION WITH INDIAN TRIBES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall engage in government-to-government consultation with affected Indian tribes concerning issues of concern to the affected Indian tribes related to the land exchange.

(B) IMPLEMENTATION.—Following the consultations under paragraph (A), the Secretary shall consult with Resolution Copper and seek to find mutually acceptable measures to—

(i) address the concerns of the affected Indian tribes; and

(ii) minimize the adverse effects on the affected Indian tribes resulting from mining and related activities on the Federal land conveyed to Resolution Copper under this section.

(4) APPRAISALS.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary and Resolution Copper shall select an appraiser to conduct appraisals of the Federal land and non-Federal land in compliance with the requirements of section 254.9 of title 36, Code of Federal Regulations.

(B) *REQUIREMENTS.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in clause (ii), an appraisal prepared under this paragraph shall be conducted in accordance with nationally recognized appraisal standards, including—

(I) the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions; and

(II) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

(ii) *FINAL APPRAISED VALUE.*—After the final appraised values of the Federal land and non-Federal land are determined and approved by the Secretary, the Secretary shall not be required to reappraise or update the final appraised value—

(I) for a period of 3 years beginning on the date of the approval by the Secretary of the final appraised value; or

(II) at all, in accordance with section 254.14 of title 36, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation), after an exchange agreement is entered into by Resolution Copper and the Secretary.

(iii) *IMPROVEMENTS.*—Any improvements made by Resolution Copper prior to entering into an exchange agreement shall not be included in the appraised value of the Federal land.

(iv) *PUBLIC REVIEW.*—Before consummating the land exchange under this section, the Secretary shall make the appraisals of the land to be exchanged (or a summary thereof) available for public review.

(C) *APPRAISAL INFORMATION.*—The appraisal prepared under this paragraph shall include a detailed income capitalization approach analysis of the market value of the Federal land which may be utilized, as appropriate, to determine the value of the Federal land, and shall be the basis for calculation of any payment under subsection (e).

(5) *EQUAL VALUE LAND EXCHANGE.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The value of the Federal land and non-Federal land to be exchanged under this section shall be equal or shall be equalized in accordance with this paragraph.

(B) *SURPLUS OF FEDERAL LAND VALUE.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—If the final appraised value of the Federal land exceeds the value of the non-Federal land, Resolution Copper shall—

(I) convey additional non-Federal land in the State to the Secretary or the Secretary of the Interior, consistent with the requirements of this sec-

tion and subject to the approval of the applicable Secretary;

(II) make a cash payment to the United States; or

(III) use a combination of the methods described in subclauses (I) and (II), as agreed to by Resolution Copper, the Secretary, and the Secretary of the Interior.

(ii) AMOUNT OF PAYMENT.—The Secretary may accept a payment in excess of 25 percent of the total value of the land or interests conveyed, notwithstanding section 206(b) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1716(b)).

(iii) DISPOSITION AND USE OF PROCEEDS.—Any amounts received by the United States under this subparagraph shall be deposited in the fund established under Public Law 90–171 (commonly known as the “Sisk Act”; 16 U.S.C. 484a) and shall be made available to the Secretary for the acquisition of land or interests in land in Region 3 of the Forest Service.

(C) SURPLUS OF NON-FEDERAL LAND.—If the final appraised value of the non-Federal land exceeds the value of the Federal land—

(i) the United States shall not make a payment to Resolution Copper to equalize the value; and

(ii) except as provided in subsection (h), the surplus value of the non-Federal land shall be considered to be a donation by Resolution Copper to the United States.

(6) OAK FLAT WITHDRAWAL AREA.—

(A) PERMITS.—Subject to the provisions of this paragraph and notwithstanding any withdrawal of the Oak Flat Withdrawal Area from the mining, mineral leasing, or public land laws, the Secretary, upon enactment of this Act, shall issue to Resolution Copper—

(i) if so requested by Resolution Copper, within 30 days of such request, a special use permit to carry out mineral exploration activities under the Oak Flat Withdrawal Area from existing drill pads located outside the Area, if the activities would not disturb the surface of the Area; and

(ii) if so requested by Resolution Copper, within 90 days of such request, a special use permit to carry out mineral exploration activities within the Oak Flat Withdrawal Area (but not within the Oak Flat Campground), if the activities are conducted from a single exploratory drill pad which is located to reasonably minimize visual and noise impacts on the Campground.

(B) CONDITIONS.—Any activities undertaken in accordance with this paragraph shall be subject to such reasonable terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(C) TERMINATION.—The authorization for Resolution Copper to undertake mineral exploration activities under this paragraph shall remain in effect until the Oak Flat

Withdrawal Area land is conveyed to Resolution Copper in accordance with this section.

(7) *COSTS.—As a condition of the land exchange under this section, Resolution Copper shall agree to pay, without compensation, all costs that are—*

(A) associated with the land exchange and any environmental review document under paragraph (9); and

(B) agreed to by the Secretary.

(8) *USE OF FEDERAL LAND.—The Federal land to be conveyed to Resolution Copper under this section shall be available to Resolution Copper for mining and related activities subject to and in accordance with applicable Federal, State, and local laws pertaining to mining and related activities on land in private ownership.*

(9) *ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE.—*

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the Secretary shall carry out the land exchange in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(B) ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS.—Prior to conveying Federal land under this section, the Secretary shall prepare a single environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), which shall be used as the basis for all decisions under Federal law related to the proposed mine and the Resolution mine plan of operations and any related major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, including the granting of any permits, rights-of-way, or approvals for the construction of associated power, water, transportation, processing, tailings, waste disposal, or other ancillary facilities.

(C) IMPACTS ON CULTURAL AND ARCHEOLOGICAL RESOURCES.—The environmental impact statement prepared under subparagraph (B) shall—

(i) assess the effects of the mining and related activities on the Federal land conveyed to Resolution Copper under this section on the cultural and archeological resources that may be located on the Federal land; and

(ii) identify measures that may be taken, to the extent practicable, to minimize potential adverse impacts on those resources, if any.

(D) EFFECT.—Nothing in this paragraph precludes the Secretary from using separate environmental review documents prepared in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) or other applicable laws for exploration or other activities not involving—

(i) the land exchange; or

(ii) the extraction of minerals in commercial quantities by Resolution Copper on or under the Federal land.

(10) *TITLE TRANSFER.—Not later than 60 days after the date of publication of the final environmental impact statement, the*

Secretary shall convey all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land to Resolution Copper.

(d) CONVEYANCE AND MANAGEMENT OF NON-FEDERAL LAND.—

(1) CONVEYANCE.—*On receipt of title to the Federal land, Resolution Copper shall simultaneously convey—*

(A) to the Secretary, all right, title, and interest that the Secretary determines to be acceptable in and to—

(i) the approximately 147 acres of land located in Gila County, Arizona, depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Turkey Creek” and dated March 2011;

(ii) the approximately 148 acres of land located in Yavapai County, Arizona, depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Tangle Creek” and dated March 2011;

(iii) the approximately 149 acres of land located in Maricopa County, Arizona, depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Cave Creek” and dated March 2011;

(iv) the approximately 640 acres of land located in Coconino County, Arizona, depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–East Clear Creek” and dated March 2011; and

(v) the approximately 110 acres of land located in Pinal County, Arizona, depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Apache Leap South End” and dated March 2011; and

(B) to the Secretary of the Interior, all right, title, and interest that the Secretary of the Interior determines to be acceptable in and to—

(i) the approximately 3,050 acres of land located in Pinal County, Arizona, identified as “Lands to DOI” as generally depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Lower San Pedro River” and dated July 6, 2011;

(ii) the approximately 160 acres of land located in Gila and Pinal Counties, Arizona, identified as “Lands to DOI” as generally depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Dripping Springs” and dated July 6, 2011; and

(iii) the approximately 940 acres of land located in Santa Cruz County, Arizona, identified as “Lands to DOI” as generally depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Non-Federal Parcel–Appleton Ranch” and dated July 6, 2011.

(2) MANAGEMENT OF ACQUIRED LAND.—

(A) LAND ACQUIRED BY THE SECRETARY.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Land acquired by the Secretary under this section shall—

(I) become part of the national forest in which the land is located; and

(II) be administered in accordance with the laws applicable to the National Forest System.

(ii) BOUNDARY REVISION.—On the acquisition of land by the Secretary under this section, the boundaries of the national forest shall be modified to reflect the inclusion of the acquired land.

(iii) LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND.—For purposes of section 7 of the Land and Water Conservation Fund Act of 1965 (16 U.S.C. 4601–9), the boundaries of a national forest in which land acquired by the Secretary is located shall be deemed to be the boundaries of that forest as in existence on January 1, 1965.

(B) LAND ACQUIRED BY THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.—

(i) SAN PEDRO NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—The land acquired by the Secretary of the Interior under paragraph (1)(B)(i) shall be added to, and administered as part of, the San Pedro National Conservation Area in accordance with the laws (including regulations) applicable to the Conservation Area.

(II) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Not later than 2 years after the date on which the land is acquired, the Secretary of the Interior shall update the management plan for the San Pedro National Conservation Area to reflect the management requirements of the acquired land.

(ii) DRIPPING SPRINGS.—Land acquired by the Secretary of the Interior under paragraph (1)(B)(ii) shall be managed in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) and applicable land use plans.

(iii) LAS CIENEGAS NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA.—Land acquired by the Secretary of the Interior under paragraph (1)(B)(iii) shall be added to, and administered as part of, the Las Cienegas National Conservation Area in accordance with the laws (including regulations) applicable to the Conservation Area.

(e) VALUE ADJUSTMENT PAYMENT TO UNITED STATES.—

(1) ANNUAL PRODUCTION REPORTING.—

(A) REPORT REQUIRED.—As a condition of the land exchange under this section, Resolution Copper shall submit to the Secretary of the Interior an annual report indicating the quantity of locatable minerals produced during the preceding calendar year in commercial quantities from the Federal land conveyed to Resolution Copper under subsection (c). The first report is required to be submitted not later than February 15 of the first calendar year beginning after the date of commencement of production of valuable

locatable minerals in commercial quantities from such Federal land. The reports shall be submitted February 15 of each calendar year thereafter.

(B) *SHARING REPORTS WITH STATE.*—The Secretary shall make each report received under subparagraph (A) available to the State.

(C) *REPORT CONTENTS.*—The reports under subparagraph (A) shall comply with any recordkeeping and reporting requirements prescribed by the Secretary or required by applicable Federal laws in effect at the time of production.

(2) *PAYMENT ON PRODUCTION.*—If the cumulative production of valuable locatable minerals produced in commercial quantities from the Federal land conveyed to Resolution Copper under subsection (c) exceeds the quantity of production of locatable minerals from the Federal land used in the income capitalization approach analysis prepared under subsection (c)(4)(C), Resolution Copper shall pay to the United States, by not later than March 15 of each applicable calendar year, a value adjustment payment for the quantity of excess production at the same rate assumed for the income capitalization approach analysis prepared under subsection (c)(4)(C).

(3) *STATE LAW UNAFFECTED.*—Nothing in this subsection modifies, expands, diminishes, amends, or otherwise affects any State law relating to the imposition, application, timing, or collection of a State excise or severance tax.

(4) *USE OF FUNDS.*—

(A) *SEPARATE FUND.*—All funds paid to the United States under this subsection shall be deposited in a special fund established in the Treasury and shall be available, in such amounts as are provided in advance in appropriation Acts, to the Secretary and the Secretary of the Interior only for the purposes authorized by subparagraph (B).

(B) *AUTHORIZED USE.*—Amounts in the special fund established pursuant to subparagraph (A) shall be used for maintenance, repair, and rehabilitation projects for Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management assets.

(f) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, Apache Leap and any land acquired by the United States under this section are withdrawn from all forms of—

(1) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(3) disposition under the mineral leasing, mineral materials, and geothermal leasing laws.

(g) *APACHE LEAP SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.*—

(1) *DESIGNATION.*—To further the purpose of this section, the Secretary shall establish a special management area consisting of Apache Leap, which shall be known as the “Apache Leap Special Management Area” (referred to in this subsection as the “special management area”).

(2) *PURPOSE.*—The purposes of the special management area are—

(A) to preserve the natural character of Apache Leap;

(B) to allow for traditional uses of the area by Native American people; and

(C) to protect and conserve the cultural and archeological resources of the area.

(3) *SURRENDER OF MINING AND EXTRACTION RIGHTS.*—As a condition of the land exchange under subsection (c), Resolution Copper shall surrender to the United States, without compensation, all rights held under the mining laws and any other law to commercially extract minerals under Apache Leap.

(4) *MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall manage the special management area in a manner that furthers the purposes described in paragraph (2).

(B) *AUTHORIZED ACTIVITIES.*—The activities that are authorized in the special management area are—

(i) installation of seismic monitoring equipment on the surface and subsurface to protect the resources located within the special management area;

(ii) installation of fences, signs, or other measures necessary to protect the health and safety of the public; and

(iii) operation of an underground tunnel and associated workings, as described in the Resolution mine plan of operations, subject to any terms and conditions the Secretary may reasonably require.

(5) *PLAN.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with affected Indian tribes, the Town, Resolution Copper, and other interested members of the public, shall prepare a management plan for the Apache Leap Special Management Area.

(B) *CONSIDERATIONS.*—In preparing the plan under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall consider whether additional measures are necessary to—

(i) protect the cultural, archaeological, or historical resources of Apache Leap, including permanent or seasonal closures of all or a portion of Apache Leap; and

(ii) provide access for recreation.

(6) *MINING ACTIVITIES.*—The provisions of this subsection shall not impose additional restrictions on mining activities carried out by Resolution Copper adjacent to, or outside of, the Apache Leap area beyond those otherwise applicable to mining activities on privately owned land under Federal, State, and local laws, rules and regulations.

(h) *CONVEYANCES TO TOWN OF SUPERIOR, ARIZONA.*—

(1) *CONVEYANCES.*—On request from the Town and subject to the provisions of this subsection, the Secretary shall convey to the Town the following:

(A) Approximately 30 acres of land as depicted on the map entitled “Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011–Federal Parcel–Fairview Cemetery” and dated March 2011.

(B) *The reversionary interest and any reserved mineral interest of the United States in the approximately 265 acres of land located in Pinal County, Arizona, as depicted on the map entitled "Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011—Federal Reversionary Interest—Superior Airport" and dated March 2011.*

(C) *The approximately 250 acres of land located in Pinal County, Arizona, as depicted on the map entitled "Southeast Arizona Land Exchange and Conservation Act of 2011—Federal Parcel—Superior Airport Contiguous Parcels" and dated March 2011.*

(2) *PAYMENT.—The Town shall pay to the Secretary the market value for each parcel of land or interest in land acquired under this subsection, as determined by appraisals conducted in accordance with subsection (c)(4).*

(3) *SISK ACT.—Any payment received by the Secretary from the Town under this subsection shall be deposited in the fund established under Public Law 90–171 (commonly known as the "Sisk Act") (16 U.S.C. 484a) and shall be made available to the Secretary for the acquisition of land or interests in land in Region 3 of the Forest Service.*

(4) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The conveyances under this subsection shall be subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.*

(i) *MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS.—*

(1) *REVOCATION OF ORDERS; WITHDRAWAL.—*

(A) *REVOCATION OF ORDERS.—Any public land order that withdraws the Federal land from appropriation or disposal under a public land law shall be revoked to the extent necessary to permit disposal of the land.*

(B) *WITHDRAWAL.—On the date of enactment of this Act, if the Federal land or any Federal interest in the non-Federal land to be exchanged under subsection (c) is not withdrawn or segregated from entry and appropriation under a public land law (including mining and mineral leasing laws and the Geothermal Steam Act of 1970 (30 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.)), the land or interest shall be withdrawn, without further action required by the Secretary concerned, from entry and appropriation. The withdrawal shall be terminated—*

(i) on the date of consummation of the land exchange; or

(ii) if Resolution Copper notifies the Secretary in writing that it has elected to withdraw from the land exchange pursuant to section 206(d) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as amended (43 U.S.C. 1716(d)).

(C) *RIGHTS OF RESOLUTION COPPER.—Nothing in this section shall interfere with, limit, or otherwise impair, the unpatented mining claims or rights currently held by Resolution Copper on the Federal land, nor in any way change, diminish, qualify, or otherwise impact Resolution Copper's rights and ability to conduct activities on the Federal land under such unpatented mining claims and the general min-*

ing laws of the United States, including the permitting or authorization of such activities.

(2) **MAPS, ESTIMATES, AND DESCRIPTIONS.**—

(A) **MINOR ERRORS.**—*The Secretary concerned and Resolution Copper may correct, by mutual agreement, any minor errors in any map, acreage estimate, or description of any land conveyed or exchanged under this section.*

(B) **CONFLICT.**—*If there is a conflict between a map, an acreage estimate, or a description of land in this section, the map shall control unless the Secretary concerned and Resolution Copper mutually agree otherwise.*

(C) **AVAILABILITY.**—*On the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall file and make available for public inspection in the Office of the Supervisor, Tonto National Forest, each map referred to in this section.*

(3) **PUBLIC ACCESS IN AND AROUND OAK FLAT CAMPGROUND.**—*As a condition of conveyance of the Federal land, Resolution Copper shall agree to provide access to the surface of the Oak Flat Campground to members of the public, including Indian tribes, to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with health and safety requirements, until such time as the operation of the mine precludes continued public access for safety reasons, as determined by Resolution Copper.*

SEC. 3004. LAND EXCHANGE, CIBOLA NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE, ARIZONA, AND BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section—*

(1) **MAP 1.**—*The term “Map 1” means the map entitled “Specified Parcel of Public Land in California” and dated July 18, 2014.*

(2) **MAP 2.**—*The term “Map 2” means the map entitled “River Bottom Farm Lands” and dated July 18, 2014.*

(b) **LAND EXCHANGE.**—

(1) **CONVEYANCE OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND.**—*In exchange for the land described in paragraph (2), the Secretary of the Interior shall convey to River Bottom Farms of La Paz County, Arizona, all right, title and interest of the United States in and to certain Federal land administered by the Secretary through the Bureau of Land Management consisting of a total of approximately 80 acres in Riverside County, California, identified as “Parcel A” on Map 1. The conveyed land shall be subject to valid existing rights, including easements, rights-of-way, utility lines, and any other valid encumbrances on the land as of the date of the conveyance under this section.*

(2) **CONSIDERATION.**—*As consideration for the conveyance of the Federal land under paragraph (1), River Bottom Farms shall convey to the United States all right, title, and interest of River Bottom Farms in and to two parcels of land contiguous to the Cibola National Wildlife Refuge in La Paz County, Arizona, consisting of a total of approximately 40 acres in La Paz County, Arizona, identified as “Parcel 301-05-005B-9” and “Parcel 301-05-008-0” on Map 2.*

(3) **EQUAL VALUE EXCHANGE.**—*The values of the Federal land and non-Federal land to be exchanged under this section shall*

be equal or equalized by the payment of cash to the Secretary by River Bottom Farms, if appropriate, pursuant to section 206(b) of the Federal Land Policy Management Act (43 U.S.C. 1716(b)). The value of the land shall be determined by the Secretary through an appraisal performed by a qualified appraiser mutually agreed to by the Secretary and River Bottom Farms and performed in conformance with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions (U.S. Department of Justice, December 2000). If the final appraised value of the non-Federal land ("Parcel 301-05-005B-9" and "Parcel 301-05-008-0" on Map 2) exceeds the value of the Federal land ("Parcel A" on Map 1), the surplus value of the non-Federal land shall be considered to be a donation by River Bottom Farms to the United States.

(4) *EXCHANGE TIMETABLE.*—The Secretary shall complete the land exchange under this section not later than 1 year after the date of the expiration of any existing Bureau of Land Management lease agreement or agreements affecting the Federal land ("Parcel A" on Map 1) to be exchanged under this section, unless the Secretary and River Bottom Farms mutually agree to extend such deadline.

(5) *ADMINISTRATION OF ACQUIRED LAND.*—The land acquired by the Secretary under paragraph (2) shall become part of the Cibola National Wildlife Refuge and be administered in accordance with the laws and regulations generally applicable to the National Wildlife Refuge System.

SEC. 3005. SPECIAL RULES FOR INYO NATIONAL FOREST, CALIFORNIA, LAND EXCHANGE.

(a) *AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT LANDS OUTSIDE BOUNDARIES OF INYO NATIONAL FOREST.*—In any land exchange involving the conveyance of certain National Forest System land located within the boundaries of Inyo National Forest in California, as shown on the map titled "Federal Parcel Mammoth Base Facility" and dated June 29, 2011, the Secretary of Agriculture may accept for acquisition in the exchange certain non-Federal lands in California lying outside the boundaries of Inyo National Forest, as shown on the maps titled "DWP Parcel – Interagency Visitor Center Parcel" and "DWP Parcel – Town of Bishop Parcel" and dated June 29, 2011, if the Secretary determines that acquisition of the non-Federal lands is desirable for National Forest System purposes.

(b) *CASH EQUALIZATION PAYMENT; USE.*—In an exchange described in subsection (a), the Secretary of Agriculture may accept a cash equalization payment in excess of 25 percent. Any such cash equalization payment shall be deposited into the account in the Treasury of the United States established by Public Law 90-171 (commonly known as the Sisk Act; 16 U.S.C. 484a) and shall be made available to the Secretary for the acquisition of land for addition to the National Forest System.

(c) *RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to grant the Secretary of Agriculture new land exchange authority. This section modifies the use of land exchange authorities already available to the Secretary as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3006. LAND EXCHANGE, TRINITY PUBLIC UTILITIES DISTRICT, TRINITY COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, AND THE FOREST SERVICE.

(a) **LAND EXCHANGE REQUIRED.**—*If not later than three years after enactment of this Act, the Utilities District conveys to the Secretary of the Interior all right, title, and interest of the Utilities District in and to Parcel A, subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary of the Interior may require, the Secretary of Agriculture shall convey Parcel B to the Utilities District, subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary of Agriculture may require, including the reservation of easements for all roads and trails considered to be necessary for administrative purposes and to ensure public access to National Forest System lands.*

(b) **AVAILABILITY OF MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.**—*Maps are entitled “Trinity County Land Exchange Act of 2014 – Parcel A” and “Trinity County Land Exchange Act of 2014 – Parcel B”, both dated March 24, 2014. The maps shall be on file and available for public inspection in the Office of the Chief of the Forest Service and the appropriate office of the Bureau of Land Management. With the agreement of the parties to the conveyances under subsection (a), the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture may make technical corrections to the maps and legal descriptions.*

(c) **EQUAL VALUE EXCHANGE.**—

(1) **LAND EXCHANGE PROCESS.**—*The land exchange under this section shall be an equal value exchange. Except as provided in paragraph (3), the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture shall carry out the land exchange in accordance with section 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1716).*

(2) **APPRAISAL OF PARCELS.**—*The values of Parcel A and Parcel B shall be determined by appraisals performed by a qualified appraiser mutually agreed to by the parties to the conveyances under subsection (a). The appraisals shall be approved by the Secretary of Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture and conducted in conformity with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land.*

(3) **CASH EQUALIZATION.**—*If the values of Parcel A and Parcel B are not equal, the values may be equalized through the use of a cash equalization payment, however, if the final appraised value of Parcel A exceeds the value of Parcel B, the surplus value of Parcel A shall be considered to be a donation by the Utilities District. Notwithstanding section 206(b) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1716(b)), a cash equalization payment may be made in excess of 25 percent of the appraised value of the Parcel B.*

(d) **DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Any cash equalization payment received by the United States under subsection (c) shall be deposited in the fund established under Public Law 90–171 (16 U.S.C. 484a; commonly known as the Sisk Act).*

(2) **USE OF PROCEEDS.**—*Amounts deposited under paragraph (1) shall be available to the Secretary of Agriculture, without further appropriation and until expended, for the improvement, maintenance, reconstruction, or construction of a facility or improvement for the National Forest System.*

(e) *SURVEY.*—The exact acreage and legal description of Parcel A and Parcel B shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary of the Interior and the Secretary of Agriculture.

(f) *COSTS.*—As a condition of the land exchange under subsection (a), the Utilities District shall pay the costs associated with—

- (1) the surveys described in subsection (e);
- (2) the appraisals described in subsection (c)(2); and
- (3) any other reasonable administrative or remediation cost determined by the Secretary of Agriculture.

(g) *MANAGEMENT OF ACQUIRED LAND.*—Upon the acquisition of Parcel A, the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Redding Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management, shall administer Parcel A as public land in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) and the laws and regulations applicable to public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, except that public recreation and public access to and for recreation shall be the highest and best use of Parcel A.

(h) *COMPLETION OF LAND EXCHANGE.*—Once the Utilities District offers to convey Parcel A to the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of Agriculture shall complete the conveyance of Parcel B not later than one year after the date of enactment of this Act.

(i) *DEFINITIONS.*—For the purposes of this section:

(1) *PARCEL A.*—The term “Parcel A” means the approximately 47 acres of land, known as the “Sky Ranch parcel”, adjacent to public land administered by the Redding Field Office of the Bureau of Land Management as depicted on the map entitled “Trinity County Land Exchange Act of 2014 – Parcel A”, dated March 24, 2014, more particularly described as a portion of Mineral Survey 178, south Highway 299, generally located in the S1/2 of the S1/2 of Section 7 and the N1/2 of the N1/2 of Section 8, Township 33 North, Range 10 West, Mount Diablo Meridian.

(2) *PARCEL B.*—The term “Parcel B” means the approximately 100 acres land in the Shasta-Trinity National Forest in the State of California near the Weaverville Airport in Trinity County as depicted on the map entitled “Trinity County Land Exchange Act of 2014 – Parcel B” dated March 24, 2014, more particularly described as Lot 8, SW1/4 SE1/4, and S1/2 N1/2 SE, Section 31, Township 34 North, Range 9 West, Mount Diablo Meridian.

(3) *UTILITIES DISTRICT.*—The term “Utilities District” means the Trinity Public Utilities District of Trinity County, California.

SEC. 3007. IDAHO COUNTY, IDAHO, SHOOTING RANGE LAND CONVEYANCE.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *COUNTY.*—The term “County” means Idaho County in the State of Idaho.

(2) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “Idaho County Land Conveyance” and dated April 11, 2014.

(3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) *CONVEYANCE OF LAND TO IDAHO COUNTY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after notification by the County and subject to valid existing rights, the Secretary shall convey to the County, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (2).

(2) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The land referred to in paragraph (1) consists of approximately 31 acres of land managed by the Bureau of Land Management and generally depicted on the map as “Conveyance Area”.

(3) *MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall finalize the legal description of the parcel to be conveyed under this section.

(B) *MINOR ERRORS.*—The Secretary may correct any minor error in—

(i) the map; or

(ii) the legal description.

(C) *AVAILABILITY.*—The map and legal description shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(4) *USE OF CONVEYED LAND.*—The land conveyed under this section shall be used only—

(A) as a shooting range; or

(B) for any other public purpose consistent with uses allowed under the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.).

(5) *ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.*—The Secretary shall require the County to pay all survey costs and other administrative costs necessary for the preparation and completion of any patents for, and transfers of title to, the land described in paragraph (2).

(6) *CONDITIONS.*—As a condition of the conveyance under paragraph (1), the County shall agree—

(A) to pay any administrative costs associated with the conveyance including the costs of any environmental, wildlife, cultural, or historical resources studies;

(B) to release and indemnify the United States from any claims or liabilities that may arise from uses carried out on the land described in paragraph (2) on or before the date of the enactment of this Act by the United States or any person; and

(C) to accept such reasonable terms and conditions as the Secretary determines necessary.

(7) *REVERSION.*—If the land conveyed under this section ceases to be used for a public purpose in accordance with paragraph (4), the land shall, at the discretion of the Secretary, revert to the United States.

SEC. 3008. SCHOOL DISTRICT 318, MINNESOTA, LAND EXCHANGE.

(a) *PURPOSES.*—The purposes of this section are—

(1) to provide greater safety to the students of the Robert J. Elkington Middle School and the families of those students in Grand Rapids, Minnesota; and

(2) to promote the mission of the United States Geological Survey.

(b) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

(1) *DISTRICT.*—The term “District” means Minnesota Independent School District number 318 in Grand Rapids, Minnesota.

(2) *FEDERAL LAND.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The term “Federal land” means the parcel of approximately 1.3 acres of United States Geological Survey land identified as USGS Parcel 91-016-4111 on the map, which was transferred to the Department of the Interior by the General Services Administration by a letter dated July 22, 1965.

(B) *INCLUSION.*—The term “Federal land” includes any structures on the land described in subparagraph (A).

(3) *MAP.*—The term “map” means each of the maps entitled “USGS and School Parcel Locations” and dated January 15, 2014.

(4) *NON-FEDERAL LAND.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The term “non-Federal land” means the parcel of approximately 1.6 acres of District land identified as School Parcel 91-540-1210 on the map.

(B) *INCLUSION.*—The term “non-Federal land” includes any structures on the land described in subparagraph (A).

(5) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(c) *AUTHORIZATION OF EXCHANGE.*—If the District offers to convey to the United States all right, title, and interest of the District in and to the non-Federal land, the Secretary shall—

(1) accept the offer; and

(2) convey to the District all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land.

(d) *VALUATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The value of the Federal land and non-Federal land to be exchanged under subsection (c) shall be determined—

(A) by an independent appraiser selected by the Secretary; and

(B) in accordance with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

(2) *APPROVAL.*—Appraisals conducted under paragraph (1) shall be submitted to the Secretary for approval.

(3) *CASH EQUALIZATION PAYMENTS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—If the value of the Federal land and non-Federal land to be exchanged under subsection (c) is not of equal value, the value shall be equalized through a cash equalization payment.

(B) *USE OF AMOUNTS.*—Amounts received by the United States under subparagraph (A) shall be deposited in the Treasury and credited to miscellaneous receipts.

SEC. 3009. NORTHERN NEVADA LAND CONVEYANCES.(a) *LAND CONVEYANCE TO YERINGTON, NEVADA.*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) *CITY.*—The term “City” means the city of Yerington, Nevada.

(B) *FEDERAL LAND.*—The term “Federal land” means the land located in Lyon County and Mineral County, Nevada, that is identified on the map as “City of Yerington Sustainable Development Conveyance Lands”.

(C) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “Yerington Land Conveyance” and dated December 19, 2012.

(D) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(2) *CONVEYANCES OF LAND TO CITY OF YERINGTON, NEVADA.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, subject to valid existing rights and to such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be necessary and notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), the Secretary shall convey to the City, subject to the agreement of the City, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land identified on the map.

(B) *APPRAISAL TO DETERMINE FAIR MARKET VALUE.*—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the Federal land to be conveyed—

(i) in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.); and

(ii) based on an appraisal that is conducted in accordance with—

(I) the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisition; and

(II) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

(C) *AVAILABILITY OF MAP.*—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(D) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—Beginning on the date on which the Federal land is conveyed to the City, the development of and conduct of activities on the Federal land shall be subject to all applicable Federal laws (including regulations).

(E) *COSTS.*—As a condition of the conveyance of the Federal land under subparagraph (A), the City shall pay—

(i) an amount equal to the appraised value determined in accordance with subparagraph (B); and

(ii) all costs related to the conveyance, including all surveys, appraisals, and other administrative costs associated with the conveyance of the Federal land to the City under subparagraph (A).

(3) *NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS USES.*—Nothing in this subsection alters or diminishes the treaty rights of any Indian tribe.

(b) *CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN FEDERAL LAND TO CITY OF CARLIN, NEVADA.*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

- (A) *CITY*.—The term “City” means the City of Carlin, Nevada.
- (B) *FEDERAL LAND*.—The term “Federal land” means the approximately 1,329 acres of land located in the City of Carlin, Nevada, that is identified on the map as “Carlin Selected Parcels”.
- (C) *MAP*.—The term “map” means the map entitled “Proposed Carlin, Nevada Land Sales” map dated October 25, 2013.
- (D) *SECRETARY*.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.
- (2) *CONVEYANCE*.—Subject to valid existing rights and notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), the Secretary shall convey to the City all right, title, and interest of the United States to and in the Federal land.
- (3) *CONSIDERATION*.—As consideration for the conveyance authorized under paragraph (2), the City shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to the appraised value of the Federal land, as determined under paragraph (4).
- (4) *APPRAISAL*.—The Secretary shall conduct an appraisal of the Federal land in accordance with—
- (A) the Uniform Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions; and
 - (B) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- (5) *AVAILABILITY OF MAP*.—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.
- (6) *COSTS*.—At closing for the conveyance authorized under paragraph (2) the City shall pay or reimburse the Secretary, as appropriate, for the reasonable transaction and administrative personnel costs associated with the conveyance authorized under such paragraph, including the costs of title searches, maps, and boundary and cadastral surveys.
- (7) *RELEASE OF UNITED STATES*.—Upon making the conveyance under paragraph (2), notwithstanding any other provision of law, the United States is released from any and all liabilities or claims of any kind or nature arising from the presence, release, or threat of release of any hazardous substance, pollutant, contaminant, petroleum product (or derivative of a petroleum product of any kind), solid waste, mine materials or mining related features (including tailings, overburden, waste rock, mill remnants, pits, or other hazards resulting from the presence of mining related features) on the Federal land in existence on or before the date of the conveyance.
- (8) *WITHDRAWAL*.—Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land identified for conveyance shall be withdrawn from all forms of—
- (A) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;
 - (B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws;
- and

- (C) *disposition under the mineral leasing, mineral materials and geothermal leasing laws.*
- (c) **CONVEYANCE TO THE CITY OF FERNLEY, NEVADA.—**
- (1) **DEFINITIONS.—***In this subsection:*
- (A) **CITY.—***The term “City” means the city of Fernley, Nevada.*
- (B) **FEDERAL LAND.—***The term “Federal land” means the land located in the City that is identified as “Proposed Sale Parcels” on the map.*
- (C) **MAP.—***The term “map” means the map entitled “Proposed Fernley, Nevada, Land Sales” and dated January 25, 2013.*
- (D) **SECRETARY.—***The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.*
- (2) **CONVEYANCE AUTHORIZED.—***Subject to valid existing rights and notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary receives a request from the City for the conveyance of the Federal land, the Secretary shall convey to the City, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States to and in the Federal land.*
- (3) **USE OF CONVEYED LAND.—**
- (A) **IN GENERAL.—***The Federal land conveyed under paragraph (2)—*
- (i) *may be used by the City for any public purposes consistent with the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.); and*
- (ii) *shall not be disposed of by the City.*
- (B) **REVERSION.—***If the City ceases to use a parcel of the Federal land conveyed under paragraph (2) in accordance with subparagraph (A)—*
- (i) *title to the parcel shall revert to the Secretary, at the option of the Secretary; and*
- (ii) *the City shall be responsible for any reclamation necessary to revert the parcel to the United States.*
- (4) **AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—***The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.*
- (5) **RESERVATION OF EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—***The City and the Commissioner of Reclamation may retain easements or rights-of-way on the Federal land to be conveyed, including easements or rights-of-way that the Commissioner of Reclamation determines are necessary to carry out—*
- (A) *the operation and maintenance of the Truckee Canal Irrigation District Canal; or*
- (B) *the Newlands Project.*
- (6) **COSTS.—***At closing for the conveyance authorized under paragraph (2), the City shall pay or reimburse the Secretary, as appropriate, for the reasonable transaction and administrative personnel costs associated with the conveyance authorized*

under that paragraph, including the costs of title searches, maps, and boundary and cadastral surveys.

(7) *RELEASE OF UNITED STATES.*—On conveyance of the Federal land under paragraph (2), notwithstanding any other provision of law, the United States is released from any and all liabilities or claims of any kind or nature arising from the presence, release, or threat of release of any hazardous substance, pollutant, contaminant, petroleum product (or derivative of a petroleum product of any kind), solid waste, mine materials, or mining related features (including tailings, overburden, waste rock, mill remnants, pits, or other hazards resulting from the presence of mining related features) on the Federal land in existence before or on the date of the conveyance.

(8) *ACQUISITION OF FEDERAL REVERSIONARY INTEREST.*—

(A) *REQUEST.*—After the date of conveyance of the Federal land under paragraph (2), the City may submit to the Secretary a request to acquire the Federal reversionary interest in all or any portion of the Federal land.

(B) *APPRAISAL.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of receipt of a request under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall complete an appraisal of the Federal reversionary interest in the Federal land requested by the City under that subparagraph.

(ii) *REQUIREMENT.*—The appraisal under clause (i) shall be completed in accordance with—

(I) the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions; and

(II) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.

(C) *CONVEYANCE REQUIRED.*—If, by the date that is 1 year after the date of completion of the appraisal under subparagraph (B), the City submits to the Secretary an offer to acquire the Federal reversionary interest requested under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall, not later than the date that is 30 days after the date on which the offer is submitted, convey to the City the reversionary interest covered by the offer.

(D) *CONSIDERATION.*—As consideration for the conveyance of the Federal reversionary interest under subparagraph (C), the City shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to the appraised value of the Federal reversionary interest, as determined under subparagraph (B).

(E) *COSTS OF CONVEYANCE.*—As a condition of the conveyance under subparagraph (C), all costs associated with the conveyance (including the cost of the appraisal under subparagraph (B)), shall be paid by the City.

(d) *CONVEYANCE OF FEDERAL LAND, STOREY COUNTY, NEVADA.*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) *COUNTY.*—The term “County” means Storey County, Nevada.

(B) *FEDERAL LAND.*—The term “Federal land” means the approximately 1,745 acres of Federal land identified on the map as “BLM Owned—County Request Transfer”.

- (C) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “Restoring Storey County Act” and dated November 20, 2012.
- (D) *MINING TOWNSITE.*—The term “mining townsite” means the real property—
- (i) located in the Virginia City townsite within the County;
 - (ii) owned by the Federal Government; and
 - (iii) on which improvements were constructed based on the belief that—
 - (I) the property had been or would be acquired from the Federal Government by the entity operating the relevant mine on the date of construction; or
 - (II) the individual or entity that made the improvements had a valid claim for acquiring the property from the Federal Government.
- (E) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.
- (2) *MINING CLAIM VALIDITY REVIEW.*—
- (A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall carry out an expedited program to examine each unpatented mining claim (including each unpatented mining claim for which a patent application has been filed) within the mining townsite.
 - (B) *DETERMINATION OF VALIDITY.*—With respect to a mining claim described in subparagraph (A), if the Secretary determines that the elements of a contest are present, the Secretary shall immediately determine the validity of the mining claim.
 - (C) *DECLARATION BY SECRETARY.*—If the Secretary determines a mining claim to be invalid under subparagraph (B), as soon as practicable after the date of the determination, the Secretary shall declare the mining claim to be null and void.
 - (D) *TREATMENT OF VALID MINING CLAIMS.*—
 - (i) *IN GENERAL.*—Each mining claim that the Secretary determines to be valid under subparagraph (B) shall be maintained in compliance with the general mining laws and paragraph (3)(B)(ii).
 - (ii) *EFFECT ON HOLDERS.*—A holder of a mining claim described in clause (i) shall not be entitled to a patent.
 - (E) *ABANDONMENT OF CLAIM.*—The Secretary shall provide—
 - (i) a public notice that each mining claim holder may affirmatively abandon the claim of the mining claim holder prior to the validity review under subparagraph (B); and
 - (ii) to each mining claim holder an opportunity to abandon the claim of the mining claim holder before the date on which the land that is subject to the mining claim is conveyed.
- (3) *CONVEYANCE TO COUNTY.*—
- (A) *CONVEYANCE.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights and notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), after completing the mining claim validity review under paragraph (2)(B), if requested by the County, the Secretary shall convey to the County, by quitclaim deed, all surface rights of the United States in and to the Federal land, including any improvements on the Federal land, in accordance with this paragraph.

(ii) *RESERVATION OF RIGHTS.*—All mineral and geothermal rights in and to the Federal land are reserved to the United States.

(B) VALID MINING CLAIMS.—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—With respect to each parcel of land located in a mining townsite subject to a valid mining claim, the Secretary shall—

(I) reserve the mineral rights in and to the mining townsite; and

(II) otherwise convey, without consideration, the remaining right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the mining townsite (including improvements to the mining townsite), as identified for conveyance on the map.

(ii) *PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS.*—Each valid mining claim shall be subject to each procedure and requirement described in section 9 of the Act of December 29, 1916 (43 U.S.C. 299) (commonly known as the “Stockraising Homestead Act of 1916”) (including regulations).

(4) RECIPIENTS.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—In the case of a mining townsite conveyed under paragraph (3)(B)(i)(II) for which a valid interest is proven by 1 or more individuals in accordance with chapter 244.2825 of the Nevada Revised Statutes, the County shall reconvey the property to the 1 or more individuals by appropriate deed or other legal conveyance in accordance with that chapter.

(B) *AUTHORITY OF COUNTY.*—The County shall not be required to recognize a claim under this paragraph that is submitted on a date that is later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

(5) *VALID EXISTING RIGHTS.*—The conveyance of a mining townsite under paragraph (3) shall be subject to valid existing rights, including any easement or other right-of-way or lease in existence as of the date of the conveyance.

(6) *WITHDRAWALS.*—Subject to valid rights in existence on the date of enactment of this Act, and except as otherwise provided in this Act, the mining townsite is withdrawn from—

(A) all forms of entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(C) disposition under all laws pertaining to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.

(7) SURVEY.—A mining townsite to be conveyed by the United States under paragraph (3) shall be sufficiently surveyed as a whole to legally describe the land for patent conveyance.

(8) CONVEYANCE OF TERMINATED MINING CLAIMS.—If a mining claim determined by the Secretary to be valid under paragraph (2)(B) is abandoned, invalidated, or otherwise returned to the Bureau of Land Management, the mining claim shall be—

(A) withdrawn in accordance with paragraph (6); and

(B) subject to the agreement of the owner, conveyed to the owner of the surface rights covered by the mining claim.

(9) RELEASE.—On completion of the conveyance of a mining townsite under paragraph (3), the United States shall be relieved from liability for, and shall be held harmless from, any claim arising from the presence of an improvement or material on the mining townsite.

(10) SENSE OF CONGRESS REGARDING DEADLINE FOR REVIEW AND CONVEYANCES.—It is the sense of Congress that the examination of the unpatented mining claims under paragraph (2) and the conveyances under paragraph (3) should be completed by not later than 18 months after the date of enactment of this Act.

(e) ELKO MOTOCROSS LAND CONVEYANCE.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) COUNTY.—The term “county” means the county of Elko, Nevada.

(B) MAP.—The term “map” means the map entitled “Elko Motocross Park” and dated April 19, 2013.

(C) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management.

(2) AUTHORIZATION OF CONVEYANCE.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, subject to valid existing rights and the provisions of this subsection, if requested by the county the Secretary shall convey to the county, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (3).

(3) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The land referred to in paragraph (2) consists of approximately 275 acres of land managed by the Bureau of Land Management, Elko District, Nevada, as generally depicted on the map as “Elko Motocross Park”.

(4) MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall finalize the legal description of the parcel to be conveyed under this subsection.

(B) MINOR ERRORS.—The Secretary may correct any minor error in the map or the legal description.

(C) AVAILABILITY.—The map and legal description shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(5) *USE OF CONVEYED LAND.*—The land conveyed under this subsection shall be used only as a motocross, bicycle, off-highway vehicle, or stock car racing area, or for any other public purpose consistent with uses allowed under the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 *et seq.*).

(6) *ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.*—The Secretary shall require the county to pay all survey costs and other administrative costs necessary for the preparation and completion of any patents for, and transfers of title to, the land described in paragraph (3).

(f) *LAND TO BE HELD IN TRUST FOR THE TE-MOAK TRIBE OF WESTERN SHOSHONE INDIANS OF NEVADA (ELKO BAND).*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “Te-moak Tribal Land Expansion” and dated April 19, 2013.

(B) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management.

(C) *TRIBE.*—The term “Tribe” means the Te-moak Tribe of Western Shoshone Indians of Nevada (Elko Band).

(2) *LAND TO BE HELD IN TRUST.*—Subject to valid existing rights, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (3)—

(A) shall be held in trust by the United States for the benefit and use of the Tribe; and

(B) shall be part of the reservation of the Tribe.

(3) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The land referred to in paragraph (2) is the approximately 373 acres of land administered by the Bureau of Land Management, as generally depicted on the map as “Expansion Area”.

(4) *MAP.*—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(5) *SURVEY.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall complete a survey of the boundary lines to establish the boundaries of the land taken into trust under paragraph (2).

(6) *USE OF TRUST LAND.*—

(A) *GAMING.*—Land taken into trust under paragraph (2) shall not be eligible, or considered to have been taken into trust, for class II gaming or class III gaming (as those terms are defined in section 4 of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2703)).

(B) *GENERAL USES.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The Tribe shall use the land taken into trust under paragraph (2) only for—

(I) traditional and customary uses;

(II) stewardship conservation for the benefit of the Tribe; or

(III) residential or recreational development.

(ii) *OTHER USES.*—If the Tribe uses any portion of the land taken into trust under paragraph (2) for a purpose other than a purpose described in clause (i), the Tribe shall pay to the Secretary an amount that is

equal to the fair market value of the portion of the land, as determined by an appraisal.

(C) *THINNING; LANDSCAPE RESTORATION.*—With respect to the land taken into trust under paragraph (2), the Secretary, in consultation and coordination with the Tribe, may carry out any fuels reduction and other landscape restoration activities on the land that is beneficial to the Tribe and the Bureau of Land Management.

(g) *NAVAL AIR STATION FALLON LAND CONVEYANCE.*—

(1) *TRANSFER OF DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR LAND.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Interior shall transfer to the Secretary of the Navy, without reimbursement, the Federal land described in subparagraph (B).

(B) *DESCRIPTION OF FEDERAL LAND.*—The Federal land referred to in subparagraph (A) is the parcel of approximately 400 acres of land under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior that—

(i) is adjacent to Naval Air Station Fallon in Churchill County, Nevada; and

(ii) was withdrawn under Public Land Order 6834 (NV-943-4214-10; N-37875).

(C) *MANAGEMENT.*—On transfer of the Federal land described under subparagraph (B) to the Secretary of the Navy, the Secretary of the Navy shall have full jurisdiction, custody, and control of the Federal land.

(2) *WATER RIGHTS.*—

(A) *WATER RIGHTS.*—Nothing in this subsection shall be construed—

(i) to establish a reservation in favor of the United States with respect to any water or water right on land transferred by this subsection; or

(ii) to authorize the appropriation of water on land transferred by this subsection except in accordance with applicable State law.

(B) *EFFECT ON PREVIOUSLY ACQUIRED OR RESERVED WATER RIGHTS.*—This subsection shall not be construed to affect any water rights acquired or reserved by the United States before the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3010. SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO, FEDERAL LAND CONVEYANCE.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *FEDERAL LAND.*—The term “Federal land” means the approximately 19 acres of Federal surface estate generally depicted as “Lands Authorized for Conveyance” on the map.

(2) *LANDOWNER.*—The term “landowner” means the plaintiffs in the case styled *Blancett v. United States Department of the Interior, et al.*, No. 10-cv-00254-JAP-KBM, United States District Court for the District of New Mexico.

(3) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “San Juan County Land Conveyance” and dated June 20, 2012.

(4) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(5) *STATE.*—The term “State” means the State of New Mexico.

(b) *CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN FEDERAL LAND IN SAN JUAN COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*On request of the landowner, the Secretary shall, under such terms and conditions as the Secretary may prescribe and subject to valid existing rights, convey to the landowner all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to any portion of the Federal land (including any improvements or appurtenances to the Federal land) by sale.*

(2) *SURVEY; ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.*—

(A) *SURVEY.*—*The exact acreage and legal description of the Federal land to be conveyed under paragraph (1) shall be determined by a survey approved by the Secretary.*

(B) *COSTS.*—*The administrative costs associated with the conveyance shall be paid by the landowner.*

(3) *CONSIDERATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*As consideration for the conveyance of the Federal land under paragraph (1), the landowner shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to the fair market value of the Federal land conveyed, as determined under subparagraph (B).*

(B) *APPRAISAL.*—*The fair market value of any Federal land that is conveyed under paragraph (1) shall be determined by an appraisal acceptable to the Secretary that is performed in accordance with—*

(i) *the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions;*

(ii) *the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice; and*

(iii) *any other applicable law (including regulations).*

(4) *DISPOSITION AND USE OF PROCEEDS.*—

(A) *DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS.*—*The Secretary shall deposit the proceeds of any conveyance of Federal land under paragraph (1) in a special account in the Treasury for use in accordance with subparagraph (B).*

(B) *USE OF PROCEEDS.*—*Amounts deposited under subparagraph (A) shall be available to the Secretary, without further appropriation and until expended, for the acquisition of land or interests in land from willing sellers in the State or the State of Arizona for bald eagle habitat protection.*

(5) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—*The Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions for a conveyance under paragraph (1) as the Secretary determines to be appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.*

(6) *WITHDRAWAL.*—*Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land is withdrawn from—*

(A) *location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and*

(B) *disposition under all laws relating to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.*

SEC. 3011. LAND CONVEYANCE, UINTA-WASATCH-CACHE NATIONAL FOREST, UTAH.

(a) *CONVEYANCE REQUIRED.*—*On the request of Brigham Young University submitted to the Secretary of Agriculture not later than*

one year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall convey, not later than one year after receiving the request, to Brigham Young University all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to an approximately 80-acre parcel of National Forest System land in the Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest in the State of Utah, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Upper Y Mountain Trail and Y Conveyance Act” and dated June 6, 2013, subject to valid existing rights and by quitclaim deed.

(b) **CONSIDERATION.**—

(1) **CONSIDERATION REQUIRED.**—As consideration for the land conveyed under subsection (a), Brigham Young University shall pay to the Secretary an amount equal to the fair market value of the land, as determined by an appraisal approved by the Secretary and conducted in conformity with the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions and section 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1716).

(2) **DEPOSIT.**—The consideration received by the Secretary under paragraph (1) shall be deposited in the general fund of the Treasury to reduce the Federal deficit.

(c) **PUBLIC ACCESS TO Y MOUNTAIN TRAIL.**—After the conveyance under subsection (a), Brigham Young University will—

(1) continue to allow the same reasonable public access to the trailhead and portion of the Y Mountain Trail already owned by Brigham Young University as of the date of the enactment of this Act that Brigham Young University has historically allowed; and

(2) allow that same reasonable public access to the portion of the Y Mountain Trail and the “Y” symbol located on the land described in subsection (a).

(d) **SURVEY AND ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.**—The exact acreage and legal description of the land to be conveyed under subsection (a) shall be determined by a survey satisfactory to the Secretary. Brigham Young University shall pay the reasonable costs of survey, appraisal, and any administrative analyses required by law.

SEC. 3012. CONVEYANCE OF CERTAIN LAND TO THE CITY OF FRUIT HEIGHTS, UTAH.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **CITY.**—The term “City” means the city of Fruit Heights, Utah.

(2) **MAP.**—The term “map” means the map entitled “Proposed Fruit Heights City Conveyance” and dated September 13, 2012.

(3) **NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LAND.**—The term “National Forest System land” means the approximately 100 acres of National Forest System land, as depicted on the map.

(4) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.

(b) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall convey to the City, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the National Forest System land.

(c) **SURVEY.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—If determined by the Secretary to be necessary, the exact acreage and legal description of the National

Forest System land shall be determined by a survey approved by the Secretary.

(2) *COSTS.*—*The City shall pay the reasonable survey and other administrative costs associated with a survey conducted under paragraph (1).*

(d) *EASEMENT.*—*As a condition of the conveyance under subsection (b), the Secretary shall reserve an easement to the National Forest System land for the Bonneville Shoreline Trail.*

(e) *USE OF NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LAND.*—*As a condition of the conveyance under subsection (b), the City shall use the National Forest System land only for public purposes.*

(f) *REVERSIONARY INTEREST.*—*In the quitclaim deed to the City for the National Forest System land, the Secretary shall provide that the National Forest System land shall revert to the Secretary, at the election of the Secretary, if the National Forest System land is used for other than a public purpose.*

SEC. 3013. LAND CONVEYANCE, HANFORD SITE, WASHINGTON.

(a) *CONVEYANCE REQUIRED.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than September 30, 2015, the Secretary of Energy shall convey to the Community Reuse Organization of the Hanford Site (in this section referred to as the “Organization”) all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to two parcels of real property, including any improvements thereon, consisting of approximately 1,341 acres and 300 acres, respectively, of the Hanford Reservation, as requested by the Organization on May 31, 2011, and October 13, 2011, and as depicted within the proposed boundaries on the map titled “Attachment 2–Revised Map” included in the October 13, 2011, letter.*

(2) *MODIFICATION OF CONVEYANCE.*—*Upon the agreement of the Secretary and the Organization, the Secretary may adjust the boundaries of one or both of the parcels specified for conveyance under paragraph (1).*

(b) *CONSIDERATION.*—*As consideration for the conveyance under subsection (a), the Organization shall pay to the United States an amount equal to the estimated fair market value of the conveyed real property, as determined by the Secretary of Energy, except that the Secretary may convey the property without consideration or for consideration below the estimated fair market value of the property if the Organization—*

(1) *agrees that the net proceeds from any sale or lease of the property (or any portion thereof) received by the Organization during at least the seven-year period beginning on the date of such conveyance will be used to support the economic redevelopment of, or related to, the Hanford Site; and*

(2) *executes the agreement for such conveyance and accepts control of the real property within a reasonable time.*

(c) *EXPEDITED NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESS.*—*Except as provided in subsection (d)(2), the enactment of this section shall be construed to satisfy any notice to Congress otherwise required for the land conveyance required by this section.*

(d) *ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary of Energy may require such additional terms and conditions in connection with the convey-*

ance under subsection (a) as the Secretary deems necessary to protect the interests of the United States.

(2) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—If the Secretary uses the authority provided by paragraph (1) to impose a term or condition on the conveyance, the Secretary shall submit to Congress written notice of the term or condition and the reason for imposing the term or condition.

SEC. 3014. RANCH A WYOMING CONSOLIDATION AND MANAGEMENT IMPROVEMENT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture, acting through the Chief of the Forest Service.

(2) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of Wyoming.

(b) CONVEYANCE.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Upon the request of the State submitted to the Secretary not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall convey to the State, without consideration and by quitclaim deed, all right, title and interest of the United States in and to the parcel of National Forest System land described in paragraph (2).

(2) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The parcel of land referred to in paragraph (1) is approximately 10 acres of National Forest System land located on the Black Hills National Forest, in Crook County, State of Wyoming more specifically described as the $E^{1/2}$ $NE^{1/4}$ $NW^{1/4}$ $SE^{1/4}$ less the south 50 feet, $W^{1/2}$ $NW^{1/4}$ $NE^{1/4}$ $SE^{1/4}$ less the south 50 feet, Section 24, Township 52 North, Range 61 West Sixth P.M.

(3) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The conveyance under paragraph (1) shall be—

(A) subject to valid existing rights; and

(B) made notwithstanding the requirements of subsection

(a) of section 1 of Public Law 104–276.

(4) SURVEY.—If determined by the Secretary to be necessary, the exact acreage and legal description of the land to be conveyed under paragraph (1) shall be determined by a survey that is approved by the Secretary and paid for by the State.

(c) AMENDMENTS.—Section 1 of the Act of October 9, 1996 (Public Law 104–276) is amended—

(1) by striking subsection (b); and

(2) by designating subsection (c) as subsection (b).

Subtitle B—Public Lands and National Forest System Management

SEC. 3021. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT PERMIT PROCESSING.

(a) PROGRAM TO IMPROVE FEDERAL PERMIT COORDINATION.—Section 365 of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15924) is amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “pilot”;

(2) by striking “Pilot Project” each place it appears and inserting “Project”;

(3) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “Wyoming, Montana, Colorado, Utah, and New Mexico” and inserting “the States in which Project offices are located”;

(4) in subsection (d)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by striking “PILOT”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(8) Any other State, district, or field office of the Bureau of Land Management determined by the Secretary.”;

(5) by striking subsection (e) and inserting the following:

“(e) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than February 1 of the first fiscal year beginning after the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 and each February 1 thereafter, the Secretary shall report to the Chairman and ranking minority Member of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives, which shall include—

“(1) the allocation of funds to each Project office for the previous fiscal year; and

“(2) the accomplishments of each Project office relating to the coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations during that fiscal year.”;

(6) in subsection (h), by striking paragraph (6) and inserting the following:

“(6) the States in which Project offices are located.”;

(7) by striking subsection (i); and

(8) by redesignating subsection (j) as subsection (i).

(b) BLM OIL AND GAS PERMIT PROCESSING FEE.—Section 35 of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 191) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(d) BLM OIL AND GAS PERMIT PROCESSING FEE.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2026, the Secretary, acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management, shall collect a fee for each new application for a permit to drill that is submitted to the Secretary.

“(2) AMOUNT.—The amount of the fee shall be \$9,500 for each new application, as indexed for United States dollar inflation from October 1, 2015 (as measured by the Consumer Price Index).

“(3) USE.—Of the fees collected under this subsection for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall transfer—

“(A) for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2019—

“(i) 15 percent to the field offices that collected the fees and used to process protests, leases, and permits under this Act, subject to appropriation; and

“(ii) 85 percent to the BLM Permit Processing Improvement Fund established under subsection (c)(2)(B) (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Fund’); and

“(B) for each of fiscal years 2020 through 2026, all of the fees to the Fund.

“(4) ADDITIONAL COSTS.—During each of fiscal years of 2016 through 2026, the Secretary shall not implement a rulemaking that would enable an increase in fees to recover additional costs related to processing applications for permits to drill.”.

(c) *BLM PERMIT PROCESSING IMPROVEMENT FUND.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 35(c) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 191(c)) is amended by striking paragraph (3) and inserting the following:

“(3) *USE OF FUND.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Fund shall be available to the Secretary of the Interior for expenditure, without further appropriation and without fiscal year limitation, for the coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations on onshore Federal and Indian trust mineral estate land.

“(B) *ACCOUNTS.*—The Secretary shall divide the Fund into—

“(i) a Rental Account (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Rental Account’) comprised of rental receipts collected under this section; and

“(ii) a Fee Account (referred to in this subsection as the ‘Fee Account’) comprised of fees collected under subsection (d).

“(4) *RENTAL ACCOUNT.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall use the Rental Account for—

“(i) the coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations on onshore Federal and Indian trust mineral estate land under the jurisdiction of the Project offices identified under section 365(d) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15924(d)); and

“(ii) training programs for development of expertise related to coordinating and processing oil and gas use authorizations.

“(B) *ALLOCATION.*—In determining the allocation of the Rental Account among Project offices for a fiscal year, the Secretary shall consider—

“(i) the number of applications for permit to drill received in a Project office during the previous fiscal year;

“(ii) the backlog of applications described in clause (i) in a Project office;

“(iii) publicly available industry forecasts for development of oil and gas resources under the jurisdiction of a Project office; and

“(iv) any opportunities for partnership with local industry organizations and educational institutions in developing training programs to facilitate the coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations.

“(5) *FEE ACCOUNT.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall use the Fee Account for the coordination and processing of oil and gas use authorizations on onshore Federal and Indian trust mineral estate land.

“(B) *ALLOCATION.*—The Secretary shall transfer not less than 75 percent of the revenues collected by an office for the processing of applications for permits to the State office of the State in which the fees were collected.”.

(2) *INTEREST ON OVERPAYMENT ADJUSTMENT.*—Section 111(h) of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act of 1982 (30 U.S.C. 1721(h)) is amended in the first sentence by striking “the rate” and all that follows through the period at the end of the sentence and inserting “a rate equal to the sum of the Federal short-term rate determined under section 6621(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 plus 1 percentage point.”.

SEC. 3022. INTERNET-BASED ONSHORE OIL AND GAS LEASE SALES.

(a) *AUTHORIZATION.*—Section 17(b)(1) of the Mineral Leasing Act (30 U.S.C. 226(b)(1)) is amended—

(1) in subparagraph (A), in the third sentence, by inserting “, except as provided in subparagraph (C)” after “by oral bidding”; and

(2) by adding at the end the following:

“(C) In order to diversify and expand the Nation’s onshore leasing program to ensure the best return to the Federal taxpayer, reduce fraud, and secure the leasing process, the Secretary may conduct onshore lease sales through Internet-based bidding methods. Each individual Internet-based lease sale shall conclude within 7 days.”.

(b) *REPORT.*—Not later than 90 days after the tenth Internet-based lease sale conducted under the amendment made by subsection (a), the Secretary of the Interior shall analyze the first 10 such lease sales and report to Congress the findings of the analysis. The report shall include—

(1) estimates on increases or decreases in such lease sales, compared to sales conducted by oral bidding, in—

- (A) the number of bidders;
- (B) the average amount of bid;
- (C) the highest amount bid; and
- (D) the lowest bid;

(2) an estimate on the total cost or savings to the Department of the Interior as a result of such sales, compared to sales conducted by oral bidding; and

(3) an evaluation of the demonstrated or expected effectiveness of different structures for lease sales which may provide an opportunity to better maximize bidder participation, ensure the highest return to the Federal taxpayers, minimize opportunities for fraud or collusion, and ensure the security and integrity of the leasing process.

SEC. 3023. GRAZING PERMITS AND LEASES.

Section 402 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1752) is amended—

(1) in subsection (c)—

(A) by redesignating paragraphs (1), (2), and (3) as subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C), respectively;

(B) by striking “So long as” and inserting the following: “(1) *RENEWAL OF EXPIRING OR TRANSFERRED PERMIT OR LEASE.*—During any period in which”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) *CONTINUATION OF TERMS UNDER NEW PERMIT OR LEASE.*—The terms and conditions in a grazing permit or lease that has expired, or was terminated due to a grazing preference transfer, shall be continued under a new permit or lease until

the date on which the Secretary concerned completes any environmental analysis and documentation for the permit or lease required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and other applicable laws.

“(3) COMPLETION OF PROCESSING.—As of the date on which the Secretary concerned completes the processing of a grazing permit or lease in accordance with paragraph (2), the permit or lease may be canceled, suspended, or modified, in whole or in part.

“(4) ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEWS.—The Secretary concerned shall seek to conduct environmental reviews on an allotment or multiple allotment basis, to the extent practicable, if the allotments share similar ecological conditions, for purposes of compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and other applicable laws.”;

(2) by redesignating subsection (h) as subsection (j); and

(3) by inserting after subsection (g) the following:

“(h) NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT OF 1969.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The issuance of a grazing permit or lease by the Secretary concerned may be categorically excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) if—

“(A) the issued permit or lease continues the current grazing management of the allotment; and

“(B) the Secretary concerned—

“(i) has assessed and evaluated the grazing allotment associated with the lease or permit; and

“(ii) based on the assessment and evaluation under clause (i), has determined that the allotment—

“(I) with respect to public land administered by the Secretary of the Interior—

“(aa) is meeting land health standards; or

“(bb) is not meeting land health standards due to factors other than existing livestock grazing; or

“(II) with respect to National Forest System land administered by the Secretary of Agriculture—

“(aa) is meeting objectives in the applicable land and resource management plan; or

“(bb) is not meeting the objectives in the applicable land resource management plan due to factors other than existing livestock grazing.

“(2) TRAILING AND CROSSING.—The trailing and crossing of livestock across public land and National Forest System land and the implementation of trailing and crossing practices by the Secretary concerned may be categorically excluded from the requirement to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

“(i) PRIORITY AND TIMING FOR COMPLETION OF ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSES.—The Secretary concerned, in the sole discretion of the Secretary concerned, shall determine the priority and timing for

completing each required environmental analysis with respect to a grazing allotment, permit, or lease based on—

- “(1) the environmental significance of the grazing allotment, permit, or lease; and
- “(2) the available funding for the environmental analysis.”.

SEC. 3024. CABIN USER AND TRANSFER FEES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of Agriculture (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”) shall establish a fee in accordance with this section for the issuance of a special use permit for the use and occupancy of National Forest System land for recreational residence purposes.

(b) *INTERIM FEE.*—During the period beginning on January 1, 2014, and ending on the last day of the calendar year during which the current appraisal cycle is completed under subsection (c), the Secretary shall assess an interim annual fee for recreational residences on National Forest System land that is an amount equal to the lesser of—

- (1) the fee determined under the Cabin User Fee Fairness Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.), subject to the requirement that any increase over the fee assessed during the previous year shall be limited to not more than 25 percent; or
- (2) \$5,600.

(c) *COMPLETION OF CURRENT APPRAISAL CYCLE.*—Not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall complete the current appraisal cycle, including receipt of timely second appraisals, for recreational residences on National Forest System land in accordance with the Cabin User Fee Fairness Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.) (referred to in this section as the “current appraisal cycle”).

(d) *LOT VALUE.*—Only appraisals conducted and approved by the Secretary in accordance with the Cabin User Fee Fairness Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.) during the current appraisal cycle shall be used to establish the base value assigned to the lot, subject to the adjustment in subsection (e). If a second appraisal—

- (1) was approved by the Secretary, the value established by the second appraisal shall be the base value assigned to the lot; or
- (2) was not approved by the Secretary, the value established by the initial appraisal shall be the base value assigned to the lot.

(e) *ADJUSTMENT.*—On the date of completion of the current appraisal cycle, and before assessing a fee under subsection (f), the Secretary shall make a 1-time adjustment to the value of each appraised lot on which a recreational residence is located to reflect any change in value occurring after the date of the most recent appraisal for the lot, in accordance with the 4th quarter of 2012 National Association of Homebuilders/Wells Fargo Housing Opportunity Index.

(f) *ANNUAL FEE.*—

- (1) *BASE.*—After the date on which appraised lot values have been adjusted in accordance with subsection (e), the annual fee assessed prospectively by the Secretary for recreational residences on National Forest System land shall be in accordance with the following tiered fee structure:

| Fee Tier | Approximate Percent of Permits Nationally | Fee Amount |
|---------------|---|---------------|
| Tier 1 | 6 percent | \$650 |
| Tier 2 | 16 percent | \$1,150 |
| Tier 3 | 26 percent | \$1,650 |
| Tier 4 | 22 percent | \$2,150 |
| Tier 5 | 10 percent | \$2,650 |
| Tier 6 | 5 percent | \$3,150 |
| Tier 7 | 5 percent | \$3,650 |
| Tier 8 | 3 percent | \$4,150 |
| Tier 9 | 3 percent | \$4,650 |
| Tier 10 | 3 percent | \$5,150 |
| Tier 11 | 1 percent | \$5,650. |

(2) *INFLATION ADJUSTMENT.*—The Secretary shall increase or decrease the annual fees set forth in the table under paragraph (1) to reflect changes in the Implicit Price Deflator for the Gross Domestic Product published by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the Department of Commerce, applied on a 5-year rolling average.

(3) *ACCESS AND OCCUPANCY ADJUSTMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall by regulation establish criteria pursuant to which the annual fee determined in accordance with this section may be suspended or reduced temporarily if access to, or the occupancy of, the recreational residence is significantly restricted.

(B) *APPEAL.*—The Secretary shall by regulation grant the cabin owner the right of an administrative appeal of the determination made in accordance with subparagraph (A) whether to suspend or reduce temporarily the annual fee.

(g) *PERIODIC REVIEW.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Beginning on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that—

(A) analyzes the annual fees set forth in the table under subsection (f) to ensure that the fees reflect fair value for the use of the land for recreational residence purposes, taking into account all use limitations and restrictions (including any limitations and restrictions imposed by the Secretary); and

(B) includes any recommendations of the Secretary with respect to modifying the fee system.

(2) *LIMITATION.*—The use of appraisals shall not be required for any modifications to the fee system based on the recommendations under paragraph (1)(B).

(h) *CABIN TRANSFER FEES.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall establish a fee in the amount of \$1,200 for the issuance of a new recreational resi-

dence permit due to a change of ownership of the recreational residence.

(2) **ADJUSTMENTS.**—*The Secretary shall annually increase or decrease the transfer fee established under paragraph (1) to reflect changes in the Implicit Price Deflator for the Gross Domestic Product published by the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the Department of Commerce, applied on a 5-year rolling average.*

(i) **EFFECT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Nothing in this section limits or restricts any right, title, or interest of the United States in or to any land or resource in the National Forest System.*

(2) **ALASKA.**—*The Secretary shall not establish or impose a fee or condition under this section for permits in the State of Alaska that is inconsistent with section 1303(d) of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act (16 U.S.C. 3193(d)).*

(j) **RETENTION OF FEES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Beginning 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary may retain, and expend, for the purposes described in paragraph (2), any fees collected under this section without further appropriation.*

(2) **USE.**—*Amounts made available under paragraph (1) shall be used to administer the recreational residence program and other recreation programs carried out on National Forest System land.*

(k) **REPEAL OF CABIN USER FEE FAIRNESS ACT OF 2000.**—*Effective on the date of the assessment of annual permit fees in accordance with subsection (f) (as certified to Congress by the Secretary), the Cabin User Fee Fairness Act of 2000 (16 U.S.C. 6201 et seq.) is repealed.*

Subtitle C—National Park System Units

SEC. 3030. ADDITION OF ASHLAND HARBOR BREAKWATER LIGHT TO THE APOSTLE ISLANDS NATIONAL SEASHORE.

Public Law 91-424 (16 U.S.C. 460w et seq.) is amended as follows:

(1) *In the first section as follows:*

(A) *In the matter preceding subsection (a)—*

(i) *by striking “islands and shoreline” and inserting “islands, shoreline, and light stations”; and*

(ii) *by inserting “historic,” after “scenic.”*

(B) *In subsection (a)—*

(i) *by striking “the area” and inserting “The area”; and*

(ii) *by striking “; and” and inserting a period.*

(C) *In subsection (b), by striking the final period.*

(D) *By inserting after “1985.” the following:*

“(c) **ASHLAND HARBOR BREAKWATER LIGHT.**—

“(1) The Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light generally depicted on the map titled ‘Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light Addition to Apostle Islands National Lakeshore’ and dated February 11, 2014, located at the end of the breakwater on Chequamegon Bay, Wisconsin.

“(2) Congress does not intend for the designation of the property under paragraph (1) to create a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the boundary of that property.”.

(2) In section 6 as follows:

(A) By striking “The lakeshore” and inserting:

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The lakeshore”.

(B) By inserting “this section and” before “the provisions of”.

(C) By adding after subsection (a) the following:

“(b) FEDERAL USE.—Notwithstanding subsection (c) of the first section—

“(1) the Secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating may operate, maintain, keep, locate, inspect, repair, and replace any Federal aid to navigation located at the Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light for as long as such aid is needed for navigational purposes; and

“(2) in carrying out the activities described in paragraph (1), such Secretary may enter, at any time, the Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light or any Federal aid to navigation at the Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light, for as long as such aid is needed for navigational purposes, without notice to the extent that it is not possible to provide advance notice.

“(c) CLARIFICATION OF AUTHORITY.—Pursuant to existing authorities, the Secretary may enter into agreements with the City of Ashland, County of Ashland, and County of Bayfield, Wisconsin, for the purpose of cooperative law enforcement and emergency services within the boundaries of the lakeshore.”.

SEC. 3031. BLACKSTONE RIVER VALLEY NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

(a) PURPOSE.—The purpose of this section is to establish the Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park—

(1) to help preserve, protect, and interpret the nationally significant resources that exemplify the industrial heritage of the Blackstone River Valley for the benefit and inspiration of future generations;

(2) to support the preservation, protection, and interpretation of the urban, rural, and agricultural landscape features (including the Blackstone River and Canal) of the region that provide an overarching context for the industrial heritage of the Blackstone River Valley;

(3) to educate the public about—

(A) the nationally significant sites and districts that convey the industrial history of the Blackstone River Valley; and

(B) the significance of the Blackstone River Valley to the past and present of the United States; and

(4) to support and enhance the network of partners in the protection, improvement, management, and operation of related resources and facilities throughout the John H. Chafee Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) NATIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR.—The term “National Heritage Corridor” means the John H. Chafee Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor.

(2) *PARK.*—The term “Park” means the Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park established by subsection (c)(1).

(3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) *STATES.*—The term “States” means—

(A) the State of Massachusetts; and

(B) the State of Rhode Island.

(c) *BLACKSTONE RIVER VALLEY NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.*—

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—There is established in the States a unit of the National Park System, to be known as the “Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park”.

(2) *HISTORIC SITES AND DISTRICTS.*—The Park shall include—

(A) Blackstone River State Park; and

(B) the following resources, as described in Management Option 3 of the study entitled “Blackstone River Valley Special Resource Study—Study Report 2011”:

(i) Old Slater Mill National Historic Landmark District.

(ii) Slatersville Historic District.

(iii) Ashton Historic District.

(iv) Whitinsville Historic District.

(v) Hopedale Village Historic District.

(vi) Blackstone River and the tributaries of Blackstone River.

(vii) Blackstone Canal.

(3) *ACQUISITION OF LAND; PARK BOUNDARY.*—

(A) *LAND ACQUISITION.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may acquire land or interests in land that are considered contributing historic resources in the historic sites and districts described in paragraph (2)(B) for inclusion in the Park boundary by donation, purchase from a willing seller with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange.

(ii) *NO CONDEMNATION.*—No land or interest in land may be acquired for the Park by condemnation.

(B) *PARK BOUNDARY.*—On a determination by the Secretary that a sufficient quantity of land or interests in land has been acquired to constitute a manageable park unit, the Secretary shall establish a boundary for the Park by publishing a boundary map in the Federal Register.

(C) *OTHER RESOURCES.*—The Secretary may include in the Park boundary any resources that are the subject of an agreement with the States or a subdivision of the States entered into under paragraph (4)(D).

(D) *BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.*—On the acquisition of additional land or interests in land under subparagraph (A), or on entering an agreement under subparagraph (C), the boundary of the Park shall be adjusted to reflect the acquisition or agreement by publishing a Park boundary map in the Federal Register.

(E) *AVAILABILITY OF MAP.*—The maps referred to in this paragraph shall be available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

(F) *ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES.*—*The Secretary may acquire not more than 10 acres in Woonsocket, Rhode Island for the development of administrative, curatorial, maintenance, or visitor facilities for the Park.*

(G) *LIMITATION.*—*Land owned by the States or a political subdivision of the States may be acquired under this paragraph only by donation.*

(4) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall administer land within the boundary of the Park in accordance with—*

(i) *this subsection; and*

(ii) *the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—*

(I) *the National Park Service Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and*

(II) *the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).*

(B) *GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this subsection, the Secretary shall prepare a general management plan for the Park—*

(I) *in consultation with the States and other interested parties; and*

(II) *in accordance with section 12(b) of the National Park System General Authorities Act (16 U.S.C. 1a–7(b)).*

(ii) *REQUIREMENTS.*—*The plan shall consider ways to use preexisting or planned visitor facilities and recreational opportunities developed in the National Heritage Corridor, including—*

(I) *the Blackstone Valley Visitor Center, Pawtucket, Rhode Island;*

(II) *the Captain Wilbur Kelly House, Blackstone River State Park, Lincoln, Rhode Island;*

(III) *the Museum of Work and Culture, Woonsocket, Rhode Island;*

(IV) *the River Bend Farm/Blackstone River and Canal Heritage State Park, Uxbridge, Massachusetts;*

(V) *the Worcester Blackstone Visitor Center, located at the former Washburn & Moen wire mill facility, Worcester, Massachusetts;*

(VI) *the Route 295 Visitor Center adjacent to Blackstone River State Park; and*

(VII) *the Blackstone River Bikeway.*

(C) *RELATED SITES.*—*The Secretary may provide technical assistance, visitor services, interpretive tours, and educational programs to sites and resources in the National Heritage Corridor that are located outside the boundary of the Park and associated with the purposes for which the Park is established.*

(D) *COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—To further the purposes of this subsection and notwithstanding chapter 63 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements with the States, political subdivisions of the States, nonprofit organizations (including the local coordinating entity for the National Heritage Corridor), and other interested parties—

(I) to provide technical assistance, interpretation, and educational programs in the historic sites and districts described in paragraph (2)(B); and

(II) subject to the availability of appropriations and clauses (ii) and (iii), to provide not more than 50 percent of the cost of any natural, historic, or cultural resource protection project in the Park that is consistent with the general management plan prepared under subparagraph (B).

(ii) *MATCHING REQUIREMENT.*—As a condition of the receipt of funds under clause (i)(II), the Secretary shall require that any Federal funds made available under a cooperative agreement entered into under this paragraph are to be matched on a 1-to-1 basis by non-Federal funds.

(iii) *REIMBURSEMENT.*—Any payment made by the Secretary under clause (i)(ii) shall be subject to an agreement that the conversion, use, or disposal of the project for purposes that are inconsistent with the purposes of this subsection, as determined by the Secretary, shall result in a right of the United States to reimbursement of the greater of—

(I) the amount provided by the Secretary to the project under clause (i)(II); or

(II) an amount equal to the increase in the value of the project that is attributable to the funds, as determined by the Secretary at the time of the conversion, use, or disposal.

(iv) *PUBLIC ACCESS.*—Any cooperative agreement entered into under this subparagraph shall provide for reasonable public access to the resources covered by the cooperative agreement.

(5) *DEDICATION; MEMORIAL.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Congress dedicates the Park to John H. Chafee, the former United States Senator from Rhode Island, in recognition of—

(i) the role of John H. Chafee in the preservation of the resources of the Blackstone River Valley and the heritage corridor that bears the name of John H. Chafee; and

(ii) the decades of the service of John H. Chafee to the people of Rhode Island and the United States.

(B) *MEMORIAL.*—The Secretary shall display a memorial at an appropriate location in the Park that recognizes the role of John H. Chafee in preserving the resources of the Blackstone River Valley for the people of the United States.

SEC. 3032. COLTSVILLE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **CITY.**—*The term “city” means the city of Hartford, Connecticut.*

(2) **COMMISSION.**—*The term “Commission” means the Coltsville National Historical Park Advisory Commission established by subsection (k)(1).*

(3) **HISTORIC DISTRICT.**—*The term “Historic District” means the Coltsville Historic District.*

(4) **MAP.**—*The term “map” means the map entitled “Coltsville National Historical Park—Proposed Boundary”, numbered T25/102087, and dated May 11, 2010.*

(5) **PARK.**—*The term “park” means the Coltsville National Historical Park in the State of Connecticut.*

(6) **SECRETARY.**—*The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.*

(7) **STATE.**—*The term “State” means the State of Connecticut.*

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Subject to paragraph (2), there is established in the State a unit of the National Park System to be known as the “Coltsville National Historical Park”.*

(2) **CONDITIONS FOR ESTABLISHMENT.**—*The park shall not be established until the date on which the Secretary determines that—*

(A) the Secretary has acquired by donation sufficient land or an interest in land within the boundary of the park to constitute a manageable unit;

(B) the State, city, or private property owner, as appropriate, has entered into a written agreement with the Secretary to donate at least 10,000 square feet of space in the East Armory which would include facilities for park administration and visitor services; and

(C) the Secretary has entered into a written agreement with the State, city, or other public entity, as appropriate, providing that land owned by the State, city, or other public entity within the Coltsville Historic District shall be managed consistent with this section.

(3) **NOTICE.**—*Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary makes a determination under paragraph (2), the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register notice of the establishment of the park.*

(c) **BOUNDARIES.**—*The park shall include and provide appropriate interpretation and viewing of the following sites, as generally depicted on the map:*

(1) The East Armory.

(2) The Church of the Good Shepherd.

(3) The Caldwell/Colt Memorial Parish House.

(4) Colt Park.

(5) The Potsdam Cottages.

(6) Armsmear.

(7) The James Colt House.

(d) **AVAILABILITY OF MAP.**—*The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in appropriate offices of the National Park Service.*

(e) *COLLECTIONS.*—The Secretary may enter into a written agreement with the State of Connecticut State Library, Wadsworth Athenaeum, and the Colt Trust, or other public entities, as appropriate, to gain appropriate access to Colt-related artifacts for the purposes of having items routinely on display in the East Armory or within other areas of the park to enhance the visitor experience.

(f) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall administer the park in accordance with—

(A) this section; and

(B) the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—

(i) the National Park Service Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.*); and

(ii) the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 *et seq.*).

(2) *STATE AND LOCAL JURISDICTION.*—Nothing in this section enlarges, diminishes, or modifies any authority of the State, or any political subdivision of the State (including the city)—

(A) to exercise civil and criminal jurisdiction; or

(B) to carry out State laws (including regulations) and rules on non-Federal land located within the boundary of the park.

(g) *COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—As the Secretary determines to be appropriate to carry out this section, the Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements to carry out this section, under which the Secretary may identify, interpret, restore, rehabilitate, and provide technical assistance for the preservation of nationally significant properties within the boundary of the park.

(2) *RIGHT OF ACCESS.*—A cooperative agreement entered into under paragraph (1) shall provide that the Secretary, acting through the Director of the National Park Service, shall have the right of access at all reasonable times to all public portions of the property covered by the agreement for the purposes of—

(A) conducting visitors through the properties; and

(B) interpreting the properties for the public.

(3) *CHANGES OR ALTERATIONS.*—No changes or alterations shall be made to any properties covered by a cooperative agreement entered into under paragraph (1) unless the Secretary and the other party to the agreement agree to the changes or alterations.

(4) *CONVERSION, USE, OR DISPOSAL.*—Any payment by the Secretary under this subsection shall be subject to an agreement that the conversion, use, or disposal of a project for purposes contrary to the purposes of this section, as determined by the Secretary, shall entitle the United States to reimbursement in an amount equal to the greater of—

(A) the amounts made available to the project by the United States; or

(B) the portion of the increased value of the project attributable to the amounts made available under this subsection, as determined at the time of the conversion, use, or disposal.

(5) *MATCHING FUNDS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—As a condition of the receipt of funds under this subsection, the Secretary shall require that any Federal funds made available under a cooperative agreement shall be matched on a 1-to-1 basis by non-Federal funds.

(B) *FORM.*—With the approval of the Secretary, the non-Federal share required under subparagraph (A) may be in the form of donated property, goods, or services from a non-Federal source, fairly valued.

(h) *ACQUISITION OF LAND.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary is authorized to acquire land and interests in land by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange, except that land or interests in land owned by the State or any political subdivision of the State may be acquired only by donation.

(2) *NO CONDEMNATION.*—The Secretary may not acquire any land or interest in land for the purposes of this section by condemnation.

(i) *TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND PUBLIC INTERPRETATION.*—The Secretary may provide technical assistance and public interpretation of related historic and cultural resources within the boundary of the historic district.

(j) *MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 fiscal years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this section, the Secretary, in consultation with the Commission, shall complete a management plan for the park in accordance with—

(A) section 12(b) of Public Law 91-383 (commonly known as the “National Park Service General Authorities Act”) (16 U.S.C. 1a-7(b)); and

(B) other applicable laws.

(2) *COST SHARE.*—The management plan shall include provisions that identify costs to be shared by the Federal Government, the State, and the city, and other public or private entities or individuals for necessary capital improvements to, and maintenance and operations of, the park.

(3) *SUBMISSION TO CONGRESS.*—On completion of the management plan, the Secretary shall submit the management plan to—

(A) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives; and

(B) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate.

(k) *COLTSVILLE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK ADVISORY COMMISSION.*—

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—There is established a Commission to be known as the “Coltsville National Historical Park Advisory Commission”.

(2) *DUTY.*—The Commission shall advise the Secretary in the development and implementation of the management plan.

(3) *MEMBERSHIP.*—

(A) *COMPOSITION.*—The Commission shall be composed of 11 members, to be appointed by the Secretary, of whom—

(i) 2 members shall be appointed after consideration of recommendations submitted by the Governor of the State;

(ii) 1 member shall be appointed after consideration of recommendations submitted by the State Senate President;

(iii) 1 member shall be appointed after consideration of recommendations submitted by the Speaker of the State House of Representatives;

(iv) 2 members shall be appointed after consideration of recommendations submitted by the Mayor of Hartford, Connecticut;

(v) 2 members shall be appointed after consideration of recommendations submitted by Connecticut's 2 United States Senators;

(vi) 1 member shall be appointed after consideration of recommendations submitted by Connecticut's First Congressional District Representative;

(vii) 2 members shall have experience with national parks and historic preservation;

(viii) all appointments must have significant experience with and knowledge of the Coltsville Historic District; and

(ix) 1 member of the Commission must live in the Sheldon/Charter Oak neighborhood within the Coltsville Historic District.

(B) INITIAL APPOINTMENTS.—The Secretary shall appoint the initial members of the Commission not later than the earlier of—

(i) the date that is 30 days after the date on which the Secretary has received all of the recommendations for appointments under subparagraph (A); or

(ii) the date that is 30 days after the park is established.

(4) TERM; VACANCIES.—

(A) TERM.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—A member shall be appointed for a term of 3 years.

(ii) REAPPOINTMENT.—A member may be reappointed for not more than 1 additional term.

(B) VACANCIES.—A vacancy on the Commission shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment was made.

(5) MEETINGS.—The Commission shall meet at the call of—

(A) the Chairperson; or

(B) a majority of the members of the Commission.

(6) QUORUM.—A majority of the Commission shall constitute a quorum.

(7) CHAIRPERSON AND VICE CHAIRPERSON.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall select a Chairperson and Vice Chairperson from among the members of the Commission.

(B) VICE CHAIRPERSON.—The Vice Chairperson shall serve as Chairperson in the absence of the Chairperson.

- (C) *TERM.*—A member may serve as Chairperson or Vice Chairperson for not more than 1 year in each office.
- (8) *COMMISSION PERSONNEL MATTERS.*—
- (A) *COMPENSATION OF MEMBERS.*—
- (i) *IN GENERAL.*—Members of the Commission shall serve without compensation.
- (ii) *TRAVEL EXPENSES.*—Members of the Commission shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, at rates authorized for an employee of an agency under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code, while away from the home or regular place of business of the member in the performance of the duty of the Commission.
- (B) *STAFF.*—
- (i) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall provide the Commission with any staff members and technical assistance that the Secretary, after consultation with the Commission, determines to be appropriate to enable the Commission to carry out the duty of the Commission.
- (ii) *DETAIL OF EMPLOYEES.*—The Secretary may accept the services of personnel detailed from the State or any political subdivision of the State.
- (9) *FACA NONAPPLICABILITY.*—Section 14(b) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.) shall not apply to the Commission.
- (10) *TERMINATION.*—
- (A) *IN GENERAL.*—Unless extended under subparagraph (B), the Commission shall terminate on the date that is 10 years after the date of the enactment of this Act.
- (B) *EXTENSION.*—
- (i) *RECOMMENDATION.*—Eight years after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Commission shall make a recommendation to the Secretary if a body of its nature is still necessary to advise on the development of the park.
- (ii) *TERM OF EXTENSION.*—If, based on a recommendation under clause (i), the Secretary determines that the Commission is still necessary, the Secretary may extend the life of the Commission for not more than 10 years.

SEC. 3033. FIRST STATE NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

- (a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:
- (1) *HISTORICAL PARK.*—The term “historical park” means the First State National Historical Park.
- (2) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map with pages numbered 1–6 entitled “First State National Historical Park, New Castle, Kent, Sussex Counties, DE and Delaware County, PA, Proposed Boundary”, numbered T19/80,000G, and dated October 2014.
- (3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.
- (b) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—
- (1) *REDESIGNATION OF FIRST STATE NATIONAL MONUMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The First State National Monument is redesignated as the First State National Historical Park, as generally depicted on the map.*

(B) *AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.*—*Any funds available for purposes of the First State National Monument shall be available for purposes of the historical park.*

(C) *REFERENCES.*—*Any references in a law, regulation, document, record, map, or other paper of the United States to the First State National Monument shall be considered to be a reference to the historical park.*

(2) *PURPOSES.*—*The purposes of the historical park are to preserve, protect, and interpret the nationally significant cultural and historic resources that are associated with—*

(A) *early Dutch, Swedish, and English settlement of the Colony of Delaware and portions of the Colony of Pennsylvania; and*

(B) *the role of Delaware—*

(i) *in the birth of the United States; and*

(ii) *as the first State to ratify the Constitution.*

(3) *INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL HISTORIC SITES.*—*In addition to sites included in the historical park (as redesignated by paragraph (1)(A)) as of the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary may include the following sites within the boundary of the historical park, as generally depicted on the map:*

(A) *Fort Christina National Historic Landmark in New Castle County, Delaware, as depicted on page 3 of 6 of the map.*

(B) *Old Swedes Church National Historic Landmark in New Castle County, Delaware, as depicted on page 3 of 6 of the map.*

(C) *John Dickinson Plantation National Historic Landmark in Kent County, Delaware, as depicted on page 5 of 6 of the map.*

(D) *Ryves Holt House in Sussex County, Delaware, as depicted on page 6 of 6 of the map.*

(c) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall administer the historical park in accordance with—*

(A) *this section; and*

(B) *the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—*

(i) *the National Park System Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and*

(ii) *the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).*

(2) *LAND ACQUISITION.*—

(A) *METHODS.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*Except as provided in clause (ii), the Secretary may acquire all or a portion of any of the sites described in subsection (b)(3), including easements or other interests in land, by purchase from a willing seller, donation, or exchange.*

(ii) *DONATION ONLY.*—*The Secretary may acquire only by donation all or a portion of the property identi-*

ified as "Area for Potential Addition by Donation" on page 2 of 6 of the map.

(iii) *LIMITATION.*—No land or interest land may be acquired for inclusion in the historical park by condemnation.

(B) *BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.*—On acquisition of land or an interest in land under subparagraph (A), the boundary of the historical park shall be adjusted to reflect the acquisition.

(3) *INTERPRETIVE TOURS.*—The Secretary may provide interpretive tours to sites and resources in the State that are located outside the boundary of the historical park and associated with the purposes for which the historical park is established, including—

- (A) Fort Casimir;
- (B) DeVries Monument;
- (C) Amstel House;
- (D) Dutch House; and
- (E) Zwaanendael Museum.

(4) *COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may enter into a cooperative agreement with the State of Delaware, political subdivisions of the State of Delaware, institutions of higher education, nonprofit organizations, and individuals to mark, interpret, and restore nationally significant historic or cultural resources within the boundaries of the historical park, if the cooperative agreement provides for reasonable public access to the resources.

(B) *COST-SHARING REQUIREMENT.*—

(i) *FEDERAL SHARE.*—The Federal share of the total cost of any activity carried out under a cooperative agreement entered into under subparagraph (A) shall be not more than 50 percent.

(ii) *FORM OF NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—The non-Federal share may be in the form of in-kind contributions or goods or services fairly valued.

(5) *MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 fiscal years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this paragraph, the Secretary shall complete a management plan for the historical park.

(B) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—The management plan shall be prepared in accordance with section 12(b) of the National Park System General Authorities Act (16 U.S.C. 1a-7(b)) and other applicable laws.

(d) *NATIONAL LANDMARK STUDY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall complete a study assessing the historical significance of additional properties in the State of Delaware that are associated with the purposes of historical park.

(2) *REQUIREMENTS.*—The study prepared under paragraph (1) shall include an assessment of the potential for designating the additional properties as National Historic Landmarks.

(e) *OFFSET.*—Section 7302(f) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 469n(f)) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “, except that the amount authorized to be appropriated to carry out this section not appropriated as of the date of enactment of the First State National Historical Park Act shall be reduced by \$6,500,000”.

SEC. 3034. GETTYSBURG NATIONAL MILITARY PARK.

(a) *BOUNDARY REVISION.*—Section 1(b) of Public Law 101–377 (16 U.S.C. 430g–4(b)) is amended—

(1) by striking “include the” and insert “include—

“(1) the”;

(2) at the end of paragraph (1) (as designated by paragraph (1)), by striking the period and inserting “; and”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) the properties depicted as ‘Proposed Addition’ on the map entitled ‘Gettysburg National Military Park Proposed Boundary Addition’, numbered 305/80,045, and dated January, 2010 (2 sheets), including—

“(A) the property commonly known as the ‘Gettysburg Train Station’; and

“(B) the property located adjacent to Plum Run in Cumberland Township.”

(b) *ACQUISITION OF LAND.*—Section 2(a) of Public Law 101–377 (16 U.S.C. 430g–5(a)) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence, by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) *AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE LAND.*—The Secretary”;

(2) in the second sentence, by striking “In acquiring” and inserting the following:

“(2) *MINIMUM FEDERAL INTERESTS.*—In acquiring”; and

(3) by adding at the end the following:

“(3) *METHOD OF ACQUISITION FOR CERTAIN LAND.*—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary may acquire the properties added to the park by section 1(b)(2) only by donation.”

SEC. 3035. HARRIET TUBMAN UNDERGROUND RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK, MARYLAND.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *HISTORICAL PARK.*—The term “historical park” means the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park established by subsection (b)(1)(A).

(2) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park, Proposed Boundary and Authorized Acquisition Areas”, numbered T20/80,001A, and dated March 2014.

(3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) *STATE.*—The term “State” means the State of Maryland.

(b) *HARRIET TUBMAN UNDERGROUND RAILROAD NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.*—

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—There is established as a unit of the National Park System the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park in the State, consisting

of the area depicted on the map as “Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park Boundary”.

(B) BOUNDARY.—The boundary of the historical park shall consist of—

(i) the land described in subparagraph (A); and

(ii) any land and interests in land acquired under paragraph (3).

(C) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

(2) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the historical park is to preserve and interpret for the benefit of present and future generations the historical, cultural, and natural resources associated with the life of Harriet Tubman and the Underground Railroad.

(3) LAND ACQUISITION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may acquire land and interests in land within the areas depicted on the map as “Authorized Acquisition Areas for the National Historical Park” only by purchase from willing sellers, donation, or exchange.

(B) LIMITATION.—The Secretary may not acquire land or an interest in land for purposes of this section by condemnation.

(C) BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.—On acquisition of land or an interest in land under subparagraph (A), the boundary of the historical park shall be adjusted to reflect the acquisition.

(c) ADMINISTRATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall administer the historical park and the portion of the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Monument administered by the National Park Service as a single unit of the National Park System, which shall be known as the “Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park”.

(2) APPLICABLE LAW.—The Secretary shall administer the historical park in accordance with this section, Presidential Proclamation Number 8943 (78 Fed. Reg. 18763), and the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—

(A) the National Park System Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and

(B) the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).

(3) INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director of the National Park Service and the Director of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service shall enter into an agreement to allow the National Park Service to provide for archeological research and the public interpretation of historic resources located within the boundary of the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge that are associated with the life of Harriet Tubman, consistent with the management requirements of the Refuge.

(4) INTERPRETIVE TOURS.—The Secretary may provide interpretive tours to sites and resources located outside the boundary

of the historical park in Caroline, Dorchester, and Talbot Counties, Maryland, relating to the life of Harriet Tubman and the Underground Railroad.

(5) *LAND USES AND AGREEMENTS.*—Nothing in this section affects—

(A) land within the boundaries of the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge;

(B) agreements between the Secretary and private landowners regarding hunting, fishing, farming, or other activities; or

(C) land use rights of private property owners within or adjacent to the historical park or the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Monument, including activities or uses on private land that can be seen or heard within the historical park or the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Monument.

(6) *AGREEMENTS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may enter into an agreement with the State, political subdivisions of the State, colleges and universities, non-profit organizations, and individuals—

(i) to mark, interpret, and restore nationally significant historic or cultural resources relating to the life of Harriet Tubman or the Underground Railroad within the boundaries of the historical park, if the agreement provides for reasonable public access; or

(ii) to conduct research relating to the life of Harriet Tubman and the Underground Railroad.

(B) *VISITOR CENTER.*—The Secretary may enter into an agreement to design, construct, operate, and maintain a joint visitor center on land owned by the State—

(i) to provide for National Park Service visitor and interpretive facilities for the historical park; and

(ii) to provide to the Secretary, at no additional cost, sufficient office space to administer the historical park.

(C) *COST-SHARING REQUIREMENT.*—

(i) *FEDERAL SHARE.*—The Federal share of the total cost of any activity carried out under this paragraph shall not exceed 50 percent.

(ii) *FORM OF NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—The non-Federal share of the cost of carrying out an activity under this paragraph may be in the form of in-kind contributions or goods or services fairly valued.

(d) *GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall prepare a general management plan for the historical park in accordance with section 12(b) of the National Park Service General Authorities Act (16 U.S.C. 1a-7(b)).

(2) *CONSULTATION.*—The general management plan shall be prepared in consultation with the State (including political subdivisions of the State).

(3) *PUBLIC COMMENT.*—The Secretary shall—

(A) hold not less than 1 public meeting in the area of the historical park on the proposed general management plan, including opportunity for public comment; and

(B) publish the draft general management plan on the internet and provide an opportunity for public comment on the plan.

(4) **COORDINATION.**—The Secretary shall coordinate the preparation and implementation of the management plan with—

(A) the Blackwater National Wildlife Refuge;

(B) the Harriet Tubman National Historical Park established by section 3(b)(1)(A); and

(C) the National Underground Railroad Network to Freedom.

SEC. 3036. HARRIET TUBMAN NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK, AUBURN, NEW YORK.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **HISTORICAL PARK.**—The term “historical park” means the Harriet Tubman National Historical Park established by subsection (b)(1)(A).

(2) **HOME.**—The term “Home” means The Harriet Tubman Home, Inc., located in Auburn, New York.

(3) **MAP.**—The term “map” means the map entitled “Harriet Tubman National Historical Park”, numbered T18/80,000, and dated March 2009.

(4) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(5) **STATE.**—The term “State” means the State of New York.

(b) **HARRIET TUBMAN NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.**—

(1) **ESTABLISHMENT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to subparagraph (B), there is established the Harriet Tubman National Historical Park in Auburn, New York, as a unit of the National Park System.

(B) **DETERMINATION BY SECRETARY.**—The historical park shall not be established until the date on which the Secretary determines that a sufficient quantity of land, or interests in land, has been acquired to constitute a manageable park unit.

(C) **NOTICE.**—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the Secretary makes a determination under subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register notice of the establishment of the historical park.

(D) **MAP.**—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

(2) **BOUNDARY.**—The historical park shall include the Harriet Tubman Home, the Tubman Home for the Aged, the Thompson Memorial AME Zion Church and Rectory, and associated land, as identified in the area entitled “National Historical Park Proposed Boundary” on the map.

(3) **PURPOSE.**—The purpose of the historical park is to preserve and interpret for the benefit of present and future generations the historical, cultural, and natural resources associated with the life of Harriet Tubman.

(4) *LAND ACQUISITION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may acquire land and interests in land within the areas depicted on the map by purchase from a willing seller, donation, or exchange.*

(B) *NO CONDEMNATION.*—*No land or interest in land within the areas depicted on the map may be acquired by condemnation.*

(c) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall administer the historical park in accordance with this section and the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—*

(A) *the National Park System Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and*

(B) *the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).*

(2) *INTERPRETIVE TOURS.*—*The Secretary may provide interpretive tours to sites and resources located outside the boundary of the historical park in Auburn, New York, relating to the life of Harriet Tubman.*

(3) *AGREEMENTS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may enter into an agreement with the owner of any land within the historical park to mark, interpret, or restore nationally significant historic or cultural resources relating to the life of Harriet Tubman, if the agreement provides that—*

(i) *the Secretary shall have the right of access to any public portions of the land covered by the agreement to allow for—*

(I) *access at reasonable times by historical park visitors to the land; and*

(II) *interpretation of the land for the public; and*

(ii) *no changes or alterations shall be made to the land except by mutual agreement of the Secretary and the owner of the land.*

(B) *RESEARCH.*—*The Secretary may enter into an agreement with the State, political subdivisions of the State, institutions of higher education, the Home and other non-profit organizations, and individuals to conduct research relating to the life of Harriet Tubman.*

(C) *COST-SHARING REQUIREMENT.*—

(i) *FEDERAL SHARE.*—*The Federal share of the total cost of any activity carried out under this paragraph shall not exceed 50 percent.*

(ii) *FORM OF NON-FEDERAL SHARE.*—*The non-Federal share may be in the form of in-kind contributions or goods or services fairly valued.*

(D) *ATTORNEY GENERAL.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall submit to the Attorney General for review any agreement under this paragraph involving religious property or property owned by a religious institution.*

(ii) *FINDING.*—*No agreement subject to review under this subparagraph shall take effect until the date on which the Attorney General issues a finding that the*

proposed agreement does not violate the Establishment Clause of the first amendment to the Constitution.

(d) **GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this section, the Secretary shall prepare a general management plan for the historical park in accordance with section 12(b) of the National Park Service General Authorities Act (16 U.S.C. 1a-7(b)).*

(2) **COORDINATION.**—*The Secretary shall coordinate the preparation and implementation of the management plan with—*

(A) *the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park established by section 2(b)(1); and*

(B) *the National Underground Railroad Network to Freedom.*

(e) **OFFSET.**—*Section 101(b)(12) of the Water Resources Development Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-303; 110 Stat. 3667) is amended by striking “\$53,852,000” and inserting “\$29,852,000”.*

SEC. 3037. HINCHLIFFE STADIUM ADDITION TO PATERSON GREAT FALLS NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

(a) **PATERSON GREAT FALLS NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.**—*Section 7001 of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 410lll) is amended as follows:*

(1) *In subsection (b)(3)—*

(A) *by striking “The Park shall” and inserting “(A) The Park shall”;*

(B) *by redesignating subparagraphs (A) through (G) as clauses (i) through (vii), respectively; and*

(C) *by adding at the end the following:*

“(B) In addition to the lands described in subparagraph (A), the Park shall include the approximately 6 acres of land containing Hinchliffe Stadium and generally depicted as the ‘Boundary Modification Area’ on the map entitled ‘Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park, Proposed Boundary Modification’, numbered T03/120,155, and dated April 2014, which shall be administered as part of the Park in accordance with subsection (c)(1) and section 3 of the Hinchliffe Stadium Heritage Act.”

(2) *In subsection (b)(4), by striking “The Map” and inserting “The Map and the map referred to in paragraph (3)(B)”.*

(3) *In subsection (c)(4)—*

(A) *in subparagraph (A), by striking “The Secretary” and inserting “Except as provided in subparagraphs (B) and (C), the Secretary”;* and

(B) *by inserting after subparagraph (B) the following:*

“(C) HINCHLIFFE STADIUM.—The Secretary may not acquire fee title to Hinchliffe Stadium, but may acquire a preservation easement in Hinchliffe Stadium if the Secretary determines that doing so will facilitate resource protection of the stadium.”

(b) **ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS FOR HINCHLIFFE STADIUM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*In administering the approximately 6 acres of land containing Hinchliffe Stadium and generally depicted as the “Boundary Modification Area” on the map entitled “Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park, Proposed*

Boundary Modification”, numbered T03/120,155, and dated April 2014, the Secretary of the Interior—

(A) may not include non-Federal property within the approximately 6 acres of land as part of Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park without the written consent of the owner;

(B) may not acquire by condemnation any land or interests in land within the approximately 6 acres of land; and

(C) shall not construe the inclusion of Hinchliffe Stadium made by this section to create buffer zones outside the boundaries of the Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.

(2) *OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that activities can be seen or heard from within the approximately 6 acres of land described in paragraph (1) shall not preclude such activities outside the boundary of the Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.

SEC. 3038. LOWER EAST SIDE TENEMENT NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE.

Public Law 105–378 is amended—

(1) in section 101(a)—

(A) in paragraph (4), by striking “the Lower East Side Tenement at 97 Orchard Street in New York City is an outstanding survivor” and inserting “the Lower East Side Tenements at 97 and 103 Orchard Street in New York City are outstanding survivors”; and

(B) in paragraph (5), by striking “the Lower East Side Tenement is” and inserting “the Lower East Side Tenements are”;

(2) in section 102—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “Lower East Side Tenement found at 97 Orchard Street” and inserting “Lower East Side Tenements found at 97 and 103 Orchard Street”; and

(B) in paragraph (2), by striking “which owns and operates the tenement building at 97 Orchard Street” and inserting “which owns and operates the tenement buildings at 97 and 103 Orchard Street”;

(3) in section 103(a), by striking “the Lower East Side Tenement at 97 Orchard Street, in the City of New York, State of New York, is designated” and inserting “the Lower East Side Tenements at 97 and 103 Orchard Street, in the City of New York, State of New York, are designated”; and

(4) in section 104(d), by striking “the property at 97 Orchard Street” and inserting “the properties at 97 and 103 Orchard Street”.

SEC. 3039. MANHATTAN PROJECT NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

(a) *PURPOSES.*—The purposes of this section are—

(1) to preserve and protect for the benefit of present and future generations the nationally significant historic resources associated with the Manhattan Project;

(2) to improve public understanding of the Manhattan Project and the legacy of the Manhattan Project through interpretation of the historic resources associated with the Manhattan Project;

(3) to enhance public access to the Historical Park consistent with protection of public safety, national security, and other aspects of the mission of the Department of Energy; and

(4) to assist the Department of Energy, Historical Park communities, historical societies, and other interested organizations and individuals in efforts to preserve and protect the historically significant resources associated with the Manhattan Project.

(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) HISTORICAL PARK.—The term “Historical Park” means the Manhattan Project National Historical Park established under subsection (c).

(2) MANHATTAN PROJECT.—The term “Manhattan Project” means the Federal military program to develop an atomic bomb ending on December 31, 1946.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(c) ESTABLISHMENT OF MANHATTAN PROJECT NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.—

(1) ESTABLISHMENT.—

(A) DATE.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, there shall be established as a unit of the National Park System the Manhattan Project National Historical Park.

(B) AREAS INCLUDED.—The Historical Park shall consist of facilities and areas listed under paragraph (2) as determined by the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Energy. The Secretary shall include the area referred to in paragraph (2)(C)(i), the B Reactor National Historic Landmark, in the Historical Park.

(2) ELIGIBLE AREAS.—The Historical Park may only be comprised of one or more of the following areas, or portions of the areas, as generally depicted in the map titled “Manhattan Project National Historical Park Sites”, numbered 540/108,834-C, and dated September 2012:

(A) OAK RIDGE, TENNESSEE.—Facilities, land, or interests in land that are—

(i) Buildings 9204-3 and 9731 at the Department of Energy Y-12 National Security Complex;

(ii) the X-10 Graphite Reactor at the Department of Energy Oak Ridge National Laboratory;

(iii) the K-25 Building site at the Department of Energy East Tennessee Technology Park;

(iv) the former Guest House located at 210 East Madison Road; and

(v) at other sites in Oak Ridge, Tennessee, that are not depicted on the map but are determined by the Secretary to be suitable and appropriate for inclusion in the Historical Park, except that sites administered by the Secretary of Energy may be included only with the concurrence of the Secretary of Energy.

(B) LOS ALAMOS, NEW MEXICO.—Facilities, land, or interests in land that are—

(i) *within the Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory National Historic Landmark District, or any addition to the Landmark District proposed in the National Historic Landmark Nomination—Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL) NHL District (Working Draft of NHL Revision), Los Alamos National Laboratory document LA-UR 12-00387 (January 26, 2012);*

(ii) *the former East Cafeteria located at 1670 Nectar Street; and*

(iii) *the former dormitory located at 1725 17th Street.*

(C) *HANFORD, WASHINGTON.—Facilities, land, or interests in land on the Department of Energy Hanford Nuclear Reservation that are—*

(i) *the B Reactor National Historic Landmark;*

(ii) *the Hanford High School in the town of Hanford and Hanford Construction Camp Historic District;*

(iii) *the White Bluffs Bank building in the White Bluffs Historic District;*

(iv) *the warehouse at the Bruggemann's Agricultural Complex;*

(v) *the Hanford Irrigation District Pump House; and*

(vi) *the T Plant (221-T Process Building).*

(d) *AGREEMENT.—*

(1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary and the Secretary of Energy (acting through the Oak Ridge, Los Alamos, and Richland site offices) shall enter into an agreement governing the respective roles of the Secretary and the Secretary of Energy in administering the facilities, land, or interests in land under the administrative jurisdiction of the Department of Energy that is to be included in the Historical Park under subsection (c)(2), including provisions for enhanced public access, management, interpretation, and historic preservation.*

(2) *RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SECRETARY.—Any agreement under paragraph (1) shall provide that the Secretary shall—*

(A) *have decisionmaking authority for the content of historic interpretation of the Manhattan Project for purposes of administering the Historical Park; and*

(B) *ensure that the agreement provides an appropriate advisory role for the National Park Service in preserving the historic resources covered by the agreement.*

(3) *RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE SECRETARY OF ENERGY.—Any agreement under paragraph (1) shall provide that the Secretary of Energy—*

(A) *shall ensure that the agreement appropriately protects public safety, national security, and other aspects of the ongoing mission of the Department of Energy at the Oak Ridge Reservation, Los Alamos National Laboratory, and Hanford Site;*

(B) *may consult with and provide historical information to the Secretary concerning the Manhattan Project;*

(C) *shall retain responsibility, in accordance with applicable law, for any environmental remediation or activities relating to structural safety that may be necessary in or*

around the facilities, land, or interests in land governed by the agreement; and

(D) shall retain authority and legal obligations for historic preservation and general maintenance, including to ensure safe access, in connection with the Department's Manhattan Project resources.

(4) AMENDMENTS.—The agreement under paragraph (1) may be amended, including to add to the Historical Park facilities, land, or interests in land within the eligible areas described in subsection (c)(2) that are under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Energy.

(e) PUBLIC PARTICIPATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall consult with interested State, county, and local officials, organizations, and interested members of the public—

(A) before executing any agreement under subsection (d); and

(B) in the development of the general management plan under subsection (f)(2).

(2) NOTICE OF DETERMINATION.—Not later than 30 days after the date on which an agreement under subsection (d) is entered into, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register notice of the establishment of the Historical Park, including an official boundary map.

(3) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The official boundary map published under paragraph (2) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service. The map shall be updated to reflect any additions to the Historical Park from eligible areas described in subsection (c)(2).

(4) ADDITIONS.—Any land, interest in land, or facility within the eligible areas described in subsection (c)(2) that is acquired by the Secretary or included in an amendment to the agreement under subsection (d)(4) shall be added to the Historical Park.

(f) ADMINISTRATION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall administer the Historical Park in accordance with—

(A) this section; and

(B) the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—

(i) the National Park System Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and

(ii) the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).

(2) GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this subsection, the Secretary, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Energy, with respect to land administered by the Secretary of Energy, and in consultation and collaboration with the Oak Ridge, Los Alamos and Richland Department of Energy site offices, shall complete a general management plan for the Historical Park in accordance with section 12(b) of Public Law 91-383 (commonly known as the National Park Service General Authorities Act; 16 U.S.C. 1a-7(b)).

(3) *INTERPRETIVE TOURS.*—The Secretary may, subject to applicable law, provide interpretive tours of historically significant Manhattan Project sites and resources in the States of Tennessee, New Mexico, and Washington that are located outside the boundary of the Historical Park.

(4) *LAND ACQUISITION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may acquire land and interests in land within the eligible areas described in subsection (c)(2) by—

(i) transfer of administrative jurisdiction from the Department of Energy by agreement between the Secretary and the Secretary of Energy;

(ii) donation;

(iii) exchange; or

(iv) in the case of land and interests in land within the eligible areas described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of subsection (c)(2), purchase from a willing seller.

(B) *NO USE OF CONDEMNATION.*—The Secretary may not acquire by condemnation any land or interest in land under this section.

(C) *FACILITIES.*—The Secretary may acquire land or interests in land in the vicinity of the Historical Park for visitor and administrative facilities.

(5) *DONATIONS; COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—

(A) *FEDERAL FACILITIES.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may enter into one or more agreements with the head of a Federal agency to provide public access to, and management, interpretation, and historic preservation of, historically significant Manhattan Project resources under the jurisdiction or control of the Federal agency.

(ii) *DONATIONS; COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—The Secretary may accept donations from, and enter into cooperative agreements with, State governments, units of local government, tribal governments, organizations, or individuals to further the purpose of an interagency agreement entered into under clause (i) or to provide visitor services and administrative facilities within reasonable proximity to the Historical Park.

(B) *TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.*—The Secretary may provide technical assistance to State, local, or tribal governments, organizations, or individuals for the management, interpretation, and historic preservation of historically significant Manhattan Project resources not included within the Historical Park.

(C) *DONATIONS TO DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.*—For the purposes of this section, or for the purpose of preserving and providing access to historically significant Manhattan Project resources, the Secretary of Energy may accept, hold, administer, and use gifts, bequests, and devises (including labor and services).

(g) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in this section creates a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the boundary of the Historical Park.

(2) *ACTIVITIES OUTSIDE THE BOUNDARY OF THE HISTORICAL PARK.*—The fact that an activity or use on land outside the boundary of the Historical Park can be seen or heard from within the boundary shall not preclude the activity or use outside the boundary of the Historical Park.

(h) *NO CAUSE OF ACTION.*—Nothing in this section shall be construed to create a cause of action with respect to activities outside or adjacent to the established boundary of the Historical Park.

SEC. 3040. NORTH CASCADES NATIONAL PARK AND STEPHEN MATHER WILDERNESS.

Title II of the Washington Park Wilderness Act of 1988 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; Public Law 100-668) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 207. BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENTS FOR ROAD.

“(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may adjust the boundaries of the North Cascades National Park and the Stephen Mather Wilderness in order to provide a 100-foot-wide corridor along which the Stehekin Valley Road may be rebuilt—

“(1) outside of the floodplain between milepost 12.9 and milepost 22.8;

“(2) within the boundaries of the North Cascades National Park; and

“(3) outside of the boundaries of the Stephen Mather Wilderness.

“(b) *NO NET LOSS OF LANDS.*—The boundary adjustments made under this section shall be such that equal acreage amounts are exchanged between the Stephen Mather Wilderness and the North Cascades National Park, resulting in no net loss of acreage to either the Stephen Mather Wilderness or the North Cascades National Park.”.

SEC. 3041. OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT AND PRESERVE.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *MAP.*—The term “map” means the map entitled “Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve”, numbered 150/80,023, and dated May 2010.

(2) *MONUMENT.*—The term “Monument” means the Oregon Caves National Monument established by Presidential Proclamation Number 876 (36 Stat. 2497), dated July 12, 1909.

(3) *NATIONAL MONUMENT AND PRESERVE.*—The term “National Monument and Preserve” means the Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve designated by subsection (b)(1)(A).

(4) *NATIONAL PRESERVE.*—The term “National Preserve” means the National Preserve designated by subsection (b)(1)(B).

(5) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(6) *SECRETARY CONCERNED.*—The term “Secretary concerned” means—

- (A) *the Secretary of Agriculture (acting through the Chief of the Forest Service), with respect to National Forest System land; and*
- (B) *the Secretary of the Interior, with respect to land managed by the Bureau of Land Management.*
- (7) *STATE.—The term “State” means the State of Oregon.*
- (b) *DESIGNATIONS; LAND TRANSFER; BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.—*
- (1) *DESIGNATIONS.—*
- (A) *IN GENERAL.—The Monument and the National Preserve shall be administered as a single unit of the National Park System and collectively known and designated as the “Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve”.*
- (B) *NATIONAL PRESERVE.—The approximately 4,070 acres of land identified on the map as “Proposed Addition Lands” shall be designated as a National Preserve.*
- (2) *TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.—*
- (A) *IN GENERAL.—Administrative jurisdiction over the land designated as a National Preserve under paragraph (1)(B) is transferred from the Secretary of Agriculture to the Secretary, to be administered as part of the National Monument and Preserve.*
- (B) *EXCLUSION OF LAND.—The boundaries of the Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest are adjusted to exclude the land transferred under subparagraph (A).*
- (3) *BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.—The boundary of the National Monument and Preserve is modified to exclude approximately 4 acres of land—*
- (A) *located in the City of Cave Junction; and*
- (B) *identified on the map as the “Cave Junction Unit”.*
- (4) *AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The map shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service.*
- (5) *REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Monument shall be considered to be a reference to the “Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve”.*
- (c) *ADMINISTRATION.—*
- (1) *IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall administer the National Monument and Preserve in accordance with—*
- (A) *this section;*
- (B) *Presidential Proclamation Number 876 (36 Stat. 2497), dated July 12, 1909; and*
- (C) *any law (including regulations) generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including the National Park Service Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.).*
- (2) *FIRE MANAGEMENT.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, in accordance with paragraph (1), the Secretary shall—*
- (A) *revise the fire management plan for the Monument to include the land transferred under subsection (b)(2)(A); and*
- (B) *in accordance with the revised plan, carry out hazardous fuel management activities within the boundaries of the National Monument and Preserve.*
- (3) *EXISTING FOREST SERVICE CONTRACTS.—*

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall—*

(i) *allow for the completion of any Forest Service stewardship or service contract executed as of the date of enactment of this Act with respect to the National Preserve; and*

(ii) *recognize the authority of the Secretary of Agriculture for the purpose of administering a contract described in clause (i) through the completion of the contract.*

(B) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—*All terms and conditions of a contract described in subparagraph (A)(i) shall remain in place for the duration of the contract.*

(C) *LIABILITY.*—*The Forest Service shall be responsible for any liabilities relating to a contract described in subparagraph (A)(i).*

(4) *GRAZING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*Subject to subparagraph (B), the Secretary may allow the grazing of livestock within the National Preserve to continue as authorized under permits or leases in existence as of the date of enactment of this Act.*

(B) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—*Grazing under subparagraph (A) shall be—*

(i) *at a level not greater than the level at which the grazing exists as of the date of enactment of this Act, as measured in Animal Unit Months; and*

(ii) *in accordance with each applicable law (including National Park Service regulations).*

(5) *FISH AND WILDLIFE.*—*The Secretary shall permit hunting and fishing on land and waters within the National Preserve in accordance with applicable Federal and State laws, except that the Secretary may, in consultation with the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, designate zones in which, and establish periods during which, no hunting or fishing shall be permitted for reasons of public safety, administration, or compliance by the Secretary with any applicable law (including regulations).*

(d) *VOLUNTARY GRAZING LEASE OR PERMIT DONATION PROGRAM.*—

(1) *DONATION OF LEASE OR PERMIT.*—

(A) *ACCEPTANCE BY SECRETARY CONCERNED.*—*The Secretary concerned shall accept a grazing lease or permit that is donated by a lessee or permittee for—*

(i) *the Big Grayback Grazing Allotment located in the Rogue River-Siskiyou National Forest; and*

(ii) *the Billy Mountain Grazing Allotment located on a parcel of land that is managed by the Secretary (acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management).*

(B) *TERMINATION.*—*With respect to each grazing permit or lease donated under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall—*

(i) *terminate the grazing permit or lease; and*

(ii) *ensure a permanent end to grazing on the land covered by the grazing permit or lease.*

(2) *EFFECT OF DONATION.*—A lessee or permittee that donates a grazing lease or grazing permit (or a portion of a grazing lease or grazing permit) under this section shall be considered to have waived any claim to any range improvement on the associated grazing allotment or portion of the associated grazing allotment, as applicable.

(e) *WILD AND SCENIC RIVER DESIGNATIONS.*—

(1) *DESIGNATION.*—Section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(208) *RIVER STYX, OREGON.*—The subterranean segment of Cave Creek, known as the River Styx, to be administered by the Secretary of the Interior as a scenic river.”.

(2) *POTENTIAL ADDITIONS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 5(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1276(a)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(141) *OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT AND PRESERVE, OREGON.*—

“(A) *CAVE CREEK, OREGON.*—The 2.6-mile segment of Cave Creek from the headwaters at the River Styx to the boundary of the Rogue River Siskiyou National Forest.

“(B) *LAKE CREEK, OREGON.*—The 3.6-mile segment of Lake Creek from the headwaters at Bigelow Lakes to the confluence with Cave Creek.

“(C) *NO NAME CREEK, OREGON.*—The 0.6-mile segment of No Name Creek from the headwaters to the confluence with Cave Creek.

“(D) *PANTHER CREEK.*—The 0.8-mile segment of Panther Creek from the headwaters to the confluence with Lake Creek.

“(E) *UPPER CAVE CREEK.*—The segment of Upper Cave Creek from the headwaters to the confluence with River Styx.”.

(B) *STUDY; REPORT.*—Section 5(b) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1276(b)) is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(20) *OREGON CAVES NATIONAL MONUMENT AND PRESERVE, OREGON.*—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this paragraph, the Secretary shall—

“(A) complete the study of the Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve segments described in subsection (a)(141); and

“(B) submit to Congress a report containing the results of the study.”.

SEC. 3042. SAN ANTONIO MISSIONS NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

Section 201 of Public Law 95-629 (16 U.S.C. 410ee) is amended—

(1) by striking “SEC. 201. (a) In order” and inserting the following:

“SEC. 201. SAN ANTONIO MISSIONS NATIONAL HISTORICAL PARK.

“(a) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In order”; and

(2) in subsection (a)—

(A) in the second sentence, by striking “The park shall also” and inserting the following:

“(2) ADDITIONAL LAND.—The park shall also”;

(B) in the third sentence, by striking “After advising the” and inserting the following:

“(4) REVISIONS.—After advising the”; and

(C) by inserting after paragraph (2) (as designated by subparagraph (A)) the following:

“(3) BOUNDARY MODIFICATION.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—The boundary of the park is modified to include approximately 137 acres, as depicted on the map entitled ‘San Antonio Missions National Historical Park Proposed Boundary Addition’, numbered 472/113,006A, and dated June 2012.

“(B) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The map described in subparagraph (A) shall be on file and available for inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

“(C) ACQUISITION OF LAND.—The Secretary of the Interior may acquire the land or any interest in the land described in subparagraph (A) only by donation or exchange.”.

SEC. 3043. VALLES CALDERA NATIONAL PRESERVE, NEW MEXICO.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEE.—The term “eligible employee” means a person who was a full-time or part-time employee of the Trust during the 180-day period immediately preceding the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) FUND.—The term “Fund” means the Valles Caldera Fund established by section 106(h)(2) of the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v-4(h)(2)).

(3) PRESERVE.—The term “Preserve” means the Valles Caldera National Preserve in the State.

(4) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(5) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of New Mexico.

(6) TRUST.—The term “Trust” means the Valles Caldera Trust established by section 106(a) of the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v-4(a)).

(b) DESIGNATION OF VALLES CALDERA NATIONAL PRESERVE AS A UNIT OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—To protect, preserve, and restore the fish, wildlife, watershed, natural, scientific, scenic, geologic, historic, cultural, archaeological, and recreational values of the area, the Valles Caldera National Preserve is designated as a unit of the National Park System.

(2) BOUNDARY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The boundary of the Preserve shall consist of approximately 89,900 acres of land as depicted on the map entitled “Valles Caldera National Preserve Proposed Boundary”, numbered P80/102,036C, and dated November 4, 2014.

(B) AVAILABILITY OF MAP.—The map described in subparagraph (A) shall be on file and available for public inspection in appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

(3) *MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—*The Secretary shall administer the Preserve in accordance with—*

- (i) *this section; and*
- (ii) *the laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System, including—*
 - (I) *the National Park Service Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.); and*
 - (II) *the Act of August 21, 1935 (16 U.S.C. 461 et seq.).*

(B) *MANAGEMENT COORDINATION.*—*The Secretary may coordinate the management and operations of the Preserve with the Bandelier National Monument.*

(C) *MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*Not later than 3 fiscal years after the date on which funds are made available to implement this subparagraph, the Secretary shall prepare a management plan for the Preserve.*

(ii) *APPLICABLE LAW.*—*The management plan shall be prepared in accordance with—*

- (I) *section 12(b) of Public Law 91–383 (commonly known as the “National Park Service General Authorities Act”) (16 U.S.C. 1a–7(b)); and*
- (II) *any other applicable laws.*

(iii) *CONSULTATION.*—*The management plan shall be prepared in consultation with—*

- (I) *the Secretary of Agriculture;*
- (II) *State and local governments;*
- (III) *Indian tribes and pueblos, including the Pueblos of Jemez, Santa Clara, and San Ildefonso; and*
- (IV) *the public.*

(4) *ACQUISITION OF LAND.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary may acquire land and interests in land within the boundaries of the Preserve by—*

- (i) *purchase from a willing seller with donated or appropriated funds; or*
- (ii) *donation.*

(B) *PROHIBITION OF CONDEMNATION.*—*No land or interest in land within the boundaries of the Preserve may be acquired by condemnation.*

(C) *ADMINISTRATION OF ACQUIRED LAND.*—*On acquisition of any land or interests in land under subparagraph (A), the acquired land or interests in land shall be administered as part of the Preserve.*

(5) *SCIENCE AND EDUCATION PROGRAM.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Secretary shall—*

(i) *until the date on which a management plan is completed in accordance with paragraph (3)(C), carry out the science and education program for the Preserve established by the Trust; and*

(ii) *beginning on the date on which a management plan is completed in accordance with paragraph (3)(C),*

establish a science and education program for the Preserve that—

(I) allows for research and interpretation of the natural, historic, cultural, geologic and other scientific features of the Preserve;

(II) provides for improved methods of ecological restoration and science-based adaptive management of the Preserve; and

(III) promotes outdoor educational experiences in the Preserve.

(B) SCIENCE AND EDUCATION CENTER.—As part of the program established under subparagraph (A)(ii), the Secretary may establish a science and education center outside the boundaries of the Preserve in Jemez Springs, New Mexico.

(6) GRAZING.—The Secretary shall allow the grazing of livestock within the Preserve to continue—

(A) at levels and locations determined by the Secretary to be appropriate, consistent with this section; and

(B) to the extent the use furthers scientific research or interpretation of the ranching history of the Preserve.

(7) HUNTING, FISHING, AND TRAPPING.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall permit hunting, fishing, and trapping on land and water within the Preserve in accordance with applicable Federal and State law.

(B) ADMINISTRATIVE EXCEPTIONS.—The Secretary may designate areas in which, and establish limited periods during which, no hunting, fishing, or trapping shall be permitted under subparagraph (A) for reasons of public safety, administration, or compliance with applicable law.

(C) AGENCY AGREEMENT.—Except in an emergency, regulations closing areas within the Preserve to hunting, fishing, or trapping under this paragraph shall be made in consultation with the appropriate agency of the State having responsibility for fish and wildlife administration.

(D) SAVINGS CLAUSE.—Nothing in this section affects any jurisdiction or responsibility of the State with respect to fish and wildlife in the Preserve.

(8) ECOLOGICAL RESTORATION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall undertake activities to improve the health of forest, grassland, and riparian areas within the Preserve, including any activities carried out in accordance with title IV of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (16 U.S.C. 7301 et seq.).

(B) AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary may enter into agreements with adjacent pueblos to coordinate activities carried out under subparagraph (A) on the Preserve and adjacent pueblo land.

(9) WITHDRAWAL.—Subject to valid existing rights, all land and interests in land within the boundaries of the Preserve are withdrawn from—

(A) entry, disposal, or appropriation under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and
 (C) operation of the mineral leasing laws, geothermal leasing laws, and mineral materials laws.

(10) VOLCANIC DOMES AND OTHER PEAKS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subparagraph (C), for the purposes of preserving the natural, cultural, religious, archaeological, and historic resources of the volcanic domes and other peaks in the Preserve described in subparagraph (B) within the area of the domes and peaks above 9,600 feet in elevation or 250 feet below the top of the dome, whichever is lower—

- (i) no roads or buildings shall be constructed; and
- (ii) no motorized access shall be allowed.

(B) DESCRIPTION OF VOLCANIC DOMES.—The volcanic domes and other peaks referred to in subparagraph (A) are—

- (i) Redondo Peak;
- (ii) Redondito;
- (iii) South Mountain;
- (iv) San Antonio Mountain;
- (v) Cerro Seco;
- (vi) Cerro San Luis;
- (vii) Cerros Santa Rosa;
- (viii) Cerros del Abrigo;
- (ix) Cerro del Medio;
- (x) Rabbit Mountain;
- (xi) Cerro Grande;
- (xii) Cerro Toledo;
- (xiii) Indian Point;
- (xiv) Sierra de los Valles; and
- (xv) Cerros de los Posos.

(C) EXCEPTION.—Subparagraph (A) shall not apply in cases in which construction or motorized access is necessary for administrative purposes (including ecological restoration activities or measures required in emergencies to protect the health and safety of persons in the area).

(11) TRADITIONAL CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS SITES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary, in consultation with Indian tribes and pueblos, shall ensure the protection of traditional cultural and religious sites in the Preserve.

(B) ACCESS.—The Secretary, in accordance with Public Law 95-341 (commonly known as the “American Indian Religious Freedom Act”) (42 U.S.C. 1996)—

(i) shall provide access to the sites described in subparagraph (A) by members of Indian tribes or pueblos for traditional cultural and customary uses; and

(ii) may, on request of an Indian tribe or pueblo, temporarily close to general public use 1 or more specific areas of the Preserve to protect traditional cultural and customary uses in the area by members of the Indian tribe or pueblo.

(C) PROHIBITION ON MOTORIZED ACCESS.—The Secretary shall maintain prohibitions on the use of motorized or

mechanized travel on Preserve land located adjacent to the Santa Clara Indian Reservation, to the extent the prohibition was in effect on the date of enactment of this Act.

(12) CALDERA RIM TRAIL.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, affected Indian tribes and pueblos, and the public, shall study the feasibility of establishing a hiking trail along the rim of the Valles Caldera on—

- (i) land within the Preserve; and
- (ii) National Forest System land that is adjacent to the Preserve.

(B) *AGREEMENTS.*—On the request of an affected Indian tribe or pueblo, the Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture shall seek to enter into an agreement with the Indian tribe or pueblo with respect to the Caldera Rim Trail that provides for the protection of—

- (i) cultural and religious sites in the vicinity of the trail; and
- (ii) the privacy of adjacent pueblo land.

(13) *VALID EXISTING RIGHTS.*—Nothing in this section affects valid existing rights.

(c) *TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Administrative jurisdiction over the Preserve is transferred from the Secretary of Agriculture and the Trust to the Secretary, to be administered as a unit of the National Park System, in accordance with subsection (b).

(2) *EXCLUSION FROM SANTA FE NATIONAL FOREST.*—The boundaries of the Santa Fe National Forest are modified to exclude the Preserve.

(3) *INTERIM MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *MEMORANDUM OF AGREEMENT.*—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary and the Trust shall enter into a memorandum of agreement to facilitate the orderly transfer to the Secretary of the administration of the Preserve.

(B) *EXISTING MANAGEMENT PLANS.*—Notwithstanding the repeal made by subsection (d)(1), until the date on which the Secretary completes a management plan for the Preserve in accordance with subsection (b)(3)(C), the Secretary may administer the Preserve in accordance with any management activities or plans adopted by the Trust under the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v et seq.), to the extent the activities or plans are consistent with subsection (b)(3)(A).

(C) *PUBLIC USE.*—The Preserve shall remain open to public use during the interim management period, subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(4) *VALLES CALDERA TRUST.*—

(A) *TERMINATION.*—The Trust shall terminate 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act unless the Secretary

determines that the termination date should be extended to facilitate the transitional management of the Preserve.

(B) ASSETS AND LIABILITIES.—

(i) ASSETS.—On termination of the Trust—

(I) all assets of the Trust shall be transferred to the Secretary; and

(II) any amounts appropriated for the Trust shall remain available to the Secretary for the administration of the Preserve.

(ii) ASSUMPTION OF OBLIGATIONS.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—On termination of the Trust, the Secretary shall assume all contracts, obligations, and other liabilities of the Trust.

(II) NEW LIABILITIES.—

(aa) BUDGET.—Not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary and the Trust shall prepare a budget for the interim management of the Preserve.

(bb) WRITTEN CONCURRENCE REQUIRED.—The Trust shall not incur any new liabilities not authorized in the budget prepared under item (aa) without the written concurrence of the Secretary.

(C) PERSONNEL.—

(i) HIRING.—The Secretary and the Secretary of Agriculture may hire employees of the Trust on a non-competitive basis for comparable positions at the Preserve or other areas or offices under the jurisdiction of the Secretary or the Secretary of Agriculture.

(ii) SALARY.—Any employees hired from the Trust under clause (i) shall be subject to the provisions of chapter 51, and subchapter III of chapter 53, title 5, United States Code, relating to classification and General Schedule pay rates.

(iii) INTERIM RETENTION OF ELIGIBLE EMPLOYEES.—For a period of not less than 180 days beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, all eligible employees of the Trust shall be—

(I) retained in the employment of the Trust;

(II) considered to be placed on detail to the Secretary; and

(III) subject to the direction of the Secretary.

(iv) TERMINATION FOR CAUSE.—Nothing in this subparagraph precludes the termination of employment of an eligible employee for cause during the period described in clause (iii).

(D) RECORDS.—The Secretary shall have access to all records of the Trust pertaining to the management of the Preserve.

(E) VALLES CALDERA FUND.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Effective on the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall assume the powers of the Trust over the Fund.

(ii) *AVAILABILITY AND USE.*—Any amounts in the Fund as of the date of enactment of this Act shall be available to the Secretary for use, without further appropriation, for the management of the Preserve.

(d) *REPEAL OF VALLES CALDERA PRESERVATION ACT.*—

(1) *REPEAL.*—On the termination of the Trust, the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v et seq.) is repealed.

(2) *EFFECT OF REPEAL.*—Notwithstanding the repeal made by paragraph (1)—

(A) the authority of the Secretary of Agriculture to acquire mineral interests under section 104(e) of the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v–2(e)) is transferred to the Secretary and any proceeding for the condemnation of, or payment of compensation for, an outstanding mineral interest pursuant to the transferred authority shall continue;

(B) the provisions in section 104(g) of the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v–2(g)) relating to the Pueblo of Santa Clara shall remain in effect; and

(C) the Fund shall not be terminated until all amounts in the Fund have been expended by the Secretary.

(3) *BOUNDARIES.*—The repeal of the Valles Caldera Preservation Act (16 U.S.C. 698v et seq.) shall not affect the boundaries as of the date of enactment of this Act (including maps and legal descriptions) of—

(A) the Preserve;

(B) the Santa Fe National Forest (other than the modification made by subsection (c)(2));

(C) Bandelier National Monument; and

(D) any land conveyed to the Pueblo of Santa Clara.

SEC. 3044. VICKSBURG NATIONAL MILITARY PARK.

(a) *ACQUISITION OF LAND.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”) may acquire the land or any interests in land within the area identified as “Modified Core Battlefield” for the Port Gibson Unit, the Champion Hill Unit, and the Raymond Unit as generally depicted on the map entitled “Vicksburg National Military Park—Proposed Battlefield Additions”, numbered 306/100986A (4 sheets), and dated July 2012.

(2) *METHODS OF ACQUISITION.*—Land may be acquired under paragraph (1) by donation, purchase with donated or appropriated funds, or exchange, except that land owned by the State of Mississippi or any political subdivisions of the State may be acquired only by donation.

(b) *AVAILABILITY OF MAP.*—The map described in subsection (a)(1) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the National Park Service.

(c) *BOUNDARY ADJUSTMENT.*—On the acquisition of land by the Secretary under this section—

(1) the acquired land shall be added to Vicksburg National Military Park;

(2) the boundary of the Vicksburg National Military Park shall be adjusted to reflect the acquisition of the land; and

(3) the acquired land shall be administered as part of the Vicksburg National Military Park in accordance with applicable laws (including regulations).

Subtitle D—National Park System Studies, Management, and Related Matters

SEC. 3050. REVOLUTIONARY WAR AND WAR OF 1812 AMERICAN BATTLEFIELD PROTECTION PROGRAM.

Section 7301(c) of the Omnibus Public Land Management Act of 2009 (Public Law 111–11) is amended as follows:

(1) In paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting the following:

“(A) **BATTLEFIELD REPORT.**—The term ‘battlefield report’ means, collectively—

“(i) the report entitled ‘Report on the Nation’s Civil War Battlefields’, prepared by the Civil War Sites Advisory Commission, and dated July 1993; and

“(ii) the report entitled ‘Report to Congress on the Historic Preservation of Revolutionary War and War of 1812 Sites in the United States’, prepared by the National Park Service, and dated September 2007.”; and

(B) in subparagraph (C)(ii), by striking “Battlefield Report” and inserting “battlefield report”.

(2) In paragraph (2), by inserting “eligible sites or” after “acquiring”.

(3) In paragraph (3), by inserting “an eligible site or” after “acquire”.

(4) In paragraph (4), by inserting “an eligible site or” after “acquiring”.

(5) In paragraph (5), by striking “An” and inserting “An eligible site or an”.

(6) By redesignating paragraph (6) as paragraph (9).

(7) By inserting after paragraph (5) the following new paragraphs:

“(6) **WILLING SELLERS.**—Acquisition of land or interests in land under this subsection shall be from willing sellers only.

“(7) **REPORT.**—Not later than 5 years after the date of the enactment of this paragraph, the Secretary shall submit to Congress a report on the activities carried out under this subsection, including a description of—

“(A) preservation activities carried out at the battlefields and associated sites identified in the battlefield report during the period between publication of the battlefield report and the report required under this paragraph;

“(B) changes in the condition of the battlefields and associated sites during that period; and

“(C) any other relevant developments relating to the battlefields and associated sites during that period.

“(8) **PROHIBITION ON LOBBYING.**—None of the funds provided pursuant to this section shall be used in any way, directly or

indirectly, to influence congressional action on any legislation or appropriation matters pending before Congress.”.

(8) In paragraph (9) (as redesignated by paragraph (6)), by striking “2014” and inserting “2021”.

SEC. 3051. SPECIAL RESOURCE STUDIES.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”) shall conduct a special resource study regarding each area, site, and issue identified in subsection (b) to evaluate—

(1) the national significance of the area, site, or issue; and

(2) the suitability and feasibility of designating such an area or site as a unit of the National Park System.

(b) *STUDIES.*—The areas, sites, and issues referred to in subsection (a) are the following:

(1) *LOWER MISSISSIPPI RIVER, LOUISIANA.*—Sites along the lower Mississippi River in the State of Louisiana, including Fort St. Philip, Fort Jackson, the Head of Passes, and any related and supporting historical, cultural, or recreational resource located in Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana.

(2) *BUFFALO SOLDIERS.*—The role of the Buffalo Soldiers in the early years of the National Park System, including an evaluation of appropriate ways to enhance historical research, education, interpretation, and public awareness of the story of the stewardship role of the Buffalo Soldiers in the National Parks, including ways to link the story to the development of National Parks and the story of African-American military service following the Civil War.

(3) *ROTA, COMMONWEALTH OF NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS.*—Prehistoric, historic, and limestone forest sites on the island of Rota, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands.

(4) *PRISON SHIP MONUMENT, NEW YORK.*—The Prison Ship Martyrs’ Monument in Fort Greene Park, Brooklyn, New York.

(5) *FLUSHING REMONSTRANCE, NEW YORK.*—The John Bowne House, located at 3701 Bowne Street, Queens, New York, the Friends Meeting House located at 137-17 Northern Boulevard, Queens, New York, and other resources in the vicinity of Flushing, New York, relating to the history of religious freedom during the era of the signing of the Flushing Remonstrance.

(6) *WEST HUNTER STREET BAPTIST CHURCH, GEORGIA.*—The historic West Hunter Street Baptist Church, located at 775 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive, SW, Atlanta, Georgia, and the block on which the church is located.

(7) *MILL SPRINGS BATTLEFIELD, KENTUCKY.*—The area encompassed by the National Historic Landmark designations relating to the 1862 Battle of Mill Springs located in Pulaski and Wayne Counties in the State of Kentucky.

(8) *NEW PHILADELPHIA, ILLINOIS.*—The New Philadelphia archaeological site and surrounding land in the State of Illinois.

(c) *CRITERIA.*—In conducting a study under this section, the Secretary shall use the criteria for the study of areas for potential inclusion in the National Park System described in section 8(c) of Public Law 91-383 (commonly known as the “National Park System General Authorities Act”) (16 U.S.C. 1a-5(c)).

(d) *CONTENTS.*—Each study authorized by this section shall—

(1) determine the suitability and feasibility of designating the applicable area or site as a unit of the National Park System;

(2) include cost estimates for any necessary acquisition, development, operation, and maintenance of the applicable area or site;

(3) include an analysis of the effect of the applicable area or site on—

(A) existing commercial and recreational activities;

(B) the authorization, construction, operation, maintenance, or improvement of energy production and transmission or other infrastructure in the area; and

(C) the authority of State and local governments to manage those activities;

(4) include an identification of any authorities, including condemnation, that will compel or permit the Secretary to influence or participate in local land use decisions (such as zoning) or place restrictions on non-Federal land if the applicable area or site is designated as a unit of the National Park System; and

(5) identify alternatives for the management, administration, and protection of the applicable area or site.

(e) *REPORT.*—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out a study authorized by this section, the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report the describes—

(1) the findings and recommendations of the study; and

(2) any applicable recommendations of the Secretary.

SEC. 3052. NATIONAL HERITAGE AREAS AND CORRIDORS.

(a) *EXTENSION OF NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA AUTHORITIES.*—

(1) *EXTENSIONS.*—

(A) Section 12 of Public Law 100–692 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 102 Stat. 4558; 112 Stat. 3258; 123 Stat. 1292; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314) is amended—

(i) in subsection (c)(1), by striking “2015” and inserting “2021”; and

(ii) in subsection (d), by striking “2015” and inserting “2021”.

(B) Division II of Public Law 104–333 (16 U.S.C. 461 note) is amended by striking “2015” each place it appears in the following sections and inserting “2021”:

(i) Section 107 (110 Stat. 4244; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314).

(ii) Section 408 (110 Stat. 4256; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314).

(iii) Section 507 (110 Stat. 4260; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314).

(iv) Section 707 (110 Stat. 4267; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314).

(v) Section 809 (110 Stat. 4275; 122 Stat. 826; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314).

(vi) Section 910 (110 Stat. 4281; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314).

(C) Section 109 of Public Law 105–355 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 112 Stat. 3252) is amended by striking “September 30, 2014” and inserting “September 30, 2021”.

(D) Public Law 106–278 (16 U.S.C. 461 note) is amended—

(i) in section 108 (114 Stat. 818; 127 Stat. 420; 128 Stat. 314), by striking “2015” and inserting “2021”; and

(ii) in section 209 (114 Stat. 824), by striking “the date that is 15 years after the date of enactment of this title” and inserting “September 30, 2021”.

(E) Section 157(i) of Public Law 106–291 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 114 Stat. 967) is amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2021”.

(F) Section 7 of Public Law 106–319 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 114 Stat. 1284) is amended by striking “2015” and inserting “2021”.

(G) Title VIII of division B of H.R. 5666 (Appendix D) as enacted into law by section 1(a)(4) of Public Law 106–554 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 114 Stat. 2763, 2763A–295; 123 Stat. 1294) is amended—

(i) in section 804(j), by striking “the day occurring 15 years after the date of enactment of this title” and inserting “September 30, 2021”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 811. TERMINATION OF ASSISTANCE.

“The authority of the Secretary to provide financial assistance under this title shall terminate on September 30, 2021.”.

(H) Section 106(b) of Public Law 103–449 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 108 Stat. 4755; 113 Stat. 1726; 123 Stat. 1291) is amended, by striking “2015” and inserting “2021”.

(2) CONDITIONAL EXTENSION OF AUTHORITIES.—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—*The amendments made by paragraph (1) (other than the amendments made by clauses (iii) and (iv) of paragraph (1)(B)), shall apply only through September 30, 2020, unless the Secretary of the Interior (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”)—*

(i) *conducts an evaluation of the accomplishments of the national heritage areas extended under paragraph (1), in accordance with subparagraph (B); and*

(ii) *prepares a report in accordance with subparagraph (C) that recommends a future role for the National Park Service with respect to the applicable national heritage area.*

(B) **EVALUATION.**—*An evaluation conducted under subparagraph (A)(i) shall—*

(i) *assess the progress of the local management entity with respect to—*

(I) *accomplishing the purposes of the authorizing legislation for the national heritage area; and*

(II) *achieving the goals and objectives of the approved management plan for the national heritage area;*

(ii) analyze the investments of Federal, State, tribal, and local government and private entities in each national heritage area to determine the impact of the investments; and

(iii) review the management structure, partnership relationships, and funding of the national heritage area for purposes of identifying the critical components for sustainability of the national heritage area.

(C) **REPORT.**—Based on the evaluation conducted under subparagraph (A)(i), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that includes recommendations for the future role of the National Park Service with respect to the national heritage area.

(b) **JOHN H. CHAFEE BLACKSTONE RIVER VALLEY NATIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR AMENDMENTS.**—Public Law 99-647 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; 100 Stat. 3625) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of section 2 (110 Stat. 4202), by striking “the map entitled ‘Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Boundary Map’, numbered BRV-80-80,011, and dated May 2, 1993” and inserting “the map entitled ‘John H. Chafee Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor—Proposed Boundary’, numbered 022/111530, and dated November 10, 2011”;

(2) in section 7 (120 Stat. 1858; 125 Stat. 155)—

(A) in the section heading, by striking “**TERMINATION OF COMMISSION**” and inserting “**TERMINATION OF COMMISSION; DESIGNATION OF LOCAL COORDINATING ENTITY**”;

(B) by striking “The Commission” and inserting the following:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Commission”; and

(C) by adding at the end the following:

“(b) **LOCAL COORDINATING ENTITY.**—

“(1) **DESIGNATION.**—The Commission shall select, subject to the approval of the Secretary, a qualified nonprofit organization to be the local coordinating entity for the Corridor (referred to in this section as the ‘local coordinating entity’).

“(2) **IMPLEMENTATION OF MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—The local coordinating entity shall assume the duties of the Commission for the implementation of the Cultural Heritage and Land Management Plan developed and approved under section 6.

“(c) **USE OF FUNDS.**—For the purposes of carrying out the management plan, the local coordinating entity may use amounts made available under this Act—

“(1) to make grants to the States of Massachusetts and Rhode Island (referred to in this section as the ‘States’), political subdivisions of the States, nonprofit organizations, and other persons;

“(2) to enter into cooperative agreements with or provide technical assistance to the States, political subdivisions of the States, nonprofit organizations, Federal agencies, and other interested parties;

“(3) to hire and compensate staff, including individuals with expertise in—

“(A) natural, historical, cultural, educational, scenic, and recreational resource conservation;

“(B) economic and community development; or

“(C) heritage planning;

“(4) to obtain funds or services from any source, including funds and services provided under any other Federal law or program;

“(5) to contract for goods or services; and

“(6) to support activities of partners and any other activities that further the purposes of the Corridor and are consistent with the approved management plan.”;

(3) in section 8 (120 Stat. 1858)—

(A) in subsection (b)—

(i) by striking “The Secretary” and inserting the following:

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary”; and

(ii) by adding at the end the following:

“(2) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—Notwithstanding chapter 63 of title 31, United States Code, the Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements with the local coordinating entity selected under paragraph (1) and other public or private entities for the purpose of—

“(A) providing technical assistance; or

“(B) implementing the plan under section 6(c).”; and

(B) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following:

“(d) TRANSITION MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING.—The Secretary shall enter into a memorandum of understanding with the local coordinating entity to ensure—

“(1) the appropriate transition of management of the Corridor from the Commission to the local coordinating entity; and

“(2) coordination regarding the implementation of the Cultural Heritage and Land Management Plan.”;

(4) in section 10 (104 Stat. 1018; 120 Stat. 1858)—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “in which the Commission is in existence” and inserting “until September 30, 2021”; and

(B) by striking subsection (c); and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“SEC. 11. REFERENCES TO THE COMMISSION.

“For purposes of sections 6, 8 (other than section 8(d)(1)), 9, and 10, a reference to the ‘Commission’ shall be considered to be a reference to the local coordinating entity.”.

(c) NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA REDESIGNATIONS.—

(1) REDESIGNATION OF THE LAST GREEN VALLEY NATIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor Act of 1994 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; Public Law 103-449) is amended—

(i) in section 103—

(I) in the heading, by striking “**QUINEBAUG AND SHETUCKET RIVERS VALLEY NATIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR**” and insert-

ing “**LAST GREEN VALLEY NATIONAL HERITAGE CORRIDOR**”; and

(II) in subsection (a), by striking “the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor” and inserting “The Last Green Valley National Heritage Corridor”; and

(ii) in section 108(2), by striking “the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor under” and inserting “The Last Green Valley National Heritage Corridor established by”.

(B) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Quinebaug and Shetucket Rivers Valley National Heritage Corridor shall be deemed to be a reference to the “The Last Green Valley National Heritage Corridor”.

(2) **REDESIGNATION OF MOTORCITIES NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Automobile National Heritage Area Act of 1998 (16 U.S.C. 461 note; Public Law 105–355) is amended—

(i) in section 102—

(I) in subsection (a)—

(aa) in paragraph (7), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area Partnership” and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage Area Partnership”; and

(bb) in paragraph (8), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area” each place it appears and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage Area”; and

(II) in subsection (b)—

(aa) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area” and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage Area”; and

(bb) in paragraph (2), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area” and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage Area”;

(ii) in section 103—

(I) in paragraph (2), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area” and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage Area”; and

(II) in paragraph (3), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area Partnership” and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage Area Partnership”;

(iii) in section 104—

(I) in the heading, by striking “**AUTOMOBILE NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA**” and inserting “**MOTORCITIES NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA**”; and

(II) in subsection (a), by striking “Automobile National Heritage Area” and inserting “MotorCities National Heritage area”; and

(iv) in section 106, in the heading, by striking “**AUTOMOBILE NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA PARTNERSHIP**” and inserting “**MOTORCITIES NATIONAL HERITAGE AREA PARTNERSHIP**”.

(B) *REFERENCES.*—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the Automobile National Heritage Area shall be deemed to be a reference to the “MotorCities National Heritage Area”.

SEC. 3053. NATIONAL HISTORIC SITE SUPPORT FACILITY IMPROVEMENTS.

(a) *IMPROVEMENT.*—The Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the National Park Service (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”), may make improvements to a support facility, including a visitor center, for a National Historic Site operated by the National Park Service if the project—

(1) is conducted using amounts included in the budget of the National Park Service in effect on the date on which the project is authorized;

(2) is subject to a 50 percent non-Federal cost-sharing requirement; and

(3) is conducted in an area in which the National Park Service was authorized by law in effect before the date of enactment of this Act to establish a support facility.

(b) *OPERATION AND USE.*—The Secretary may operate and use all or part of a support facility, including a visitor center, for a National Historic Site operated by the National Park Service—

(1) to carry out duties associated with operating and supporting the National Historic Site; and

(2) only in accordance with an agreement between the Secretary and the unit of local government in which the support facility is located.

SEC. 3054. NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM DONOR ACKNOWLEDGMENT.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *DONOR ACKNOWLEDGMENT.*—The term “donor acknowledgment” means an appropriate statement or credit acknowledging a donation.

(2) *NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM.*—The term “National Park System” includes each program and individual unit of the National Park System.

(3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) *DONOR ACKNOWLEDGMENTS IN UNITS OF NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may authorize a donor acknowledgment to recognize a donation to—

(A) the National Park Service; or

(B) the National Park System.

(2) *RESTRICTIONS.*—A donor acknowledgment shall not be used to state or imply—

(A) recognition of the donor or any product or service of the donor as an official sponsor, or any similar form of rec-

ognition, of the National Park Service or the National Park System;

(B) a National Park Service endorsement of the donor or any product or service of the donor; or

(C) naming rights to any unit of the National Park System or a National Park System facility, including a visitor center.

(3) REQUIREMENTS.—

(A) DISPLAY.—A donor acknowledgment shall be displayed—

(i) in a manner that is approved by the Secretary; and

(ii) for a period of time, as determined by the Secretary, that is commensurate with the amount of the contribution and the life of the structure.

(B) GUIDELINES.—The Secretary shall establish donor acknowledgment guidelines that take into account the unique requirements of individual units and programs of the National Park System.

(C) USE OF SLOGANS PROHIBITED.—A donor acknowledgment shall not permit the use of—

(i) an advertising slogan; or

(ii) a statement or credit promoting or opposing a political candidate or issue.

(4) PLACEMENT.—

(A) VISITOR AND ADMINISTRATIVE FACILITIES.—A donor acknowledgment may be located on or inside a visitor center or administrative facility of the National Park System (including in a specific room or section) or any other appropriate location, such as on a donor recognition wall or plaque.

(B) OUTSIDE.—A donor acknowledgment may be located in an area outside of a visitor or administrative facility described in subparagraph (A), including a bench, brick, pathway, area of landscaping, or plaza.

(C) PROJECTS.—A donor acknowledgment may be located near a park construction or restoration project, if the donation directly relates to the project.

(D) VEHICLES.—A donor acknowledgment may be placed on a National Park Service vehicle, if the donation directly relates to the vehicle.

(E) LIMITATION.—Any donor acknowledgment associated with a historic structure or placed outside a park restoration project—

(i) shall be freestanding; and

(ii) shall not obstruct a natural or historical site or view.

(5) PRINTED, DIGITAL, AND MEDIA PLATFORMS.—The Secretary may authorize the use of donor acknowledgments under this subsection to include donor acknowledgments on printed, digital, and media platforms, including brochures or Internet websites relating to a specific unit of the National Park System.

(c) COMMEMORATIVE WORKS ACT AMENDMENTS.—Section 8905 of title 40, United States Code, is amended—

- (1) in subsection (b), by striking paragraph (7); and
 (2) by adding at the end the following:

“(c) **DONOR CONTRIBUTIONS.**—

“(1) **ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DONOR CONTRIBUTION.**—*Except as otherwise provided in this subsection, the Secretary of the Interior or Administrator of General Services, as applicable, may permit a sponsor to acknowledge donor contributions at the commemorative work.*

“(2) **REQUIREMENTS.**—*An acknowledgment under paragraph (1) shall—*

“(A) be displayed—

“(i) inside an ancillary structure associated with the commemorative work; or

“(ii) as part of a manmade landscape feature at the commemorative work; and

“(B) conform to applicable National Park Service or General Services Administration guidelines for donor recognition, as applicable.

“(3) **LIMITATIONS.**—*An acknowledgment under paragraph (1) shall—*

“(A) be limited to an appropriate statement or credit recognizing the contribution;

“(B) be displayed in a form in accordance with National Park Service and General Services Administration guidelines;

“(C) be displayed for a period of up to 10 years, with the display period to be commensurate with the level of the contribution, as determined in accordance with the plan and guidelines described in subparagraph (B);

“(D) be freestanding; and

“(E) not be affixed to—

“(i) any landscape feature at the commemorative work; or

“(ii) any object in a museum collection.

“(4) **COST.**—*The sponsor shall bear all expenses related to the display of donor acknowledgments under paragraph (1).*

“(5) **APPLICABILITY.**—*This subsection shall apply to any commemorative work dedicated after January 1, 2010.”*

(d) **EFFECT OF SECTION.**—*Nothing in this section or an amendment made by this section—*

(1) requires the Secretary to accept a donation; or

(2) modifies section 145 of Public Law 108–108 (16 U.S.C. 1a–1 note; 117 Stat. 1280).

SEC. 3055. COIN TO COMMEMORATE 100TH ANNIVERSARY OF THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE.

(a) **COIN SPECIFICATIONS.**—

(1) **DENOMINATIONS.**—*The Secretary of the Treasury (in this section referred to as the “Secretary”) shall mint and issue the following coins:*

(A) **\$5 GOLD COINS.**—*Not more than 100,000 \$5 coins, which shall—*

(i) weigh 8.359 grams;

(ii) have a diameter of 0.850 inches; and

(iii) contain 90 percent gold and 10 percent alloy.

- (B) *\$1 SILVER COINS.*—Not more than 500,000 \$1 coins, which shall—
- (i) weigh 26.73 grams;
 - (ii) have a diameter of 1.500 inches; and
 - (iii) contain 90 percent silver and 10 percent copper.
- (C) *HALF DOLLAR CLAD COINS.*—Not more than 750,000 half dollar coins, which shall—
- (i) weigh 11.34 grams;
 - (ii) have a diameter of 1.205 inches; and
 - (iii) be minted to the specifications for half dollar coins, contained in section 5112(b) of title 31, United States Code.
- (2) *LEGAL TENDER.*—The coins minted under this section shall be legal tender, as provided in section 5103 of title 31, United States Code.
- (3) *NUMISMATIC ITEMS.*—For purposes of sections 5134 and 5136 of title 31, United States Code, all coins minted under this section shall be considered to be numismatic items.
- (b) *DESIGN OF COINS.*—
- (1) *DESIGN REQUIREMENTS.*—
 - (A) *IN GENERAL.*—The design of the coins minted under this section shall be emblematic of the 100th anniversary of the National Park Service.
 - (B) *DESIGNATION AND INSCRIPTIONS.*—On each coin minted under this section there shall be—
 - (i) a designation of the face value of the coin;
 - (ii) an inscription of the year “2016”; and
 - (iii) inscriptions of the words “Liberty”, “In God We Trust”, “United States of America”, and “E Pluribus Unum”.
 - (2) *SELECTION.*—The design for the coins minted under this section shall be—
 - (A) selected by the Secretary after consultation with—
 - (i) the National Park Service;
 - (ii) the National Park Foundation; and
 - (iii) the Commission of Fine Arts; and
 - (B) reviewed by the Citizens Coinage Advisory Committee.
- (c) *ISSUANCE OF COINS.*—
- (1) *QUALITY OF COINS.*—Coins minted under this section shall be issued in uncirculated and proof qualities.
 - (2) *PERIOD FOR ISSUANCE.*—The Secretary may issue coins minted under this section only during the period beginning on January 1, 2016, and ending on December 31, 2016.
- (d) *SALE OF COINS.*—
- (1) *SALE PRICE.*—The coins issued under this section shall be sold by the Secretary at a price equal to the sum of—
 - (A) the face value of the coins;
 - (B) the surcharge provided in subsection (e)(1) with respect to the coins; and
 - (C) the cost of designing and issuing the coins (including labor, materials, dies, use of machinery, overhead expenses, marketing, and shipping).

(2) *BULK SALES.*—The Secretary shall make bulk sales of the coins issued under this section at a reasonable discount.

(3) *PREPAID ORDERS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall accept prepaid orders for the coins minted under this section before the issuance of such coins.

(B) *DISCOUNT.*—Sale prices with respect to prepaid orders under subparagraph (A) shall be at a reasonable discount.

(e) *SURCHARGES.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—All sales of coins minted under this section shall include a surcharge as follows:

(A) A surcharge of \$35 per coin for the \$5 coin.

(B) A surcharge of \$10 per coin for the \$1 coin.

(C) A surcharge of \$5 per coin for the half dollar coin.

(2) *DISTRIBUTION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to section 5134(f) of title 31, United States Code, all surcharges which are received by the Secretary from the sale of coins issued under this section shall be promptly paid by the Secretary to the National Park Foundation for projects and programs that help preserve and protect resources under the stewardship of the National Park Service and promote public enjoyment and appreciation of those resources.

(B) *PROHIBITION ON LAND ACQUISITION.*—Surcharges paid to the National Park Foundation pursuant to subparagraph (A) may not be used for land acquisition.

(3) *AUDITS.*—The National Park Foundation shall be subject to the audit requirements of section 5134(f)(2) of title 31, United States Code, with regard to the amounts received by the Foundation under paragraph (2).

(4) *LIMITATIONS.*—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), no surcharge may be included with respect to the issuance under this section of any coin during a calendar year if, as of the time of such issuance, the issuance of such coin would result in the number of commemorative coin programs issued during such year to exceed the annual 2 commemorative coin program issuance limitation under section 5112(m)(1) of title 31, United States Code (as in effect on the date of the enactment of this Act). The Secretary of the Treasury may issue guidance to carry out this paragraph.

(f) *FINANCIAL ASSURANCES.*—The Secretary shall take such actions as may be necessary to ensure that—

(1) minting and issuing coins under this section will not result in any net cost to the United States Government; and

(2) no funds, including applicable surcharges, shall be disbursed to any recipient designated in subsection (e) until the total cost of designing and issuing all of the coins authorized by this section (including labor, materials, dies, use of machinery, overhead expenses, marketing, and shipping) is recovered by the United States Treasury, consistent with sections 5112(m) and 5134(f) of title 31, United States Code.

(g) *BUDGET COMPLIANCE.*—The budgetary effects of this section, for the purpose of complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act

of 2010, shall be determined by reference to the latest statement titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this section, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the House of Representatives, provided that such statement has been submitted prior to the vote on passage.

SEC. 3056. COMMISSION TO STUDY THE POTENTIAL CREATION OF A NATIONAL WOMEN’S HISTORY MUSEUM.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **COMMISSION.**—*The term “Commission” means the Commission to Study the Potential Creation of a National Women’s History Museum established by subsection (b)(1).*

(2) **MUSEUM.**—*The term “Museum” means the National Women’s History Museum.*

(b) **ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMISSION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*There is established the Commission to Study the Potential Creation of a National Women’s History Museum.*

(2) **MEMBERSHIP.**—*The Commission shall be composed of 8 members, of whom—*

(A) *2 members shall be appointed by the majority leader of the Senate;*

(B) *2 members shall be appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives;*

(C) *2 members shall be appointed by the minority leader of the Senate; and*

(D) *2 members shall be appointed by the minority leader of the House of Representatives.*

(3) **QUALIFICATIONS.**—*Members of the Commission shall be appointed to the Commission from among individuals, or representatives of institutions or entities, who possess—*

(A)(i) *a demonstrated commitment to the research, study, or promotion of women’s history, art, political or economic status, or culture; and*

(ii)(I) *expertise in museum administration;*

(II) *expertise in fundraising for nonprofit or cultural institutions;*

(III) *experience in the study and teaching of women’s history;*

(IV) *experience in studying the issue of the representation of women in art, life, history, and culture at the Smithsonian Institution; or*

(V) *extensive experience in public or elected service;*

(B) *experience in the administration of, or the planning for, the establishment of, museums; or*

(C) *experience in the planning, design, or construction of museum facilities.*

(4) **PROHIBITION.**—*No employee of the Federal Government may serve as a member of the Commission.*

(5) **DEADLINE FOR INITIAL APPOINTMENT.**—*The initial members of the Commission shall be appointed not later than the date that is 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act.*

(6) **VACANCIES.**—*A vacancy in the Commission—*

(A) *shall not affect the powers of the Commission; and*

(B) shall be filled in the same manner as the original appointment was made.

(7) CHAIRPERSON.—The Commission shall, by majority vote of all of the members, select 1 member of the Commission to serve as the Chairperson of the Commission.

(c) DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION.—

(1) REPORTS.—

(A) PLAN OF ACTION.—The Commission shall submit to the President and Congress a report containing the recommendations of the Commission with respect to a plan of action for the establishment and maintenance of a National Women's History Museum in Washington, DC.

(B) REPORT ON ISSUES.—The Commission shall submit to the President and Congress a report that addresses the following issues:

(i) The availability and cost of collections to be acquired and housed in the Museum.

(ii) The impact of the Museum on regional women history-related museums.

(iii) Potential locations for the Museum in Washington, DC, and its environs.

(iv) Whether the Museum should be part of the Smithsonian Institution.

(v) The governance and organizational structure from which the Museum should operate.

(vi) Best practices for engaging women in the development and design of the Museum.

(vii) The cost of constructing, operating, and maintaining the Museum.

(C) DEADLINE.—The reports required under subparagraphs (A) and (B) shall be submitted not later than the date that is 18 months after the date of the first meeting of the Commission.

(2) FUNDRAISING PLAN.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall develop a fundraising plan to support the establishment, operation, and maintenance of the Museum through contributions from the public.

(B) CONSIDERATIONS.—In developing the fundraising plan under subparagraph (A), the Commission shall consider—

(i) the role of the National Women's History Museum (a nonprofit, educational organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 that was incorporated in 1996 in Washington, DC, and dedicated for the purpose of establishing a women's history museum) in raising funds for the construction of the Museum; and

(ii) issues relating to funding the operations and maintenance of the Museum in perpetuity without reliance on appropriations of Federal funds.

(C) INDEPENDENT REVIEW.—The Commission shall obtain an independent review of the viability of the plan developed under subparagraph (A) and such review shall include an

analysis as to whether the plan is likely to achieve the level of resources necessary to fund the construction of the Museum and the operations and maintenance of the Museum in perpetuity without reliance on appropriations of Federal funds.

(D) *SUBMISSION.*—The Commission shall submit the plan developed under subparagraph (A) and the review conducted under subparagraph (C) to the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure, House Administration, Natural Resources, and Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Rules and Administration, Energy and Natural Resources, and Appropriations of the Senate.

(3) *LEGISLATION TO CARRY OUT PLAN OF ACTION.*—Based on the recommendations contained in the report submitted under subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1), the Commission shall submit for consideration to the Committees on Transportation and Infrastructure, House Administration, Natural Resources, and Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Committees on Rules and Administration, Energy and Natural Resources, and Appropriations of the Senate recommendations for a legislative plan of action to establish and construct the Museum.

(4) *NATIONAL CONFERENCE.*—Not later than 18 months after the date on which the initial members of the Commission are appointed under subsection (b), the Commission may, in carrying out the duties of the Commission under this subsection, convene a national conference relating to the Museum, to be comprised of individuals committed to the advancement of the life, art, history, and culture of women.

(d) *DIRECTOR AND STAFF OF COMMISSION.*—

(1) *DIRECTOR AND STAFF.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Commission may employ and compensate an executive director and any other additional personnel that are necessary to enable the Commission to perform the duties of the Commission.

(B) *RATES OF PAY.*—Rates of pay for persons employed under subparagraph (A) shall be consistent with the rates of pay allowed for employees of a temporary organization under section 3161 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) *NOT FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT.*—Any individual employed under this section shall not be considered a Federal employee for the purpose of any law governing Federal employment.

(3) *TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to subparagraph (B), on request of the Commission, the head of a Federal agency may provide technical assistance to the Commission.

(B) *PROHIBITION.*—No Federal employees may be detailed to the Commission.

(e) *ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS.*—

(1) *COMPENSATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—A member of the Commission—

(i) shall not be considered to be a Federal employee for any purpose by reason of service on the Commission; and

(ii) shall serve without pay.

(B) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—A member of the Commission shall be allowed a per diem allowance for travel expenses, at rates consistent with those authorized under subchapter I of chapter 57 of title 5, United States Code.

(2) GIFTS, BEQUESTS, DEVISES.—The Commission may solicit, accept, use, and dispose of gifts, bequests, or devises of money, services, or real or personal property for the purpose of aiding or facilitating the work of the Commission.

(3) FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACT.—The Commission shall not be subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. App.).

(f) TERMINATION.—The Commission shall terminate on the date that is 30 days after the date on which the final versions of the reports required under section (c)(1) are submitted.

(g) FUNDING.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The Commission shall be solely responsible for acceptance of contributions for, and payment of the expenses of, the Commission.

(2) PROHIBITION.—No Federal funds may be obligated to carry out this section.

SEC. 3057. CAPE HATTERAS NATIONAL SEASHORE RECREATIONAL AREA.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) FINAL RULE.—The term “Final Rule” means the final rule entitled “Special Regulations, Areas of the National Park System, Cape Hatteras National Seashore—Off-Road Vehicle Management” (77 Fed. Reg. 3123 (January 23, 2012)).

(2) NATIONAL SEASHORE.—The term “National Seashore” means the Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(4) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of North Carolina.

(b) REVIEW AND ADJUSTMENT OF WILDLIFE PROTECTION BUFFERS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall review and modify wildlife buffers in the National Seashore in accordance with this subsection and any other applicable law.

(2) BUFFER MODIFICATIONS.—In modifying wildlife buffers under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall, using adaptive management practices—

(A) ensure that the buffers are of the shortest duration and cover the smallest area necessary to protect a species, as determined in accordance with peer-reviewed scientific data; and

(B) designate pedestrian and vehicle corridors around areas of the National Seashore closed because of wildlife buffers, to allow access to areas that are open.

(3) *COORDINATION WITH STATE.*—The Secretary, after coordinating with the State, shall determine appropriate buffer protections for species that are not listed under the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.), but that are identified for protection under State law.

(c) *MODIFICATIONS TO FINAL RULE.*—The Secretary shall undertake a public process to consider, consistent with management requirements at the National Seashore, the following changes to the Final Rule:

(1) *Opening beaches at the National Seashore that are closed to night driving restrictions, by opening beach segments each morning on a rolling basis as daily management reviews are completed.*

(2) *Extending seasonal off-road vehicle routes for additional periods in the Fall and Spring if off-road vehicle use would not create resource management problems at the National Seashore.*

(3) *Modifying the size and location of vehicle-free areas.*

(d) *CONSTRUCTION OF NEW VEHICLE ACCESS POINTS.*—The Secretary shall construct new vehicle access points and roads at the National Seashore—

(1) *as expeditiously as practicable; and*

(2) *in accordance with applicable management plans for the National Seashore.*

(e) *REPORT.*—The Secretary shall report to Congress within 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act on measures taken to implement this section.

Subtitle E—Wilderness and Withdrawals

SEC. 3060. ALPINE LAKES WILDERNESS ADDITIONS AND PRATT AND MIDDLE FORK SNOQUALMIE RIVERS PROTECTION.

(a) *EXPANSION OF ALPINE LAKES WILDERNESS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—There is designated as wilderness and as a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System certain Federal land in the Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest in the State of Washington comprising approximately 22,173 acres that is within the Proposed Alpine Lakes Wilderness Additions Boundary, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Proposed Alpine Lakes Wilderness Additions” and dated December 3, 2009, which is incorporated in and shall be considered to be a part of the Alpine Lakes Wilderness.

(2) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(A) *MANAGEMENT.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the land designated as wilderness by paragraph (1) shall be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture (referred to in this section as the “Secretary”), in accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), except that any reference in that Act to the effective date of that Act shall be considered to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act.

(B) *MAP AND DESCRIPTION.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall file a

map and a legal description of the land designated as wilderness by paragraph (1) with—

(I) the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives; and

(II) the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate.

(ii) *FORCE OF LAW.*—A map and legal description filed under clause (i) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this section, except that the Secretary may correct minor errors in the map and legal description.

(iii) *PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.*—The map and legal description filed under clause (i) shall be filed and made available for public inspection in the appropriate office of the Forest Service.

(3) *INCORPORATION OF ACQUIRED LAND AND INTERESTS IN LAND.*—Any land or interests in land within the Proposed Alpine Lakes Wilderness Additions Boundary, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Proposed Alpine Lakes Wilderness Additions” and dated December 3, 2009, that is acquired by the United States shall—

(A) become part of the wilderness area; and

(B) be managed in accordance with paragraph (2)(A).

(b) *WILD AND SCENIC RIVER DESIGNATIONS.*—

(1) *DESIGNATION.*—Section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) is amended by inserting after paragraph (208), as added by section 3040(e), the following:

“(209) *MIDDLE FORK SNOQUALMIE, WASHINGTON.*—The 27.4-mile segment from the headwaters of the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River near La Bohn Gap in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 20, T. 24 N., R. 13 E., to the northern boundary of sec. 11, T. 23 N., R. 9 E., to be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture in the following classifications:

“(A) The approximately 6.4-mile segment from the headwaters of the Middle Fork Snoqualmie River near La Bohn Gap in NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 20, T. 24 N., R. 13 E., to the west section line of sec. 3, T. 23 N., R. 12 E., as a wild river.

“(B) The approximately 21-mile segment from the west section line of sec. 3, T. 23 N., R. 12 E., to the northern boundary of sec. 11, T. 23 N., R. 9 E., as a scenic river.

“(210) *PRATT RIVER, WASHINGTON.*—The entirety of the Pratt River in the State of Washington, located in the Mount Baker-Snoqualmie National Forest, to be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture as a wild river.”

(2) *NO CONDEMNATION.*—No land or interest in land within the boundary of the river segment designated by paragraph (209) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) may be acquired by condemnation.

(3) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in paragraph (209) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) creates a protective perimeter or buffer zone outside the designated boundary of the river segment designated by that paragraph.

(B) *OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.*—*The fact that an activity or use can be seen or heard within the boundary of the river segment designated by paragraph (209) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) shall not preclude the activity or use outside the boundary of the river segment.*

SEC. 3061. COLUMBINE-HONDO WILDERNESS.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—*In this section:*

(1) *RED RIVER CONVEYANCE MAP.*—*The term “Red River Conveyance Map” means the map entitled “Town of Red River Town Site Act Proposal” and dated April 19, 2012.*

(2) *SECRETARY.*—*The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.*

(3) *STATE.*—*The term “State” means the State of New Mexico.*

(4) *TOWN.*—*The term “Town” means the town of Red River, New Mexico.*

(5) *VILLAGE.*—*The term “Village” means the village of Taos Ski Valley, New Mexico.*

(6) *WILDERNESS.*—*The term “Wilderness” means the Columbine-Hondo Wilderness designated by subsection (b)(1)(A).*

(7) *WILDERNESS MAP.*—*The term “Wilderness Map” means the map entitled “Columbine-Hondo, Wheeler Peak Wilderness” and dated April 25, 2012.*

(b) *ADDITION TO THE NATIONAL WILDERNESS PRESERVATION SYSTEM.*—

(1) *DESIGNATION OF THE COLUMBINE-HONDO WILDERNESS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*In accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the approximately 45,000 acres of land in the Carson National Forest in the State, as generally depicted on the Wilderness Map, is designated as wilderness and as a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System, which shall be known as the “Columbine-Hondo Wilderness”.*

(B) *MANAGEMENT.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*Subject to valid existing rights, the Wilderness shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with this section and the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), except that any reference in that Act to the effective date of that Act shall be considered to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act.*

(ii) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(I) *IN GENERAL.*—*Congress does not intend for the designation of the Wilderness to create a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the Wilderness.*

(II) *NONWILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.*—*The fact that nonwilderness activities or uses can be seen or heard from areas within the Wilderness shall not preclude the conduct of the activities or uses outside the boundary of the Wilderness.*

(C) *INCORPORATION OF ACQUIRED LAND AND INTERESTS IN LAND.*—*Any land or interest in land that is within the*

boundary of the Wilderness that is acquired by the United States shall—

- (i) become part of the Wilderness; and
- (ii) be managed in accordance with—
 - (I) the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.);
 - (II) this subsection; and
 - (III) any other applicable laws.

(D) **GRAZING.**—Grazing of livestock in the Wilderness, where established before the date of enactment of this Act, shall be allowed to continue in accordance with—

- (i) section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(4)); and
- (ii) the guidelines set forth in the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 5487 of the 96th Congress (H. Rept. 96-617).

(E) **COLUMBINE-HONDO WILDERNESS STUDY AREA.**—

(i) **FINDING.**—Congress finds that, for purposes of section 103(a)(2) of Public Law 96-550 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 94 Stat. 3223), any Federal land in the Columbine-Hondo Wilderness Study Area administered by the Forest Service that is not designated as wilderness by subparagraph (A) has been adequately reviewed for wilderness designation.

(ii) **APPLICABILITY.**—The Federal land described in clause (i) is no longer subject to subsections (a)(2) and (b) of section 103 of Public Law 96-550 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 94 Stat. 3223).

(F) **MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prepare maps and legal descriptions of the Wilderness.

(ii) **FORCE OF LAW.**—The maps and legal descriptions prepared under clause (i) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this section, except that the Secretary may correct errors in the maps and legal descriptions.

(iii) **PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.**—The maps and legal descriptions prepared under clause (i) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Forest Service.

(G) **FISH AND WILDLIFE.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Nothing in this section affects the jurisdiction of the State with respect to fish and wildlife located on public land in the State, except that the Secretary may designate areas in which, and establish periods during which, for reasons of public safety, administration, or compliance with applicable laws, no hunting, fishing, or trapping will be permitted in the Wilderness.

(ii) **CONSULTATION.**—Except in emergencies, the Secretary shall consult with the appropriate State agency and notify the public before taking any action under clause (i).

(H) *WITHDRAWALS.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Federal land described in subparagraphs (A) and (E)(i) and any land or interest in land that is acquired by the United States in the Wilderness after the date of enactment of this Act is withdrawn from—

(i) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(ii) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(iii) operation of the mineral leasing, mineral materials, and geothermal leasing laws.

(2) *WHEELER PEAK WILDERNESS BOUNDARY MODIFICATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The boundary of the Wheeler Peak Wilderness in the State is modified as generally depicted in the Wilderness Map.

(B) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, any Federal land added to or excluded from the boundary of the Wheeler Peak Wilderness under subparagraph (A) is withdrawn from—

(i) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(ii) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(iii) operation of the mineral leasing, mineral materials, and geothermal leasing laws.

(c) *LAND CONVEYANCES AND SALES.*—

(1) *TOWN OF RED RIVER LAND CONVEYANCE.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to the provisions of this paragraph, the Secretary shall convey to the Town, without consideration and by quitclaim deed, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the one or more parcels of Federal land described in subparagraph (B) for which the Town submits a request to the Secretary by the date that is not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

(B) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The parcels of Federal land referred to in subparagraph (A) are the parcels of National Forest System land (including any improvements to the land) in Taos County, New Mexico, that are identified as “Parcel 1”, “Parcel 2”, “Parcel 3”, and “Parcel 4” on the Red River Conveyance Map.

(C) *CONDITIONS.*—The conveyance under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to—

(i) valid existing rights;

(ii) public rights-of-way through “Parcel 1”, “Parcel 3”, and “Parcel 4”;

(iii) an administrative right-of-way through “Parcel 2” reserved to the United States; and

(iv) such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(D) *USE OF LAND.*—As a condition of the conveyance under subparagraph (A), the Town shall use—

(i) “Parcel 1” for a wastewater treatment plant;

(ii) “Parcel 2” for a cemetery;

(iii) "Parcel 3" for a public park; and

(iv) "Parcel 4" for a public road.

(E) REVERSION.—In the quitclaim deed to the Town under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall provide that any parcel of Federal land conveyed to the Town under subparagraph (A) shall revert to the Secretary, at the election of the Secretary, if the parcel of Federal land is used for a purpose other than the purpose for which the parcel was conveyed, as required under subparagraph (D).

(F) SURVEY; ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

(i) SURVEY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the National Forest System land conveyed under subparagraph (A) shall be determined by a survey approved by the Secretary.

(ii) COSTS.—The Town shall pay the reasonable survey and other administrative costs associated with the conveyance.

(2) VILLAGE OF TAOS SKI VALLEY LAND CONVEYANCE.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the provisions of this paragraph, the Secretary shall convey to the Village, without consideration and by quitclaim deed, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the parcel of Federal land described in subparagraph (B) for which the Village submits a request to the Secretary by the date that is not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act.

(B) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The parcel of Federal land referred to in subparagraph (A) is the parcel comprising approximately 4.6 acres of National Forest System land (including any improvements to the land) in Taos County generally depicted as "Parcel 1" on the map entitled "Village of Taos Ski Valley Town Site Act Proposal" and dated April 19, 2012.

(C) CONDITIONS.—The conveyance under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to—

(i) valid existing rights;

(ii) an administrative right-of-way through the parcel of Federal land described in subparagraph (B) reserved to the United States; and

(iii) such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(D) USE OF LAND.—As a condition of the conveyance under subparagraph (A), the Village shall use the parcel of Federal land described in subparagraph (B) for a wastewater treatment plant.

(E) REVERSION.—In the quitclaim deed to the Village, the Secretary shall provide that the parcel of Federal land conveyed to the Village under subparagraph (A) shall revert to the Secretary, at the election of the Secretary, if the parcel of Federal land is used for a purpose other than the purpose for which the parcel was conveyed, as described in subparagraph (D).

(F) SURVEY; ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

(i) SURVEY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the National Forest System land conveyed under

subparagraph (A) shall be determined by a survey approved by the Secretary.

(ii) COSTS.—The Village shall pay the reasonable survey and other administrative costs associated with the conveyance.

(3) AUTHORIZATION OF SALE OF CERTAIN NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM LAND.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to the provisions of this paragraph and in exchange for consideration in an amount that is equal to the fair market value of the applicable parcel of National Forest System land, the Secretary may convey—

(i) to the holder of the permit numbered “QUE302101” for use of the parcel, the parcel of National Forest System land comprising approximately 0.2 acres that is generally depicted as “Parcel 5” on the Red River Conveyance Map; and

(ii) to the owner of the private property adjacent to the parcel, the parcel of National Forest System land comprising approximately 0.1 acres that is generally depicted as “Parcel 6” on the Red River Conveyance Map.

(B) DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS.—Any amounts received by the Secretary as consideration for a conveyance under subparagraph (A) shall be—

(i) deposited in the fund established under Public Law 90–171 (commonly known as the “Sisk Act”) (16 U.S.C. 484a); and

(ii) available to the Secretary, without further appropriation and until expended, for the acquisition of land or interests in land in Region 3 of the Forest Service.

(C) CONDITIONS.—The conveyance under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to—

(i) valid existing rights; and

(ii) such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(D) SURVEY; ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.—

(i) SURVEY.—The exact acreage and legal description of the National Forest System land conveyed under subparagraph (A) shall be determined by a survey approved by the Secretary.

(ii) COSTS.—The reasonable survey and other administrative costs associated with the conveyance shall be paid by the holder of the permit or the owner of the private property, as applicable.

SEC. 3062. HERMOSA CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

(1) CITY.—The term “City” means the city of Durango, Colorado.

(2) COUNTY.—The term “County” means La Plata County, Colorado.

(3) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.

(4) *SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.*—The term “Special Management Area” means the Hermosa Creek Special Management Area designated by subsection (b)(1).

(5) *STATE.*—The term “State” means the State of Colorado.

(b) *DESIGNATION OF HERMOSA CREEK SPECIAL MANAGEMENT AREA.*—

(1) *DESIGNATION.*—Subject to valid existing rights, certain Federal land in the San Juan National Forest comprising approximately 70,650 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled “Proposed Hermosa Creek Special Management Area and Proposed Hermosa Creek Wilderness Area” and dated November 12, 2014, is designated as the “Hermosa Creek Special Management Area”.

(2) *PURPOSE.*—The purpose of the Special Management Area is to conserve and protect for the benefit of present and future generations the watershed, geological, cultural, natural, scientific, recreational, wildlife, riparian, historical, educational, and scenic resources of the Special Management Area.

(3) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall administer the Special Management Area—

(i) in a manner that conserves, protects, and manages the resources of the Special Management Area described in paragraph (2); and

(ii) in accordance with—

(I) the National Forest Management Act of 1976 (16 U.S.C. 1600 et seq.);

(II) this Act; and

(III) any other applicable laws.

(B) *USES.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary shall allow only such uses of the Special Management Area as the Secretary determines would further the purposes described in paragraph (2).

(ii) *MOTORIZED AND MECHANIZED VEHICLES.*—

(I) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in subclause (II) and as needed for administrative purposes or to respond to an emergency, the use of motorized or mechanized vehicles in the Special Management Area shall be permitted only on roads and trails designated by the Secretary for use by those vehicles.

(II) *OVERSNOW VEHICLES.*—The Secretary shall authorize the use of snowmobiles and other oversnow vehicles within the Special Management Area—

(aa) when there exists adequate snow coverage; and

(bb) subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(iii) *GRAZING.*—The Secretary shall permit grazing within the Special Management Area, if established before the date of enactment of this Act, subject to all ap-

plicable laws (including regulations) and Executive orders.

(iv) **PROHIBITED ACTIVITIES.**—*Within the area of the Special Management Area identified as “East Hermosa Area” on the map entitled “Proposed Hermosa Creek Special Management Area and Proposed Hermosa Creek Wilderness Area” and dated November 12, 2014, the following activities shall be prohibited:*

(I) *New permanent or temporary road construction or the renovation of existing nonsystem roads, except as allowed under the final rule entitled “Special Areas; Roadless Area Conservation; Applicability to the National Forests in Colorado” (77 Fed. Reg. 39576 (July 3, 2012)).*

(II) *Projects undertaken for the purpose of harvesting commercial timber (other than activities relating to the harvest of merchantable products that are byproducts of activities conducted for ecological restoration or to further the purposes described in this section).*

(4) **STATE AND FEDERAL WATER MANAGEMENT.**—*Nothing in this subsection affects the potential for development, operation, or maintenance of a water storage reservoir at the site in the Special Management Area that is identified in—*

(A) *pages 17 through 20 of the Statewide Water Supply Initiative studies prepared by the Colorado Water Conservation Board and issued by the State in November 2004; and*

(B) *page 27 of the Colorado Dam Site Inventory prepared by the Colorado Water Conservation Board and dated August 1996.*

(5) **WITHDRAWAL.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—*Subject to valid rights in existence on the date of enactment of this Act and except as provided in subparagraph (B), the Federal land within the Special Management Area is withdrawn from—*

(i) *all forms of entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;*

(ii) *location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and*

(iii) *operation of the mineral leasing, mineral materials, and geothermal leasing laws.*

(B) **EXCEPTION.**—*The withdrawal under subparagraph (A) shall not apply to the areas identified as parcels A and B on the map entitled “Proposed Hermosa Creek Special Management Area and Proposed Hermosa Creek Wilderness Area” and dated November 12, 2014.*

(6) **WINTER SKIING AND RELATED WINTER ACTIVITIES.**—*Nothing in this subsection alters or limits—*

(A) *a permit held by a ski area;*

(B) *the implementation of the activities governed by a ski area permit; or*

(C) *the authority of the Secretary to modify or expand an existing ski area permit.*

(7) *VEGETATION MANAGEMENT.*—*Nothing in this subsection prevents the Secretary from conducting vegetation management projects within the Special Management Area—*

(A) *subject to—*

- (i) *such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary determines to be appropriate; and*
- (ii) *all applicable laws (including regulations); and*

(B) *in a manner consistent with—*

- (i) *the purposes described in paragraph (2); and*
- (ii) *this subsection.*

(8) *WILDFIRE, INSECT, AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT.*—*In accordance with this subsection, the Secretary may—*

(A) *carry out any measures that the Secretary determines to be necessary to manage wildland fire and treat hazardous fuels, insects, and diseases in the Special Management Area; and*

(B) *coordinate those measures with the appropriate State or local agency, as the Secretary determines to be necessary.*

(9) *MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—*Not later than 3 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall develop a management plan for the long-term protection and management of the Special Management Area that—*

(A) *takes into account public input; and*

(B) *provides for recreational opportunities to occur within the Special Management Area, including skiing, biking, hiking, fishing, hunting, horseback riding, snowmobiling, motorcycle riding, off-highway vehicle use, snowshoeing, and camping.*

(10) *TRAIL AND OPEN AREA SNOWMOBILE USAGE.*—*Nothing in this subsection affects the use or status of trails authorized for motorized or mechanized vehicle or open area snowmobile use on the date of enactment of this Act.*

(11) *STATE WATER RIGHTS.*—*Nothing in this subsection affects access to, use of, or allocation of any absolute or conditional water right that is—*

(A) *decreed under the laws of the State; and*

(B) *in existence on the date of enactment of this Act.*

(c) *HERMOSA CREEK WILDERNESS.*—

(1) *DESIGNATION OF WILDERNESS.*—*Section 2(a) of the Colorado Wilderness Act of 1993 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 107 Stat. 756; 114 Stat. 1955; 116 Stat. 1055) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

“(22) Certain land within the San Juan National Forest that comprises approximately 37,236 acres, as generally depicted on the map entitled ‘Proposed Hermosa Creek Special Management Area and Proposed Hermosa Creek Wilderness Area’ and dated November 12, 2014, which shall be known as the ‘Hermosa Creek Wilderness’.”

(2) *EFFECTIVE DATE.*—*Any reference contained in the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.) to the effective date of that Act shall be considered to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act for purposes of administering the wilderness area designated by section 2(a)(22) of the Colorado Wilderness Act of*

1993 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 107 Stat. 756; 114 Stat. 1955; 116 Stat. 1055) (as added by paragraph (1)).

(3) FIRE, INSECTS, AND DISEASES.—In accordance with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)), within the wilderness areas designated by section 2(a)(22) of the Colorado Wilderness Act of 1993 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note; 107 Stat. 756; 114 Stat. 1955; 116 Stat. 1055) (as added by paragraph (1)), the Secretary may carry out any measure that the Secretary determines to be necessary to control fire, insects, and diseases, subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be appropriate.

(d) DURANGO AREA MINERAL WITHDRAWAL.—

(1) WITHDRAWAL.—Subject to valid existing rights, the land and mineral interests described in paragraph (2) are withdrawn from all forms of—

(A) entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(C) disposition under all laws relating to mineral leasing, geothermal leasing, or mineral materials.

(2) DESCRIPTION OF LAND AND MINERAL INTERESTS.—The land and mineral interests referred to in paragraph (1) are the Federal land and mineral interests generally depicted within the areas designated as “Withdrawal Areas” on the map entitled “Perins Peak & Animas City Mountain, Horse Gulch and Lake Nighthorse Mineral Withdrawal” and dated April 5, 2013.

(3) PUBLIC PURPOSE CONVEYANCE.—Notwithstanding paragraph (1), the Secretary of the Interior may convey any portion of the land described in paragraph (2) that is administered by the Bureau of Land Management to the City, the County, or the State—

(A) pursuant to the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.); or

(B) by exchange in accordance with applicable laws (including regulations).

(e) CONVEYANCE OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND TO COUNTY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—On the expiration of the permit numbered COC 64651 (09) and dated February 24, 2009, on request and agreement of the County, the Secretary of the Interior shall convey to the County, without consideration and subject to valid existing rights, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (2), subject to—

(A) paragraph (3);

(B) the condition that the County shall pay all administrative and other costs associated with the conveyance; and

(C) such other terms and conditions as the Secretary of the Interior determines to be necessary.

(2) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The land referred to in paragraph (1) consists of approximately 82 acres of land managed by the Bureau of Land Management, Tres Rios District, Colo-

rado, as generally depicted on the map entitled "La Plata County Grandview Conveyance" and dated May 5, 2014.

(3) *USE OF CONVEYED LAND.*—The Federal land conveyed pursuant to this subsection may be used by the County for any public purpose, in accordance with the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the "Recreation and Public Purposes Act") (43 U.S.C. 869 *et seq.*).

(4) *REVERSION.*—If the County ceases to use a parcel of the Federal land conveyed pursuant to this subsection in accordance with paragraph (1), title to the parcel shall revert to the Secretary of the Interior, at the option of the Secretary of the Interior.

(f) *MOLAS PASS RECREATION AREA; WILDERNESS STUDY AREA RELEASE; WILDERNESS STUDY AREA TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.*—

(1) *MOLAS PASS RECREATION AREA.*—

(A) *DESIGNATION.*—The approximately 461 acres of land in San Juan County, Colorado, that is generally depicted as "Molas Pass Recreation Area" on the map entitled "Molas Pass Recreation Area and Molas Pass Wilderness Study Area" and dated November 13, 2014, is designated as the "Molas Pass Recreation Area".

(B) *USE OF SNOWMOBILES.*—The use of snowmobiles shall be authorized in the Molas Pass Recreation Area—

(i) during periods of adequate snow coverage;

(ii) in accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*) and other applicable laws (including regulations);

(iii) on designated trails for winter motorized travel and grooming;

(iv) in designated areas for open area motorized travel; and

(v) subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(C) *OTHER RECREATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES.*—In addition to the uses authorized under subparagraph (B), the Secretary may authorize other recreational uses in the Molas Pass Recreation Area.

(2) *MOLAS PASS WILDERNESS STUDY AREA.*—

(A) *TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.*—Administrative jurisdiction over the Federal land generally depicted as "Molas Pass Wilderness Study Area" on the map entitled "Molas Pass Recreation Area and Molas Pass Wilderness Study Area", and dated November 13, 2014, is transferred from the Bureau of Land Management to the Forest Service.

(B) *ADMINISTRATION.*—The Federal land described in subparagraph (A) shall—

(i) be known as the "Molas Pass Wilderness Study Area"; and

(ii) be administered by the Secretary, so as to maintain the wilderness character and potential of the Federal land for inclusion in the National Wilderness Preservation System.

(3) *RELEASE.*—

(A) *FINDING.*—Congress finds that the land described in subparagraph (C) has been adequately studied for wilderness designation under section 603 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782).

(B) *RELEASE.*—Effective beginning on the date of enactment of this Act, the land described in subparagraph (C)—

(i) shall not be subject to section 603(c) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782(c));

(ii) shall be managed in accordance with land management plans adopted under section 202 of that Act (43 U.S.C. 1712); and

(iii) shall not be subject to Secretarial Order 3310 issued on December 22, 2010.

(C) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The land referred to in subparagraphs (A) and (B) is the approximately 461 acres located in the West Needles Contiguous Wilderness Study Area of San Juan County, Colorado, that is generally depicted as “Molas Pass Recreation Area” on the map entitled “Molas Pass Recreation Area and Molas Pass Wilderness Study Area” and dated November 13, 2014.

(g) *GENERAL PROVISIONS.*—

(1) *FISH AND WILDLIFE.*—Nothing in this section affects the jurisdiction or responsibility of the State with regard to fish and wildlife in the State.

(2) *MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary or the Secretary of the Interior, as appropriate, shall prepare maps and legal descriptions of—

(i) the Special Management Area;

(ii) the wilderness area designated by the amendment made by subsection (c)(1);

(iii) the withdrawal pursuant to subsection (d);

(iv) the conveyance pursuant to subsection (e);

(v) the recreation area designated by subsection (f)(1); and

(vi) the wilderness study area designated by subsection (f)(2)(B)(i).

(B) *FORCE OF LAW.*—The maps and legal descriptions prepared under subparagraph (A) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this section, except that the Secretary concerned may correct any clerical or typographical errors in the maps and legal descriptions.

(C) *PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.*—The maps and legal descriptions prepared under subparagraph (A) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management.

(3) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in this section establishes a protective perimeter or buffer zone around—

(i) the Special Management Area;

(ii) the wilderness area designated by an amendment made by subsection (c)(1); or

(iii) the wilderness study area designated by subsection (f)(2)(B)(i).

(B) **NONWILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.**—The fact that a non-wilderness activity or use can be seen or heard from areas within the wilderness area designated by an amendment made by subsection (c)(1) or the wilderness study area designated by subsection (f)(2)(B)(i) shall not preclude the conduct of the activity or use outside the boundary of the wilderness area or wilderness study area.

(4) **MILITARY OVERFLIGHTS.**—Nothing in this section restricts or precludes—

(A) any low-level overflight of military aircraft over an area designated as a wilderness area under an amendment made by this section, including military overflights that can be seen, heard, or detected within the wilderness area;

(B) flight testing or evaluation; or

(C) the designation or establishment of—

(i) new units of special use airspace; or

(ii) any military flight training route over a wilderness area described in subparagraph (A).

SEC. 3063. NORTH FORK FEDERAL LANDS WITHDRAWAL AREA.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ELIGIBLE FEDERAL LAND.**—The term “eligible Federal land” means—

(A) any federally owned land or interest in land depicted on the Map as within the North Fork Federal Lands Withdrawal Area; or

(B) any land or interest in land located within the North Fork Federal Lands Withdrawal Area that is acquired by the Federal Government after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) **MAP.**—The term “Map” means the Bureau of Land Management map entitled “North Fork Federal Lands Withdrawal Area” and dated June 9, 2010.

(b) **WITHDRAWAL.**—Subject to valid existing rights, the eligible Federal land is withdrawn from—

(1) all forms of location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(2) disposition under all laws relating to mineral leasing and geothermal leasing.

(c) **AVAILABILITY OF MAP.**—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Map shall be made available to the public at each appropriate office of the Bureau of Land Management.

(d) **EFFECT OF SECTION.**—Nothing in this section prohibits the Secretary of the Interior from taking any action necessary to complete any requirement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) or the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq.) required for permitting surface-disturbing activity to occur on any lease issued before the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3064. PINE FOREST RANGE WILDERNESS.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **COUNTY.**—*The term “County” means Humboldt County, Nevada.*

(2) **MAP.**—*The term “Map” means the map entitled “Proposed Pine Forest Wilderness Area” and dated October 28, 2013.*

(3) **SECRETARY.**—*The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.*

(4) **STATE.**—*The term “State” means the State of Nevada.*

(5) **WILDERNESS.**—*The term “Wilderness” means the Pine Forest Range Wilderness designated by section (b)(1).*

(b) **ADDITION TO NATIONAL WILDERNESS PRESERVATION SYSTEM.**—

(1) **DESIGNATION.**—*In furtherance of the purposes of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the approximately 26,000 acres of Federal land managed by the Bureau of Land Management, as generally depicted on the Map, is designated as wilderness and as a component of the National Wilderness Preservation System, to be known as the “Pine Forest Range Wilderness”.*

(2) **BOUNDARY.**—

(A) **ROAD ACCESS.**—*The boundary of any portion of the Wilderness that is bordered by a road shall be 100 feet from the edge of the road.*

(B) **ROAD ADJUSTMENTS.**—*The Secretary shall—*

(i) *reroute the road running through Long Meadow to the west to remove the road from the riparian area;*

(ii) *reroute the road currently running through Rodeo Flat/Corral Meadow to the east to remove the road from the riparian area;*

(iii) *close, except for administrative use, the road along Lower Alder Creek south of Bureau of Land Management road #2083; and*

(iv)(I) *leave open the Coke Creek Road to Little Onion Basin; but*

(II) *close spur roads connecting to the roads described in subclause (I).*

(C) **RESERVOIR ACCESS.**—*The boundary of the Wilderness shall be 160 feet downstream from the dam at Little Onion Reservoir.*

(3) **MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—*As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prepare a map and legal description of the Wilderness.*

(B) **EFFECT.**—*The map and legal description prepared under subparagraph (A) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this section, except that the Secretary may correct clerical and typographical errors in the map or legal description.*

(C) **AVAILABILITY.**—*The map and legal description prepared under subparagraph (A) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.*

(4) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Wilderness is withdrawn from—

(A) all forms of entry, appropriation, and disposal under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(C) disposition under all laws relating to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.

(c) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(1) *MANAGEMENT.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Wilderness shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), except that—

(A) any reference in the Wilderness Act to the effective date of that Act shall be considered to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act; and

(B) any reference in the Wilderness Act to the Secretary of Agriculture shall be considered to be a reference to the Secretary.

(2) *LIVESTOCK.*—The grazing of livestock in the Wilderness, if established before the date of enactment of this Act, shall be allowed to continue, subject to such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary considers to be necessary in accordance with—

(A) section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(4)); and

(B) the guidelines set forth in Appendix A of the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 2570 of the 101st Congress (House Report 101-405).

(3) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Congress does not intend for the designation of the Wilderness to create a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the Wilderness.

(B) *NONWILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that non-wilderness activities or uses can be seen, heard, or detected from areas within the Wilderness shall not limit or preclude the conduct of the activities or uses outside the boundary of the Wilderness.

(4) *MILITARY OVERFLIGHTS.*—Nothing in this section restricts or precludes—

(A) low-level overflights of military aircraft over the Wilderness, including military overflights that can be seen, heard, or detected within the Wilderness;

(B) flight testing and evaluation; or

(C) the designation or creation of new units of special use airspace, or the establishment of military flight training routes, over the Wilderness.

(5) *WILDFIRE, INSECT, AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT.*—In accordance with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)), the Secretary may take such measures in the Wilderness as are necessary for the control of fire, insects, and diseases (including, as the Secretary determines to be appropriate, the coordination of the activities with a State or local agency).

(6) *WILDFIRE MANAGEMENT OPERATIONS.*—Nothing in this section precludes a Federal, State, or local agency from conducting wildfire management operations (including operations using aircraft or mechanized equipment).

(7) *WATER RIGHTS.*—

(A) *PURPOSE.*—The purpose of this paragraph is to protect the wilderness values of the land designated as wilderness by this section by means other than a federally reserved water right.

(B) *STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.*—Nothing in this section—

(i) constitutes an express or implied reservation by the United States of any water or water rights with respect to the Wilderness;

(ii) affects any water rights in the State (including any water rights held by the United States) in existence on the date of enactment of this Act;

(iii) establishes a precedent with regard to any future wilderness designations;

(iv) affects the interpretation of, or any designation made under, any other Act; or

(v) limits, alters, modifies, or amends any interstate compact or equitable apportionment decree that apportions water among and between the State and other States.

(C) *NEVADA WATER LAW.*—The Secretary shall follow the procedural and substantive requirements of State law in order to obtain and hold any water rights not in existence on the date of enactment of this Act with respect to the Wilderness.

(D) *NEW PROJECTS.*—

(i) *DEFINITION OF WATER RESOURCE FACILITY.*—

(I) *IN GENERAL.*—In this subparagraph, the term “water resource facility” means irrigation and pumping facilities, reservoirs, water conservation works, aqueducts, canals, ditches, pipelines, wells, hydropower projects, transmission and other ancillary facilities, and other water diversion, storage, and carriage structures.

(II) *EXCLUSION.*—In this subparagraph, the term “water resource facility” does not include wildlife guzzlers.

(ii) *RESTRICTION ON NEW WATER RESOURCE FACILITIES.*—Except as otherwise provided in this section, on or after the date of enactment of this Act, neither the President nor any other officer, employee, or agent of the United States shall fund, assist, authorize, or issue a license or permit for the development of any new water resource facility within a wilderness area, any portion of which is located in the County.

(d) *RELEASE OF WILDERNESS STUDY AREAS.*—

(1) *FINDING.*—Congress finds that, for the purposes of section 603(c) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976

(43 U.S.C. 1782(c)), the land described in paragraph (3) has been adequately studied for wilderness designation.

(2) *RELEASE.*—Any public land described in paragraph (3) that is not designated as wilderness by this section—

(A) is no longer subject to—

(i) section 603(c) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782(c)); or

(ii) Secretarial Order No. 3310 issued by the Secretary on December 22, 2010; and

(B) shall be managed in accordance with the applicable land use plans adopted under section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712).

(3) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The land referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) consists of the portions of the Blue Lakes and Alder Creek wilderness study areas not designated as wilderness by subsection (b)(1), including the approximately 990 acres in the following areas:

(A) Lower Alder Creek Basin.

(B) Little Onion Basin.

(C) Lands east of Knott Creek Reservoir.

(D) Portions of Corral Meadow and the Blue Lakes Trailhead.

(e) *WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In accordance with section 4(d)(7) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(7)), nothing in this section affects or diminishes the jurisdiction of the State with respect to fish and wildlife management, including the regulation of hunting, fishing, and trapping, in the Wilderness.

(2) *MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.*—In furtherance of the purposes and principles of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the Secretary may conduct any management activities in the Wilderness that are necessary to maintain or restore fish and wildlife populations and the habitats to support the populations, if the activities are carried out—

(A) consistent with relevant wilderness management plans; and

(B) in accordance with—

(i) the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.); and

(ii) the guidelines set forth in Appendix B of the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 2570 of the 101st Congress (House Report 101-405), including the occasional and temporary use of motorized vehicles if the use, as determined by the Secretary, would promote healthy, viable, and more naturally distributed wildlife populations that would enhance wilderness values with the minimal impact necessary to reasonably accomplish those tasks.

(3) *EXISTING ACTIVITIES.*—Consistent with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)) and in accordance with the guidelines set forth in Appendix B of the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 2570 of the 101st Congress

(House Report 101-405), the State may continue to use aircraft, including helicopters, to survey, capture, transplant, monitor, and provide water for wildlife populations in the Wilderness.

(4) HUNTING, FISHING, AND TRAPPING.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary may designate areas in which, and establish periods during which, for reasons of public safety, administration, or compliance with applicable laws, no hunting, fishing, or trapping will be permitted in the Wilderness.

(B) CONSULTATION.—Except in emergencies, the Secretary shall consult with the appropriate State agency and notify the public before taking any action under subparagraph (A).

(5) AGREEMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The State, including a designee of the State, may conduct wildlife management activities in the Wilderness—

(i) in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the agreement between the Secretary and the State entitled “Memorandum of Understanding between the Bureau of Land Management and the Nevada Department of Wildlife Supplement No. 9” and signed November and December 2003, including any amendments to the agreement agreed to by the Secretary and the State; and

(ii) subject to all applicable laws (including regulations).

(B) REFERENCES; CLARK COUNTY.—For the purposes of this paragraph, any reference to Clark County in the agreement described in subparagraph (A)(i) shall be considered to be a reference to the Wilderness.

(f) LAND EXCHANGES.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) FEDERAL LAND.—The term “Federal land” means Federal land in the County that is identified for disposal by the Secretary through the Winnemucca Resource Management Plan.

(B) NON-FEDERAL LAND.—The term “non-Federal land” means land identified on the Map as “non-Federal lands for exchange”.

(2) ACQUISITION OF LAND AND INTERESTS IN LAND.—Consistent with applicable law and subject to paragraph (3), the Secretary may exchange the Federal land for non-Federal land.

(3) CONDITIONS.—Each land exchange under paragraph (1) shall be subject to—

(A) the condition that the owner of the non-Federal land pay not less than 50 percent of all costs relating to the land exchange, including the costs of appraisals, surveys, and any necessary environmental clearances; and

(B) such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary may require.

(4) INCORPORATION OF ACQUIRED LAND AND INTERESTS IN LAND.—Any non-Federal land or interest in the non-Federal land within the boundary of the Wilderness that is acquired by

the United States under this subsection after the date of enactment of this Act shall be added to and administered as part of the Wilderness.

(5) *DEADLINE FOR COMPLETION OF LAND EXCHANGE.—It is the intent of Congress that the land exchanges under this subsection be completed by not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act.*

(g) *NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS USES.—Nothing in this section alters or diminishes the treaty rights of any Indian tribe (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450b)).*

SEC. 3065. ROCKY MOUNTAIN FRONT CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT AREA AND WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.—In this section:*

(1) *CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT AREA.—The term “Conservation Management Area” means the Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Management Area established by subsection (b)(1)(A).*

(2) *DECOMMISSION.—The term “decommission” means—*

(A) *to reestablish vegetation on a road; and*

(B) *to restore any natural drainage, watershed function, or other ecological processes that are disrupted or adversely impacted by the road by removing or hydrologically disconnecting the road prism.*

(3) *DISTRICT.—The term “district” means the Rocky Mountain Ranger District of the Lewis and Clark National Forest.*

(4) *MAP.—The term “map” means the map entitled “Rocky Mountain Front Heritage Act” and dated October 27, 2011.*

(5) *NONMOTORIZED RECREATION TRAIL.—The term “non-motorized recreation trail” means a trail designed for hiking, bicycling, or equestrian use.*

(6) *SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means—*

(A) *with respect to land under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture, the Secretary of Agriculture; and*

(B) *with respect to land under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Interior, the Secretary of the Interior.*

(7) *STATE.—The term “State” means the State of Montana.*

(b) **ROCKY MOUNTAIN FRONT CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT AREA.—**

(1) *ESTABLISHMENT.—*

(A) *IN GENERAL.—Subject to valid existing rights, there is established the Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Management Area in the State.*

(B) *AREA INCLUDED.—The Conservation Management Area shall consist of approximately 195,073 acres of Federal land managed by the Forest Service and 13,087 acres of Federal land managed by the Bureau of Land Management in the State, as generally depicted on the map.*

(C) *INCORPORATION OF ACQUIRED LAND AND INTERESTS.—Any land or interest in land that is located in the Conservation Management Area and is acquired by the United States from a willing seller shall—*

(i) *become part of the Conservation Management Area; and*

(ii) *be managed in accordance with—*

(I) in the case of land managed by the Forest Service—

(aa) the Act of March 1, 1911 (commonly known as the “Weeks Law”) (16 U.S.C. 552 et seq.); and

(bb) any laws (including regulations) applicable to the National Forest System;

(II) in the case of land managed, by the Bureau of Land Management, the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.);

(III) this subsection; and

(IV) any other applicable law (including regulations).

(2) **PURPOSES.**—The purposes of the Conservation Management Area are to conserve, protect, and enhance for the benefit and enjoyment of present and future generations the recreational, scenic, historical, cultural, fish, wildlife, roadless, and ecological values of the Conservation Management Area.

(3) **MANAGEMENT.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall manage the Conservation Management Area—

(i) in a manner that conserves, protects, and enhances the resources of the Conservation Management Area; and

(ii) in accordance with—

(I) the laws (including regulations) and rules applicable to the National Forest System for land managed by the Forest Service;

(II) the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) for land managed by the Bureau of Land Management;

(III) this subsection; and

(IV) any other applicable law (including regulations).

(B) **USES.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary shall only allow such uses of the Conservation Management Area that the Secretary determines would further the purposes described in paragraph (2).

(ii) **MOTORIZED VEHICLES.**—

(I) **IN GENERAL.**—The use of motorized vehicles in the Conservation Management Area shall be permitted only on existing roads, trails, and areas designated for use by such vehicles as of the date of enactment of this Act.

(II) **NEW OR TEMPORARY ROADS.**—Except as provided in subclause (III), no new or temporary roads shall be constructed within the Conservation Management Area.

(III) **EXCEPTIONS.**—Nothing in subclause (I) or (II) prevents the Secretary from—

(aa) rerouting or closing an existing road or trail to protect natural resources from deg-

radation, as determined to be appropriate by the Secretary;

(bb) constructing a temporary road on which motorized vehicles are permitted as part of a vegetation management project in any portion of the Conservation Management Area located not more than $\frac{1}{4}$ mile from the Teton Road, South Teton Road, Sun River Road, Beaver Willow Road, or Benchmark Road;

(cc) authorizing the use of motorized vehicles for administrative purposes (including noxious weed eradication or grazing management); or

(dd) responding to an emergency.

(IV) DECOMMISSIONING OF TEMPORARY ROADS.—

The Secretary shall decommission any temporary road constructed under subclause (III)(bb) not later than 3 years after the date on which the applicable vegetation management project is completed.

(iii) GRAZING.—The Secretary shall permit grazing within the Conservation Management Area, if established on the date of enactment of this Act—

(I) subject to—

(aa) such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary determines appropriate; and

(bb) all applicable laws; and

(II) in a manner consistent with—

(aa) the purposes described in paragraph (2); and

(bb) the guidelines set forth in the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 5487 of the 96th Congress (H. Rept. 96-617).

(iv) VEGETATION MANAGEMENT.—Nothing in this section prevents the Secretary from conducting vegetation management projects within the Conservation Management Area—

(I) subject to—

(aa) such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary determines appropriate; and

(bb) all applicable laws (including regulations); and

(II) in a manner consistent with the purposes described in paragraph (2).

(4) ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The designation of the Conservation Management Area shall not create a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the Conservation Management Area.

(B) EFFECT.—The fact that activities or uses can be seen or heard from areas within the Conservation Management Area shall not preclude the conduct of the activities or uses

outside the boundary of the Conservation Management Area.

(c) DESIGNATION OF WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—In accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the following Federal land in the State is designated as wilderness and as additions to existing components of the National Wilderness Preservation System:

(A) *BOB MARSHALL WILDERNESS.*—Certain land in the Lewis and Clark National Forest, comprising approximately 50,401 acres, as generally depicted on the map, which shall be added to and administered as part of the Bob Marshall Wilderness designated under section 3 of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1132).

(B) *SCAPEGOAT WILDERNESS.*—Certain land in the Lewis and Clark National Forest, comprising approximately 16,711 acres, as generally depicted on the map, which shall be added to and administered as part of the Scapegoat Wilderness designated by the first section of Public Law 92-395 (16 U.S.C. 1132 note).

(2) *MANAGEMENT OF WILDERNESS ADDITIONS.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the land designated as wilderness additions by paragraph (1) shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), except that any reference in that Act to the effective date of that Act shall be deemed to be a reference to the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) *LIVESTOCK.*—The grazing of livestock and the maintenance of existing facilities relating to grazing in the wilderness additions designated by this subsection, if established before the date of enactment of this Act, shall be permitted to continue in accordance with—

(A) section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(4)); and

(B) the guidelines set forth in the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 5487 of the 96th Congress (H. Rept. 96-617).

(4) *WILDFIRE, INSECT, AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT.*—In accordance with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)), within the wilderness additions designated by this subsection, the Secretary may take any measures that the Secretary determines to be necessary to control fire, insects, and diseases, including, as the Secretary determines appropriate, the coordination of those activities with a State or local agency.

(5) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The designation of a wilderness addition by this subsection shall not create any protective perimeter or buffer zone around the wilderness area.

(B) *NONWILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that non-wilderness activities or uses can be seen or heard from areas within a wilderness addition designated by this subsection shall not preclude the conduct of those activities or uses outside the boundary of the wilderness area.

(d) *MAPS AND LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prepare maps and legal descriptions of the Conservation Management Area and the wilderness additions designated by subsections (b) and (c), respectively.

(2) *FORCE OF LAW.*—The maps and legal descriptions prepared under paragraph (1) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this section, except that the Secretary may correct typographical errors in the map and legal descriptions.

(3) *PUBLIC AVAILABILITY.*—The maps and legal descriptions prepared under paragraph (1) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Forest Service and Bureau of Land Management.

(e) *NOXIOUS WEED MANAGEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture shall prepare a comprehensive management strategy for preventing, controlling, and eradicating noxious weeds in the district.

(2) *CONTENTS.*—The management strategy shall—

(A) include recommendations to protect wildlife, forage, and other natural resources in the district from noxious weeds;

(B) identify opportunities to coordinate noxious weed prevention, control, and eradication efforts in the district with State and local agencies, Indian tribes, nonprofit organizations, and others;

(C) identify existing resources for preventing, controlling, and eradicating noxious weeds in the district;

(D) identify additional resources that are appropriate to effectively prevent, control, or eradicate noxious weeds in the district; and

(E) identify opportunities to coordinate with county weed districts in Glacier, Pondera, Teton, and Lewis and Clark Counties in the State to apply for grants and enter into agreements for noxious weed control and eradication projects under the Noxious Weed Control and Eradication Act of 2004 (7 U.S.C. 7781 et seq.).

(3) *CONSULTATION.*—In developing the management strategy required under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall consult with—

(A) the Secretary of the Interior;

(B) appropriate State, tribal, and local governmental entities; and

(C) members of the public.

(f) *NONMOTORIZED RECREATION OPPORTUNITIES.*—Not later than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with interested parties, shall conduct a study to improve nonmotorized recreation trail opportunities (including mountain bicycling) on land not designated as wilderness within the district.

(g) *MANAGEMENT OF FISH AND WILDLIFE; HUNTING AND FISHING.*—Nothing in this section affects the jurisdiction of the State with respect to fish and wildlife management (including the regulation of hunting and fishing) on public land in the State.

(h) **OVERFLIGHTS.**—

(1) **JURISDICTION OF THE FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION.**—Nothing in this section affects the jurisdiction of the Federal Aviation Administration with respect to the airspace above the wilderness or the Conservation Management Area.

(2) **BENCHMARK AIRSTRIP.**—Nothing in this section affects the continued use, maintenance, and repair of the Benchmark (3U7) airstrip.

(i) **RELEASE OF WILDERNESS STUDY AREAS.**—

(1) **FINDING.**—Congress finds that, for the purposes of section 603(c) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782(c)), the Zook Creek and Buffalo Creek wilderness study areas in the State have been adequately studied for wilderness designation.

(2) **RELEASE.**—The Zook Creek and Buffalo Creek wilderness study areas—

(A) are no longer subject to—

(i) section 603(c) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1782(c)); or

(ii) Secretarial Order 3310 issued on December 22, 2010; and

(B) shall be managed in accordance with the applicable land use plans adopted under section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712).

(j) **ASSESSMENT UPDATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 5 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall review and update the assessment for oil and gas potential for the following wilderness study areas in the State:

(A) Bridge Coulee.

(B) Musselshell Breaks.

(2) **REPORT.**—Not later than 30 days after the date on which the review is completed under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives a report that describes the oil and gas potential for the wilderness study areas.

SEC. 3066. WOVOKA WILDERNESS.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **COUNTY.**—The term “County” means Lyon County, Nevada.

(2) **MAP.**—The term “map” means the map entitled “Wovoka Wilderness Area” and dated December 18, 2012.

(3) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of Agriculture.

(4) **STATE.**—The term “State” means the State of Nevada.

(5) **WILDERNESS.**—The term “Wilderness” means the Wovoka Wilderness designated by subsection (b)(1).

(b) **WOVOKA WILDERNESS.**—

(1) **DESIGNATION.**—In furtherance of the purposes of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the Federal land managed by the Forest Service, as generally depicted on the Map, is designated as wilderness and as a component of the National Wil-

derness Preservation System, to be known as the "Wovoka Wilderness".

(2) *BOUNDARY.*—The boundary of any portion of the Wilderness that is bordered by a road shall be 150 feet from the centerline of the road.

(3) *MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall prepare a map and legal description of the Wilderness.

(B) *EFFECT.*—The map and legal description prepared under subparagraph (A) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this section, except that the Secretary may correct any clerical and typographical errors in the map or legal description.

(C) *AVAILABILITY.*—Each map and legal description prepared under subparagraph (A) shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Forest Service.

(4) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Wilderness is withdrawn from—

(A) all forms of entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(C) disposition under all laws relating to mineral and geothermal leasing or mineral materials.

(c) *ADMINISTRATION.*—

(1) *MANAGEMENT.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the Wilderness shall be administered by the Secretary in accordance with the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), except that any reference in that Act to the effective date shall be considered to be a reference to the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) *LIVESTOCK.*—The grazing of livestock in the Wilderness, if established before the date of enactment of this Act, shall be allowed to continue, subject to such reasonable regulations, policies, and practices as the Secretary considers to be necessary, in accordance with—

(A) section 4(d)(4) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(4)); and

(B) the guidelines set forth in Appendix A of the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 2570 of the 101st Congress (House Report 101-405).

(3) *INCORPORATION OF ACQUIRED LAND AND INTERESTS.*—Any land or interest in land within the boundary of the Wilderness that is acquired by the United States after the date of enactment of this Act shall be added to and administered as part of the Wilderness.

(4) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Congress does not intend for the designation of the Wilderness to create a protective perimeter or buffer zone around the Wilderness.

(B) *NONWILDERNESS ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that non-wilderness activities or uses can be seen or heard from

areas within the Wilderness shall not preclude the conduct of the activities or uses outside the boundary of the Wilderness.

(5) *OVERFLIGHTS.—*

(A) *MILITARY OVERFLIGHTS.—Nothing in this section restricts or precludes—*

- (i) low-level overflights of military aircraft over the Wilderness, including military overflights that can be seen or heard within the Wilderness;*
- (ii) flight testing and evaluation; or*
- (iii) the designation or creation of new units of special airspace, or the establishment of military flight training routes, over the Wilderness.*

(B) *EXISTING AIRSTRIPS.—Nothing in this section restricts or precludes low-level overflights by aircraft originating from airstrips in existence on the date of enactment of this Act that are located within 5 miles of the proposed boundary of the Wilderness.*

(6) *WILDFIRE, INSECT, AND DISEASE MANAGEMENT.—In accordance with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)), the Secretary may take any measures in the Wilderness that the Secretary determines to be necessary for the control of fire, insects, and diseases, including, as the Secretary determines to be appropriate, the coordination of the activities with a State or local agency.*

(7) *WATER RIGHTS.—*

(A) *FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—*

(i) the Wilderness is located—

(I) in the semiarid region of the Great Basin; and

(II) at the headwaters of the streams and rivers on land with respect to which there are few—

(aa) actual or proposed water resource facilities located upstream; and

(bb) opportunities for diversion, storage, or other uses of water occurring outside the land that would adversely affect the wilderness values of the land;

(ii) the Wilderness is generally not suitable for use or development of new water resource facilities; and

(iii) because of the unique nature of the Wilderness, it is possible to provide for proper management and protection of the wilderness and other values of land in ways different from those used in other laws.

(B) *PURPOSE.—The purpose of this paragraph is to protect the wilderness values of the Wilderness by means other than a federally reserved water right.*

(C) *STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this paragraph—*

- (i) constitutes an express or implied reservation by the United States of any water or water rights with respect to the Wilderness;*

(ii) affects any water rights in the State (including any water rights held by the United States) in existence on the date of enactment of this Act;

(iii) establishes a precedent with regard to any future wilderness designations;

(iv) affects the interpretation of, or any designation made under, any other Act; or

(v) limits, alters, modifies, or amends any interstate compact or equitable apportionment decree that apportions water among and between the State and other States.

(D) NEVADA WATER LAW.—The Secretary shall follow the procedural and substantive requirements of State law in order to obtain and hold any water rights not in existence on the date of enactment of this Act with respect to the Wilderness.

(E) NEW PROJECTS.—

(i) DEFINITION OF WATER RESOURCE FACILITY.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—In this subparagraph, the term “water resource facility” means irrigation and pumping facilities, reservoirs, water conservation works, aqueducts, canals, ditches, pipelines, wells, hydropower projects, transmission and other ancillary facilities, and other water diversion, storage, and carriage structures.

(II) EXCLUSION.—In this subparagraph, the term “water resource facility” does not include wildlife guzzlers.

(ii) RESTRICTION ON NEW WATER RESOURCE FACILITIES.—

(I) IN GENERAL.—Except as otherwise provided in this section, on or after the date of enactment of this Act, no officer, employee, or agent of the United States shall fund, assist, authorize, or issue a license or permit for the development of any new water resource facility within the Wilderness, any portion of which is located in the County.

(II) EXCEPTION.—If a permittee within the Bald Mountain grazing allotment submits an application for the development of water resources for the purpose of livestock watering by the date that is 10 years after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall issue a water development permit within the non-wilderness boundaries of the Bald Mountain grazing allotment for the purposes of carrying out activities under paragraph (2).

(8) NONWILDERNESS ROADS.—Nothing in this section prevents the Secretary from implementing or amending a final travel management plan.

(d) WILDLIFE MANAGEMENT.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—In accordance with section 4(d)(7) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(7)), nothing in this section affects or diminishes the jurisdiction of the State with respect

to fish and wildlife management, including the regulation of hunting, fishing, and trapping, in the Wilderness.

(2) *MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.*—In furtherance of the purposes and principles of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.), the Secretary may conduct any management activities in the Wilderness that are necessary to maintain or restore fish and wildlife populations and the habitats to support the populations, if the activities are carried out—

(A) consistent with relevant wilderness management plans; and

(B) in accordance with—

- (i) the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1131 et seq.); and
- (ii) the guidelines set forth in Appendix B of the report of the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs of the House of Representatives accompanying H.R. 2570 of the 101st Congress (House Report 101-405), including the occasional and temporary use of motorized vehicles and aircraft, if the use, as determined by the Secretary, would promote healthy, viable, and more naturally distributed wildlife populations that would enhance wilderness values with the minimal impact necessary to reasonably accomplish those tasks.

(3) *EXISTING ACTIVITIES.*—Consistent with section 4(d)(1) of the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. 1133(d)(1)) and in accordance with the guidelines set forth in Appendix B of House Report 101-405, the State may continue to use aircraft, including helicopters, to survey, capture, transplant, monitor, and provide water for wildlife populations in the Wilderness.

(4) *HUNTING, FISHING, AND TRAPPING.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary may designate areas in which, and establish periods during which, for reasons of public safety, administration, or compliance with applicable laws, no hunting, fishing, or trapping will be permitted in the Wilderness.

(B) *CONSULTATION.*—Except in emergencies, the Secretary shall consult with the appropriate State agency and notify the public before making any designation under subparagraph (A).

(5) *AGREEMENT.*—The State, including a designee of the State, may conduct wildlife management activities in the Wilderness—

(A) in accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the agreement between the Secretary and the State entitled “Memorandum of Understanding: Intermountain Region USDA Forest Service and the Nevada Department of Wildlife State of Nevada” and signed by the designee of the State on February 6, 1984, and by the designee of the Secretary on January 24, 1984, including any amendments, appendices, or additions to the agreement agreed to by the Secretary and the State or a designee; and

(B) subject to all applicable laws (including regulations).

(e) *WILDLIFE WATER DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS.*—Subject to subsection (c), the Secretary shall authorize structures and facilities, in-

cluding existing structures and facilities, for wildlife water development projects (including guzzlers) in the Wilderness if—

(1) the structures and facilities will, as determined by the Secretary, enhance wilderness values by promoting healthy, viable, and more naturally distributed wildlife populations; and

(2) the visual impacts of the structures and facilities on the Wilderness can reasonably be minimized.

(f) **NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS USES.**—Nothing in this section alters or diminishes the treaty rights of any Indian tribe.

SEC. 3067. WITHDRAWAL AREA RELATED TO WOVOKA WILDERNESS.

(a) **DEFINITION OF WITHDRAWAL AREA.**—In this section, the term “Withdrawal Area” means the land administered by the Forest Service and identified as “Withdrawal Area” on the map entitled “Wovoka Wilderness Area” and dated December 18, 2012.

(b) **WITHDRAWAL.**—Subject to valid existing rights, all Federal land within the Withdrawal Area is withdrawn from all forms of—

(1) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(2) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(3) operation of the mineral laws, geothermal leasing laws, and mineral materials laws.

(c) **MOTORIZED AND MECHANICAL VEHICLES.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Subject to paragraph (2), use of motorized and mechanical vehicles in the Withdrawal Area shall be permitted only on roads and trails designated for the use of those vehicles, unless the use of those vehicles is needed—

(A) for administrative purposes; or

(B) to respond to an emergency.

(2) **EXCEPTION.**—Paragraph (1) does not apply to aircraft (including helicopters).

(d) **NATIVE AMERICAN CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS USES.**—Nothing in this section alters or diminishes the treaty rights of any Indian tribe.

SEC. 3068. WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF ADDITIONAL PUBLIC LAND FOR NAVAL AIR WEAPONS STATION, CHINA LAKE, CALIFORNIA.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 2971(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1044) is amended—

(1) by striking “subsection (a) is the Federal land” and inserting the following: “subsection (a) is—

“(1) the Federal land”; and

(2) by striking “section 2912.” and inserting the following: “section 2912;

“(2) approximately 7,556 acres of public land described by Public Law 88–46 and commonly known as the Cuddeback Lake Air Force Range; and

“(3) approximately 4,480 acres comprised of all the public lands within: Sections 31 and 32 of Township 29S, Range 43E; Sections 12, 13, 24, and 25 of Township 30S, Range 42E; and Section 5 and the northern half of Section 6 of Township 31S, Range 43E, Mount Diablo Meridian, in the county of San Bernardino in the State of California, (but excluding the parcel

identified as 'AF Fee Simple') as depicted on the map entitled: 'Cuddeback Area of the Golden Valley Proposed Wilderness Additions, June 2014'."

(b) **EXPIRATIONAL REPEAL.**—The Act entitled "An Act to provide for the withdrawal and reservation for the use of the Department of the Air Force of certain public lands of the United States at Cuddeback Lake Air Force Range, California, for defense purposes", as approved June 21, 1963 (Public Law 88-46; 77 Stat. 69), is repealed.

Subtitle F—Wild and Scenic Rivers

SEC. 3071. ILLABOT CREEK, WASHINGTON, WILD AND SCENIC RIVER.

(a) **DESIGNATION.**—Section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) is amended by inserting after paragraph (210), as added by section 3060(b), the following:

“(211) **ILLABOT CREEK, WASHINGTON.**—

“(A) The 14.3-mile segment from the headwaters of Illabot Creek to the northern terminus as generally depicted on the map titled 'Illabot Creek Proposed WSR–Northern Terminus', dated September 15, 2009, to be administered by the Secretary of Agriculture as follows:

“(i) The 4.3-mile segment from the headwaters of Illabot Creek to the boundary of Glacier Peak Wilderness Area as a wild river.

“(ii) The 10-mile segment from the boundary of Glacier Peak Wilderness to the northern terminus as generally depicted on the map titled 'Illabot Creek Proposed WSR–Northern Terminus', dated September 15, 2009, as a recreational river.

“(B) Action required to be taken under subsection (d)(1) for the river segments designated under this paragraph shall be completed through revision of the Skagit Wild and Scenic River comprehensive management plan.”.

(b) **NO CONDEMNATION.**—No land or interest in land within the boundary of the river segment designated by paragraph (211) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) may be acquired by condemnation.

(c) **ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Nothing in paragraph (211) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) creates a protective perimeter or buffer zone outside the designated boundary of the river segment designated by that paragraph.

(2) **OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.**—The fact that an activity or use can be seen or heard within the boundary of the river segment designated by paragraph (211) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) shall not preclude the activity or use outside the boundary of the river segment.

SEC. 3072. MISSISQUOI AND TROUT WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS, VERMONT.

(a) **DESIGNATION OF WILD AND SCENIC RIVER SEGMENTS.**—Section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) is

amended by inserting after paragraph (211), as added by section 3071(a), the following:

“(212) *MISSISQUOI RIVER AND TROUT RIVER, VERMONT.*—The following segments in the State of Vermont, to be administered by the Secretary of the Interior as a recreational river:

“(A) The 20.5-mile segment of the Missisquoi River from the Lowell/Westfield town line to the Canadian border in North Troy, excluding the property and project boundary of the Troy and North Troy hydroelectric facilities.

“(B) The 14.6-mile segment of the Missisquoi River from the Canadian border in Richford to the upstream project boundary of the Enosburg Falls hydroelectric facility in Sampsonville.

“(C) The 11-mile segment of the Trout River from the confluence of the Jay and Wade Brooks in Montgomery to where the Trout River joins the Missisquoi River in East Berkshire.”.

(b) *MANAGEMENT.*—

(1) *MANAGEMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The river segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) shall be managed in accordance with—

(i) the Upper Missisquoi and Trout Rivers Management Plan developed during the study described in section 5(b)(19) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1276(b)(19)) (referred to in this subsection as the “management plan”); and

(ii) such amendments to the management plan as the Secretary of the Interior determines are consistent with this section and as are approved by the Upper Missisquoi and Trout Rivers Wild and Scenic Committee (referred to in this subsection as the “Committee”).

(B) *COMPREHENSIVE MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—The management plan, as finalized in March 2013, and as amended, shall be considered to satisfy the requirements for a comprehensive management plan pursuant to section 3(d) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(d)).

(C) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) creates a protective perimeter or buffer zone outside the designated boundary of the river segments designated by that paragraph.

(ii) *OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that an activity or use can be seen or heard within the boundary of the river segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) shall not preclude the activity or use outside the boundary of the river segments.

(2) *COMMITTEE.*—The Secretary shall coordinate management responsibility of the Secretary of the Interior under this section with the Committee, as specified in the management plan.

(3) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—In order to provide for the long-term protection, preservation, and enhancement of the river segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)), the Secretary of the Interior may enter into cooperative agreements pursuant to sections 10(e) and 11(b)(1) (16 U.S.C. 1281(e), 1282(b)(1)) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act with—

- (i) the State of Vermont;
- (ii) the municipalities of Berkshire, Enosburg Falls, Enosburgh, Montgomery, North Troy, Richford, Troy, and Westfield; and
- (iii) appropriate local, regional, statewide, or multi-state planning, environmental, or recreational organizations.

(B) *CONSISTENCY.*—Each cooperative agreement entered into under this paragraph shall be consistent with the management plan and may include provisions for financial or other assistance from the United States.

(4) EFFECT ON EXISTING HYDROELECTRIC FACILITIES.—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The designation of the river segments by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)), does not—

- (i) preclude the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission from licensing, relicensing, or otherwise authorizing the operation or continued operation of the Troy Hydroelectric, North Troy, or Enosburg Falls hydroelectric project under the terms of licenses or exemptions in effect on the date of enactment of this Act; or
- (ii) limit modernization, upgrade, or other changes to the projects described in clause (i), subject to written determination by the Secretary of the Interior that the changes are consistent with the purposes of the designation.

(B) *HYDROPOWER PROCEEDINGS.*—Resource protection, mitigation, or enhancement measures required by Federal Energy Regulatory Commission hydropower proceedings—

- (i) shall not be considered to be project works for purposes of this section; and
- (ii) may be located within the river segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)), subject to a written determination by the Secretary that the measures are consistent with the purposes of the designation.

(5) LAND MANAGEMENT.—

(A) *ZONING ORDINANCES.*—For the purpose of the segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)), the zoning ordinances adopted by the towns of Berkshire, Enosburg Falls, Enosburgh, Montgomery, North Troy, Richford, Troy, and Westfield in the State of Vermont, including provisions for conservation of floodplains, wetlands, and watercourses associated with the segments, shall be considered to satisfy

the standards and requirements of section 6(c) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1277(c)).

(B) ACQUISITIONS OF LAND.—The authority of the Secretary to acquire land for the purposes of the segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) shall be—

(i) limited to acquisition by donation or acquisition with the consent of the owner of the land; and

(ii) subject to the additional criteria set forth in the management plan.

(C) NO CONDEMNATION.—No land or interest in land within the boundary of the river segments designated by paragraph (212) of section 3(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)) may be acquired by condemnation.

(6) RELATION TO NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM.—Notwithstanding section 10(c) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1281(c)), the Missisquoi and Trout Rivers shall not be administered as part of the National Park System or be subject to regulations that govern the National Park System.

SEC. 3073. WHITE CLAY CREEK WILD AND SCENIC RIVER EXPANSION.

(a) DESIGNATION OF SEGMENTS OF WHITE CLAY CREEK, AS SCENIC AND RECREATIONAL RIVERS.—Section 3(a)(163) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1274(a)(163)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A)—

(A) by striking “190 miles” and inserting “199 miles”; and

(B) by striking “the recommended designation and classification maps (dated June 2000)” and inserting “the map entitled ‘White Clay Creek Wild and Scenic River Designated Area Map’ and dated July 2008, the map entitled ‘White Clay Creek Wild and Scenic River Classification Map’ and dated July 2008, and the map entitled ‘White Clay Creek National Wild and Scenic River Proposed Additional Designated Segments-July 2008’”;

(2) by striking subparagraph (B) and inserting the following:

“(B) 22.4 miles of the east branch beginning at the southern boundary line of the Borough of Avondale, including Walnut Run, Broad Run, and Egypt Run, outside the boundaries of the White Clay Creek Preserve, as a recreational river.”; and

(3) by striking subparagraph (H) and inserting the following:

“(H) 14.3 miles of the main stem, including Lamborn Run, that flow through the boundaries of the White Clay Creek Preserve, Pennsylvania and Delaware, and White Clay Creek State Park, Delaware, beginning at the confluence of the east and middle branches in London Britain Township, Pennsylvania, downstream to the northern boundary line of the City of Newark, Delaware, as a scenic river.”.

(b) ADMINISTRATION OF WHITE CLAY CREEK.—Sections 4 through 8 of Public Law 106-357 (16 U.S.C. 1274 note; 114 Stat. 1393), shall be applicable to the additional segments of White Clay Creek designated by the amendments made by subsection (a).

(c) *NO CONDEMNATION.*—No land or interest in land within the boundary of the additional segments of White Clay Creek designated by the amendments made by subsection (a) may be acquired by condemnation.

(d) *ADJACENT MANAGEMENT.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Nothing in the amendments made by subsection (a) creates a protective perimeter or buffer zone outside the designated boundary of the additional segments of White Clay Creek designated by the amendments made by that subsection.

(2) *OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES.*—The fact that an activity or use can be seen or heard within the boundary of the additional segments of White Clay Creek designated by the amendments made by subsection (a) shall not preclude the activity or use outside the boundary of the segment.

SEC. 3074. STUDIES OF WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS.

(a) *DESIGNATION FOR STUDY.*—Section 5(a) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1276(a)) is amended by inserting after paragraph (141), as added by section 3041(e), the following:

“(142) *BEAVER, CHIPUXET, QUEEN, WOOD, AND PAWCATUCK RIVERS, RHODE ISLAND AND CONNECTICUT.*—The following segments:

“(A) The approximately 10-mile segment of the Beaver River from the headwaters in Exeter, Rhode Island, to the confluence with the Pawcatuck River.

“(B) The approximately 5-mile segment of the Chipuxet River from Hundred Acre Pond to the outlet into Worden Pond.

“(C) The approximately 10-mile segment of the upper Queen River from the headwaters to the Usquepaugh Dam in South Kingstown, Rhode Island, including all tributaries of the upper Queen River.

“(D) The approximately 5-mile segment of the lower Queen (Usquepaugh) River from the Usquepaugh Dam to the confluence with the Pawcatuck River.

“(E) The approximately 11-mile segment of the upper Wood River from the headwaters to Skunk Hill Road in Richmond and Hopkinton, Rhode Island, including all tributaries of the upper Wood River.

“(F) The approximately 10-mile segment of the lower Wood River from Skunk Hill Road to the confluence with the Pawcatuck River.

“(G) The approximately 28-mile segment of the Pawcatuck River from Worden Pond to Nooseneck Hill Road (Rhode Island Rte 3) in Hopkinton and Westerly, Rhode Island.

“(H) The approximately 7-mile segment of the lower Pawcatuck River from Nooseneck Hill Road to Pawcatuck Rock, Stonington, Connecticut, and Westerly, Rhode Island.

“(143) *NASHUA RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS.*—The following segments:

“(A) The approximately 19-mile segment of the mainstem of the Nashua River from the confluence with the North and South Nashua Rivers in Lancaster, Massachusetts,

north to the Massachusetts-New Hampshire State line, excluding the approximately 4.8-mile segment of the mainstem of the Nashua River from the Route 119 bridge in Groton, Massachusetts, downstream to the confluence with the Nissitissit River in Pepperell, Massachusetts.

“(B) The 10-mile segment of the Squannacook River from the headwaters at Ash Swamp downstream to the confluence with the Nashua River in the towns of Shirley and Ayer, Massachusetts.

“(C) The 3.5-mile segment of the Nissitissit River from the Massachusetts-New Hampshire State line downstream to the confluence with the Nashua River in Pepperell, Massachusetts.

“(144) YORK RIVER, MAINE.—The segment of the York River that flows 11.25 miles from the headwaters of the York River at York Pond to the mouth of the river at York Harbor, and any associated tributaries.”

(b) *STUDY AND REPORT.*—Section 5(b) of the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. 1276(b)) is amended by inserting after paragraph (20), as added by section 3041(e), the following:

“(21) BEAVER, CHIPUXET, QUEEN, WOOD, AND PAWCATUCK RIVERS, RHODE ISLAND AND CONNECTICUT; NASHUA RIVER, MASSACHUSETTS; YORK RIVER, MAINE.—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this paragraph, the Secretary of the Interior shall—

“(i) complete each of the studies described in paragraphs (142), (143), and (144) of subsection (a); and

“(ii) submit to the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources of the Senate a report that describes the results of each of the studies.

“(B) *REPORT REQUIREMENTS.*—In assessing the potential additions to the wild and scenic river system, the report submitted under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall—

“(i) determine the effect of the designation on—

“(I) existing commercial and recreational activities, such as hunting, fishing, trapping, recreational shooting, motor boat use, and bridge construction;

“(II) the authorization, construction, operation, maintenance, or improvement of energy production, transmission, or other infrastructure; and

“(III) the authority of State and local governments to manage the activities described in subclauses (I) and (II);

“(ii) identify any authorities that, in a case in which an area studied under paragraph (142), (143), or (144) of subsection (a) is designated under this Act—

“(I) would authorize or require the Secretary of the Interior—

“(aa) to influence local land use decisions, such as zoning; or

“(bb) to place restrictions on non-Federal land if designated under this Act; and
 “(II) the Secretary of the Interior may use to condemn property; and
 “(iii) identify any private property located in an area studied under paragraph (142), (143), or (144) of subsection (a).”.

Subtitle G—Trust Lands

SEC. 3077. LAND TAKEN INTO TRUST FOR BENEFIT OF THE NORTHERN CHEYENNE TRIBE.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this section:*

(1) **FUND.**—*The term “Fund” means the Northern Cheyenne Trust Fund identified in the June 7, 1999 Agreement Settling Certain Issues Relating to the Tongue River Dam Project, which was entered into by the Tribe, the State, and delegates of the Secretary, and managed by the Office of Special Trustee in the Department of the Interior.*

(2) **GREAT NORTHERN PROPERTIES.**—*The term “Great Northern Properties” means the Great Northern Properties Limited Partnership, which is a Delaware limited partnership.*

(3) **PERMANENT FUND.**—*The term “Permanent Fund” means the Northern Cheyenne Tribe Permanent Fund managed by the Tribe pursuant to the Plan for Investment, Management and Use of the Fund, as amended by vote of the tribal membership on November 2, 2010.*

(4) **RESERVATION.**—*The term “Reservation” means the Northern Cheyenne Reservation.*

(5) **SECRETARY.**—*The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.*

(6) **STATE.**—*The term “State” means the State of Montana.*

(7) **TRIBE.**—*The term “Tribe” means the Northern Cheyenne Tribe.*

(b) **TRIBAL FEE LAND TO BE TAKEN INTO TRUST.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—*Subject to paragraph (2), not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall take into trust for the benefit of the Tribe the approximately 932 acres of land depicted on—*

(A) *the map entitled “Northern Cheyenne Lands Act – Fee-to-Trust Lands” and dated April 22, 2014; and*

(B) *the map entitled “Northern Cheyenne Lands Act – Fee-to-Trust Lands – Lame Deer Townsite” and dated April 22, 2014.*

(2) **LIMITATION.**—*Any land located in the State of South Dakota that is included on the maps referred to in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of paragraph (1) shall not be taken into trust pursuant to that paragraph.*

(c) **MINERAL RIGHTS TO BE TAKEN INTO TRUST.**—

(1) **COMPLETION OF MINERAL CONVEYANCES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—*Not later than 60 days after the date on which the Secretary receives the notification described in paragraph (3), in a single transaction—*

(i) *Great Northern Properties shall convey to the Tribe all right, title, and interest of Great Northern Properties, consisting of coal and iron ore mineral interests, underlying the land on the Reservation generally depicted as “Great Northern Properties” on the map entitled “Northern Cheyenne Land Act – Coal Tracts” and dated April 22, 2014; and*

(ii) *subject to subparagraph (B), the Secretary shall convey to Great Northern Properties all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the coal mineral interests underlying the land generally depicted as “Bull Mountains” and “East Fork” on the map entitled “Northern Cheyenne Federal Tracts” and dated April 22, 2014.*

(B) *REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary shall ensure that the deed for the conveyance authorized by subparagraph (A)(ii) shall include a covenant running with the land that—*

(i) *precludes the coal conveyed from being mined by any method other than underground mining techniques until any surface owner (as defined in section 714(e) of Public Law 95–87 (30 U.S.C. 1304(e))) for a specific tract has provided to Great Northern Properties written consent to enter the specific tract and commence surface mining;*

(ii) *shall not create any property interest in the United States or any surface owner (as defined in section 714(e) of Public Law 95–87 (30 U.S.C. 1304(e))); and*

(iii) *shall not affect, abridge, or amend any valid existing rights of any surface owner of a specific tract or any adjacent tracts.*

(2) *TREATMENT OF LAND TRANSFERRED TO TRIBE.—*

(A) *IN GENERAL.—At the request of the Tribe, the Secretary shall take into trust for the benefit of the Tribe the mineral interests conveyed to the Tribe under paragraph (1)(A)(i).*

(B) *NO STATE TAXATION.—The mineral interests conveyed to the Tribe under paragraph (1)(A)(i) shall not be subject to taxation by the State (including any political subdivision of the State).*

(3) *REVENUE SHARING AGREEMENT.—The Tribe shall notify the Secretary, in writing, that—*

(A) *consistent with a settlement agreement entered into between the Tribe and the State in 2002, the Tribe and Great Northern Properties have agreed on a formula for sharing revenue from development of the mineral interests described in paragraph (1)(A)(ii) if those mineral interests are developed;*

(B) *the revenue sharing agreement remains in effect as of the date of enactment of this Act; and*

(C) *Great Northern Properties has offered to convey the mineral interests described in paragraph (1)(A)(i) to the Tribe.*

(4) *WAIVER OF LEGAL CLAIMS.*—As a condition of the conveyances of mineral interests under paragraph (1)(A)—

(A) *the Tribe shall waive any and all claims relating to the failure of the United States to acquire and take into trust on behalf of the Tribe the mineral interests described in paragraph (1)(A)(i), as directed by Congress in 1900; and*

(B) *Great Northern Properties shall waive any and all claims against the United States relating to the value of the coal mineral interests described in paragraph (1)(A)(ii).*

(5) *RESCISSION OF MINERAL CONVEYANCES.*—If any portion of the mineral interests conveyed under paragraph (1)(A) is invalidated by final judgment of a court of the United States—

(A) *not later than 1 year after the date on which the final judgment is rendered, the Secretary or Great Northern Properties may agree to rescind the conveyances under paragraph (1)(A); and*

(B) *if the conveyances are rescinded under subparagraph*

(A), *the waivers under paragraph (4) shall no longer apply.*

(d) *TRANSFER OF NORTHERN CHEYENNE TRUST FUND TO TRIBE.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act, all amounts in the Fund shall be deposited in the Permanent Fund.

(2) *USE OF AMOUNTS.*—Of the amounts transferred to the Permanent Fund under paragraph (1)—

(A) *the portion that is attributable to the principal of the Fund shall be maintained in perpetuity; and*

(B) *any interest earned on the amounts described in subparagraph (A) shall be used in the same manner as interest earned on amounts in the Permanent Fund may be used.*

(3) *WAIVER OF LEGAL CLAIMS.*—As a condition of the transfer under paragraph (1), the Tribe shall waive any and all claims arising from the management of the Fund by the United States.

(e) *LAND CONSOLIDATION AND FRACTIONATION REPORTING.*—

(1) *INVENTORY.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary, in consultation with the Tribe, shall prepare an inventory of fractionated land interests held by the United States in trust for the benefit of—

(i) *the Tribe; or*

(ii) *individual Indians on the Reservation.*

(B) *AGRICULTURAL PURPOSES.*—The inventory prepared by the Secretary under this paragraph shall include details currently available about fractionated land on the Reservation suitable for agricultural purposes.

(C) *SUBMISSION.*—The Secretary shall submit the inventory prepared under this paragraph to the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives by not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

(2) *REPORT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary, in consultation with the Tribe, shall prepare periodic reports regarding obstacles to consolidating trust land ownership on the Reservation.

(B) *CONTENTS.*—The reports under this paragraph shall include—

(i) a description of existing obstacles to consolidating trust land ownership, including the extent of fractionation;

(ii) a description of progress achieved by the Tribe toward reducing fractionation and increasing trust land ownership;

(iii) an analysis of progress achieved by the Tribe toward making agricultural use economical on trust land; and

(iv) any applicable outcomes and lessons learned from land consolidation activities undertaken pursuant to the Indian Land Consolidation Act (25 U.S.C. 2201 et seq.).

(C) *SUBMISSION.*—The Secretary shall submit the reports under this paragraph to the Committee on Indian Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Natural Resources of the House of Representatives not less frequently than once each calendar year for the 5-year period beginning on the date of enactment of this Act.

(f) *ELIGIBILITY FOR OTHER FEDERAL BENEFITS.*—The transfer under subsection (d) shall not result in the reduction or denial of any Federal service, benefit, or program to the Tribe or to any member of the Tribe to which the Tribe or member is entitled or eligible because of—

(1) the status of the Tribe as a federally recognized Indian tribe; or

(2) the status of the member as a member of the Tribe.

SEC. 3078. TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION, BADGER ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT, BARABOO, WISCONSIN.

(a) *DEFINITION.*—In this section, the term “Property” means approximately 1,553 acres, including federally owned structures thereon, located within the boundary of the former Badger Army Ammunition Plant near Baraboo, Wisconsin.

(b) *TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Administrative jurisdiction over the Property is hereby transferred from the Secretary of the Army to the Secretary of the Interior.

(2) *STRUCTURES.*—Upon receipt by the Secretary of the Interior of a resolution from the Ho-Chunk Nation accepting title to the structures, all federally owned structures on the Property are hereby transferred to the Ho-Chunk Nation in fee.

(3) *TRUST STATUS.*—The Property, less the structures thereon, shall be held in trust by the Secretary of the Interior for the benefit of the Ho-Chunk Nation and shall be a part of the reservation of the Ho-Chunk Nation.

(4) *LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—As soon as practicable after the transfer, the Secretary of the Interior, with the concurrence of the Secretary of the Army, shall publish in the Federal Register a legal description of the Property.

(c) *RETENTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE RESPONSIBILITIES BY THE ARMY.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Notwithstanding the transfer of the Property by subsection (b), the Secretary of the Army shall be responsible—

(A) for obtaining final case closure and no-action-required remedial determinations for the Property from the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources; and

(B) for any additional remedial actions, with respect to any hazardous substance remaining on the Property, found to be necessary to protect human health and the environment to support the recreational and grazing land reuse (including agricultural activities necessary to sustain such reuse) considered for the final case closure and no-action-required determinations of the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

(2) *LIMITATION.*—The responsibility described in paragraph (1) is limited to the remediation of releases of hazardous substances resulting from the activities of the Department of Defense that occurred before the date on which administrative jurisdiction of the Property is transferred under this section.

(3) *OTHER USES OF THE PROPERTY BY THE SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR OR THE HO-CHUNK NATION.*—The Secretary of the Interior shall not take any action to authorize, nor shall the Ho-Chunk Nation undertake or allow, any activity on or use of the Property inconsistent with the case closure conditions required by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources except as provided in this paragraph. Nothing in this section shall preclude the Ho-Chunk Nation from undertaking, in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and without any cost to the Department of Defense or the Department of the Interior, such additional action necessary to allow for uses of the Property other than uses that are consistent with the case closure conditions required by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

(4) *ACCESS BY THE UNITED STATES.*—(A) The United States retains and reserves a perpetual and assignable easement and right of access on, over, and through the Property, to enter upon the Property in any case in which an environmental response or corrective action is found to be necessary on the part of the United States, without regard to whether such environmental response or corrective action is on the Property or on adjoining or nearby lands. Such easement and right of access includes, without limitation, the right to perform any environmental investigation, survey, monitoring, sampling, testing, drilling, boring, coring, testpitting, installing monitoring or pumping wells or other treatment facilities, response action, corrective action, or any other action necessary for the United States to meet its responsibilities under applicable laws and as provided for in this section.

(B) In exercising such easement and right of access, the United States shall provide the property holder or owner and their successors or assigns, as the case may be, with reasonable notice of its intent to enter upon the Property and exercise its rights under this clause, which notice may be severely curtailed or even eliminated in emergency situations. The United States

shall use reasonable means to avoid and to minimize interference with the property holder's or owner's and their successors' and assigns', as the case may be, quiet enjoyment of the Property. At the completion of work, the work site shall be reasonably restored. Such easement and right of access includes the right to obtain and use utility services, including water, gas, electricity, sewer, and communications services available on the Property at a reasonable charge to the United States. Excluding the reasonable charges for such utility services, no fee, charge, or compensation will be due the property holder or owner, their successors and assigns, for the exercise of the easement and right of access hereby retained and reserved by the United States.

(C) In exercising such easement and right of access, neither the Ho-Chunk Nation nor its successors and assigns, as the case may be, shall have any claim at law or equity against the United States or any officer, employee, agent, contractor of any tier, or servant of the United States based on actions taken by the United States or its officers, employees, agents, contractors of any tier, or servants pursuant to and in accordance with this clause: Provided, however, that nothing in this paragraph shall be considered as a waiver by the Ho-Chunk Nation, its successors and assigns, of any remedy available to them under the Federal Tort Claims Act.

(d) TREATMENT OF EXISTING EASEMENTS, PERMIT RIGHTS, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—The transfer of administrative jurisdiction under this section recognizes and preserves, in perpetuity and without the right of revocation except as provided in paragraph (2), easements, permit rights, and rights-of-way and access to such easements and rights-of-way of any applicable utility service provider in existence at the time of the conveyance prior to the date of enactment of this Act. The rights recognized and preserved include the right to upgrade applicable utility services.

(2) TERMINATION.—An easement, permit right, or right-of-way recognized and preserved under paragraph (1) shall terminate only—

(A) on the relocation of an applicable utility service referred to in paragraph (1), and then only with respect to that portion of those utility facilities that are relocated; or

(B) with the consent of the holder of the easement, permit right, or right-of-way.

(3) ADDITIONAL EASEMENTS.—The Secretary of the Interior shall grant to a utility service provider, without consideration, such additional easements across the property transferred under this section as the Secretary considers necessary to accommodate the relocation or reconnection of a utility service existing prior to the date of enactment of this section on property held by the Secretary of the Interior in trust for the Ho-Chunk Nation.

(e) PROHIBITION ON GAMING.—Any real property taken into trust under this section shall not be eligible, or used, for any gaming activity carried out under the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq.).

(f) *LIABILITY OF THE UNITED STATES UNCHANGED.*—Nothing in this section shall diminish or increase the liability of the United States or otherwise affect the liability of the United States under any provision of law.

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Access and Property Issues

SEC. 3081. ENSURING PUBLIC ACCESS TO THE SUMMIT OF RATTLESNAKE MOUNTAIN IN THE HANFORD REACH NATIONAL MONUMENT.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—The Secretary of the Interior shall provide public access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain in the Hanford Reach National Monument for educational, recreational, historical, scientific, cultural, and other purposes, including—

- (1) motor vehicle access; and
- (2) pedestrian and other nonmotorized access.

(b) *COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.*—The Secretary of the Interior may enter into cooperative agreements to facilitate access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain—

- (1) with the Secretary of Energy, the State of Washington, or any local government agency or other interested persons, for guided tours, including guided motorized tours to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain; and
- (2) with the Secretary of Energy, and with the State of Washington or any local government agency or other interested persons, to maintain the access road to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain.

SEC. 3082. ANCHORAGE, ALASKA, CONVEYANCE OF REVERSIONARY INTERESTS.

(a) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) *CITY.*—The term “City” means the municipality of Anchorage, Alaska.

(2) *NON-FEDERAL LAND.*—The term “non-Federal land” means certain parcels of land located in the City and owned by the City, which are more particularly described as follows:

(A) Block 42, Original Townsite of Anchorage, Anchorage Recording District, Third Judicial District, State of Alaska, consisting of approximately 1.93 acres, commonly known as the Egan Center, Petrovich Park, and Old City Hall.

(B) Lots 9, 10, and 11, Block 66, Original Townsite of Anchorage, Anchorage Recording District, Third Judicial District, State of Alaska, consisting of approximately 0.48 acres, commonly known as the parking lot at 7th Avenue and I Street.

(C) Lot 13, Block 15, Original Townsite of Anchorage, Anchorage Recording District, Third Judicial District, State of Alaska, consisting of approximately 0.24 acres, an unimproved vacant lot located at H Street and Christensen Drive.

(3) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(b) **CONVEYANCE OF REVERSIONARY INTERESTS, ANCHORAGE, ALASKA.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary shall convey to the City, without consideration, the reversionary interests of the United States in and to the non-Federal land for the purpose of unencumbering the title to the non-Federal land to enable economic development of the non-Federal land.

(2) **LEGAL DESCRIPTIONS.**—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this Act, the exact legal descriptions of the non-Federal land shall be determined in a manner satisfactory to the Secretary.

(3) **COSTS.**—The City shall pay all costs associated with the conveyance under paragraph (1), including the costs of any surveys, recording costs, and other reasonable costs.

SEC. 3083. RELEASE OF PROPERTY INTERESTS IN BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND CONVEYED TO THE STATE OF OREGON FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF HERMISTON AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH AND EXTENSION CENTER.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **MAP.**—The term “Map” means the map entitled “Hermiston Agricultural Research and Extension Center” and dated April 7, 2014.

(2) **SECRETARY.**—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Director of the Bureau of Land Management.

(3) **STATE.**—The term “State” means the State of Oregon (acting through the Oregon State Board of Higher Education on behalf of Oregon State University).

(b) **RELEASE OF RETAINED INTERESTS.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Any reservation or reversionary interest retained by the United States to the approximately 290 acres in Hermiston, Oregon, depicted as “Reversionary Interest Area” on the Map, is hereby released without consideration.

(2) **INSTRUMENT OF RELEASE.**—The Secretary shall execute and file in the appropriate office a deed of release, amended deed, or other appropriate instrument reflecting the release of retained interests under paragraph (1).

(c) **CONVEYANCE OF ORPHAN PARCEL.**—Notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), not later than 180 days after the date on which the Secretary receives a request from the State, the Secretary shall convey to the State, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States to and in the approximately 6 acres identified on the Map as “Bureau of Land Management Administered Land”.

Subtitle I—Water Infrastructure

SEC. 3087. BUREAU OF RECLAMATION HYDROPOWER DEVELOPMENT.

Section 9 of the Act of August 11, 1939 (commonly known as the “Water Conservation and Utilization Act”) (16 U.S.C. 590z–7) is amended—

- (1) by striking “In connection with” and inserting “(a) IN GENERAL.—In connection with”; and
- (2) by adding at the end the following:
- “(b) CERTAIN LEASES AUTHORIZED.—
- “(1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding subsection (a), the Secretary—
- “(A) may enter into leases of power privileges for electric power generation in connection with any project constructed pursuant to this Act; and
- “(B) shall have authority over any project constructed pursuant to this Act in addition to and alternative to any existing authority relating to a particular project.
- “(2) PROCESS.—In entering into a lease of power privileges under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall use the processes, terms, and conditions applicable to a lease under section 9(c) of the Reclamation Project Act of 1939 (43 U.S.C. 485h(c)).
- “(3) FINDINGS NOT REQUIRED.—No findings under section 3 shall be required for a lease under paragraph (1).
- “(4) RIGHTS RETAINED BY LESSEE.—Except as otherwise provided under paragraph (5), all right, title, and interest in and to installed power facilities constructed by non-Federal entities pursuant to a lease under paragraph (1), and any direct revenues derived from that lease, shall remain with the lessee.
- “(5) LEASE CHARGES.—Notwithstanding section 8, lease charges shall be credited to the project from which the power is derived.
- “(6) EFFECT.—Nothing in this section alters or affects any agreement in effect on the date of enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 for the development of hydropower projects or disposition of revenues.”.

SEC. 3088. TOLEDO BEND HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT.

Notwithstanding section 3(2) of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 796(2)), Federal land within the Sabine National Forest or the Indian Mounds Wilderness Area occupied by the Toledo Bend Hydroelectric Project numbered 2305 shall not be considered to be—

- (1) a reservation, for purposes of section 4(e) of that Act (16 U.S.C. 797(e));
- (2) land or other property of the United States for purposes of recompensing the United States for the use, occupancy, or enjoyment of the land under section 10(e)(1) of that Act (16 U.S.C. 803(e)(1)); or
- (3) land of the United States, for purposes of section 24 of that Act (16 U.S.C. 818).

SEC. 3089. EAST BENCH IRRIGATION DISTRICT CONTRACT EXTENSION.

Section 2(1) of the East Bench Irrigation District Water Contract Extension Act (Public Law 112–139; 126 Stat. 390) is amended by striking “4 years” and inserting “10 years”.

Subtitle J—Other Matters

SEC. 3091. COMMEMORATION OF CENTENNIAL OF WORLD WAR I.

(a) LIBERTY MEMORIAL AS WORLD WAR I MUSEUM AND MEMORIAL.—

(1) *DESIGNATION OF LIBERTY MEMORIAL.*—*The Liberty Memorial of Kansas City at America's National World War I Museum in Kansas City, Missouri, is hereby designated as a "World War I Museum and Memorial".*

(2) *CEREMONIES.*—*The World War I Centennial Commission (in this section referred to as the "Commission") may plan, develop, and execute ceremonies to recognize the designation of the Liberty Memorial of Kansas City as a World War I Museum and Memorial.*

(b) *PERSHING PARK AS WORLD WAR I MEMORIAL.*—

(1) *REDESIGNATION OF PERSHING PARK.*—*Pershing Park in the District of Columbia is hereby redesignated as a "World War I Memorial".*

(2) *CEREMONIES.*—*The Commission may plan, develop, and execute ceremonies for the rededication of Pershing Park, as it approaches its 50th anniversary, as a World War I Memorial and for the enhancement of the General Pershing Commemorative Work as authorized by paragraph (3).*

(3) *AUTHORITY TO ENHANCE COMMEMORATIVE WORK.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*The Commission may enhance the General Pershing Commemorative Work by constructing on the land designated by paragraph (1) as a World War I Memorial appropriate sculptural and other commemorative elements, including landscaping, to further honor the service of members of the United States Armed Forces in World War I.*

(B) *GENERAL PERSHING COMMEMORATIVE WORK DEFINED.*—*In this subsection, the term "General Pershing Commemorative Work" means the memorial to the late John J. Pershing, General of the Armies of the United States, who commanded the American Expeditionary Forces in World War I, and to the officers and men under his command, as authorized by Public Law 89-786 (80 Stat. 1377).*

(4) *COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS FOR COMMEMORATIVE WORKS.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—*Except as provided in subparagraph (B), chapter 89 of title 40, United States Code, applies to the enhancement of the General Pershing Commemorative Work under this subsection.*

(B) *WAIVER OF CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS.*—

(i) *SITE SELECTION FOR MEMORIAL.*—*Section 8905 of such title does not apply with respect to the selection of the site for the World War I Memorial.*

(ii) *CERTAIN CONDITIONS.*—*Section 8908(b) of such title does not apply to this subsection.*

(5) *NO INFRINGEMENT UPON EXISTING MEMORIAL.*—*The World War I Memorial designated by paragraph (1) may not interfere with or encroach on the District of Columbia War Memorial.*

(6) *DEPOSIT OF EXCESS FUNDS.*—

(A) *USE FOR OTHER WORLD WAR I COMMEMORATIVE ACTIVITIES.*—*If, upon payment of all expenses for the enhancement of the General Pershing Commemorative Work under this subsection (including the maintenance and preserva-*

tion amount required by section 8906(b)(1) of title 40, United States Code), there remains a balance of funds received for such purpose, the Commission may use the amount of the balance for other commemorative activities authorized under the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272; 126 Stat. 2448).

(B) *USE FOR OTHER COMMEMORATIVE WORKS.*—If the authority for enhancement of the General Pershing Commemorative Work and the authority of the Commission to plan and conduct commemorative activities under the World War I Centennial Commission Act have expired and there remains a balance of funds received for the enhancement of the General Pershing Commemorative Work, the Commission shall transmit the amount of the balance to a separate account with the National Park Foundation, to be available to the Secretary of the Interior following the process provided in section 8906(b)(4) of title 40, United States Code, for accounts established under section 8906(b)(3) of such title, except that funds in such account may only be obligated subject to appropriation.

(7) *AUTHORIZATION TO COMPLETE CONSTRUCTION AFTER TERMINATION OF COMMISSION.*—Section 8 of the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272) is amended—

(A) in subsection (a), by striking “The Centennial Commission” and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c), the Centennial Commission”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(c) *EXCEPTION FOR COMPLETION OF WORLD WAR I MEMORIAL.*—The Centennial Commission may perform such work as is necessary to complete the rededication of a World War I Memorial and enhancement of the General Pershing Commemorative Work under section 3091(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, subject to section 8903 of title 40, United States Code.”.

(c) *ADDITIONAL AMENDMENTS TO WORLD WAR I CENTENNIAL COMMISSION ACT.*—

(1) *EX OFFICIO AND OTHER ADVISORY MEMBERS.*—Section 4 of the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272; 126 Stat. 2449) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) *EX OFFICIO AND OTHER ADVISORY MEMBERS.*—

“(1) *POWERS.*—The individuals listed in paragraphs (2) and (3), or their designated representative, shall serve on the Centennial Commission solely to provide advice and information to the members of the Centennial Commission appointed pursuant to subsection (b)(1), and shall not be considered members for purposes of any other provision of this Act.

“(2) *EX OFFICIO MEMBERS.*—The following individuals shall serve as ex officio members:

“(A) The Archivist of the United States.

“(B) The Librarian of Congress.

“(C) The Secretary of the Smithsonian Institution.

“(D) The Secretary of Education.

“(E) The Secretary of State.

“(F) The Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

“(G) *The Administrator of General Services.*

“(3) **OTHER ADVISORY MEMBERS.**—*The following individuals shall serve as other advisory members:*

“(A) *Four members appointed by the Secretary of Defense in the following manner: One from the Navy, one from the Marine Corps, one from the Army, and one from the Air Force.*

“(B) *Two members appointed by the Secretary of Homeland Security in the following manner: One from the Coast Guard and one from the United States Secret Service.*

“(C) *Two members appointed by the Secretary of the Interior, including one from the National Parks Service.*

“(4) **VACANCIES.**—*A vacancy in a member position under paragraph (3) shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made.”*

(2) **PAYABLE RATE OF STAFF.**—*Section 7(c)(2) of the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272; 126 Stat. 2451) is amended—*

(A) *in subparagraph (A), by striking the period at the end and inserting “, without regard to the provisions of chapter 51 and subchapter III of chapter 53 of title 5, United States Code, relating to classification and General Schedule pay rates.”; and*

(B) *in subparagraph (B), by striking “level IV” and inserting “level II”.*

(3) **LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FEDERAL FUNDS.**—

(A) **LIMITATION.**—*Section 9 of the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272; 126 Stat. 2453) is amended to read as follows:*

“SEC. 9. LIMITATION ON OBLIGATION OF FEDERAL FUNDS.

“No Federal funds may be obligated or expended for the designation, establishment, or enhancement of a memorial or commemorative work by the World War I Centennial Commission.”

(B) **CONFORMING AMENDMENT.**—*Section 7(f) of the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272; 126 Stat. 2452) is repealed.*

(C) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—*The item relating to section 9 in the table of contents of the World War I Centennial Commission Act (Public Law 112–272; 126 Stat. 2448) is amended to read as follows:*

“Sec. 9. Limitation on obligation of Federal funds.”

SEC. 3092. MISCELLANEOUS ISSUES RELATED TO LAS VEGAS VALLEY PUBLIC LAND AND TULE SPRINGS FOSSIL BEDS NATIONAL MONUMENT.

(a) **TULE SPRINGS FOSSIL BEDS NATIONAL MONUMENT.**—

(1) **DEFINITIONS.**—*In this subsection:*

(A) **COUNCIL.**—*The term “Council” means the Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument Advisory Council established by paragraph (6)(A).*

(B) **COUNTY.**—*The term “County” means Clark County, Nevada.*

(C) *LOCAL GOVERNMENT.*—The term “local government” means the City of Las Vegas, City of North Las Vegas, or the County.

(D) *MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—The term “management plan” means the management plan for the Monument developed under paragraph (3)(E).

(E) *MAP.*—The term “Map” means the map entitled “Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument Proposed Boundary”, numbered 963/123,142, and dated December 2013.

(F) *MONUMENT.*—The term “Monument” means the Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument established by paragraph (2)(A).

(G) *PUBLIC LAND.*—The term “public land” has the meaning given the term “public lands” in section 103 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1702).

(H) *PUBLIC WATER AGENCY.*—The term “public water agency” means a regional wholesale water provider that is engaged in the acquisition of water on behalf of, or the delivery of water to, water purveyors who are member agencies of the public water agency.

(I) *QUALIFIED ELECTRIC UTILITY.*—The term “qualified electric utility” means any public or private utility determined by the Secretary to be technically and financially capable of developing the high-voltage transmission facilities described in paragraph (4).

(J) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(K) *STATE.*—The term “State” means the State of Nevada.

(2) *ESTABLISHMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—In order to conserve, protect, interpret, and enhance for the benefit of present and future generations the unique and nationally important paleontological, scientific, educational, and recreational resources and values of the land described in this paragraph, there is established in the State, subject to valid existing rights, the Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument.

(B) *BOUNDARIES.*—The Monument shall consist of approximately 22,650 acres of public land in the County identified as “Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument”, as generally depicted on the Map.

(C) *MAP; LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall prepare an official map and legal description of the boundaries of the Monument.

(ii) *LEGAL EFFECT.*—The map and legal description prepared under clause (i) shall have the same force and effect as if included in this subsection, except that the Secretary may correct any clerical or typographical errors in the legal description or the map.

(iii) *AVAILABILITY OF MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—The map and legal description prepared under clause (i) shall be on file and available for public inspection

in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management and the National Park Service.

(D) ACQUISITION OF LAND.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Subject to clause (ii), the Secretary may acquire land or interests in land within the boundaries of the Monument by donation, purchase from a willing seller with donated or appropriated funds, exchange, or transfer from another Federal agency.

(ii) LIMITATIONS.—

(I) ACQUISITION OF CERTAIN LAND.—Land or interests in land that are owned by the State or a political subdivision of the State may be acquired under clause (i) only by donation or exchange.

(II) PROHIBITION OF CONDEMNATION.—No land or interest in land may be acquired under clause (i) by condemnation.

(E) WITHDRAWALS.—*Subject to valid existing rights and paragraphs (4) and (5), any land within the Monument or any land or interest in land that is acquired by the United States for inclusion in the Monument after the date of enactment of this section is withdrawn from—*

(i) entry, appropriation, or disposal under the public land laws;

(ii) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(iii) operation of the mineral leasing laws, geothermal leasing laws, and minerals materials laws.

(F) RELATIONSHIP TO CLARK COUNTY MULTI-SPECIES HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN.—

(i) AMENDMENT TO PLAN.—The Secretary shall credit, on an acre-for-acre basis, approximately 22,650 acres of the land conserved for the Monument under this section toward the development of additional non-Federal land within the County through an amendment to the Clark County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

(ii) EFFECT ON PLAN.—Nothing in this section otherwise limits, alters, modifies, or amends the Clark County Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

(G) TERMINATION OF UPPER LAS VEGAS WASH CONSERVATION TRANSFER AREA.—*The Upper Las Vegas Wash Conservation Transfer Area established by the Record of Decision dated October 21, 2011, for the Upper Las Vegas Wash Conservation Transfer Area Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement, is terminated.*

(3) ADMINISTRATION OF MONUMENT.—

(A) TRANSFER OF ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION.—*Administrative jurisdiction over the approximately 22,650 acres of public land depicted on the Map as “Tule Springs Fossil Bed National Monument” is transferred from the Bureau of Land Management to the National Park Service.*

(B) ADMINISTRATION.—*The Secretary shall administer the Monument—*

(i) in a manner that conserves, protects, interprets, and enhances the resources and values of the Monument; and

(ii) in accordance with—

(I) this subsection;

(II) the provisions of laws generally applicable to units of the National Park System (including the National Park Service Organic Act (16 U.S.C. 1 et seq.)); and

(III) any other applicable laws.

(C) **BUFFER ZONES.**—The establishment of the Monument shall not—

(i) lead to the creation of express or implied protective perimeters or buffer zones around or over the Monument;

(ii) preclude disposal or development of public land adjacent to the boundaries of the Monument, if the disposal or development is consistent with other applicable law; or

(iii) preclude an activity on, or use of, private land adjacent to the boundaries of the Monument, if the activity or use is consistent with other applicable law.

(D) **AIR AND WATER QUALITY.**—Nothing in this section alters the standards governing air or water quality outside the boundary of the Monument.

(E) **MANAGEMENT PLAN.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—Not later than 3 years after the date on which funds are made available to carry out this subparagraph, the Secretary shall develop a management plan that provides for the long-term protection and management of the Monument.

(ii) **COMPONENTS.**—The management plan—

(I) shall—

(aa) be prepared in accordance with section 12(b) of the National Park System General Authorities Act (16 U.S.C. 1a–7(b)); and

(bb) consistent with this subsection and the purposes of the Monument, allow for continued scientific research at the Monument; and

(II) may—

(aa) incorporate any appropriate decisions contained in an existing management or activity plan for the land designated as the Monument under paragraph (2)(A); and

(bb) use information developed in any study of land within, or adjacent to, the boundary of the Monument that was conducted before the date of enactment of this section.

(iii) **PUBLIC PROCESS.**—In preparing the management plan, the Secretary shall—

(I) consult with, and take into account the comments and recommendations of, the Council;

(II) provide an opportunity for public involvement in the preparation and review of the management plan, including holding public meetings;

(III) consider public comments received as part of the public review and comment process of the management plan; and

(IV) consult with governmental and nongovernmental stakeholders involved in establishing and improving the regional trail system to incorporate, where appropriate, trails in the Monument that link to the regional trail system.

(F) INTERPRETATION, EDUCATION, AND SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary shall provide for public interpretation of, and education and scientific research on, the paleontological resources of the Monument, with priority given to the onsite exhibition and curation of the resources, to the extent practicable.

(ii) COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—The Secretary may enter into cooperative agreements with the State, political subdivisions of the State, nonprofit organizations, and appropriate public and private entities to carry out clause (i).

(4) RENEWABLE ENERGY TRANSMISSION FACILITIES.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—On receipt of a complete application from a qualified electric utility, the Secretary, in accordance with applicable laws (including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et seq.)), shall issue to the qualified electric utility a 400-foot-wide right-of-way for the construction and maintenance of high-voltage transmission facilities depicted on the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013, as “Renewable Energy Transmission Corridor” if the high-voltage transmission facilities do not conflict with other previously authorized rights-of-way within the corridor.

(B) REQUIREMENTS.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—The high-voltage transmission facilities shall—

(I) be used—

(aa) primarily, to the maximum extent practicable, for renewable energy resources; and

(bb) to meet reliability standards set by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation, the Western Electricity Coordinating Council, or the public utilities regulator of the State; and

(II) employ best management practices identified as part of the compliance of the Secretary with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) to limit impacts on the Monument.

(ii) *CAPACITY.*—*The Secretary shall consult with the qualified electric utility that is issued the right-of-way under subparagraph (A) and the public utilities regulator of the State to seek to maximize the capacity of the high-voltage transmission facilities.*

(C) *TERMS AND CONDITIONS.*—*The issuance of a notice to proceed on the construction of the high-voltage transmission facilities within the right-of-way under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to terms and conditions that the Secretary (in consultation with the qualified electric utility), as part of the compliance of the Secretary with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), determines appropriate to protect and conserve the resources for which the Monument is managed.*

(D) *EXPIRATION OF RIGHT-OF-WAY.*—*The right-of-way issued under subparagraph (A) shall expire on the date that is 15 years after the date of enactment of this section if construction of the high-voltage transmission facilities described in subparagraph (A) has not been initiated by that date, unless the Secretary determines that it is in the public interest to continue the right-of-way.*

(5) *WATER CONVEYANCE FACILITIES.*—

(A) *WATER CONVEYANCE FACILITIES CORRIDOR.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—*On receipt of 1 or more complete applications from a public water agency and except as provided in clause (ii), the Secretary, in accordance with applicable laws (including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et seq.)), shall issue to the public water agency a 100-foot-wide right-of-way for the construction, maintenance, repair, and replacement of a buried water conveyance pipeline and associated facilities within the “Water Conveyance Facilities Corridor” and the “Renewable Energy Transmission Corridor” depicted on the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013.*

(ii) *LIMITATION.*—*A public water agency right-of-way shall not be granted under clause (i) within the portion of the Renewable Energy Transmission Corridor that is located along the Moccasin Drive alignment, which is generally between T. 18 S. and T. 19 S., Mount Diablo Baseline and Meridian.*

(B) *BURIED WATER CONVEYANCE PIPELINE.*—*On receipt of 1 or more complete applications from a unit of local government or public water agency, the Secretary, in accordance with applicable laws (including the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) and title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761 et seq.)), shall issue to the unit of local government or public water agency a 100-foot-wide right-of-way for the construction, operation, maintenance, repair, and replacement of a buried water conveyance pipeline to access the existing buried water pipeline turnout facility*

and surge tank located in the NE¹/₄ sec. 16 of T. 19 S. and R. 61 E.

(C) REQUIREMENTS.—

(i) BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES.—The water conveyance facilities shall employ best management practices identified as part of the compliance of the Secretary with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) to limit the impacts of the water conveyance facilities on the Monument.

(ii) CONSULTATIONS.—The water conveyance facilities within the “Renewable Energy Transmission Corridor” shall be sited in consultation with the qualified electric utility to limit the impacts of the water conveyance facilities on the high-voltage transmission facilities.

(D) TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—The issuance of a notice to proceed on the construction of the water conveyance facilities within the right-of-way under subparagraph (A) shall be subject to any terms and conditions that the Secretary, in consultation with the public water agency, as part of the compliance of the Secretary with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), determines appropriate to protect and conserve the resources for which the Monument is managed.

(6) TULE SPRINGS FOSSIL BEDS NATIONAL MONUMENT ADVISORY COUNCIL.—

(A) ESTABLISHMENT.—To provide guidance for the management of the Monument, there is established the Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument Advisory Council.

(B) MEMBERSHIP.—

(i) COMPOSITION.—The Council shall consist of 10 members, to be appointed by the Secretary, of whom—

(I) 1 member shall be a member of, or be nominated by, the County Commission;

(II) 1 member shall be a member of, or be nominated by, the city council of Las Vegas, Nevada;

(III) 1 member shall be a member of, or be nominated by, the city council of North Las Vegas, Nevada;

(IV) 1 member shall be a member of, or be nominated by, the tribal council of the Las Vegas Paiute Tribe;

(V) 1 member shall be a representative of the conservation community in southern Nevada;

(VI) 1 member shall be a representative of Nellis Air Force Base;

(VII) 1 member shall be nominated by the State;

(VIII) 1 member shall reside in the County and have a background that reflects the purposes for which the Monument was established; and

(IX) 2 members shall reside in the County or adjacent counties, both of whom shall have experience in the field of paleontology, obtained through higher education, experience, or both.

(ii) *INITIAL APPOINTMENT.*—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall appoint the initial members of the Council in accordance with clause (i).

(C) *DUTIES OF COUNCIL.*—The Council shall advise the Secretary with respect to the preparation and implementation of the management plan.

(D) *COMPENSATION.*—Members of the Council shall receive no compensation for serving on the Council.

(E) *CHAIRPERSON.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—Subject to clause (ii), the Council shall elect a Chairperson from among the members of the Council.

(ii) *LIMITATION.*—The Chairperson shall not be a member of a Federal or State agency.

(iii) *TERM.*—The term of the Chairperson shall be 3 years.

(F) *TERM OF MEMBERS.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—The term of a member of the Council shall be 3 years.

(ii) *SUCCESSORS.*—Notwithstanding the expiration of a 3-year term of a member of the Council, a member may continue to serve on the Council until—

(I) the member is reappointed by the Secretary;

or

(II) a successor is appointed.

(G) *VACANCIES.*—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—A vacancy on the Council shall be filled in the same manner in which the original appointment was made.

(ii) *APPOINTMENT FOR REMAINDER OF TERM.*—A member appointed to fill a vacancy on the Council—

(I) shall serve for the remainder of the term for which the predecessor was appointed; and

(II) may be nominated for a subsequent term.

(H) *TERMINATION.*—Unless an extension is jointly recommended by the Director of the National Park Service and the Director of the Bureau of Land Management, the Council shall terminate on the date that is 6 years after the date of enactment of this section.

(7) *WITHDRAWAL.*—Subject to valid existing rights, the land identified on the Map as “BLM Withdrawn Lands” is withdrawn from—

(A) entry under the public land laws;

(B) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(C) operation of the mineral leasing, geothermal leasing, and mineral materials laws.

(b) *ADDITION OF LAND TO RED ROCK CANYON NATIONAL CONSERVATION AREA.*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) *CONSERVATION AREA.*—The term “Conservation Area” means the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area

established by the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area Establishment Act of 1990 (16 U.S.C. 460ccc et seq.).

(B) MAP.—The term “Map” means the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013.

(C) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management.

(2) ADDITION OF LAND TO CONSERVATION AREA.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The Conservation Area is expanded to include the land depicted on the Map as “Additions to Red Rock NCA”.

(B) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—Not later than 2 years after the date on which the land is acquired, the Secretary shall update the management plan for the Conservation Area to reflect the management requirements of the acquired land.

(C) MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall finalize the legal description of the parcel to be conveyed under this subsection.

(ii) MINOR ERRORS.—The Secretary may correct any minor error in—

(I) the Map; or

(II) the legal description.

(iii) AVAILABILITY.—The Map and legal description shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(c) CONVEYANCE OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND TO NORTH LAS VEGAS.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) MAP.—The term “Map” means the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013.

(B) NORTH LAS VEGAS.—The term “North Las Vegas” means the city of North Las Vegas, Nevada.

(C) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management.

(2) CONVEYANCE.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section and subject to valid existing rights, upon the request of North Las Vegas, the Secretary shall convey to North Las Vegas, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (3).

(3) DESCRIPTION OF LAND.—The land referred to in paragraph (2) consists of the land managed by the Bureau of Land Management described on the Map as the “North Las Vegas Job Creation Zone” (including the interests in the land).

(4) MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall finalize the

legal description of the parcel to be conveyed under this subsection.

(B) *MINOR ERRORS.*—The Secretary may correct any minor error in—

- (i) the Map; or
- (ii) the legal description.

(C) *AVAILABILITY.*—The Map and legal description shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(5) *USE OF LAND FOR NONRESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—North Las Vegas may sell any portion of the land described in paragraph (3) for nonresidential development.

(B) *METHOD OF SALE.*—The sale of land under subparagraph (A) shall be carried out—

- (i) through a competitive bidding process; and
- (ii) for not less than fair market value.

(C) *FAIR MARKET VALUE.*—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the land under subparagraph (B)(ii) based on an appraisal that is performed in accordance with—

- (i) the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions;
- (ii) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices; and
- (iii) any other applicable law (including regulations).

(D) *DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS.*—The gross proceeds from the sale of land under subparagraph (A) shall be distributed in accordance with section 4(e) of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105-263; 112 Stat. 2345; 116 Stat. 2007; 117 Stat. 1317; 118 Stat. 2414; 120 Stat. 3045).

(6) *USE OF LAND FOR RECREATION OR OTHER PUBLIC PURPOSES.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—North Las Vegas may retain a portion of the land described in paragraph (3) for public recreation or other public purposes consistent with the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.) by providing written notice of the election to the Secretary.

(B) *REVOCATION.*—If North Las Vegas retains land for public recreation or other public purposes under subparagraph (A), North Las Vegas may—

- (i) revoke that election; and
- (ii) sell the land in accordance with paragraph (5).

(7) *ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.*—North Las Vegas shall pay all appraisal costs, survey costs, and other administrative costs necessary for the preparation and completion of any patents for, and transfers of title to, the land described in paragraph (3).

(8) *REVERSION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—If any parcel of land described in paragraph (3) is not conveyed for nonresidential development under this subsection or reserved for recreation or other public purposes under paragraph (6) by the date that is 30

years after the date of enactment of this section, the parcel of land shall, at the discretion of the Secretary, revert to the United States.

(B) *INCONSISTENT USE.*—If North Las Vegas uses any parcel of land described in paragraph (3) in a manner that is inconsistent with this subsection—

(i) at the discretion of the Secretary, the parcel shall revert to the United States; or

(ii) if the Secretary does not make an election under clause (i), North Las Vegas shall sell the parcel of land in accordance with this subsection.

(d) *CONVEYANCE OF BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT LAND TO LAS VEGAS.*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) *LAS VEGAS.*—The term “Las Vegas” means the city of Las Vegas, Nevada.

(B) *MAP.*—The term “Map” means the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013.

(C) *SECRETARY.*—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior, acting through the Bureau of Land Management.

(2) *CONVEYANCE.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, subject to valid existing rights, and notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), the Secretary shall convey to Las Vegas, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (3).

(3) *DESCRIPTION OF LAND.*—The land referred to in paragraph (2) consists of land managed by the Bureau of Land Management described on the Map as “Las Vegas Job Creation Zone” (including interests in the land).

(4) *MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall finalize the legal description of the parcel to be conveyed under this subsection.

(B) *MINOR ERRORS.*—The Secretary may correct any minor error in—

(i) the Map; or

(ii) the legal description.

(C) *AVAILABILITY.*—The Map and legal description shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.

(5) *USE OF LAND.*—

(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Las Vegas may sell any portion of the land described in paragraph (3) for nonresidential development.

(B) *METHOD OF SALE.*—The sale of land under subparagraph (A) shall be carried out, after consultation with the Las Vegas Paiute Tribe—

(i) through a competitive bidding process; and

(ii) for not less than fair market value.

(C) **FAIR MARKET VALUE.**—The Secretary shall determine the fair market value of the land under subparagraph (B)(ii) based on an appraisal that is performed in accordance with—

(i) the Uniform Appraisal Standards for Federal Land Acquisitions;

(ii) the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practices; and

(iii) any other applicable law (including regulations).

(D) **DISPOSITION OF PROCEEDS.**—The gross proceeds from the sale of land under subparagraph (A) shall be distributed in accordance with section 4(e) of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–263; 112 Stat. 2345; 116 Stat. 2007; 117 Stat. 1317; 118 Stat. 2414; 120 Stat. 3045).

(6) **USE OF LAND FOR RECREATION OR OTHER PUBLIC PURPOSES.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—Las Vegas may retain a portion of the land described in paragraph (3) for public recreation or other public purposes consistent with the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.) by providing written notice of the election to the Secretary.

(B) **REVOCATION.**—If Las Vegas retains land for public recreation or other public purposes under subparagraph (A), Las Vegas may—

(i) revoke that election; and

(ii) sell the land in accordance with paragraph (5).

(7) **ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS.**—Las Vegas shall pay all appraisal costs, survey costs, and other administrative costs necessary for the preparation and completion of any patents for, and transfers of title to, the land described in paragraph (3).

(8) **REVERSION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If any parcel of land described in paragraph (3) is not conveyed for nonresidential development under this subsection or reserved for recreation or other public purposes under paragraph (6) by the date that is 30 years after the date of enactment of this section, the parcel of land shall, at the discretion of the Secretary, revert to the United States.

(B) **INCONSISTENT USE.**—If Las Vegas uses any parcel of land described in paragraph (3) in a manner that is inconsistent with this subsection—

(i) at the discretion of the Secretary, the parcel shall revert to the United States; or

(ii) if the Secretary does not make an election under clause (i), Las Vegas shall sell the parcel of land in accordance with this subsection.

(e) **EXPANSION OF CONVEYANCE TO LAS VEGAS METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT.**—Section 703 of the Clark County Conservation of Public Land and Natural Resources Act of 2002 (Public Law 107–282; 116 Stat. 2013) is amended by inserting before the period at the end the following: “and, subject to valid existing rights, the

parcel of land identified as 'Las Vegas Police Shooting Range' on the map entitled 'North Las Vegas Valley Overview' and dated November 5, 2013".

(f) *SPRING MOUNTAINS NATIONAL RECREATION AREA WITHDRAWAL.*—Section 8 of the Spring Mountains National Recreation Area Act (16 U.S.C. 460hhh–6) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “for lands described” and inserting “as provided”; and

(2) by striking subsection (b) and inserting the following:

“(b) *EXCEPTIONS.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—Notwithstanding subsection (a), W¹/₂E¹/₂ and W¹/₂ sec. 27, T. 23 S., R. 58 E., Mt. Diablo Meridian is not subject to withdrawal under that subsection.

“(2) *EFFECT OF ENTRY UNDER PUBLIC LAND LAWS.*—Notwithstanding paragraph (1) of subsection (a), the following are not subject to withdrawal under that paragraph:

“(A) Any Federal land in the Recreation Area that qualifies for conveyance under Public Law 97–465 (commonly known as the ‘Small Tracts Act’) (16 U.S.C. 521c et seq.), which, notwithstanding section 7 of that Act (16 U.S.C. 521i), may be conveyed under that Act.

“(B) Any Federal land in the Recreation Area that the Secretary determines to be appropriate for conveyance by exchange for non-Federal land within the Recreation Area under authorities generally providing for the exchange of National Forest System land.”.

(g) *SOUTHERN NEVADA PUBLIC LAND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1998 AMENDMENTS.*—Section 4 of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–263; 112 Stat. 2344; 116 Stat. 2007) is amended—

(1) in the first sentence of subsection (a), by striking “dated October 1, 2002” and inserting “dated September 17, 2012”; and

(2) in subsection (g), by adding at the end the following:

“(5) Notwithstanding paragraph (4), subject to paragraphs (1) through (3), Clark County may convey to a unit of local government or regional governmental entity, without consideration, land located within the Airport Environs Overlay District, as identified in the Cooperative Management Agreement described in section 3(3) of the Southern Nevada Public Land Management Act of 1998 (Public Law 105–263; 112 Stat. 2343), if the land is used for a water or wastewater treatment facility or any other public purpose consistent with uses allowed under the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the ‘Recreation and Public Purposes Act’) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.).”.

(h) *CONVEYANCE OF LAND TO THE NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION.*—

(1) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) *BOARD OF REGENTS.*—The term “Board of Regents” means the Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education.

(B) *CAMPUSES.*—The term “Campuses” means the Great Basin College, College of Southern Nevada, and University of Las Vegas, Nevada, campuses.

(C) *FEDERAL LAND.*—The term “Federal land” means—

(i) the approximately 40 acres to be conveyed for the College of Southern Nevada, identified as “Parcel to be Conveyed”, as generally depicted on the map entitled “College of Southern Nevada Land Conveyance” and dated June 26, 2012;

(ii) the approximately 2,085 acres to be conveyed for the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, identified as “UNLV North Campus”, as generally depicted on the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013; and

(iii) the approximately 285 acres to be conveyed for the Great Basin College, identified as “Parcel to be Conveyed”, as generally depicted on the map entitled “College of Southern Nevada Land Conveyance” and dated June 26, 2012.

(D) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(E) STATE.—The term “State” means the State of Nevada.

(F) SYSTEM.—The term “System” means the Nevada System of Higher Education.

(2) CONVEYANCES OF FEDERAL LAND TO SYSTEM.—

(A) CONVEYANCES.—Notwithstanding section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712) and section 1(c) of the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869(c)), and subject to all valid existing rights and such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be necessary, the Secretary shall—

(i) not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this section, convey to the System, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to—

(I) the Federal land identified on the map entitled “Great Basin College Land Conveyance” and dated June 26, 2012, for the Great Basin College; and

(II) the Federal land identified on the map entitled “College of Southern Nevada Land Conveyance” and dated June 26, 2012, for the College of Southern Nevada, subject to the requirement that, as a precondition of the conveyance, the Board of Regents shall, by mutual assent, enter into a binding development agreement with the City of Las Vegas that—

(aa) provides for the orderly development of the Federal land to be conveyed under this item; and

(bb) complies with State law; and

(ii) convey to the System, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Federal land identified on the map entitled “North Las Vegas Valley Overview” and dated November 5, 2013, for the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, if the area identified as “Potential Utility Schedule” on the

map is reserved for use for a potential 400-foot-wide utility corridor of certain rights-of-way for transportation and public utilities.

(B) CONDITIONS.—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—As a condition of the conveyance under subparagraph (A), the Board of Regents shall agree in writing—

(I) to pay any administrative costs associated with the conveyance, including the costs of any environmental, wildlife, cultural, or historical resources studies;

(II) to use the Federal land conveyed for educational and recreational purposes; and

(III) to release and indemnify the United States from any claims or liabilities that may arise from uses carried out on the Federal land on or before the date of enactment of this section by the United States or any person.

(ii) *AGREEMENT WITH NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE.—*

(I) *IN GENERAL.*—The Federal land conveyed to the System under subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be used in accordance with the agreement entitled the “Cooperative Interlocal Agreement between the Board of Regents of the Nevada System of Higher Education, on Behalf of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, and the 99th Air Base Wing, Nellis Air Force Base, Nevada” and dated June 19, 2009.

(II) *MODIFICATIONS.*—Any modifications to the agreement described in subclause (I) or any related master plan shall require the mutual assent of the parties to the agreement.

(III) *LIMITATION.*—In no case shall the use of the Federal land conveyed under subparagraph (A)(ii) compromise the national security mission or navigation rights of Nellis Air Force Base.

(C) *USE OF FEDERAL LAND.*—The System may use the Federal land conveyed under subparagraph (A) for any public purposes consistent with uses allowed under the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 *et seq.*).

(D) REVERSION.—

(i) *IN GENERAL.*—If the Federal land or any portion of the Federal land conveyed under subparagraph (A) ceases to be used for the System, the Federal land, or any portion of the Federal land shall, at the discretion of the Secretary, revert to the United States.

(ii) *UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, LAS VEGAS.*—If the System fails to complete the first building or show progression toward development of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas campus on the applicable parcels of Federal land by the date that is 50 years after the date of receipt of certification of acceptable remediation of environmental conditions, the parcels of the Federal land

described in paragraph (1)(C)(ii) shall, at the discretion of the Secretary, revert to the United States.

(iii) COLLEGE OF SOUTHERN NEVADA.—If the System fails to complete the first building or show progression toward development of the College of Southern Nevada campus on the applicable parcels of Federal land by the date that is 12 years after the date of conveyance of the applicable parcels of Federal land to the College of Southern Nevada, the parcels of the Federal land described in paragraph (1)(C)(i) shall, at the discretion of the Secretary, revert to the United States.

(i) LAND CONVEYANCE FOR SOUTHERN NEVADA SUPPLEMENTAL AIRPORT.—

(1) FINDINGS.—Congress finds that—

(A) flood mitigation infrastructure is critical to the safe and uninterrupted operation of the proposed Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport authorized by the Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act (Public Law 106-362; 114 Stat. 1404); and

(B) through proper engineering, the land described in this subsection for flood mitigation infrastructure for the Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport may be consistent with the role of the Bureau of Land Management—

(i) to protect and prevent irreparable damage to—

(I) important historic, cultural, or scenic values;

(II) fish and wildlife resources; or

(III) other natural systems or processes; or

(ii) to protect life and safety from natural hazards in the County and nearby areas.

(2) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

(A) COUNTY.—The term “County” means Clark County, Nevada.

(B) MAP.—The term “Map” means the map entitled “Land Conveyance for Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport” and dated June 26, 2012.

(C) SECRETARY.—The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.

(3) LAND CONVEYANCE.—

(A) AUTHORIZATION OF CONVEYANCE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—As soon as practicable after the date described in subparagraph (B), subject to valid existing rights and subparagraph (C), and notwithstanding the land use planning requirements of sections 202 and 203 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1712, 1713), the Secretary shall convey to the County, without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the land described in paragraph (4), subject to such terms and conditions as the Secretary determines to be necessary.

(ii) COSTS.—The County shall be responsible for all costs associated with the conveyance under clause (i).

(B) DATE ON WHICH CONVEYANCE MAY BE MADE.—The Secretary shall not make the conveyance described in sub-

paragraph (A) until the later of the date on which the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration has—

(i) approved an airport layout plan for an airport to be located in the Ivanpah Valley; and

(ii) with respect to the construction and operation of an airport on the site conveyed to the County pursuant to section 2(a) of the Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act (Public Law 106-362; 114 Stat. 1404), issued a record of decision after the preparation of an environmental impact statement or similar analysis required under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.).

(C) **RESERVATION OF MINERAL RIGHTS.**—In conveying the public land under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall reserve the mineral estate, except for purposes related to flood mitigation (including removal from aggregate flood events).

(D) **WITHDRAWAL.**—Subject to valid existing rights, the public land to be conveyed under subparagraph (A) is withdrawn from—

(i) location, entry, and patent under the mining laws; and

(ii) operation of the mineral leasing and geothermal leasing laws.

(E) **USE.**—The public land conveyed under subparagraph (A) shall be used for the development of flood mitigation infrastructure for the Southern Nevada Supplemental Airport.

(F) **REVERSION AND REENTRY.**—

(i) **IN GENERAL.**—If the land conveyed to the County under the Ivanpah Valley Airport Public Lands Transfer Act (Public Law 106-362; 114 Stat. 1404) reverts to the United States, the land conveyed to the County under this subsection shall revert, at the option of the Secretary, to the United States.

(ii) **USE OF LAND.**—If the Secretary determines that the County is not using the land conveyed under this subsection for a purpose described in subparagraph (D), all right, title, and interest of the County in and to the land shall revert, at the option of the Secretary, to the United States.

(4) **DESCRIPTION OF LAND.**—The land referred to in paragraph (3) consists of the approximately 2,320 acres of land managed by the Bureau of Land Management and described on the Map as the “Conveyance Area”.

(5) **MAP AND LEGAL DESCRIPTION.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall prepare an official legal description and map of the parcel to be conveyed under this subsection.

(B) **MINOR ERRORS.**—The Secretary may correct any minor error in—

(i) the map prepared under subparagraph (A); or

(ii) the legal description.

(C) AVAILABILITY.—*The map prepared under subparagraph (A) and legal description shall be on file and available for public inspection in the appropriate offices of the Bureau of Land Management.*

(j) NELLIS DUNES OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA.—

(1) DEFINITIONS.—*In this subsection:*

(A) CITY.—*The term “City” means the city of North Las Vegas, Nevada.*

(B) CLARK COUNTY OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION PARK.—*The term “Clark County Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Park” means the approximately 960 acres of land identified on the Map as “Clark County Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Park”.*

(C) COUNTY.—*The term “County” means Clark County, Nevada.*

(D) MAP.—*The term “Map” means the map entitled “Nellis Dunes OHV Recreation Area” and dated December 17, 2013.*

(E) NELLIS DUNES OFF-HIGHWAY RECREATION AREA.—*The term “Nellis Dunes Off-Highway Recreation Area” means the approximately 10,035 acres of land identified on the Map as “Nellis Dunes OHV Recreation Area”.*

(F) SECRETARY.—*The term “Secretary” means the Secretary of the Interior.*

(G) STATE.—*The term “State” means the State of Nevada.*

(2) CONVEYANCE OF FEDERAL LAND TO COUNTY.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—*As soon as practicable after the date of enactment of this section, the Secretary shall convey to the County, subject to valid existing rights and subparagraph (B), without consideration, all right, title, and interest of the United States in and to the Clark County Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Park.*

(B) RESERVATION OF MINERAL ESTATE.—*In conveying the parcels of Federal land under subparagraph (A), the Secretary shall reserve the mineral estate, except for purposes related to flood mitigation (including removal from aggregate flood events).*

(C) USE OF CONVEYED LAND.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—*The parcels of land conveyed under subparagraph (A) may be used by the County for any public purposes described in clause (ii), consistent with the Act of June 14, 1926 (commonly known as the “Recreation and Public Purposes Act”) (43 U.S.C. 869 et seq.).*

(ii) AUTHORIZED USES.—*The land conveyed under subparagraph (A)—*

(I) *shall be used by the County—*

(aa) *to provide a suitable location for the establishment of a centralized off-road vehicle recreation park in the County;*

(bb) *to provide the public with opportunities for off-road vehicle recreation, including a location for races, competitive events, training and other commercial services that directly*

support a centralized off-road vehicle recreation area and County park;

(cc) to provide a designated area and facilities that would discourage unauthorized use of off-highway vehicles in areas that have been identified by the Federal Government, State government, or County government as containing environmentally sensitive land; and

(II) shall not be disposed of by the County.

(iii) REVERSION.—If the County ceases to use any parcel of land conveyed under subparagraph (A) for the purposes described in clause (ii)—

(I) title to the parcel shall revert to the Secretary, at the option of the Secretary; and

(II) the County shall be responsible for any reclamation necessary to revert the parcel to the United States.

(iv) MANAGEMENT PLAN.—The Secretary of the Air Force and the County, may develop a special management plan for the land conveyed under subparagraph (A)—

(I) to enhance public safety and safe off-highway vehicle recreation use in the Nellis Dunes Recreation Area;

(II) to ensure compatible development with the mission requirements of the Nellis Air Force Base; and

(III) to avoid and mitigate known public health risks associated with off-highway vehicle use in the Nellis Dunes Recreation Area.

(D) AGREEMENT WITH NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE.—

(i) IN GENERAL.—Before the Federal land may be conveyed to the County under subparagraph (A), the Clark County Board of Commissioners and Nellis Air Force Base shall enter into an interlocal agreement for the Federal land and the Nellis Dunes Recreation Area—

(I) to enhance safe off-highway recreation use; and

(II) to ensure that development of the Federal land is consistent with the long-term mission requirements of Nellis Air Force Base.

(ii) LIMITATION.—The use of the Federal land conveyed under subparagraph (A) shall not compromise the national security mission of Nellis Air Force Base.

(E) ADDITIONAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS.—With respect to the conveyance of Federal land under subparagraph (A), the Secretary may require such additional terms and conditions as the Secretary considers to be appropriate to protect the interests of the United States.

(3) DESIGNATION OF NELLIS DUNES OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE RECREATION AREA.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—The approximately 10,035 acres of land identified on the Map as the “Nellis Dunes OHV

Recreation Area” shall be known and designated as the “Nellis Dunes Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area”.

(B) *MANAGEMENT PLAN.*—The Secretary may develop a special management plan for the Nellis Dunes Off-Highway Recreation Area to enhance the safe use of off-highway vehicles for recreational purposes.

(k) *WITHDRAWAL AND RESERVATION OF LAND FOR NELLIS AIR FORCE BASE EXPANSION.*—

(1) *WITHDRAWALS.*—Section 3011(b) of the Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 886) is amended—

(A) in paragraph (4)—

(i) by striking “comprise approximately” and inserting the following: “comprise—

“(A) approximately”;

(ii) by striking the period at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

(iii) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) approximately 710 acres of land in Clark County, Nevada, identified as ‘Addition to Nellis Air Force Base’ on the map entitled ‘Nellis Dunes Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area’ and dated June 26, 2012; and

“(C) approximately 410 acres of land in Clark County, Nevada, identified as ‘Addition to Nellis Air Force Base’ on the map entitled ‘North Las Vegas Valley Overview’ and dated November 5, 2013.”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(6) *EXISTING MINERAL MATERIALS CONTRACTS.*—

“(A) *APPLICABILITY.*—Section 3022 shall not apply to any mineral material resource authorized for sale by the Secretary of the Interior under a valid contract for the duration of the contract.

“(B) *ACCESS.*—Notwithstanding any other provision of this subtitle, the Secretary of the Air Force shall allow adequate and reasonable access to mineral material resources authorized for sale by the Secretary of the Interior under a valid contract for the duration of the contract.”.

(2) *CONFORMING AMENDMENT.*—Section 3022 of the Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (Public Law 106–65; 113 Stat. 897) is amended by striking “section 3011(b)(5)(B)” and inserting “paragraphs (5)(B) and (6) of section 3011(b)”.

(l) *MILITARY OVERFLIGHTS.*—

(1) *FINDINGS.*—Congress finds that military aircraft testing and training activities in the State of Nevada—

(A) are an important part of the national defense system of the United States; and

(B) are essential in order to secure an enduring and viable national defense system for the current and future generations of people of the United States.

(2) *OVERFLIGHTS.*—Nothing in this section restricts or precludes any military overflight, including—

(A) low-level overflights of military aircraft over the Federal land;

(B) flight testing and evaluation; and

(C) the designation or creation of new units of special airspace, or the use or establishment of military flight training routes, over—

(i) the Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument established by subsection (a)(2)(A); or

(ii) the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area established by the Red Rock Canyon National Conservation Area Establishment Act of 1990 (16 U.S.C. 460ccc et seq.) (as modified by subsection (b)).

SEC. 3093. NATIONAL DESERT STORM AND DESERT SHIELD MEMORIAL.

(a) **DEFINITIONS.**—In this section:

(1) **ASSOCIATION.**—The term “Association” means the National Desert Storm Memorial Association, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Arkansas and described in section 501(c)(3) and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

(2) **MEMORIAL.**—The term “memorial” means the National Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial authorized to be established under subsection (b).

(b) **MEMORIAL TO COMMEMORATE.**—

(1) **AUTHORIZATION TO ESTABLISH COMMEMORATIVE WORK.**—The Association may establish the National Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial as a commemorative work, on Federal land in the District of Columbia to commemorate and honor those who, as a member of the Armed Forces, served on active duty in support of Operation Desert Storm or Operation Desert Shield.

(2) **COMPLIANCE WITH STANDARDS FOR COMMEMORATIVE WORKS ACT.**—The establishment of the commemorative work shall be in accordance with chapter 89 of title 40, United States Code (commonly known as the “Commemorative Works Act”).

(3) **USE OF FEDERAL FUNDS PROHIBITED.**—Federal funds may not be used to pay any expense of the establishment of the memorial. The Association shall be solely responsible for acceptance of contributions for, and payment of the expenses of, the establishment of the memorial.

(4) **DEPOSIT OF EXCESS FUNDS.**—

(A) **IN GENERAL.**—If upon payment of all expenses for the establishment of the memorial (including the maintenance and preservation amount required by section 8906(b)(1) of title 40, United States Code), there remains a balance of funds received for the establishment of the commemorative work, the Association shall transmit the amount of the balance to the Secretary of the Interior for deposit in the account provided for in section 8906(b)(3) of title 40, United States Code.

(B) **ON EXPIRATION OF AUTHORITY.**—If upon expiration of the authority for the commemorative work under section 8903(e) of title 40, United States Code, there remains a balance of funds received for the establishment of the commemorative work, the Association shall transmit the balance to a separate account with the National Park Foundation for memorials, to be available to the Secretary of the Interior or the Administrator (as appropriate) following the

process provided in section 8906(b)(4) of title 40, United States Code, for accounts established under section 8906(b)(2) or (3) of title 40, United States Code.

SEC. 3094. EXTENSION OF LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY FOR ESTABLISHMENT OF COMMEMORATIVE WORK IN HONOR OF FORMER PRESIDENT JOHN ADAMS.

Section 1 of Public Law 107-62 (40 U.S.C. 8903 note), as amended by Public Law 111-169, is amended—

(1) by striking “2013” and inserting “2020” in subsection (c); and

(2) by amending subsection (e) to read as follows:

“(e) **DEPOSIT OF EXCESS FUNDS FOR ESTABLISHED MEMORIAL.**—

“(1) If upon payment of all expenses for the establishment of the memorial (including the maintenance and preservation amount required by section 8906(b)(1) of title 40, United States Code), there remains a balance of funds received for the establishment of the commemorative work, the Adams Memorial Foundation shall transmit the amount of the balance to the account provided for in section 8906(b)(3) of title 40, United States Code.

“(2) If upon expiration of the authority for the commemorative work under section 8903(e) of title 40, United States Code, there remains a balance of funds received for the establishment of the commemorative work, the Adams Memorial Foundation shall transmit the amount of the balance to a separate account with the National Park Foundation for memorials, to be available to the Secretary of the Interior or the Administrator (as appropriate) following the process provided for in section 8906(b)(4) of title 40, United States Code, for accounts established under section 8906(b)(2) or (3) of title 40, United States Code.”.

SEC. 3095. REFINANCING OF PACIFIC COAST GROUND FISH FISHING CAPACITY REDUCTION LOAN.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Secretary of Commerce, upon receipt of such assurances as the Secretary considers appropriate to protect the interests of the United States, shall issue a loan to refinance the existing debt obligation funding the fishing capacity reduction program for the West Coast groundfish fishery implemented under section 212 of the Department of Commerce and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2003 (title II of division B of Public Law 108-7; 117 Stat. 80).

(b) **APPLICABLE LAW.**—Except as otherwise provided in this section, the Secretary shall issue the loan under this section in accordance with subsections (b) through (e) of section 312 of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1861a) and sections 53702 and 53735 of title 46, United States Code.

(c) **LOAN TERM.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 53735(c)(4) of title 46, United States Code, a loan under this section shall have a maturity that expires at the end of the 45-year period beginning on the date of issuance of the loan.

(2) **EXTENSION.**—Notwithstanding paragraph (1) and if there is an outstanding balance on the loan after the period described

in paragraph (1), a loan under this section shall have a maturity of 45 years or until the loan is repaid in full.

(d) **LIMITATION ON FEE AMOUNT.**—Notwithstanding section 312(d)(2)(B) of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1861a(d)(2)(B)), the fee established by the Secretary with respect to a loan under this section shall not exceed 3 percent of the ex-vessel value of the harvest from each fishery for where the loan is issued.

(e) **INTEREST RATE.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding section 53702(b)(2) of title 46, United States Code, the annual rate of interest an obligor shall pay on a direct loan obligation under this section is the percent the Secretary must pay as interest to borrow from the Treasury the funds to make the loan.

(2) **SUBLOANS.**—Each subloan under the loan authorized by this section—

(A) shall receive the interest rate described in paragraph (1); and

(B) may be paid off at any time notwithstanding subsection (c)(1).

(f) **EX-VESSEL LANDING FEE.**—

(1) **CALCULATIONS AND ACCURACY.**—The Secretary shall set the ex-vessel landing fee to be collected for payment of the loan under this section—

(A) as low as possible, based on recent landings value in the fishery, to meet the requirements of loan repayment;

(B) upon issuance of the loan in accordance with paragraph (2); and

(C) on a regular interval not to exceed every 5 years beginning on the date of issuance of the loan.

(2) **DEADLINE FOR INITIAL EX-VESSEL LANDINGS FEE CALCULATION.**—Not later than 60 days after the date of issuance of the loan under this section, the Secretary shall recalculate the ex-vessel landing fee based on the most recent value of the fishery.

(g) **AUTHORIZATION.**—There is authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Commerce to carry out this section an amount equal to 1 percent of the amount of the loan authorized under this section for purposes of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661 et seq.).

SEC. 3096. PAYMENTS IN LIEU OF TAXES.

For payments in lieu of taxes under chapter 69 of title 31, United States Code, which shall be available without further appropriation to the Secretary of the Interior—

(1) \$33,000,000 for fiscal year 2015; and

(2) \$37,000,000 to be available for obligation and payment beginning on October 1, 2015.

Funds available for obligation and payment under paragraph (2) shall be paid in October 2015.

**DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZA-
TIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS**

**TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

- Sec. 3101. National Nuclear Security Administration.
Sec. 3102. Defense environmental cleanup.
Sec. 3103. Other defense activities.*

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

- Sec. 3111. Design and use of prototypes of nuclear weapons for intelligence purposes.
Sec. 3112. Plutonium pit production capacity.
Sec. 3113. Life-cycle cost estimates of certain atomic energy defense capital assets.
Sec. 3114. Expansion of requirement for independent cost estimates on life extension programs and new nuclear facilities.
Sec. 3115. Definition of baseline and threshold for stockpile life extension project.
Sec. 3116. Authorized personnel levels of National Nuclear Security Administration.
Sec. 3117. Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration.
Sec. 3118. Cost containment for Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project.
Sec. 3119. Production of nuclear warhead for long-range standoff weapon.
Sec. 3120. Disposition of weapons-usable plutonium.
Sec. 3121. Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security.
Sec. 3122. Limitation on availability of funds for certain nonproliferation activities between the United States and the Russian Federation.
Sec. 3123. Identification of amounts required for uranium technology sustainment in budget materials for fiscal year 2016.*

Subtitle C—Plans and Reports

- Sec. 3131. Analysis and report on W88 Alt 370 program high explosives options.
Sec. 3132. Analysis of existing facilities and sense of Congress with respect to plutonium strategy.
Sec. 3133. Plan for verification and monitoring of proliferation of nuclear weapons and fissile material.
Sec. 3134. Comments of Administrator for Nuclear Security and Chairman of Nuclear Weapons Council on final report of Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise.*

Subtitle D—Other Matters

- Sec. 3141. Establishment of Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health; extension of authority of Office of Ombudsman for Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.
Sec. 3142. Technical corrections to Atomic Energy Defense Act.
Sec. 3143. Technical corrections to National Nuclear Security Administration Act.
Sec. 3144. Technology Commercialization Fund.*

***Subtitle A—National Security Programs
Authorizations***

SEC. 3101. NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

(a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for the activities of the National Nuclear Security Admin-

istration in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.**—From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration as follows:

Project 15–D–613, Emergency Operations Center, Y–12 National Security Complex, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, \$2,000,000.

Project 15–D–612, Emergency Operations Center, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, California, \$2,000,000.

Project 15–D–611, Emergency Operations Center, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico, \$4,000,000.

Project 15–D–302, TA–55 Reinvestment Project Phase III, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, \$16,062,000.

Project 15–D–301, High Explosive Science and Engineering Facility, Pantex Plant, Amarillo, Texas, \$11,800,000.

Project 15–D–904, Overpack Storage Expansion 3, Naval Reactors Facility, Idaho, \$400,000.

Project 15–D–903, Fire System Upgrade, Knolls Atomic Power Laboratory, Schenectady, New York, \$600,000.

Project 15–D–902, Engine Room Team Trainer Facility, Kesselring Site, West Milton, New York, \$1,500,000.

Project 15–D–901, Central Office and Prototype Staff Building, Kesselring Site, West Milton, New York, \$24,000,000.

SEC. 3102. DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP.

(a) **AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.**—Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for defense environmental cleanup activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.**—From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy may carry out, for defense environmental cleanup activities, the following new plant projects:

Project 15–D–401, KW Basin Sludge Removal Project, Hanford, Washington, \$26,290,000.

Project 15–D–402, Saltstone Disposal Unit #6, Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina, \$34,642,000.

Project 15–D–405, Sludge Processing Facility Build Out, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, \$4,200,000.

Project 15–D–406, Hexavalent Chromium Pump and Treatment Remedy Project, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico, \$28,600,000.

Project 15–D–409, Low Activity Waste Pretreatment System, Hanford, Washington, \$23,000,000.

SEC. 3103. OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2015 for other defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

SEC. 3111. DESIGN AND USE OF PROTOTYPES OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS FOR INTELLIGENCE PURPOSES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 4509 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2660) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) PROTOTYPES.—(1) Not later than the date on which the President submits to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the budget for fiscal year 2016, the directors of the national security laboratories shall jointly develop a multiyear plan to design and build prototypes of nuclear weapons to further intelligence estimates with respect to foreign nuclear weapons activities and capabilities.

“(2) Not later than the date on which the President submits to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code, the budget for an even-numbered fiscal year occurring after fiscal year 2017, the directors shall jointly develop an update to the plan developed under paragraph (1).

“(3)(A) The directors shall jointly submit to the Secretary of Energy and the Director of National Intelligence the plan and each update developed under paragraphs (1) and (2), respectively.

“(B) Not later than 30 days after the date on which the directors submit the plan or an update under subparagraph (A), the Secretary—

“(i) shall submit to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees the plan or update, as the case may be, without change; and

“(ii) may include, with the plan or update submitted under clause (i), the views of the Secretary with respect to the plan or update.

“(4)(A) The Secretary, in coordination with the directors, shall carry out the plan developed under paragraph (1), including the updates to the plan developed under paragraph (2).

“(B) The Secretary may determine the manner in which the designing and building of prototypes of nuclear weapons is carried out under such plan.

“(C) The Secretary shall promptly submit to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees written notification of any changes the Secretary makes to such plan pursuant to subparagraph (B), including justifications for such changes.”

(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—Such section is further amended—

(1) by redesignating subsection (b) as subsection (c); and

(2) by inserting after subsection (a) the following new subsection (b):

“(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—(1) The directors shall ensure that the plan developed and updated under subsection (a) provides increased information upon which to base intelligence assessments and emphasizes the competencies of the national security laboratories with respect to designing and building prototypes of nuclear weapons.

“(2) To carry out paragraph (1), the plan developed and updated under subsection (a) shall include the following:

“(A) Design and system engineering activities of full-scale engineering prototypes (using surrogate special nuclear materials), including weaponization features as required.

“(B) Design, system engineering, and experimental testing (using surrogate special nuclear materials) of above-ground experiment test hardware.

“(C) Design and system engineering of scaled or subcomponent experimental test articles (using special nuclear materials) for conducting experiments at the Nevada National Security Site.”.

(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Subsection (c) of such section, as redesignated by subsection (b), is amended by striking “subsection (a), the Administrator” and inserting “this section, the Secretary”.

SEC. 3112. PLUTONIUM PIT PRODUCTION CAPACITY.

(a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

(1) the requirement to create a modern, responsive nuclear infrastructure that includes the capability and capacity to produce, at minimum, 50 to 80 pits per year, is a national security priority;

(2) delaying creation of a modern, responsive nuclear infrastructure until the 2030s is an unacceptable risk to the nuclear deterrent and the national security of the United States; and

(3) timelines for creating certain capacities for production of plutonium pits and other nuclear weapons components must be driven by the requirement to hedge against technical and geopolitical risk and not solely by the needs of life extension programs.

(b) PIT PRODUCTION.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 4219. PLUTONIUM PIT PRODUCTION CAPACITY.

“(a) REQUIREMENT.—Consistent with the requirements of the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Energy shall ensure that the nuclear security enterprise—

“(1) during 2021, begins production of qualification plutonium pits;

“(2) during 2024, produces not less than 10 war reserve plutonium pits;

“(3) during 2025, produces not less than 20 war reserve plutonium pits;

“(4) during 2026, produces not less than 30 war reserve plutonium pits; and

“(5) during a pilot period of not less than 90 days during 2027 (subject to subsection (b)), demonstrates the capability to produce war reserve plutonium pits at a rate sufficient to produce 80 pits per year.

“(b) AUTHORIZATION OF TWO-YEAR DELAY OF DEMONSTRATION REQUIREMENT.—The Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Defense may jointly delay, for not more than two years, the requirement under subsection (a)(5) if—

“(1) the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing—

“(A) the justification for the proposed delay;

“(B) the effects of the proposed delay on stockpile stewardship and modernization, life extension programs, future stockpile strategy, and dismantlement efforts; and

“(C) whether the proposed delay is consistent with national policy regarding creation of a responsive nuclear infrastructure; and

“(2) the Commander of the United States Strategic Command submits to the congressional defense committees a report containing the assessment of the Commander with respect to the potential risks to national security of the proposed delay in meeting—

“(A) the nuclear deterrence requirements of the United States Strategic Command; and

“(B) national requirements related to creation of a responsive nuclear infrastructure.

“(c) ANNUAL CERTIFICATION.—Not later than March 1, 2015, and each year thereafter through 2027 (or, if the authority under subsection (b) is exercised, 2029), the Secretary of Energy shall certify to the congressional defense committees and the Secretary of Defense that the programs and budget of the Secretary of Energy will enable the nuclear security enterprise to meet the requirements under subsection (a).

“(d) PLAN.—If the Secretary of Energy does not make a certification under subsection (c) by March 1 of any year in which a certification is required under that subsection, by not later than May 1 of such year, the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council shall submit to the congressional defense committees a plan to enable the nuclear security enterprise to meet the requirements under subsection (a). Such plan shall include identification of the resources of the Department of Energy that the Chairman determines should be redirected to support the plan to meet such requirements.”.

(2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents for such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4218 the following new item:

“Sec. 4219. Plutonium pit production capacity.”.

SEC. 3113. LIFE-CYCLE COST ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE CAPITAL ASSETS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLVII of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2741 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“SEC. 4714. LIFE-CYCLE COST ESTIMATES OF CERTAIN ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE CAPITAL ASSETS.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Energy shall ensure that an independent life-cycle cost estimate under Department of Energy Order 413.3 (relating to program management and project management for the acquisition of capital assets) of each capital asset described in subsection (b) is conducted before the asset achieves critical decision 2 in the acquisition process.

“(b) **CAPITAL ASSETS DESCRIBED.**—A capital asset described in this subsection is an atomic energy defense capital asset—

“(1) the total project cost of which exceeds \$100,000,000; and

“(2) the purpose of which is to perform a limited-life, single-purpose mission.

“(c) **INDEPENDENT DEFINED.**—For purposes of subsection (a), the term ‘independent’, with respect to a life-cycle cost estimate of a capital asset, means that the life-cycle cost estimate is prepared by an organization independent of the project sponsor, using the same detailed technical and procurement information as the sponsor, to determine if the life-cycle cost estimate of the sponsor is accurate and reasonable.”

(b) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by inserting after the item relating to section 4713 the following new item:

“Sec. 4714. Life-cycle cost estimates of certain atomic energy defense capital assets.”

SEC. 3114. EXPANSION OF REQUIREMENT FOR INDEPENDENT COST ESTIMATES ON LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAMS AND NEW NUCLEAR FACILITIES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Subsection (b)(1) of section 4217 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537) is amended—

(1) by redesignating subparagraphs (A), (B), and (C) as clauses (i), (ii), and (iii), respectively, and by moving such clauses, as so redesignated, two ems to the right;

(2) in clause (iii), as redesignated by paragraph (1), by striking “critical decision 2” and inserting “critical decision 1 and before such facility achieves critical decision 2”;

(3) in the matter preceding clause (i), as so redesignated, by striking “an independent cost estimate of”;

(4) by inserting before clause (i), as so redesignated, the following:

“(A) An independent cost estimate of the following:”; and

(5) by adding at the end the following:

“(B) An independent cost review of each nuclear weapon system undergoing life extension at the completion of phase 6.2, relating to study of feasibility and down-select.”

(b) **TECHNICAL AND CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.**—Such section is further amended—

(1) in the section heading, by striking “**estimates on**” and inserting “**estimates and reviews of**”; and

(2) in subsection (b)—

(A) in the subsection heading, by inserting “**AND REVIEWS**” after “**ESTIMATES**”; and

(B) in paragraphs (2) and (3), by inserting “or review” after “estimate” each place it appears.

(c) **CLERICAL AMENDMENT.**—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4217 and inserting the following new item:

“Sec. 4217. Selected Acquisition Reports and independent cost estimates and reviews of life extension programs and new nuclear facilities.”

SEC. 3115. DEFINITION OF BASELINE AND THRESHOLD FOR STOCKPILE LIFE EXTENSION PROJECT.

Section 4713 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2753) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a)(1)(A), by adding after the period the following new sentence: “In addition to the requirement under subparagraph (B), the cost and schedule baseline of a nuclear stockpile life extension project established under this subparagraph shall be the cost and schedule as described in the first Selected Acquisition Report submitted under section 4217(a) for the project.”; and

(2) in subsection (b)(2), by striking “200” and inserting “150”.

SEC. 3116. AUTHORIZED PERSONNEL LEVELS OF NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

(a) **FULL-TIME EQUIVALENT PERSONNEL LEVELS.**—Subsection (a) of section 3241A of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441a) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (1)—

(A) by striking “2014” and inserting “2015”; and
(B) by striking “1,825” and inserting “1,690”; and

(2) in paragraph (2)—

(A) by striking “2015” and inserting “2016”; and
(B) by striking “1,825” and inserting “1,690”.

(b) **DEFINITION.**—Such section is further amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(e) **OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR EMPLOYEES.**—In this section, the term ‘Office of the Administrator’, with respect to the employees of the Administration, includes employees whose funding is derived from an account of the Administration titled ‘Federal Salaries and Expenses.’”.

SEC. 3117. COST ESTIMATION AND PROGRAM EVALUATION BY NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION.

Section 3221(h) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2411(h)) is amended—

(1) by redesignating paragraphs (1) and (2) as paragraphs (2) and (3), respectively; and

(2) by inserting before paragraph (2), as so redesignated, the following new paragraph (1):

“(1) **ADMINISTRATION.**—The term ‘Administration’, with respect to any authority, duty, or responsibility provided by this section, does not include the Office of Naval Reactors.”.

SEC. 3118. COST CONTAINMENT FOR URANIUM CAPABILITIES REPLACEMENT PROJECT.

Section 3123 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2177), as amended by section 3126 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1063), is further amended—

(1) by striking subsections (g) and (h);

(2) by redesignating subsections (e) and (f) as subsections (f) and (g), respectively; and

(3) by striking subsection (d) and inserting the following new subsections:

“(d) **COST OF PHASE I.**—

“(1) **LIMITATION.**—The total cost of Phase I under subsection (a) of the project referred to in that subsection may not exceed \$4,200,000,000.

“(2) ADJUSTMENT.—If the Secretary determines the total cost of Phase I under subsection (a) of the project referred to in that subsection will exceed the amount set forth in paragraph (1), the Secretary may adjust that amount if, by not later than March 1, 2015, the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a detailed justification for the adjustment, including—

“(A) the amount of the adjustment and the proposed total cost of Phase I;

“(B) a detailed justification for the adjustment, including a description of the changes to the project that would be required for Phase I to not exceed the total cost set forth in paragraph (1);

“(C) a detailed description of the actions taken to hold appropriate contractors, employees of contractors, and employees of the Federal Government accountable for the repeated failures within the project;

“(D) a description of the clear lines of responsibility, authority, and accountability for the project as the project continues, including descriptions of the roles and responsibilities for each key Federal and contractor position; and

“(E) a detailed description of the structural reforms planned or implemented by the Secretary to ensure Phase I is executed on time and on schedule.

“(3) ANNUAL CERTIFICATION.—Not later than March 1 of each year through 2025, the Secretary shall certify in writing to the congressional defense committees and the Secretary of Defense that Phase I under subsection (a) of the project referred to in that subsection will—

“(A) not exceed the total cost set forth in paragraph (1) (as adjusted pursuant to paragraph (2), if so adjusted); and

“(B) meet a schedule that enables, by not later than 2025—

“(i) uranium operations in building 9212 to cease; and

“(ii) uranium operations in a new facility constructed under the project to begin.

“(4) REPORT.—If the Secretary of Energy does not make a certification under paragraph (3) by March 1 of any year in which a certification is required under that paragraph, by not later than May 1 of that year, the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report that identifies the resources of the Department of Energy that the Chairman determines should be redirected to enable the Department of Energy to meet the total cost and schedule requirements described in subparagraphs (A) and (B) of that paragraph.

“(e) TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS DURING PHASE I.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Critical decision 3 in the acquisition process may not be approved for Phase I under subsection (a) of the project referred to in that subsection until all processes (or substitute processes) that require Category I and II special nuclear material protection and are actively used to support the stockpile in building 9212—

“(A) are present in the facility to be built under Phase I with a technology readiness level of 7 or higher; or

“(B) can be accommodated in other facilities of the Y-12 National Security Complex with a technology readiness level of 7 or higher.

“(2) **TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVEL DEFINED.**—In this subsection, the term ‘technology readiness level’ has the meaning given that term in Department of Energy Guide 413.3-4A (relating to technology readiness assessment).”; and

(4) in subsection (f), as redesignated by paragraph (2), by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

“(3) **REPORT.**—Not later than March 1, 2015, the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of the Navy shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees a report detailing the implementation of paragraphs (1) and (2), including—

“(A) a description of the program management, oversight, design, and other responsibilities for the project referred to in subsection (a) that are provided to the Commander of the Naval Facilities Engineering Command pursuant to paragraph (1); and

“(B) a description of the funding used by the Secretary under paragraph (2) to carry out paragraph (1).”.

SEC. 3119. PRODUCTION OF NUCLEAR WARHEAD FOR LONG-RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON.

(a) **FIRST PRODUCTION UNIT.**—The Secretary of Energy shall deliver a first production unit for a nuclear warhead for the long-range standoff weapon by not later than September 30, 2025.

(b) **AUTHORIZATION OF ONE-YEAR DELAY.**—The Secretary may delay the requirement under subsection (a) by not more than one year if the Commander of the United States Strategic Command certifies to the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council (established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code) and the congressional defense committees that the delay—

(1) is in the interest of national security; and

(2) does not negatively affect the ability of the Commander to meet nuclear deterrence and assurance requirements.

(c) **PLAN.**—

(1) **DEVELOPMENT.**—The Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Defense shall jointly develop a plan to carry out subsection (a).

(2) **SUBMISSION.**—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretaries shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees the plan developed under paragraph (1).

(d) **NOTIFICATION AND ASSESSMENT.**—

(1) **NOTIFICATION.**—If at any time the Secretary of Energy determines that the Secretary will not deliver a first production unit for a nuclear warhead for the long-range standoff weapon by not later than September 30, 2025 (or, if the authority under subsection (b) is exercised, September 30, 2026), the Secretary shall—

(A) notify the congressional defense committees, the Secretary of Defense, and the Commander of the United States Strategic Command of such determination; and

(B) include in the notification under subparagraph (A) an explanation for why the delivery will be delayed.

(2) ASSESSMENT.—If the Secretary of Energy makes a notification under paragraph (1)(A), the Commander of the United States Strategic Command shall submit to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the delay described in the notification, including—

(A) the effects of such delay to national security and nuclear deterrence and assurance; and

(B) any mitigation options available.

(e) BRIEFING.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Commander of the United States Strategic Command, shall provide to the congressional defense committees a briefing on the justification for the long-range standoff weapon, including—

(1) why such weapon is needed, including any potential redundancies with existing weapons;

(2) the estimated cost of such weapon; and

(3) what warhead, existing or otherwise, is planned to be used for such weapon.

SEC. 3120. DISPOSITION OF WEAPONS-USABLE PLUTONIUM.

(a) MIXED OXIDE FUEL FABRICATION FACILITY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Using funds described in paragraph (2), the Secretary of Energy shall carry out construction and project support activities relating to the MOX facility.

(2) FUNDS DESCRIBED.—The funds described in this paragraph are the following:

(A) Funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security Administration for the MOX facility for construction and project support activities.

(B) Funds authorized to be appropriated for a fiscal year prior to fiscal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security Administration for the MOX facility for construction and project support activities that are unobligated as of the date of the enactment of this Act.

(b) STUDY.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall seek to enter into a contract with a federally funded research and development center to conduct a study to assess and validate the analysis of the Secretary with respect to surplus weapon-grade plutonium options.

(2) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the federally funded research and development center conducting the study under paragraph (1) shall submit to the Secretary a report on the study, including any findings and recommendations.

(c) REPORT.—

(1) PLAN.—Not later than 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the study conducted under subsection (b)(1).

(2) *ELEMENTS INCLUDED.*—The report under paragraph (1) shall include the following:

(A) The report of the federally funded research and development center under subsection (b)(2), without change.

(B) Identification of the alternatives to the MOX facility considered by the Secretary, including a life-cycle cost analysis for each such alternative.

(C) Identification of the portions of such life cycle cost analyses that are common to all such alternatives.

(D) Discussion on continuation of the MOX facility, including a future funding profile or a detailed discussion of selected alternatives determined appropriate by the Secretary for such discussion.

(E) Discussion of the issues regarding implementation of such selected alternatives, including all regulatory and public acceptance issues, including interactions with affected States.

(F) Explanation of how the alternatives to the MOX facility conform with the Plutonium Disposition Agreement, and if an alternative does not so conform, what measures must be taken to ensure conformance.

(G) Identification of steps the Secretary would have to take to close out all activities related to the MOX facility, as well as the associated cost.

(H) Any other matters the Secretary determines appropriate.

(d) *EXCLUSION OF CERTAIN OPTIONS.*—

(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The study under subsection (b)(1) and the report under subsection (c)(1) shall not include any assessment or discussion of options that involve moving plutonium to a State where the Federal Government—

(A) is not meeting all legally binding deadlines and milestones required under the Tri-Party Agreement and the Consent Decree;

(B) has provided notification that any element of the Tri-Party Agreement or the Consent Decree is at risk of being breached; or

(C) is in dispute resolution with the State regarding the Tri-Party Agreement or the Consent Decree.

(2) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this subsection:

(A) The term “Tri-Party Agreement” means the comprehensive cleanup and compliance agreement between the Secretary, the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency, and the State of Washington entered into on May 15, 1989.

(B) The term “Consent Decree” means the legal agreement between the Secretary and the State of Washington finalized in 2010.

(e) *DEFINITIONS.*—In this section:

(1) The term “MOX facility” means the mixed-oxide fuel fabrication facility at the Savannah River Site, Aiken, South Carolina.

(2) The term “Plutonium Disposition Agreement” means the Agreement Concerning the Management and Disposition of Plu-

tonium Designated As No Longer Required for Defense Purposes and Related Cooperation, signed at Moscow and Washington August 29 and September 1, 2000, and entered into force July 13, 2011 (TIAS 11-713.1), between the United States and the Russian Federation.

(3) *The term “project support activities” means activities that support the design, long-lead equipment procurement, and site preparation of the MOX facility.*

SEC. 3121. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY.

(a) *LIMITATION.—Of the funds authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 by section 3101 and available for the Office of the Administrator as specified in the funding table in section 4701, or otherwise made available for that Office for that fiscal year, not more than 75 percent may be obligated or expended until—*

(1) *the President transmits to Congress the matters required to be transmitted during 2015 under section 4205(f)(2) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525(f)(2));*

(2) *the President transmits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives the matters—*

(A) *required to be transmitted during 2015 under section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1576), as most recently amended by section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66; 127 Stat. 861); and*

(B) *with respect to which the Secretary of Energy is responsible;*

(3) *the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives the report required to be submitted during 2015 under section 3122(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81; 125 Stat. 1710); and*

(4) *the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees the detailed report on the stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan required to be submitted during 2015 under section 4203(b)(2) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(b)(2)).*

(b) *OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR DEFINED.—In this section, the term “Office of the Administrator”, with respect to accounts of the National Nuclear Security Administration, includes any account from which funds are derived for “Federal Salaries and Expenses”.*

SEC. 3122. LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR CERTAIN NONPROLIFERATION ACTIVITIES BETWEEN THE UNITED STATES AND THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.

(a) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—*

(1) *the United States should carry out nuclear nonproliferation activities in the Russian Federation only if those activities are consistent with and in support of the security interests of the United States; and*

(2) *in carrying out any such activities after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Energy should focus on only those activities that—*

(A) *are in support of the arms control obligations of the United States and the Russian Federation; or*

(B) *will reduce the threats posed by weapons of mass destruction and related materials and technology to the United States and countries in the Euro-Atlantic and Eurasian regions.*

(b) **COMPLETION OF MATERIAL PROTECTION, CONTROL, AND ACCOUNTING ACTIVITIES IN THE RUSSIAN FEDERATION.—**

(1) **IN GENERAL.—***Except as provided in paragraph (2) or specifically authorized by Congress, international material protection, control, and accounting activities in the Russian Federation shall be completed not later than fiscal year 2018.*

(2) **EXCEPTION.—***The limitation in paragraph (1) shall not apply to international material protection, control, and accounting activities in the Russian Federation associated with the Agreement Concerning the Management and Disposition of Plutonium Designated as No Longer Required for Defense Purposes and Related Cooperation, signed at Moscow and Washington August 29 and September 1, 2000, and entered into force July 13, 2011 (TIAS 11–713.1), between the United States and the Russian Federation.*

(c) **LIMITATION ON TRANSFER OF MILES TECHNOLOGY.—***None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security Administration may be used for the transfer of Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System technology between the United States and the Russian Federation.*

SEC. 3123. IDENTIFICATION OF AMOUNTS REQUIRED FOR URANIUM TECHNOLOGY SUSTAINMENT IN BUDGET MATERIALS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016.

The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall include, in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the budget of the President for fiscal year 2016 (as submitted to Congress under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code), specific identification, as a budgetary line item, of the amounts required for uranium technology sustainment in support of the nuclear weapons stockpile in a manner that minimizes the use of plant-directed research and development funds for full-scale technology development past a technology readiness level of 5 (as defined in Department of Energy Guide 413.3–4A (relating to technology readiness assessment)).

Subtitle C—Plans and Reports

SEC. 3131. ANALYSIS AND REPORT ON W88 ALT 370 PROGRAM HIGH EXPLOSIVES OPTIONS.

(a) **REPORT REQUIRED.—***Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Navy, the Administrator for Nuclear Security, and the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council (established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code) shall jointly submit to the congressional defense committees*

a report on the W88 Alt 370 program that contains analyses of the costs, benefits, risks, and feasibility of each of the following options:

(1) Incorporating a refresh of the conventional high explosives of the W88 warhead as part of such program.

(2) Not incorporating such a refresh as part of such program.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The report under subsection (a) shall include, for each option described in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection (a), an analysis of the following:

(1) Near-term and lifecycle cost estimates, including costs to both the Navy and the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(2) Potential cost avoidance.

(3) Operational effects to the Navy and to the capacity and throughput of the nuclear security enterprise (as defined in section 4002 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501)) of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

(4) The expected longevity of the W88 warhead.

(5) Near-term and long-term safety and security risks and potential risk-mitigation measures.

(6) Any other matters the Secretary, the Administrator, or the Chairman considers appropriate.

SEC. 3132. ANALYSIS OF EXISTING FACILITIES AND SENSE OF CONGRESS WITH RESPECT TO PLUTONIUM STRATEGY.

(a) **ANALYSIS REQUIRED.**—The Administrator for Nuclear Security shall include, as part of the Administrator's planned analysis of alternatives to support the plutonium strategy of the National Nuclear Security Administration, an analysis of using or modifying existing facilities of the nuclear security enterprise (as defined in section 4002 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501)) to support that strategy, as part of critical decision 1 in the acquisition process for the design and construction of modular structures associated with operations of the PF-4 facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico.

(b) **MATTERS INCLUDED.**—The analysis required by subsection (a) shall include an analysis of the following:

(1) The costs, benefits, cost savings, risks, and effects of using or modifying existing facilities of the nuclear security enterprise to support the plutonium strategy of the Administration.

(2) Such other matters as the Administrator considers appropriate.

(c) **SUBMISSION.**—The Administrator shall submit the analysis required by subsection (a) to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after completing the analysis.

(d) **SENSE OF CONGRESS.**—It is the sense of Congress that the requirement to create a modern, responsive plutonium infrastructure is a national security priority, and that the Administrator must fulfill the obligations of the Administrator under section 3114(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (50 U.S.C. 2535 note), as well as the commitment made by the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council (established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code) in the letter of the Chairman, dated July 25, 2014, to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, to carry out a modular building strategy for plutonium capabilities that—

(1) *meets the requirements for maintaining the nuclear weapons stockpile over a 30-year period;*

(2) *meets the requirements for implementation of a responsive infrastructure, including meeting plutonium pit production requirements; and*

(3) *includes plans to construct two modular structures that will achieve full operating capability not later than 2027.*

SEC. 3133. PLAN FOR VERIFICATION AND MONITORING OF PROLIFERATION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS AND FISSILE MATERIAL.

(a) *PLAN.—The President, in consultation with the Secretary of State, the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Energy, the Secretary of Homeland Security, and the Director of National Intelligence, shall develop an interagency plan for verification and monitoring relating to the potential proliferation of nuclear weapons, components of such weapons, and fissile material.*

(b) *ELEMENTS.—The plan developed under subsection (a) shall include the following:*

(1) *An interagency plan and road map for verification and monitoring, with respect to policy, operations, and research, development, testing, and evaluation, including—*

(A) *identifying requirements (including funding requirements) for such verification and monitoring; and*

(B) *identifying and integrating roles, responsibilities, and planning for such verification and monitoring.*

(2) *An engagement plan for building cooperation and transparency to improve inspections and monitoring.*

(3) *A research and development program to—*

(A) *improve monitoring, detection, and in-field inspection and analysis capabilities, including persistent surveillance, remote monitoring, and rapid analysis of large data sets, including open-source data; and*

(B) *coordinate technical and operational requirements early in the process.*

(4) *Engagement of relevant departments and agencies of the Federal Government and the military departments (including the Open Source Center and the United States Atomic Energy Detection System), national laboratories, industry, and academia.*

(c) *SUBMISSION.—*

(1) *IN GENERAL.—Not later than September 1, 2015, the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees the plan developed under subsection (a).*

(2) *APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “appropriate congressional committees” means the following:*

(A) *The congressional defense committees.*

(B) *The Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate and the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives.*

(C) *The Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives.*

(D) *The Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Homeland Security of the House of Representatives.*

(E) *The Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the Committee on Energy and Commerce of the House of Representatives.*

SEC. 3134. COMMENTS OF ADMINISTRATOR FOR NUCLEAR SECURITY AND CHAIRMAN OF NUCLEAR WEAPONS COUNCIL ON FINAL REPORT OF CONGRESSIONAL ADVISORY PANEL ON THE GOVERNANCE OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.

Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Administrator for Nuclear Security and the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council (established by section 179 of title 10, United States Code) shall each submit to the congressional defense committees the comments of the Administrator or the Chairman, as the case may be, with respect to the findings, conclusions, and recommendations included in the final report of the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise under section 3166(d)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2209), as amended by section 3142 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 1069).

Subtitle D—Other Matters

SEC. 3141. ESTABLISHMENT OF ADVISORY BOARD ON TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND WORKER HEALTH; EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY OF OFFICE OF OMBUDSMAN FOR ENERGY EMPLOYEES OCCUPATIONAL ILLNESS COMPENSATION PROGRAM.

(a) **ADVISORY BOARD ON TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND WORKER HEALTH.**—*Subtitle E of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 (42 U.S.C. 7385o et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following:*

“SEC. 3687. ADVISORY BOARD ON TOXIC SUBSTANCES AND WORKER HEALTH.

“(a) ESTABLISHMENT.—(1) Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this section, the President shall establish and appoint an Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health (in this section referred to as the ‘Board’).

“(2) The President shall make appointments to the Board in consultation with organizations with expertise on worker health issues in order to ensure that the membership of the Board reflects a proper balance of perspectives from the scientific, medical, and claimant communities.

“(3) The President shall designate a Chair of the Board from among its members.

“(b) DUTIES.—The Board shall—

“(1) advise the Secretary of Labor with respect to—

“(A) the site exposure matrices of the Department of Labor;

“(B) medical guidance for claims examiners for claims under this subtitle with respect to the weighing of the medical evidence of claimants;

“(C) evidentiary requirements for claims under subtitle B related to lung disease; and

“(D) the work of industrial hygienists and staff physicians and consulting physicians of the Department and reports of such hygienists and physicians to ensure quality, objectivity, and consistency; and

“(2) coordinate exchanges of data and findings with the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker Health established under section 3624 to the extent necessary.

“(c) STAFF AND POWERS.—(1) The President shall appoint a staff to facilitate the work of the Board. The staff of the Board shall be headed by a Director, who shall be appointed under subchapter VIII of chapter 33 of title 5, United States Code.

“(2) The President may authorize the detail of employees of Federal agencies to the Board as necessary to enable the Board to carry out its duties under this section. The detail of such personnel may be on a nonreimbursable basis.

“(3) The Secretary may employ outside contractors and specialists to support the work of the Board.

“(d) CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.—No member, employee, or contractor of the Board shall have any financial interest, employment, or contractual relationship (other than a routine consumer transaction) with any person that has provided, or sought to provide during the two years preceding the appointment or during the service of the member, employee, or contractor under this section, goods or services related to medical benefits under this title.

“(e) EXPENSES.—Members of the Board, other than full-time employees of the United States, while attending meetings of the Board or while otherwise serving at the request of the President, and while serving away from their homes or regular places of business, shall be allowed travel and meal expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence (as authorized by section 5703 of title 5, United States Code) for individuals in the Federal Government serving without pay.

“(f) SECURITY CLEARANCES.—(1) The Secretary of Energy shall ensure that the members and staff of the Board, and the contractors performing work in support of the Board, are afforded the opportunity to apply for a security clearance for any matter for which such a clearance is appropriate.

“(2) The Secretary of Energy should, not later than 180 days after receiving a completed application for a security clearance for an individual under this subsection, make a determination of whether or not the individual is eligible for the clearance.

“(3) For fiscal year 2016 and each fiscal year thereafter, the Secretary of Energy shall include in the budget justification materials submitted to Congress in support of the Department of Energy budget for that fiscal year (as submitted with the budget of the President under section 1105(a) of title 31, United States Code) a report specifying the number of applications for security clearances under this subsection, the number of such applications granted, and the number of such applications denied.

“(g) INFORMATION.—The Secretary of Energy shall, in accordance with law, provide to the Board and the contractors of the Board, access to any information that the Board considers relevant to carry

out its responsibilities under this section, including information such as Restricted Data (as defined in section 11 y. of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2014(y))) and information covered by section 552a of title 5, United States Code (commonly known as the 'Privacy Act').

“(h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—There are authorized to be appropriated such sums as may be necessary to carry out this section.

“(2) TREATMENT AS DISCRETIONARY SPENDING.—Amounts appropriated to carry out this section—

“(A) shall not be appropriated to the account established under subsection (a) of section 151 of title I of division B of Appendix D of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2001 (Public Law 106–554; 114 Stat. 2763A–251); and

“(B) shall not be subject to subsection (b) of that section.

“(i) SUNSET.—The Board shall terminate on the date that is 5 years after the date of the enactment of this section.”.

(b) DEPARTMENT OF LABOR RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE OF THE OMBUDSMAN ANNUAL REPORT; EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Section 3686 of such Act (42 U.S.C. 7385s–15) is amended—

(1) in subsection (e)—

(A) in paragraph (1), by striking “February 15” and inserting “July 30”; and

(B) by adding at the end the following:

“(4) Not later than 180 days after the submission to Congress of the annual report under paragraph (1), the Secretary shall submit to Congress in writing, and post on the public Internet website of the Department of Labor, a response to the report that—

“(A) includes a statement of whether the Secretary agrees or disagrees with the specific issues raised by the Ombudsman in the report;

“(B) if the Secretary agrees with the Ombudsman on those issues, describes the actions to be taken to correct those issues; and

“(C) if the Secretary does not agree with the Ombudsman on those issues, describes the reasons the Secretary does not agree.”; and

(2) in subsection (h), by striking “2012” and inserting “2019”.

SEC. 3142. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE ACT.

(a) DEFINITIONS.—Section 4002(3) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501(3)) is amended by striking “Executive Order No. 12333 of December 4, 1981 (50 U.S.C. 401 note), Executive Order No. 12958 of April 17, 1995 (50 U.S.C. 435 note),” and inserting “Executive Order No. 12333 of December 4, 1981 (50 U.S.C. 3001 note), Executive Order No. 12958 of April 17, 1995 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note), Executive Order No. 13526 of December 29, 2009 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note).”.

(b) MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE.—Section 4102(b)(3) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2512(b)(3)) is amended—

(1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A), by striking “for improving the”;

(2) in subparagraph (A), by inserting “for improving the” before “governance”; and

(3) in subparagraph (B), by inserting “relating to” before “any other”.

(c) STOCKPILE STEWARDSHIP.—Section 4203(d)(4)(A)(i) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(d)(4)(A)(i)) is amended by striking “50 U.S.C. 404a” and inserting “50 U.S.C. 3043”.

(d) REPORTS ON STOCKPILE.—Section 4205(b)(2) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2525(b)(2)) is amended by striking “commander” and inserting “Commander”.

(e) ADVICE ON RELIABILITY OF STOCKPILE.—Section 4218 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2538) is amended—

(1) in subsection (d), by striking “commander” and inserting “Commander”; and

(2) in subsection (e)(1), by striking “representatives” and inserting “a representative”.

(f) DISPOSITION OF CERTAIN PLUTONIUM.—Section 4306 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2566) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b)(6)(C), by striking “paragraph (A)” and inserting “subparagraph (A)”;

(2) in subsection (c)(2), by striking “2002” and inserting “2002.”; and

(3) in subsection (d)(3), by inserting “of Energy” after “Department”.

(g) DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.—Section 4406(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2586(a)) is amended—

(1) by inserting an em dash after “useful for”;

(2) by realigning paragraphs (1) and (2) so as to be indented two ems from the left margin; and

(3) in paragraph (1), by striking “, and” and inserting “; and”.

(h) REPORT ON HANFORD TANK SAFETY.—Section 4441 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2621) is amended by striking subsection (d).

(i) LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS IN RELATION TO F-CANYON FACILITY.—Section 4454 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2638) is amended in paragraphs (1) and (2) by inserting “of” after “assessment”.

(j) INSPECTIONS OF CERTAIN FACILITIES.—Section 4501(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2651(a)) is amended by striking “nuclear weapons facility” and inserting “national security laboratory or nuclear weapons production facility”.

(k) NOTICE RELATING TO CERTAIN FAILURES.—Section 4505 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2656) is amended—

(1) in subsection (b), by striking the subsection heading and inserting the following: “SIGNIFICANT ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE LOSSES”; and

(2) in subsection (e)(2), by striking “50 U.S.C. 413” and inserting “50 U.S.C. 3091”.

(l) REVIEW OF CERTAIN DOCUMENTS BEFORE DECLASSIFICATION AND RELEASE.—Section 4521(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2671(b)) is amended by striking “Executive Order 12958” and inserting “Executive Order No. 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note)”.

(m) PROTECTION AGAINST RELEASE OF RESTRICTED DATA.—Section 4522 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2672) is amended—

(1) in subsection (a), by striking “Executive Order No. 12958 (50 U.S.C. 435 note)” and inserting “Executive Order No. 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note)”;

(2) in subsection (b)(1), by striking “Executive Order No. 12958” and inserting “Executive Order No. 13526”; and

(3) in subsection (f)(2), by striking “Executive Order No. 12958” and inserting “Executive Order No. 13526”.

(n) IDENTIFICATION OF DECLASSIFICATION ACTIVITIES IN BUDGET MATERIALS.—Section 4525(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2675(a)) is amended by striking “Executive Order No. 12958 (50 U.S.C. 435 note)” and inserting “Executive Order No. 13526 (50 U.S.C. 3161 note)”.

(o) WORKFORCE RESTRUCTURING PLAN.—Section 4604(f)(3) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2704(f)(3)) is amended by striking “Nevada and” and inserting “Nevada, and”.

(p) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Section 4709(b) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2749(b)) is amended by striking “authorization” and inserting “authorization”.

(q) TRANSFER OF DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP FUNDS.—Section 4710(b)(3)(B) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2750(b)(3)(B)) is amended by striking “management” and inserting “cleanup”.

(r) RESTRICTION ON USE OF FUNDS TO PAY CERTAIN PENALTIES.—Section 4722 of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2762) is amended—

(1) by inserting an em dash after “Department of Energy if”;

(2) by realigning paragraphs (1) and (2) so as to be indented two ems from the left margin; and

(3) in paragraph (1), by striking “, or” and inserting “; or”.

(s) ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY.—Section 4806(g)(1) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2786(g)(1)) is amended by striking “the date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014” and inserting “June 24, 2014”.

(t) CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY PARTNERSHIPS.—Section 4813(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2794(a)) is amended by striking “that atomic energy defense activities research on, and development of, any dual-use critical technology” and inserting “that research on and development of dual-use critical technology carried out through atomic energy defense activities”.

(u) RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BY CERTAIN FACILITIES.—Section 4832(a) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2812(a)) is amended by striking “for Nuclear Security”.

(v) TABLE OF CONTENTS.—The table of contents for such Act is amended by striking the item relating to section 4710 and inserting the following:

“Sec. 4710. Transfer of defense environmental cleanup funds.”.

SEC. 3143. TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS TO NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION ACT.

(a) STATUS OF CERTAIN PERSONNEL.—Section 3220(c) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2410(c)) is amended—

(1) by inserting an em dash after “activities between”;

(2) by realigning paragraphs (1) and (2) so as to be indented two ems from the left margin; and

(3) in paragraph (1), by striking “, and” and inserting “; and”.

(b) **CONGRESSIONAL OVERSIGHT OF CERTAIN PROGRAMS.**—Section 3236(a)(2)(B)(iv) of such Act (50 U.S.C. 2426(a)(2)(B)(iv)) is amended—

(1) by inserting an em dash after “program for”;

(2) by realigning subclauses (I), (II), and (III) so as to be indented six ems from the left margin;

(3) in subclause (I), by striking “year,” and inserting “year;”;

and

(4) in subclause (II), by striking “, and” and inserting “; and”.

SEC. 3144. TECHNOLOGY COMMERCIALIZATION FUND.

Section 1001(e) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16391(e)) is amended by inserting “based on future planned activities and the amount of the appropriations for the fiscal year” after “fiscal year”.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Sec. 3201. Authorization.

Sec. 3202. Inspector General of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

Sec. 3203. Number of employees of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board.

SEC. 3201. AUTHORIZATION.

There are authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015, \$29,150,000 for the operation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board under chapter 21 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286 et seq.).

SEC. 3202. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD.

Subsection (a) of section 322 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286k(a)) is amended to read as follows:

“(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The Inspector General of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission shall serve as the Inspector General of the Board, in accordance with the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App.).”

SEC. 3203. NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES OF DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Section 313(b)(1)(A) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286b(b)(1)(A)) is amended by striking “150 full-time employees” and inserting “130 full-time employees”.

(b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendment made by subsection (a) shall take effect on October 1, 2015.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

Sec. 3401. Authorization of appropriations.

SEC. 3401. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.

(a) **AMOUNT.**—There are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Secretary of Energy \$19,950,000 for fiscal year 2015 for the pur-

pose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the naval petroleum reserves.

(b) *PERIOD OF AVAILABILITY.*—Funds appropriated pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in subsection (a) shall remain available until expended.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Sec. 3501. Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2015.

Sec. 3502. Floating dry docks.

Sec. 3503. Sense of Congress on the role of domestic maritime industry in national security.

Sec. 3504. United States Merchant Marine Academy Board of Visitors.

SEC. 3501. AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS FOR NATIONAL SECURITY ASPECTS OF THE MERCHANT MARINE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015.

Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015, to be available without fiscal year limitation if so provided in appropriations Acts, for the use of the Department of Transportation for Maritime Administration programs associated with maintaining national security aspects of the merchant marine, as follows:

(1) For expenses necessary for operations of the United States Merchant Marine Academy, \$79,790,000, of which—

(A) \$65,290,000 shall remain available until expended for Academy operations;

(B) \$14,500,000 shall remain available until expended for capital asset management at the Academy.

(2) For expenses necessary to support the State maritime academies, \$17,650,000, of which—

(A) \$2,400,000 shall remain available until expended for student incentive payments;

(B) \$3,600,000 shall remain available until expended for direct payments to such academies;

(C) \$11,300,000 shall remain available until expended for maintenance and repair of State maritime academy training vessels; and

(D) \$350,000 shall remain available until expended for improving the monitoring of graduates' service obligation.

(3) For expenses necessary to support Maritime Administration operations and programs, \$50,960,000.

(4) For expenses necessary to dispose of vessels in the National Defense Reserve Fleet, \$4,800,000, to remain available until expended.

(5) For expenses to maintain and preserve a United States-flag merchant marine to serve the national security needs of the United States under chapter 531 of title 46, United States Code, \$186,000,000.

(6) For the cost (as defined in section 502(5) of the Federal Credit Reform Act of 1990 (2 U.S.C. 661a(5)) of loan guarantees under the program authorized by chapter 537 of title 46, United States Code, \$73,100,000, of which \$3,100,000 shall remain

available until expended for administrative expenses of the program.

SEC. 3502. FLOATING DRY DOCKS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Chapter 551 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new section:

“§ 55122. Floating dry docks

“(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 55102 of this title does not apply to the movement of a floating dry dock if—

“(1) the floating dry dock—

“(A) is being used to launch or raise a vessel in connection with the construction, maintenance, or repair of that vessel;

“(B) is owned and operated by—

“(i) a shipyard located in the United States that is an eligible owner specified under section 12103(b) of this title; or

“(ii) an affiliate of such a shipyard; and

“(C) was owned or contracted for purchase by such shipyard or affiliate prior to the date of the enactment of the Carl Levin and Howard P. ‘Buck’ McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015; and

“(2) the movement occurs within 5 nautical miles of the shipyard or affiliate that owns and operates such floating dry dock.

“(b) *DEFINITION.*—In this section, the term ‘floating dry dock’ means equipment with wing walls and a fully submersible deck.”.

(b) *CLERICAL AMENDMENT.*—The analysis for chapter 551 of title 46, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new item:

“55122. Floating dry docks.”.

SEC. 3503. SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE ROLE OF DOMESTIC MARITIME INDUSTRY IN NATIONAL SECURITY.

(a) *FINDINGS.*—Congress finds that—

(1) the United States domestic maritime industry carries hundreds of million of tons of cargo annually, supports nearly 500,000 jobs, and provides nearly 100 billion in annual economic output;

(2) the Nation’s military sealift capacity will benefit from one of the fastest growing segments of the domestic trades, 14 domestic trade tankers that are on order to be constructed at United States shipyards as of February 1, 2014;

(3) the domestic trades’ vessel innovations that transformed worldwide maritime commerce include the development of containerships, self-unloading vessels, articulated tug-barges, trailer barges, chemical parcel tankers, railroad-on-barge carfloats, and river flotilla towing systems;

(4) the national security benefits of the domestic maritime industry are unquestioned as the Department of Defense depends on United States domestic trades’ fleet of container ships, roll-on/roll-off ships, and product tankers to carry military cargoes;

(5) the Department of Defense benefits from a robust commercial shipyard and ship repair industry and current growth in

that sector is particularly important as Federal budget cuts may reduce the number of new constructed military vessels; and
 (6) the domestic fleet is essential to national security and was a primary source of mariners needed to crew United States Government-owned sealift vessels activated from reserve status during Operations Enduring Freedom and Iraqi Freedom in the period 2002 through 2010.

(b) *SENSE OF CONGRESS.*—It is the sense of Congress that United States coastwise trade laws promote a strong domestic trade maritime industry, which supports the national security and economic vitality of the United States and the efficient operation of the United States transportation system.

SEC. 3504. UNITED STATES MERCHANT MARINE ACADEMY BOARD OF VISITORS.

(a) *IN GENERAL.*—Section 51312 of title 46, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 51312. Board of Visitors

“(a) *IN GENERAL.*—There shall be a Board of Visitors to the United States Merchant Marine Academy (referred to in this section as the ‘Board’ and the ‘Academy’, respectively) to provide independent advice and recommendations on matters relating to the United States Merchant Marine Academy.

“(b) *MEMBERSHIP.*—

“(1) *IN GENERAL.*—The Board shall be composed of—

“(A) 2 Senators appointed by the Chairman of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate in consultation with the ranking member of such Committee;

“(B) 3 Members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives in consultation with the ranking member of such Committee;

“(C) 1 Senator appointed by the Vice President, who shall be a member of the Committee on Appropriations of the Senate;

“(D) 2 Members of the House of Representatives appointed by the Speaker of the House of Representatives, in consultation with the Minority Leader, at least 1 of whom shall be a member of the Committee on Appropriations of the House of Representatives;

“(E) 5 individuals appointed by the President; and

“(F) as ex officio members—

“(i) the Commander of the Military Sealift Command;

“(ii) the Deputy Commandant for Operations of the Coast Guard;

“(iii) the chairman of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate;

“(iv) the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives;

“(v) the chairman of the Advisory Board to the Academy established under section 51313; and

“(vi) the Member of the House of Representatives for the congressional district in which the Academy is located, as a nonvoting member, unless such Member of the House of Representatives is appointed as a voting member of the Board under subparagraph (B) or (D).

“(2) *PRESIDENTIAL APPOINTEES.*—Of the individuals appointed by the President under paragraph (1)(E)—

“(A) at least 2 shall be graduates of the Academy;

“(B) at least 1 shall be a senior corporate officer from a United States maritime shipping company that participates in the Maritime Security Program, or in any Maritime Administration program providing incentives for companies to register their vessels in the United States, and this appointment shall rotate biennially among such companies; and

“(C) 1 or more may be a Senate-confirmed Presidential appointee, a member of the Senior Executive Service, or an officer of flag-rank who from the Coast Guard, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, or any of the military services that commission graduates of the Academy, other than the individuals who are members of the Board under clauses (i) and (ii) of paragraph (1)(F).

“(3) *TERM OF SERVICE.*—

“(A) *IN GENERAL.*—Except as provided in subparagraph (B), each member of the Board, other than an ex officio member under paragraph (1)(F), shall serve for a term of 2 years commencing at the beginning of each Congress.

“(B) *CONTINUATION OF SERVICE.*—Any member described in subparagraph (A) whose term on the Board has expired, other than a member appointed under any of subparagraphs (A) through (D) of paragraph (1) who is no longer a Member of Congress, shall continue to serve until a successor is appointed.

“(4) *VACANCIES.*—If a member of the Board is no longer able to serve on the Board or resigns, the Designated Federal Officer selected under subsection (g)(2) shall immediately notify the person who appointed such member. Not later than 60 days after that notification, such person shall designate a replacement to serve the remainder of such member’s term.

“(5) *DESIGNATION AND RESPONSIBILITY OF SUBSTITUTE BOARD MEMBERS.*—

“(A) *AUTHORITY TO DESIGNATE.*—A member of the Board under clause (i) or (ii) of paragraph (1)(F) or appointed under subparagraph (B) or (C) of paragraph (2) may, if unable to attend or participate in an activity described in subsection (d), (e), or (f), designate another individual to serve as a substitute member of the Board, on a temporary basis, to attend or participate in such activity.

“(B) *REQUIREMENTS.*—A substitute member of the Board designated under subparagraph (A) shall be—

“(i) an individual serving in a position for which the individual was appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate;

“(ii) a member of the Senior Executive Service; or

“(iii) an officer of flag-rank who is employed by—

“(I) the Coast Guard; or

“(II) the Military Sealift Command.

“(C) PARTICIPATION.—A substitute member of the Board designated under subparagraph (A)—

“(i) shall be permitted by the Board to fully participate in the proceedings and activities of the Board;

“(ii) shall report to the member that designated the substitute member on the Board’s activities not later than 15 days following the substitute member’s participation in such activities; and

“(iii) shall be permitted by the Board to participate in the preparation of reports described in paragraph (j) related to any proceedings or activities of the Board in which such substitute member participates.

“(c) CHAIRPERSON.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—On a biennial basis and subject to paragraph (2), the Board shall select from among its members a Member of the House of Representatives or a Senator to serve as the Chairperson.

“(2) ROTATION.—A Member of the House of Representatives and a Member of the Senate shall alternately be selected as the Chairperson of the Board.

“(3) TERM.—An individual may not serve as Chairperson for consecutive terms.

“(d) MEETINGS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Board shall meet as provided for in the Charter adopted under paragraph (2)(B), including at least 1 meeting held at the Academy.

“(2) CHAIRPERSON AND CHARTER.—The Designated Federal Officer selected under subsection (g)(2) shall organize a meeting of the Board for the purposes of—

“(A) selecting a Chairperson under subsection (c); and

“(B) adopting an official Charter for the Board, which shall establish the schedule of meetings of the Board.

“(e) VISITING THE ACADEMY.—

“(1) ANNUAL VISIT.—The Board shall visit the Academy annually on a date selected by the Board, in consultation with the Secretary of Transportation and the Superintendent of the Academy.

“(2) OTHER VISITS.—In cooperation with the Superintendent, the Board or its members may make other visits to the Academy in connection with the duties of the Board.

“(3) ACCESS.—While visiting the Academy under this subsection, members of the Board shall have reasonable access to the grounds, facilities, midshipmen, faculty, staff, and other personnel of the Academy for the purpose of carrying out the duties of the Board.

“(f) RESPONSIBILITY.—The Board shall inquire into the state of morale and discipline, the curriculum, instruction, physical equipment, fiscal affairs, and academic methods of the Academy, and other matters relating to the Academy that the Board decides to consider.

“(g) DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION SUPPORT.—The Secretary of Transportation shall—

“(1) provide support as deemed necessary by the Board for the performance of the Board’s functions;

“(2) select a Designated Federal Officer to support the performance of the Board’s functions; and

“(3) in cooperation with the Maritime Administrator and the Superintendent of the Academy, advise the Board of any institutional issues, consistent with applicable laws concerning the disclosure of information.

“(h) STAFF.—Each of the chairman of the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate and the chairman of the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives may designate staff members of such Committee to serve, without additional reimbursement (except as provided in subsection (i)), as staff for the Board.

“(i) TRAVEL EXPENSES.—While serving away from his or her home or regular place of business, a member of the Board or a staff member designated under subsection (h) shall be allowed travel expenses, including per diem in lieu of subsistence, as authorized under section 5703 of title 5, United States Code.

“(j) REPORTS.—

“(1) ANNUAL REPORT.—Not later than 60 days after each annual visit required under subsection (e)(1), the Board shall submit to the President a written report of its actions, views, and recommendations pertaining to the Academy.

“(2) OTHER REPORTS.—If the members of the Board visit the Academy under subsection (e)(2), the Board may—

“(A) prepare a report on such visit; and

“(B) if approved by a majority of the members of the Board, submit such report to the President not later than 60 days after the date of the approval.

“(3) ADVISORS.—The Board may call in advisers—

“(A) for consultation regarding the execution of the Board’s responsibility under subsection (f); or

“(B) to assist in the preparation of a report described in paragraph (1) or (2).

“(4) SUBMISSION.—A report submitted to the President under paragraph (1) or (2) shall be concurrently submitted to—

“(A) the Secretary of Transportation;

“(B) the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate; and

“(C) the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Representatives.”.

(b) DEADLINES.—

(1) SELECTION OF DESIGNATED FEDERAL OFFICER.—The Secretary of Transportation shall select a Designated Federal Officer under subsection (g)(2) of section 51312 of title 46, United States Code, as amended by this Act, by not later than 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(2) APPOINTMENT OF MEMBERS.—Appointments under subsection (b)(1) of such section shall be completed by not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(3) ORGANIZATION OF FIRST MEETING.—Such Designated Federal Officer shall organize a meeting of the Board under section

(d)(2) of such section by not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

(c) CONTINUATION OF SERVICE OF CURRENT MEMBERS.—Each member of the Board of Visitors serving as a member of the Board on the date of the enactment of this Act shall continue to serve on the Board for the remainder of such member’s term.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

SEC. 4001. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN FUNDING TABLES.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Whenever a funding table in this division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project, program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of appropriations.

(b) MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.—A decision to commit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to subsection (a) shall—

(1) be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of sections 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States Code, or on competitive procedures; and

(2) comply with other applicable provisions of law.

(c) RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAMMING AUTHORITY.—An amount specified in the funding tables in this division may be transferred or reprogrammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority provided by another provision of this Act or by other law. The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law, unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds between appropriation accounts.

(d) APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—This section applies to any classified annex that accompanies this Act.

(e) ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.—No oral or written communication concerning any amount specified in the funding tables in this division shall supersede the requirements of this section.

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.

| SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | |
| FIXED WING | | | |
| 002 | UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT | 13,617 | 13,617 |
| 003 | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) | 185,090 | 136,290 |
| | Program decrease | | [-48,800] |
| 004 | MQ-1 UAV | 190,581 | 239,581 |
| | Extended range modifications Per Army UFR | | [49,000] |
| 005 | RQ-11 (RAVEN) | 3,964 | 3,964 |
| ROTARY | | | |
| 006 | HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) | 416,617 | 416,617 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 007 | AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN | 494,009 | 494,009 |
| 008 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 157,338 | 157,338 |
| 012 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) | 1,237,001 | 1,340,027 |
| | ARNG Modernization-6 additional UH-60M aircraft | | [103,026] |
| 013 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 132,138 | 132,138 |
| 014 | CH-47 HELICOPTER | 892,504 | 892,504 |
| 015 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 102,361 | 102,361 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | |
| 016 | MQ-1 PAYLOAD (MIP) | 26,913 | 26,913 |
| 018 | GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) | 14,182 | 14,182 |
| 019 | MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) | 131,892 | 131,892 |
| 020 | AH-64 MODS | 181,869 | 181,869 |
| 021 | CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | 32,092 | 32,092 |
| 022 | UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS | 15,029 | 15,029 |
| 023 | UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS | 76,515 | 76,515 |
| 025 | NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN | 114,182 | 114,182 |
| 026 | COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE | 115,795 | 115,795 |
| 027 | GATM ROLLUP | 54,277 | 54,277 |
| 028 | RQ-7 UAV MODS | 125,380 | 125,380 |
| | GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS | | |
| 029 | AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT | 66,450 | 98,850 |
| | Army requested realignment | | [32,400] |
| 030 | SURVIVABILITY CM | | 7,800 |
| | Army requested realignment | | [7,800] |
| 031 | CMWS | 107,364 | 60,364 |
| | Army requested reduction | | [-47,000] |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 032 | AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 6,847 | 6,847 |
| 033 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | 29,231 | 29,231 |
| 034 | AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS | 48,081 | 48,081 |
| 035 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 127,232 | 127,232 |
| 036 | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 1,203 | 1,203 |
| 037 | LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET | 2,931 | 2,931 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 5,102,685 | 5,199,111 |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | |
| | SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM | | |
| 002 | LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) | 110,300 | 110,300 |
| 003 | MSE MISSILE | 384,605 | 384,605 |
| | AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM | | |
| 004 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 4,452 | 4,452 |
| | ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS | | |
| 005 | JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | 77,668 | 77,668 |
| 006 | TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY | 50,368 | 50,368 |
| 007 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 19,984 | 19,984 |
| 008 | GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) | 127,145 | 127,145 |
| 009 | MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR) | 21,274 | 21,274 |
| | MODIFICATIONS | | |
| 012 | PATRIOT MODS | 131,838 | 131,838 |
| 013 | STINGER MODS | 1,355 | 1,355 |
| 014 | AVENGER MODS | 5,611 | 5,611 |
| 015 | ITAS/TOW MODS | 19,676 | 19,676 |
| 016 | MLRS MODS | 10,380 | 10,380 |
| 017 | HIMARS MODIFICATIONS | 6,008 | 6,008 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 018 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 36,930 | 36,930 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | |
| 019 | AIR DEFENSE TARGETS | 3,657 | 3,657 |
| 020 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES) | 1,522 | 1,522 |
| 021 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | 4,710 | 4,710 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 1,017,483 | 1,017,483 |

PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | |
| 001 | STRYKER VEHICLE | 385,110 | 435,110 |
| | Unfunded requirement—fourth DVH brigade set | | [50,000] |
| MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | |
| 002 | STRYKER (MOD) | 39,683 | 39,683 |
| 003 | FIST VEHICLE (MOD) | 26,759 | 26,759 |
| 004 | BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) | 107,506 | 144,506 |
| | Army unfunded priority and industrial base risk mitigation .. | | [37,000] |
| 005 | HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) | 45,411 | 45,411 |
| 006 | PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) | 247,400 | 247,400 |
| 007 | IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) | 50,451 | 126,364 |
| | Army unfunded priority and industrial base risk mitigation .. | | [75,913] |
| 008 | ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD) | 2,473 | 2,473 |
| 009 | ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE | 36,583 | 36,583 |
| 010 | M88 FOV MODS | 1,975 | 1,975 |
| 011 | JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE | 49,462 | 34,362 |
| | Early to need | | [-15,100] |
| 012 | M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) | 237,023 | 237,023 |
| 013 | ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | | 120,000 |
| | Industrial Base initiative | | [120,000] |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | | |
| 014 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) | 6,478 | 6,478 |
| WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES | | | |
| 016 | MORTAR SYSTEMS | 5,012 | 5,012 |
| 017 | XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) | 28,390 | 28,390 |
| 018 | COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM | 148 | 148 |
| 019 | CARBINE | 29,366 | 20,616 |
| | Army requested realignment | | [-8,750] |
| 021 | COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION | 8,409 | 8,409 |
| 022 | HANDGUN | 3,957 | 3,957 |
| MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH | | | |
| 024 | M777 MODS | 18,166 | 18,166 |
| 025 | M4 CARBINE MODS | 3,446 | 6,446 |
| | Army requested realignment | | [3,000] |
| 026 | M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS | 25,296 | 25,296 |
| 027 | M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS | 5,546 | 5,546 |
| 028 | M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS | 4,635 | 2,635 |
| | Army requested realignment | | [-2,000] |
| 029 | SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS | 4,079 | 4,079 |
| 030 | M119 MODIFICATIONS | 72,718 | 72,718 |
| 031 | M16 RIFLE MODS | 1,952 | 0 |
| | At Army request transfer to WTCV 31 and RDTEA 70 and 86 | | [-1,952] |
| 032 | MORTAR MODIFICATION | 8,903 | 8,903 |
| 033 | MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | 2,089 | 2,089 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | | |
| 034 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | 2,005 | 2,005 |
| 035 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) | 8,911 | 8,911 |
| 036 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 414 | 414 |
| 037 | SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG) | 1,682 | 1,682 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY | 1,471,438 | 1,729,549 |
| PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | | | |
| SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION | | | |
| 001 | CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES | 34,943 | 34,943 |
| 002 | CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES | 12,418 | 12,418 |
| 003 | CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES | 9,655 | 9,655 |
| 004 | CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES | 29,304 | 29,304 |
| 006 | CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES | 8,181 | 8,181 |
| 007 | CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES | 52,667 | 52,667 |
| 008 | CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES | 40,904 | 40,904 |
| MORTAR AMMUNITION | | | |
| 009 | 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 41,742 | 41,742 |
| 010 | 81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 42,433 | 42,433 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 011 | 120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 39,365 | 39,365 |
| | TANK AMMUNITION | | |
| 012 | CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES | 101,900 | 101,900 |
| | ARTILLERY AMMUNITION | | |
| 013 | ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES | 37,455 | 37,455 |
| 014 | ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES | 47,023 | 47,023 |
| 015 | PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982 | 35,672 | 35,672 |
| 016 | ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL | 94,010 | 74,010 |
| | Precision Guided Kits Schedule Delay | | [-20,000] |
| | ROCKETS | | |
| 019 | SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 945 | 945 |
| 020 | ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | 27,286 | 27,286 |
| | OTHER AMMUNITION | | |
| 021 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 22,899 | 22,899 |
| 022 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | 22,751 | 22,751 |
| 023 | SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | 7,082 | 7,082 |
| 024 | SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES | 11,638 | 11,638 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS | | |
| 025 | AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES | 3,594 | 3,594 |
| 027 | CAD/PAD ALL TYPES | 5,430 | 5,430 |
| 028 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO) | 8,337 | 8,337 |
| 029 | AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT | 14,906 | 14,906 |
| 030 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO) | 14,349 | 14,349 |
| 031 | CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES | 111 | 111 |
| | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | |
| 032 | PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 148,092 | 148,092 |
| 033 | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION | 113,881 | 113,881 |
| 034 | ARMS INITIATIVE | 2,504 | 2,504 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY ... | 1,031,477 | 1,011,477 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | |
| 001 | TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS | 7,987 | 7,987 |
| 002 | SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED: | 160 | 160 |
| 004 | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE | 164,615 | 164,615 |
| 005 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) | | 50,000 |
| | Additional FMTVs - Industrial Base initiative | | [50,000] |
| 006 | FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP | 8,415 | 8,415 |
| 007 | FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) | 28,425 | 78,425 |
| | Additional HEMTT ESP Vehicles-Industrial Base initiative ... | | [50,000] |
| 008 | PLS ESP | 89,263 | 89,263 |
| 013 | TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS | 38,226 | 38,226 |
| 014 | MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP | 91,173 | 83,173 |
| | Early to need | | [-8,000] |
| 015 | MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS | 14,731 | 14,731 |
| | NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES | | |
| 016 | HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN | 175 | 175 |
| 017 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 1,338 | 1,338 |
| 018 | NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER | 11,101 | 11,101 |
| | COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 019 | WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK | 763,087 | 638,087 |
| | Point of Presence (POP) and Soldier Network Extension (SNE) delay | | [-125,000] |
| 020 | SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 21,157 | 21,157 |
| 021 | JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY | 7,915 | 7,915 |
| 022 | JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM) | 5,440 | 5,440 |
| | COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 023 | DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS | 118,085 | 118,085 |
| 024 | TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICA- TIONS | 13,999 | 13,999 |
| 025 | SHF TERM | 6,494 | 6,494 |
| 026 | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) | 1,635 | 1,635 |
| 027 | SMART-T (SPACE) | 13,554 | 13,554 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 028 | GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS | 18,899 | 18,899 |
| 029 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT) | 2,849 | 2,849 |
| 030 | ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC) | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| | COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 033 | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM | 175,711 | 125,711 |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-50,000] |
| 034 | MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR) | 9,692 | 4,692 |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-5,000] |
| 035 | RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2) | 17,136 | 17,136 |
| 037 | AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2 | 22,099 | 22,099 |
| 038 | TRACTOR DESK | 3,724 | 3,724 |
| 039 | SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT | 969 | 969 |
| 040 | SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELEC-TRONICS. | 294 | 294 |
| 041 | TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM | 24,354 | 24,354 |
| 042 | UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE | 17,445 | 17,445 |
| 043 | RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY | 1,028 | 1,028 |
| 044 | FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE | 22,614 | 22,614 |
| | COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM | | |
| 046 | CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE | 1,519 | 1,519 |
| 047 | ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT | 12,478 | 12,478 |
| | INFORMATION SECURITY | | |
| 050 | INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP | 2,113 | 2,113 |
| 051 | COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) | 69,646 | 69,646 |
| | COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 052 | BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS | 28,913 | 28,913 |
| | COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 053 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS | 97,091 | 97,091 |
| 054 | DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) | 246 | 246 |
| 055 | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 5,362 | 5,362 |
| 056 | INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM .. | 79,965 | 79,965 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) | | |
| 060 | JTT/CIBS-M | 870 | 870 |
| 061 | PROPHET GROUND | 55,896 | 55,896 |
| 063 | DCGS-A (MIP) | 128,207 | 128,207 |
| 064 | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS) | 5,286 | 5,286 |
| 065 | TROJAN (MIP) | 12,614 | 12,614 |
| 066 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP) | 3,901 | 3,901 |
| 067 | CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL/CHARCS) | 7,392 | 7,392 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) | | |
| 068 | LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR | 24,828 | 24,828 |
| 070 | AIR VIGILANCE (AV) | 7,000 | 7,000 |
| 072 | COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTER-MEASURES. | 1,285 | 1,285 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV) | | |
| 075 | SENTINEL MODS | 44,305 | 44,305 |
| 076 | NIGHT VISION DEVICES | 160,901 | 160,901 |
| 078 | SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF | 18,520 | 18,520 |
| 080 | INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS | 68,296 | 68,296 |
| 081 | FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS) | 49,205 | 34,205 |
| | Early to need | | [-15,000] |
| 082 | ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP | 4,896 | 4,896 |
| 083 | PROFILER | 3,115 | 3,115 |
| 084 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) | 4,186 | 4,186 |
| 085 | JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P) | 97,892 | 87,892 |
| | Schedule delay | | [-10,000] |
| 086 | JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS) | 27,450 | 27,450 |
| 087 | MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR) | 14,085 | 14,085 |
| 088 | MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM | 29,040 | 29,040 |
| 089 | COUNTERFIRE RADARS | 209,050 | 159,050 |
| | Excessive LRIP/concurrency costs | | [-50,000] |
| | ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS | | |
| 092 | FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY | 13,823 | 13,823 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 095 | AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS | 27,374 | 27,374 |
| 097 | LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS) | 2,508 | 2,508 |
| 099 | NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE | 21,524 | 21,524 |
| 100 | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS) | 95,455 | 95,455 |
| 101 | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY (GCSS-A) | 118,600 | 118,600 |
| 102 | INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPP) ... | 32,970 | 32,970 |
| 104 | RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET ... | 10,113 | 10,113 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION | | |
| 105 | ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION | 9,015 | 9,015 |
| 106 | AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP | 155,223 | 152,282 |
| | <i>Reduce IT procurement</i> | | [-2,941] |
| 107 | GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM ... | 16,581 | 16,581 |
| 108 | HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP) | 65,252 | 65,252 |
| 110 | RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS) | 17,631 | 17,631 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V) | | |
| 112 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT) | 5,437 | 5,437 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT | | |
| 113 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E) | 426 | 426 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 114A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 3,707 | 3,707 |
| | CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT | | |
| 115 | FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE) | 937 | 937 |
| 116 | BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS) | 1,930 | 1,930 |
| 117 | CBRN DEFENSE | 17,468 | 17,468 |
| | BRIDGING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 119 | TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON | 5,442 | 5,442 |
| 120 | COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP | 11,013 | 11,013 |
| | ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT | | |
| 121 | GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS) | 37,649 | 33,249 |
| | <i>Early to need</i> | | [-4,400] |
| 122 | HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS) | 18,545 | 18,545 |
| 123 | ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS) | 4,701 | 4,701 |
| 124 | EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION | 6,346 | 6,346 |
| 125 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT) ... | 15,856 | 15,856 |
| 126 | REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS | 4,485 | 4,485 |
| 127 | < \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT | 4,938 | 4,938 |
| | COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 128 | HEATERS AND ECU'S | 9,235 | 9,235 |
| 130 | SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT | 1,677 | 1,677 |
| 131 | PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS) | 16,728 | 16,728 |
| 132 | GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM | 84,761 | 84,761 |
| 134 | FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT | 15,179 | 15,179 |
| 135 | CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM | 28,194 | 28,194 |
| 137 | FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS | 41,967 | 41,967 |
| 138 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT) | 20,090 | 20,090 |
| | PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT | | |
| 139 | QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | 1,435 | 1,435 |
| 140 | DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER | 40,692 | 40,692 |
| | MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | | |
| 141 | COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL | 46,957 | 46,957 |
| | MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT | | |
| 142 | MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS | 23,758 | 23,758 |
| 143 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) | 2,789 | 2,789 |
| | CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | | |
| 144 | GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE) | 5,827 | 5,827 |
| 145 | SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING | 14,926 | 14,926 |
| 147 | COMPACTOR | 4,348 | 4,348 |
| 148 | HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR | 4,938 | 4,938 |
| 149 | TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED | 34,071 | 34,071 |
| 150 | ALL TERRAIN CRANES | 4,938 | 4,938 |
| 151 | PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING | 667 | 667 |
| 153 | ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP | 14,924 | 14,924 |
| 154 | CONST EQUIP ESP | 15,933 | 15,933 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 155 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) | 6,749 | 6,749 |
| | RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT | | |
| 156 | ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP | 10,509 | 10,509 |
| 157 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL) | 2,166 | 2,166 |
| | GENERATORS | | |
| 158 | GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP | 115,190 | 105,190 |
| | Cost savings from new contract | | [-10,000] |
| | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 160 | FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS | 14,327 | 14,327 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 161 | COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT | 65,062 | 65,062 |
| 162 | TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM | 101,295 | 101,295 |
| 163 | CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER | 13,406 | 13,406 |
| 164 | AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER | 14,440 | 14,440 |
| 165 | GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING .. | 10,165 | 10,165 |
| | TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD) | | |
| 166 | CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT | 5,726 | 5,726 |
| 167 | INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) | 37,482 | 37,482 |
| 168 | TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD) | 16,061 | 16,061 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 170 | RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 2,380 | 2,380 |
| 171 | PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) | 30,686 | 30,686 |
| 172 | BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT | 1,008 | 1,008 |
| 173 | MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3) | 98,559 | 83,559 |
| | Early to need—watercraft CAISR | | [-15,000] |
| 174 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) | 1,697 | 1,697 |
| 175 | SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING | 25,394 | 25,394 |
| 176 | AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3 | 12,975 | 12,975 |
| | OPA2 | | |
| 180 | INITIAL SPARES—C&E | 50,032 | 50,032 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 4,893,634 | 4,698,293 |
| | JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND | | |
| | STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE | | |
| 004 | OPERATIONS | 115,058 | 0 |
| | Transfer of JIEDDO to Overseas Contingency Operations | | [-65,463] |
| | Unjustified request | | [-49,595] |
| | TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND. | 115,058 | 0 |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | |
| | COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | |
| 001 | EA-18G | 43,547 | 493,547 |
| | Additional EA-18G aircraft | | [450,000] |
| 005 | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV | 610,652 | 610,652 |
| 006 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 29,400 | 29,400 |
| 007 | JSF STOVL | 1,200,410 | 1,200,410 |
| 008 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 143,885 | 143,885 |
| 009 | V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | 1,487,000 | 1,487,000 |
| 010 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 45,920 | 45,920 |
| 011 | H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) | 778,757 | 778,757 |
| 012 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 80,926 | 75,626 |
| | Advance procurement efficiencies | | [-5,300] |
| 013 | MH-60S (MYP) | 210,209 | 210,209 |
| 015 | MH-60R (MYP) | 933,882 | 878,882 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [-53,400] |
| | Shutdown funding ahead of need | | [-1,600] |
| 016 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 106,686 | 106,686 |
| 017 | P-8A POSEIDON | 2,003,327 | 1,985,927 |
| | Anticipated unit price savings | | [-11,300] |
| | Unjustified growth—production engineering support | | [-6,100] |
| 018 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 48,457 | 48,457 |
| 019 | E-2D ADV HAWKEYE | 819,870 | 819,870 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 020 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 225,765 | 225,765 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | |
| 023 | KC-130J | 92,290 | 92,290 |
| 026 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 37,445 | 37,445 |
| 027 | MQ-8 UAV | 40,663 | 40,663 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | |
| 029 | EA-6 SERIES | 10,993 | 10,993 |
| 030 | AEA SYSTEMS | 34,768 | 34,768 |
| 031 | AV-8 SERIES | 65,472 | 65,472 |
| 032 | ADVERSARY | 8,418 | 8,418 |
| 033 | F-18 SERIES | 679,177 | 679,177 |
| 034 | H-46 SERIES | 480 | 480 |
| 036 | H-53 SERIES | 38,159 | 38,159 |
| 037 | SH-60 SERIES | 108,850 | 108,850 |
| 038 | H-1 SERIES | 45,033 | 45,033 |
| 039 | EP-3 SERIES | 32,890 | 32,890 |
| 040 | P-3 SERIES | 2,823 | 2,823 |
| 041 | E-2 SERIES | 21,208 | 21,208 |
| 042 | TRAINER A/C SERIES | 12,608 | 12,608 |
| 044 | C-130 SERIES | 40,378 | 40,378 |
| 045 | FEWSG | 640 | 640 |
| 046 | CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES | 4,635 | 4,635 |
| 047 | E-6 SERIES | 212,876 | 212,876 |
| 048 | EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES | 71,328 | 71,328 |
| 049 | SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | 21,317 | 21,317 |
| 050 | T-45 SERIES | 90,052 | 90,052 |
| 051 | POWER PLANT CHANGES | 19,094 | 19,094 |
| 052 | JPATS SERIES | 1,085 | 1,085 |
| 054 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | 155,644 | 155,644 |
| 055 | COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES | 157,531 | 157,531 |
| 056 | COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM | 1,958 | 1,958 |
| 057 | ID SYSTEMS | 38,880 | 38,880 |
| 058 | P-8 SERIES | 29,797 | 29,797 |
| 059 | MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION | 14,770 | 14,770 |
| 060 | MQ-8 SERIES | 8,741 | 8,741 |
| 061 | RQ-7 SERIES | 2,542 | 2,542 |
| 062 | V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY | 135,584 | 135,584 |
| 063 | F-35 STOVL SERIES | 285,968 | 285,968 |
| 064 | F-35 CV SERIES | 20,502 | 20,502 |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 065 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 1,229,651 | 1,107,506 |
| | Reduce rate of growth in replenishment spares | | [-122,145] |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES | | |
| 066 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | 418,355 | 398,488 |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-19,867] |
| 067 | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 23,843 | 23,843 |
| 068 | WAR CONSUMABLES | 15,939 | 15,939 |
| 069 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | 5,630 | 5,630 |
| 070 | SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 65,839 | 65,839 |
| 071 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 1,768 | 1,768 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 13,074,317 | 13,304,605 |
| | WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | |
| | MODIFICATION OF MISSILES | | |
| 001 | TRIDENT II MODS | 1,190,455 | 1,185,455 |
| | Guidance hardware cost growth | | [-5,000] |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | |
| 002 | MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 5,671 | 5,671 |
| | STRATEGIC MISSILES | | |
| 003 | TOMAHAWK | 194,258 | 276,258 |
| | Minimum sustaining rate increase | | [82,000] |
| | TACTICAL MISSILES | | |
| 004 | AMRAAM | 32,165 | 22,165 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Program decrease | | [-10,000] |
| 005 | SIDEWINDER | 73,928 | 71,948 |
| | Block II AUR cost growth | | [-1,980] |
| 006 | JSOW | 130,759 | 128,200 |
| | AUR cost growth | | [-2,559] |
| 007 | STANDARD MISSILE | 445,836 | 444,836 |
| | Installation, checkout, and training growth | | [-1,000] |
| 008 | RAM | 80,792 | 80,792 |
| 011 | STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) | 1,810 | 1,810 |
| 012 | AERIAL TARGETS | 48,046 | 48,046 |
| 013 | OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT | 3,295 | 3,295 |
| | MODIFICATION OF MISSILES | | |
| 014 | ESSM | 119,434 | 119,434 |
| 015 | HARM MODS | 111,739 | 106,489 |
| | AUR kit cost growth | | [-3,250] |
| | Tooling and test equipment growth | | [-2,000] |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | |
| 016 | WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 2,531 | 2,531 |
| 017 | FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON | 208,700 | 206,700 |
| | Excess to need | | [-2,000] |
| | ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 018 | ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 73,211 | 73,211 |
| | TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP | | |
| 019 | SSTD | 6,562 | 6,562 |
| 020 | MK-48 TORPEDO | 14,153 | 14,153 |
| 021 | ASW TARGETS | 2,515 | 2,515 |
| | MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP | | |
| 022 | MK-54 TORPEDO MODS | 98,928 | 98,928 |
| 023 | MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS | 46,893 | 46,893 |
| 024 | QUICKSTRIKE MINE | 6,966 | 6,966 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 025 | TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 52,670 | 52,670 |
| 026 | ASW RANGE SUPPORT | 3,795 | 3,795 |
| | DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | | |
| 027 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 3,692 | 3,692 |
| | GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS | | |
| 028 | SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS | 13,240 | 13,240 |
| | MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS | | |
| 029 | CIWS MODS | 75,108 | 75,108 |
| 030 | COAST GUARD WEAPONS | 18,948 | 18,948 |
| 031 | GUN MOUNT MODS | 62,651 | 62,651 |
| 033 | AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS | 15,006 | 15,006 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 035 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 74,188 | 74,188 |
| | TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 3,217,945 | 3,272,156 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC | | |
| | NAVY AMMUNITION | | |
| 001 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 107,069 | 107,069 |
| 002 | AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 70,396 | 70,396 |
| 003 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | 20,284 | 20,284 |
| 004 | PRACTICE BOMBS | 26,701 | 26,701 |
| 005 | CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES | 53,866 | 53,866 |
| 006 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | 59,294 | 59,294 |
| 007 | JATOS | 2,766 | 2,766 |
| 008 | LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE | 113,092 | 113,092 |
| 009 | 5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION | 35,702 | 35,702 |
| 010 | INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION | 36,475 | 26,837 |
| | MK-296 57MM contract delay | | [-9,638] |
| 011 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | 43,906 | 43,906 |
| 012 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | 51,535 | 51,535 |
| 013 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | 11,652 | 11,652 |
| 014 | AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 4,473 | 4,473 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION | | | |
| 015 | SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION | 31,708 | 31,708 |
| 016 | LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES | 692 | 692 |
| 017 | 40 MM, ALL TYPES | 13,630 | 13,630 |
| 018 | 60MM, ALL TYPES | 2,261 | 2,261 |
| 019 | 81MM, ALL TYPES | 1,496 | 1,496 |
| 020 | 120MM, ALL TYPES | 14,855 | 14,855 |
| 022 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| 023 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 16,853 | 16,853 |
| 024 | ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES | 14,772 | 14,772 |
| 026 | FUZE, ALL TYPES | 9,972 | 9,972 |
| 027 | NON LETHALS | 998 | 998 |
| 028 | AMMO MODERNIZATION | 12,319 | 12,319 |
| 029 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 11,178 | 11,178 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC | 771,945 | 762,307 |
| SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY | | | |
| OTHER WARSHIPS | | | |
| 001 | CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM | 1,300,000 | 1,300,000 |
| 002 | VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE | 3,553,254 | 3,553,254 |
| 003 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 2,330,325 | 2,330,325 |
| 004 | CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS | | 483,600 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [483,600] |
| 006 | DDG 1000 | 419,532 | 419,532 |
| 007 | DDG-51 | 2,671,415 | 2,671,415 |
| 008 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 134,039 | 134,039 |
| 009 | LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP | 1,427,049 | 1,427,049 |
| AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS | | | |
| 010 | LPD-17 | 12,565 | 812,565 |
| | Incremental funding for LPD-28 | | [800,000] |
| 014 | LHA REPLACEMENT ADVANCE PROCURMENT (CY) | 29,093 | 29,093 |
| 015 | JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL | 4,590 | 0 |
| | Program closeout ahead of need | | [-4,590] |
| AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST | | | |
| 016 | MOORED TRAINING SHIP | 737,268 | 737,268 |
| 017 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 64,388 | 64,388 |
| 018 | OUTFITTING | 546,104 | 521,104 |
| | Early to need | | [-25,000] |
| 019 | SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR | 123,233 | 123,233 |
| 020 | LCAC SLEP | 40,485 | 40,485 |
| 021 | COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS | 1,007,285 | 1,007,285 |
| | TOTAL SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY | 14,400,625 | 15,654,635 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | |
| SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 001 | LM-2500 GAS TURBINE | 7,822 | 7,822 |
| 002 | ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE | 2,155 | 2,155 |
| 003 | HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED) | 22,704 | 19,278 |
| | Excess installation funding | | [-1,926] |
| | Modification funding ahead of need | | [-1,500] |
| GENERATORS | | | |
| 004 | SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E | 29,120 | 26,664 |
| | Surface Combatant HM&E | | [-2,456] |
| NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 005 | OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT | 45,431 | 44,894 |
| | AN/WSN-9 procurement ahead of need | | [-537] |
| PERISCOPES | | | |
| 006 | SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP | 60,970 | 57,221 |
| | Excess installation funding | | [-649] |
| | Interim contractor support carryover | | [-3,100] |
| OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 007 | DDG MOD | 338,569 | 338,569 |
| 008 | FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT | 15,486 | 15,486 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 009 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD | 2,219 | 2,219 |
| 010 | LHA/LHD MIDLIFE | 17,928 | 17,928 |
| 011 | LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM | 22,025 | 22,025 |
| 012 | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | 12,607 | 12,607 |
| 013 | SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 16,492 | 16,492 |
| 014 | VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 74,129 | 74,129 |
| 015 | LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 36,206 | 36,206 |
| 016 | SUBMARINE BATTERIES | 37,352 | 37,352 |
| 017 | LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 49,095 | 44,562 |
| | HM&E mechanical modifications ahead of need | | [-2,778] |
| | SWAN CANES procurement ahead of need | | [-1,755] |
| 018 | ELECTRONIC DRY AIR | 2,996 | 2,996 |
| 019 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | 11,558 | 11,558 |
| 020 | DSSP EQUIPMENT | 5,518 | 5,518 |
| 022 | LCAC | 7,158 | 7,158 |
| 023 | UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS | 58,783 | 53,783 |
| | MK-18 UUV retrofit kits and ancillary equipment contract delay. | | [-5,000] |
| 024 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 68,748 | 68,748 |
| 025 | CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS | 2,937 | 2,937 |
| 026 | SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 8,385 | 8,385 |
| | REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 027 | REACTOR POWER UNITS | | 298,200 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [298,200] |
| 028 | REACTOR COMPONENTS | 288,822 | 288,822 |
| | OCEAN ENGINEERING | | |
| 029 | DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT | 10,572 | 10,572 |
| | SMALL BOATS | | |
| 030 | STANDARD BOATS | 129,784 | 126,445 |
| | 7M RIB contract delay | | [-772] |
| | Large force protection boat contract delay | | [-791] |
| | Medium workboat contract delay | | [-1,776] |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 031 | OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 17,152 | 17,152 |
| | PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT | | |
| 032 | OPERATING FORCES IPE | 39,409 | 39,409 |
| | OTHER SHIP SUPPORT | | |
| 033 | NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS | 118,129 | 118,129 |
| 034 | LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT | 37,413 | 33,817 |
| | MPCE cost growth | | [-1,026] |
| | SUW support and shipping container cost growth | | [-2,570] |
| 035 | LCS MCM MISSION MODULES | 15,270 | 15,270 |
| 036 | LCS ASW MISSION MODULES | 2,729 | 2,729 |
| 037 | LCS SUW MISSION MODULES | 44,208 | 39,697 |
| | Gun module cost growth | | [-3,080] |
| | Maritime security module cost growth | | [-1,431] |
| 038 | REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) | 42,276 | 42,276 |
| | SHIP SONARS | | |
| 040 | SPQ-9B RADAR | 28,007 | 28,007 |
| 041 | AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM | 79,802 | 79,802 |
| 042 | SSN ACOUSTICS | 165,655 | 165,655 |
| 043 | UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 9,487 | 9,487 |
| 044 | SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS | 11,621 | 11,621 |
| | ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | |
| 046 | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM | 24,221 | 24,221 |
| 047 | SSTD | 12,051 | 12,051 |
| 048 | FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 170,831 | 170,831 |
| 049 | SURTASS | 9,619 | 9,619 |
| 050 | MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE | 14,390 | 14,390 |
| | ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT | | |
| 051 | AN/SLQ-32 | 214,582 | 214,582 |
| | RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT | | |
| 052 | SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT | 124,862 | 124,862 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 053 | AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) | 164 | 164 |
| | SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | | |
| 054 | SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG | 45,362 | 45,362 |
| | OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | |
| 055 | COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY | 33,939 | 33,939 |
| 056 | TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS) | 324 | 324 |
| 057 | NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) .. | 18,192 | 18,192 |
| 058 | ATDLS | 16,768 | 16,768 |
| 059 | NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS) | 5,219 | 5,219 |
| 060 | MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT | 42,108 | 41,499 |
| | AN/SQQ-32 integration cost growth | | [-609] |
| 062 | NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) | 15,232 | 15,232 |
| 063 | AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE | 4,524 | 4,524 |
| 064 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | 6,382 | 6,382 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 065 | OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 46,122 | 44,058 |
| | BFTT installation kit cost growth | | [-2,064] |
| | AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | |
| 066 | MATCALs | 16,999 | 16,999 |
| 067 | SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 9,366 | 9,366 |
| 068 | AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM | 21,357 | 21,357 |
| 069 | NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM | 26,639 | 26,639 |
| 070 | FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS | 9,214 | 9,214 |
| 071 | LANDING SYSTEMS | 13,902 | 13,902 |
| 072 | ID SYSTEMS | 34,901 | 34,901 |
| 073 | NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 13,950 | 13,950 |
| | OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | |
| 074 | DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL | 1,205 | 1,205 |
| 075 | MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM | 3,447 | 3,447 |
| 076 | TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS | 16,766 | 16,766 |
| 077 | DCGS-N | 23,649 | 23,649 |
| 078 | CANES | 357,589 | 357,589 |
| 079 | RADIAC | 8,343 | 8,343 |
| 080 | CANES-INTELL | 65,015 | 65,015 |
| 081 | GPETE | 6,284 | 6,284 |
| 082 | INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY | 4,016 | 4,016 |
| 083 | EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION | 4,113 | 4,113 |
| 084 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 45,053 | 45,053 |
| | SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 085 | SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS | 14,410 | 14,410 |
| 086 | SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION | 20,830 | 20,830 |
| 088 | COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M | 14,145 | 14,145 |
| | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 089 | SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT | 11,057 | 11,057 |
| 090 | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | 67,852 | 67,852 |
| | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 091 | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 13,218 | 13,218 |
| 092 | NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) | 272,076 | 272,076 |
| | SHORE COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 093 | JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | 4,369 | 4,369 |
| 094 | ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS | 1,402 | 1,402 |
| | CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | |
| 095 | INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | 110,766 | 110,766 |
| 096 | MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM | 979 | 979 |
| | CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT | | |
| 097 | CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP | 11,502 | 11,502 |
| | OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT | | |
| 098 | COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT | 2,967 | 2,967 |
| | SONOBUOYS | | |
| 100 | SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES | 182,946 | 182,946 |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 101 | WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 47,944 | 47,944 |
| 103 | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 76,683 | 76,683 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 106 | METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT | 12,575 | 12,875 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [300] |
| 107 | DCRS/DPL | 1,415 | 1,415 |
| 109 | AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES | 23,152 | 23,152 |
| 114 | AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 52,555 | 52,555 |
| | SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT | | |
| 115 | SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT | 5,572 | 5,572 |
| | SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT | | |
| 118 | SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 165,769 | 165,769 |
| 123 | TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 61,462 | 61,462 |
| | FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 126 | STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP | 229,832 | 229,832 |
| | ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 127 | SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS | 66,020 | 60,804 |
| | 688 T104 installation cost growth | | [-5,216] |
| 128 | ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 7,559 | 7,559 |
| | OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 132 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | 20,619 | 20,619 |
| 133 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 11,251 | 11,251 |
| | OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE | | |
| 137 | TRAINING DEVICE MODS | 84,080 | 84,080 |
| | CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 138 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 2,282 | 2,282 |
| 139 | GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | 547 | 547 |
| 140 | CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP | 8,949 | 8,949 |
| 141 | FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | 14,621 | 14,621 |
| 142 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 957 | 957 |
| 143 | AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT | 8,187 | 8,187 |
| 144 | POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT | 2,942 | 2,942 |
| 145 | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION | 17,592 | 16,143 |
| | Emergency response truck cost growth | | [-1,449] |
| 146 | PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES | 1,177 | 1,177 |
| | SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 147 | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | 10,937 | 10,937 |
| 148 | OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 10,374 | 10,374 |
| 149 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 5,668 | 5,668 |
| 150 | SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS | 90,921 | 90,921 |
| | TRAINING DEVICES | | |
| 151 | TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 22,046 | 22,046 |
| | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 152 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 24,208 | 24,208 |
| 153 | EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 874 | 874 |
| 154 | MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 2,634 | 2,634 |
| 156 | NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 3,573 | 3,573 |
| 157 | OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 3,997 | 3,997 |
| 158 | C4ISR EQUIPMENT | 9,638 | 9,638 |
| 159 | ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 21,001 | 21,001 |
| 160 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 94,957 | 94,957 |
| 161 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 87,214 | 87,214 |
| | OTHER | | |
| 164 | NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE | 116,165 | 116,165 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 164A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 10,847 | 10,847 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 165 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 325,084 | 325,084 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 5,975,828 | 6,233,843 |
| | PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | | |
| | TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | |
| 001 | AAV7A1 PIP | 16,756 | 16,756 |
| 002 | LAV PIP | 77,736 | 77,736 |
| | ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS | | |
| 003 | EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 5,742 | 642 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <i>Per Marine Corps excess to need</i> | | [−5,100] |
| 004 | 155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER | 4,532 | 4,532 |
| 005 | HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM | 19,474 | 19,474 |
| 006 | WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION ... | 7,250 | 7,250 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 007 | MODIFICATION KITS | 21,909 | 21,909 |
| 008 | WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | 3,208 | 3,208 |
| | GUIDED MISSILES | | |
| 009 | GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE | 31,439 | 31,439 |
| 010 | JAVELIN | 343 | 343 |
| 011 | FOLLOW ON TO SMAW | 4,995 | 4,995 |
| 012 | ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H) | 1,589 | 1,589 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 013 | MODIFICATION KITS | 5,134 | 5,134 |
| | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS | | |
| 014 | UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER | 9,178 | 9,178 |
| 015 | COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (C REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | 12,272 | 12,272 |
| 016 | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | 30,591 | 30,591 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT (TEL) | | |
| 017 | COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 2,385 | 2,385 |
| | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL) | | |
| 019 | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | 4,205 | 4,205 |
| 020 | AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS | 8,002 | 8,002 |
| | RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 021 | RADAR SYSTEMS | 19,595 | 19,375 |
| | <i>Sustainment—unjustified growth</i> | | [−220] |
| 022 | GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) | 89,230 | 89,230 |
| 023 | RQ-21 UAS | 70,565 | 70,565 |
| | INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 024 | FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 11,860 | 11,860 |
| 025 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 44,340 | 42,550 |
| | <i>Unjustified program growth</i> | | [−1,790] |
| 028 | RQ-11 UAV | 2,737 | 2,737 |
| 030 | DCGS-MC | 20,620 | 20,620 |
| | OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 031 | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | 9,798 | 9,798 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 032 | NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN) | 2,073 | 2,073 |
| 033 | COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES | 33,570 | 33,570 |
| 034 | COMMAND POST SYSTEMS | 38,186 | 38,186 |
| 035 | RADIO SYSTEMS | 64,494 | 64,494 |
| 036 | COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS | 72,956 | 64,325 |
| | <i>Unjustified program growth</i> | | [−8,631] |
| 037 | COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT | 43,317 | 43,317 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 037A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 2,498 | 2,498 |
| | ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES | | |
| 038 | COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES | 332 | 332 |
| 039 | COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES | 11,035 | 11,035 |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | |
| 040 | 5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP) | 57,255 | 37,255 |
| | <i>Early to need</i> | | [−20,000] |
| 041 | MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS | 938 | 938 |
| 044 | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| 045 | FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS | 10,179 | 10,179 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 046 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 11,023 | 11,023 |
| | ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | | |
| 047 | ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT | 994 | 994 |
| 048 | BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT | 1,256 | 1,256 |
| 049 | TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS | 3,750 | 3,750 |
| 050 | POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED | 8,985 | 8,985 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 051 | AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 4,418 | 4,418 |
| 052 | EOD SYSTEMS | 6,528 | 6,528 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 053 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 26,510 | 26,510 |
| 054 | GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE) | 1,910 | 1,910 |
| 055 | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | 8,807 | 8,807 |
| 056 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 128 | 128 |
| | GENERAL PROPERTY | | |
| 058 | TRAINING DEVICES | 3,412 | 3,412 |
| 059 | CONTAINER FAMILY | 1,662 | 1,662 |
| 060 | FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | 3,669 | 3,669 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 062 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 4,272 | 4,272 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 063 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 16,210 | 16,210 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | 983,352 | 947,611 |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | |
| | TACTICAL FORCES | | |
| 001 | F-35 | 3,553,046 | 3,553,046 |
| 002 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 291,880 | 291,880 |
| | TACTICAL AIRLIFT | | |
| 003 | KC-46A TANKER | 1,582,685 | 1,582,685 |
| | OTHER AIRLIFT | | |
| 004 | C-130J | 482,396 | 482,396 |
| 005 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 140,000 | 140,000 |
| 006 | HC-130J | 332,024 | 332,024 |
| 007 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| 008 | MC-130J | 190,971 | 190,971 |
| 009 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 80,000 | 80,000 |
| | MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT | | |
| 012 | CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C | 2,562 | 2,562 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | |
| 013 | TARGET DRONES | 98,576 | 98,576 |
| 016 | RQ-4 | 54,475 | 44,475 |
| | MPRTIP Sensor Trainer reduction | | [-10,000] |
| 017 | AC-130J | 1 | 1 |
| 018 | MQ-9 | 240,218 | 338,218 |
| | Program increase | | [120,000] |
| | Use available prior year funds for FY 15 requirements | | [-22,000] |
| | STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | |
| 020 | B-2A | 23,865 | 23,865 |
| 021 | B-1B | 140,252 | 140,252 |
| 022 | B-52 | 180,148 | 180,148 |
| 023 | LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES | 13,159 | 13,159 |
| | TACTICAL AIRCRAFT | | |
| 025 | F-15 | 387,314 | 387,314 |
| 026 | F-16 | 12,336 | 12,336 |
| 027 | F-22A | 180,207 | 180,207 |
| 028 | F-35 MODIFICATIONS | 187,646 | 187,646 |
| 029 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 28,500 | 28,500 |
| | AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | |
| 030 | C-5 | 14,731 | 14,731 |
| 031 | C-5M | 331,466 | 281,466 |
| | Program execution delay | | [-50,000] |
| 033 | C-17A | 127,494 | 127,494 |
| 034 | C-21 | 264 | 264 |
| 035 | C-32A | 8,767 | 8,767 |
| 036 | C-37A | 18,457 | 18,457 |
| | TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | |
| 038 | GLIDER MODS | 132 | 132 |
| 039 | T-6 | 14,486 | 14,486 |
| 040 | T-1 | 7,650 | 7,650 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 041 | T-38 | 34,845 | 34,845 |
| 044 | KC-10A (ATCA) | 34,313 | 34,313 |
| 045 | C-12 | 1,960 | 1,960 |
| 048 | VC-25A MOD | 1,072 | 1,072 |
| 049 | C-40 | 7,292 | 7,292 |
| 050 | C-130 | 35,869 | 124,269 |
| | C-130 8-Bladed Propeller upgrade | | [30,000] |
| | C-130 AMP | | [35,800] |
| | T-56 3.5 Engine Mod | | [22,600] |
| 051 | C-130J MODS | 7,919 | 7,919 |
| 052 | C-135 | 63,568 | 63,568 |
| 053 | COMPASS CALL MODS | 57,828 | 57,828 |
| 054 | RC-135 | 152,746 | 152,746 |
| 055 | E-3 | 16,491 | 16,491 |
| 056 | E-4 | 22,341 | 22,341 |
| 058 | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 160,284 | 160,284 |
| 059 | FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS | 32,026 | 32,026 |
| 060 | H-1 | 8,237 | 8,237 |
| 061 | H-60 | 60,110 | 60,110 |
| 062 | RQ-4 MODS | 21,354 | 21,354 |
| 063 | HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS | 1,902 | 1,902 |
| 064 | OTHER AIRCRAFT | 32,106 | 32,106 |
| 065 | MQ-1 MODS | 4,755 | 4,755 |
| 066 | MQ-9 MODS | 155,445 | 155,445 |
| 069 | CV-22 MODS | 74,874 | 74,874 |
| 069A | EJECTION SEAT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .. | | 2,500 |
| | Initial aircraft installation | | [2,500] |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 070 | INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | 466,562 | 466,562 |
| | COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 071 | AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP | 22,470 | 22,470 |
| | POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | | |
| 074 | B-2A | 44,793 | 44,793 |
| 075 | B-52 | 5,249 | 5,249 |
| 077 | C-17A | 20,110 | 20,110 |
| 078 | CV-22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT | 16,931 | 16,931 |
| 080 | C-135 | 4,414 | 4,414 |
| 081 | F-15 | 1,122 | 1,122 |
| 082 | F-16 | 10,994 | 10,994 |
| 083 | F-22A | 5,929 | 5,929 |
| 084 | OTHER AIRCRAFT | 27 | 27 |
| | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | |
| 085 | INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS | 21,363 | 21,363 |
| | WAR CONSUMABLES | | |
| 086 | WAR CONSUMABLES | 82,906 | 82,906 |
| | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | |
| 087 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | 1,007,276 | 1,007,276 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 087A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 69,380 | 69,380 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 11,542,571 | 11,671,471 |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | |
| | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC | | |
| 001 | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC | 80,187 | 80,187 |
| | TACTICAL | | |
| 003 | JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE | 337,438 | 337,438 |
| 004 | SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) | 132,995 | 132,995 |
| 005 | AMRAAM | 329,600 | 329,600 |
| 006 | PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE | 33,878 | 33,878 |
| 007 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 70,578 | 50,578 |
| | Delay in Milestone C and contract award | | [-20,000] |
| | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | |
| 008 | INDUSTRL PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION | 749 | 749 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | CLASS IV | | |
| 009 | MM III MODIFICATIONS | 28,477 | 28,477 |
| 010 | AGM-65D MAVERICK | 276 | 276 |
| 011 | AGM-88A HARM | 297 | 297 |
| 012 | AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) | 16,083 | 16,083 |
| 013 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 6,924 | 6,924 |
| | MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 014 | INITIAL SPARES / REPAIR PARTS | 87,366 | 87,366 |
| | SPACE PROGRAMS | | |
| 015 | ADVANCED EHF | 298,890 | 298,890 |
| 016 | WIDEBAND GAFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE) | 38,971 | 36,071 |
| | Unjustified growth | | [-2,900] |
| 017 | GPS III SPACE SEGMENT | 235,397 | 235,397 |
| 018 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 57,000 | 57,000 |
| 019 | SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) | 16,201 | 16,201 |
| 020 | GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | 52,090 | 52,090 |
| 021 | DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE) | 87,000 | 87,000 |
| 022 | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (INFRAST.) | 750,143 | 715,143 |
| | Excess growth | | [-35,000] |
| 023 | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE) | 630,903 | 630,903 |
| 024 | SBIR HIGH (SPACE) | 450,884 | 450,884 |
| | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | | |
| 028 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS | 60,179 | 60,179 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 028A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 888,000 | 888,000 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 4,690,506 | 4,632,606 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | | |
| | ROCKETS | | |
| 001 | ROCKETS | 4,696 | 4,696 |
| | CARTRIDGES | | |
| 002 | CARTRIDGES | 133,271 | 133,271 |
| | BOMBS | | |
| 003 | PRACTICE BOMBS | 31,998 | 31,998 |
| 004 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 148,614 | 148,614 |
| 005 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 101,400 | 101,400 |
| | OTHER ITEMS | | |
| 006 | CAD / PAD | 29,989 | 29,989 |
| 007 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) | 6,925 | 6,925 |
| 008 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 494 | 494 |
| 009 | MODIFICATIONS | 1,610 | 1,610 |
| 010 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 4,237 | 4,237 |
| | FLARES | | |
| 011 | FLARES | 86,101 | 86,101 |
| | FUZES | | |
| 012 | FUZES | 103,417 | 103,417 |
| | SMALL ARMS | | |
| 013 | SMALL ARMS | 24,648 | 24,648 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE. | 677,400 | 677,400 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | |
| | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | |
| 001 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 6,528 | 6,528 |
| | CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES | | |
| 002 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE | 7,639 | 7,639 |
| 003 | CAP VEHICLES | 961 | 961 |
| 004 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 11,027 | 11,027 |
| | SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | |
| 005 | SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES | 4,447 | 4,447 |
| 006 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 693 | 693 |
| | FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | | |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 007 | FIRE FIGHTING / CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES | 10,152 | 10,152 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 008 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 15,108 | 15,108 |
| | BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | | |
| 009 | RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP | 10,212 | 10,212 |
| 010 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 57,049 | 57,049 |
| | COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT (COMSEC) | | |
| 011 | COMSEC EQUIPMENT | 106,182 | 104,093 |
| | VACM modernization devices unit cost growth | | [-2,089] |
| 012 | MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) | 1,363 | 1,363 |
| | INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS | | |
| 013 | INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 2,832 | 2,832 |
| 014 | INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT | 32,329 | 32,329 |
| 016 | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 15,649 | 15,649 |
| | ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS | | |
| 017 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS | 42,200 | 30,000 |
| | D-ILS program restructure funds early to need | | [-12,200] |
| 018 | NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM | 6,333 | 6,333 |
| 019 | BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED | 2,708 | 2,708 |
| 020 | THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS | 50,033 | 50,033 |
| 021 | WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST | 16,348 | 16,348 |
| 022 | STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL | 139,984 | 139,984 |
| 023 | CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX | 20,101 | 20,101 |
| 026 | INTEGRATED STRAT PLAN & ANALY NETWORK (ISPAN) | 9,060 | 9,060 |
| | SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS | | |
| 027 | GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 39,100 | 39,100 |
| 028 | AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS | 19,010 | 19,010 |
| 029 | MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL | 11,462 | 11,462 |
| 030 | AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM | 37,426 | 37,426 |
| 031 | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | 26,634 | 26,634 |
| 032 | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N | 1,289 | 1,289 |
| 033 | C3 COUNTERMEASURES | 11,508 | 11,508 |
| 034 | GCSS-AF FOS | 3,670 | 3,670 |
| 035 | DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT SYSTEM | 15,298 | 15,298 |
| 036 | THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM | 9,565 | 9,565 |
| 037 | AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS | 25,772 | 25,772 |
| | AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS | | |
| 038 | INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS | 81,286 | 112,586 |
| | Air Force requested program transfer from AFNET | | [31,300] |
| 039 | AFNET | 122,228 | 90,928 |
| | Air Force requested program transfer to BITI | | [-31,300] |
| 041 | USCENTCOM | 16,342 | 16,342 |
| | SPACE PROGRAMS | | |
| 042 | FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS | 60,230 | 60,230 |
| 043 | SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE | 26,100 | 26,100 |
| 044 | NAVSTAR GPS SPACE | 2,075 | 2,075 |
| 045 | NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE | 4,656 | 4,656 |
| 046 | AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE | 54,630 | 54,630 |
| 047 | SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE | 69,713 | 69,713 |
| 048 | MILSATCOM SPACE | 41,355 | 41,355 |
| 049 | SPACE MODS SPACE | 31,722 | 31,722 |
| 050 | COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM | 61,603 | 61,603 |
| | ORGANIZATION AND BASE | | |
| 051 | TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT | 50,335 | 50,335 |
| 053 | RADIO EQUIPMENT | 14,846 | 14,846 |
| 054 | CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT | 3,635 | 3,635 |
| 055 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | 79,607 | 79,607 |
| | MODIFICATIONS | | |
| 056 | COMM ELECT MODS | 105,398 | 105,398 |
| | PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP | | |
| 057 | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | 12,577 | 12,577 |
| 058 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 31,209 | 31,209 |
| | DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ | | |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 059 | MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | 7,670 | 7,670 |
| | BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 060 | BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | 14,125 | 14,125 |
| 061 | CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | 16,744 | 16,744 |
| 062 | PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT | 2,495 | 2,495 |
| 063 | MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | 10,573 | 10,573 |
| 064 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 5,462 | 5,462 |
| | SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS | | |
| 066 | DARP RC135 | 24,710 | 24,710 |
| 067 | DCGS-AF | 206,743 | 206,743 |
| 069 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM | 537,370 | 537,370 |
| 070 | DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. | 77,898 | 77,898 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 070A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 13,990,196 | 13,990,196 |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 072 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 32,813 | 32,813 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 16,566,018 | 16,551,729 |
| | PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA | | |
| 001 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 1,594 | 1,594 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA | | |
| 002 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 4,325 | 4,325 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA | | |
| 003 | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 17,268 | 17,268 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA | | |
| 008 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY | 10,491 | 10,491 |
| 010 | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 80,622 | 80,622 |
| 011 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 14,147 | 14,147 |
| 012 | NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) | 1,921 | 1,921 |
| 013 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK | 80,144 | 80,144 |
| 015 | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 8,755 | 8,755 |
| 016 | WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY | 33,737 | 33,737 |
| 017 | SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE | 32,544 | 32,544 |
| 018 | JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT | 13,300 | 13,300 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA | | |
| 020 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 7,436 | 7,436 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT | | |
| 021 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 11,640 | 11,640 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA | | |
| 022 | AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS | 1,269 | 1,269 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS | | |
| 024 | VEHICLES | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 025 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 1,039 | 1,039 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY | | |
| 026 | VEHICLES | 50 | 50 |
| 027 | OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 7,639 | 7,639 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY | | |
| 028 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 68,880 | 0 |
| | Transfer to line 30 for All Up Round procurement | | [-68,880] |
| 029 | THAAD | 464,424 | 464,424 |
| 030 | AEGIS BMD | 435,430 | 534,430 |
| | Program increase | | [99,000] |
| 031 | BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS | 48,140 | 48,140 |
| 032 | AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III | 225,774 | 225,774 |
| 034 | IRON DOME | 175,972 | 0 |
| | Program increase for Iron Dome | | [175,000] |
| | Realignment of Iron Dome to Overseas Contingency Operations. | | [-350,972] |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA | | |
| 041 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | 3,448 | 3,448 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD | | |
| 042 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD | 43,708 | 43,708 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | | |
| 044 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS | 10,783 | 10,783 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS | | |
| 046 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS | 29,599 | 29,599 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 046A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 540,894 | 540,894 |
| | AVIATION PROGRAMS | | |
| 047 | MC-12 | 40,500 | 0 |
| | Unjustified Request | | [-40,500] |
| 048 | ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT | 112,226 | 112,226 |
| 049 | MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 3,021 | 3,021 |
| 050 | NON-STANDARD AVIATION | 48,200 | 48,200 |
| 052 | MH-47 CHINOOK | 22,230 | 22,230 |
| 053 | RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | 6,397 | 6,397 |
| 054 | CV-22 MODIFICATION | 25,578 | 25,578 |
| 056 | MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | 15,651 | 15,651 |
| 057 | STUASLO | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 058 | PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE | 145,929 | 145,929 |
| 059 | AC/MC-130J | 65,130 | 65,130 |
| 061 | C-130 MODIFICATIONS | 39,563 | 39,563 |
| | SHIPBUILDING | | |
| 063 | UNDERWATER SYSTEMS | 25,459 | 25,459 |
| | AMMUNITION PROGRAMS | | |
| 065 | ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M | 144,336 | 144,336 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS | | |
| 068 | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS | 81,001 | 81,001 |
| 070 | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 17,323 | 17,323 |
| 071 | OTHER ITEMS <\$5M | 84,852 | 84,852 |
| 072 | COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS | 51,937 | 51,937 |
| 074 | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 31,017 | 31,017 |
| 075 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 63,134 | 63,134 |
| 076 | WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M | 192,448 | 192,448 |
| 078 | COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS | 19,984 | 19,984 |
| 081 | GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES | 5,044 | 5,044 |
| 082 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE | 38,126 | 38,126 |
| 088 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 243,849 | 243,849 |
| | CBDP | | |
| 095 | CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS | 170,137 | 170,137 |
| 096 | CB PROTECTION & HAZARD MITIGATION | 150,392 | 150,392 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | 4,221,437 | 4,035,085 |
| | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | | |
| | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | | |
| 001 | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | 20,000 | 0 |
| | Unjustified request | | [-20,000] |
| | TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND. | 20,000 | 0 |
| | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | |
| | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | |
| 010 | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | -265,685 | 0 |
| | Denied Prior Year Rescission request | | [265,685] |
| | TOTAL PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | -265,685 | 0 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT | 89,508,034 | 91,399,361 |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

| SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | | | |
|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | | |
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | |
| FIXED WING | | | |
| 003 | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) | 36,000 | 36,000 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 36,000 | 36,000 |
| MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | |
| AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM | | | |
| 004 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 32,136 | 32,136 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 32,136 | 32,136 |
| PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | | | |
| SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION | | | |
| 007 | CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| MORTAR AMMUNITION | | | |
| 009 | 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| ARTILLERY AMMUNITION | | | |
| 013 | ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 014 | ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| ROCKETS | | | |
| 020 | ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | 66,905 | 66,905 |
| OTHER AMMUNITION | | | |
| 021 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 022 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| 023 | SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | 140,905 | 140,905 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | |
| TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | |
| 005 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) | 95,624 | 95,624 |
| 008 | PLS ESP | 60,300 | 60,300 |
| 010 | HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV | 192,620 | 192,620 |
| 015 | MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS | 197,000 | 197,000 |
| ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) | | | |
| 063 | DCGS-A (MIP) | 63,831 | 63,831 |
| 065A | TROJAN SPIRIT—TERMINALS (TIARA) | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| 067 | CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTRNG AND COLL(CHARCS) | 6,910 | 6,910 |
| ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) | | | |
| 071 | FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE | 32,083 | 32,083 |
| 072 | COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTER-MEASURES. | 47,535 | 47,535 |
| CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | |
| 114A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 133 | FORCE PROVIDER | 51,500 | 51,500 |
| 135 | CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM | 2,580 | 2,580 |
| OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 170 | RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 778,583 | 778,583 |
| JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND | | | |
| NETWORK ATTACK | | | |
| 001 | ATTACK THE NETWORK | 189,700 | 189,700 |
| JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT | | | |
| 002 | DEFEAT THE DEVICE | 94,600 | 94,600 |
| FORCE TRAINING | | | |
| 003 | TRAIN THE FORCE | 15,700 | 15,700 |
| STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE | | | |
| 004 | OPERATIONS | 79,000 | 144,463 |
| | Transfer from Base | | [65,463] |
| | TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND. | 379,000 | 444,463 |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | |
| COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | |
| 011 | H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) | 30,000 | 30,000 |
| OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | |
| 027 | MQ-8 UAV | 40,888 | 40,888 |
| 028A | STUASLO UAV | 55,000 | 55,000 |
| MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | |
| 039 | EP-3 SERIES | 34,955 | 34,955 |
| 049 | SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | 2,548 | 2,548 |
| 054 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | 31,920 | 31,920 |
| AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES | | | |
| 067 | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 936 | 936 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 196,247 | 196,247 |
| WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | |
| STRATEGIC MISSILES | | | |
| 003 | TOMAHAWK | 45,500 | 45,500 |
| TACTICAL MISSILES | | | |
| 010 | LASER MAVERICK | 16,485 | 16,485 |
| 011 | STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) | 4,800 | 4,800 |
| | TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 66,785 | 66,785 |
| PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC | | | |
| NAVY AMMUNITION | | | |
| 001 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 7,596 | 7,596 |
| 002 | AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 8,862 | 8,862 |
| 003 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | 3,473 | 3,473 |
| 006 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | 29,376 | 29,376 |
| 011 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | 3,919 | 3,919 |
| 012 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | 3,561 | 3,561 |
| 013 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | 2,913 | 2,913 |
| 014 | AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 2,764 | 2,764 |
| MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION | | | |
| 015 | SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION | 9,475 | 9,475 |
| 016 | LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES | 8,843 | 8,843 |
| 017 | 40 MM, ALL TYPES | 7,098 | 7,098 |
| 018 | 60MM, ALL TYPES | 5,935 | 5,935 |
| 019 | 81MM, ALL TYPES | 9,318 | 9,318 |
| 020 | 120MM, ALL TYPES | 6,921 | 6,921 |
| 022 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | 3,218 | 3,218 |
| 023 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | 7,642 | 7,642 |
| 024 | ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES | 30,289 | 30,289 |
| 025 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | 1,255 | 1,255 |
| 026 | FUZE, ALL TYPES | 2,061 | 2,061 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC | 154,519 | 154,519 |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | |
| OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 023 | UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS | 8,210 | 8,210 |
| OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 078 | CANES | | 400 |
| | ERI: Information Sharing with Coalition Partners | | [400] |
| 084 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 5,870 | 5,870 |
| SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS | | | |
| 088 | COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M | 1,100 | 1,100 |
| OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 132 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | 207,860 | 207,860 |
| CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | |
| 138 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 1,063 | 1,063 |
| 139 | GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | 152 | 152 |
| 142 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 26,300 | 26,300 |
| 145 | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION | 3,300 | 3,300 |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 152 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 10,745 | 10,745 |
| 157 | OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 3,331 | 3,331 |
| 158 | C4ISR EQUIPMENT | 35,923 | 36,073 |
| | ERI: Black Sea Information Sharing Initiatives | | [150] |
| 159 | ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 514 | 514 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 164A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 2,400 | 2,400 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 306,768 | 307,318 |
| | PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | | |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 007 | MODIFICATION KITS | 3,190 | 3,190 |
| | GUIDED MISSILES | | |
| 010 | JAVELIN | 17,100 | 17,100 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | |
| 013 | MODIFICATION KITS | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | | |
| 016 | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | 980 | 980 |
| | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL) | | |
| 019 | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | 996 | 996 |
| | INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 025 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 1,450 | 1,450 |
| 028 | RQ-11 UAV | 1,740 | 1,740 |
| | OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 031 | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | 134 | 134 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL) | | |
| 036 | COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS | 3,119 | 3,119 |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | |
| 042 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT | 584 | 584 |
| | ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | | |
| 052 | EOD SYSTEMS | 5,566 | 5,566 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 055 | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | 3,230 | 3,230 |
| | GENERAL PROPERTY | | |
| 058 | TRAINING DEVICES | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | 53,589 | 53,589 |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | |
| | OTHER AIRLIFT | | |
| 004 | C-130J | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | |
| 018 | MQ-9 | 192,000 | 192,000 |
| | STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | |
| 021 | B-1B | 91,879 | 91,879 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | |
| 050 | C-130 | 47,840 | 47,840 |
| 051 | C-130J MODS | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| 053 | COMPASS CALL MODS | 24,800 | 24,800 |
| 063 | HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS | 44,300 | 44,300 |
| 064 | OTHER AIRCRAFT | 111,990 | 111,990 |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | |
| 070 | INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | 45,410 | 45,410 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 646,219 | 646,219 |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | |
| | TACTICAL | | |
| 006 | PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE | 125,469 | 125,469 |
| 007 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 10,720 | 10,720 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 136,189 | 136,189 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | | |
| | CARTRIDGES | | |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 002 | CARTRIDGES | 2,469 | 2,469 |
| | BOMBS | | |
| 004 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 56,293 | 56,293 |
| 005 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 117,039 | 117,039 |
| | FLARES | | |
| 011 | FLARES | 19,136 | 19,136 |
| | FUZES | | |
| 012 | FUZES | 24,848 | 24,848 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE. | 219,785 | 219,785 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | |
| | CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES | | |
| 004 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| | SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | |
| 006 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 1,878 | 1,878 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | |
| 008 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 5,131 | 5,131 |
| | BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | | |
| 009 | RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP | 1,734 | 1,734 |
| 010 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| | SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS | | |
| 027 | GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | 3,857 | 3,857 |
| 033 | C3 COUNTERMEASURES | 900 | 900 |
| | SPACE PROGRAMS | | |
| 048 | MILSATCOM SPACE | 19,547 | 19,547 |
| | ORGANIZATION AND BASE | | |
| 055 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | 1,970 | 1,970 |
| | PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP | | |
| 057 | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | 765 | 765 |
| | BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | |
| 060 | BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | 2,030 | 2,030 |
| 061 | CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | 99,590 | 99,590 |
| 063 | MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | 107,361 | 107,361 |
| 064 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 10,975 | 10,975 |
| | SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS | | |
| 070 | DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. | 6,100 | 6,100 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 070A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 3,143,936 | 3,143,936 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 3,430,774 | 3,430,774 |
| | PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA | | |
| 010 | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 4,330 | 4,330 |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY | | |
| 034 | IRON DOME | | 350,972 |
| | <i>Realignment of Iron Dome to Overseas Contingency Operations.</i> | | [350,972] |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | |
| 046A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 65,829 | 65,829 |
| | AVIATION PROGRAMS | | |
| 056 | MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | | 5,700 |
| | <i>MQ-9 Capability Enhancements</i> | | [5,700] |
| | AMMUNITION PROGRAMS | | |
| 065 | ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M | 28,873 | 28,873 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS | | |
| 068 | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS | 13,549 | 13,549 |
| 071 | OTHER ITEMS <\$5M | 32,773 | 32,773 |
| 076 | WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M | 78,357 | 78,357 |
| 088 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 4,175 | 4,175 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | 227,886 | 584,558 |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | | | |
| JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | | | |
| 001 | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | 50,000 | 0 |
| | Program decrease | | [-50,000] |
| | TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND. | 50,000 | 0 |
| NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT UNDISTRIBUTED | | | |
| 007 | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | 1,250,000 |
| | Program increase | | [1,250,000] |
| | TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIP- MENT. | | 1,250,000 |
| PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | | |
| PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | | |
| 010 | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | -117,000 | 0 |
| | Denied Prior Year Rescission request | | [117,000] |
| | TOTAL PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | -117,000 | 0 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT | 6,738,385 | 8,478,070 |

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, | | | | |
| ARMY | | | | |
| BASIC RESEARCH | | | | |
| 001 | 0601101A | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RE- SEARCH. | 13,464 | 13,464 |
| 002 | 0601102A | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 238,167 | 238,167 |
| 003 | 0601103A | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 69,808 | 89,808 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [20,000] |
| 004 | 0601104A | UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CEN- TERS. | 102,737 | 102,737 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 424,176 | 444,176 |
| APPLIED RESEARCH | | | | |
| 005 | 0602105A | MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY | 28,006 | 28,006 |
| 006 | 0602120A | SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY | 33,515 | 33,515 |
| 007 | 0602122A | TRACTOR HIP | 16,358 | 16,358 |
| 008 | 0602211A | AVIATION TECHNOLOGY | 63,433 | 63,433 |
| 009 | 0602270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 18,502 | 18,502 |
| 010 | 0602303A | MISSILE TECHNOLOGY | 46,194 | 46,194 |
| 011 | 0602307A | ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 28,528 | 28,528 |
| 012 | 0602308A | ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION | 27,435 | 27,435 |
| 013 | 0602601A | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECH- NOLOGY. | 72,883 | 72,883 |
| 014 | 0602618A | BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY | 85,597 | 85,597 |
| 015 | 0602622A | CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY. | 3,971 | 3,971 |
| 016 | 0602623A | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 6,853 | 6,853 |
| 017 | 0602624A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 38,069 | 38,069 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 018 | 0602705A | ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES | 56,435 | 56,435 |
| 019 | 0602709A | NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY | 38,445 | 38,445 |
| 020 | 0602712A | COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS | 25,939 | 25,939 |
| 021 | 0602716A | HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 23,783 | 23,783 |
| 022 | 0602720A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY | 15,659 | 15,659 |
| 023 | 0602782A | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECH- NOLOGY. | 33,817 | 33,817 |
| 024 | 0602783A | COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY | 10,764 | 10,764 |
| 025 | 0602784A | MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 63,311 | 63,311 |
| 026 | 0602785A | MANPOWER /PERSONNEL /TRAINING TECH- NOLOGY. | 23,295 | 23,295 |
| 027 | 0602786A | WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY | 25,751 | 28,330 |
| | | <i>Joint Service Combat Feeding Technology</i> | | [2,579] |
| 028 | 0602787A | MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 76,068 | 76,068 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 862,611 | 865,190 |
| | | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 029 | 0603001A | WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 65,139 | 65,813 |
| | | <i>Joint Service Combat Feeding Tech Demo</i> | | [674] |
| 030 | 0603002A | MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 67,291 | 67,291 |
| 031 | 0603003A | AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 88,990 | 88,990 |
| 032 | 0603004A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH- NOLOGY. | 57,931 | 57,931 |
| 033 | 0603005A | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY. | 110,031 | 110,031 |
| 034 | 0603006A | SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 6,883 | 6,883 |
| 035 | 0603007A | MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING AD- VANCED TECHNOLOGY. | 13,580 | 13,580 |
| 036 | 0603008A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 44,871 | 44,871 |
| 037 | 0603009A | TRACTOR HIKE | 7,492 | 7,492 |
| 038 | 0603015A | NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS. | 16,749 | 16,749 |
| 039 | 0603020A | TRACTOR ROSE | 14,483 | 14,483 |
| 041 | 0603125A | COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVEL- OPMENT. | 24,270 | 24,270 |
| 042 | 0603130A | TRACTOR NAIL | 3,440 | 3,440 |
| 043 | 0603131A | TRACTOR EGGS | 2,406 | 2,406 |
| 044 | 0603270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 26,057 | 26,057 |
| 045 | 0603313A | MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 44,957 | 44,957 |
| 046 | 0603322A | TRACTOR CAGE | 11,105 | 11,105 |
| 047 | 0603461A | HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZA- TION PROGRAM. | 181,609 | 181,609 |
| 048 | 0603606A | LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY. | 13,074 | 13,074 |
| 049 | 0603607A | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 7,321 | 7,321 |
| 050 | 0603710A | NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 44,138 | 44,138 |
| 051 | 0603728A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEM- ONSTRATIONS. | 9,197 | 9,197 |
| 052 | 0603734A | MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECH- NOLOGY. | 17,613 | 17,613 |
| 053 | 0603772A | ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY. | 39,164 | 39,164 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVEL- OPMENT. | 917,791 | 918,465 |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | | |
| 054 | 0603305A | ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 12,797 | 12,797 |
| 055 | 0603308A | ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 13,999 | 13,999 |
| 058 | 0603639A | TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION | 29,334 | 29,334 |
| 060 | 0603747A | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 9,602 | 11,002 |
| | | <i>Food Advanced Development</i> | | [1,400] |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 061 | 0603766A | TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV. | 8,953 | 8,953 |
| 062 | 0603774A | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT. | 3,052 | 3,052 |
| 063 | 0603779A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEVELOPMENT. | 7,830 | 7,830 |
| 065 | 0603790A | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 2,954 | 2,954 |
| 067 | 0603804A | LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV. | 13,386 | 13,386 |
| 069 | 0603807A | MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV | 23,659 | 23,659 |
| 070 | 0603827A | SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT .. Army requested realignment—Caliber Config Study | 6,830 | 9,830 [3,000] |
| 072 | 0604100A | ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES | 9,913 | 9,913 |
| 073 | 0604115A | TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES | 74,740 | 74,740 |
| 074 | 0604120A | ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT). | 9,930 | 9,930 |
| 076 | 0604319A | INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERCEPT (IFPC2). Program delay and funds requested early to need | 96,177 | 71,177 [-25,000] |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES. | 323,156 | 302,556 |
| | | SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | | |
| 079 | 0604201A | AIRCRAFT AVIONICS | 37,246 | 37,246 |
| 081 | 0604270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 6,002 | 6,002 |
| 082 | 0604280A | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO | 9,832 | 9,832 |
| 083 | 0604290A | MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVN). | 9,730 | 9,730 |
| 084 | 0604321A | ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM | 5,532 | 5,532 |
| 085 | 0604328A | TRACTOR CAGE | 19,929 | 19,929 |
| 086 | 0604601A | INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS | 27,884 | 34,586 [6,702] |
| | | Army requested realignment | | |
| 087 | 0604604A | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES | 210 | 210 |
| 088 | 0604611A | JAVELIN | 4,166 | 4,166 |
| 089 | 0604622A | FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES | 12,913 | 12,913 |
| 090 | 0604633A | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | 16,764 | 16,764 |
| 091 | 0604641A | TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE (TUGV) | 6,770 | 6,770 |
| 092 | 0604710A | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV | 65,333 | 65,333 |
| 093 | 0604713A | COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT Military Subsistence Systems | 1,335 | 1,897 [562] |
| 094 | 0604715A | NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV | 8,945 | 8,945 |
| 096 | 0604741A | AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV. | 15,906 | 15,906 |
| 097 | 0604742A | CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT. | 4,394 | 4,394 |
| 098 | 0604746A | AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT | 11,084 | 11,084 |
| 099 | 0604760A | DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV. | 10,027 | 10,027 |
| 100 | 0604780A | COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE. | 42,430 | 42,430 |
| 101 | 0604798A | BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION. | 105,279 | 105,279 |
| 102 | 0604802A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV | 15,006 | 15,006 |
| 103 | 0604804A | LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV. | 24,581 | 24,581 |
| 104 | 0604805A | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV. | 4,433 | 4,433 |
| 105 | 0604807A | MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV. | 30,397 | 30,397 |
| 106 | 0604808A | LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV | 57,705 | 57,705 |
| 108 | 0604818A | ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE. | 29,683 | 29,683 |
| 109 | 0604820A | RADAR DEVELOPMENT | 5,224 | 5,224 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 111 | 0604823A | FIREFINDER | 37,492 | 37,492 |
| 112 | 0604827A | SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL | 6,157 | 6,157 |
| 113 | 0604854A | ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD | 1,912 | 1,912 |
| 116 | 0605013A | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 69,761 | 69,761 |
| 117 | 0605018A | INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM—ARMY (IPPS-A). | 138,465 | 138,465 |
| 118 | 0605028A | ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) | 92,353 | 92,353 |
| 119 | 0605030A | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) | 8,440 | 8,440 |
| 120 | 0605031A | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN) | 17,999 | 17,999 |
| 121 | 0605035A | COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM). | 145,409 | 145,409 |
| 122 | 0605350A | WIN-T INCREMENT 3—FULL NETWORKING | 113,210 | 113,210 |
| 123 | 0605380A | AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) | 6,882 | 6,882 |
| 124 | 0605450A | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) | 83,838 | 83,838 |
| 125 | 0605456A | PAC-3/MSE MISSILE | 35,009 | 35,009 |
| 126 | 0605457A | ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD). | 142,584 | 142,584 |
| 127 | 0605625A | MANNED GROUND VEHICLE | 49,160 | 49,160 |
| 128 | 0605626A | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR | 17,748 | 17,748 |
| 129 | 0605766A | NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP) | 15,212 | 15,212 |
| 130 | 0605812A | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH. | 45,718 | 45,718 |
| 131 | 0605830A | AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 10,041 | 10,041 |
| 132 | 0210609A | PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) | 83,300 | 83,300 |
| 133 | 0303032A | TROJAN—RH12 | 983 | 983 |
| 134 | 0304270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 8,961 | 8,961 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION. | 1,719,374 | 1,726,638 |
| | | RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | |
| 135 | 0604256A | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 18,062 | 18,062 |
| 136 | 0604258A | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 10,040 | 10,040 |
| 137 | 0604759A | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 60,317 | 60,317 |
| 138 | 0605103A | RAND ARROYO CENTER | 20,612 | 20,612 |
| 139 | 0605301A | ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL | 176,041 | 176,041 |
| 140 | 0605326A | CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM | 19,439 | 19,439 |
| 142 | 0605601A | ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES | 275,025 | 275,025 |
| 143 | 0605602A | ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS. | 45,596 | 45,596 |
| 144 | 0605604A | SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS | 33,295 | 33,295 |
| 145 | 0605606A | AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION | 4,700 | 4,700 |
| 146 | 0605702A | METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES. | 6,413 | 6,413 |
| 147 | 0605706A | MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS | 20,746 | 20,746 |
| 148 | 0605709A | EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS | 7,015 | 7,015 |
| 149 | 0605712A | SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING | 49,221 | 49,221 |
| 150 | 0605716A | ARMY EVALUATION CENTER | 55,039 | 55,039 |
| 151 | 0605718A | ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG. | 1,125 | 1,125 |
| 152 | 0605801A | PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES | 64,169 | 64,169 |
| 153 | 0605803A | TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES | 32,319 | 32,319 |
| 154 | 0605805A | MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY. | 49,052 | 49,052 |
| 155 | 0605857A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT. | 2,612 | 2,612 |
| 156 | 0605898A | MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D | 49,592 | 49,592 |
| | | SUBTOTAL RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT ... | 1,000,430 | 1,000,430 |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 158 | 0603778A | MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 17,112 | 17,112 |
| 159 | 0607141A | LOGISTICS AUTOMATION | 3,654 | 3,654 |
| 160 | 0607664A | BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC) | 1,332 | 1,332 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 161 | 0607865A | PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT | 152,991 | 152,991 |
| 162 | 0102419A | AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE | 54,076 | 41,576 |
| | | Funding ahead of need | | [-12,500] |
| 163 | 0203726A | ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM .. | 22,374 | 22,374 |
| 164 | 0203728A | JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINA- TION SYSTEM (JADOCs). | 24,371 | 24,371 |
| 165 | 0203735A | COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 295,177 | 321,177 |
| | | Stryker ECP risk mitigation | | [26,000] |
| 166 | 0203740A | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM | 45,092 | 45,092 |
| 167 | 0203744A | AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVE- MENT PROGRAMS. | 264,887 | 264,887 |
| 168 | 0203752A | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. | 381 | 381 |
| 169 | 0203758A | DIGITIZATION | 10,912 | 10,912 |
| 170 | 0203801A | MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. | 5,115 | 5,115 |
| 171 | 0203802A | OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PRO- GRAMS. | 49,848 | 44,848 |
| | | Contract delay for ATACMS | | [-5,000] |
| 172 | 0203808A | TRACTOR CARD | 22,691 | 22,691 |
| 173 | 0205402A | INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV. | 4,364 | 4,364 |
| 174 | 0205410A | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | 834 | 834 |
| 175 | 0205412A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—OPER- ATIONAL SYSTEM DEV. | 280 | 280 |
| 176 | 0205456A | LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM. | 78,758 | 78,758 |
| 177 | 0205778A | GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS). | 45,377 | 45,377 |
| 178 | 0208053A | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM | 10,209 | 10,209 |
| 181 | 0303028A | SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES | 12,525 | 12,525 |
| 182 | 0303140A | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 14,175 | 14,175 |
| 183 | 0303141A | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 4,527 | 4,527 |
| 184 | 0303142A | SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) | 11,011 | 11,011 |
| 185 | 0303150A | WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS- TEM. | 2,151 | 2,151 |
| 187 | 0305204A | TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES | 22,870 | 22,870 |
| 188 | 0305208A | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYS- TEMS. | 20,155 | 20,155 |
| 189 | 0305219A | MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS | 46,472 | 46,472 |
| 191 | 0305233A | RQ-7 UAV | 16,389 | 16,389 |
| 192 | 0307665A | BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE | 1,974 | 1,974 |
| 193 | 0310349A | WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING | 3,249 | 3,249 |
| 194 | 0708045A | END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVI- TIES. | 76,225 | 76,225 |
| 194A | 999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 4,802 | 4,802 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOP- MENT. | 1,346,360 | 1,354,860 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY. | 6,593,898 | 6,612,315 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY | | |
| | | BASIC RESEARCH | | |
| 001 | 0601103N | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 113,908 | 133,908 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [20,000] |
| 002 | 0601152N | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RE- SEARCH. | 18,734 | 18,734 |
| 003 | 0601153N | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 443,697 | 443,697 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 576,339 | 596,339 |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 004 | 0602114N | POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH | 95,753 | 95,753 |
| 005 | 0602123N | FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH | 139,496 | 139,496 |
| 006 | 0602131M | MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY ... | 45,831 | 45,831 |
| 007 | 0602235N | COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH | 43,541 | 43,541 |
| 008 | 0602236N | WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH | 46,923 | 46,923 |
| 009 | 0602271N | ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH. | 107,872 | 107,872 |
| 010 | 0602435N | OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH. | 45,388 | 65,388 |
| | | <i>Service Life extension for the AGOR ships</i> | | [20,000] |
| 011 | 0602651M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH. | 5,887 | 5,887 |
| 012 | 0602747N | UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH | 86,880 | 86,880 |
| 013 | 0602750N | FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH. | 170,786 | 170,786 |
| 014 | 0602782N | MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH. | 32,526 | 32,526 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 820,883 | 840,883 |
| | | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 015 | 0603114N | POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 37,734 | 37,734 |
| 016 | 0603123N | FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 25,831 | 25,831 |
| 017 | 0603271N | ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY. | 64,623 | 64,623 |
| 018 | 0603640M | USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD). | 128,397 | 128,397 |
| 019 | 0603651M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 11,506 | 11,506 |
| 020 | 0603673N | FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 256,144 | 256,144 |
| 021 | 0603729N | WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY. | 4,838 | 4,838 |
| 022 | 0603747N | UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY ... | 9,985 | 9,985 |
| 023 | 0603758N | NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS. | 53,956 | 53,956 |
| 024 | 0603782N | MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY. | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 595,014 | 595,014 |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | | |
| 025 | 0603207N | AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS | 40,429 | 40,429 |
| 026 | 0603216N | AVIATION SURVIVABILITY | 4,325 | 4,325 |
| 027 | 0603237N | DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL | 2,991 | 2,991 |
| 028 | 0603251N | AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS | 12,651 | 12,651 |
| 029 | 0603254N | ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 7,782 | 7,782 |
| 030 | 0603261N | TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE | 5,275 | 5,275 |
| 031 | 0603382N | ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 1,646 | 1,646 |
| 032 | 0603502N | SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES. | 100,349 | 100,349 |
| 033 | 0603506N | SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE | 52,781 | 52,781 |
| 034 | 0603512N | CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 5,959 | 5,959 |
| 035 | 0603525N | PILOT FISH | 148,865 | 148,865 |
| 036 | 0603527N | RETRACT LARCH | 25,365 | 25,365 |
| 037 | 0603536N | RETRACT JUNIPER | 80,477 | 80,477 |
| 038 | 0603542N | RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL | 669 | 669 |
| 039 | 0603553N | SURFACE ASW | 1,060 | 1,060 |
| 040 | 0603561N | ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | 70,551 | 70,551 |
| 041 | 0603562N | SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS | 8,044 | 8,044 |
| 042 | 0603563N | SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN | 17,864 | 17,864 |
| 043 | 0603564N | SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES. | 23,716 | 20,411 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | CSC contract award delay | | [-3,305] |
| 044 | 0603570N | ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 499,961 | 499,961 |
| 045 | 0603573N | ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS | 21,026 | 21,026 |
| 046 | 0603576N | CHALK EAGLE | 542,700 | 542,700 |
| 047 | 0603581N | LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) | 88,734 | 88,734 |
| 048 | 0603582N | COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION | 20,881 | 20,881 |
| 049 | 0603595N | OHIO REPLACEMENT | 849,277 | 849,277 |
| 050 | 0603596N | LCS MISSION MODULES | 196,948 | 173,348 |
| | | Program execution | | [-23,600] |
| 051 | 0603597N | AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST (ATRT) | 8,115 | 8,115 |
| 052 | 0603609N | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS | 7,603 | 7,603 |
| 053 | 0603611M | MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES | 105,749 | 105,749 |
| 054 | 0603635M | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYS- TEM. | 1,342 | 1,342 |
| 055 | 0603654N | JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVEL- OPMENT. | 21,399 | 21,399 |
| 056 | 0603658N | COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT | 43,578 | 42,578 |
| | | Common array block antenna program growth | | [-1,000] |
| 057 | 0603713N | OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOP- MENT. | 7,764 | 7,764 |
| 058 | 0603721N | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION | 13,200 | 13,200 |
| 059 | 0603724N | NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM | 69,415 | 69,415 |
| 060 | 0603725N | FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT | 2,588 | 2,588 |
| 061 | 0603734N | CHALK CORAL | 176,301 | 176,301 |
| 062 | 0603739N | NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY | 3,873 | 3,873 |
| 063 | 0603746N | RETRACT MAPLE | 376,028 | 376,028 |
| 064 | 0603748N | LINK PLUMERIA | 272,096 | 272,096 |
| 065 | 0603751N | RETRACT ELM | 42,233 | 42,233 |
| 066 | 0603764N | LINK EVERGREEN | 46,504 | 46,504 |
| 067 | 0603787N | SPECIAL PROCESSES | 25,109 | 25,109 |
| 068 | 0603790N | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 9,659 | 9,659 |
| 069 | 0603795N | LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY | 318 | 318 |
| 070 | 0603851M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING | 40,912 | 40,912 |
| 071 | 0603860N | JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYS- TEMS—DEM/VAL. | 54,896 | 41,896 |
| | | Program delay | | [-13,000] |
| 073 | 0603925N | DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYS- TEMS. | 58,696 | 58,696 |
| 074 | 0604112N | GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER (CVN 78—80). | 43,613 | 43,613 |
| 075 | 0604122N | REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) | 21,110 | 21,110 |
| 076 | 0604272N | TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUN- TERMEASURES (TADIRCM). | 5,657 | 5,657 |
| 077 | 0604279N | ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION | 8,033 | 5,923 |
| | | Unjustified request for test assets | | [-2,110] |
| 078 | 0604454N | LX (R) | 36,859 | 36,859 |
| 079 | 0604653N | JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELEC- TRONIC WARFARE (JCREW). | 15,227 | 15,227 |
| 081 | 0604707N | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHI- TECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT. | 22,393 | 22,393 |
| 082 | 0604786N | OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT. | 202,939 | 202,939 |
| 083 | 0605812M | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGI- NEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOP- MENT PH. | 11,450 | 11,450 |
| 084 | 0303354N | ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP | 6,495 | 6,495 |
| 085 | 0304270N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP | 332 | 332 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVEL- OPMENT & PROTOTYPES. | 4,591,812 | 4,548,797 |
| | | SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | | |
| 086 | 0603208N | TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT | 25,153 | 25,153 |
| 087 | 0604212N | OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT | 46,154 | 46,154 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 088 | 0604214N | AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV | 25,372 | 25,372 |
| 089 | 0604215N | STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT | 53,712 | 53,712 |
| 090 | 0604216N | MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVEL- OPMENT. | 11,434 | 11,434 |
| 091 | 0604218N | AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING | 2,164 | 2,164 |
| 092 | 0604221N | P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 1,710 | 1,710 |
| 093 | 0604230N | WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 9,094 | 9,094 |
| 094 | 0604231N | TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM | 70,248 | 62,140 |
| | | 64-bit architecture phasing | | [-3,000] |
| | | Program execution | | [-5,108] |
| 095 | 0604234N | ADVANCED HAWKEYE | 193,200 | 193,200 |
| 096 | 0604245N | H-1 UPGRADES | 44,115 | 44,115 |
| 097 | 0604261N | ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS | 23,227 | 23,227 |
| 098 | 0604262N | V-22A | 61,249 | 61,249 |
| 099 | 0604264N | AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 15,014 | 15,014 |
| 100 | 0604269N | EA-18 | 18,730 | 18,730 |
| 101 | 0604270N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 28,742 | 28,742 |
| 102 | 0604273N | EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT | 388,086 | 388,086 |
| 103 | 0604274N | NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ) | 246,856 | 246,856 |
| 104 | 0604280N | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS- NAVY). | 7,106 | 7,106 |
| 105 | 0604307N | SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGI- NEERING. | 189,112 | 189,112 |
| 106 | 0604311N | LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 376 | 376 |
| 107 | 0604329N | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB) | 71,849 | 61,849 |
| | | Small diameter bomb II integration program growth | | [-10,000] |
| 108 | 0604366N | STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS | 53,198 | 53,198 |
| 109 | 0604373N | AIRBORNE MCM | 38,941 | 38,941 |
| 110 | 0604376M | MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION. | 7,832 | 7,832 |
| 111 | 0604378N | NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING. | 15,263 | 15,263 |
| 112 | 0604404N | UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SUR- VEILLANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM. | 403,017 | 403,017 |
| 113 | 0604501N | ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS | 20,409 | 20,409 |
| 114 | 0604503N | SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION | 71,565 | 71,565 |
| 115 | 0604504N | AIR CONTROL | 29,037 | 29,037 |
| 116 | 0604512N | SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS | 122,083 | 122,083 |
| 118 | 0604522N | ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM. | 144,706 | 144,706 |
| 119 | 0604558N | NEW DESIGN SSN | 72,695 | 72,695 |
| 120 | 0604562N | SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM | 38,985 | 38,985 |
| 121 | 0604567N | SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN / LIVE FIRE T&E | 48,470 | 48,470 |
| 122 | 0604574N | NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES | 3,935 | 3,935 |
| 123 | 0604580N | VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM) | 132,602 | 132,602 |
| 124 | 0604601N | MINE DEVELOPMENT | 19,067 | 14,067 |
| | | Mine Development program growth | | [-5,000] |
| 125 | 0604610N | LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT | 25,280 | 25,280 |
| 126 | 0604654N | JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVEL- OPMENT. | 8,985 | 8,985 |
| 127 | 0604703N | PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS. | 7,669 | 7,669 |
| 128 | 0604727N | JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| 129 | 0604755N | SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL) | 56,889 | 56,889 |
| 130 | 0604756N | SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL) | 96,937 | 96,937 |
| 131 | 0604757N | SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW) SEWIP block 3 preliminary design contract delay | 134,564 | 121,339 |
| | | | | [-13,225] |
| 132 | 0604761N | INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING | 200 | 200 |
| 133 | 0604771N | MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT | 8,287 | 8,287 |
| 134 | 0604777N | NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM | 29,504 | 29,504 |
| 135 | 0604800M | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD | 513,021 | 513,021 |
| 136 | 0604800N | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD | 516,456 | 516,456 |
| 137 | 0605013M | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 2,887 | 2,887 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 138 | 0605013N | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 66,317 | 66,317 |
| 139 | 0605212N | CH-53K RDTE | 573,187 | 573,187 |
| 140 | 0605220N | SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC) | 67,815 | 67,815 |
| 141 | 0605450N | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| 142 | 0605500N | MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) | 308,037 | 319,037 |
| | | Spiral 2 government systems engineering program growth. | | [-4,000] |
| | | Wideband Communication Development | | [15,000] |
| 143 | 0204202N | DDG-1000 | 202,522 | 202,522 |
| 144 | 0304231N | TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP | 1,011 | 1,011 |
| 145 | 0304785N | TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS | 10,357 | 10,357 |
| 146 | 0305124N | SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM | 23,975 | 23,975 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION. | 5,419,108 | 5,393,775 |
| | | MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | |
| 147 | 0604256N | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 45,272 | 45,272 |
| 148 | 0604258N | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 79,718 | 69,718 |
| | | GQM-173A program delay | | [-10,000] |
| 149 | 0604759N | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 123,993 | 123,993 |
| 150 | 0605126N | JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION. | 4,960 | 4,960 |
| 151 | 0605152N | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY | 8,296 | 8,296 |
| 152 | 0605154N | CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES | 45,752 | 45,752 |
| 154 | 0605804N | TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES | 876 | 876 |
| 155 | 0605853N | MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT. | 72,070 | 72,070 |
| 156 | 0605856N | STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 3,237 | 3,237 |
| 157 | 0605861N | RD&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT. | 73,033 | 73,033 |
| 158 | 0605863N | RD&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | 138,304 | 138,304 |
| 159 | 0605864N | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 336,286 | 336,286 |
| 160 | 0605865N | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY. | 16,658 | 16,658 |
| 161 | 0605866N | NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT. | 2,505 | 2,505 |
| 162 | 0605867N | SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT. | 8,325 | 8,325 |
| 163 | 0605873M | MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | 17,866 | 17,866 |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 977,151 | 967,151 |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 168 | 0604402N | UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT. | 35,949 | 35,949 |
| 169 | 0604766M | MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS | 215 | 215 |
| 170 | 0605525N | CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON | 8,873 | 8,873 |
| 172 | 0101221N | STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT ... | 96,943 | 96,943 |
| 173 | 0101224N | SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 30,057 | 30,057 |
| 174 | 0101226N | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 4,509 | 4,509 |
| 175 | 0101402N | NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS | 13,676 | 13,676 |
| 176 | 0203761N | RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT) | 12,480 | 12,480 |
| 177 | 0204136N | F/A-18 SQUADRONS | 76,216 | 76,216 |
| 179 | 0204163N | FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) | 27,281 | 27,281 |
| 180 | 0204228N | SURFACE SUPPORT | 2,878 | 2,878 |
| 181 | 0204229N | TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC). | 32,385 | 32,385 |
| 182 | 0204311N | INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 39,371 | 39,371 |
| 183 | 0204413N | AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT). | 4,609 | 4,609 |
| 184 | 0204460M | GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) ... | 99,106 | 92,106 |
| | | Unjustified cost growth | | [-7,000] |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 185 | 0204571N | CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT. | 39,922 | 39,922 |
| 186 | 0204574N | CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT | 1,157 | 1,157 |
| 187 | 0204575N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT. | 22,067 | 22,067 |
| 188 | 0205601N | HARM IMPROVEMENT | 17,420 | 17,420 |
| 189 | 0205604N | TACTICAL DATA LINKS | 151,208 | 151,208 |
| 190 | 0205620N | SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION ... | 26,366 | 26,366 |
| 191 | 0205632N | MK-48 ADCAP | 25,952 | 25,952 |
| 192 | 0205633N | AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS | 106,936 | 106,936 |
| 194 | 0205675N | OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 104,023 | 104,023 |
| 195 | 0206313M | MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 77,398 | 77,398 |
| 196 | 0206335M | COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (CAC2S). | 32,495 | 32,495 |
| 197 | 0206623M | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS. | 156,626 | 156,626 |
| 198 | 0206624M | MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT | 20,999 | 20,999 |
| 199 | 0206625M | USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP). | 14,179 | 14,179 |
| 200 | 0207161N | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 47,258 | 47,258 |
| 201 | 0207163N | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM). | 10,210 | 10,210 |
| 206 | 0303109N | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) | 41,829 | 41,829 |
| 207 | 0303138N | CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES). | 22,780 | 22,780 |
| 208 | 0303140N | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 23,053 | 23,053 |
| 209 | 0303150M | WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM. | 296 | 296 |
| 212 | 0305160N | NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC). | 359 | 359 |
| 213 | 0305192N | MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES. | 6,166 | 6,166 |
| 214 | 0305204N | TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES | 8,505 | 8,505 |
| 216 | 0305208M | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS. | 11,613 | 11,613 |
| 217 | 0305208N | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS. | 18,146 | 18,146 |
| 218 | 0305220N | RQ-4 UAV | 498,003 | 463,003 |
| | | Milestone C delay | | [-35,000] |
| 219 | 0305231N | MQ-8 UAV | 47,294 | 47,294 |
| 220 | 0305232M | RQ-11 UAV | 718 | 718 |
| 221 | 0305233N | RQ-7 UAV | 851 | 851 |
| 222 | 0305234N | SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) | 4,813 | 4,813 |
| 223 | 0305239M | RQ-21A | 8,192 | 8,192 |
| 224 | 0305241N | MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT ... | 22,559 | 18,664 |
| | | Program execution | | [-3,895] |
| 225 | 0305242M | UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP). | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 226 | 0308601N | MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT | 4,719 | 4,719 |
| 227 | 0702207N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 21,168 | 21,168 |
| 228 | 0708011N | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 37,169 | 37,169 |
| 229 | 0708730N | MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) | 4,347 | 4,347 |
| 229A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,162,684 | 1,162,684 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT. | 3,286,028 | 3,240,133 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY. | 16,266,335 | 16,182,092 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF BASIC RESEARCH | | |
| 001 | 0601102F | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 314,482 | 314,482 |
| 002 | 0601103F | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 127,079 | 147,079 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | <i>Basic research program increase</i> | | [20,000] |
| 003 | 0601108F | HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 12,929 | 12,929 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 454,490 | 474,490 |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | |
| 004 | 0602102F | MATERIALS | 105,680 | 105,680 |
| 005 | 0602201F | AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES | 105,747 | 105,747 |
| 006 | 0602202F | HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH | 81,957 | 81,957 |
| 007 | 0602203F | AEROSPACE PROPULSION | 172,550 | 172,550 |
| 008 | 0602204F | AEROSPACE SENSORS | 118,343 | 118,343 |
| 009 | 0602601F | SPACE TECHNOLOGY | 98,229 | 98,229 |
| 010 | 0602602F | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS | 87,387 | 87,387 |
| 011 | 0602605F | DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY | 125,955 | 125,955 |
| 012 | 0602788F | DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METH- ODS. | 147,789 | 147,789 |
| 013 | 0602890F | HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH | 37,496 | 37,496 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 1,081,133 | 1,081,133 |
| | | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 014 | 0603112F | ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS ... <i>Metals Affordability Initiative</i> | 32,177 | 42,177 [10,000] |
| 015 | 0603199F | SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) | 15,800 | 15,800 |
| 016 | 0603203F | ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS | 34,420 | 34,420 |
| 017 | 0603211F | AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO | 91,062 | 91,062 |
| 018 | 0603216F | AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECH- NOLOGY. | 124,236 | 124,236 |
| 019 | 0603270F | ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY | 47,602 | 47,602 |
| 020 | 0603401F | ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY | 69,026 | 69,026 |
| 021 | 0603444F | MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS) | 14,031 | 14,031 |
| 022 | 0603456F | HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECH- NOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 21,788 | 21,788 |
| 023 | 0603601F | CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 42,046 | 42,046 |
| 024 | 0603605F | ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 23,542 | 23,542 |
| 025 | 0603680F | MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 42,772 | 42,772 |
| 026 | 0603788F | BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION. | 35,315 | 35,315 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVEL- OPMENT. | 593,817 | 603,817 |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | | |
| 027 | 0603260F | INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 5,408 | 5,408 |
| 031 | 0603438F | SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY | 6,075 | 6,075 |
| 032 | 0603742F | COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY | 10,980 | 10,980 |
| 033 | 0603790F | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 2,392 | 2,392 |
| 034 | 0603791F | INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D | 833 | 833 |
| 035 | 0603830F | SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM | 32,313 | 32,313 |
| 037 | 0603851F | INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/ VAL. | 30,885 | 30,885 |
| 039 | 0603859F | POLLUTION PREVENTION—DEM/VAL | 1,798 | 1,798 |
| 040 | 0604015F | LONG RANGE STRIKE | 913,728 | 913,728 |
| 042 | 0604317F | TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | 2,669 | 2,669 |
| 045 | 0604422F | WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON | 39,901 | 39,901 |
| 049 | 0604800F | F-35—EMD | 4,976 | 0 |
| | | <i>Transfer F-35 EMD: Air Force requested to line #75</i> | | [-4,976] |
| 050 | 0604857F | OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE | | 20,000 |
| | | <i>Program Increase</i> | | [20,000] |
| 051 | 0604858F | TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM | 59,004 | 59,004 |
| 054 | 0207110F | NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE | 15,722 | 15,722 |
| 055 | 0207455F | THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR). | 88,825 | 88,825 |
| 056 | 0305164F | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE). | 156,659 | 156,659 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES. | 1,372,168 | 1,387,192 |
| | | SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | | |
| 059 | 0604233F | SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING. | 13,324 | 13,324 |
| 060 | 0604270F | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 1,965 | 1,965 |
| 061 | 0604281F | TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE | 39,110 | 39,110 |
| 062 | 0604287F | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 3,926 | 3,926 |
| 063 | 0604329F | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD | 68,759 | 68,759 |
| 064 | 0604421F | COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS | 23,746 | 23,746 |
| 065 | 0604425F | SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS | 9,462 | 9,462 |
| 066 | 0604426F | SPACE FENCE | 214,131 | 200,131 |
| | | Program delay | | [-14,000] |
| 067 | 0604429F | AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK | 30,687 | 30,687 |
| 068 | 0604441F | SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD. | 319,501 | 311,501 |
| | | Wide field of view test bed | | [-8,000] |
| 069 | 0604602F | ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 31,112 | 31,112 |
| 070 | 0604604F | SUBUNITIONS | 2,543 | 2,543 |
| 071 | 0604617F | AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT | 46,340 | 46,340 |
| 072 | 0604706F | LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS | 8,854 | 8,854 |
| 073 | 0604735F | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | 10,129 | 10,129 |
| 075 | 0604800F | F-35—EMD | 563,037 | 568,013 |
| | | Transfer F-35 EMD: Air Force requested from line #49. | | [4,976] |
| 077 | 0604853F | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD. | | 220,000 |
| | | Rocket propulsion system | | [220,000] |
| 078 | 0604932F | LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON | 4,938 | 3,438 |
| | | Execution adjustment | | [-1,500] |
| 079 | 0604933F | ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION | 59,826 | 59,826 |
| 080 | 0605030F | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) | 78 | 78 |
| 081 | 0605213F | F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B | 173,647 | 173,647 |
| 082 | 0605214F | GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT | 5,332 | 5,332 |
| 083 | 0605221F | KC-46 | 776,937 | 776,937 |
| 084 | 0605223F | ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING | 8,201 | 8,201 |
| 086 | 0605278F | HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E | 7,497 | 7,497 |
| 087 | 0605431F | ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) | 314,378 | 314,378 |
| 088 | 0605432F | POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) | 103,552 | 103,552 |
| 089 | 0605433F | WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) | 31,425 | 31,425 |
| 090 | 0605458F | AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2 RDT&E | 85,938 | 85,938 |
| 091 | 0605931F | B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | 98,768 | 98,768 |
| 092 | 0101125F | NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION | 198,357 | 198,357 |
| 094 | 0207701F | FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING | 8,831 | 8,831 |
| 095 | 0307581F | NEXTGEN JSTARS | 73,088 | 73,088 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION. | 3,337,419 | 3,538,895 |
| | | MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | |
| 097 | 0604256F | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 24,418 | 24,418 |
| 098 | 0604759F | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 47,232 | 47,232 |
| 099 | 0605101F | RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE | 30,443 | 30,443 |
| 101 | 0605712F | INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION | 12,266 | 12,266 |
| 102 | 0605807F | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 689,509 | 689,509 |
| 103 | 0605860F | ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) | 34,364 | 34,364 |
| 104 | 0605864F | SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP) | 21,161 | 21,161 |
| 105 | 0605976F | FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT. | 46,955 | 46,955 |
| 106 | 0605978F | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT. | 32,965 | 32,965 |
| 107 | 0606017F | REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION | 13,850 | 13,850 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 108 | 0606116F | SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT. | 19,512 | 19,512 |
| 110 | 0606392F | SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE. | 181,727 | 177,800 |
| | | Personnel costs excess to need | | [-3,927] |
| 111 | 0308602F | ENTEPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS) | 4,938 | 4,938 |
| 112 | 0702806F | ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 18,644 | 18,644 |
| 113 | 0804731F | GENERAL SKILL TRAINING | 1,425 | 1,425 |
| 114 | 1001004F | INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES | 3,790 | 3,790 |
| 114A | XXXXXXF | EJECTION SEAT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. | | 3,500 |
| | | Initial Aircraft Qualification | | [3,500] |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 1,183,199 | 1,182,772 |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 115 | 0603423F | GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT. | 299,760 | 299,760 |
| 116 | 0604445F | WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE | | 2,000 |
| | | Implementation of the Secretary's Cruise Missile Defense Program. | | [2,000] |
| 118 | 0604618F | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 2,469 | 2,469 |
| 119 | 0605018F | AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS). | 90,218 | 60,218 |
| | | Delayed contract award | | [-30,000] |
| 120 | 0605024F | ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY | 34,815 | 34,815 |
| 122 | 0101113F | B-52 SQUADRONS | 55,457 | 55,457 |
| 123 | 0101122F | AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) | 450 | 450 |
| 124 | 0101126F | B-1B SQUADRONS | 5,353 | 4,353 |
| | | Execution adjustment | | [-1,000] |
| 125 | 0101127F | B-2 SQUADRONS | 131,580 | 111,580 |
| | | Flexible Strike execution delay | | [-20,000] |
| 126 | 0101213F | MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS | 139,109 | 139,109 |
| 127 | 0101313F | STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM ... | 35,603 | 35,603 |
| 128 | 0101314F | NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM | 32 | 32 |
| 130 | 0102326F | REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM. | 1,522 | 1,522 |
| 131 | 0105921F | SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES. | 3,134 | 3,134 |
| 133 | 0205219F | MQ-9 UAV | 170,396 | 170,396 |
| 136 | 0207133F | F-16 SQUADRONS | 133,105 | 133,105 |
| 137 | 0207134F | F-15E SQUADRONS | 261,969 | 251,969 |
| | | Execution adjustment | | [-10,000] |
| 138 | 0207136F | MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION | 14,831 | 14,831 |
| 139 | 0207138F | F-22A SQUADRONS | 156,962 | 151,962 |
| | | Unjustified increase—laboratory test and operations | | [-5,000] |
| 140 | 0207142F | F-35 SQUADRONS | 43,666 | 43,666 |
| 141 | 0207161F | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 29,739 | 29,739 |
| 142 | 0207163F | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM). | 82,195 | 82,195 |
| 144 | 0207171F | F-15 EPAWSS | 68,944 | 53,444 |
| | | Delays in pre-EMD phase | | [-15,500] |
| 145 | 0207224F | COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY | 5,095 | 5,095 |
| 146 | 0207227F | COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE | 883 | 883 |
| 147 | 0207247F | AF TENCAP | 5,812 | 5,812 |
| 148 | 0207249F | PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT | 1,081 | 1,081 |
| 149 | 0207253F | COMPASS CALL | 14,411 | 14,411 |
| 150 | 0207268F | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. | 109,664 | 109,664 |
| 151 | 0207325F | JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM). | 15,897 | 15,897 |
| 152 | 0207410F | AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) | 41,066 | 41,066 |
| 153 | 0207412F | CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC) | 552 | 552 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 154 | 0207417F | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS). | 180,804 | 180,804 |
| 155 | 0207418F | TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 3,754 | 3,754 |
| 157 | 0207431F | COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES | 7,891 | 7,891 |
| 158 | 0207444F | TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD | 5,891 | 5,891 |
| 159 | 0207448F | C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK | 1,782 | 1,782 |
| 161 | 0207452F | DCAPEs | 821 | 821 |
| 163 | 0207590F | SEEK EAGLE | 23,844 | 23,844 |
| 164 | 0207601F | USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION | 16,723 | 16,723 |
| 165 | 0207605F | WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS | 5,956 | 5,956 |
| 166 | 0207697F | DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES | 4,457 | 4,457 |
| 167 | 0208006F | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 60,679 | 60,679 |
| 169 | 0208059F | CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES | 67,057 | 67,057 |
| 170 | 0208087F | AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS | 13,355 | 13,355 |
| 171 | 0208088F | AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS | 5,576 | 5,576 |
| 179 | 0301400F | SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE | 12,218 | 12,218 |
| 180 | 0302015F | E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC). Low Frequency Transmit System—delay to contract award. | 28,778 | 22,978 [-5,800] |
| 181 | 0303131F | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN). | 81,035 | 81,035 |
| 182 | 0303140F | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 70,497 | 70,497 |
| 183 | 0303141F | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 692 | 692 |
| 185 | 0303601F | MILSATCOM TERMINALS | 55,208 | 55,208 |
| 187 | 0304260F | AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE | 106,786 | 106,786 |
| 190 | 0305099F | GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) | 4,157 | 4,157 |
| 193 | 0305110F | SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) | 20,806 | 20,806 |
| 194 | 0305111F | WEATHER SERVICE | 25,102 | 25,102 |
| 195 | 0305114F | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALs). | 23,516 | 23,516 |
| 196 | 0305116F | AERIAL TARGETS | 8,639 | 8,639 |
| 199 | 0305128F | SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES | 498 | 498 |
| 200 | 0305145F | ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION | 13,222 | 13,222 |
| 201 | 0305146F | DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. | 360 | 360 |
| 206 | 0305173F | SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER. | 3,674 | 3,674 |
| 207 | 0305174F | SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 2,480 | 2,480 |
| 208 | 0305179F | INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) | 8,592 | 8,592 |
| 209 | 0305182F | SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) | 13,462 | 13,462 |
| 210 | 0305202F | DRAGON U-2 | 5,511 | 5,511 |
| 212 | 0305206F | AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 28,113 | 38,113 [10,000] |
| 213 | 0305207F | MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 13,516 | 13,516 |
| 214 | 0305208F | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS. | 27,265 | 27,265 |
| 215 | 0305219F | MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV | 1,378 | 1,378 |
| 216 | 0305220F | RQ-4 UAV | 244,514 | 244,514 |
| 217 | 0305221F | NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING | 11,096 | 11,096 |
| 218 | 0305236F | COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) | 36,137 | 36,137 |
| 219 | 0305238F | NATO AGS | 232,851 | 232,851 |
| 220 | 0305240F | SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE | 20,218 | 20,218 |
| 221 | 0305265F | GPS III SPACE SEGMENT | 212,571 | 212,571 |
| 222 | 0305614F | JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM | 73,779 | 73,779 |
| 223 | 0305881F | RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION | 4,102 | 4,102 |
| 225 | 0305913F | NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) | 20,468 | 20,468 |
| 226 | 0305940F | SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS | 11,596 | 11,596 |
| 227 | 0306250F | CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 4,938 | 4,938 |
| 228 | 0308699F | SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) | 1,212 | 1,212 |
| 230 | 0401119F | C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF) | 38,773 | 38,773 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 231 | 0401130F | C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF) | 83,773 | 83,773 |
| 232 | 0401132F | C-130J PROGRAM | 26,715 | 26,715 |
| 233 | 0401134F | LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM). | 5,172 | 5,172 |
| 234 | 0401219F | KC-10S | 2,714 | 2,714 |
| 235 | 0401314F | OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT | 27,784 | 27,784 |
| 236 | 0401318F | CV-22 | 38,719 | 38,719 |
| 237 | 0401319F | PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR) ... | 11,006 | 11,006 |
| 238 | 0408011F | SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL | 8,405 | 8,405 |
| 239 | 0702207F | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 1,407 | 1,407 |
| 241 | 0708610F | LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) | 109,685 | 109,685 |
| 242 | 0708611F | SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 16,209 | 16,209 |
| 243 | 0804743F | OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING | 987 | 987 |
| 244 | 0808716F | OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES | 126 | 126 |
| 245 | 0901202F | JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY | 2,603 | 2,603 |
| 246 | 0901218F | CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM | 1,589 | 1,589 |
| 247 | 0901220F | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 5,026 | 5,026 |
| 248 | 0901226F | AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY | 1,394 | 1,394 |
| 249 | 0901279F | FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATIVE | 3,798 | 3,798 |
| 250 | 0901538F | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYS- TEMS DEVELOPMENT. Defense Enterprise Accounting Management System Increment 2. | 107,314 | 102,685 [−4,629] |
| 250A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 11,441,120 | 11,412,120 |
| | | Classified program reduction | | [−29,000] |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT. | 15,717,666 | 15,608,737 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF. | 23,739,892 | 23,877,036 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW | | |
| | | BASIC RESEARCH | | |
| 001 | 0601000BR | DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE | 37,778 | 37,778 |
| 002 | 0601101E | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 312,146 | 332,146 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [20,000] |
| 003 | 0601110D8Z | BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 44,564 | 34,564 |
| | | National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship program. | | [−10,000] |
| 004 | 0601117E | BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE. | 49,848 | 49,848 |
| 005 | 0601120D8Z | NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM | 45,488 | 55,488 |
| | | Military Child STEM Education programs | | [10,000] |
| 006 | 0601228D8Z | HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/ MINORITY INSTITUTIONS. Program increase | 24,412 | 34,412 [10,000] |
| 007 | 0601384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 48,261 | 48,261 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 562,497 | 592,497 |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | |
| 008 | 0602000D8Z | JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 20,065 | 20,065 |
| 009 | 0602115E | BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 112,242 | 112,242 |
| 011 | 0602234D8Z | LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM | 51,875 | 51,875 |
| 012 | 0602251D8Z | APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES. | 41,965 | 41,965 |
| 013 | 0602303E | INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY. | 334,407 | 334,407 |
| 015 | 0602383E | BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE | 44,825 | 44,825 |
| 016 | 0602384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 226,317 | 226,317 |
| 018 | 0602668D8Z | CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| 020 | 0602702E | TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY | 305,484 | 305,484 |
| 021 | 0602715E | MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY | 160,389 | 160,389 |
| 022 | 0602716E | ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY | 179,203 | 179,203 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 023 | 0602718BR | WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES. | 151,737 | 151,737 |
| 024 | 0602751D8Z | SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH. | 9,156 | 9,156 |
| 025 | 1160401BB | SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 39,750 | 39,750 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 1,692,415 | 1,692,415 |
| | | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 026 | 0603000D8Z | JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 26,688 | 26,688 |
| 027 | 0603121D8Z | SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 8,682 | 8,682 |
| 028 | 0603122D8Z | COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT Program emphasis for CT and Irregular Warfare Programs. | 69,675 | 89,675 [20,000] |
| 029 | 0603133D8Z | FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING | 30,000 | 24,000 |
| | | Program decrease | | [-6,000] |
| 030 | 0603160BR | COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT. | 283,694 | 283,694 |
| 032 | 0603176C | ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT. | 8,470 | 8,470 |
| 033 | 0603177C | DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 45,110 | 43,110 |
| | | Unjustified growth | | [-2,000] |
| 034 | 0603178C | WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 14,068 | 14,068 |
| 035 | 0603179C | ADVANCED C4ISR | 15,329 | 15,329 |
| 036 | 0603180C | ADVANCED RESEARCH | 16,584 | 16,584 |
| 037 | 0603225D8Z | JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 19,335 | 19,335 |
| 038 | 0603264S | AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY. | 2,544 | 2,544 |
| 039 | 0603274C | SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY | 51,033 | 51,033 |
| 040 | 0603286E | ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS | 129,723 | 129,723 |
| 041 | 0603287E | SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY | 179,883 | 179,883 |
| 042 | 0603288D8Z | ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| 043 | 0603289D8Z | ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS. Program reduction | 60,000 | 50,000 [-10,000] |
| 044 | 0603294C | COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY | 25,639 | 25,639 |
| 045 | 0603384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT. | 132,674 | 132,674 |
| 046 | 0603618D8Z | JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 10,965 | 10,965 |
| 047 | 0603648D8Z | JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS. Program reduction | 131,960 | 121,960 [-10,000] |
| 052 | 0603680D8Z | DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM. | 91,095 | 91,095 |
| 053 | 0603699D8Z | EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 33,706 | 33,706 |
| 054 | 0603712S | GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS. | 16,836 | 16,836 |
| 055 | 0603713S | DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY. | 29,683 | 29,683 |
| 056 | 0603716D8Z | STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM. | 57,796 | 57,796 |
| 057 | 0603720S | MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT. | 72,144 | 72,144 |
| 058 | 0603727D8Z | JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM | 7,405 | 7,405 |
| 059 | 0603739E | ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES | 92,246 | 92,246 |
| 060 | 0603760E | COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS. | 243,265 | 243,265 |
| 062 | 0603766E | NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 386,926 | 386,926 |
| 063 | 0603767E | SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 312,821 | 312,821 |
| 064 | 0603769SE | DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 10,692 | 10,692 |
| 065 | 0603781D8Z | SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | 15,776 | 15,776 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 066 | 0603826D8Z | QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS | 69,319 | 64,319 |
| | | Program decrease | | [-5,000] |
| 068 | 0603832D8Z | DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE. | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| 071 | 0603941D8Z | TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY ... | 81,148 | 81,148 |
| 072 | 0604055D8Z | OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT. | 31,800 | 31,800 |
| 073 | 0303310D8Z | CWMD SYSTEMS | 46,066 | 46,066 |
| 074 | 1160402BB | SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 57,622 | 57,622 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT. | 2,933,402 | 2,920,402 |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES | | |
| 077 | 0603161D8Z | NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P. | 41,072 | 41,072 |
| 079 | 0603600D8Z | WALKOFF | 90,558 | 90,558 |
| 080 | 0603714D8Z | ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM | 15,518 | 19,518 |
| | | Continue important test programs | | [4,000] |
| 081 | 0603851D8Z | ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM. | 51,462 | 51,462 |
| 082 | 0603881C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT. | 299,598 | 292,798 |
| | | THAAD 2.0 early to need | | [-6,800] |
| 083 | 0603882C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT. | 1,003,768 | 1,043,768 |
| | | GMD reliability and maintenance improvements | | [40,000] |
| 084 | 0603884BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/VAL. | 179,236 | 179,236 |
| 085 | 0603884C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS | 392,893 | 392,893 |
| 086 | 0603890C | BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS | 410,863 | 410,863 |
| 087 | 0603891C | SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA | 310,261 | 310,261 |
| 088 | 0603892C | AEGIS BMD | 929,208 | 929,208 |
| 089 | 0603893C | SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 31,346 | 31,346 |
| 090 | 0603895C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS. | 6,389 | 6,389 |
| 091 | 0603896C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATI. | 443,484 | 431,484 |
| | | Spiral 8.2-3—unjustified growth without baseline ... | | [-12,000] |
| 092 | 0603898C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT. | 46,387 | 46,387 |
| 093 | 0603904C | MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC). | 58,530 | 58,530 |
| 094 | 0603906C | REGARDING TRENCH | 16,199 | 16,199 |
| 095 | 0603907C | SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) | 64,409 | 64,409 |
| 096 | 0603913C | ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS | 96,803 | 270,603 |
| | | Program increase for Israeli Cooperative Programs .. | | [173,800] |
| 097 | 0603914C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST | 386,482 | 366,482 |
| | | Test efficiencies | | [-20,000] |
| 098 | 0603915C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS | 485,294 | 485,294 |
| 099 | 0603920D8Z | HUMANITARIAN DEMINING | 10,194 | 10,194 |
| 100 | 0603923D8Z | COALITION WARFARE | 10,139 | 10,139 |
| 101 | 0604016D8Z | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM. | 2,907 | 7,907 |
| | | Program increase | | [5,000] |
| 102 | 0604250D8Z | ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES | 190,000 | 170,000 |
| | | Program decrease | | [-20,000] |
| 103 | 0604400D8Z | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT. | 3,702 | 3,702 |
| 104 | 0604445J | WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE | 53,000 | 53,000 |
| 106 | 0604775D8Z | DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM | | 75,000 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Program increase | | [75,000] |
| 107 | 0604787J | JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 7,002 | 7,002 |
| 108 | 0604828J | JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM. | 7,102 | 7,102 |
| 109 | 0604880C | LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) | 123,444 | 123,444 |
| 110 | 0604881C | AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT | 263,695 | 263,695 |
| 113 | 0605170D8Z | SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION. | 12,500 | 12,500 |
| 114 | 0303191D8Z | JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM. | 2,656 | 2,656 |
| 115 | 0305103C | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 961 | 961 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES. | 6,047,062 | 6,286,062 |
| | | SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION | | |
| 116 | 0604161D8Z | NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD. | 7,936 | 7,936 |
| 117 | 0604165D8Z | PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT. | 70,762 | 70,762 |
| 118 | 0604384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD. | 345,883 | 345,883 |
| 119 | 0604764K | ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO). | 25,459 | 25,459 |
| 120 | 0604771D8Z | JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS). | 17,562 | 17,562 |
| 121 | 0605000BR | WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES. | 6,887 | 6,887 |
| 122 | 0605013BL | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 12,530 | 12,530 |
| 123 | 0605021SE | HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE | 286 | 286 |
| 124 | 0605022D8Z | DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM | 3,244 | 3,244 |
| 125 | 0605027D8Z | OUS(D) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES | 6,500 | 6,500 |
| 126 | 0605070S | DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION. | 15,326 | 15,326 |
| 127 | 0605075D8Z | DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION | 19,351 | 19,351 |
| 128 | 0605080S | DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM. | 41,465 | 41,465 |
| 129 | 0605090S | DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS). | 10,135 | 10,135 |
| 130 | 0605210D8Z | DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES. | 9,546 | 9,546 |
| 131 | 0303141K | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 14,241 | 14,241 |
| 132 | 0305304D8Z | DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM). | 3,660 | 3,660 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION. | 610,773 | 610,773 |
| | | MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | |
| 133 | 0604774D8Z | DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS). | 5,616 | 5,616 |
| 134 | 0604875D8Z | JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT | 3,092 | 3,092 |
| 135 | 0604940D8Z | CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP). | 254,503 | 254,503 |
| 136 | 0604942D8Z | ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS | 21,661 | 21,661 |
| 138 | 0605100D8Z | JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMTC). | 27,162 | 27,162 |
| 139 | 0605104D8Z | TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS | 24,501 | 24,501 |
| 142 | 0605126J | JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO). | 43,176 | 43,176 |
| 145 | 0605142D8Z | SYSTEMS ENGINEERING | 44,246 | 44,246 |
| 146 | 0605151D8Z | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD | 2,665 | 2,665 |
| 147 | 0605161D8Z | NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY | 4,366 | 4,366 |
| 148 | 0605170D8Z | SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION. | 27,901 | 27,901 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 149 | 0605200D8Z | GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) | 2,855 | 2,855 |
| 150 | 0605384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 105,944 | 105,944 |
| 156 | 0605502KA | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH | 400 | 400 |
| 159 | 0605790D8Z | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER. | 1,634 | 1,634 |
| 160 | 0605798D8Z | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS | 12,105 | 12,105 |
| 161 | 0605801KA | DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC). | 50,389 | 50,389 |
| 162 | 0605803SE | R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION. | 8,452 | 8,452 |
| 163 | 0605804D8Z | DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION | 15,187 | 19,187 |
| | | Program increase | | [4,000] |
| 164 | 0605898E | MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D | 71,362 | 71,362 |
| 165 | 0606100D8Z | BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 166 | 0203345D8Z | DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI). | 1,956 | 1,956 |
| 167 | 0204571J | JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT | 10,321 | 10,321 |
| 170 | 0303166J | SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES. | 11,552 | 11,552 |
| 172 | 0305193D8Z | CYBER INTELLIGENCE | 6,748 | 6,748 |
| 174 | 0804767D8Z | COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2). | 44,005 | 44,005 |
| 175 | 0901598C | MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA | 36,998 | 36,998 |
| 176 | 0901598D8W | MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS | 612 | 612 |
| 177A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 44,367 | 44,367 |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 887,876 | 891,876 |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | | |
| 178 | 0604130V | ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) | 3,988 | 3,988 |
| 179 | 0605127T | REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA. | 1,750 | 1,750 |
| 180 | 0605147T | OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS). | 286 | 286 |
| 181 | 0607210D8Z | INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT. | 14,778 | 14,778 |
| 182 | 0607310D8Z | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 2,953 | 2,953 |
| 183 | 0607327T | GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS). | 10,350 | 10,350 |
| 184 | 0607384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT). | 28,496 | 28,496 |
| 185 | 0607828J | JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY | 11,968 | 11,968 |
| 186 | 0208043J | PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS) | 1,842 | 1,842 |
| 187 | 0208045K | CAI INTEROPERABILITY | 63,558 | 63,558 |
| 189 | 0301144K | JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING. | 3,931 | 3,931 |
| 193 | 0302016K | NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT. | 924 | 924 |
| 194 | 0302019K | DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION. | 9,657 | 9,657 |
| 195 | 0303126K | LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS | 25,355 | 25,355 |
| 196 | 0303131K | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN). | 12,671 | 12,671 |
| 197 | 0303135G | PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) | 222 | 222 |
| 198 | 0303136G | KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) | 32,698 | 32,698 |
| 199 | 0303140D8Z | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 11,304 | 11,304 |
| 200 | 0303140G | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 125,854 | 155,854 |
| | | Accelerate SHARKSEER deployment | | [30,000] |
| 202 | 0303150K | GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 33,793 | 33,793 |
| 203 | 0303153K | DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION | 13,423 | 13,423 |
| 204 | 0303170K | NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) | 3,774 | 3,774 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 205 | 0303260D8Z | DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO). | 951 | 951 |
| 206 | 0303610K | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 2,697 | 2,697 |
| 208 | 0304210BB | SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES | 19,294 | 19,294 |
| 212 | 0305103K | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 3,234 | 3,234 |
| 213 | 0305125D8Z | CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) ... | 8,846 | 8,846 |
| 217 | 0305186D8Z | POLICY R&D PROGRAMS | 7,065 | 7,065 |
| 218 | 0305199D8Z | NET CENTRICITY | 23,984 | 23,984 |
| 221 | 0305208BB | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS. | 5,286 | 5,286 |
| 224 | 0305208K | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS. | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| 229 | 0305327V | INSIDER THREAT | 8,670 | 8,670 |
| 230 | 0305387D8Z | HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM. | 2,110 | 2,110 |
| 239 | 0708011S | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 22,366 | 22,366 |
| 240 | 0708012S | LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 1,574 | 1,574 |
| 241 | 0902298J | MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS | 4,409 | 4,409 |
| 242 | 1105219BB | MQ-9 UAV | 9,702 | 9,702 |
| 243 | 1105232BB | RQ-11 UAV | 259 | 259 |
| 245 | 1160403BB | AVIATION SYSTEMS | 164,233 | 164,233 |
| 247 | 1160405BB | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 9,490 | 9,490 |
| 248 | 1160408BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 75,253 | 75,253 |
| 252 | 1160431BB | WARRIOR SYSTEMS | 24,661 | 24,661 |
| 253 | 1160432BB | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 20,908 | 20,908 |
| 259 | 1160480BB | SO F TACTICAL VEHICLES | 3,672 | 3,672 |
| 262 | 1160483BB | MARITIME SYSTEMS | 57,905 | 57,905 |
| 264 | 1160489BB | GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES | 3,788 | 3,788 |
| 265 | 1160490BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE .. | 16,225 | 16,225 |
| 265A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 3,118,502 | 3,118,502 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT. | 4,032,059 | 4,062,059 |
| | | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 266 | 9999999999 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -69,000 |
| | | DARPA undistributed reduction | | [-69,000] |
| | | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -69,000 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW. | 16,766,084 | 16,987,084 |
| | | OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | |
| 001 | 0605118OTE | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION | 74,583 | 74,583 |
| 002 | 0605131OTE | LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION | 45,142 | 45,142 |
| 003 | 0605814OTE | OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES .. | 48,013 | 48,013 |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 167,738 | 167,738 |
| | | TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE. | 167,738 | 167,738 |
| | | TOTAL RDT&E | 63,533,947 | 63,826,265 |

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|---|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY | | | | |

**SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-
GENY OPERATIONS**
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Program Element</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|---|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PRO- TOTYPES | | | | |
| 060 | 0603747A | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVEL- OPMENT & PROTOTYPES. | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY. | 4,500 | 4,500 |
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | | |
| 225 | 0305242M | UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MP). | 940 | 940 |
| 229A | 999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 35,080 | 35,080 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVEL- OPMENT. | 36,020 | 36,020 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY. | 36,020 | 36,020 |
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | | |
| 250A | 999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 14,706 | 14,706 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVEL- OPMENT. | 14,706 | 14,706 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF. | 14,706 | 14,706 |
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW APPLIED RESEARCH | | | | |
| 009 | 0602115E | BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 112,000 | 112,000 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 112,000 | 112,000 |
| OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | | | | |
| 242 | 1105219BB | MQ-9 UAV | | 5,200 |
| | | MQ-9 enhancements | | [5,200] |
| 248 | 1160408BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| 265A | 999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 163,447 | 163,447 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVEL- OPMENT. | 169,447 | 174,647 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW. | 281,447 | 286,647 |
| | | TOTAL RDT&E | 336,673 | 341,873 |

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|---|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY OPERATING FORCES | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 969,281 | 969,281 |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 61,990 | 61,990 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 450,987 | 450,987 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 545,773 | 545,773 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,057,453 | 1,057,453 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 1,409,347 | 1,409,347 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 3,592,334 | 3,524,334 |
| | Fully fund two Combat Training Center rota- tions—Army requested transfer to OM,ARNG and MP,ARNG | | [-68,000] |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 411,388 | 411,388 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,001,232 | 1,001,232 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 7,428,972 | 7,428,972 |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 2,066,434 | 2,154,434 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | [18,750] |
| | Readiness funding increase—fully funds 6% CIP .. | | [94,250] |
| | Transfer to Arlington National Cemetery | | [-25,000] |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEAD- QUARTERS | 411,863 | 411,863 |
| 130 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 179,399 | 179,399 |
| 170 | COMBATANT COMMANDS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 432,281 | 432,281 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 20,018,734 | 20,038,734 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | |
| 180 | STRATEGIC MOBILITY | 316,776 | 316,776 |
| 190 | ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS | 187,609 | 187,609 |
| 200 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 6,463 | 86,463 |
| | Industrial Base Initiative-Body Armor | | [80,000] |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 510,848 | 590,848 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 210 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 124,766 | 124,766 |
| 220 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 51,968 | 51,968 |
| 230 | ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING | 43,735 | 43,735 |
| 240 | SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS | 456,563 | 456,563 |
| 250 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 886,529 | 886,529 |
| 260 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 890,070 | 890,070 |
| 270 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 193,291 | 193,291 |
| 280 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 552,359 | 552,359 |
| 290 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 466,927 | 466,927 |
| 300 | EXAMINING | 194,588 | 194,588 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 205,782 | 205,782 |
| 320 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 150,571 | 150,571 |
| 330 | JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS ... | 169,784 | 169,784 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ... | 4,386,933 | 4,386,933 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | | |
| 350 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 541,877 | 541,877 |
| 360 | CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES | 722,291 | 722,291 |
| 370 | LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 602,034 | 602,034 |
| 380 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 422,277 | 422,277 |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 405,442 | 405,442 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 1,624,742 | 1,624,742 |
| 410 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 289,771 | 289,771 |

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| 420 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 390,924 | 390,924 |
| 430 | OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT | 1,118,540 | 1,118,540 |
| 440 | ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES | 241,234 | 241,234 |
| 450 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 243,509 | 243,509 |
| 460 | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READI- NESS | 200,615 | 200,615 |
| 470 | INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS | 462,591 | 462,591 |
| 480 | MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS | 27,375 | 27,375 |
| 520A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,030,411 | 1,030,411 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVI- TIES | 8,323,633 | 8,323,633 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 530 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -296,400 |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | | [-48,900] |
| | Program decrease—overestimate of civilian per- sonnel | | [-247,500] |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -296,400 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY | 33,240,148 | 33,043,748 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 15,200 | 15,200 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 502,664 | 502,664 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 107,489 | 107,489 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 543,989 | 543,989 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 72,963 | 72,963 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 360,082 | 360,082 |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 72,491 | 72,491 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 58,873 | 58,873 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 388,961 | 388,961 |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 228,597 | 233,597 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | [5,000] |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEAD- QUARTERS | 39,590 | 39,590 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 2,390,899 | 2,395,899 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 130 | SERVICEMAN TRANSPORTATION | 10,608 | 10,608 |
| 140 | ADMINISTRATION | 18,587 | 18,587 |
| 150 | SERVICEMAN COMMUNICATIONS | 6,681 | 6,681 |
| 160 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 9,192 | 9,192 |
| 170 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 54,602 | 54,602 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 99,670 | 99,670 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 180 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -13,800 |
| | Overestimation of civilian FTE targets | | [-13,800] |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -13,800 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES | 2,490,569 | 2,481,769 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--|--------------------|-------------------------|
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 660,648 | 683,648 |
| | Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations | | [23,000] |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 165,942 | 165,942 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 733,800 | 733,800 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 83,084 | 83,084 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 22,005 | 22,005 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 920,085 | 920,085 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 680,887 | 680,887 |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 69,726 | 69,726 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 138,263 | 138,263 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 804,517 | 794,517 |
| | Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase | | [-10,000] |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 490,205 | 495,205 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | [5,000] |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEAD- QUARTERS | 872,140 | 872,140 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,641,302 | 5,659,302 |
| ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 130 | SERVICEMAN TRANSPORTATION | 6,690 | 6,690 |
| 140 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 1,765 | 1,765 |
| 150 | ADMINISTRATION | 63,075 | 63,075 |
| 160 | SERVICEMAN COMMUNICATIONS | 37,372 | 37,372 |
| 170 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 6,484 | 6,484 |
| 180 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 274,085 | 260,285 |
| | Program decrease for advertising | | [-13,800] |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 389,471 | 375,671 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | 6,030,773 | 6,034,973 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 4,947,202 | 4,947,202 |
| 020 | FLEET AIR TRAINING | 1,647,943 | 1,647,943 |
| 030 | AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES | 37,050 | 37,050 |
| 040 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 96,139 | 96,139 |
| 050 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 363,763 | 363,763 |
| 060 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 814,770 | 824,870 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [10,100] |
| 070 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 36,494 | 36,494 |
| 080 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 350,641 | 350,641 |
| 090 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 3,865,379 | 3,865,379 |
| 100 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 711,243 | 711,243 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 5,296,408 | 5,330,108 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [33,700] |
| 120 | SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,339,077 | 1,339,377 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [300] |
| 130 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 708,634 | 708,634 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 140 | ELECTRONIC WARFARE | 91,599 | 91,599 |
| 150 | SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE | 207,038 | 207,038 |
| 160 | WARFARE TACTICS | 432,715 | 432,715 |
| 170 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 338,116 | 338,116 |
| 180 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 892,316 | 892,316 |
| 190 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 128,486 | 128,486 |
| 200 | DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 2,472 | 2,472 |
| 210 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 101,200 | 101,200 |
| 220 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 188,920 | 188,920 |
| 230 | CRUISE MISSILE | 109,911 | 109,911 |
| 240 | FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE | 1,172,823 | 1,172,823 |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 104,139 | 104,139 |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 490,911 | 490,911 |
| 270 | OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 324,861 | 324,861 |
| 290 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION | 936,743 | 936,743 |
| 300 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 1,483,495 | 1,587,495 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | [18,750] |
| | Readiness funding increase—fully funds 6% CIP .. | | [85,250] |
| 310 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 4,398,667 | 4,398,667 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 31,619,155 | 31,767,255 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | |
| 320 | SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE | 526,926 | 526,926 |
| 330 | READY RESERVE FORCE | 195 | 195 |
| 340 | AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 6,704 | 6,704 |
| 350 | SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 251,538 | 205,538 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [-46,000] |
| 360 | EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS | 124,323 | 124,323 |
| 370 | INDUSTRIAL READINESS | 2,323 | 2,323 |
| 380 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 20,333 | 20,333 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 932,342 | 886,342 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 390 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 156,214 | 156,214 |
| 400 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 8,863 | 8,963 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [100] |
| 410 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS | 148,150 | 148,150 |
| 420 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 601,501 | 608,701 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [7,200] |
| 430 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 8,239 | 8,239 |
| 440 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 164,214 | 165,214 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [1,000] |
| 450 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 182,619 | 183,519 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [900] |
| 460 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 230,589 | 231,737 |
| | Naval Sea Cadet Corps | | [1,148] |
| 470 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 115,595 | 115,595 |
| 480 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 79,606 | 79,606 |

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| 490 | JUNIOR ROTC | 41,664 | 41,664 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ... | 1,737,254 | 1,747,602 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 500 | ADMINISTRATION | 858,871 | 858,871 |
| 510 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 12,807 | 12,807 |
| 520 | CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MAN- AGEMENT | 119,863 | 119,863 |
| 530 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MAN- AGEMENT | 356,113 | 357,013 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [900] |
| 540 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 255,605 | 255,605 |
| 550 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 339,802 | 339,802 |
| 570 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 172,203 | 172,203 |
| 590 | PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN | 283,621 | 283,621 |
| 600 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 1,111,464 | 1,111,464 |
| 610 | HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUP- PORT | 43,232 | 43,232 |
| 620 | COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 25,689 | 25,689 |
| 630 | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS .. | 73,159 | 73,159 |
| 640 | NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 548,640 | 548,640 |
| 700 | INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGEN- CIES | 4,713 | 4,713 |
| 720A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 531,324 | 531,324 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 4,737,106 | 4,738,006 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 730 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -154,200 |
| | Civilian personnel underexecution | | [-80,000] |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | | [-74,200] |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -154,200 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | 39,025,857 | 38,985,005 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 905,744 | 939,544 |
| | Crisis Response Operations Unfunded Require- ment | | [33,800] |
| 020 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 921,543 | 921,543 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 229,058 | 229,058 |
| 040 | MARITIME PREPOSITIONING | 87,660 | 87,660 |
| 050 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZA- TION | 573,926 | 592,676 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | [18,750] |
| 060 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 1,983,118 | 1,983,118 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 4,701,049 | 4,753,599 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 070 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 18,227 | 18,227 |
| 080 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 948 | 948 |
| 090 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 98,448 | 98,448 |
| 100 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 42,305 | 42,305 |

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| 110 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 330,156 | 330,156 |
| 120 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 161,752 | 161,752 |
| 130 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 19,137 | 19,137 |
| 140 | JUNIOR ROTC | 23,277 | 23,277 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .. | 694,250 | 694,250 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 36,359 | 36,359 |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION | 362,608 | 353,508 |
| | <i>Marine Museum Unjustified Growth</i> | | <i>[-9,100]</i> |
| 180 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 70,515 | 70,515 |
| 180A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 44,706 | 44,706 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 514,188 | 505,088 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 190 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -28,400 |
| | <i>Foreign Currency adjustments</i> | | <i>[-28,400]</i> |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -28,400 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS | 5,909,487 | 5,924,537 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 565,842 | 573,742 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | <i>[7,900]</i> |
| 020 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 5,948 | 5,948 |
| 040 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 82,636 | 84,936 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | <i>[2,300]</i> |
| 050 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 353 | 353 |
| 060 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 7,007 | 7,007 |
| 070 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 8,190 | 8,190 |
| 080 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 556 | 556 |
| 090 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 4,571 | 4,571 |
| 100 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 14,472 | 14,472 |
| 110 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 119,056 | 119,056 |
| 120 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 1,852 | 1,852 |
| 130 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION | 25,354 | 25,354 |
| 140 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MOD- ERNIZATION | 48,271 | 53,098 |
| | <i>Facilities Sustainment</i> | | <i>[4,827]</i> |
| 150 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 101,921 | 101,921 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 986,029 | 1,001,056 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION | 1,520 | 1,520 |
| 170 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MAN- AGEMENT | | 12,998 |
| 180 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 3,395 | 3,395 |
| 190 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 3,158 | 3,158 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 21,071 | 21,071 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES | 1,007,100 | 1,022,127 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | OPERATING FORCES | 93,093 | 93,093 |
| 020 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 18,377 | 18,377 |
| 030 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MOD- ERNIZATION | 29,232 | 33,132 |
| | <i>Facilities Sustainment</i> | | [3,900] |
| 040 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 106,447 | 106,447 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 247,149 | 251,049 |
| ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 050 | SERVICEMAN TRANSPORTATION | 914 | 914 |
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 11,831 | 11,831 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 8,688 | 8,688 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 21,433 | 21,433 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE | 268,582 | 272,482 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 3,163,457 | 3,172,057 |
| | <i>Nuclear Force Improvement Program—Security Forces</i> | | [8,600] |
| 020 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 1,694,339 | 1,694,339 |
| 030 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) | 1,579,178 | 1,579,178 |
| 040 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 6,119,522 | 6,028,400 |
| | <i>RC/OC-135 Contractor Logistics Support Un- justified Growth</i> | | [-8,000] |
| | <i>Unjustified program growth</i> | | [-83,122] |
| 050 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 1,453,589 | 1,475,739 |
| | <i>Facilities Sustainment</i> | | [18,750] |
| | <i>Nuclear Force Improvement Program—Install- ation Surety</i> | | [3,400] |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT | 2,599,419 | 2,589,419 |
| | <i>Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase</i> | | [-10,000] |
| 070 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 908,790 | 908,790 |
| 080 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS | 856,306 | 865,906 |
| | <i>Nuclear Force Improvement Program—ICBM Training Hardware</i> | | [9,600] |
| 090 | TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVI- TIES | 800,689 | 800,689 |
| 100 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 282,710 | 282,710 |
| 110 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 397,818 | 397,818 |
| 120 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 871,840 | 860,840 |
| | <i>Program decrease—classified program</i> | | [-11,000] |
| 130 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 237,348 | 237,348 |
| 130A | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM | | 34,600 |
| | <i>Retain current AWACS fleet</i> | | [34,600] |
| 130B | A-10 FLYING HOURS | | 188,400 |
| | <i>Retain current A-10 fleet</i> | | [188,400] |
| 130C | A-10 WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT | | 68,100 |

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| | Retain current A-10 fleet | | [68,100] |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 20,965,005 | 21,184,333 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | |
| 140 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 1,968,810 | 1,968,810 |
| 150 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 139,743 | 125,670 |
| | Inflation pricing requested as program growth | | [-14,073] |
| 160 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,534,560 | 1,534,560 |
| 170 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 173,627 | 173,627 |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 688,801 | 688,801 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 4,505,541 | 4,491,468 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 190 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 82,396 | 82,396 |
| 200 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 19,852 | 19,852 |
| 210 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) .. | 76,134 | 76,134 |
| 220 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 212,226 | 212,226 |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 759,809 | 759,809 |
| 240 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 356,157 | 356,157 |
| 250 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 697,594 | 697,594 |
| 260 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 219,441 | 219,441 |
| 270 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 91,001 | 91,001 |
| 280 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 316,688 | 316,688 |
| 290 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 73,920 | 73,920 |
| 300 | EXAMINING | 3,121 | 3,121 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 181,718 | 181,718 |
| 320 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 147,667 | 147,667 |
| 330 | JUNIOR ROTC | 63,250 | 63,250 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING .. | 3,300,974 | 3,300,974 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 340 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 1,003,513 | 997,379 |
| | Inflation pricing requested as program growth | | [-6,134] |
| 350 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 843,449 | 836,210 |
| | Defense Finance and Accounting Services rate ad- justment requested as program growth | | [-7,239] |
| 360 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 78,126 | 78,126 |
| 370 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 247,677 | 247,677 |
| 380 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,103,442 | 1,103,442 |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 597,234 | 597,234 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 506,840 | 506,840 |
| 410 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 892,256 | 892,256 |
| 420 | CIVIL AIR PATROL | 24,981 | 24,981 |
| 450 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 92,419 | 92,419 |
| 450A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,169,736 | 1,164,376 |
| | Classified adjustment | | [-5,360] |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES .. | 6,559,673 | 6,540,940 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 460 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -131,900 |
| | Civilian personnel underexecution | | [-80,000] |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | | [-51,900] |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -131,900 |

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | | |
|---|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE | | | |
| | | 35,331,193 | 35,385,815 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 1,719,467 | 1,719,467 |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 211,132 | 211,132 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 530,301 | 530,301 |
| 040 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 85,672 | 90,672 |
| | <i>Facilities Sustainment</i> | | [5,000] |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 367,966 | 367,966 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 2,914,538 | 2,919,538 |
| ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE AC- TIVITIES | | | |
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 59,899 | 59,899 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 14,509 | 14,509 |
| 080 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC) | 20,345 | 20,345 |
| 090 | OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP) | 6,551 | 6,551 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 101,304 | 101,304 |
| TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE | | | |
| | | 3,015,842 | 3,020,842 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | 3,367,729 | 3,367,729 |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 718,295 | 718,295 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,528,695 | 1,528,695 |
| 040 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 137,604 | 142,604 |
| | <i>Facilities Sustainment</i> | | [5,000] |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 581,536 | 581,536 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 6,333,859 | 6,338,859 |
| ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE AC- TIVITIES | | | |
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 27,812 | 27,812 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 31,188 | 31,188 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERV- ICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES | 59,000 | 59,000 |
| TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG | | | |
| | | 6,392,859 | 6,397,859 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE- WIDE OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | 462,107 | 462,107 |
| 020 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES | 4,762,245 | 4,770,947 |
| | MSV—USSOCOM Maritime Support Vessel | | [-20,298] |
| | NCR—USSOCOM National Capitol Region Office | | [-5,000] |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | POTFF—transfer to DHP | | [-14,800] |
| | POTFF—transfer to DHRA for Office Suicide Prevention | | [-4,000] |
| | RSCC—Regional Special Operations Forces Co- ordination Centers | | [-3,600] |
| | UFR Flying Hours | | [36,400] |
| | UFR Unit Readiness Training | | [20,000] |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,224,352 | 5,233,054 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 030 | DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY | 135,437 | 135,437 |
| 040 | NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY | 80,082 | 80,082 |
| 050 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 371,620 | 371,620 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING ... | 587,139 | 587,139 |
| | ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE AC- TIVITIES | | |
| 060 | CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS | 119,888 | 175,888 |
| | STARBASE | | [25,000] |
| | Youth Challenge | | [31,000] |
| 080 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 556,493 | 556,493 |
| 090 | DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY ... Civilian personnel compensation—justification does not match summary of price and program changes | 1,340,374 | 1,299,874 |
| | Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag | | [-20,500] |
| | Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag | | [-20,000] |
| 100 | DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY | 633,300 | 636,070 |
| | Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag | | [-1,230] |
| | Suicide Prevention—transfer from SOCOM | | [4,000] |
| 110 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY | 1,263,678 | 1,263,678 |
| 130 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 26,710 | 26,710 |
| 140 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY | 381,470 | 394,170 |
| | PTAP funding increase | | [12,700] |
| 150 | DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY | 194,520 | 194,520 |
| 160 | DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE | 21,485 | 21,485 |
| 170 | DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY Program decrease—Combatting terrorism fellow- ship | 544,786 | 552,386 |
| | Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership For Peace ... | | [-2,400] |
| | Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership For Peace ... | | [10,000] |
| 180 | DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE | 527,812 | 527,812 |
| 200 | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINIS- TRATION | 32,787 | 32,787 |
| 230 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIV- ITY | 2,566,424 | 2,566,424 |
| 240 | MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY | 416,644 | 416,644 |
| 260 | OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT | 186,987 | 106,391 |
| | Office of Economic Adjustment | | [-80,596] |
| 265 | OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT | | 18,944 |
| | Program increase | | [10,000] |
| | Transfer from line 270 | | [8,944] |
| 270 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 1,891,163 | 1,873,419 |
| | BRAC 2015 Round Planning and Analyses | | [-4,800] |
| | DOD Rewards Program Underexecution | | [-4,000] |
| | Transfer funding for Office of Net Assessment to line 265 | | [-8,944] |

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| 280 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/ADMIN & SVC-WIDE ACTIVITIES | 87,915 | 87,915 |
| 290 | WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES | 610,982 | 608,462 |
| | Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag | | [-2,520] |
| 290A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 13,983,323 | 13,983,323 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 25,386,741 | 25,343,395 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| 300 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | 12,500 |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | | [-17,500] |
| | Impact Aid | | [25,000] |
| | Impact Aid for Children with Severe Disabilities .. | | [5,000] |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | 12,500 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE | 31,198,232 | 31,176,088 |
| | MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | | |
| | MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | | |
| 010 | US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE | 13,723 | 13,723 |
| 020 | OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| 030 | COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION | 365,108 | 365,108 |
| 040 | ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD | 212,875 | 83,034 |
| | Program decrease | | [-129,841] |
| 050 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY | 201,560 | 201,560 |
| 060 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY | 277,294 | 277,294 |
| 070 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE | 408,716 | 408,716 |
| 080 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE | 8,547 | 8,547 |
| 090 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES | 208,353 | 208,353 |
| 100 | OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND | 5,000 | 0 |
| | Program decrease | | [-5,000] |
| 110 | SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS, DEFENSE | 10,000 | 5,700 |
| | Unjustified program increase | | [-4,300] |
| | SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | 1,811,176 | 1,672,035 |
| | TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | 1,811,176 | 1,672,035 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | 165,721,818 | 165,417,280 |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

| SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY OPERATING FORCES | | |

| SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | | |
| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 77,419 | 187,419 |
| | ERI: Armored Brigade Combat Team Presence | | [110,000] |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 3,827 | 3,827 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 22,353 | 22,353 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 1,405,102 | 1,405,102 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 452,332 | 467,332 |
| | ERI: Increased Global Response Force Exercises | | [15,000] |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 47,522 | 47,522 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,050,683 | 1,147,183 |
| | ERI: Increase Range Capacities and Operation, and Upgrade Training Sites | | [96,500] |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 166,725 | 166,725 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 87,636 | 273,236 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [185,600] |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 291,977 | 291,977 |
| 140 | ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES | 7,316,967 | 7,407,261 |
| | ERI: NATO Exercises | | [13,100] |
| | ERI: Strengthen the Capacity of NATO and NATO Partners | | [3,000] |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 re-programming | | [74,194] |
| 150 | COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| 160 | RESET | 2,861,655 | 2,861,655 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 13,794,198 | 14,291,592 |
| MOBILIZATION | | | |
| 190 | ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS | | 59,000 |
| | ERI: Armored Brigade Combat Team presence | | [40,000] |
| | ERI: Army Prepo Infrastructure Projects | | [19,000] |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | | 59,000 |
| ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 350 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 1,806,267 | 1,806,267 |
| 380 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 45,537 | 45,537 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 32,264 | 32,264 |
| 420 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 98,171 | 98,171 |
| 430 | OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT | 99,694 | 99,694 |
| 450 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 137,053 | 137,053 |
| 520A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,122,092 | 1,106,192 |
| | Program decrease | | [-15,900] |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | 3,341,078 | 3,325,178 |
| TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY | | 17,135,276 | 17,675,770 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 4,285 | 4,285 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,428 | 1,428 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 699 | 699 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 35,120 | 35,120 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 41,532 | 41,532 |
| TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES | | 41,532 | 41,532 |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 12,593 | 13,793 |
| | ERI: Leverage State Partnership Program | | [1,200] |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 647 | 647 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 6,670 | 6,670 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 664 | 664 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 22,485 | 22,485 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 14,560 | 14,560 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | | 49,600 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [49,600] |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 13,923 | 13,923 |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEAD- QUARTERS | 4,601 | 4,601 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 76,143 | 126,943 |
| ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 150 | ADMINISTRATION | 318 | 318 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 318 | 318 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | 76,461 | 127,261 |
| AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND | | | |
| MINISTRY OF DEFENSE | | | |
| 010 | AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND | 2,915,747 | 2,915,747 |
| | SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE | 2,915,747 | 2,915,747 |
| MINISTRY OF INTERIOR | | | |
| 020 | MINISTRY OF INTERIOR | 1,161,733 | 1,161,733 |
| | SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR | 1,161,733 | 1,161,733 |
| DETAINEE OPS | | | |
| 030 | IRAQ TRAINING FACILITY | 31,853 | 31,853 |
| | SUBTOTAL DETAINEE OPS | 31,853 | 31,853 |
| | TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND | 4,109,333 | 4,109,333 |
| IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | | | |
| IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | | | |
| 010 | IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 1,618,000 | 1,618,000 |
| | SUBTOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 1,618,000 | 1,618,000 |
| | TOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 1,618,000 | 1,618,000 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 573,123 | 576,123 |
| | ERI: Seabreeze and European Multinational Exer- cises | | [3,000] |
| 040 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 2,600 | 2,600 |
| 050 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 22,035 | 22,035 |
| 060 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 192,411 | 303,411 |
| | Aviation Depot Maintenance | | [111,000] |
| 070 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,116 | 1,116 |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 080 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 33,900 | 33,900 |
| 090 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 1,153,500 | 1,158,450 |
| | <i>ERI: Black Sea Multinational Exercises</i> | | [4,950] |
| 100 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 20,068 | 20,068 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,922,829 | 2,072,829 |
| | <i>Restore Critical Depot Maintenance</i> | | [150,000] |
| 130 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 31,303 | 31,303 |
| 160 | WARFARE TACTICS | 26,229 | 26,229 |
| 170 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 20,398 | 20,398 |
| 180 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 676,555 | 685,675 |
| | <i>ERI: BALTOPS Multinational Exercises</i> | | [500] |
| | <i>ERI: Black Sea Information Sharing Initiatives</i> | | [620] |
| | <i>ERI: EUCOM Information Sharing Initiatives</i> | | [8,000] |
| 190 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 10,662 | 10,662 |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 90,684 | 90,684 |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 233,696 | 233,696 |
| 300 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 16,220 | 16,420 |
| | <i>ERI: European Multinational Exercise Infrastructure Support</i> | | [200] |
| 310 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 88,688 | 88,688 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,116,017 | 5,394,287 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | |
| 360 | EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS | 5,307 | 5,307 |
| 380 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 213,319 | 213,319 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 218,626 | 218,626 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 420 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 48,270 | 48,270 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 48,270 | 48,270 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 500 | ADMINISTRATION | 2,464 | 2,464 |
| 510 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 520 | 520 |
| 530 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 5,205 | 5,205 |
| 540 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 1,439 | 1,439 |
| 570 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 186,318 | 186,318 |
| 590 | PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| 600 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 11,811 | 11,811 |
| 640 | NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 1,468 | 1,468 |
| 720A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 6,380 | 6,380 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 216,955 | 216,955 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | 5,599,868 | 5,878,138 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 477,406 | 490,616 |
| | <i>ERI: BALTOPS Multinational Exercises</i> | | [1,500] |
| | <i>ERI: Black Sea Rotational Force Increased Presence</i> | | [8,910] |
| | <i>ERI: Cold Response Multinational Exercises</i> | | [800] |
| | <i>ERI: NATO Multinational Exercises</i> | | [2,000] |

| SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| 020 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 353,334 | 353,334 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 426,720 | 436,720 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [10,000] |
| 060 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 12,036 | 12,036 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 1,269,496 | 1,292,706 |
| TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | |
| 110 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 52,106 | 52,106 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 52,106 | 52,106 |
| ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 162,980 | 162,980 |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION | 1,322 | 1,322 |
| 180A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,870 | 1,870 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 166,172 | 166,172 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MA- RINE CORPS | 1,487,774 | 1,510,984 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 16,133 | 16,133 |
| 040 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 6,150 | 6,150 |
| 070 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 12,475 | 12,475 |
| 090 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 110 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 8,418 | 8,418 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 45,876 | 45,876 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES | 45,876 | 45,876 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | OPERATING FORCES | 9,740 | 9,740 |
| 040 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 800 | 800 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 10,540 | 10,540 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE | 10,540 | 10,540 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 1,352,604 | 1,419,934 |
| | ERI: Baltic Air Policing | | [10,000] |
| | ERI: Eastern European Countries Exercise Support .. | | [2,300] |
| | ERI: Retain Air Superiority Presence | | [55,000] |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 re- programming | | [30] |
| 020 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 893,939 | 898,339 |
| | ERI: Baltic Intelligence, Surveillance and Recon- sance | | [4,400] |
| 030 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) | 8,785 | 8,785 |
| 040 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,146,099 | 1,146,099 |
| 050 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 78,000 | 105,890 |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|-------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | | [9,890] |
| | ERI: Improve Support Infrastructure | | [400] |
| | ERI: Improve Weapons Storage Facilities | | [17,600] |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,226,834 | 1,226,834 |
| 070 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 92,109 | 92,109 |
| 080 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS | 168,269 | 168,269 |
| 090 | TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES | 26,337 | 26,337 |
| 100 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 852 | 852 |
| 110 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 4,942 | 4,942 |
| 120 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 99,400 | 99,568 |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 re-programming | | [168] |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,098,170 | 5,197,958 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | |
| 140 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 2,894,280 | 2,896,880 |
| | ERI: Persistent MAF Capability | | [2,000] |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 re-programming | | [600] |
| 150 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 138,043 | 138,043 |
| 160 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 437,279 | 597,279 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [160,000] |
| 170 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 2,801 | 2,801 |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 15,370 | 15,370 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 3,487,773 | 3,650,373 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 190 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 39 | 39 |
| 200 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 432 | 432 |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,617 | 1,617 |
| 240 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 2,145 | 2,145 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 163 | 163 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 4,396 | 4,396 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 340 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 85,016 | 85,016 |
| 350 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 934 | 934 |
| 380 | BASE SUPPORT | 6,923 | 6,923 |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 151 | 151 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 162,106 | 164,356 |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 re-programming | | [2,250] |
| 410 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 246,256 | 246,256 |
| 450 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 60 | 60 |
| 450A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 17,408 | 5,910 |
| | Program decrease | | [-11,498] |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 518,854 | 509,606 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE | 9,109,193 | 9,362,333 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES | | |

| SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Line</i> | <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 72,575 | 72,575 |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 5,219 | 5,219 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 77,794 | 77,794 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE | 77,794 | 77,794 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | | 2,300 |
| | ERI: Eastern European Countries Exercise Support .. | | [2,000] |
| | ERI: Leverage State Partnership Program | | [300] |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 20,300 | 20,300 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 20,300 | 22,600 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG | 20,300 | 22,600 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | | 100 |
| | ERI: EUCOM Support to NATO Exercises in Chair- man's Joint Exercise Program | | [100] |
| 020 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES | 2,490,648 | 2,648,963 |
| | ERI: Increased Partnership Activities in Central and Eastern Europe | | [10,557] |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 re- programming | | [147,758] |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 2,490,648 | 2,649,063 |
| | ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVI- TIES | | |
| 080 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 22,847 | 22,847 |
| 090 | DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY | 21,516 | 21,516 |
| 110 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY | 36,416 | 36,416 |
| 130 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 105,000 | 105,000 |
| 150 | DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY | 6,251 | 6,251 |
| 170 | DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY | 1,660,000 | 1,660,000 |
| 230 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY | 93,000 | 93,000 |
| 270 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 115,664 | 125,664 |
| | ERI: Intelligence and Warning | | [10,000] |
| 290 | WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES | 2,424 | 2,424 |
| 290A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,617,659 | 1,613,059 |
| | Program decrease | | [-4,600] |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 3,680,777 | 3,686,177 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DE- FENSE-WIDE | 6,171,425 | 6,335,240 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | 45,503,372 | 46,815,401 |

TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

| <i>SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| Military Personnel Appropriations | 128,957,593 | 128,479,608 |
| AGR Pay and Allowance—projected underexecution | | [-84,500] |
| CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [48,000] |
| Inactive Duty Training—projected underexecution | | [-79,000] |
| Individual Clothing and Uniform Allowance—excess to requirement | | [-10,000] |
| Lower than budgeted average strength levels | | [-66,500] |
| Military Personnel Historical Underexecution | | [-628,000] |
| Non-Prior Service Enlistment Bonus—excess to require- ment | | [-4,000] |
| Operational training excess to requirement | | [-3,000] |
| Operational travel excess to requirement | | [-10,800] |
| Recalculation from CPI-1 to CPI | | [215,300] |
| Retain current A-10 fleet | | [74,615] |
| Retain current AWACS fleet | | [24,900] |
| Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations: Army-requested from line 121, O&M Army | | [45,000] |
| Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions | 6,236,092 | 6,236,092 |
| Total, Military Personnel | 135,193,685 | 134,715,700 |

SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

| <i>SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Item</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| Military Personnel Appropriations | 5,536,340 | 5,537,840 |
| ERI: Strengthen the Capacity of NATO and NATO Part- ners | | [1,500] |
| Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions | 58,728 | 58,728 |
| Total, Military Personnel Appropriations | 5,595,068 | 5,596,568 |

TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

| <i>SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| <i>Program Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY | | |
| PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS | 13,727 | 13,727 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY | 13,727 | 13,727 |

| SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Program Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | | |
| SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS (MEDICAL/DENTAL) | 61,717 | 61,717 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | 61,717 | 61,717 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) | 44,293 | 44,293 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | 44,293 | 44,293 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | | |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | 1,114,731 | 1,214,731 |
| Restore Commissary Reduction | | [100,000] |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | 1,114,731 | 1,214,731 |
| CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION | | |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | 222,728 | 222,728 |
| RDT&E | 595,913 | 595,913 |
| PROCUREMENT | 10,227 | 10,227 |
| TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION | 828,868 | 828,868 |
| DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | | |
| DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | 719,096 | 719,096 |
| DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM | 101,591 | 101,591 |
| TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF | 820,687 | 820,687 |
| OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 310,830 | 310,830 |
| PROCUREMENT | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 311,830 | 311,830 |
| DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | | |
| IN-HOUSE CARE | 8,799,086 | 8,849,171 |
| Implementation of Benefit Reform Proposal | | [-56,715] |
| Restoration of MHS Modernization | | [92,000] |
| USSOCOM Behavioral Health and Warrior Care Management Program | | [14,800] |
| PRIVATE SECTOR CARE | 15,412,599 | 14,317,599 |
| Historical underexecution | | [-855,000] |
| Implementation of Benefit Reform Proposal | | [-58,000] |
| Pharmaceutical drugs—excess growth | | [-182,000] |
| CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT | 2,462,096 | 2,358,396 |
| Historical underexecution | | [-100,000] |
| Travel excess growth | | [-3,700] |
| INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 |
| MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES | 366,223 | 366,223 |
| EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 750,866 | 750,866 |
| BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 |
| R&D UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| R&D RESEARCH | 10,317 | 10,317 |
| R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT | 49,015 | 49,015 |
| R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 226,410 | 226,410 |
| R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION | 97,787 | 97,787 |
| R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT | 217,898 | 217,898 |

| SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Program Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT | 38,075 | 38,075 |
| R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT | 15,092 | 15,092 |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | | |
| PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING | 13,057 | 13,057 |
| PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION | 283,030 | 283,030 |
| PROC THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM | 3,145 | 3,145 |
| PROC IEHR | 9,181 | 9,181 |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | -161,857 | -161,857 |
| TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | 31,833,061 | 30,684,446 |
| TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 35,028,914 | 33,980,299 |
| SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS. | | |
| SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
| <i>Program Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | | |
| C-17 CLS ENGINE COST INCREASE | | |
| FUEL | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | | |
| DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) | 86,350 | 86,350 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | 86,350 | 86,350 |
| DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | | |
| DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | 189,000 | 209,000 |
| SOUTHCOM ISR | | [20,000] |
| TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF | 189,000 | 209,000 |
| OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 7,968 | 7,968 |
| TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 7,968 | 7,968 |
| DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | | |
| IN-HOUSE CARE | 65,902 | 65,902 |
| PRIVATE SECTOR CARE | 214,259 | 214,259 |
| CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT | 15,311 | 15,311 |
| EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 5,059 | 5,059 |
| TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | 300,531 | 300,531 |
| EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE | | |
| EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE | 925,000 | 370,713 |
| ERI: Military Assistance and Support for Ukraine | | [75,000] |
| ERI: Transfer out to appropriations for proper execution | | [-629,287] |
| TOTAL EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE | 925,000 | 370,713 |
| COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | | |
| COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | 4,000,000 | 1,300,000 |

SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Program Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>Funding ahead of need</i> | | [-2,700,000] |
| TOTAL COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | 4,000,000 | 1,300,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 5,513,849 | 2,279,562 |
| TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 5,513,849 | 2,279,562 |

TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Account</i> | <i>State/Country and Installation</i> | <i>Project Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | <i>California</i> | | | |
| Army | Concord | Access Control Point | 9,900 | 9,900 |
| Army | Concord | General Purpose Maintenance Shop | 5,300 | 5,300 |
| Army | Fort Irwin | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar | 45,000 | 45,000 |
| | <i>Colorado</i> | | | |
| Army | Fort Carson | Aircraft Maintenance Hangar | 60,000 | 60,000 |
| Army | Fort Carson | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar | 29,000 | 29,000 |
| | <i>Guantanamo Bay, Cuba</i> | | | |
| Army | Guantanamo Bay | Dining Facility | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| Army | Guantanamo Bay | Health Clinic | 11,800 | 11,800 |
| Army | Guantanamo Bay | High Value Detainee Complex | 0 | 0 |
| | <i>Hawaii</i> | | | |
| Army | Fort Shafter | Command and Control Facility Complex | 96,000 | 85,000 |
| | <i>Japan</i> | | | |
| Army | Kadena AB | Missile Magazine | 10,600 | 10,600 |
| | <i>Kentucky</i> | | | |
| Army | Blue Grass Army Depot | Shipping and Receiving Building | 0 | 15,000 |
| Army | Fort Campbell | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| | <i>New York</i> | | | |
| Army | Fort Drum | Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Hangar | 27,000 | 27,000 |
| Army | U.S. Military Academy | Cadet Barracks, Incr 3 | 58,000 | 58,000 |
| | <i>Pennsylvania</i> | | | |
| Army | Letterkenny Army Depot | Rebuild Shop | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| | <i>South Carolina</i> | | | |
| Army | Fort Jackson | Trainee Barracks Complex 3, Ph1 | 52,000 | 52,000 |
| | <i>Texas</i> | | | |
| Army | Fort Hood | Simulations Center | 0 | 0 |
| | <i>Virginia</i> | | | |
| Army | Fort Lee | Adv. Individual Training Barracks Complex, Phase 3. | 0 | 0 |
| Army | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | Tactical Vehicle Hardstand | 7,700 | 7,700 |
| | <i>Worldwide Unspecified</i> | | | |
| Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Host Nation Support FY15 | 33,000 | 33,000 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Army | Unspecified | Minor Construction FY15 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| | Worldwide Locations | | | |
| Army | Unspecified | Planning and Design FY15 | 18,127 | 18,127 |
| | Worldwide Locations | | | |
| Military Construction, Army Total | | | 539,427 | 543,427 |
| | Arizona | | | |
| Navy | Yuma | Aviation Maintenance and Support Complex. | 16,608 | 16,608 |
| | Bahrain Island | | | |
| Navy | SW Asia | P-8A Hangar | 27,826 | 27,826 |
| | California | | | |
| Navy | Bridgeport | E-LMR Communications Towers | 16,180 | 16,180 |
| Navy | Lemoore | F-35C Facility Addition and Modification. | 0 | 16,594 |
| | Lemoore | F-35C Operational Training Facility | 0 | 22,391 |
| Navy | San Diego | Steam Distribution System Decentralization. | 47,110 | 47,110 |
| | District of Columbia | | | |
| Navy | District of Columbia | Electronics Science and Technology Laboratory. | 31,735 | 31,735 |
| | Djibouti | | | |
| Navy | Camp Lemonier | Entry Control Point | 9,923 | 9,923 |
| | Florida | | | |
| Navy | Jacksonville | MH60 Parking Apron | 8,583 | 8,583 |
| Navy | Jacksonville | P-8A Runway Thresholds and Taxiways | 21,652 | 21,652 |
| Navy | Mayport | LCS Operational Training Facility | 20,520 | 20,520 |
| | Guam | | | |
| Navy | Joint Region Marianas | GSE Shops at North Ramp | 21,880 | 21,880 |
| Navy | Joint Region Marianas | MWSS Facilities at North Ramp | 28,771 | 28,771 |
| | Hawaii | | | |
| Navy | Kaneohe Bay | Facility Modifications for VMU, MWSD, & CH53E. | 51,182 | 51,182 |
| Navy | Kaneohe Bay | Road and Infrastructure Improvements .. | 2,200 | 2,200 |
| Navy | Pearl Harbor | Submarine Maneuvering Room Trainer Facility. | 9,698 | 9,698 |
| | Japan | | | |
| Navy | Iwakuni | Security Mods DPRI MC167-T (CVW-5 E2D EA-18G). | 6,415 | 6,415 |
| Navy | Kadena AB | Aircraft Maint Hangar Alterations and SAP-F. | 19,411 | 19,411 |
| Navy | MCAS Futenma | Hangar & Rinse Facility Modernizations | 4,639 | 4,639 |
| Navy | Okinawa | LHD Practice Site Improvements | 35,685 | 35,685 |
| | Maryland | | | |
| Navy | Annapolis | Center for Cyber Security Studies Building. | 120,112 | 30,000 |
| Navy | Indian Head | Advanced Energetics Research Lab Complex Ph 2. | 15,346 | 15,346 |
| Navy | Patuxent River | Atlantic Test Range Facility | 9,860 | 9,860 |
| | Nevada | | | |
| Navy | Fallon | Air Wing Training Facility | 27,763 | 27,763 |
| Navy | Fallon | Facility Alteration for F-35 Training Mission. | 3,499 | 3,499 |
| | North Carolina | | | |
| Navy | Camp Lejeune | 2nd Radio BN Complex Phase 1 | 0 | 50,706 |
| Navy | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | Water Treatment Plant Replacement | 41,588 | 41,588 |
| | Pennsylvania | | | |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|--|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Navy | Philadelphia | Ohio Replacement Power & Propulsion Facility. | 23,985 | 23,985 |
| Navy | South Carolina Charleston | Nuclear Power Operational Support Facility. | 35,716 | 35,716 |
| Navy | Spain Rota | Ship Berthing Power Upgrades | 20,233 | 20,233 |
| Navy | Virginia Dahlgren | Missile Support Facility | 27,313 | 27,313 |
| Navy | Norfolk | EOD Consolidated Ops & Logistics Facilities. | 39,274 | 39,274 |
| Navy | Portsmouth | Submarine Maintenance Facility | 9,743 | 9,743 |
| Navy | Quantico | Ammunition Supply Point Expansion | 12,613 | 12,613 |
| Navy | Yorktown | Bachelor Enlisted Quarters | 19,152 | 19,152 |
| Navy | Yorktown | Fast Company Training Facility | 7,836 | 7,836 |
| Navy | Washington Bangor | Regional Ship Maintenance Support Facility. | 0 | 13,833 |
| Navy | Bremerton | Integrated Water Treatment Syst. Dd 1, 2, & 5. | 16,401 | 16,401 |
| Navy | Kitsap | Explosives Handling Wharf #2 (Inc) | 83,778 | 83,778 |
| Navy | Port Angeles | TPS Port Angeles Forward Operating Location. | 20,638 | 20,638 |
| Navy | Whidbey Island | P-8A Aircraft Apron and Supporting Facilities. | 24,390 | 24,390 |
| Navy | Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations | F-35C Facility Addition and Modification. | 16,594 | 0 |
| Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | F-35C Operational Training Facility | 22,391 | 0 |
| Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MCON Design Funds | 33,366 | 33,366 |
| Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 7,163 | 7,163 |
| Military Construction, Navy Total | | | 1,018,772 | 993,199 |
| AF | Alaska Clear AFS | Emergency Power Plant Fuel Storage | 11,500 | 11,500 |
| AF | Arizona Luke AFB | F-35 Aircraft Mx Hangar—Sqdn #2 | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| AF | Luke AFB | F-35 Flighline Fillstands | 15,600 | 15,600 |
| AF | Guam Joint Region Marianas | Guam Strike Fuel Systems Maint. Hangar Inc 2. | 64,000 | 64,000 |
| AF | Joint Region Marianas | PAR Low Observable/Corrosion Control/Composite Repair Shop. | 0 | 34,400 |
| AF | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC—Combat Comm Infrastr Facility | 3,750 | 3,750 |
| AF | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC—Red Horse Logistics Facility | 3,150 | 3,150 |
| AF | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC—Satellite Fire Station | 6,500 | 6,500 |
| AF | Kansas McConnell AFB | KC-46A Adal Mobility Bag Strg Expansion. | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| AF | McConnell AFB | KC-46A Adal Regional Mx Tng Facility | 16,100 | 16,100 |
| AF | McConnell AFB | KC-46A Alter Composite Mx Shop | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| AF | McConnell AFB | KC-46A Alter Taxiway Foxtrot | 5,500 | 5,500 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|----------------|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| AF | McConnell AFB Maryland | KC-46A Fuselage Trainer | 6,400 | 6,400 |
| AF | Fort Meade | Cybercom Joint Operations Center, Increment 2. | 166,000 | 166,000 |
| AF | Massachusetts Hanscom AFB | Dormitory (72 Rm) | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| AF | Nebraska Offutt AFB | Usstratcom Replacement Facility- Iner 4 | 180,000 | 180,000 |
| AF | Nevada Nellis AFB | F-22 Flight Simulator Facility | 14,000 | 14,000 |
| AF | Nellis AFB | F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit—4 Bay Hangar ... | 31,000 | 31,000 |
| AF | Nellis AFB | F-35 Weapons School Facility | 8,900 | 8,900 |
| AF | New Jersey Joint Base McGuire-Dix- Lakehurst | Fire Station | 5,900 | 5,900 |
| AF | Oklahoma Tinker AFB | KC-46A Depot Maint Complex Spt Infrastr. | 48,000 | 48,000 |
| AF | Tinker AFB | KC-46A Two-Bay Depot Mx Hangar | 63,000 | 63,000 |
| AF | Texas Joint Base San Antonio | Fire Station | 5,800 | 5,800 |
| AF | United Kingdom RAF Croughton | JIAC Consolidation—Phase 1 | 92,223 | 92,223 |
| AF | Worldwide Unspecified | Planning and Design | 10,738 | 10,738 |
| AF | Various Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Military Construction | 22,613 | 22,613 |
| | Military Construction, Air Force Total | | 811,774 | 846,174 |
| Def-Wide | Arizona Fort Huachuca | JITC Building 52120 Renovation | 1,871 | 1,871 |
| Def-Wide | Australia Geraldton | Combined Communications Gateway Geraldton. | 9,600 | 9,600 |
| Def-Wide | Belgium Brussels | Brussels Elementary/High School Replacement. | 41,626 | 41,626 |
| Def-Wide | Brussels | NATO Headquarters Facility | 37,918 | 37,918 |
| Def-Wide | California Camp Pendleton | SOF Comm/Elec Maintenance Facility .. | 11,841 | 11,841 |
| Def-Wide | Coronado | SOF Logistics Support Unit 1 Ops Facility #1. | 41,740 | 41,740 |
| Def-Wide | Coronado | SOF Support Activity Ops Facility #2 | 28,600 | 28,600 |
| Def-Wide | Lemoore | Replace Fuel Storage & Distribution Fac. | 52,500 | 52,500 |
| Def-Wide | Colorado Peterson AFB | Dental Clinic Replacement | 15,200 | 15,200 |
| Def-Wide | Conus Various Locations | East Coast Missile Site Planning and Design. | 0 | 0 |
| Def-Wide | Conus Classified Classified Location | SOF Skills Training Facility | 53,073 | 53,073 |
| Def-Wide | Georgia Hunter Army Airfield | SOF Company Operations Facility | 7,692 | 7,692 |
| Def-Wide | Robins AFB | Replace Hydrant Fuel System | 19,900 | 19,900 |
| Def-Wide | Germany Rhine Ordnance Barracks | Medical Center Replacement Iner 4 | 259,695 | 189,695 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Guantanamo Bay, | | | |
| | Cuba | | | |
| Def-Wide | Guantanamo Bay | Replace Fuel Tank | 11,100 | 11,100 |
| Def-Wide | Guantanamo Bay | W.T. Sampson E/M and HS Consolid./ Replacement. | 65,190 | 65,190 |
| | Hawaii | | | |
| Def-Wide | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | Replace Fuel Tanks | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | Upgrade Fire Supression & Ventilation Sys.. | 49,900 | 49,900 |
| | Japan | | | |
| Def-Wide | Misawa AB | Edgren High School Renovation | 37,775 | 37,775 |
| Def-Wide | Okinawa | Killin Elementary Replacement/Renovation. | 71,481 | 71,481 |
| Def-Wide | Okinawa | Kubasaki High School Replacement/Renovation. | 99,420 | 99,420 |
| Def-Wide | Sasebo | E.J. King High School Replacement/Renovation. | 37,681 | 37,681 |
| | Kentucky | | | |
| Def-Wide | Fort Campbell | SOF System Integration Maintenance Office Fac. | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| | Maryland | | | |
| Def-Wide | Fort Meade | NSAW Campus Feeders Phase 1 | 54,207 | 54,207 |
| Def-Wide | Fort Meade | NSAW Recapitalize Building #1/Site M Inc 3. | 45,521 | 45,521 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Base Andrews | Construct Hydrant Fuel System | 18,300 | 18,300 |
| | Michigan | | | |
| Def-Wide | Selfridge ANGB | Replace Fuel Distribution Facilities | 35,100 | 35,100 |
| | Mississippi | | | |
| Def-Wide | Stennis | SOF Applied Instruction Facility | 10,323 | 10,323 |
| Def-Wide | Stennis | SOF Land Acquisition Western Maneuver Area. | 17,224 | 17,224 |
| | Nevada | | | |
| Def-Wide | Fallon | SOF Tactical Ground Mob. Vehicle Maint Fac.. | 20,241 | 20,241 |
| | New Mexico | | | |
| Def-Wide | Cannon AFB | SOF Squadron Operations Facility (STS). | 23,333 | 23,333 |
| | North Carolina | | | |
| Def-Wide | Camp Lejeune | Lejeune High School Addition/Renovation. | 41,306 | 41,306 |
| Def-Wide | Camp Lejeune | SOF Intel/Ops Expansion | 11,442 | 11,442 |
| Def-Wide | Fort Bragg | SOF Battalion Operations Facility | 37,074 | 37,074 |
| Def-Wide | Fort Bragg | SOF Tactical Equipment Maintenance Facility. | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| Def-Wide | Fort Bragg | SOF Training Command Building | 48,062 | 48,062 |
| Def-Wide | Seymour Johnson AFB | Replace Hydrant Fuel System | 8,500 | 8,500 |
| | South Carolina | | | |
| Def-Wide | Beaufort | Replace Fuel Distibution Facilities | 40,600 | 40,600 |
| | South Dakota | | | |
| Def-Wide | Ellsworth AFB | Construct Hydrant System | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| | Texas | | | |
| Def-Wide | Fort Bliss | Hospital Replacement Incr 6 | 131,500 | 131,500 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Base San Antonio | Medical Clinic Replacement | 38,300 | 38,300 |
| | Virginia | | | |
| Def-Wide | Craney Island | Replace & Alter Fuel Distribution Facilities. | 36,500 | 36,500 |
| Def-Wide | Def Distribution Depot Richmond | Replace Access Control Point | 5,700 | 5,700 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|----------------|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Def-Wide | Fort Belvoir | Parking Lot | 7,239 | 7,239 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | Hospital Addition/Cup Replacement | 41,200 | 41,200 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF Human Performance Center | 11,200 | 11,200 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF Indoor Dynamic Range | 14,888 | 14,888 |
| Def-Wide | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF Mobile Comm Det Support Facility | 13,500 | 13,500 |
| Def-Wide | Pentagon | Redundant Chilled Water Loop | 15,100 | 15,100 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Unspecified | Contingency Construction | 9,000 | 0 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | ECIP Design | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Energy Conservation Investment Program. | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Exercise Related Minor Construction | 8,581 | 8,581 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 599 | 599 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 38,704 | 38,704 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 42,387 | 42,387 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 745 | 745 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 24,425 | 4,425 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 1,183 | 1,183 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 5,932 | 5,932 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 10,334 | 10,334 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 6,846 | 6,846 |
| Def-Wide | Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 4,100 | 4,100 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|--|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Def-Wide | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Def-Wide | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Milcon | 2,994 | 2,994 |
| Def-Wide | Various World-wide Locations | Planning and Design | 24,197 | 24,197 |
| Military Construction, Defense-Wide Total | | | 2,061,890 | 1,962,890 |
| Chem Demil | Kentucky Blue Grass Army Depot | Ammunition Demilitarization Ph XV | 38,715 | 38,715 |
| Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense Total | | | 38,715 | 38,715 |
| NATO | Worldwide Unspecified NATO Security Investment Program | NATO Security Investment Program | 199,700 | 174,700 |
| NATO Security Investment Program Total | | | 199,700 | 174,700 |
| Army NG | Delaware Dagsboro | National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop. | 0 | 0 |
| Army NG | Maine Augusta | National Guard Reserve Center | 30,000 | 32,000 |
| Army NG | Maryland Havre de Grace | National Guard Readiness Center | 12,400 | 12,400 |
| Army NG | Montana Helena | National Guard Readiness Center Add/Alt. | 38,000 | 38,000 |
| Army NG | New Mexico Alamogordo | Readiness Center Add/Alt | 0 | 5,000 |
| Army NG | Alamogordo | National Guard Readiness Center | 0 | 0 |
| Army NG | North Dakota Valley City | National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop. | 10,800 | 10,800 |
| Army NG | Vermont North Hyde Park | National Guard Vehicle Maintenance Shop. | 4,400 | 4,400 |
| Army NG | Washington Yakima | Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training ... | 0 | 0 |
| Army NG | Worldwide Unspecified | Planning and Design | 17,600 | 17,600 |
| Army NG | Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 13,720 | 13,720 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard Total | | | 126,920 | 133,920 |
| Army Res | California Fresno | Army Reserve Center/AMSA | 22,000 | 22,000 |
| Army Res | March (Riverside) | Army Reserve Center | 0 | 25,000 |
| Army Res | Colorado Fort Carson | Training Building Addition | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Army Res | Illinois Arlington Heights | Army Reserve Center | 0 | 0 |
| Army Res | Mississippi Starkville | Army Reserve Center | 0 | 0 |
| Army Res | New Jersey | | | |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|---|--|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Army Res | Joint Base McGuire-Dix- Lakehurst New York | Army Reserve Center | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| Army Res | Mattydale Virginia | Army Reserve Center/AMSA | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| Army Res | Fort Lee Worldwide Unspec- ified | Tass Training Center | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| Army Res | Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations | Planning and Design | 8,337 | 8,337 |
| Army Res | Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 3,609 | 3,609 |
| Military Construction, Army Reserve Total | | | 103,946 | 128,946 |
| N/MC Res | Pennsylvania Pittsburgh Washington | Reserve Training Center—Pittsburgh, PA | 17,650 | 17,650 |
| N/MC Res | Everett | Joint Reserve Intelligence Center | 0 | 47,869 |
| N/MC Res | Whidbey Island Worldwide Unspec- ified | C-40 Aircraft Maintenance Hangar | 27,755 | 27,755 |
| N/MC Res | Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations | MCNR Planning & Design | 2,123 | 2,123 |
| N/MC Res | Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations | MCNR Unspecified Minor Construction .. | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve Total | | | 51,528 | 99,397 |
| Air NG | Arkansas Fort Smith Mu- nicipal Airport | Consolidated SCIF | 0 | 13,200 |
| Air NG | Connecticut Bradley IAP | Construct C-130 Fuel Cell and Corrosion Contr. | 16,306 | 16,306 |
| Air NG | Iowa Des Moines MAP | Remotely Piloted Aircraft and Targeting Group. | 8,993 | 8,993 |
| Air NG | Michigan W. K. Kellogg Re- gional Airport | RPA Beddown | 6,000 | 6,000 |
| Air NG | New Hampshire Pease Inter- national Trade Port | KC-46A Adal Airfield Pavements & Hy- drant Syst. | 7,100 | 7,100 |
| Air NG | Pease Inter- national Trade Port | KC-46A Adal Fuel Cell Building 253 | 16,800 | 16,800 |
| Air NG | Pease Inter- national Trade Port | KC-46A Adal Maint Hangar Building 254. | 18,002 | 18,002 |
| Air NG | Pennsylvania Willow Grove ARF Worldwide Unspec- ified | RPA Operations Center | 5,662 | 5,662 |
| Air NG | Various World- wide Locations | Planning and Design | 7,700 | 7,700 |
| Air NG | Various World- wide Locations | Unspecified Minor Construction | 8,100 | 6,100 |

| SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | |
| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard Total | | | 94,663 | 105,863 |
| <i>Arizona</i> | | | | |
| AF Res | Davis-Monthan AFB | Guardian Angel Operations | 0 | 14,500 |
| <i>Georgia</i> | | | | |
| AF Res | Robins AFB | AFRC Consolidated Mission Complex, Ph I. | 27,700 | 27,700 |
| <i>North Carolina</i> | | | | |
| AF Res | Seymour Johnson AFB | KC-135 Tanker Parking Apron Expansion. | 9,800 | 9,800 |
| <i>Texas</i> | | | | |
| AF Res | Fort Worth | EOD Facility | 3,700 | 3,700 |
| <i>Worldwide Unspecified</i> | | | | |
| AF Res | Various Worldwide Locations | Planning and Design | 6,892 | 6,892 |
| AF Res | Various Worldwide Locations | Unspecified Minor Military Construction | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Reserve Total | | | 49,492 | 63,992 |
| <i>Illinois</i> | | | | |
| FH Con Army | Rock Island | Family Housing New Construction | 19,500 | 19,500 |
| <i>Korea</i> | | | | |
| FH Con Army | Camp Walker | Family Housing New Construction | 57,800 | 57,800 |
| <i>Worldwide Unspecified</i> | | | | |
| FH Con Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Family Housing P & D | 1,309 | 1,309 |
| Family Housing Construction, Army Total | | | 78,609 | 78,609 |
| <i>Worldwide Unspecified</i> | | | | |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings | 14,136 | 14,136 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leased Housing | 112,504 | 112,504 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property Facilities .. | 65,245 | 65,245 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 3,117 | 3,117 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 43,480 | 43,480 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Military Housing Privatization Initiative | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Miscellaneous | 700 | 700 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services | 9,108 | 9,108 |
| FH Ops Army | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities | 82,686 | 82,686 |
| Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Army Total | | | 350,976 | 350,976 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
|----------------|--|------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 38,543 | 38,543 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Housing Privatization | 40,761 | 40,761 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 43,651 | 43,651 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance | 99,934 | 99,934 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 47,834 | 47,834 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Miscellaneous Account | 1,993 | 1,993 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services Account | 12,709 | 12,709 |
| FH Ops AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 42,322 | 42,322 |
| | Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Air Force Total | | 327,747 | 327,747 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FH Con Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Design | 472 | 472 |
| FH Con Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Improvements | 15,940 | 15,940 |
| | Family Housing Construction, Navy And Marine Corps Total | | 16,412 | 16,412 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 17,881 | 17,881 |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 65,999 | 65,999 |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property | 97,612 | 97,612 |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 55,124 | 55,124 |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Miscellaneous Account | 366 | 366 |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Privatization Support Costs | 27,876 | 27,876 |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services Account | 18,079 | 18,079 |

| SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Account | State/Country and Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| FH Ops Navy | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 71,092 | 71,092 |
| Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Navy And Marine Corps Total. | | | 354,029 | 354,029 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 3,362 | 3,362 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 20 | 20 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Furnishings Account | 746 | 746 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 42,083 | 42,083 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Leasing | 11,179 | 11,179 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property | 344 | 344 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Maintenance of Real Property | 2,128 | 2,128 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Management Account | 378 | 378 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Services Account | 31 | 31 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 170 | 170 |
| FH Ops DW | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Utilities Account | 659 | 659 |
| Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Defense-Wide Total | | | 61,100 | 61,100 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| FHIF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Family Housing Improvement Fund | 1,662 | 1,662 |
| DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund Total | | | 1,662 | 1,662 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| BRAC | Base Realignment & Closure, Army | Base Realignment and Closure | 84,417 | 84,417 |
| Base Realignment and Closure—Army Total | | | 84,417 | 84,417 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| BRAC | Base Realignment & Closure, Navy | Base Realignment & Closure | 57,406 | 57,406 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Account</i> | <i>State/Country and Installation</i> | <i>Project Title</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|----------------|---|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON-100: Planing, Design and Management. | 7,682 | 7,682 |
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON-101: Various Locations | 21,416 | 21,416 |
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON-138: NAS Brunswick, ME | 904 | 904 |
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON-157: Mesa Kansas City, MO | 40 | 40 |
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON-172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA. | 6,066 | 6,066 |
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DON-84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg Ap. | 1,178 | 1,178 |
| | Base Realignment and Closure—Navy Total | | 94,692 | 94,692 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| BRAC | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DoD BRAC Activities—Air Force | 90,976 | 90,976 |
| | Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force Total | | 90,976 | 90,976 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| PYS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 42 USC 3374 | 0 | 0 |
| PYS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | Army | 0 | 0 |
| PYS | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | NATO Security Investment Program | 0 | 0 |
| | Prior Year Savings Total | | 0 | 0 |
| | Worldwide Unspecified | | | |
| GR | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | General Reductions | 0 | 0 |
| | General Reductions Total | | 0 | 0 |
| | Total Military Construction | | 6,557,447 | 6,551,843 |

SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Service</i> | <i>Country and Location</i> | <i>Project</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|----------------|--|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Army | Mihail Kogalniceanu .. | ERI: Fuel Storage Capacity | 0 | 15,000 |
| Army | Mihail Kogalniceanu .. | ERI: Hazardous Cargo Ramp | 0 | 5,000 |
| Army | Mihail Kogalniceanu .. | ERI: Multi Modal Improvements | 0 | 17,000 |
| | Military Construction, Army Total | | 0 | 37,000 |
| AF | Graf Ignatievo | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | 0 | 3,200 |

SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Service</i> | <i>Country and Location</i> | <i>Project</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|----------------------------------|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| AF | Amari | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | 0 | 24,780 |
| AF | Camp Darby | ERI: Improve Weapons Storage Facility | 0 | 44,450 |
| AF | Lielvarde | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | 0 | 10,710 |
| AF | Siauliai | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | 0 | 13,120 |
| AF | Lask | ERI: Improve Support Infrastructure | 0 | 22,400 |
| AF | Camp Turzii | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | 0 | 2,900 |
| AF | Unspecified Worldwide Locations. | ERI: Planning and Design | 0 | 11,500 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Total | | | 0 | 133,060 |
| Def-Wide | Classified Location | Classified Project | 46,000 | 46,000 |
| Def-Wide | Unspecified Worldwide Locations. | ERI: Unspecified Minor Construction | 0 | 4,350 |
| Military Construction, Defense-Wide Total | | | 46,000 | 50,350 |
| Total, Military Construction, OCO Funding | | | 46,000 | 220,410 |

**TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS**

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| <i>Program</i> | <i>FY 2015 Request</i> | <i>Agreement Authorized</i> |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Discretionary Summary By Appropriation | | |
| Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies | | |
| Appropriation Summary: | | |
| Energy Programs | | |
| Nuclear Energy | 104,000 | 104,000 |
| Advisory Board | | |
| Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health | 0 | 2,000 |
| Atomic Energy Defense Activities | | |
| National nuclear security administration: | | |
| Weapons activities | 8,314,902 | 8,210,560 |
| Defense nuclear nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 1,774,758 |
| Naval reactors | 1,377,100 | 1,377,100 |
| Federal salaries and expenses | 410,842 | 386,863 |
| Total, National nuclear security administration | 11,658,000 | 11,749,281 |
| Environmental and other defense activities: | | |
| Defense environmental cleanup | 5,327,538 | 4,884,538 |
| Other defense activities | 753,000 | 754,000 |
| Total, Environmental & other defense activities | 6,080,538 | 5,638,538 |
| Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities | 17,738,538 | 17,387,819 |
| Total, Discretionary Funding | 17,842,538 | 17,493,819 |
| Nuclear Energy | | |
| Idaho sitewide safeguards and security | 104,000 | 104,000 |
| Advisory Board | | |
| Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health | 0 | 2,000 |

| SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Program | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| Weapons Activities | | |
| Directed stockpile work | | |
| Life extension programs | | |
| B61 Life extension program | 643,000 | 643,000 |
| W76 Life extension program | 259,168 | 259,168 |
| W88 Alt 370 | 165,400 | 165,400 |
| Cruise missile warhead life extension program | 9,418 | 17,018 |
| Total, Life extension programs | 1,076,986 | 1,084,586 |
| Stockpile systems | | |
| B61 Stockpile systems | 109,615 | 109,615 |
| W76 Stockpile systems | 45,728 | 45,728 |
| W78 Stockpile systems | 62,703 | 62,703 |
| W80 Stockpile systems | 70,610 | 70,610 |
| B83 Stockpile systems | 63,136 | 63,136 |
| W87 Stockpile systems | 91,255 | 91,255 |
| W88 Stockpile systems | 88,060 | 88,060 |
| Total, Stockpile systems | 531,107 | 531,107 |
| Weapons dismantlement and disposition | | |
| Operations and maintenance | 30,008 | 40,008 |
| Stockpile services | | |
| Production support | 350,942 | 350,942 |
| Research and development support | 29,649 | 25,500 |
| R&D certification and safety | 201,479 | 160,000 |
| Management, technology, and production | 241,805 | 226,000 |
| Plutonium sustainment | 144,575 | 144,575 |
| Tritium readiness | 140,053 | 140,053 |
| Total, Stockpile services | 1,108,503 | 1,047,070 |
| Total, Directed stockpile work | 2,746,604 | 2,702,771 |
| Campaigns: | | |
| Science campaign | | |
| Advanced certification | 58,747 | 58,747 |
| Primary assessment technologies | 112,000 | 112,000 |
| Dynamic materials properties | 117,999 | 110,000 |
| Advanced radiography | 79,340 | 79,340 |
| Secondary assessment technologies | 88,344 | 88,344 |
| Total, Science campaign | 456,430 | 448,431 |
| Engineering campaign | | |
| Enhanced surety | 52,003 | 52,003 |
| Weapon systems engineering assessment technology | 20,832 | 20,832 |
| Nuclear survivability | 25,371 | 25,371 |
| Enhanced surveillance | 37,799 | 37,799 |
| Total, Engineering campaign | 136,005 | 136,005 |
| Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign | | |
| Ignition | 77,994 | 77,994 |
| Support of other stockpile programs | 23,598 | 23,598 |
| Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support | 61,297 | 61,297 |
| Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion | 5,024 | 5,024 |

| SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Program | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| <i>Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas</i> | 9,100 | 9,100 |
| <i>Facility operations and target production</i> | 335,882 | 335,882 |
| <i>Undistributed</i> | 0 | 0 |
| Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign | 512,895 | 512,895 |
| <i>Advanced simulation and computing campaign</i> | 610,108 | 610,108 |
| <i>Nonnuclear Readiness Campaign</i> | 125,909 | 70,000 |
| Total, Campaigns | 1,841,347 | 1,777,439 |
| Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF) | | |
| Operations of facilities | | |
| <i>Kansas City Plant</i> | 125,000 | 125,000 |
| <i>Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory</i> | 71,000 | 71,000 |
| <i>Los Alamos National Laboratory</i> | 198,000 | 198,000 |
| <i>Nevada National Security Site</i> | 89,000 | 89,000 |
| <i>Pantex</i> | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| <i>Sandia National Laboratory</i> | 106,000 | 106,000 |
| <i>Savannah River Site</i> | 81,000 | 81,000 |
| <i>Y-12 National security complex</i> | 151,000 | 151,000 |
| Total, Operations of facilities | 896,000 | 896,000 |
| <i>Program readiness</i> | 136,700 | 101,000 |
| <i>Material recycle and recovery</i> | 138,900 | 138,900 |
| <i>Containers</i> | 26,000 | 26,000 |
| <i>Storage</i> | 40,800 | 40,800 |
| <i>Maintenance and repair of facilities</i> | 205,000 | 220,000 |
| <i>Recapitalization</i> | 209,321 | 231,321 |
| Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities | 756,721 | 758,021 |
| Construction: | | |
| <i>15-D-613 Emergency Operations Center, Y-12</i> | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| <i>15-D-612 Emergency Operations Center, LLNL</i> | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| <i>15-D-611 Emergency Operations Center, SNL</i> | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| <i>15-D-301 HE Science & Engineering Facility, PX</i> | 11,800 | 11,800 |
| <i>15-D-302, TA-55 Reinvestment project, Phase 3, LANL</i> | 16,062 | 16,062 |
| <i>12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL</i> | 6,938 | 6,938 |
| <i>11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL</i> | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| <i>07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL</i> | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| <i>06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12</i> | 335,000 | 335,000 |
| Total, Construction | 402,800 | 402,800 |
| Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities | 2,055,521 | 2,056,821 |
| Secure transportation asset | | |
| <i>Operations and equipment</i> | 132,851 | 132,851 |
| <i>Program direction</i> | 100,962 | 100,962 |
| Total, Secure transportation asset | 233,813 | 233,813 |
| <i>Nuclear counterterrorism incident response</i> | 173,440 | 182,440 |

| SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Program | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation Programs | 76,901 | 70,000 |
| Site stewardship | | |
| Environmental projects and operations | 53,000 | 53,000 |
| Nuclear materials integration | 16,218 | 16,218 |
| Minority serving institution partnerships program | 13,231 | 13,231 |
| Total, Site stewardship | 82,449 | 82,449 |
| Defense nuclear security | | |
| Operations and maintenance | 618,123 | 618,123 |
| Total, Defense nuclear security | 618,123 | 618,123 |
| Information technology and cybersecurity | 179,646 | 179,646 |
| Legacy contractor pensions | 307,058 | 307,058 |
| Total, Weapons Activities | 8,314,902 | 8,210,560 |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | | |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs | | |
| Global threat reduction initiative | 333,488 | 383,488 |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D | | |
| Operations and maintenance | | |
| Nonproliferation and verification | 360,808 | 393,401 |
| Total, Operations and Maintenance | 360,808 | 393,401 |
| Nonproliferation and international security | 141,359 | 144,246 |
| International material protection and cooperation | 305,467 | 294,589 |
| Fissile materials disposition | | |
| U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition | | |
| Operations and maintenance | | |
| U.S. plutonium disposition | 85,000 | 85,000 |
| U.S. uranium disposition | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Total, Operations and maintenance | 110,000 | 110,000 |
| Construction: | | |
| 99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC | 196,000 | 341,000 |
| 99-D-141-02 Waste Solidification Building, Savannah River, SC | 5,125 | 5,125 |
| Total, Construction | 201,125 | 346,125 |
| Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition | 311,125 | 456,125 |
| Total, Fissile materials disposition | 311,125 | 456,125 |
| Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs | 1,452,247 | 1,671,849 |
| Legacy contractor pensions | 102,909 | 102,909 |
| Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 1,774,758 |
| Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 1,774,758 |
| Naval Reactors | | |
| Naval reactors operations and infrastructure | 412,380 | 412,380 |
| Naval reactors development | 425,700 | 425,700 |

| SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
|--|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Program | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| Ohio replacement reactor systems development | 156,100 | 156,100 |
| SSG Prototype refueling | 126,400 | 126,400 |
| Program direction | 46,600 | 46,600 |
| Construction: | | |
| 15-D-904 NRF Overpack Storage Expansion 3 | 400 | 400 |
| 15-D-903 KL Fire System Upgrade | 600 | 600 |
| 15-D-902 KS Engineroom team trainer facility | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| 15-D-901 KS Central office building and prototype staff facility | 24,000 | 24,000 |
| 14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF | 141,100 | 141,100 |
| 13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL | 14,420 | 14,420 |
| 13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO | 20,100 | 20,100 |
| 10-D-903, Security upgrades, KAPL | 7,400 | 7,400 |
| 08-D-190 Expended Core Facility M-290 receiving/ discharge station, | | |
| Naval Reactor Facility, ID | 400 | 400 |
| Total, Construction | 209,920 | 209,920 |
| Total, Naval Reactors | 1,377,100 | 1,377,100 |
| | | |
| Federal Salaries And Expenses | | |
| Program direction | 410,842 | 386,863 |
| Total, Office Of The Administrator | 410,842 | 386,863 |
| | | |
| Defense Environmental Cleanup | | |
| Closure sites: | | |
| Closure sites administration | 4,889 | 4,889 |
| Hanford site: | | |
| River corridor and other cleanup operations | 332,788 | 352,788 |
| Central plateau remediation | 474,292 | 474,292 |
| Construction: | | |
| 15-D-401 Containerized sludge (RI-0012) | 26,290 | 26,290 |
| Total, Central plateau remediation | 833,370 | 853,370 |
| Richland community and regulatory support | 14,701 | 14,701 |
| Total, Hanford site | 848,071 | 868,071 |
| | | |
| Idaho National Laboratory: | | |
| Idaho cleanup and waste disposition | 364,293 | 364,293 |
| Idaho community and regulatory support | 2,910 | 2,910 |
| Total, Idaho National Laboratory | 367,203 | 367,203 |
| | | |
| NNSA sites | | |
| Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory | 1,366 | 1,366 |
| Nevada | 64,851 | 64,851 |
| Sandia National Laboratories | 2,801 | 2,801 |
| Los Alamos National Laboratory | 196,017 | 196,017 |
| Construction: | | |
| 15-D-406 Hexavalent chromium D & D (VI-Lanl- 0030) | 28,600 | 28,600 |
| Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites | 293,635 | 293,635 |
| | | |
| Oak Ridge Reservation: | | |

| SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Program | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| OR Nuclear facility D & D | | |
| OR Nuclear facility D & D | 73,155 | 73,155 |
| Construction: | | |
| 14-D-403 Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility | 9,400 | 9,400 |
| Total, OR Nuclear facility D & D | 82,555 | 82,555 |
| U233 Disposition Program | 41,626 | 41,626 |
| OR cleanup and disposition: | | |
| OR cleanup and disposition | 71,137 | 71,137 |
| Construction: | | |
| 15-D-405—Sludge Buildout | 4,200 | 4,200 |
| Total, OR cleanup and disposition | 75,337 | 75,337 |
| OR reservation community and regulatory support | 4,365 | 4,365 |
| Solid waste stabilization and disposition, Oak Ridge technology development | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Total, Oak Ridge Reservation | 206,883 | 206,883 |
| Office of River Protection: | | |
| Waste treatment and immobilization plant | | |
| 01-D-416 A-D/ORP-0060 / Major construction | 575,000 | 575,000 |
| 01-D-16E Pretreatment facility | 115,000 | 115,000 |
| Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant | 690,000 | 690,000 |
| Tank farm activities | | |
| Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition ... | 522,000 | 522,000 |
| Construction: | | |
| 15-D-409 Low Activity Waste Pretreatment System, Hanford | 23,000 | 23,000 |
| Total, Tank farm activities | 545,000 | 545,000 |
| Total, Office of River protection | 1,235,000 | 1,235,000 |
| Savannah River sites: | | |
| Savannah River risk management operations | 416,276 | 416,276 |
| SR community and regulatory support | 11,013 | 11,013 |
| Radioactive liquid tank waste: | | |
| Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition | 553,175 | 553,175 |
| Construction: | | |
| 15-D-402—Saltstone Disposal Unit #6 | 34,642 | 34,642 |
| 05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River | 135,000 | 135,000 |
| Total, Construction | 169,642 | 169,642 |
| Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste | 722,817 | 722,817 |
| Total, Savannah River site | 1,150,106 | 1,150,106 |
| Waste isolation pilot plant | 216,020 | 216,020 |
| Program direction | 280,784 | 280,784 |
| Program support | 14,979 | 14,979 |
| Safeguards and Security: | | |
| Oak Ridge Reservation | 16,382 | 16,382 |

| SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| <i>(In Thousands of Dollars)</i> | | |
| Program | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Authorized |
| <i>Paducah</i> | 7,297 | 7,297 |
| <i>Portsmouth</i> | 8,492 | 8,492 |
| <i>Richland/Hanford Site</i> | 63,668 | 63,668 |
| <i>Savannah River Site</i> | 132,196 | 132,196 |
| <i>Waste Isolation Pilot Project</i> | 4,455 | 4,455 |
| <i>West Valley</i> | 1,471 | 1,471 |
| <i>Technology development</i> | 13,007 | 13,007 |
| <i>Use of prior-year balances</i> | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup | 4,864,538 | 4,884,538 |
| <i>Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution</i> | 463,000 | 0 |
| Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup | 5,327,538 | 4,884,538 |
| Other Defense Activities | | |
| <i>Specialized security activities</i> | 202,152 | 203,152 |
| Environment, health, safety and security | | |
| <i>Environment, health, safety and security</i> | 118,763 | 118,763 |
| <i>Program direction</i> | 62,235 | 62,235 |
| Total, Environment, Health, safety and security | 180,998 | 180,998 |
| Independent enterprise assessments | | |
| <i>Independent enterprise assessments</i> | 24,068 | 24,068 |
| <i>Program direction</i> | 49,466 | 49,466 |
| Total, Independent enterprise assessments | 73,534 | 73,534 |
| Office of Legacy Management | | |
| <i>Legacy management</i> | 158,639 | 158,639 |
| <i>Program direction</i> | 13,341 | 13,341 |
| Total, Office of Legacy Management | 171,980 | 171,980 |
| Defense-related activities | | |
| Defense related administrative support | | |
| <i>Chief financial officer</i> | 46,877 | 46,877 |
| <i>Chief information officer</i> | 71,959 | 71,959 |
| Total, Defense related administrative support | 118,836 | 118,836 |
| <i>Office of hearings and appeals</i> | 5,500 | 5,500 |
| Subtotal, Other defense activities | 753,000 | 754,000 |
| Total, Other Defense Activities | 753,000 | 754,000 |

JOINT EXPLANATORY STATEMENT TO ACCOMPANY THE
CARL LEVIN AND HOWARD P. "BUCK" McKEON NATIONAL
DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

[Note from the Director, Legislative Operations: The following is the text of the Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the Carl Levin and Howard P. "Buck" McKeon National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, as printed in the Congressional Record on December 4, 2014]

The following consists of the explanatory material to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.

Section 5 of the Act specifies that this explanatory statement shall have the same effect with respect to the implementation of this legislation as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference.

In this joint explanatory statement, the provisions of H.R. 4435, the House-passed version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, are generally referred to as the "House bill." The provisions of S. 2410, the Senate Committee on Armed Services committee-reported version of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015, are generally referred to as "the Senate committee-reported bill." Senate amendments included in the agreements are identified by Senate amendment numbers. The final form of the agreements reached during negotiations between the House and the Senate are referred to as "the agreement."

Compliance with rules of the House of Representatives and Senate regarding earmarks and congressionally directed spending items

Consistent with the intent of clause 9 of rule XXI of the Rules of the House of Representatives and rule XLIV of the Standing Rules of the Senate, neither the bill text reflected in the agreement nor the accompanying joint explanatory statement contains any congressional earmarks, congressionally-directed spending items, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits, as defined in such rules.

Summary of discretionary authorizations and budget implication

The administration's budget request for national defense discretionary programs within the jurisdiction of the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives for fiscal year 2015 was \$577.1 billion. Of this amount, \$495.5 billion was requested for base Department of Defense (DOD) programs, \$63.7 billion was requested for overseas contingency operations (OCO), and \$17.9 billion was requested for national security programs in the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB). The budget request incor-

porates the amendments submitted to Congress on June 26, 2014 and November 10, 2014.

The bill authorizes \$577.1 billion in fiscal year 2015, including \$495.9 billion for base DOD programs, \$63.7 billion for OCO, and \$17.5 billion for national security programs in the DOE and the DNFSB.

The two tables preceding the detailed program adjustments in Division D of this joint explanatory statement summarize the direct discretionary authorizations in the agreement and the equivalent budget authority levels for fiscal year 2015 defense programs. The first table summarizes the agreement on authorizations within the jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committees. The second table details the budget authority implication of the discretionary authorizations in the agreement when accounting for national defense items that are not in the jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committees.

Budgetary effects of this Act (sec. 4)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 4) that would require the budgetary effects of this Act be determined in accordance with the procedures established in title I of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111–139).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

BUDGET ITEMS

Navy enterprise information technology

The budget request included \$87.2 million in Other Procurement, Navy Line 161 for enterprise information technology (IT).

The House bill would approve the budget request.

The Senate committee-reported bill would decrease that line item by \$15.0 million to reflect concerns about the continued investment of funds into legacy IT infrastructure.

The agreement authorizes the budget request for this item.

We note that much of this funding is supporting procurements related to the sustainment of legacy Navy IT systems located outside the continental United States (OCONUS). We understand that these procurements are necessary to provide technical upgrades to sustain these networks as the Navy determines how to converge all of its networks as part of a future Naval Networking Environment. The investment required by this funding would bring the OCONUS networks up to a standard that could support a transition to the Next Generation Enterprise Network contract in the future, which would also align Navy networks in a way that would support the enterprise-wide push to standardize capabilities in the Joint Information Environment framework. We note that this set of hardware and network systems transitions entail additional cost, technical risk, and potentially operational risk to deployed forces, which could have been avoided with better planning for and more strategic funding of the systems. We expect the Navy, as well as the

Department of Defense Chief Information Officer, to ensure that all Department of Navy and Department of Defense enterprise IT system efforts have robust planning related to and funding dedicated for the maintenance and sustainment of legacy systems, and to ensure that systems meet requirements and reflect modern state-of-the-art IT systems, to the maximum extent practicable and that this is documented in the programs' acquisition strategy documentation. This should apply to both Major Automated Information Systems (MAIS) and non-MAIS efforts.

Ejection seat safety and reliability improvement program

The amended budget request included no funds for the procurement of modernized and upgraded ejection seats for Department of the Air Force fighter and bomber aircraft.

The House bill would increase the budget request by a total of \$10.5 million, of which \$3.5 million is for initial qualification of upgraded ejection seats in the Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation, Air Force (RDT&E, AF) account, and \$7.0 million is for initial installation of upgraded ejection seats in the Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (APAF) account.

The Senate committee-reported bill approved the budget request.

We recommend an additional increase of \$6.0 million ejection seat programs, including \$3.5 million in RDT&E, AF, and \$2.5 million in APAF.

Section 146(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) required a report by the Secretary of the Air Force on various aspects of the health and safety risks associated with ejection seats. The report confirmed that, with increased use of helmet-mounted devices, the risks of death or serious injury increases, and increases even more for lighter aircrew.

In response to a requirement to analyze initiatives to decrease the risk of death or serious injury during an ejection sequence, the report indicated that, although the Air Force had spent \$25.8 million for investments in safety improvements for existing seats, the Air Force had stopped short of final qualification testing.

The report also referred to an Air Force analysis of alternatives (AoA) that the Air Force had conducted in 2010 that supported: "(a) an improved ejection seat solution with an expanded anthropometric envelope that would match the current pilot population to increase safety; and (b) a design that reduces aircraft life cycle costs."

We believe that the Air Force should review and update, as necessary, the 2010 AoA, and establish a program for increasing the ejection safety and reliability of the Air Force's fighter and bomber aircraft.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 101) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for procurement for the Army, the Navy and Marine Corps, the Air Force, and defense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 101).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Army Programs

Plan on modernization of UH-60A aircraft of Army National Guard (sec. 111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 112) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report on plans for the modernization of UH-60A helicopters in the Army National Guard.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle C—Navy Programs

Construction of San Antonio class amphibious ship (sec. 121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 122) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into a contract during fiscal year 2015 for the procurement of one San Antonio-class amphibious ship using incremental funding.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 123) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to transfer funds available in the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy (SCN), or other Navy procurement account for either or both of the following purposes:

- (1) Up to \$650.0 million to conduct a refueling and complex overhaul of the USS George Washington (CVN-73).
- (2) Up to \$650.0 million to build a San Antonio-class amphibious ship.

The Senate provision would also authorize the Secretary of the Navy to use incremental funding for a San Antonio-class ship if additional funds are made available in fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes the House provision. The agreement also provides full funding for the fiscal year 2015 requirements for conducting a refueling and complex overhaul of the USS George Washington (CVN-73), and provides authorization for \$800.0 million for the San Antonio-class amphibious ship program elsewhere in this Act.

Limitation on availability of funds for mission modules for Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 122)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 125) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from obligating any funds for the procurement of mission modules for the Littoral Combat Ship until the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees each of the following:

- (1) The Milestone B program goals for cost, schedule, and performance for each increment.
- (2) Certification by the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation (DOT&E) with respect to the total number for each module type that is required to perform all necessary operational testing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the Milestone B goals and DOT&E certification would be with regard to each mission module, rather than with each increment of capability for each mission module.

Extension of limitation on availability of funds for Littoral Combat Ship (sec. 123)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 126) that would amend section 124(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 to extend the prohibition on spending in section 124 to include fiscal year 2015. Section 124 prevents using funds available in fiscal year 2014 for construction or advanced procurement of materials for the Littoral Combat Ships designated as LCS-25 or LCS-26 until the Secretary of the Navy submits certain reports and information to the congressional defense committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on test evaluation master plan for Littoral Combat Ship seaframes and mission modules (sec. 124)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 122) that would require the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to submit a report on the test and evaluation master plan for the seaframes and mission modules for the Littoral Combat Ship program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Airborne electronic attack capabilities (sec. 125)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 121) would direct the Secretary of the Navy to take whatever steps the Secretary deems appropriate and are available to the Navy to ensure that the Navy retains the option of buying more EA-18G aircraft, if further analysis of whether to expand the airborne electronic attack (AEA) force structure indicates the Navy should include more EA-18G aircraft in carrier air wings. The provision would also authorize the Navy, subject to appropriation, to use \$75.0 million in funds authorized and appropriated in fiscal year 2014 for advance procurement funds of F/A-18 E/F aircraft for the purpose of retaining such an option. The Senate committee-reported bill also recommended an increase of \$25.0 million in section 4101 for those purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision. The House bill recommended an increase of \$450.0 million in section 4101 to purchase additional EA-18G aircraft.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would exclude language regarding the use of prior year funds. We also include a recommendation for additional funding for EA-18G aircraft elsewhere in this Act.

Subtitle D—Air Force Programs

Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of MQ-1 Predator aircraft (sec. 131)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from using fiscal year 2015 funds to retire MQ-1 Predator aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would prevent the Secretary of the Air Force from retiring any MQ-1 aircraft, unless an MQ-1 aircraft has been damaged and it is not economically viable to repair the aircraft, as determined by the Secretary.

Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of U-2 aircraft (sec. 132)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 133) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from obligating or expending funds to make significant changes to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage U-2 aircraft.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Prohibition on availability of funds for retirement of A-10 aircraft (sec. 133)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would prohibit obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2015 funds to retire A-10 aircraft. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a study evaluating Air Force aircraft used, as of the date of the study, to conduct close-air support missions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 134) that would prohibit obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2015 funds to make significant changes to manning levels with respect to any A-10 aircraft squadrons, or to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage any A-10 aircraft.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would allow the Secretary of Defense to authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to move up to 36 A-10 in the active component primary aircraft inventory (PAI) status to back up flying status, or back up aircraft inventory (BAI) status, for the duration of fiscal year 2015, 30 days after certifying to the congressional defense committees that he has:

- (1) Received the results of an independent assessment by the Director of the Office of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation of alternative ways to provide manpower to maintain the Air Force fighter fleet and field Joint Strike Fighter aircraft in fiscal year 2015; and
- (2) Determined, after giving consideration to such analysis, that moving active component aircraft in PAI status to BAI status is needed to avoid:
 - (a) Significantly degrading the readiness of the Air Force fighter fleet; or

(b) Significantly delaying the planned fielding of F-35 aircraft.

The agreement also includes the requirement that the Comptroller General conduct the study of close-air support missions as was included in the House provision.

If the Secretary of Defense makes the certification and allows the Secretary of the Air Force to transfer A-10 aircraft from PAI to BAI status, we direct the Secretary of Defense to reprogram any money freed up as a result of reduced flying hours or reduced numbers of maintenance personnel for the A-10 aircraft to other higher priority Department of Defense programs.

Prohibition on cancellation or modification of avionics modernization program for C-130 aircraft (sec. 134)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 131) that would prevent the Department of Defense from using Air Force funds in fiscal year 2015 to:

- (1) Take any action to cancel or modify the avionics modernization program (AMP) of record for C-130 aircraft; or
- (2) Initiate an alternative communication, navigation, surveillance, and air traffic management program for C-130 aircraft that is designed or intended to replace the avionics modernization program described in paragraph (1).

The provision would prevent the Air Force from obligating more than 75 percent of the funds for operation and maintenance of the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force until 15 days after the Secretary of the Air Force has certified that she has obligated funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal years prior to fiscal year 2015 for the AMP program of record for C-130 aircraft.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3588) contained a provision that would allow the Air Force to use programs in addition to the AMP for C-130 aircraft to modernize such aircraft.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would permit the Air Force to make modifications to C-130 aircraft, such as Automatic Dependent Surveillance Broadcast-Out (ADS-B-Out) or the communication, navigation, surveillance and air traffic management (CNS/ATM) program, that would be required to operate without restriction in airspace controlled by the Federal Aviation Administration or other national aviation authority in foreign countries, upon a certification by the Secretary of Defense that such modification is required to operate without restriction in such airspace. The provision would also prevent the Air Force from obligating more than 85 percent of the funds for operation and maintenance of the Office of the Secretary of the Air Force until 15 days after the Secretary of the Air Force has certified that she has obligated funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal years prior to fiscal year 2015 for the AMP program of record for C-130 aircraft.

We are specifically directing the Secretary of the Air Force not to transfer or repurpose funds authorized and appropriated for the

AMP program to execute such additional modernizations unless the modifications are included as part of the AMP program of record.

Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Air Force aircraft (sec. 135)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 132) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to analyze the recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force, and submit a report on implementation of the Commission's recommendations, covering not less than 80 percent of the Air Force missions and aircraft. The provision would also prevent the Secretary from retiring any aircraft until 60 days after submitting the report.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of E-3 Airborne Warning and Control System aircraft (sec. 136)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 135) that would prohibit the Department of Defense (DOD) from retiring more than four E-3 Airborne Warning and Control System (AWACS) aircraft, or disestablishing any AWACS units of the active or reserve components, until a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of the Air Force submits to the congressional defense committees a report consisting of:

(1) A certification that the Secretary is able to meet all priority requirements of the commanders of the combatant commands relating to such aircraft with a planned force of 24 such aircraft; and

(2) A detailed explanation how the Secretary will meet such requirements with such planned force.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 136) that would prohibit DOD from obligating or expending funds to make significant changes to manning levels with respect to any AWACS aircraft, or to retire, prepare to retire, or place in storage any AWACS aircraft.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Limitation on availability of funds for divestment or transfer of KC-10 aircraft (sec. 137)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 134) that would prohibit the Department of Defense from obligating or expending funds to divest or transfer, or prepare to divest or transfer, KC-10 aircraft.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would prevent retirement until a period of 60 days has elapsed following the date on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees an assessment of the costs and benefits of the proposed divestment or transfer.

Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force C-130H and C-130J aircraft (sec. 138)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1049) that would prevent the Secretary of the Air Force from removing C-130 aircraft from a unit of the regular or reserve components of the Air Force that is tasked with the modular airborne firefighting system (MAFFS) mission, or from a unit that is formally associated with a unit that has the MAFFS mission, until the Secretary of the Air Force certified that MAFFS mission would not be negatively affected by the removal of such aircraft. The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 1067) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report on the 5-year plan for the force structure laydown of tactical airlift aircraft within 60 days of enactment of this Act and would prevent the Air Force from implementing any movements of such aircraft until the Secretary had briefed the congressional defense committee.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 133) that would prevent the Secretary of the Air Force from implementing any transfers of C-130H or C-130J aircraft until 60 days after the Secretary submits a report on the costs and benefits of such a transfer, and would require the Comptroller General to submit to the congressional defense committees a sufficiency review of the Secretary's report, including any findings and recommendations relating to such review, within 45 days after the Secretary submits a report on such transfers.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to submit a 5-year plan for basing C-130H and C-130J aircraft, and would require that, for units with special capabilities such as the MAFFS mission, a certification that those special missions would not be negatively affected by the proposed transfers before making the transfers.

We do not intend that the prohibition on transfer of C-130 aircraft apply to movements of aircraft associated with normal Air Force management of the C-130 fleet, such as transferring aircraft between units and depots in conjunction with conducting regular maintenance or upgrades of the aircraft.

Limitation on availability of funds for transfer of Air Force KC-135 tankers (sec. 139)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 135) that would delay the Air Force's plan to transfer KC-135 aircraft from Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, pending a report on the costs and benefits of that transfer.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Report on C-130 aircraft (sec. 140)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1067) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report on the 5-year plan for the force structure laydown of tactical airlift aircraft within 60 days of enactment of this Act and would prevent the Air Force from implementing any movements of such aircraft until the Secretary briefs the congressional defense committee.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 138) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a fielding plan for C-130 aircraft within 180 days of enactment of this Act.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Elsewhere in this Act, the agreement also includes a temporary prohibition on moving C-130 aircraft to another location until the Department meets certain reporting requirements.

Report on status of F-16 aircraft (sec. 141)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 139) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to report on the status and location, and any plans to change during the period of the future years defense program the status or locations, of all F-16 aircraft in the Air Force inventory.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on options to modernize or replace T-1A aircraft (sec. 142)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090C) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of the Air Force should formally assess the operational feasibility, costs, potential savings, and readiness implications of utilizing contractor-owned, contractor-operated, very light jet aircraft for interim flight instruction until a permanent replacement for the T-1A enters service.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 140) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the options for replacing or upgrading the T-1A aircraft's capability, to include options of leased aircraft or services, not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Report on status of air-launched cruise missile capabilities (sec. 143)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 137) that required a report on the existing air-launched cruise missile system (AGM-86) and the plan for the replacement of the system referred to as the long-range standoff missile.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would change the report due date from 180 days after date of enactment to 120 days after the date of enactment of this act.

Subtitle E—Defense-Wide, Joint, and Multiservice Matters

Additional oversight requirements for the undersea mobility acquisition program of the United States Special Operations Command (sec. 151)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 123) that would modify the current oversight requirements for the undersea mobility acquisition program of U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM), and require the Secretary of the Navy to review a transition plan for

the undersea mobility capabilities developed by the Commander, SOCOM. This section would also repeal section 144 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the requirements of section 144 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) and require the Commander, SOCOM, to provide the congressional defense committees with a technology roadmap for undersea mobility capabilities.

Plan for modernization or replacement of digital avionics equipment
(sec. 152)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3568) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a plan to the congressional defense committees for the modernization or replacement of digital avionics equipment, including use of commercial-off-the-shelf digital avionics equipment, to meet the Federal Aviation Administration’s NextGen Equipage Program requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify that the Secretary’s report should address potential modernization or replacement of equipment

Comptroller General report on F–35 aircraft acquisition program
(sec. 153)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 141) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to provide an annual report on the cost, schedule, and performance of the F–35 aircraft acquisition program. The reporting requirement would end at the point when the F–35 enters into full-rate production.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Limitation on availability of funds for Airborne Reconnaissance Low aircraft

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 111) that would prohibit the Army from obligating or expended any fiscal year 2015 funds on the modernization of the communications intelligence subsystem of the Airborne Reconnaissance Low program until the Secretary of the Army submitted a report on that subsystem.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We remain concerned in regards to the Army’s overall signals intelligence modernization plan. Therefore, we direct the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense commit-

tees not later than March 15, 2015. The report, at a minimum, should:

- (1) Specify which subsystem will be used to modernize such aircraft;
- (2) Explain how such subsystem was selected;
- (3) Identify the alternatives to such subsystem that the Secretary considered during such selection; and
- (4) Detail how such subsystem will be integrated into the signals intelligence modernization plan for the Army.

Limitation on availability of funds for moored training ship program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 124) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Navy from obligating more than 80 percent of the funds for the moored training ship program until 30 days after the Secretary of Defense certified that:

- (1) The Chairman of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council has reviewed and approved the need for two additional moored training ships;
- (2) The Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation has reviewed and certified the cost estimates of the moored training ship program; and
- (3) The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics has reviewed and approved the budget, schedule, and construction plans for such two additional moored training ships.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Multiyear procurement authority for Tomahawk block IV missiles

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 121) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to enter into one or more multiyear contracts for the procurement of Tomahawk block IV missiles.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that the Department should review its overall missile portfolio and propose more economical procurement strategies in the fiscal year 2016 budget request, including the use of multiyear procurements, block buys, and contracts with one or more years of priced options.

Procurement of advanced threat emitters

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3575) contained a provision that would express the sense of Congress on the procurement of advanced threat emitters, their importance in providing vital electronic warfare training, and need for the Air Force to prioritize its acquisition.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We recognize the Joint Threat Emitter system provides vital electronic warfare training for combat aircrews by simulating the

multiple threat scenarios of a hostile integrated air defense system. We also note that the system of threat emitters currently in use on U.S. military ranges has deficiencies. These deficiencies place our forces at risk and could jeopardize mission success. We believe the Department of Defense and the services should consider prioritizing and accelerating the acquisition and fielding of a modernized system of threat emitters beyond the level requested in the President's fiscal year 2015 budget.

Sense of Congress regarding the OCONUS basing of the F-35A

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 142) that would express the sense of Congress, regarding the Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS) basing of the F-35A, that the Secretary of the Air Force should place emphasis on the benefits derived from sites that:

- (1) Are capable of hosting fighter-based bilateral and multilateral training opportunities with international partners;
- (2) Have sufficient airspace and range capabilities and capacity to meet the training requirements;
- (3) Have existing facilities to support personnel, operations, and logistics associated with the flying mission;
- (4) Have limited encroachment that would adversely impact training or operations; and
- (5) Minimize the overall construction and operational costs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We are aware that the Air Force uses a deliberate strategic basing process to make its basing decisions. In October 2013, the Air Force announced the list of bases in the Pacific Air Force command being considered to beddown the first F-35A squadrons OCONUS. In August 2014, the Air Force announced that the Secretary of the Air Force had chosen Eielson Air Force Base, Alaska as the preferred alternative to host the first F-35A squadrons in the Pacific Air Forces area of responsibility.

We support the Air Force's strategic basing process and believe that it provides a thorough, consistent, and transparent process for basing decisions. When the Air Force is evaluating candidate bases for new units and missions, we believe that the Air Force should use criteria-based analysis and military judgment at each location, to include enterprise, mission, capacity, costs and environment. For different circumstances, such as OCONUS basing, the Air Force may also need to include additional criteria, such as notification of a host nation partner.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

BUDGET ITEMS

Strategic Capabilities Office

The budget request included \$250.0 million in research, development, test and evaluation, defense-wide for the activities of the Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO).

The House bill would decrease funding for SCO by \$30.0 million.

The Senate committee-reported bill would decrease funding for SCO by \$15.0 million.

The agreement reduces the budget request for SCO by \$10.0 million in PE 63289D8Z and \$20.0 million in PE 64250D8Z.

We are aware of and supportive of the valuable work that the SCO has been conducting. Though the efforts of SCO are still in early stages and have only recently begun to produce some tangible capabilities, we see promising concepts being supported by investment. We do have concerns that SCO projects are being scaled at a rate that is not commensurate with the results that have been shown so far. We believe that the SCO could benefit from senior level guidance and oversight to ensure that promising ideas are more closely tied to the needs, requirements and priorities of the combatant commands. Additionally, for those programs in Advanced Capability and Prototyping (6.4) budget activity, we also believe the programs need to have an estimated cost to field the capability, if the demonstration proves successful, to support transition planning activities.

We also believe that senior level involvement is necessary to help coordinate SCO efforts with other research and development activities of the Department of Defense (DOD), especially within the office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics and the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA). In a budget constrained environment, better coordination of SCO efforts with the entire research and development enterprise, including the various communities of interest established by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, will enhance SCO's effectiveness by leveraging the funding and expertise of the entire of the research enterprise.

Additionally, we understand that leadership within DOD is looking at establishing a charter for, and changing the organizational reporting structure of, the SCO. We believe that DOD should examine a range of options to determine where to position and how to resource the office. We fully expect DOD to inform the congressional defense committees on any significant changes to SCO before any changes are formalized.

High Energy Liquid Laser Area Defense System

The budget request included \$386.9 million in PE 63766E for network-centric warfare technology.

The House bill would approve the budget request.

The Senate committee-reported bill would decrease funding for the High Energy Liquid Laser Area Defense System (HELLADS) within that line item by \$20.0 million.

The agreement authorizes the budget request for this item.

We note that the HELLADS has been funded by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) for over 10 years, with over \$200 million expended to date. We note that a planned fiscal year 2015 technology demonstration will mark the end of DARPA's investment in technical development. We are concerned that the program still has no identified commitments for a transition pathway to a service program for further development or demonstration. At this point, we believe that even a successful demonstration of HELLADS capabilities in fiscal year 2015 is not likely to result

in any meaningful transition of the capability to a service program. Therefore, we direct the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, acting through the congressionally-mandated Joint Technology Office for High Energy Lasers, to review current DARPA-service plans for transition of the HELLADS capabilities and provide a report on the plans, schedules, and identified resources to support integration and transition into any service-led directed energy efforts, no later than March 1, 2015.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 201) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Department of Defense for research, development, test, and evaluation, as specified in the funding table in section 4201.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 201).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Program Requirements, Restrictions, and Limitations

Modification of authority for prizes for advanced technology achievements (sec. 211)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would modify the authority of the Secretary of Defense to hold prize and challenge competitions to spur advanced technology achievements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

In the recently announced “Better Buying Power (BBP) 3.0” initiative, Undersecretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics Frank Kendall called for improved communication between industry and the government in order to increase the productivity of both government and industry research efforts, including Independent Research and Development performed by industry. We recognize the merits of such a suggestion, as well as the challenges in developing an effective communications process that is able to be adaptive enough to protect intellectual property and data rights, and protect both industry and government partners from bid protests when informed interest shifts to informed acquisition.

We note that prize authority has historically been used to good effect at aligning the government’s technology and research goals with the resources, ambition, and innovation of the private sector. From the Longitude Prize in 1714 that resulted in improved ship navigation capabilities, to the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency Grand Challenge in 2005 which resulted in demonstrating autonomous ground vehicle navigation, government prize authority has demonstrated the ability to bring together government and industry funding, technology and expertise to produce revolutionary new technological capabilities. While we do not believe that such prizes can replace the kind of coordination and dialogue sought in BBP 3.0, it is an important tool in the toolbox for demonstrating

the benefits of such a process for both the government and industry.

Modification of Manufacturing Technology Program (sec. 212)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would clarify that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics or his designees should conduct oversight of the Joint Defense Manufacturing Technology Panel, which coordinates manufacturing technology and research programs for the Department of Defense. Further, the provision reduces the frequency of mandated updates to the Manufacturing Technology program's strategic plan, to better synchronize this effort with the Quadrennial Defense Review process.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

We intend that the next strategic plan be developed in coordination with the Quadrennial Defense Review currently scheduled for 2018.

Revision of requirement for acquisition programs to maintain defense research facility records (sec. 213)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 222) that would modify the requirements to subsection (b) of section 2364 of title 10, United States Code, to eliminate the need for acquisition programs to maintain a record of all issue papers from a defense research facility related to said acquisition programs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 806).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Treatment by Department of Defense Test Resource Management Center of significant modifications to test and evaluation facilities and resources (sec. 214)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 214) that would direct the Secretary of the Army and the Director of the Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) to report on significant reductions or consolidations of major test facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision which would modify the authorities and duties of the Director, TRMC, to review and report on significant expansion, divestment, consolidation or curtailment of activities within the test and evaluation facilities and resources of the Major Range and Test Facility Base.

Revision to the service requirement under the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation defense education program (sec. 215)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 221) that would modify the options for the service obligation requirement within the Science, Mathematics, and Research for Transformation (SMART) program to also include employment with a public or private sector entity or organization outside the Department of Defense (DOD), if

the Secretary of Defense determines that the employment would provide a benefit to the Department of Defense (DOD).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to clarify that the Secretary should make significant efforts to place SMART scholars into DOD positions, prior to placement in non-DOD positions.

Limitation on availability of funds for armored multi-purpose vehicle program (sec. 216)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 212) that would limit the availability of funds for the armored multi-purpose vehicle until the Secretary of the Army submits a report on plans for the replacement of M113 armored personnel carriers in formations outside of its combat brigades.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Limitation on availability of funds for Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike system (sec. 217)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would prevent obligation of any Navy research and development funds for the Unmanned Carrier-Launched Airborne Surveillance and Strike (UCLASS) to award a contract for the air vehicle segment until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a report that: (1) certifies that a review of the requirements for air vehicle segments of the unmanned carrier-launched surveillance and strike system is complete; and (2) includes the results of such review.

The House report accompanying H.R. 4435 (H. Rept. 113–446) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 indicated that the current UCLASS air vehicle segment requirements would not address the emerging anti-access/area denial (A2/AD) challenges to U.S. power projection that originally motivated creation of what became the Navy UCLASS program. In particular, the House report indicated that a disproportionate emphasis in the requirements on unrefueled endurance to enable continuous intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) support to the carrier strike group (CSG), would result in an aircraft with too little survivability and too small an internal weapons payload capability.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Navy to submit a report with the budget for fiscal year 2017 that would:

- (1) Identify the cost and performance trade-offs the Navy made in arriving at the set of requirements for the UCLASS air vehicle segment to include strike capability in an A2/AD environment;
- (2) Address the derivation of requirements for the overall composition of the future carrier air wing, including any con-

tribution to CSG ISR capability from non-carrier air wing forces, such as the MQ-4C Triton;

(3) Specify how the Navy derived the plan for achieving the best mix of capabilities for the CSG air wing to conduct representative joint ISR-strike campaigns in the 2030 timeframe, including how the UCLASS, F-35C, EA-18G, and the aircraft that is proposed to replace the F/A-18E/F (FA-XX) would contribute to overall capability, including in an A2/AD threat environment;

(4) Define the UCLASS program's acquisition strategy, and provide the justification for any tailoring of that strategy that deviates from that of a traditional program, consistent with DoDI 5000.02 policy; and

(5) Establish a formal acquisition program cost and schedule baseline, to allow the Navy to track unit costs, and provide regular reports to Congress on cost, schedule and performance progress.

We believe that the Secretary of Defense may submit a report that certifies the current set of requirements and can proceed with the current program, or could decide to revisit the current UCLASS requirements and conduct another review of costs and capabilities. The Navy may have made an appropriate set of trade-offs between costs and capabilities in deriving a set of requirements for UCLASS, but those trade-offs should be evaluated in the context of the overall CSG capability, not on the basis of individual capabilities of weapons systems or an unconstrained budget.

Limitation on Availability of Funds for airborne reconnaissance systems (sec. 218)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 214) that would limit the obligation or expenditure of funds authorized by this Act to not more than 25 percent for the imaging and targeting support of airborne reconnaissance systems, until the Secretary of the Air Force delivers a report to the appropriate congressional committees. The elements of the report would include a detailed plan regarding the use of such funds for fiscal year 2015, and a strategic plan for the funding of advanced airborne reconnaissance technologies supporting manned and unmanned systems.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the reporting requirement for the use of funds for fiscal year 2015.

We believe the plan should identify the activities and projects that the Air Force is investing in. We note the restriction on funds only applies to the funds for the imaging and targeting support project within Program Element 35206F. We believe the strategic plan should identify the broad objectives that the project should be focused on accomplishing over the course of the future year defense program, and may be provided to the appropriate Congressional committees in the form of a briefing.

Limitation on availability of funds for retirement of Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar Systems aircraft (sec. 219)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 213) that would prohibit the Air Force from retiring or preparing to retire operational Joint Surveillance and Target Attack Radar System (JSTARS) aircraft until the Secretary of the Air Force submits a report detailing various aspects of the Air Force's plan to replace the current JSTARS aircraft, including an assessment of the cost and schedule of developing and fielding a new aircraft and radar system employing mature technology to replace the current JSTARS aircraft.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify that the assessment of cost and schedule of developing and fielding a new aircraft and radar system would be for a program that would deliver two replacement aircraft to the JSTARS aircraft operating base by fiscal year 2019.

Subtitle C—Reports

Reduction in frequency of reporting by Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Systems Engineering (sec. 221)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 222) that would reduce the reporting requirement related to the systems engineering activities of the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Independent assessment of interagency biodefense research and development (sec. 222)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3435) contained a provision that would require a study of bureaucratic and policy barriers to the efficient execution of interagency research and development activities related to biodefense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Briefing on modeling and simulation technological and industrial base in support of requirements of Department of Defense (sec. 223)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3848) contained a provision that would direct an independent study of the United States modeling and simulation industrial base.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives that updates the report on the Department of Defense Modeling and Simulation (M&S) Technological and Industrial Base that was submitted to Congress on March 11, 2011. This report was required by section 1059 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year

2010 (Public Law 111–84) and describes current and planned efforts to support and enhance the defense M&S technological and industrial base.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Modification to requirement for contractor cost-sharing in pilot program to include technology protection features during research and development of certain defense systems (sec. 231)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 223) that would amend section 243(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383) by striking “at least one half of the cost of such activities” and inserting “an appropriate share of the cost of such activities, as determined by the Secretary.”

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 233).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Pilot program on assignment to Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency of private sector personnel with critical research and development expertise (sec. 232)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 231) that would authorize the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to carry out a pilot program to employ up to 5 individuals employed by the private sector on rotational assignments to lead research or development projects of the Agency.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that authorizes the pilot program with additional guidance to manage potential conflicts of interest that may arise during execution.

Pilot program on enhancement of preparation of dependents of members of Armed Forces for careers in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (sec. 233)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 222) that would authorize a pilot program to enhance the science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) educational opportunities for children of servicemembers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to clarify the types of students, teachers, and classrooms on which the authorized activities may focus.

We believe there is a national security imperative to support the development of a strong, vibrant STEM workforce that can support Department of Defense (DOD) needs. A Council on Foreign Relations report from March 2012 titled “U.S. Education Reform and National Security”, states the U.S. “shortage of skilled human capital both inflates personnel costs and strains the military’s ability to develop and deploy technologies that can deter sophisticated adversaries.” It further states, “Many U.S. generals caution that too many new enlistees cannot read training manuals for techno-

logically sophisticated equipment. A former head of the Army's Training and Doctrine Command said that the lack of fully qualified young people was "an imminent and menacing threat to our national security."

DOD has a critical requirement to maintain an experienced, high quality, technical workforce. To achieve this, it is necessary to engage at the earliest stages of the STEM pipeline. We note that some research indicates that achieving certain math skills by the eighth grade is a critical determinant for success in STEM fields. For that reason, the committee believes that it is important for DOD to support K-12 STEM education programs, as that supports an increased pipeline of qualified individuals that may pursue university degrees in STEM fields. Excellence in STEM fields is important for the general economic health and competitiveness of the nation, but due to the special security requirements of DOD employees, we believe that DOD's STEM workforce needs are especially acute and will only continue to grow in the future.

Additionally, we note that DOD has a responsibility to ensure proper education is available to military children, and that it is in DOD's interest to promote education programs that benefit both military children and our future national security workforce. We believe that this provision's focus on the communities support for the children of military dependents also increases the likelihood that such STEM-enabled students will go on to national security careers, including military service.

Sense of Congress on helicopter health and usage monitoring system of the Army (sec. 234)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3567) contained a provision that would express the sense of the Senate on helicopter health and usage monitoring systems.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Preliminary design review of presidential aircraft recapitalization program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 211) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to complete a preliminary design review of the presidential aircraft recapitalization (PAR) program prior to receiving a milestone B approval from the Milestone Decision Authority.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We understand that the Air Force plans to develop the PAR acquisition strategy, complete milestone B documentation, continue market research, and develop the Systems Requirements Document through fiscal year 2015. We expect the Air Force to lockdown requirements prior to contract award to ensure the technical integ-

rity of the PAR program prior to Milestone B and to minimize long-term program risks.

Report on thermal injury prevention

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1068) that would require a report on prevention of thermal injuries to occupants of military vehicles that result from over matching ballistic threats.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We are interested to learn how the Army is aggressively investigating innovative technologies to prevent or mitigate the risks of thermal injury to occupants of combat and tactical vehicles that can result from over matching ballistic threats. Accordingly, we direct the Secretary of the Army to provide, not later than March 31, 2015, a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the Army's related technology research and development plans and investment strategies for thermal injury prevention, as well as occupant centric survivability systems in current and future combat and tactical vehicles.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

BUDGET ITEM

Special Operations Forces suicide prevention initiatives

The budget request included \$67.0 million in Operation and Maintenance, defense-wide, to support the United States Special Operations Command (SOCOM) Preservation of the Force and Families (POTFF) program.

The House bill would transfer \$23.3 million to the Defense Health Program's SOCOM Behavioral Health and Warrior Care Management Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill would approve the budget request.

The agreement includes a transfer of \$14.8 million to the SOCOM Behavioral Health and Warrior Care Management Program for additional behavioral health programs and a transfer of \$4.0 million to the Defense Suicide Prevention Office to implement recommendations that result from a review of Department of Defense (DOD) efforts to prevent suicide among members of Special Operations Forces (SOF) and their families, as directed elsewhere in this Act. In addition, the agreement includes the full requested amount of \$7.2 million for the Psychological Performance Program within POTFF.

We recognize the tremendous sacrifices made by the men and women within SOF and their families after more than 12 years of war. We note with concern that suicide rates for SOF have continued to increase since calendar year 2010, and that for the past 2 years, suicide rates within SOF have surpassed those of the military services.

We support the efforts of SOCOM to improve training and awareness related to suicide and plans to expand a pilot peer-to-peer training program. We also support the many service-provided and DOD-wide suicide prevention programs that SOCOM has uti-

lized. While DOD, SOCOM, and the services have taken positive action to address SOF suicide rates, we believe that more must be done to reinforce targeted suicide prevention efforts in addition to holistic SOF resiliency programs.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 301) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section 4301.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 301).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Subtitle B—Energy and Environment

Elimination of fiscal year limitation on prohibition of payment of fines and penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, Defense (sec. 311)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 311) that would eliminate the fiscal year limitations on the prohibition of paying fines and penalties from the Environmental Restoration Account, defense, unless the fine or penalty arose out of an activity funded by that account or was specifically authorized by law.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Nothing in this provision should be construed to change the Department of Defense's obligations to pay penalties or fines, and to do so in a timely fashion.

Method of funding for cooperative agreements under the Sikes Act (sec. 312)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 311) that would amend subsection (b) of section 103a of the Sikes Act (section 670c-1 of title 16, United States Code) to allow for lump sum payments for cooperative agreements to cover the future costs of activities provided for under the agreements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the provision.

Report on prohibition of disposal of waste in open-air burn pits (sec. 313)

The House bill included a provision (Sec. 312) that would require the combatant commanders to submit a biannual certification to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that covered waste under the jurisdiction of the commander has not been disposed of in violation of the regulations set forth in section 317 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84). This section also prescribes additional details required in instances of noncompliance.

The Senate committee-reported bill did not contain a similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to direct the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees regarding the Department of Defense's (DOD) compliance with applicable Public Law and DOD instructions regarding the disposal of covered waste in burn pits. The provision also requires the Comptroller General of the United States to provide an assessment of the report submitted by the Secretary.

We note with concern that there are a number of instances where compliance with the DOD instructions and public law, with respect to the disposal of covered waste in burn pits, has been called into question. It is our expectation that the Secretary will use this report as an opportunity to address any gaps and take required action, as necessary and appropriate, to ensure education of and strict compliance with the prohibitions on the disposal of covered waste in burn pits.

Business case analysis of any plan to design, refurbish, or construct a biofuel refinery (sec. 314)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 317) that would require the Department of Defense to obtain a congressional authorization before entering into a contract for the planning, design, refurbishing, or construction of a biofuels refinery.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that no later than 30 days before entering into a contract for the planning, design, refurbishment, or construction of a biofuels refinery, the Secretary of Defense or service secretary concerned, shall submit to the congressional defense committees a business case analysis regarding their intended plan.

Environmental restoration at former Naval Air Station Chincoteague, Virginia (sec. 315)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 320) that would permit the Secretary of Defense to undertake an environmental restoration project at Wallops Flight Facility, Virginia.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 312).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that the Wallops Flight Facility, Virginia includes the Naval Aviation Ordnance Test Station, Virginia. We also note that the Secretary of Defense may undertake this environmental restoration project at Wallops Flight Facility, Virginia, with regard to pollutants or contaminants that are solely attributable to Department of Defense activities while the property was under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Navy.

Limitation on availability of funds for procurement of drop-in fuels (sec. 316)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would prohibit Department of Defense (DOD) funds to be used for bulk purchases of drop-in fuel for operational purposes, unless the cost of that drop-in fuel is cost competitive with traditional fuel, subject to a national security waiver.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment that would factor in the fully-burdened cost of fuel.

We note that this provision adds a 30 day notice to the congressional defense committees prior to the purchase of bulk drop-in fuels for operational purposes, if the fully-burdened delivered cost is 10 percent over the fully-burdened market price of traditional fuels available for the same purpose. We also note that large-scale demonstrations count as operational purposes and are covered under this limitation. We expect DOD to not use a unique federal subsidy to buy or purchase down the cost of fuel so it falls below the 10 percent threshold.

Decontamination of a portion of former bombardment area on island of Culebra, Puerto Rico (sec. 317)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2818) that would express the sense of Congress that the statutory prohibition on environmental remediation on the island of Culebra, Puerto Rico is a unique anomaly and would lift the statutory restriction for environmental remediation for areas having regular public access by amending section 204(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–166).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 316) that would express the sense of Congress that certain portions of the island of Culebra, Puerto Rico should be available for safe public recreational use and would lift the statutory restriction for environmental remediation for certain identified areas by amending section 204(c) of the Military Construction Authorization Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–166), as well as modifying the restrictions contained within the quitclaim deed.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Alternative fuel automobiles (sec. 318)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (3911) contained a provision that would create incentives for the development of alternative dual-fuel vehicles.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with modifying amendments.

Subtitle C—Logistics and Sustainment

Modification of quarterly readiness reporting requirement (sec. 321)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would amend section 482 of title 10, United States Code, to update and streamline the Quarterly Readiness Report to Congress (QRRC).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We expect the timeliness and delivery of the QRRC to Congress to improve significantly given the efficiencies included in the bill. We note that the executive summaries and narratives—which are derived from the massive data inputs submitted by the military services, defense agencies, and combatant commands—currently captured in the QRRC are very helpful in the exercise of congressional oversight responsibilities.

We strongly urge the Department of Defense (DOD) to move the information captured in Supplement Two of the QRRC to Annex B in order to avoid duplication and maximize efficiency. We also strongly urge DOD to remove Supplement One from future QRRCs as the information therein is readily available in the public domain.

Additional requirement for strategic policy on prepositioning of materiel and equipment (sec. 322)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 321) that would amend the strategic policy on prepositioned materiel and equipment required by section 2229(a) of title 10, United States Code, to ensure newly established crisis response elements are considered when developing goals, assessing challenges, and synchronizing requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Elimination of authority of Secretary of the Army to abolish arsenals (sec. 323)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would amend section 4532 of title 10, United States Code, the Arsenal Act, and eliminate the ability of the Secretary of the Army to abolish any U.S. arsenal considered to be unnecessary.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would eliminate the ability of the Secretary of the Army to abolish any U.S. arsenal considered to be unnecessary.

We note that it shall be the goal of the Secretary of the Army, in managing the workload of the arsenals, to maintain critical capabilities and ensure cost efficiency and technical competence in peacetime, while preserving the ability to provide an effective and timely response to mobilizations, national defense contingency situations, and other emergent requirements.

We also note that the critical capabilities needed by the Army are currently reflected in the Report to Congress on Critical Manufacturing Capabilities and Capacities dated August 2013. We recognize that they may change over time.

Modification of annual reporting requirement related to prepositioning of materiel and equipment (sec. 324)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 322) that would modify the yearly reporting requirement in section 321 of the National De-

fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) to continue through 2017, for a total of four reports over 4 years.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 321) that would amend section 2229(cc) of title 10, United States Code to sunset after 3 years the Comptroller General of the United States’ annual review of the Department of Defense’s progress in implementing its strategic policy and plan for its repositioned stocks.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Subtitle D—Reports

Repeal of annual report on Department of Defense operation and financial support for military museums (sec. 331)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 331) that would repeal section 489 of title 10, United States Code, which requires the Secretary of Defense to submit annually to Congress a report on Department of Defense operation and financial support for military museums.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 331).

The agreement includes this provision.

Army assessment of regionally aligned forces (sec. 332)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 333) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report on the activities, lessons learned, and future plans for regionally aligned forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify the required elements of the report.

Subtitle E—Limitations and Extensions of Authority

Limitation on authority to enter into a contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul of the F117 engine (sec. 341)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would prevent the Secretary of the Air Force from entering into a subsequent contract for the sustainment, maintenance, repair, and overhaul of the F117 engine until the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary of the Air Force has structured the contract in such a way that provides the Secretary required insight into all aspects of F117 component and subcomponent historical usage, cost, service-life, and supply chain management data sufficient to determine that the Secretary is paying a fair and reasonable price for F117 sustainment as compared to the PW2000 commercial-derivative sustainment price in the private sector. This provision would also allow the Secretary to waive this limitation if the Secretary determines such waiver is in the interests of national security.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would modify the basis upon which the Under Secretary would certify that the Secretary of the Air Force has obtained data sufficient to determine that the Secretary of the Air Force is paying a fair and reasonable price for F117 sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul as compared to the PW2000 commercial-derivative engine sustainment price for sustainment, maintenance, repair, or overhaul in the private sector.

Limitation on establishment of regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (sec. 342)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds authorized for fiscal year 2015 to establish Regional Special Operations Forces Coordination Centers (RSCC) by U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

We note that the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) included a similar prohibition and required the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit a report on the purpose, cost, and the authorities necessary for the establishment of RSCCs. While the required report was submitted on April 16, 2014, it left a number of questions unanswered related to the long-term funding required to support RSCCs in each geographic combatant command (GCC); the relative funding that would be provided by SOCOM, the GCCs, and the host nation or other participating nations; and coordination with other engagement activities conducted by the GCCs and the Department of State. Additionally, the report states that “[p]roviding confirmed and sustained out-year support is critical to realizing the full potential of an RSCC.” However, the report also identifies additional legislative authorities that would need to be addressed for such “confirmed and sustained” support to occur while indicating “there are currently no plans to seek these additional authorities.”

We believe issues related to funding and authorities need to be resolved before RSCCs are established. We also believe that SOCOM should focus its efforts and resources on supporting regional special operations engagement activities that are hosted in and led by partner nations. For example, we understand that Colombia is working to establish the Centro Regional de Estudios Avanzados de Seguridad (CREAS), that will, among other things, bring together regional special operations forces for educational, training, and other events. We note that the provision described above would not prohibit support to host-nation established regional special operations coordination activities, like CREAS, provided they are consistent with broader military-to-military objectives and coordinated with the Department of State and relevant country teams.

Limitation on transfer of MC-12 aircraft to United States Special Operations Command (sec. 343)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 341) that would prohibit the transfer of MC-12 aircraft from the Air Force to U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) for manned intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance until the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low-Intensity Conflict, in coordination with the Commander, SOCOM, provides the congressional defense committees with an analysis and justification for such a transfer.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that elsewhere in this Act the Department of Defense is provided the authority to use the Counterterrorism Partnership Fund (CTPF) to enhance counterterrorism activities undertaken by the U.S. Armed Forces, including government-owned, contractor-operated (GOCO) capabilities. We believe that the CTPF would be an appropriate source of funding to support additional GOCO operation of MC-12 aircraft in direct support of the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of U.S. Special Operations Forces.

Further, we note that the budget request included funding in Operation and Maintenance, defense-wide and Procurement, defense-wide to support up to 13 aircraft to be flown by the Air National Guard in support of SOCOM aviation foreign internal defense and intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance missions. We note that the limitation included in this provision and the reduction in funding for MC-12 modifications contained elsewhere in the bill do not apply to up to 13 aircraft to be flown by the Air National Guard in support of SOCOM.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Clarification of authority relating to provision of installation-support services through intergovernmental support agreements (sec. 351)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 351) that would transfer and redesignate section 2336 of title 10, United States Code, to chapter 159 of such title. This section would also define an intergovernmental support agreement and provide other technical changes.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3831) contained a similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make clear that the secretary concerned may enter into an intergovernmental support agreement notwithstanding any other provision of law governing the award of federal government contracts for goods and services and that any contract awarded by the Federal Government or a state or local government for installation-support services under an intergovernmental support agreement must be awarded on a competitive basis.

Management of conventional ammunition inventory (sec. 352)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 353) that would designate an authoritative database on conventional ammunition and broaden the existing military service annual reporting requirements on conventional ammunition.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1066) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the management of the conventional ammunition demilitarization stockpile of the Department of Defense (DOD) no later than April 30, 2015.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would combine the two provisions.

We note that the preferred authoritative source of data for tracking conventional ammunition inventories across DOD is the National Level Ammunition Capability (NLAC). We also expect DOD to issue guidance that ensures NLAC collects and is responsible for disseminating accurate data in cooperation with other service ammunition systems.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Increase in funding for civil military programs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 302) that would increase funding for civil military programs by \$55.0 million over the budget request.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Exclusions from definition of 'chemical substance' under Toxic Substances Control Act and report on lead ammunition

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 313) that would amend section 3(2)(B)(v) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (15 U.S. C. 2602(2)(B)(v)) and require a report on costs related to non-lead alternatives for small arms.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Exemption of Department of Defense from alternative fuel procurement requirement

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 314) that would amend section 526 of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 (P.L. 110–140) to exempt the Department of Defense from the requirements related to contracts for alternative or synthetic fuel in that section.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Study on implementation of requirements for consideration of fuel logistics support requirements in planning, requirements development, and acquisition processes

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 314) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on the implementation of section 332 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110–417).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the Senate provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, regarding how the Department of Defense (DOD) is considering the operational impact of energy logistics through energy supportability analysis, including but not limited to those factors in section 332 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110–417).

We note that the report shall describe actions to date to consider energy logistics support in the planning, requirements development, and acquisition processes, including the following elements: (1) A description of the process DOD is using to ensure energy supportability has been analyzed and considered during the requirements development and acquisition process; (2) An assessment of how well the Services are implementing the energy supportability analysis; (3) An assessment of how well the Services have incorporated energy into their planning processes; (4) An assessment of the extent to which the energy security requirements of DOD are enhanced by incorporation of section 332 in the requirements and acquisition processes; and (5) recommendations for improvements to section 332 that would enhance energy security and capability.

Comptroller General study of Department of Defense research and development projects and investments to increase energy security and meet energy goals requirements

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 315) that would direct the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of Department of Defense (DOD) research and development projects and investments to increase energy security and meet renewable energy goals.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We direct the Comptroller General to conduct a review of the current DOD Annual Energy Management Report. At a minimum, the review shall identify key gaps and shortfalls, if any, in the report. The review shall also include a determination of how the DOD has determined the costs and benefits of a sample of five renewable energy projects per Service where the (1) generating capacity of the projects is over one megawatt; (2) projected life cycle costs of the projects as compared to power generation from conventional sources; and (3) ensured energy security at energy-remote installations in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The term “energy-remote military installations” means military installations

not connected to an extensive electrical grid. The Comptroller General shall report to the congressional defense committees no later than one year after enactment of this Act.

Congressional notice of bulk purchase of alternative fuels for operational use

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 315) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees 60 days before the bulk purchase of alternative fuels intended for operational use.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Limitation on procurement of biofuels

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 316) that would limit the Department of Defense's ability to purchase or produce biofuels until the earlier of either the date on which the Budget Control Act of 2011 (P.L. 112-25) is no longer in effect, or the date on which the cost of biofuel is equal to the cost of conventional fuels. This section would provide an exception for biofuel test and certification and research and development.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

Off-installation Department of Defense natural resources projects compliance with integrated natural resource management plans

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 318) that would amend the Sikes Act (section 670c-1 of title 16, United States Code) to require that funds for the maintenance and improvement of natural resources located off of a military installation or State-owned National Guard installation only be used pursuant to an approved integrated natural resources management plan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Recommendation on Air Force energy conservation measures

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 319) that would recommend the Secretary of the Air Force take action on energy conservation measures.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Air Force has undertaken a number of initiatives aimed at improving installation energy efficiency. For example, the Air Force has used the Energy Conservation Investment Program, Energy Savings Performance Contracts, and technologies developed through Installation Energy Test Bed to help meet their facility energy goals and mandates. We encourage the Air Force to continue to make cost-effective investments that enhance combat capability and demonstrate a return on investment.

Prohibition on use of funds to implement certain climate change assessments and reports

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 320A) that would prohibit funds authorized by the fiscal year 2015 National Defense Authorization Act to be used to implement the United States Global Change Research Program National Climate Assessment, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's Fifth Assessment Report, the United Nation's Agenda 21 sustainable development plan, or the May 2013 Technical Update of the Social Cost of Carbon for Regulatory Impact Analysis Under Executive Order No. 12866.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on enduring requirements and activities currently funded through amounts authorized to be appropriated for Overseas Contingency Operations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 332) that would require a report on enduring requirements and activities currently funded through amounts authorized to be appropriated for Overseas Contingency Operations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on impacts of funding reductions on military readiness

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 334) that would require the Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to report to the congressional defense committees the readiness and cost impacts of the reductions in operation and maintenance funding.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

We direct the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to report or present a briefing to the congressional defense committees no later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the readiness and cost impacts, both immediate and long-term, for the military services, the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and the Defense Agencies, of the reductions in funding required in section 4301 of this Act. The report shall include, but isn't limited to, reductions in contracts for other services, impacts to training and operations, contracts for facility sustainment, restoration, and modernization, base operations, and any other mission execution and effectiveness concerns.

Limitation on furlough of certain working-capital fund employees

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 342) that would: limit the non-disciplinary furlough of working-capital fund (WCF) employees as long as funds are available to pay for the work performed; require 45 days advance congressional notification of furloughs; and require Secretarial certification that workload will not be transferred to any other sector of the workforce.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We remain concerned about the negative effect furloughs of WCF employees have on military readiness. The furlough of WCF employees when monies and workload are available only delays delivery times and raises rates, costing the taxpayer and reducing military readiness. We understand that the Department of Defense (DOD) faced budget cuts of \$37.0 billion in 2013 due to sequestration, and that as part of its response, it furloughed all civilian employees. These furloughs may have increased costs over the longer-term and caused schedule delays, which negatively affected readiness. Therefore, we urge the Secretary of Defense to consider both the short- and long-term readiness impacts of these furloughs in making management decisions concerning the DOD workforce. Finally, we expect the Secretary to manage future budgets carefully, and to weigh all competing variables when making workforce decisions.

Revised policy on ground combat and camouflage utility uniforms

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 352) that would amend section 352 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (P.L. 113–66) that established a policy that the Secretary of Defense shall eliminate the development and fielding of Armed Forces-specific combat and camouflage utility uniforms and families of uniforms for specific combat environments to be used by all members of the Armed Forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the Senate provision.

We note that the guidance for the military services and combatant commands required by section 351 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (P.L. 113–66) to implement this policy is late and has not yet been delivered. We also note that the implementation plan is also late and necessary to ensure proper implementation of the Department of Defense's guidance to establish and publish joint combat uniform standards and performance criteria.

Sense of Congress on access to training ranges within United States Pacific Command area of responsibility

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 352) that would express the sense of Congress regarding access to training ranges within U.S. Pacific Command's (PACOM) area of responsibility (AOR).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We note that access to military training ranges is an essential component of military readiness and that such access is critical to maintaining the technical and operational superiority of the Armed Forces. The 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review states that United States forces in the Asia-Pacific region "will resume regular bilateral and multilateral training exercises, pursue increased training opportunities to improve capabilities and capacity of partner nations, as well as support humanitarian, disaster relief, counterterrorism, and other operations that contribute to the stability of the

region.” While training ranges exist within PACOM’s AOR, we note that the tyranny of distance in the Asia-Pacific region presents a number of challenges, including the transportation of equipment and personnel to the various training ranges. We believe the Department of Defense should take appropriate action to ensure that members of the Armed Forces continue to have reliable access to military training ranges and take appropriate steps to improve accessibility to military training areas within PACOM’s AOR.

Southern sea otter military readiness areas

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 353) that would have created southern sea otter military readiness areas and repealed Public Law 99–625 (16 U.S.C. 1536 note).

The House bill contained no similar provision.
The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE IV—MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Active Forces

End strengths for active forces (sec. 401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 401) that would authorize the following end strengths for active-duty personnel of the Armed Forces as of September 30, 2015: Army, 490,000; Navy, 323,600; Marine Corps, 184,100; and Air Force, 311,220.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 401) that would authorize active-duty end strength for the Air Force of 310,900.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize active-duty end strength for the Air Force of 312,980.

End strength levels for the active forces for fiscal year 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 request | FY 2014 authorized |
| Army | 520,000 | 490,000 | 490,000 | 0 | – 30,000 |
| Navy | 323,600 | 323,600 | 323,600 | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Corps | 190,200 | 184,100 | 184,100 | 0 | – 6,100 |
| Air Force | 327,600 | 310,900 | 312,980 | 2080 | – 14,620 |
| DOD Total | 1,361,400 | 1,308,600 | 1,310,680 | 2080 | – 50,720 |

Revisions in permanent active duty end strength minimum levels (sec. 402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 402) that would establish the following minimum end strengths for Active-Duty personnel as of September 30, 2015: Army, 490,000; Navy, 323,600; Marine Corps, 184,100; and Air Force 310,900.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Minimum end strength levels for Active-Duty personnel for fiscal year 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 Minimum | FY 2015 | | Change from FY 2014 |
|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|------------------------|
| | | Recommendation | | |
| Army | 510,000 | 490,000 | | -20,000 |
| Navy | 323,600 | 323,600 | | 0 |
| Marine Corps | 188,000 | 184,100 | | -3,900 |
| Air Force | 327,600 | 310,900 | | -16,700 |
| DOD Total | 1,349,200 | 1,308,600 | | -40,600 |

Subtitle B—Reserve Forces

End strengths for Selected Reserve (sec. 411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 411) that would authorize the following end strengths for Selected Reserve personnel, including the end strengths for Reserves on Active Duty in support of the Reserves, as of September 30, 2015: the Army National Guard of the United States, 350,200; the Army Reserve, 202,000; the Navy Reserve, 57,300; the Marine Corps Reserve, 39,200; the Air National Guard of the United States, 105,000; the Air Force Reserve, 67,100; and the Coast Guard Reserve, 7,000.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision that would authorize end strength for the Coast Guard Reserve of 9,000 (sec. 411).

The agreement includes the House provision.

End strength levels for the Selected Reserve for fiscal year 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 Authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 Request | FY 2014 Authorized |
| Army National Guard | 354,200 | 350,200 | 350,200 | 0 | -4,000 |
| Army Reserve | 205,000 | 202,000 | 202,000 | 0 | -3,000 |
| Navy Reserve | 59,100 | 57,300 | 57,300 | 0 | -1,800 |
| Marine Corps Reserve | 39,600 | 39,200 | 39,200 | 0 | -400 |
| Air National Guard | 105,400 | 105,000 | 105,000 | 0 | -400 |
| Air Force Reserve | 70,400 | 67,100 | 67,100 | 0 | -3,300 |
| DOD Total | 833,700 | 833,700 | 820,800 | 0 | -12,900 |
| Coast Guard Reserve | 9,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 0 | -2,000 |

End strengths for reserves on active duty in support of the reserves (sec. 412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 412) that would authorize the following end strengths for Reserves on Active Duty in support of the reserve components as of September 30, 2015: the Army National Guard of the United States, 31,385; the Army Reserve, 16,261; the Navy Reserve, 9,973; the Marine Corps Reserve, 2,261; the Air National Guard of the United States, 14,704; and the Air Force Reserve, 2,830.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 412).

The agreement includes this provision.

End strength levels for reserves on Active Duty in support of the Reserves for fiscal year 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 Authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 Request | FY 2014 Authorized |
| Army National Guard | 32,060 | 31,385 | 31,385 | 0 | -675 |
| Army Reserve | 16,261 | 16,261 | 16,261 | 0 | 0 |
| Navy Reserve | 10,159 | 9,973 | 9,973 | 0 | -186 |
| Marine Corps Reserve | 2,261 | 2,261 | 2,261 | 0 | 0 |
| Air National Guard | 14,734 | 14,704 | 14,704 | 0 | -30 |
| Air Force Reserve | 2,911 | 2,830 | 2,830 | 0 | -81 |
| DOD Total | 78,386 | 77,414 | 77,414 | 0 | -972 |

End strengths for military technicians (dual status) (sec. 413)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 413) that would authorize the following end strengths for military technicians (dual status) as of September 30, 2015: the Army National Guard of the United States, 27,210; the Army Reserve, 7,895; the Air National Guard of the United States, 21,792; and the Air Force Reserve, 9,789.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 413).

The agreement includes this provision.

End strength levels for military technicians (dual status) for fiscal year 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 Authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 Request | FY 2014 Authorized |
| Army National Guard | 27,210 | 27,210 | 27,210 | 0 | 0 |
| Army Reserve | 8,395 | 7,895 | 7,895 | 0 | -500 |
| Air National Guard | 21,875 | 21,792 | 21,792 | 0 | -83 |
| Air Force Reserve | 10,429 | 9,789 | 9,789 | 0 | -640 |
| DOD Total | 67,909 | 66,686 | 66,686 | 0 | -1,223 |

Fiscal year 2015 limitation on number of non-dual status technicians (sec. 414)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 414) that would establish the following personnel limits for the reserve components of the Army and Air Force for non-dual status technicians as of September 30, 2015: the Army National Guard of the United States, 1,600; the Air National Guard of the United States, 350; the Army Reserve, 595; and the Air Force Reserve, 90.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 414).

The agreement includes this provision.

Personnel limitations for non-dual status technicians for fiscal year 2015 are set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 Authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 Request | FY 2014 Authorized |
| Army National Guard | 1,600 | 1,600 | 1,600 | 0 | 0 |
| Air National Guard | 350 | 350 | 350 | 0 | 0 |
| Army Reserve | 595 | 595 | 595 | 0 | 0 |
| Air Force Reserve | 90 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 0 |

| Service | FY 2014 Authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 Request | FY 2014 Authorized |
| DOD Total | 2,635 | 2,635 | 2,635 | 0 | 0 |

Maximum number of reserve personnel authorized to be on active duty for operational support (sec. 415)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 415) that would authorize the maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on Active Duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2015 to provide operational support.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 415).

The agreement includes this provision.

The maximum number of reserve component personnel who may be on Active Duty or full-time National Guard duty under section 115(b) of title 10, United States Code, during fiscal year 2015 is set forth in the following table:

| Service | FY 2014 Authorized | FY 2015 | | Change from | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Request | Recommendation | FY 2015 Request | FY 2014 Authorized |
| Army National Guard | 17,000 | 17,000 | 17,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Army Reserve | 13,000 | 13,000 | 13,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Navy Reserve | 6,200 | 6,200 | 6,200 | 0 | 0 |
| Marine Corps Reserve | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Air National Guard | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Air Force Reserve | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | 0 | 0 |
| DOD Total | 69,200 | 69,200 | 69,200 | 0 | 0 |

Subtitle C—Authorization of Appropriations

Military personnel (sec. 421)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 421) that would authorize appropriations for military personnel at the levels identified in the funding table in section 4401 of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 421).

The agreement includes this provision.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

Subtitle A—Officer Personnel Policy

Authority to limit consideration for early retirement by selective retirement boards to particular warrant officer year groups and specialties (sec. 501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend section 581 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize service secretaries to establish selection objectives, by year group or specialty, or any combination thereof, for selection boards considering warrant officers for selective retirement.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 504).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Authority for three-month deferral of retirement for officers selected for selective early retirement (sec. 502)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 501) that would amend sections 581 and 638 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify the date by which warrant officers and regular officers on the Active-Duty list who have been selected for selective early retirement must retire.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Repeal of limits on percentage of officers who may be recommended for discharge during a fiscal year under enhanced selective discharge authority (sec. 503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 502) that would amend section 638a of title 10, United States Code, by deleting the limitation on the total number of officers that a selection board may recommend for early discharge under enhanced selective discharge authority.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 502).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Reports on number and assignment of enlisted aides for officers of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps (sec. 504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 505) that would amend section 981 of title 10, United States Code, to reduce the total number of enlisted members that may be assigned or otherwise detailed to duty as enlisted aides on the personal staff of officers of the Army, Navy, Air Force and Marine Corps.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 981 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives specifying the number of enlisted aides authorized and allocated for general officers and flag officers of the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, and joint pool, and to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than June 30, 2015, a report on the duties of enlisted aides, the procedures for allocating authorized enlisted aides, and a billet-by-billet justification for the authorization and assignment of each enlisted aide to each general officer and flag officer position as of September 30, 2014. The provision would also require the Comptroller General to review the June 30, 2015, report and submit a report on the results of this review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than 180 days after the Secretary of Defense submits the report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Repeal of requirement for submission to Congress of annual reports on joint officer management and promotion policy objectives for joint officers (sec. 505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 503) that would repeal section 667 and amend section 662(b) of title 10, United States Code, to remove the requirement that the Secretary of Defense submit annual reports to Congress on joint officer management and promotion policy objectives for joint officers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 505).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Options for Phase II of joint professional military education (sec. 506)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 504) that would amend section 2154 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize a senior level service course of at least ten months that has been designated and certified by the Secretary of Defense as a joint professional military education (JPME) course to meet the requirements for Phase II JPME instruction.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Elimination of requirement that a qualified aviator or naval flight officer be in command of an inactivated nuclear-powered aircraft carrier before decommissioning (sec. 507)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would amend section 5942(a) of title 10, United States Code, to eliminate the requirement that a qualified aviator or naval flight officer serve as commanding officer of a nuclear-powered aircraft carrier that has been inactivated during the limited period between the inactivation and permanent decommissioning prior to disposal.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 503).

The agreement includes this provision.

Required consideration of certain elements of command climate in performance appraisals of commanding officers (sec. 508)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 506) that would require service secretaries to ensure that the performance appraisal of commanding officers indicates the extent to which the commanding officer has or has not established a command climate in which all allegations of sexual assault are properly managed and fairly evaluated, and a victim of criminal activity, including sexual assault, can report the criminal activity without fear of retaliation, including ostracism and group pressure from other members of the command.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 545(c)) that would also require that service secretaries ensure that performance appraisals of all servicemembers include an assessment of the extent to which the servicemember supports the sexual assault prevention and response program of that service.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle B—Reserve Component Management

Retention on the Reserve active-status list following nonselection for promotion of certain health professions officers and first lieutenants and lieutenants (junior grade) pursuing baccalaureate degrees (sec. 511)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 511) that would amend section 14701 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize consideration for continuation on the reserve active-status list of first lieutenant and lieutenant (junior grade) health professions officers who have twice failed of selection for promotion to the next higher grade. The provision would also require service secretaries to retain on the reserve active-status list health professions officers who would otherwise be required to be removed from the reserve active-status list until the officer has completed his or her service obligation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 511).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Consultation with Chief of the National Guard Bureau in selection of directors and deputy directors, Army National Guard and Air National Guard (sec. 512)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would amend section 10506(a) of title 10, United States Code, to require that general officers assigned to the National Guard Bureau as Director, Army National Guard, Deputy Director, Army National Guard, Director Air National Guard, and Deputy Director, Air National Guard, be recommended by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, in consultation with the secretary of the service concerned.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that these general officers be selected by the secretary of the service concerned after consultation with the Chief of the National Guard Bureau.

Centralized database of information on military technician positions (sec. 513)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 512) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish and maintain a centralized database of military technician positions within the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary, by no later than September 1, 2015, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report describing the progress made in establishing this database.

Report on management of personnel records of members of the National Guard (sec. 514)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 583) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a re-

port regarding the management of personnel records of members of the National Guard.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than December 1, 2015, on the management of personnel records of members of the National Guard of the United States.

Subtitle C—General Service Authorities

Enhancement of participation of mental health professionals in boards for correction of military records and boards for review of discharge or dismissal of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 521)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 529) that would amend section 1552 of title 10, United States Code, to require that any medical advisory opinion issued to a board for correction of military records regarding a servicemember or former servicemember who was diagnosed while serving in the military as experiencing a mental health disorder include the opinion of a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist if the individual's request for correction of records relates to a mental health disorder.

The provision would also amend section 1553 of title 10, United States Code, to require boards for review of discharge or dismissal:

(1) To include a member who is a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist, or a physician with training on mental health issues connected with post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury, when the board considers a request for review of a discharge or dismissal by a former servicemember who was diagnosed as experiencing post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury as a consequence of a deployment in support of a contingency operation; and

(2) To include a member who is a clinical psychologist or psychiatrist, or a physician with special training on mental health disorders, when the board considers a request for review of a discharge or dismissal by a former servicemember who was diagnosed while serving in the military as experiencing a mental health disorder.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 521).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of authority to conduct programs on career flexibility to enhance retention of members of the Armed Forces (sec. 522)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would amend section 533 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417), as amended by section 531 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), by extending the authority to conduct programs on career flexibility to December 31, 2019, and adjusting interim and final report due dates to reflect this extension.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 522) that would extend program authority to December 31, 2018, with a deadline to return all participants to Active Duty by no later than December 31, 2021, and by requiring certain additional elements of information in the final reports.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the program authority to December 31, 2019, and adjust the interim and final report due dates to reflect this extension.

Provision of information to members of the Armed Forces on privacy rights relating to receipt of mental health services (sec. 523)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would require the secretaries of the military departments to provide information regarding privacy rights to a servicemember who is seeking and receiving mental health services. This information would be required to be provided to servicemembers during initial and basic training, and to other servicemembers as the Secretary of Defense deems appropriate.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Removal of artificial barriers to the service of women in the Armed Forces (sec. 524)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 527) that would require the Secretary of Defense to direct the service secretaries to validate gender-neutral occupational standards that are consistent with section 543 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160), that accurately predict performance of actual, regular, and recurring duties of a military occupation, and that are applied equitably to measure individual capabilities. The provision would also require the Secretary to direct service secretaries to ensure that properly designed and fitted combat equipment is available to and distributed to female members of the Armed Forces. Finally, the provision would require the Comptroller General of the United States to review military service outreach programs and recruitment efforts focused on accessing women into the Armed Forces and to report to Congress on the results of this review.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 523) that would express the sense of the Senate concerning the development of validated gender-neutral occupational standards pursuant to the ongoing process of reviewing and opening positions and occupations to women that are currently closed to them.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Subtitle D—Military Justice, Including Sexual Assault and
Domestic Violence Prevention and Response

*Technical revisions and clarifications of certain provisions in the
National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 relating
to the military justice system (sec. 531)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 549) that would make technical and clarifying corrections to various provisions of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) relating to the military justice system.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical and clarifying amendment.

*Ordering of depositions under the Uniform Code of Military Justice
(sec. 532)*

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 541) that would amend Article 49 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) (10 U.S.C. 849) to authorize the court-martial convening authority or the military judge to order a deposition only if the party requesting the deposition demonstrates that, due to exceptional circumstances, it is in the interest of justice that the testimony of the prospective witness be taken and preserved for use at an Article 32, UCMJ, preliminary hearing or a court-martial.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Access to Special Victims' Counsel (sec. 533)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 544) that would amend section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the assistance of Special Victims' Counsel for a member of a reserve component who is the victim of an alleged sex-related offense and who is not otherwise eligible for military legal assistance under section 1044 of this title.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3744) contained a similar provision clarifying that members of a reserve component who are not otherwise eligible for military legal assistance are eligible for assistance of a Special Victims' Counsel when the members are a victim of an alleged sex-related offense during a period in which the individual served on Active Duty, full-time National Guard duty, or Inactive-Duty training, or when the circumstances of the alleged sex-related offense have a nexus to the military service of the victim.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill.

*Enhancement of victims' rights in connection with prosecution of
certain sex-related offenses (sec. 534)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 534) that would amend section 1044e of title 10, United States Code, to require service secretaries to establish a procedure to ensure that a victim of an alleged sex-related offense is consulted regarding the victim's pref-

erence regarding prosecution by military or civil authorities, and would authorize Special Victims' Counsel to provide legal consultation regarding the advantages and disadvantages of prosecution by court-martial or by a civilian court with jurisdiction over the offense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 545) and a provision (sec. 543) that would require that the Manual for Courts-Martial be modified to provide that when a victim of an alleged sex-related offense has a right to be heard in connection with the prosecution of such offense, the victim may exercise that right through counsel, including through a Special Victims' Counsel, and require service secretaries to establish policies and procedures to ensure that counsel for the victim of an alleged sex-related offense, including a Special Victims' Counsel, is provided prompt and adequate notice of the scheduling of any hearing, trial, or other proceeding in connection with the prosecution of the offense to permit such counsel the opportunity to prepare for the proceeding.

The agreement includes the Senate provisions with an amendment that would (1) require the Secretary of Defense to establish a process to ensure consultation with the victim of an alleged sex-related offense that occurs in the United States to solicit the victim's preference regarding whether the offense should be prosecuted by court-martial or in a civilian court with jurisdiction over the offense; (2) require the convening authority to consider the victim's preference; (3) require the convening authority to ensure that the civilian authority with jurisdiction over the offense is notified of a victim's preference for civilian prosecution; and (4) require the convening authority to ensure that the victim is informed if the convening authority learns of any decision by the civilian authority to prosecute or not prosecute the offense in civilian court.

Enforcement of crime victims' rights related to protections afforded by certain Military Rules of Evidence (sec. 535)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 535) that would amend Article 6b of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) (section 806b of title 10, United States Code) to authorize a victim of an offense under the UCMJ who believes that a court-martial ruling violates the victim's rights afforded by Military Rule of Evidence (MRE) 513, relating to the psychotherapist-patient privilege, or MRE 412, relating to the admission of evidence regarding a victim's sexual background, to petition the Court of Criminal Appeals for a writ of mandamus to require the court-martial to comply with the MRE.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Modification of military rules of evidence relating to admissibility of general military character toward probability of innocence (sec. 536)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 537) that would require the Secretary of Defense to modify the Military Rules of Evi-

dence to clarify that the general military character of an accused is not admissible for the purpose of showing the probability of innocence of the accused, except when evidence of a trait of the military character of an accused is relevant to an element of an offense for which the accused has been charged.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 545(g)).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Modification of Rule 513 of the Military Rules of Evidence, relating to the privilege against disclosure of communications between psychotherapists and patients (sec. 537)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 539) that would eliminate the “constitutionally required” exception to the psychotherapist-patient privilege in Rule 513 of the Military Rules of Evidence.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 542).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Modification of Department of Defense policy on retention of evidence in a sexual assault case to permit return of personal property upon completion of related proceedings (sec. 538)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 540) that would amend section 586 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to authorize the return to the rightful owner of personal property retained as evidence in connection with an incident of sexual assault involving a servicemember after the conclusion of all legal, adverse action, and administrative proceedings related to the sexual assault.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 547).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Requirements relating to sexual assault forensic examiners for the Armed Forces (sec. 539)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3731) contained a provision that would authorize physicians, nurse practitioners, nurse midwives, physician assistants, and registered nurses to be assigned to duty as a sexual assault forensic examiner (SAFE) for the Armed Forces; require service secretaries to ensure the availability of an adequate number of sexual assault forensic examiners for the Armed Forces; and require service secretaries to establish and maintain a training and certification program for sexual assault forensic examiners.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Modification of term of judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces (sec. 540)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would amend section 942 of title 10, United States Code, to modify the statutory termination date of the term of office of judges of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces to better align the termination date with the starting date of the Court's annual term.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Review of decisions not to refer charges of certain sex-related offenses for trial by court-martial if requested by chief prosecutor (sec. 541)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 546) that would amend section 1744 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) to require that in any case where a convening authority decides not to refer a charge of a sex-related offense to trial by court-martial and the chief prosecutor of the service concerned requests review of the decision, the service secretary must review the decision as a superior authority authorized to exercise general court-martial convening authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Analysis and assessment of disposition of most serious offenses identified in unrestricted reports on sexual assaults in annual reports on sexual assaults in the Armed Forces (sec. 542)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would amend section 1631 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) to require that the Department of Defense Annual Report on Sexual Assault in the Military include an analysis and assessment of the disposition of the most serious offenses identified in unrestricted reports of sexual assault.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Plan for limited use of certain information on sexual assaults in restricted reports by military criminal investigative organizations (sec. 543)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 548) that would require the Secretary of Defense to issue policies and procedures for the inclusion of certain information obtained from restricted and unrestricted reports of sexual assault, including known information about the alleged assailant, in a sexual assault database.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of

Representatives a plan that will allow an individual who files a restricted report on an incident of sexual assault to elect to permit a military criminal investigative organization, on a confidential basis and without affecting the restricted nature of the report, to access certain information of the alleged perpetrator if available, for the purpose of identifying individuals who are suspected of perpetrating multiple sexual assaults.

Improved Department of Defense information reporting and collection of domestic violence incidents involving members of the Armed Forces (sec. 544)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would require the Secretary of Defense, within 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, to develop a comprehensive management plan to address deficiencies in the reporting of incidents of domestic violence involving members of the Armed Forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 556) that would amend section 543(a) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 11-383) to remove the requirement that data concerning domestic violence incidents be recorded in the Defense Incident Based Reporting System.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would include the Senate provision to remove the requirement that data concerning domestic violence incidents be recorded in the Defense Incident Based Reporting System.

Additional duties for judicial proceedings panel (sec. 545)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 532) that would require the independent panel established by the Secretary of Defense under section 576(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) (judicial proceedings panel) to conduct a review and assessment of the impact of the use of mental health records by the defense during court-martial proceedings and related preliminary hearings and the use of mental health records in civilian criminal legal proceedings in order to identify any significant discrepancies between the two legal systems.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces (sec. 546)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish and maintain a Defense Advisory Committee on Investigation, Prosecution, and Defense of Sexual Assault in the Armed Forces to advise the Secretary on the investigation, prosecution, and defense of rape, forcible sodomy, sexual assault, and other sexual misconduct in the Armed Forces and to submit a report on an annual basis to the Secretary and to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would (1) require the Secretary to establish the Advisory Committee not later than 30 days before the termination date of the independent panel established by the Secretary under section 576(a)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), known as the “judicial proceedings panel” and (2) clarify the duties of the Advisory Committee.

Confidential review of characterization of terms of discharge of members of the Armed Forces who are victims of sexual offenses (sec. 547)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 538) that would require each service secretary to establish a confidential process by which an individual who was the victim of a sex-related offense during military service may appeal, through boards for the correction of military records of the military department concerned, the terms or characterization of the discharge or separation of the individual from the military on the grounds that the terms or characterization were adversely affected by the individual being the victim of such an offense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 545(e)).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle E—Member Education, Training, and Transition

Enhancement of authority to assist members of the Armed Forces to obtain professional credentials (sec. 551)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 531) that would amend section 2015 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense, and the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service of the Navy, to carry out a program to enable members of the Armed Forces to obtain professional credentials while they are serving that relate to training and skills acquired during military service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with a technical amendment.

Applicability of sexual assault prevention and response and related military justice enhancements to military service academies (sec. 552)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 533) that would require the secretary of the military department concerned and, in the case of the Coast Guard Academy, the secretary of the department in which the Coast Guard is operating, to ensure that the sexual assault prevention and response and related reforms contained in title XVII of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) apply to the military service academies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 550).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Authorized duration of foreign and cultural exchange activities at military service academies (sec. 553)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 551) that would amend sections 4345a, 6957b, and 9345a of title 10, United States Code, to extend the period that foreign exchange personnel are authorized to attend the U.S. Military Academy, the Naval Academy, or the Air Force Academy when the service secretary determines that the attendance of such persons contributes significantly to the development of foreign language, cross-cultural interactions and understanding, and cultural immersion of cadets or midshipmen, from 2 weeks to 4 weeks.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 534).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Enhancement of authority to accept support for Air Force Academy athletic programs (sec. 554)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 554) that would amend section 9362 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to:

(1) Accept funds, supplies, equipment, and services for the support of the athletic programs of the Air Force Academy (Academy);

(2) Charge fees for the support of the athletic programs of the Academy and accept and retain fees for services and other benefits provided incident to the operation of its athletic programs;

(3) Enter into leases or licenses for the purpose of supporting the athletic programs of the Academy; and

(4) Enter into contracts and cooperative agreements for the purpose of supporting the athletic programs of the Academy. The provision would also authorize the corporation established to support the athletic programs of the Academy to enter into licensing, marketing, and sponsorship agreements relating to trademarks and service marks identifying the Academy, subject to the approval of the Secretary of the Air Force.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 581).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Pilot program to assist members of the Armed Forces in obtaining post-service employment (sec. 555)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 552) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a pilot program to enhance Department of Defense efforts to provide job placement assistance and related employment services to eligible members of the Armed Forces. The authority to conduct a pilot program under this provision would expire September 30, 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize, but not require, the Secretary to conduct the pilot program described above, and would authorize out of amounts appropriated to the Department of Defense for Operation and Maintenance up to \$35.0 million per year to be used to pay costs incurred under the pilot program.

Plan for education of members of the Armed Forces on cyber matters (sec. 556)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3823) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the secretaries of the military departments, to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than 360 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a plan for the education of officers and enlisted members of the Armed Forces relating to cyber security and cyber activities of the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit the plan to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Enhancement of information provided to members of the Armed Forces and veterans regarding use of post-9/11 educational assistance and federal financial aid through transition assistance program (sec. 557)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 533) that would require the Secretary of Defense, by no later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, to provide additional information to servicemembers in the transition assistance program concerning certain education benefits available to them, and to ensure that the higher education component of the transition assistance program is available to members of the Armed Forces on an Internet web site of the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would make various technical changes, and that would strike paragraph (a)(2)(B) related to information required from the Federal Trade Commission, paragraph (a)(3) related to accessibility requirements, and paragraph (c) related to certificates of entitlement to tuition assistance.

Procedures for provision of certain information to state veterans agencies to facilitate the transition of members of the Armed Forces from military service to civilian life (sec. 558)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 596) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program to assess the feasibility and advisability of providing specified information on servicemembers who are separating from the military to state veterans agencies as a means of facilitating the transition of the members of the military from military service to civilian life.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3729) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop procedures to share specified information on servicemembers who are separating from the military with state veterans agencies in electronic data format as a means of facilitating the transition of members of the military from military service to civilian life.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle F—Defense Dependents’ Education And Military Family
Readiness Matters

Continuation of authority to assist local educational agencies that benefit dependents of members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees (sec. 561)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 561) that would authorize \$25.0 million in Operation and Maintenance, defense-wide, for continuation of the Department of Defense (DOD) assistance program to local educational agencies that are impacted by enrollment of dependent children of military members and DOD civilian employees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 571).

The agreement includes this provision.

Impact aid for children with severe disabilities (sec. 562)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would authorize \$5.0 million in Operation and Maintenance, defense-wide, for impact aid payments for children with disabilities under section 8003(d) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 7703(d)), using the formula set forth in section 363 of the Floyd D. Spence National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2001 (Public Law 106–398), for continuation of Department of Defense assistance to local educational agencies that benefit eligible dependents with severe disabilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Amendments to the Impact Aid Improvement Act of 2012 (sec. 563)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 565) that would amend section 563(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to extend, by 3 years, the effective date of the Impact Aid Improvement Act of 2012. In addition, the provision would amend Public Law 112–239 by including a method to calculate the taxable value of eligible federal property that is within the boundaries of two or more local educational agencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 573) that would amend section 563(c) of Public Law 112–239 to extend the program modifications contained in that section by an additional 3 years.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Authority to employ non-United States citizens as teachers in Department of Defense overseas dependents' school system (sec. 564)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 562) that would amend section 2(2)(A) of the Defense Department Overseas Teachers Pay and Personnel Practices Act (20 U.S.C. 901(2)(A)) to authorize employment of local nationals who are not U.S. citizens to teach host nation language courses in the Defense Dependents' Overseas Education System, if a citizen of the United States is not available.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 574) that would amend 20 U.S.C. 901(2)(A) to authorize employment of local nationals who are not U.S. citizens to teach host nation language courses in the Defense Dependents' Overseas Education System.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Inclusion of domestic dependent elementary and secondary schools among functions of Advisory Council on Dependents' Education (sec. 565)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 563) that would amend section 1411 of the Defense Dependents' Education Act of 1978 (20 U.S.C. 929) to include in the functions of the Advisory Council on Dependents' Education the responsibility to provide advice and information on the Department of Defense's domestic dependent elementary and secondary school system.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 575).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Protection of child custody arrangements for parents who are members of the Armed Forces (sec. 566)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 547) that would amend title II of the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act (50 U.S.C. App. 521 et seq.) to provide that if a court renders a temporary custody order based solely on the deployment or anticipated deployment of a servicemember, the court shall require the reinstatement of the prior custody order upon the return of the servicemember from deployment, unless the court finds that reinstatement is not in the best interest of the child. The provision would also prohibit a court from considering the absence of a servicemember by reason of deployment, or the possibility of deployment, as the sole factor in determining the best interest of the child.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that temporary custody orders for custodial responsibility for a child based solely on a deployment or anticipated deployment of a servicemember parent expire not later than the period justified by the deployment of the servicemember.

Improved consistency in data collection and reporting in Armed Forces suicide prevention efforts (sec. 567)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 546) that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe a policy for a standard method of collecting, reporting, and assessing suicide data involving members of the Armed Forces and their dependents, including reserve components. The Secretary would be required, within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to submit the policy to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would require the Secretary to prescribe a policy for the development of a standard method for collecting, reporting, and assessing suicide data and suicide attempt data involving members of the National Guard and Reserves, and to submit the policy to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill also contained a provision (sec. 576) that would require the Secretary to direct the service secretaries to develop and implement a program to track, retain, and analyze information on deaths that are reported as suicides involving dependents of members of the regular and reserve components of each respective military service and to submit a report on the programs developed to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to prescribe a policy for the development of a standard method for collecting, reporting, and assessing information regarding any suicide or attempted suicide involving Active-Duty servicemembers or members of the reserve components, and any death that is reported as a suicide involving a dependent of a member of the Armed Forces. The Secretary would be required to submit the policy to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives within 180 days of the date of the enactment of this Act. In addition, the secretaries of the military departments would be required to implement the policy not later than 180 days after the date of the submittal of the Secretary's policy.

Improved data collection related to efforts to reduce underemployment of spouses of members of the Armed Forces and close the wage gap between military spouses and their civilian counterparts (sec. 568)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 548) that would express the sense of Congress regarding military spouse unemployment and underemployment, as well as the need to close the wage gap that exists between military spouses and their civilian counterparts. The provision would also require the Secretary to collect data to evaluate the effectiveness of military spouse employment programs. Finally, the provision would require a report on the effectiveness of military spouse employment programs within 1 year of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would strike the findings and sense of Congress contained in the provision.

Subtitle G—Decorations and Awards

Medals for members of the Armed Forces and civilian employees of the Department of Defense who were killed or wounded in an attack by a foreign terrorist organization (sec. 571)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 571) that would add a new section 1129a to title 10, United States Code, to require that the Secretary concerned treat attacks inspired or motivated by a foreign terrorist organization as an attack by an international terrorist organization for the purpose of awarding the Purple Heart in certain circumstances. The provision would be retroactive to September 11, 2001, and would require the secretaries concerned to review each death or wounding of a member of the Armed Forces since that date to determine if the award of the Purple Heart would be appropriate under this revised standard.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 561) that would clarify that such an attack is considered to be an attack by a foreign terrorist organization if (a) the individual or entity making the attack was in communication with the foreign terrorist organization before the attack, and (b) the attack was inspired or motivated by the foreign terrorist organization.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note that under this revised standard for the award of the Purple Heart, the secretary concerned still retains the responsibility for making certain factual determinations prior to making the award. In considering the circumstances surrounding the November 5, 2009, shooting at Fort Hood, we believe servicemembers killed and wounded in that attack meet the revised criteria contained in this section.

Authorization for award of the Medal of Honor to members of the Armed Forces for acts of valor during World War I (sec. 572)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3812) contained a provision that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to William Shemin for acts of valor during World War I.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would authorize the President to award the Medal of Honor to Henry Johnson for acts of valor during World War I.

Subtitle H—Miscellaneous Reporting Requirements

Review and report on military programs and controls regarding professionalism (sec. 581)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 526) that would require the Secretary of Defense to communicate with the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representa-

tives regarding the mission, goals, and metrics for the Senior Advisor on Professionalism.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a preliminary review of the effectiveness of current programs and controls of the Department of Defense and the military departments regarding the professionalism of members of the Armed Forces, and to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than September 1, 2015, a report containing recommendations to strengthen professionalism programs in the Department of Defense.

We commend the Department of Defense for establishing the position of Senior Advisor on Professionalism to enhance professionalism programs in the Department of Defense.

Review and report on prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces (sec. 582)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 581) that would require the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, to conduct a review of Department of Defense (DOD) efforts regarding the prevention of suicide among members of U.S. Special Operations Forces (SOF) and their dependents. The report would be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, to conduct a review of DOD efforts regarding the prevention of suicide among members of U.S. SOF and their dependents. The report would be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives no later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Review and report on provision of job placement assistance and related employment services directly to members of the reserve components (sec. 583)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program to enhance the efforts of the Department of Defense (DOD) to provide job placement services directly to members of the National Guard and Reserves.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to review the feasibility of improving DOD efforts to provide job placement assistance and related em-

ployment services directly to members in the National Guard and Reserves, and to report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by no later than April 15, 2015, on the results of this review.

Report on foreign language, regional expertise, and culture considerations in overseas military operations (sec. 584)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 584) that would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to conduct a study on the integration of gender into the planning and execution of foreign operations of the Armed Forces and report to the congressional defense committees on the results of that study.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a detailed report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on how foreign language, regional expertise, and cultural considerations, including gender-based considerations in the context of foreign cultural norms, factor into the planning and execution of overseas operations and missions of the Armed Forces.

Deadline for submission of report containing results of review of Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity role in sexual harassment cases (sec. 585)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 585) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than June 1, 2015, a report containing the results of the review of the role of the Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity in sexual harassment cases conducted pursuant to section 1735 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 555).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the report to be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than April 1, 2015.

Independent assessment of risk and resiliency of United States Special Operations Forces and effectiveness of the Preservation of the Force and Families and Human Performance Programs (sec. 586)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 587) that would require the Director of the National Institute of Mental Health to conduct a study of the risk and resiliency of the U.S. Special Operations Forces and effectiveness of the U.S. Special Operations Command's (SOCOM) Preservation of the Force and Families Program (POTFF) on reducing risk and increasing resiliency.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to commission an independent study of the mental, behavioral, and psychological health challenges facing U.S. Special Operations Forces and the effectiveness of SOCOM's POTFF in addressing such issues.

We note that other federal agencies, including the National Institute of Mental Health, have relevant experience in assessing the mental, behavioral, and psychological health challenges facing members of the U.S. military and we believe such organizations may be able to provide valuable contributions to the assessment directed by this provision.

Comptroller General report on hazing in the Armed Forces (sec. 587)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 586) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to designated congressional committees, not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, a report on the policies to prevent hazing and systems initiated to track incidents of hazing in each of the Armed Forces. The provision would also require service secretaries to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, a report containing an update to the hazing reports required by section 534 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment that would require only the report by the Comptroller General.

Comptroller General report on impact of certain mental and physical trauma on discharges from military service for misconduct (sec. 588)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 524) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to Congress on the impact of mental and physical trauma relating to Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD), traumatic brain injury, behavioral health matters not related to PTSD, and other neurological combat traumas on the discharge of servicemembers for misconduct.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General to submit the report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

Subtitle I—Other Matters

Inspection of outpatient residential facilities occupied by recovering service members (sec. 591)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 591) that would modify the current reporting requirement for inspections of outpatient res-

idential facilities occupied by recovering servicemembers from an annual basis to at least once every 2 years.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Designation of voter assistance offices (sec. 592)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1072) that would amend section 1566a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize, but not require, service secretaries to designate offices on military installations to provide absent uniformed services voters and their family members with voting information and assistance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would amend section 1566a of title 10, United States Code, to require service secretaries to designate offices on installations under their jurisdiction, or at such installations as the secretary concerned shall determine are best located to provide access to voter assistance services for all covered individuals in a particular location, to provide absent uniformed services voters and their family members with voting information and assistance. The provision would also require service secretaries to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives with notice of any decision to close a previously designated voter assistance office.

Repeal of electronic voting demonstration project (sec. 593)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1076) that would repeal section 1604 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2002 (Public Law 107-107) that requires the Secretary of Defense to carry out an electronic voting demonstration project.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Authority for removal from national cemeteries of remains of certain deceased members of the Armed Forces who have no known next of kin (sec. 594)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 594) that would amend section 1488 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to authorize the removal of the remains of a member of the Armed Forces who has no known next of kin and is buried in an Army National Military Cemetery from the Army National Military Cemetery for transfer to any other cemetery. The provision would also authorize the Secretary of the Army, with the concurrence of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, to authorize the removal of the remains of a member of the Armed Forces who has no known next of kin and is buried in a cemetery of the National Cemetery System from that cemetery for transfer to any Army National Military Cemetery.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that this provision apply only to the remains of a member of the Armed Forces who has been awarded the Medal of Honor, and that the individual seeking the removal of the remains to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Secretary of the Army that the member of the Armed Forces concerned has no known next of kin or other person who is interested in maintaining the place of burial, and to undertake full responsibility for all expenses of the removal of the remains and the reburial of the remains at another cemetery.

Sense of Congress regarding leaving no member of the Armed Forces unaccounted for during the drawdown of United States forces in Afghanistan (sec. 595)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 593) that would express the sense of Congress that abandoning search efforts for members of the Armed Forces who are missing or captured is unacceptable; that the United States has a responsibility to deployed servicemembers, including to never leave behind a fallen comrade; and that while the United States redeploys from Afghanistan, it must fulfill these promises.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the provision with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that the United States should undertake every reasonable effort to search for and repatriate members of the Armed Forces who are missing and to repatriate such members who are captured.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Deferred retirement of chaplains

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 507) that would amend section 1253 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize service secretaries to defer the mandatory retirement for age of chaplains serving in a general or flag officer grade if the secretary determines that the deferral is in the best interest of the military department concerned.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We encourage the service secretaries to make liberal use of the authority contained in section 1251 of title 10, United States Code, to defer the mandatory retirement age of chaplains in grades below brigadier general or rear admiral (lower half), in the case of an officer in the Navy, when the deferral is in the best interest of the military department concerned.

Compliance with efficiencies directive

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 508) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the number of flag officers and generals are reduced to comply with the Department of Defense efficiencies directive dated March 14, 2011.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

National Guard civil and defense support activities and related matters

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 513) that would amend chapter 1 of title 32, United States Code, to authorize the use of the National Guard to provide assistance to support firefighting operations, missions, or activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Electronic tracking of certain reserve duty

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 514) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an electronic means by which members of the Ready Reserve could track Active-Duty service performed under certain mobilization authorities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Office of Employer Support for the Guard and Reserve

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 514) that would increase funding for the Office of Employer Support for the Guard and Reserve by \$4.0 million above the budget request.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

National Guard cyber protection teams

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 515) that would require a report within 90 days of enactment of this Act from the Chief of the National Guard Bureau on the progress of the Army National Guard to establish 10 Cyber Protection Teams.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that a similar reporting requirement is mandated elsewhere in this report.

Procedures for judicial review of military personnel decisions relating to correction of military records

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 521) that would amend chapter 79 of title 10, United States Code, to establish procedures for judicial review of certain final decisions regarding correction of military records.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Additional required elements of transition assistance program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 522) that would add to required transition assistance program elements information on certain education and other benefits administered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Protection of the religious freedom of military chaplains to close a prayer outside of a religious service according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the endorsing faith group

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would amend sections 3547, 4337, 6031, and 8547 of title 10, United States Code, to codify the prerogative of military service chaplains to close a prayer offered outside of a religious service according to the traditions, expressions, and religious exercises of the chaplain's endorsing faith group.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Senate on upgrade of characterization of discharge of certain Vietnam era members of the armed forces

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 525) that would express the sense of the Senate that Boards for Correction of Military Records, when considering a request for correction of a less-than-honorable discharge issued to a service member who served during the Vietnam era, should take into account whether the veteran was diagnosed with Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) as a result of such service.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Secretary of Defense issued supplemental guidance on September 3, 2014, directing Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records to fully and carefully consider every petition by veterans for upgrade of their military discharge based on PTSD.

Revised regulations for religious freedom

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 528) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Air Force to revise Department of Defense Instruction 1300.17 and Air Force Instruction 1-1, respectively, to ensure those instructions reflect protections of religious expression contained in section 533 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-81), as amended by section 532 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Preliminary mental health assessments

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530) that would require the secretaries of each of the military departments to provide

any individual enlisting in or being commissioned as an officer in an armed force with a mental health assessment prior to enlistment or commissioning.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Availability of additional leave for members of the Armed Forces in connection with the birth of a child

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 530A) that would require that servicemembers giving birth receive 42 days of convalescent leave and, at the discretion of the member, an additional 42 days of unpaid leave, in connection with the birth.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Authority for Joint Special Operations University to award degrees

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 532) that would authorize the Joint Special Operations University to confer appropriate degrees upon certain graduates.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Minimum confinement period required for conviction of certain sex-related offenses committed by members of the Armed Forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 536) that would amend Article 56 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (section 856 of title 10, United States Code) to require that the sentence of a member convicted by court-martial of specified sex-related offenses include confinement for 2 years or more, except as provided in Article 60 of the Uniform Code of Military Justice (section 860 of title 10 United States Code).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Establishment of phone service for prompt reporting of hazing involving a member of the Armed Forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 540A) that would require service secretaries to develop and implement a phone service through which an individual can anonymously call to report incidents of hazing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Additional enhancements of military department actions on sexual assault prevention and response

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 545(d)) that would include in the 8-day incident report of an unrestricted report of sexual assault a review of the most recent climate assessments of the command or unit of a suspect and the command

or unit of the victim, and an assessment of whether another command climate assessment should be conducted.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that it is good practice to review command climate surveys of the units of the victim and of the suspect whenever there is an unrestricted report of sexual assault. The survey of the unit of the victim should be reviewed to ensure that the command climate is conducive to caring for the victim, and the climate of the unit of the suspect should be reviewed to determine whether the command climate contributed to the alleged sexual assault.

Collaboration between the Department of Defense and the Department of Justice in efforts to prevent and respond to sexual assault

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 553) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Attorney General to jointly develop a strategic framework for ongoing collaboration between the Department of Defense and the Department of Justice in their efforts to prevent and respond to sexual assault.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on tuition assistance

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 555) that would require the Secretary of the Army to submit a report on the Army's policy that soldiers serve for a period of 1 year after the completion of certain initial training requirements before they would be eligible for tuition assistance benefits.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Support for efforts to improve academic achievement and transition of military dependent students

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 564) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide grants to non-profit organizations that provide services to improve the academic achievement of military dependent students, to include those non-profit organizations whose programs focus on improving the civic responsibility of students and their understanding of the Federal Government through direct exposure to the operations of the Federal Government.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Retroactive award of Army Combat Action Badge

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 572) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Army Combat Action Badge to a person who, while a member of the Army, participated in combat during which the person personally engaged, or was personally engaged by, the enemy at any time during the period beginning on December 7, 1941, and ending on September 18,

2001, if the Secretary determines that the person has not been previously recognized in an appropriate manner for such participation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

On March 20, 2014, the Secretary of Defense directed a comprehensive review of the Department of Defense's military decorations and awards program to ensure that it provides avenues to appropriately recognize the service, sacrifices, and actions of military personnel. We request that this comprehensive review include a review of the proposal for the retroactive award of the Army Combat Action Badge.

Report on Navy review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 573) that would require the Secretary of the Navy to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives a report describing the Navy's review, findings, and actions pertaining to the Medal of Honor nomination of Marine Corps Sergeant Rafael Peralta not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Recognition of Wereth massacre of 11 African-American soldiers of the United States Army during the Battle of the Bulge

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 574) that would recognize the dedicated service and ultimate sacrifice on behalf of the United States of the 11 African-American soldiers of the 333rd Field Artillery Battalion of the United States Army who were massacred in Wereth, Belgium, during the Battle of the Bulge on December 17, 1944.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that this is the 70th anniversary of the massacre during the Battle of the Bulge, at Wereth, Belgium, and commend the dedicated service and recognize the ultimate sacrifice of these courageous men.

Report on Army review, findings, and actions pertaining to Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 575) that would require the Secretary of the Army to conduct a review of the initial review, findings, and actions undertaken by the Army in connection with the Medal of Honor nomination of Captain William L. Albracht and to submit a report describing the results of the review to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 30 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Inspector General of the Department of Defense review of separation of members of the Armed Forces who made unrestricted reports of sexual assault

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 582) that would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense (DOD) to conduct a review to: (1) identify all members of the Armed Forces who, since January 1, 2002, were separated from the Armed Forces after making an unrestricted report of sexual assault; (2) determine the circumstances of and grounds for each such separation; and (3) if an identified servicemember was separated on the grounds of having a personality or adjustment disorder, determine whether the separation was carried out in compliance with DOD instructions, regulations, directives, and policies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Working group on Integrated Disability Evaluation System

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 592) that would establish within the Department of Veterans Affairs-Department of Defense Joint Executive Committee a working group to carry out a 3-year pilot program to evaluate and reform the Integrated Disability Evaluation System.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Access of congressional caseworkers to information about Department of Veterans Affairs casework brokered to other offices of the Department

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 595) that would provide that if Department of Veterans Affairs casework is brokered out to another office of the Department from its original submission site, a caseworker in a congressional office may contact the brokered office to receive an update on the constituent's case, and the Department would be required to update the congressional staffer regardless of thoughts on jurisdiction.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress regarding the recovery of the remains of certain members of the Armed Forces killed in Thurston Island, Antarctica

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 597) that would express the sense of Congress that the remains of servicemembers killed at Thurston Island, Antarctica should be recovered and repatriated.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Name of the Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense joint outpatient clinic, Marina, California

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 598) that would designate the Department of Veterans Affairs and Department of Defense joint outpatient clinic in Marina, California as the Major General William H. Gourley VA–DOD Outpatient Clinic.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress regarding preservation of Second Amendment rights of Active Duty military personnel stationed or residing in the District of Columbia

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 599) that would express the sense of Congress that Active-Duty military personnel who are stationed or residing in the District of Columbia should be permitted to exercise fully their rights under the Second Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and, therefore, should be exempt from the District of Columbia's restrictions on the possession of firearms.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

Subtitle A—Pay and Allowances

No fiscal year 2015 increase in basic pay for general and flag officers (sec. 601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would freeze the monthly basic pay for all general and flag officers, including for those whose monthly basic pay is limited to the rate of pay for level II of the Executive Schedule.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 601(c)).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Extension of authority to provide temporary increase in rates of basic allowance for housing under certain circumstances (sec. 602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 601) that would extend for 1 year the authority of the Secretary of Defense to temporarily increase the rate of basic allowance for housing in areas impacted by natural disasters or experiencing a sudden influx of personnel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 604).

The agreement includes this provision.

Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau and Senior Enlisted Advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau among senior members of the Armed Forces for purposes of pay and allowances (sec. 603)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 602) that would provide pay parity for the Chief of the National Guard Bureau with the other members of the Joint Chiefs of Staff. The provision would also provide pay parity for the senior enlisted advisor to the Chief of the National Guard Bureau with the senior enlisted advisors of the Armed Forces. The changes made by this provision would be prospective to the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of computation of basic allowance for housing inside the United States (sec. 604)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 603) that would amend section 403(b) of title 37, United States Code, to revise the method by which the monthly amount of the basic allowance for housing (BAH) is determined by authorizing the Secretary of Defense to reduce the monthly amount by up to 5 percent of the national average for housing for a given pay grade and dependency status.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary to reduce the monthly amount of BAH by up to 1 percent of the national average for housing for a given pay grade and dependency status. Servicemembers would not see any reduction in their BAH until they undergo a permanent change of duty station. This provision would not apply to veterans benefits paid under title 38, United States Code. This provision would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to pay the appropriate veterans benefits under title 38, United States Code, as the Secretary would otherwise have issued those benefits without regard to changes made to the BAH under this section.

We note that while the Department of Defense (DOD) legislative proposal included proposed changes to BAH that would have been implemented over the next 3 years, this agreement includes those changes to BAH that the committees understand would have been implemented by DOD in 2015. By adopting changes to BAH beginning in the first year of the proposal, the agreement preserves the option for Congress to achieve the full savings requested by DOD.

This approach does not constitute a rejection of the administration proposal, which was endorsed by the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Rather, consideration of further changes to BAH in fiscal years 2016, 2017, and beyond is deferred until after the committees receive the report of the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission, which is due in February 2015. The two committees commit to consider proposed changes to BAH that are included in the fiscal year 2016 budget request as part of the consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.

We note that if sequestration-level budgets remain in effect for fiscal year 2016 and beyond, DOD will need to make painful cuts

and achieve substantial savings across its entire budget in order to avoid an unacceptable reduction in readiness of the Armed Forces of the United States. The Chiefs have urged us to take all action necessary, including compensation adjustments, to avoid such readiness impacts.

The Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives intend to work with the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives next year in an effort to harmonize BAH rates paid by the Department of Defense and by the Department of Veterans' Affairs.

Subtitle B—Bonuses and Special and Incentive Pays

One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for reserve forces (sec. 611)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 611) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the Selected Reserve reenlistment bonus, the Selected Reserve affiliation or enlistment bonus, special pay for enlisted members assigned to certain high-priority units, the Ready Reserve enlistment bonus for persons without prior service, the Ready Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service, the Selected Reserve enlistment and reenlistment bonus for persons with prior service, travel expenses for certain Inactive-Duty training, and income replacement for reserve component members experiencing extended and frequent mobilization for Active Duty service.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 611) that would also extend for 1 year the authority to pay the contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps.

The agreement includes the House provision.

One-year extension of certain bonus and special pay authorities for health care professionals (sec. 612)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 612) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the nurse officer candidate accession bonus, education loan repayment for certain health professionals who serve in the Selected Reserve, accession and retention bonuses for psychologists, the accession bonus for registered nurses, incentive special pay for nurse anesthetists, special pay for Selected Reserve health professionals in critically short wartime specialties, the accession bonus for dental officers, the accession bonus for pharmacy officers, the accession bonus for medical officers in critically short wartime specialties, and the accession bonus for dental specialist officers in critically short wartime specialties.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 612).

The agreement includes this provision.

One-year extension of special pay and bonus authorities for nuclear officers (sec. 613)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 613) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the special pay for nuclear-qualified

officers extending period of active service, the nuclear career accession bonus, and the nuclear career annual incentive bonus.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 613).

The agreement includes this provision.

One-year extension of authorities relating to title 37 consolidated special pay, incentive pay, and bonus authorities (sec. 614)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 614) that would extend for 1 year the general bonus authority for enlisted members, the general bonus authority for officers, special bonus and incentive pay authorities for nuclear officers, special aviation incentive pay and bonus authorities for officers, special bonus and incentive pay authorities for officers in health professions, and the contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Officers' Training Corps. The provision would also extend for 1 year the authority to pay hazardous duty pay, assignment or special duty pay, skill incentive pay or proficiency bonus, and retention incentives for members qualified in critical military skills or assigned to high priority units.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 614) that did not include the extension of the contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen as that extension was contained elsewhere in the Senate committee-reported bill.

The agreement includes the House provision.

One-year extension of authorities relating to payment of other title 37 bonuses and special pays (sec. 615)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 615) that would extend for 1 year the authority to pay the aviation officer retention bonus, the assignment incentive pay, the reenlistment bonus for active members, the enlistment bonus, the foreign language proficiency incentive pay for certain members of precommissioning programs, the accession bonus for new officers in critical skills, the incentive bonus for conversion to military occupational specialty to ease personnel shortage, the incentive bonus for transfer between armed forces, and the accession bonus for officer candidates.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 615).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle C—Disability Pay, Retired Pay, and Survivor Benefits

Earlier determination of dependent status with respect to transitional compensation for dependents of certain members separated for dependent abuse (sec. 621)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 545) that would amend section 1059 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that the date on which a dependent child's status is determined for the purposes of transitional compensation under that section, in the case of a member being administratively separated, is the date on which the separation action is commenced. This aligns the dependent status determination with the date on which transitional compensation payments begin under that section in these cases.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 624).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Modification of determination of retired pay base for officers retired in general and flag officer grades (sec. 622)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 622) that would amend section 1407a of title 10, United States Code, to reinstate the cap on retired pay of general and flag officers at the monthly equivalent of level II of the Executive Schedule, as otherwise provided for in section 203(a)(2) of title 37, United States Code. The provision would ensure the equitable treatment of the service of general and flag officers who are retired after December 31, 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with numerous technical amendments and an amendment to ensure equitable treatment of general and flag officers who are retired under chapter 1223 of title 10, United States Code, but who are transferred to the retired reserve prior to December 31, 2014.

Inapplicability of reduced annual adjustment of retired pay for members of the Armed Forces under the age of 62 under the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 who first become members prior to January 1, 2016 (sec. 623)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 621) that would amend subparagraph (G) of section 1401a(b)(4) of title 10, United States Code, to exempt those who first join military service prior to January 1, 2016, from the reduced cost of living adjustment (COLA) applicable to military retired pay made by section 403 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2013 (Public Law 113–67), as amended by section 2 of Public Law 113–82. Under current law, this reduced COLA is inapplicable to members who first join prior to January 1, 2014.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with a technical amendment.

Survivor Benefit Plan annuities for special needs trusts established for the benefit of dependent children incapable of self-support (sec. 624)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 625) that would amend sections 1448, 1450, and 1455 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the payment of the Survivor Benefit Plan annuity to a special needs trust created under subparagraph (A) or (C) of section 1396p(d)(4) of title 42, United States Code, for the sole benefit of a disabled dependent child incapable of self-support because of mental or physical incapacity.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with a technical amendment.

The report required on page 584 of the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) is no longer required.

Modification of per-fiscal year calculation of days of certain active duty or active service to reduce eligibility age for retirement for non-regular service (sec. 625)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 623) that would amend section 12731(f)(2)(A) of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that qualifying days of service under that section to reduce the age at which a servicemember may receive reserve retired pay may be accumulated between 2 consecutive fiscal years, effective after the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would apply the change in law to service performed after September 30, 2014.

Subtitle D—Commissary and Nonappropriated Fund
Instrumentality Benefits and Operations

Procurement of brand-name and other commercial items for resale by commissary stores (sec. 631)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would amend section 2484 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to purchase any commercial item, including brand-name and generic items, for resale in, at, or by commissary stores without using full and open competition procurement procedures.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Authority of nonappropriated fund instrumentalities to enter into contracts with other Federal agencies and instrumentalities to provide and obtain certain goods and services (sec. 632)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 631) that would amend section 2492 of title 10, United States Code, to provide the Department of Defense (DOD) authority to provide or obtain food services beneficial to the efficient management and operation of the dining facilities on military installations offering food services to servicemembers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

This change to section 2942 of title 10 and the implementation of the food transformation program should not result in the loss of employment pursuant to the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 8501 et seq.).

However, we are concerned with the lack of regulatory guidance on the application of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 8501 et seq.) and Randolph-Sheppard Act (20 U.S.C. 107 et seq.) to military dining facilities. We previously sought to resolve this longstanding issue by requiring a Joint Policy Statement in section 848 of Public Law 109–163 and enacting a permanent “no-poaching” provision in section 856 of Public Law 109–364. However, without complementary regulations to implement the Joint Policy Statement, confusion remains on when to apply the two acts, particu-

larly with regard to new contracts that are not covered by section 856 of Public Law 109–364.

Pursuant to the Joint Policy Statement, the Randolph-Sheppard Act applies to contracts for the operation of a military dining facility, or full food services, and the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act applies to contracts and subcontracts for dining support services, or dining facility attendant services, for the operation of a military dining facility.

Not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall prescribe implementing regulations for the application of the two acts to military dining facilities. Such regulations shall implement the Joint Policy Statement and specifically address DOD contracts that are not covered by section 856 of Public Law 109–364.

Competitive pricing of legal consumer tobacco products sold in Department of Defense retail stores (sec. 633)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 633) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense and the service secretaries from taking any action to implement any new policy that would limit, restrict, or ban the sale of any legal consumer product category sold as of January 1, 2014, in the defense commissary system or exchange stores system on any military installation, domestically or overseas, or on any Department of Defense vessel at sea.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to issue regulations regarding the pricing of tobacco and tobacco-related products sold in an outlet of the defense retail systems inside the United States, including territories and possessions of the United States, to prohibit the sale of a product at a price below the most competitive price for that product in the local community. The provision would also require the regulations to direct that the price of these products sold in an outlet of the defense retail systems outside of the United States shall be within the range of prices established for the product in outlets of the defense retail systems inside the United States.

Review of management, food, and pricing options for defense commissary system (sec. 634)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 632) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review, utilizing the services of an independent organization experienced in grocery retail analysis, of the defense commissary system. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review not later than February 1, 2015.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3866) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the impact that eliminating or re-

ducing the commissary subsidy would have on eligible beneficiaries.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review, utilizing the services of an independent organization experienced in grocery retail analysis, of the defense commissary system to include the impact that eliminating or reducing the commissary subsidy would have on eligible beneficiaries. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report containing the results of the review not later than September 1, 2015.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Fiscal year 2015 increase in military basic pay

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 601(a) and (b)) that would authorize an across-the-board pay raise for members of the uniformed services of 1 percent effective January 1, 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the President has exercised his authority under section 1009(e) of title 37, United States Code, to implement an alternative across-the-board pay raise for members of the uniformed services for calendar year 2015 of 1 percent rather than the 1.8 percent that would otherwise be required under subsection (a) of section 1009.

Authority to enter into contracts for the provision of relocation services

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 621) that would provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to authorize base commanders to enter into contracts with appropriate entities for the provision of relocation services to servicemembers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Transportation on military aircraft on a space-available basis for disabled veterans with a service-connected, permanent disability rated as total

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 622) that would amend section 2641b of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide space-available travel on military aircraft to veterans with service-connected, permanent disabilities rated as total.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Prohibition on the use of funds to close commissary stores

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 634) that would prohibit the use of funds to close any commissary stores.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Anonymous survey of members of the Armed Forces regarding their preferences for military pay and benefits

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 641) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out an anonymous survey of random servicemembers regarding military pay and benefits.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Availability for purchase of Department of Veterans Affairs memorial headstones and markers for members of reserve components who performed certain training

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 642) that would require the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to make memorial headstones and markers available for purchase by members of reserve components who performed certain training.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Tricare and Other Health Care Benefits

Mental health assessments for members of the Armed Forces (sec. 701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would amend section 1074m of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to provide person-to-person mental health screenings once during each 180-day period in which a servicemember is deployed.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 701) that would require the Secretary to provide a person-to-person mental health assessment for Active Duty and Selected Reserve members each year. The Secretary may provide such assessments in conjunction with annual periodic health assessments or pre- or post-deployment health assessments. In addition, the provision would require the Secretary to submit an annual report on the tools and processes used to provide the assessments.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to provide a person-to-person mental health assessment for Active Duty and Selected Reserve members each year (such assessments may be provided in conjunction with annual periodic health assessments or pre- or post-deployment health assessments) and to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the tools and processes used to provide the assessments. The amendment would also require the Secretary, through 2018, to provide person-to-person mental health screenings once during each 180-day period in which a member is deployed.

Modifications of cost-sharing and other requirements for the TRICARE Pharmacy Benefits Program (sec. 702)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would amend section 1074g of title 10, United States Code, to modify the TRICARE pharmacy benefits program by specifying that non-formulary prescriptions would be available through the national mail-order pharmacy program, establishing prescription copayments from 2015 through 2024, and requiring that non-generic prescription maintenance medications be refilled through military treatment facility pharmacies or the national mail-order pharmacy program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make the provision specifying that non-formulary prescriptions would be available through the national mail-order pharmacy program and the provision requiring that non-generic prescription maintenance medications be refilled through military treatment facility pharmacies or the national mail-order pharmacy program beginning on October 1, 2015. The agreement also modifies prescription copayments beginning in 2015.

We note that while the Department of Defense (DOD) legislative proposal included proposed changes to the TRICARE pharmaceutical co-pays for fiscal years 2015 through 2024, this agreement includes changes beginning in fiscal year 2015. By adopting co-payment changes beginning the first year of the proposal, the agreement preserves the option for Congress to achieve most of the savings requested by DOD.

This approach does not constitute a rejection of the DOD proposal, which was endorsed by the Joint Chiefs of Staff. Rather, consideration of further changes to co-pays is deferred until after the committees receive the report of the Military Compensation and Retirement Modernization Commission, which is due in February 2015. The two committees commit to consider proposed changes to co-pays that are included in the FY 2016 budget request as part of the consideration of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2016.

We note that if sequestration-level budgets remain in effect for Fiscal Year 2016 and beyond, DOD will need to make painful cuts and achieve substantial savings across its entire budget in order to avoid an unacceptable reduction in readiness of the Armed Forces of the United States.

Elimination of inpatient day limits and other limits in provision of mental health services (sec. 703)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would amend section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, to remove limits on inpatient mental health services, removing a potential barrier to receipt of mental health care that does not exist for other medical and surgical care.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Authority for provisional TRICARE coverage for emerging health care services and supplies (sec. 704)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 705) that would amend section 1073 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide provisional coverage or authorization for coverage for certain health care products and services that do not meet the hierarchy of reliable evidence as prescribed in federal regulations for the TRICARE program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would amend chapter 55 of Title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, to provide provisional coverage for the provision of a service or supply if the Secretary determines that such service or supply is widely recognized in the United States as being safe and effective.

Clarification of provision of food to former members and dependents not receiving inpatient care in military medical treatment facilities (sec. 705)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 702) that would amend section 1078b of title 10, United States Code, to allow former members and their dependents to receive food and beverages at no cost for those who are receiving certain outpatient care in military medical treatment facilities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Availability of breastfeeding support, supplies, and counseling under the TRICARE program (sec. 706)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 703) that would amend section 1079 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize breastfeeding support, supplies, and counseling during pregnancy and the postpartum period as a covered benefit for TRICARE beneficiaries.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 704).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Health Care Administration

Provision of notice of change to TRICARE benefits (sec. 711)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 715) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide TRICARE beneficiaries and providers with written notice if any significant changes are made in policy regarding services provided under the TRICARE program or in payment rates of more than 20 percent.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide TRICARE beneficiaries with notice if any significant changes are made in the

structure of or benefits provided under the TRICARE program or in beneficiary cost-share rates of more than 20 percent.

Surveys on continued viability of TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra (sec. 712)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 712) that would change the frequency of the reports of the reviews submitted to Congress by the Comptroller General of the United States regarding the processes, procedures, and analysis used by the Department of Defense (DOD) to determine the adequacy of the number of health care providers who accept TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra. The Comptroller General would report on reviews during 2017, 2020, and at such other times as requested by the Comptroller General or the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 707) that would repeal the requirement for ongoing Comptroller General review of the processes, procedures, and analysis used by DOD to determine health care and mental health care provider acceptance of the TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra benefit.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would change the frequency of the reports of the reviews submitted to Congress by the Comptroller General regarding the processes, procedures, and analysis used by DOD to determine the adequacy of the number of health care providers who accept TRICARE Standard and TRICARE Extra. The Comptroller General would report on reviews during 2017 and 2020 only.

Review of military health system modernization study (sec. 713)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 714) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the Military Medical Treatment Facility Modernization Study directed by the Resource Management Decision of the Department of Defense (DOD) MP-D-01. The report would include the study data, for a 12-year period, used by the Secretary and the results of the study with regard to recommendations to restructure or realign military medical treatment facilities. Further, the provision would require the Comptroller General of the United States, not later than 180 days after the Secretary submits the report required, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the report submitted by the Secretary of Defense, to include an assessment of the study methodology and data used by the Secretary. The Secretary would be prohibited from realigning or restructuring a military medical treatment facility until 120 days following the date the Comptroller General is required to submit the report.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 736) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report assessing the Military Health System Modernization Study of DOD to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number SA3901) contained a provision that would in-

clude in the Senate provision Comptroller General report elements requiring the Comptroller General, with respect to each military medical treatment facility covered by the modernization study, to assess whether the Secretary of Defense consulted with the appropriate training directorate, training and doctrine command, and forces command of the military department concerned with respect to the frequency of high-tempo, live-fire military operations at such training centers; and assess the capacity of each medical facility in the surrounding area of a major military training center to treat battlefield related injuries, including whether such facility has a helipad capable of receiving medical evacuation airlift patients arriving from the primary evacuation aircraft platform used by such training center.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the Military Medical Treatment Facility Modernization Study directed by the Resource Management Decision of DOD MP-D-01. The report would include the study data, for a 6-year period, used by the Secretary and the results of the study with regard to recommendations to restructure or realign military medical treatment facilities, as well as assessments of whether the military medical treatment facilities included in the modernization study have a helipad capable of receiving medical evacuation airlift patients arriving on the primary evacuation aircraft platform for the military installation served; and whether the Secretary consulted with the appropriate training directorate, training and doctrine command, and forces command of the military department concerned with respect to the frequency of high-tempo, live-fire military operations, and treating battlefield-like injuries, at locations that serve as military training centers. Further, the provision would require the Comptroller General of the United States, not later than 180 days after the Secretary submits the report required, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the report submitted by the Secretary of Defense, to include an assessment of the study methodology and data used by the Secretary. The Secretary would be prohibited from realigning or restructuring a military medical treatment facility based on the modernization study until 90 days following the date the Comptroller General is required to submit the report.

Subtitle C—Reports and Other Matters

Designation and responsibilities of senior medical advisor for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 721)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 722) that would amend section 1513A of the Armed Forces Retirement Home Act of 1991 (24 U.S.C. 413a) to make technical corrections regarding the designation of the Senior Medical Advisor for the Retirement Home to reflect the disestablishment of the TRICARE Management Activity and creation of the new Defense Health Agency. The provision would also replace the reference to the health care standards of the Department of Veterans Affairs with the more appropriate nationally recognized health care standards and requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1424).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of authority for joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund (sec. 722)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would amend section 1704(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) to extend the termination date of the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund from September 30, 2015, to September 30, 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 722).

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on status of reductions in TRICARE Prime service areas (sec. 723)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 726) that would amend section 732 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), as amended by section 701 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), to require the Secretary of Defense, within 180 days of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of reducing the availability of TRICARE Prime in regions where it has been reduced.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 706) that would require the Secretary, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the status of the reduction of TRICARE Prime service areas conducted by the Department of Defense.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would amend section 732 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (10 U.S.C. 1079a note) to require the Secretary, within 180 days of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the status of reducing the availability of TRICARE Prime in regions where it has been reduced.

Extension of authority to provide rehabilitation and vocational benefits to members of the Armed Forces with severe injuries or illnesses (sec. 724)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3833) contained a provision that would amend section 1631(b)(2) of the Wounded Warrior Act (title XVI of Public Law 110–181) to extend for 1 year the authority of the Secretary of Veterans Affairs to provide rehabilitation and vocational benefits to members of the Armed Forces with severe injuries or illnesses.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

*Acquisition strategy for health care professional staffing services
(sec. 725)*

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 724) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and carry out an acquisition strategy with respect to entering into contracts for the services of health care professional staff at military medical treatment facilities. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the status of implementing the acquisition strategy not later than April 1, 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 723) that would require the Secretary to develop a Department of Defense-wide strategy for contracting for health care professionals for the Department of Defense. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the strategy not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to develop and carry out an acquisition strategy with respect to entering into contracts for the services of health care professional staff at military medical treatment facilities. The amendment would also require the Secretary to submit a report on the status of implementing the acquisition strategy not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Pilot program on medication therapy management under TRICARE program (sec. 726)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 725) that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out a pilot program for at least 2 years at not less than three locations to evaluate the feasibility and desirability of including medication therapy management as part of the TRICARE program. The program would be focused on improving patient medication use and outcomes using best commercial practices in medication therapy management and would quantify effectiveness by measuring patient medication use and outcomes as well as health care costs. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a report on the results of the pilot program to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 months after the program commences.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 724) that would require the Secretary to carry out a program of comprehensive, uniform medication management in military medical treatment facilities and to submit a report describing this program to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The agreement includes the House provision.

We note that although the requirement is to carry out the pilot program at two military treatment facilities and one additional point of service, we expect the Secretary to utilize prescription drug data from all three points of service (military treatment facility, mail order, and retail) in order to provide an accurate assessment.

Antimicrobial stewardship program at medical facilities of the Department of Defense (sec. 727)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3392) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out an antimicrobial stewardship program at Department of Defense (DOD) medical facilities. In carrying out the program, the Secretary would be required to: develop a consistent manner to collect and analyze data on antibiotic usage, health issues related to antibiotic usage such as *Clostridium difficile* infections, and antimicrobial resistance trends; provide data on antibiotic usage and antimicrobial resistance trends at DOD medical facilities to the National Healthcare Safety Network of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; and submit to the congressional defense committees a strategy for carrying out the program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to carry out an antimicrobial stewardship program at DOD medical facilities. In carrying out the program, the Secretary would be required to: develop a consistent manner to collect and analyze data on antibiotic usage, health issues related to antibiotic usage, and antimicrobial resistance trends, and submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a plan for carrying out the program.

Report on improvements in the identification and treatment of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces (sec. 728)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 732) that would require the secretaries of the military departments, not less than once each year, to contract with a third-party unaffiliated with the Department of Veterans Affairs or the Department of Defense to conduct an evaluation of the mental health care and suicide prevention programs carried out by each secretary.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 733) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, a report evaluating the tools, processes, and best practices to improve the identification and treatment of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces. The provision would also authorize the Secretary, in conducting the evaluation, to consult with an advisory council composed of: behavioral health officers of the Public Health Service; mental health and other health providers who serve service members in the active duty and reserve components; the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs; the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs; the secretaries of the military departments; the Chief of the National Guard Bureau; the Secretary of Veterans Affairs; the Secretary of Health and Human Services; the Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; the Administrator of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services

Administration; the Director of the National Institutes of Health; and the President of the Institute of Medicine.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, a report evaluating the tools, processes, and best practices to improve the identification and treatment of mental health conditions and traumatic brain injury among members of the Armed Forces.

Report on efforts to treat infertility of military families (sec. 729)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 734) that would require the Secretary of Defense, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the steps the Secretary is taking to ensure that members of the Armed Forces and their dependents have access to reproductive counseling and a full spectrum of treatments for infertility, including in vitro fertilization.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report assessing the access of members of the Armed Forces and their dependents to reproductive counseling and treatments for infertility.

Report on implementation of recommendations of Institute of Medicine on improvements to certain resilience and prevention programs of the Department of Defense (sec. 730)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 734) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, a report setting forth an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of implementing the recommendations of the Institute of Medicine (IOM) regarding improvements to programs of the Department of Defense (DOD) intended to strengthen the mental, emotional, and behavioral abilities associated with managing adversity, adapting to change, recovering, and learning in connection with military service. The report would be required to include the DOD's assessment of the IOM report's findings and recommendations, the DOD's actions taken to implement the report's recommendations, and for any recommendations not implemented, the rationale for not implementing those recommendations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, a report setting forth an assessment of the feasibility and advisability of implementing the recommendations of the IOM regarding improvements to programs of DOD intended to strengthen the mental,

emotional, and behavioral abilities associated with managing adversity, adapting to change, recovering, and learning in connection with military service.

Comptroller General report on transition of care for post-traumatic stress disorder or traumatic brain injury (sec. 731)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 727) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that assesses the transition of care for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) or traumatic brain injury (TBI). The report would be due not later than April 1, 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General to submit to the congressional defense committees and the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report that assesses the transition of care for PTSD or TBI, not later than September 1, 2015.

Comptroller General report on mental health stigma reduction efforts in the Department of Defense (sec. 732)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3387) contained a provision that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to carry out a review of the policies, procedures, and programs of the Department of Defense to reduce the stigma associated with mental health treatment for members of the Armed Forces and deployed civilian employees of the Department of Defense. The report would be submitted to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2016.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the report to be submitted to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives not later than March 1, 2016.

Comptroller General report on women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces and other covered beneficiaries (sec. 733)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3811) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report, not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, on women's health care services for members of the Armed Forces on Active Duty and other covered beneficiaries. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States, not later than 180 days after the submittal of the Secretary's report, to submit to Congress a report providing an assessment of the Secretary's report.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Comptroller General to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act, a report on women's health care services for members of the armed forces serving on Active Duty and other covered beneficiaries.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Behavioral health treatment of developmental disabilities under the TRICARE program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 704) that would amend section 1077 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize behavioral health treatment, including applied behavior analysis (ABA) therapy, for all developmental disabilities as defined by section 15002(8) of title 42, United States Code, including autism spectrum disorders, when prescribed by a physician or psychologist to be covered under the basic TRICARE program for certain beneficiaries.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that in July of this year the Department of Defense consolidated its three preexisting programs that provided ABA therapy for beneficiaries with autism spectrum disorder into its TRICARE Comprehensive Autism Care Demonstration program. This consolidation included a pilot program mandated by Congress in section 705 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), which provided an ABA therapy reinforcement benefit for family members of retirees. The Department of Defense is required to provide the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives with a report on the results of that pilot program, to include recommendations with regard to beneficiary cost-shares for the benefit. This report is expected in early 2015.

Cooperative health care agreements between the military departments and non-military health care entities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 711) that would authorize the secretaries of the military departments to establish cooperative health care agreements between military installations and local or regional non-military health care entities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Limitation on transfer or elimination of graduate medical education billets

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 713) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from transferring or eliminating a graduate medical education billet from a military medical treatment facility unless the Secretary conducts a review of at least 2 years of the implementation of the reform of the administration of the Military Health System, examines recruiting and retention of

medical professionals with regard to the Department of Defense's graduate medical education programs, determines the assignment of such billets, and certifies to the congressional defense committees that any proposed transfer of a billet meets the needs of the military departments and patients.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund matters

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 721) that would amend sections 1111, 1113, 1115, and 1116 of title 10, United States Code, to modify the method by which the Federal Government makes accrual payments into the Department of Defense Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Care Fund (Fund), by changing from a lump sum Treasury payment at the beginning of a fiscal year to monthly payments by the military services out of military personnel accounts, and would authorize the Secretary of Defense to change the actuarial determination required by section 1115 of title 10, United States Code, in the event Congress enacts significant benefit changes after such determination was made.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

For the last several years, the Department of Defense (DOD) has proposed legislation that, if enacted, would reduce its annual contribution to the Fund. These proposals have come as part of the administration's budget submission, and DOD continues to assume discretionary savings in its budget that are tied to enactment of these proposals, despite the fact that (1) there is no guarantee that Congress will support the proposals, and (2) even if Congress were to support such proposals, the timing of the annual contribution to the Fund precludes DOD from realizing discretionary savings in the year of execution, unless the annual defense bill is passed and signed by the President prior to October 1st. We find this practice of assuming savings disingenuous at worst, and short-sighted and impractical at best.

Until a method is devised for DOD to realize year-of-execution savings with respect to its contributions to the Fund, we strongly urge DOD to cease its practice of assuming year-of-execution discretionary savings from legislative proposals that effect outlays from the Fund. Such practice leaves DOD with military personnel funding shortfalls even if Congress supports legislative proposals that yield short-term discretionary savings.

Research regarding Alzheimer's disease

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 723) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to carry out research, development, test, and evaluation activities with respect to Alzheimer's disease.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Briefing on hospitals in arrears in payments to Department of Defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 728) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a briefing on the process used by the Defense Health Agency (DHA) to collect payments from non-Department of Defense (DOD) hospitals.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to provide, not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the process used by DHA to collect payments from non-DOD hospitals, to include a list of each hospital that is more than 90 days in arrears in payments to the Secretary, including the amount of arrears by 30-day increments for each such hospital.

Research regarding breast cancer

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 729) that would require the Secretary of Defense to implement the recommendations of the Interagency Breast Cancer and Environmental Research Coordinating Committee (IBCERCC) to prioritize prevention and increase the study of chemical and physical factors in breast cancer.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program (BCRP) has implemented recommendations of the IBCERCC and has prioritized primary prevention as one of the BCRP's ten overarching challenges. In addition, the study of chemical and physical factors in primary prevention is one of several recommendations made by the IBCERCC to address the primary prevention of breast cancer. The intent of the program is to enable researchers to submit proposals that address any of the overarching challenges.

Sense of Congress regarding access to mental health services by members of the armed forces

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 730) that would express the sense of Congress that servicemembers should have adequate access to the mental health care and support that they need, that public-private mental health partnerships can provide the Department of Defense (DOD) with enhanced capability to treat servicemembers, and that DOD should fully implement the pilot program authorized under section 706 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Evaluation of wounded warrior care and transition program

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 731) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into a contract with a private organization to evaluate the wounded warrior care and transition program of the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on military family planning programs of the Department of Defense

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 731) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a comprehensive study of the access of servicemembers and certain military dependents to methods of contraception approved by the Food and Drug Administration, contraception counseling, and related education, and to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report of the findings of this study no later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Interagency working group on the provision of mental health services to members of the National Guard and the Reserves

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 732) that would require the Secretary of Defense to convene an interagency working group to review and recommend collaborative approaches to improving the provision of mental health services to members of the National Guard and Reserves and to submit a report on the findings and recommendations of the interagency working group to the appropriate congressional committees not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Primary blast injury research

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 733) that would require the Psychological Health and Traumatic Brain Injury Research Program, a congressionally directed medical research program, to conduct a study on blast injury mechanics.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on Department of Defense support of members of the armed forces who experience traumatic injury as a result of vaccinations required by the Department

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 735) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the secretaries of the military departments, to report on the adequacy and effectiveness of the policies, procedures, and systems of the Department of Defense (DOD) in providing support to

servicemembers who experience traumatic injury as a result of a vaccination required by DOD.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress on use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to treat traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 735) that would express the sense of Congress that hyperbaric oxygen therapy can be used to treat servicemembers for traumatic brain injury and post-traumatic stress disorder and that the Secretary of Defense should increase awareness among servicemembers and military doctors of hyperbaric oxygen therapy treatments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

Subtitle A—Acquisition Policy and Management

Modular open systems approaches in acquisition programs (sec. 801)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to adopt an open systems approach to Major Defense Acquisition Programs and Major Automated Information Systems, and to other programs the primary purpose of which is the acquisition of an information technology (IT) system, entering the acquisition process after January 1, 2016. The committee believes that a comprehensive open systems approach is an important component of IT acquisition reform.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

The provision requires the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics to develop standards and define architectures necessary to enable open systems approaches in key mission areas of DOD.

The Under Secretary is further required to review and update guidance to ensure that acquisition programs use open system approaches in the product design and acquisition of information technology systems to the maximum extent practicable and to detail in the contract file reasons why any system is not an open system.

Finally, the provision requires the Under Secretary to identify legacy information technology systems that are not utilizing an open systems approach and outline a process for potential conversion to an open systems approach.

Recharacterization of changes to Major Automated Information System programs (sec. 802)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 802) that would modify requirements applicable to a Major Auto-

mated Information System program that fails to achieve a full deployment decision within 5 years after the Milestone A decision or selection of the preferred alternative for the program.

In lieu of a critical change report, failure to achieve a full deployment decision within 5 years would be determined to be a significant change with the attendant notification to the congressional defense committees required for all significant changes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Amendments relating to defense business systems (sec. 803)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 803) that would require business process reengineering (BPR) before milestone decisions for the Major Automated Information System program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We continue to be concerned that BPR is not being conducted rigorously enough, or documented well enough, to drive successful information technology acquisition outcomes.

We believe that the Department of Defense (DOD) is too often lackadaisical in its attempts at BPR or the results are misaligned to the budgeting, requirements and acquisition processes to have any significant effect on the outcome. BPR must happen early and upfront, well before the requirements and acquisition community get involved in order to inform their work.

As we have observed, and as industry has indicated to us as part of our acquisition improvement efforts, it is important to clearly articulate the desired outcomes of information technology (IT) system acquisitions with input from the user community. That should happen after business processes have been analyzed, and if necessary restructured, to ensure the way an organization does business drives the system, not the other way around. Aligning the IT acquisition strategy to the mission goals of the organization is necessary to get the maximum return-on-investment from a system, but that cannot happen without leadership to drive and enforce organizational change.

We believe that the requirement to do formal process mapping as part of the milestone decision approval process will help, but is only one step, in a larger effort to improve the information technology management of DOD.

We believe there are a number of ways that DOD could improve BPR. For example, expanding the investment review process for IT systems and empowering the Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO) to conduct BPR for other IT mission areas within DOD warrants consideration in the future.

Additionally, we believe that the process improvement officers of DOD and the military departments should work with their respective Chief Information Officers to create metrics to track progress and BPR, as well as meeting the other strategic management objectives of DOD.

We note that there are many scientific and technical personnel within DOD's research, development, test and evaluation commu-

nity that could be more actively engaged to create a rigorous, scientific process for conducting BPR.

Report on implementation of acquisition process for information technology systems (sec. 804)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 805) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, & Logistics) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the implementation of the acquisition process for information technology detailing the applicable implementing regulations, instructions, or policies.

The report shall also explain any legislative criteria not yet implemented and a schedule for implementing such criteria along with any proposed deviations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We are concerned with the Department of Defense's (DOD) ability to effectively acquire information technology (IT) resources. We believe that part of the challenge that DOD faces is in its reliance on processes that are too heavily focused on the acquisition of militarily unique hardware systems. We recognize that the paradigm for IT acquisition is rooted more firmly in the commercial marketplace. As a consumer of commercially developed solutions, rather than a generator of unique requirements, DOD follows commercial trends more often than it leads them.

Unfortunately, we believe that DOD has not done enough to come to terms with this trend, choosing instead to act as though it has the same power to influence computing and electronics markets as it did for most of the 20th century. Though numerous studies have indicated a need to change acquisition processes within DOD to adjust to the reality of 21st century commercial IT markets, DOD has made little progress. Ideas such as agile development, the use of clear requirements tied to software development timelines, rethinking the processes for capital planning and investment, and the application of system audits and risk management to enhance outcomes are prevalent within the commercial sector, but often face resistance and hostility from government program managers and contracting officers.

Section 804 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 111-84) authorized DOD to implement a new acquisition process for IT systems, but to date, we have seen little tangible action to take advantage of those new authorities. We believe that DOD needs to do more to show tangible progress in its efforts at improving IT acquisition, especially as software-intensive IT systems continue to become more prominent components of U.S. military capability.

Subtitle B—Amendments to General Contracting Authorities, Procedures, and Limitations

Extension and modification of contract authority for advanced component development and prototype units (sec. 811)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 802) that would extend existing statutory authority under subsection (b)(4) of section 819

of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) until September 30, 2019.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 822).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Amendments relating to authority of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency to carry out certain prototype projects (sec. 812)

The House bill included a provision (section 803) which would amend section 845(a)(1) of The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103–160) to broaden the definition for the types of efforts for which other transactions authority might be used by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.

The Senate committee-reported bill included no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that makes technical changes to the use of other transactions authority.

Extension of limitation on aggregate annual amount available for contract services (sec. 813)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 804) that would extend for 1 year the cap on the aggregate annual amount spent on contracts for services through fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

We note that the Government Accountability Office (GAO) has stated that the military departments and defense agencies generally have not developed plans to use the inventory of contracted services, mandated since 2008 in section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, to facilitate the Department of Defense’s (DOD) strategic workforce planning, workforce mix, budget decision-making processes, and contract execution and oversight.

Further, GAO found that components may not have fully identified, as required by section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, all instances in which contractors are providing services that are inherently governmental, closely associated with inherently governmental functions, staff augmentation, or personal services, the latter of which we note are prohibited by law.

According to GAO, a key factor inhibiting the components’ inventory review is a lack of accurate and reliable data, which we note the inventory could provide if the components were to implement DOD-wide a common data system based on the Army’s existing system as directed by existing DOD guidance.

In the absence of a plan of action with milestones and timeframes to establish a common data system to collect contractor manpower data, we are leaving the caps on contractor spending in place for fiscal year 2015.

Improvement in defense design-build construction process (sec. 814)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 805) that would amend section 3309 of title 41, United States Code, and section 2305a of title 10, United States Code, by limiting the maximum number

specified in the solicitation for a design-build contract. Additionally, this provision would require the head of an agency awarding a contract pursuant to this provision to provide a report to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit application of the provision to section 2305a of title 10, United States Code, and, for contracts greater than \$4.0 million, limit the maximum number of offerors specified in the solicitation for a two-phase design-build contract, unless a higher number is approved by the head of a contracting activity.

Permanent authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items (sec. 815)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 806) that would make the authority for use of simplified acquisition procedures for certain commercial items permanent.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Restatement and revision of requirements applicable to multiyear defense acquisitions to be specifically authorized by law (sec. 816)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would clarify and reorganize the reporting and certification requirements of the Department of Defense when requesting specific authorization for multiyear contract authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment clarifying when certain cost estimates should be performed.

Sourcing requirements related to avoiding counterfeit electronic parts (sec. 817)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 824) that would clarify sourcing requirements related to avoiding counterfeit electronic parts.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Amendments to Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program (sec. 818)

The House bill included a provision (section 829) which would modify the Small Business Act (section 638 of title 15, United States Code) to require each agency carrying out a Small Business Technology Transfer program to support innovative approaches to technology transfer at institutions of higher education, based on the pilot program established under section 5127 of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81).

The Senate committee-reported bill included no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that makes technical amendments to section 1603 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), which provides authority for the DOD to fund commercialization proof of concept centers.

We note that there is commercialization potential in the research activities ongoing in universities, small businesses, and defense labs. Transition of promising research results into fielded defense systems or commercially successful enterprises is a benefit to both DOD and the nation as a whole.

We note that the Senate committee-reported Defense Appropriations Act for Fiscal Year 2015 (H.R. 4870 and Senate report 113–211) encouraged DOD to expand efforts at commercialization of technologies, especially those derived from research at the DOD laboratories. We encourage the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to work with the Congress to identify appropriate funding to support the commercialization activities envisioned in that bill, as well as those authorized by this provision.

Subtitle C—Industrial Base Matters

Temporary extension of and amendments to test program for negotiation of comprehensive small business subcontracting plans (sec. 821)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 811) that would modify and extend the test program for negotiation of comprehensive small business subcontracting plans authorized by section 402 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendments Act of 1990 (Public Law 101–574).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 823).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Plan for improving data on bundled or consolidated contracts (sec. 822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 813) that would amend section 644 of title 15, United States Code, by requiring the Small Business Administration to work with other agencies to create and implement a data quality improvement plan to promote greater accuracy, transparency, and accountability in the reporting of contract bundling and consolidation.

The committee recognizes that properly labeling a contract as bundled or consolidated is important to small business competition, as the process of contract labeling triggers a series of reviews and mitigation steps that promote opportunities for small business.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We direct the Comptroller General of the United States to assess whether contracts are being identified properly as bundled or consolidated. The review shall examine a statistically significant sample of contracts awarded by the Departments of Defense (DOD),

Energy, Health and Human Services, Homeland Security, Veterans Affairs, the General Services Administration, National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the Small Business Administration with values above \$10.0 million in sectors 23, 33, 54, and 56 as defined by the North American Industry Classification System.

Not later than October 1, 2015, the Comptroller General shall provide an interim briefing to the House and Senate Committees on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, and the House Committee on Small Business with a summary of findings, including an assessment of (1) the quality of data reported pertaining to contract bundling and consolidation, and (2) interim recommendations, if any, to improve current data collection and dissemination on contract bundling and consolidation.

In the final report, the Comptroller General shall evaluate (1) the pros and cons of implementing government-wide the “Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) Contract Reporting Data Improvement Plan” published by DOD on January 12, 2010; (2) the plan proposed by the Small Business Administration under this section; and (3) whether other alternatives should be considered to improve reporting of bundled and consolidated contracts without requiring modification to FPDS.

Finally, the Comptroller General shall examine whether government-wide strategic sourcing vehicles are reducing the number of companies, including small business concerns, that are actively participating in the federal procurement system as prime contractors, and whether this reduction poses substantial risk to the industrial base.

The final report shall be delivered to the House and Senate Committees on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Small Business and Entrepreneurship, and the House Committee on Small Business not later than October 1, 2017.

Authority to provide education to small businesses on certain requirements of Arms Export Control Act (sec. 823)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 814) that would amend section 21(c)(1) of the Small Business Act (section 648(c)(1) of title 15, United States Code) by including a requirement that applicants receiving grants pursuant to that section shall also assist small businesses by providing, where appropriate, education on the requirements applicable to small businesses under the regulations issued pursuant to the Arms Export Control Act (chapter 39 of title 22, United States Code) and on compliance with those requirements. The provision would also amend section 2418 of title 10, United States Code, by expanding the Procurement Technical Assistance Program to assist small business concerns with education related to export controls.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Matters relating to reverse auctions (sec. 824)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 815) that would amend the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 631) to prohibit the use of reverse auctions for procurement of certain goods and services if the contract is awarded using a Small Business Act procurement authority.

It would also limit the use of reverse auctions in cases where only one offer was received or where offerors do not have the ability to submit revised bids throughout the course of the auction.

The section further clarified that the desire to use a reverse auction does not obviate federal agencies from the obligation to use a Small Business Act procurement authority.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that in conducting reverse auctions, buyers have the ability to cancel an auction at any time before the auction closes or to make the decision not to make any award after the auction closes with proper justification in accordance with the terms and conditions of the solicitation and all applicable regulations.

The agreement also prohibits the use of reverse auctions for military construction projects that require a specific congressional authorization.

Sole source contracts for small business concerns owned and controlled by women (sec. 825)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 827) that would allow for sole source contracting to certain women owned small businesses.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle D—Federal Information Technology Acquisition Reform

Chief Information Officer authority enhancements (sec. 831)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5101) that would strengthen various agencies' Chief Information Officer positions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Enhanced transparency and improved risk management in information technology investments (sec. 832)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5505) that would enhance transparency in information technology investments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Portfolio review (sec. 833)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5301) that would inventory information technology software assets.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Federal data center consolidation initiative (sec. 834)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5203) that would provide for the consolidation of federal data centers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We believe that the Department of Defense (DOD) has made significant strides in consolidating its data center infrastructure, by maturing its process for evaluating data centers as well as finding opportunities to leverage commercial cloud computing capabilities. However, as both savings and efficiencies from data center consolidation reach a point of diminishing returns, we believe that DOD can continue to make further progress by also integrating efforts to assess the applications that run on this infrastructure to determine which require dedicated servers and which can potentially be migrated to virtualized or cloud environments.

We believe that software application rationalization and consolidation is a natural extension of, and next step in, data center consolidation. Reducing the data center footprint eliminates unneeded infrastructure, but software application rationalization is needed to more efficiently utilize the infrastructure that remains, and to better determine if any further data center consolidation may or may not be warranted.

Therefore, we direct the Chief Information Office of DOD, in consultation with the Chief Information Officers of the military departments, to carry out an assessment to identify and prioritize the software applications in use throughout DOD that should be considered for migration to a cloud computing environment and to submit a report on the results of the assessment to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives by December 15, 2015. This assessment should include the following:

- (1) Whether each of the software applications used by DOD can be readily transitioned to a cloud computing environment with minimal additional investment of developmental funding;
- (2) If a software application used by DOD cannot be readily transitioned to a cloud computing environment, the cost and time required to enable, either by modification or replacement, the operation of the software application in a cloud computing environment;
- (3) Whether it would be cost-effective to enable, either by modification or replacement, the operation of a software application in a cloud computing environment;
- (4) A list of software applications used by DOD that should be enabled, either by modification or replacement, to operate in a cloud computing environment, listed in the order of priority

by which they should be enabled, and a schedule for such modification or replacement; and

(5) An estimate of the cloud computing workload needs of DOD time-phased across the future years defense plan to inform DOD's cloud computing needs under the Joint Information Environment initiative that might be satisfied by government-owned and -operated or commercial cloud computing solutions.

Expansion of training and use of information technology cadres (sec. 835)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5411) that would require a 5-year strategic plan to develop, strengthen, and solidify information technology acquisition cadres.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Maximizing the benefit of the Federal strategic sourcing initiative (sec. 836)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5501) that would require regulations to maximize the benefit of the Federal Strategic Sourcing Initiative.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Governmentwide software purchasing program (sec. 837)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5502) that would require the creation of a strategic sourcing initiative for software.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle E—Never Contract With the Enemy

Prohibition on providing funds to the enemy (sec. 841)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3743B) contained a provision that would provide the authority to terminate or void a contract, grant, or cooperative agreement when it is found that funds received under that contract, grant, or cooperative agreement are being provided directly or indirectly to a person or entity that is actively opposing United States or coalition forces involved in a contingency operation in which members of the Armed Forces are actively engaged in hostilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Additional access to records (sec. 842)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3743C) contained a provision that would allow the head of an executive agency to examine the records of a contractor, recipient of a grant or cooperative agreement to the extent necessary to ensure that funds, including goods and services, available under the contract, grant, or cooperative agreement are not provided directly or indirectly to a person or entity that is actively opposing United States or coalition forces involved in a contingency operation in which members of the Armed Forces are actively engaged in hostilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Definitions (sec. 843)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3743D) contained a provision that would provide certain definitions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle F—Other Matters

Rapid acquisition and deployment procedures for United States Special Operations Command (sec. 851)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 807) that would require procedures for the rapid acquisition and deployment of items for the U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) that are currently under development by the Department of Defense (DOD) or available from the commercial sector and are urgently needed to avoid significant risk or loss of life or mission failure, or needed to avoid collateral damage where no collateral damage is necessary for mission success.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would authorize the establishment of procedures for rapid acquisition and deployment of items for SOCOM that are currently under development by DOD or available from the commercial sector and are urgently needed to avoid significant risk or loss of life or mission failure, or needed to avoid collateral damage where no collateral damage is necessary for mission success.

The provision would also require the Commander, SOCOM, in instances where an item has identified deficiencies, to make the determination that deployment of such an item is acceptable for reasons of national security.

The provision would also make clear the SOCOM Commander may not use the authority under this provision at the same time as the Commander uses the authority under section 806 of Public Law 107–314.

The agreement also includes amendments to the provision that would require congressional notifications be made before such rapid acquisition procedures go into effect and after such use of rapid ac-

quisition procedures are used to ensure robust congressional oversight of this authority.

Consideration of corrosion control in preliminary design review (sec. 852)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 808) that would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to ensure that Department of Defense (DOD) Instruction 5000.02 and other applicable guidance require full consideration during preliminary design review of metals, materials, and technologies that effectively prevent or control corrosion over the life cycle of the product.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We expect the relevant instruction and regulations called for in this provision to be tailored to apply only to products likely to corrode and not to every item or system purchased by the DOD.

Program manager development report (sec. 853)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 841) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a comprehensive strategy for enhancing the role of Department of Defense program managers in developing and carrying out defense acquisition programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Operational metrics for Joint Information Environment and supporting activities (sec. 854)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 821) that would require the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to conduct a review of the Air Force Network-Centric Solutions II contract and provide a certification to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives that the contract is effective in delivering information technology capabilities for the joint force.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We remain concerned by the lack of clarity about the activities supporting the Joint Information Environment (JIE), including the programs of records, and other non-materiel aspects, that would contribute to the JIE vision.

In the case of some of the programs that we believe contribute to JIE, like the Air Force's Network Centric Solutions II contract, Navy's Next Generation Enterprise Network, or the Army's Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization program, there are measures of performance driven by the information technology community, but not ones that can be clearly tied to operational outcomes for the warfighting community.

As the JIE evolves into a seamless network to support warfighting functions, as well as business and support processes, we believe that the Department of Defense (DOD) needs metrics

that can be tied to the operational customer in ways that can demonstrate effectiveness, efficiency and satisfaction.

Therefore, we direct the DOD Chief Information Officer, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, to brief the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the guidance and metrics required by this section within 210 days of the enactment of this Act.

Compliance with requirements for senior Department of Defense officials seeking employment with defense contractors (sec. 855)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 823) that would amend section 847 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to require the Secretary of Defense to designate an official of the Department of Defense (DOD) to ensure compliance with the requirements of that section and would require that, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, such official shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on DOD's efforts to ensure compliance with the requirements of section 847.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note a lack of clarity in the lines of supervision for purposes of monitoring compliance with section 847 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181).

We therefore direct DOD to review those lines of supervision and to submit a report on its findings to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and of the House of Representatives, no later than March 31, 2015.

The report shall identify each supervisory component by office and by position and describe the reporting relationships between each of DOD's 17 Designed Agency Ethics Officials and each of the various components in their respective chains of supervision as they relate to section 847 compliance.

Enhancement of whistleblower protection for employees of grantees (sec. 856)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 826) that would enhance the whistleblower protections for employees of grantees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Prohibition on reimbursement of contractors for congressional investigations and inquiries (sec. 857)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 827) that would prohibit reimbursement of costs incurred by a contractor in connection with a congressional investigation or inquiry into an issue that is the subject matter of a proceeding resulting in a disposition.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Requirement to provide photovoltaic devices from United States sources (sec. 858)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 829) that would provide additional acquisition opportunities for the Department of Defense with respect to photovoltaic devices.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Reimbursement of Department of Defense for assistance provided to nongovernmental entertainment-oriented media producers (sec. 859)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 862) that would provide for the reimbursement to the Department of Defense for assistance provided to nongovernmental entertainment-oriented media producers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Three-year extension of authority for Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund (sec. 860)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 863) that would reauthorize the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund for three more years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Extension to United States Transportation Command of authorities relating to prohibition on contracting with the enemy

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 801) that would amend section 831(i)(1) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) to add U.S. Transportation Command to the list of covered combatant commands.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 861).

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the extension to U.S. Transportation Command of authorities relating to prohibition on contracting with the enemy was included in another provision of this agreement.

GOVERNANCE OF JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 804) that would require the Secretary of Defense to install a stronger management element and set of controls on the Joint Information Environment (JIE) initiative, including by requiring the assignment of an experienced coordinator under the Chief Information Officer (CIO) to oversee the JIE migration, the establishment of a team of experts to support the coordinator, and modifications to the JIE Executive Committee and its working groups to ensure better representation of those who must use the JIE to execute warfighting missions and those who must defend the JIE from cyber attacks.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We are encouraged that the newly appointed CIO has the necessary support from the Secretary and Deputy Secretary of Defense, and the intent, to impose greater discipline over the JIE migration, bolster the planning and engineering resources devoted to the initiative, and to ensure that the needs of the operational forces receive all due consideration alongside communications and computing efficiencies and cost savings.

We direct that the CIO be prepared to brief the congressional defense committees at regular intervals on the measures taken to achieve these improvements, including defining what JIE encompasses, and the “as is” condition and the “to be” architecture; developing an integrated master schedule and cost estimates; and tracking compliance with objectives, schedules, and costs.

In addition, we direct the CIO to identify and prioritize the applications in use in the Department of Defense (DOD) that the CIO assesses are candidates for migration to a cloud computing environment, and to determine which applications can and cannot, without modification or replacement, be shifted to a cloud computing environment, along with a time-phased plan to either modify or replace those applications that are not cloud-compatible. We note that a significant percentage of DOD computing applications cannot be virtualized or otherwise are not cloud-compatible, and that the cost and time required to modify such applications are substantial. Without an understanding of what applications can be readily migrated, and a plan to modify or replace those that cannot, neither DOD nor potential commercial cloud providers will be able to plan effectively. The CIO should complete this tasking, and be prepared to share the results with the congressional defense committees, within 270 days of the enactment of this Act.

Improving opportunities for service-disabled veteran-owned small business

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 812) that would amend section 657 of title 15, United States Code, by consolidating the verification and appeals processes for Service-Disabled Veteran-Owned Small Business (SDVOSB) programs at the Department of Veterans Affairs and the Small Business Administration (SBA), and by moving the processes and resources of the SDVOSB verification programs at the Department of Veterans Affairs to the SBA.

The provision would also allow the surviving spouse of a service-disabled veteran who acquires an ownership right in a small business concern to be treated as if the surviving spouse were that veteran for the purpose of maintaining the status of the small business as a small business concern owned and controlled by service-disabled veterans.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that in the case of a transfer of ownership resulting from the death of a service-disabled veteran to a surviving spouse altering the status of the small business as a SDVOSB, we believe

the small business concern can continue to perform existing contracts along with any remaining options to those contracts under existing law.

Improving Federal Surety Bonds

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 816) that would amend section 411(c)(1) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (Public Law 85-699) by raising the guarantee rate on the Small Business Administration's preferred security bond program from 70 percent to 90 percent.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Publication of required justification that consolidation of contract requirements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 817) that would require publication of certain justification and approval documents.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Small business prime and subcontract participation goals raised; accounting of subcontracting

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 818) that would raise the goals for small business subcontracting.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Small business cyber education

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 819) that would allow the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Administrator of the Small Business Administration, to promote an outreach and education program to assist small businesses in understanding the cyber threat and in defending their networks and intellectual property.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We recognize the challenges faced by small business, both in protecting their own networks and intellectual property, and also in developing effective capabilities to address cyber security needs. As noted in the Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying H.R. 3304, we recognize the challenges that the defense acquisition system can pose for small businesses, but the purpose of the small and disadvantaged businesses offices established in each of the services is to handle the whole gamut of small business issues.

We are aware of the activities the Department of Defense (DOD) has instituted in order to improve small business access to threat information and best practices pertaining to cyber security. Last year, DOD briefed the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives on plans to support cyber education activities for small business through the existing small business

program. We recognize that this is a work in progress, and that an ongoing assessment of those efforts should be commenced in order to determine the effectiveness of those efforts.

Therefore, we direct the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives by November 1, 2015 on the DOD's outreach and education to assist small businesses in understanding cyber threats. This report should address the following:

- (1) An assessment of the planning being done to integrate cyber education and outreach into the programs of the offices of small and disadvantaged businesses of DOD and the military services;
- (2) The capabilities of these offices to support small businesses in preparing plans for the protection of their corporate networks and intellectual property; and
- (3) Development of metrics to determine the performance and effectiveness of those programs and planning activities.

Procurement of personal protective equipment

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 824) that would require the Secretary of Defense to use best value tradeoff source selection methods to the maximum extent practicable when procuring an item of personal protective equipment (PPE) or critical safety items. PPE items include, but are not limited to, body armor components, combat helmets, combat protective eyewear, environmental and fire resistant clothing, footwear, organizational clothing and individual equipment (OCIE), and other items as determined appropriate by the Secretary.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that PPE such as body armor, helmets, specialized clothing and footwear as well as other OCIE items are specifically designed to meet challenging military requirements and specifications.

These PPE items are usually not commercial off-the-shelf products, but are frequently highly engineered, critical life-saving equipment items designed and manufactured to meet rigorous performance standards, first article testing and stringent production quality requirements.

We remain committed to providing the warfighter with the best equipment possible and encourage the Department of Defense to use proper source selection methods to fulfill these requirements. In cases where offerors have widely diverse technical qualifications and are expected to provide products that differ significantly in performance characteristics, source selection criteria should not be solely based on cost in the procurement of OCIE and PPE.

Authority for Defense Contract Audit Agency to interview contractor employees in connection with examination of contractor records

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 825) that would amend subsection (a)(1) of section 2313 of title 10, United States Code, to grant the Defense Contract Audit Agency specific authority to interview contractor employees similar to the

authority granted to the Comptroller General of the United States in subsection (c)(1) of that same section.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that under the authorities provided by section 2313 of title 10, United States Code, Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) officials have the authority to interview contractor employees during the course of an audit if such an interview is required to complete the audit.

We therefore encourage contractors to make available for interview the employees associated with matters related to an audit conducted in accordance with section 2313.

We also note that failure to provide reasonable access to interview employees associated with matters under review during an audit could result in a qualified audit opinion.

Prohibition on funds for contracts violating Executive Order No. 11246

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 825) that would prohibit funding authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to be used to enter into any contract with any entity if such contract would violate Executive Order No. 11246 (relating to non-retaliation for disclosure of compensation information), as amended by the announcement of the President on April 8, 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Requirement for policies and standard checklist in procurement of services

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 826) that would amend section 2330a of title 10, United States Code, by requiring the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness to implement a standard checklist to be used for new contract approval for services or exercising an option under an existing contract for services. The checklist required would be modeled on the policy and checklist relating to services contract approval form (dated August 2012) established and in use by the Department of the Army. Finally, the provision would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the implementation of the standard checklist for each of fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the provision.

We direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, and the senior acquisition executive for the Department of the Navy and the Department of the Air Force, no later than March 30, 2015, to issue to the Defense agencies and the military services, respectively, policies implementing a standard checklist to be completed before the issuance of a solicitation for any new contract for services or exercising an option under an ex-

isting contract for services, including services provided under a contract for goods.

We recommend that the Under Secretary and the senior acquisition executives, to the extent practicable, model their policies and checklists on the policy and checklist relating to services contract approval currently used by the Department of the Army.

We also direct the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the Defense agencies' and military services' implementation of a standard checklist by January 30, 2016.

Debarment required of persons convicted of fraudulent use of 'made in America' labels

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 828) that would debar people convicted of the fraudulent use of "Made in America" labels.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Requirement to buy American flags from domestic sources

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 830) that would require the purchase of American flags from domestic sources.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that flags of the United States procured by the Department of Defense are procured in accordance with section 2533a(b)(1)(D) of title 10, United States Code.

Tenure and accountability of program managers for program development periods

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 842) that would require the Secretary of Defense to revise Department of Defense (DOD) guidance for defense acquisition programs to address the tenure and accountability of program managers for the program development period of defense acquisition programs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that we require the Secretary of Defense to provide recommendations on program manager tenure as part of an overarching review of program manager development elsewhere in this Act.

We express our intent to address program manager tenure in next year's National Defense Authorization Act in the context of a larger DOD acquisition reform effort.

Tenure and accountability of program managers for program execution periods

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 843) that would address the tenure and accountability of program managers for the program execution period.

The provision would require each such program manager to enter into a performance agreement with the milestone decision authority (MDA) that establishes the expected parameters of performance,

including the commitment of the MDA that adequate funding and resources are available and will be provided, and assurance of the program manager that the parameters are achievable.

The provision would also require that program managers be given authority comparable to the authority given to private sector program managers and that they be assigned to a program until the delivery of the first production units, with a narrow waiver authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that we require the Secretary of Defense to provide recommendations on program manager tenure as part of an overarching review of program manager development elsewhere in this Act.

We express our intent to address program manager tenure in next year's National Defense Authorization Act in the context of a larger Department of Defense acquisition reform effort.

Removal of requirements related to waiver of preliminary design review and post-preliminary design review before Milestone B

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 844) that would add an alternative to one of the certification requirements established by section 2366b of title 10, United States Code, for major defense acquisition programs entering the acquisition system at Milestone B.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Short title

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5001) that would provide a short title to the provisions contained in title L of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Table of contents

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5002) that would provide a table of contents for title L of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Definitions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5003) that would provide for definition of terms contained in title L of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Lead coordination role of Chief Information Officers Council

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5102) that would provide a lead coordination role to the Chief Information Officers Council.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Reports by Government Accountability Office

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5103) that would require certain reports by the Government Accountability Office.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Purpose

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5201) that would state the purpose of title LII of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Definitions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5202) that would provide definitions of terms in title LII of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Performance requirements related to data center consolidation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5204) that would require certain performance requirements related to data center consolidation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Cost savings related to data center optimization

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5205) that would require the tracking of costs resulting from implementation of the Federal Data Center Optimization Initiative.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Reporting requirements to Congress and the Federal Chief Information Officer

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5206) that would require certain reports to Congress and the Federal Chief Information Officer.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Website consolidation and transparency

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5302) that would require the elimination or consolidation of websites found to be duplicative or overlapping.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Transition to the cloud

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5303) that would express the sense of Congress that transition to cloud computing offers significant potential benefits for the implementation of Federal information technology projects in terms of flexibility, cost, and operational benefits.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Elimination of unnecessary duplication of contracts by requiring business case analysis

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5304) that would require a business case analysis before issuance of a solicitation for certain contracts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Plan on strengthening program and project management performance

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5412) that would require a plan to strengthen program and project management performance.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Personnel awards for excellence in the acquisition information systems and information technology

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5413) that would provide authority for awards for excellence in the acquisition of information systems and information technology.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Promoting transparency of blanket purchase agreements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5503) that would promote the transparency of blanket purchase agreements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Additional source selection technique in solicitations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5504) that would allow for additional source selection techniques in certain solicitations.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Enhanced communication between government and industry

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5506) that would enhance communication between government and industry.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Clarification of current law with respect to technology neutrality in acquisition of software

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5507) that would clarify current law with respect to technology neutrality in acquisition of software.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

No additional funds authorized

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 5508) that would limit the availability of funds to implement and provisions in title L of this Act to funds otherwise authorized or appropriated.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Short title

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3743A) contained a provision that would provide a short title.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND
MANAGEMENT

Subtitle A—Department of Defense Management

Reorganization of the Office of the Secretary of Defense and related matters (sec. 901)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 908) that would incorporate a proposal from the Department of Defense to make several amendments to title 10, United States Code, relating to the organization and management of the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 901) that would combine the Deputy Chief Management Officer position and the Chief Information Officer position into the Chief Management Officer position, redesignate the Deputy Secretary of Defense as the Chief Operating Officer, eliminate the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense title except for Principal Deputy Under Secretaries of Defense established by law, and redesignate the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Operational Energy Plans and Programs as the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Installations, Energy, and Environment.

Additionally, this proposal seeks to make changes to Chapter 4 of title 10, United States Code, in order to streamline the establish-

ment provisions for certain officials and ensure that policymaking requirements are provided for separately from establishment provisions and to make other clerical and conforming changes.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would combine the Deputy Chief Management Officer and the Chief Information Officer position into a new Under Secretary of Defense position placed in the order of precedence before the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics.

This change would not take place until the next administration, however, to allow for leadership continuity in the Department of Defense through the current administration's term in office.

The provision also combines the operational energy and installations and environment functions under one Assistant Secretary of Defense, and we direct the combined organization to equally prioritize both functions. Additionally, the provision added a new report requirement to accompany the budget certification, or if the budget is not certified, there are separate reporting details. Such report shall include an appendix prepared by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff as well as a separate appendix prepared by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. We expect that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics will address operational energy as an element of the acquisition posture statement, when presented to Congress.

Section 902 of Public Law 110-417 created the Operational Energy position in the Office of the Secretary of Defense, which became the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Operational Energy, Plans, and Programs with the intent to inform senior-level decision-makers of the strategic implications of operational energy requirements on the battlefield.

We recognize and commend the Assistant Secretary for the progress and improvements made since enactment of section 902, including streamlining operational energy requirements, establishing policies, and extending combat capability and operational reach by changing the culture and improving acquisition and sustainment processes.

We believe that consideration of operational energy demands and planning for energy consumption on the battlefield are of tactical and strategic significance.

Therefore, we direct the Secretary of Defense to ensure that the full intent of section 902 of Public Law 110-417 is carried forward in any reorganization of personnel or responsibilities related to operational energy, plans and programs.

Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs
(sec. 902)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 902) that would amend section 138 of title 10, United States Code, to redesignate the position of Assistant Secretary of Defense for Reserve Affairs as the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Manpower and Reserve Affairs, whose principal duty would be the overall supervision of manpower and reserve affairs of the Department of Defense.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Requirement for assessment of options to modify the number of combatant commands (sec. 903)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 906) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a non-binding plan to reduce the number of geographic combatant commands to no more than four by the end of fiscal year 2020 and submit a report to Congress within 180 days of enactment of this Act on the plan, the feasibility and risks of the plan, and any recommendations to implement the plan the Secretary considers appropriate.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Office of Net Assessment (sec. 904)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 907) that would establish an Office of Net Assessment in the Office of the Secretary of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment on the establishment of such an office.

We note that the current Office of Net Assessment has provided the Secretary of Defense with the primary support needed to meet the requirements of section 113(i) of title 10, United States Code, directing the Secretary to conduct a net assessment and submit an annual report to Congress. Support for the Secretary's statutory responsibility for a net assessment remains the primary purpose of such an office.

Periodic review of Department of Defense management headquarters (sec. 905)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 909) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan and submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act to implement a periodic review and analysis of the Department of Defense personnel requirements for management headquarters and submit the required plan to the congressional defense committee.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Modifications of biennial strategic workforce plan relating to senior management, functional, and technical workforces of the Department of Defense (sec. 911)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 911) that would amend section 115b of title 10, United States Code, to require the Sec-

retary of Defense to prepare a biennial strategic workforce plan that addresses the shaping and improvement of the senior management workforce of the Department of Defense and includes an assessment of the senior functional and technical workforce of the Department within the appropriate functional community. The provision would also add a requirement that the strategic workforce plan include an assessment of the workforce of the Department comprising highly qualified experts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1102).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Repeal of extension of Comptroller General report on inventory (sec. 912)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 912) that would repeal an extension of a Comptroller General of the United States report on contract inventory.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 809).

The agreement includes the provision.

Extension of authority to waive reimbursement of costs of activities for nongovernmental personnel at Department of Defense regional centers for security studies (sec. 913)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 921) that would amend section 941(b)(1) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (10 U.S.C. 184) by extending for 5 years the authority of the Secretary of Defense to waive the reimbursement of costs for certain nongovernmental personnel at the Department of Defense Regional Centers for Security Studies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1046).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Pilot program to establish Government lodging program (sec. 914)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 922) that would amend section 5911 of title 5, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to establish a government lodging program and to require its use by servicemembers and Department of Defense (DOD) civilians performing official travel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1083) that would also require the Secretary to report to appropriate congressional committees within 18 months on the DOD's implementation of this program and savings achieved.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would establish a pilot program authorizing the Secretary of Defense to institute a government lodging program to provide government or commercial lodging for DOD employees or members of the uniformed services under the Secretary's jurisdiction performing duty on official travel. The provision would authorize the Secretary to require such travelers to occupy adequate quarters on a rental basis when available. The provision would also require an initial report within 6 months of enactment of this Act outlining facets of the pilot program established by the Secretary, as well as

annual reports to be submitted with annual budget requests, with a final report to be submitted with the budget request for fiscal year 2019. The authority to conduct a pilot program under this provision would expire on December 31, 2019.

Single standard mileage reimbursement rate for privately owned automobiles of Government employees and members of the uniformed services (sec. 915)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 923) that would establish as the mileage reimbursement rate for federal employees and members of the uniformed services using privately owned automobiles for government travel the single standard mileage reimbursement rate established by the Internal Revenue Service for use by taxpayers in computing the deductible costs of operating their automobiles for business purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Modifications to requirements for accounting for members of the Armed Forces and Department of Defense civilian employees listed as missing (sec. 916)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 925) that would amend chapter 76 of title 10, United States Code, to establish a defense agency within the Department of Defense, headed by a director, to have responsibility over the Prisoner of War/Missing in Action accounting community. The provision would create a new section 1501a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into public-private partnerships for the purposes of facilitating the accounting of missing persons. The provision would require the Secretary to assign or detail to the defense agency a full-time senior medical examiner to provide medical oversight of the identification process, establish identification and laboratory policy, and advise the director on forensic scientific disciplines. Finally, the provision would require the Secretary to establish and maintain a single centralized database and case management system containing information on all missing persons for whom a file has been established.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 911).

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3706) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on policies and proposals for providing access to information and documents to the next of kin of missing service personnel.

The agreement includes the Senate provision and the proposed amendment number 3706.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 901) that would redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps, and redesignate the position of the Secretary of the Navy as the Secretary of the Navy and the Marine Corps.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Additional responsibility for Director of Operational Test and Evaluation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 902) which would require the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to consider potential increases in program cost estimates and delays in schedule estimates when implementing the policies, procedures, and activities related to operational test and evaluation. In addition, the provision requires the Director to take appropriate action to ensure operational test and evaluation activities do not unnecessarily impede program schedules or increase program costs.

The report accompanying the House bill also directed the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the operational test and evaluation processes and activities of the Department of Defense and to report the results of that review to the congressional defense committees not later than March 15, 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 845) requiring the Comptroller General to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on disputes between the Office of the Director, Operational Test and Evaluation and the acquisition community over testing requirements for major weapon systems.

The agreement does not include these provisions.

We believe that in order to control the cost of defense acquisitions, each element of the acquisition system is responsible for considering the potential increases in acquisition program cost estimates or delays in schedule estimates when implementing that element's policies, procedures, and activities. This includes the budget, requirements, acquisition, and operational test communities. Each of these organizations should take appropriate action to balance its responsibilities with the need to avoid unnecessarily increasing program costs or impeding program schedules.

Accordingly, the Comptroller General is directed to inform the congressional defense committees, not later than March 15, 2015, on the results of its review of cases in which the program office believes that the Director has required testing above the agreed to plan.

Requirement for congressional briefing before divesting of Defense Finance and Accounting Service functions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 904) that would prohibit the transfer of financial management functions out of the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) until the Secretary of Defense provides a briefing to the congressional defense commit-

tees on a transfer plan and certifies the transfer would reduce costs, increase efficiencies, maintain the timeline for auditability of financial statements, and maintain the roles and missions of DFAS.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

As noted in Senate report accompanying S. 2410 (S. Rept. 113–176), the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Financial Management and Comptroller, as directed by the Secretary of the Army, conducted a doctrine, organization, training, material, leadership, personnel, and facilities review of all Department of the Army financial management processes, policies, organization, and workforce composition.

Known as the Army Financial Management Optimization (AFMO) initiative, the AFMO Task Force made numerous recommendations, including a plan to “consolidate selected financial management activities” into “Command-Aligned Hubs” (CAH).

A pilot program to test this CAH approach began October 1, 2014. While we applaud the Army’s efforts, as the Army works to reduce costs and achieve auditable financial statements, it is important that the evaluation of any financial management-focused program whose aim is optimization, consolidation, or streamlining, initiated by the military departments, services, or defense agencies, be analyzed not just from a service perspective but also from a DOD-wide perspective.

We therefore endorse the Senate committee’s direction to the Deputy Chief Management Officer (DCMO) of the Department of Defense to conduct a review of the CAH pilot program for any DOD-wide impacts and direct that the DCMO’s review be expanded to any similar financial management-focused initiatives by other military departments, services, or defense agencies in fiscal year 2015 for similar impacts. The DCMO shall report findings of the review to the congressional defense committees within 120 days of completion of any pilot program.

Furthermore, the centralized financial management provided by the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) is important to the auditability, cost effectiveness, and efficiency of each military department, service, and the defense agencies.

We therefore direct the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to conduct a review of any proposal initiated in this fiscal year, or resulting from a pilot program initiated in this fiscal year, to permanently transfer functions from DFAS to another DOD entity and to assess, at a minimum, the impacts on cost, auditability, DFAS capabilities, and the ability of DFAS to maintain DOD-wide services. The Comptroller shall report findings to the congressional defense committees prior to any proposed transfer.

Combatant command efficiency plan

The House bill contained a provision (sec.905) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a plan to combine the back office functions of two or more combatant commands and to submit a report on the plan to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. This section

would define the term “back office function” as those including, but not limited to, the administrative and support functions of a headquarters of a combatant command. This section would also limit fiscal year 2015 funds for the headquarters of the Joint Chiefs of Staff until the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, provides the briefing on combatant command headquarters personnel and resource requirements that was directed in the committee report (H. Rept. 113–102) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the underlying House provision was incorporated into another section of this Act.

Report related to nuclear forces, deterrence, nonproliferation, and terrorism

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 910) that would require that not later than 90 days after the date of enactment the Secretary provide a report to the congressional defense committees discussing how the Department of Defense (DOD) will manage its mission with respect to issues related to nuclear forces, deterrence, nonproliferation, and terrorism.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that this provision was included in the House bill out of concern that the reorganization of the Undersecretary of Defense for Policy which abolished the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Global Strategic Affairs, would result in less senior-level attention to nuclear forces, deterrence, nonproliferation, and terrorism. In this most recent reorganization, these subject areas are now transferred to the Assistant Secretaries of Defense for Strategy, Plans and Capabilities and Homeland Defense.

Section 1305 of the National Defense Authorization Act of 2008 (P.L. 110–417) noted similar concern regarding a lack of focus on strategic and nuclear weapons policy shortly after the 2007 unauthorized transfer of nuclear weapons from Minot Air Force Base, and recommended that the Secretary of Defense should consider establishing a position, at the level of Assistant Secretary of Defense or Deputy Under Secretary of Defense, within the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy to hold primary responsibility for the strategic and nuclear weapons policy of DOD.

We understand that this recent reorganization was part of a larger effort to obtain efficiencies across the DOD but we remain concerned that appropriate focus should be kept on the topics of nuclear forces, deterrence, nonproliferation, and terrorism to avoid a repeat of past mistakes, such as at Minot Air Force Base in 2007.

We request a report no later than 90 days after the date of enactment to the congressional defense committees on how the Secretary of Defense, through the Undersecretary of Defense for Policy, shall manage an appropriate focus on the missions associated with nuclear forces, deterrence, nonproliferation, and terrorism.

Assignment of certain new requirements based on determinations of cost-efficiency

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 913) that would require that when assigning a new Department of Defense work requirement to military or civilian personnel, or to a contractor, the assignment shall be made based on a determination of which workforce can perform the work in the most cost-efficient manner except in cases where the new requirement is inherently governmental, closely associated with inherently governmental functions, critical, or required by law to be performed by military personnel or civilian personnel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Prohibition on conversion of functions performed by civilian or contractor personnel to performance by military personnel

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 914) that would clarify when military personnel can be used to perform functions that are currently being performed by civilian or contractor personnel and would codify relevant Department of Defense instructions and policies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Notification of compliance with section relating to procurement of services

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 915) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure compliance with existing law regarding appropriate manpower performance and provide written notification of compliance to the congressional defense committees. This section would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of such a notification and report to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after the date of the provision of such a notification.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Public release by Inspectors General of reports of misconduct

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 924) that would amend sections 141, 3020, 5020, and 8020 of title 10, United States Code, to require Department of Defense and military department Inspectors General to publicly release reports of administrative investigation that confirm misconduct of any member of the Senior Executive Service, political appointee, or commissioned officer in the Armed Forces in pay grades O-6 or above.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Financial Matters

General transfer authority (sec. 1001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1001) that would provide the Department of Defense (DOD) with \$4.0 billion of general transfer authority in fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1001) that would provide DOD with \$5.0 billion of general transfer authority in fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to provide DOD with \$4.5 billion in general transfer authority in fiscal year 2015.

Authority to transfer funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to sustain nuclear weapons modernization and naval reactors (sec. 1002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) that would provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to transfer up to \$150.0 million to the nuclear weapons and naval reactor programs of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) if the amount authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for the weapons activities of the NNSA is less than \$8.7 billion (the amount specified for fiscal year 2015 in the report required by section 1251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84)).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Reporting of balances carried forward by the Department of Defense at the end of each fiscal year (sec. 1003)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3835) contained a provision that would require the Department of Defense (DOD) to submit to Congress, and publish on DOD's website, an annual report on balances carried forward by DOD at the end of each fiscal year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—Counter-Drug Activities

Extension of authority to support unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in Colombia (sec. 1011)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1011) that would extend, by 1 year, support to the unified counterdrug and counterterrorism campaign in the Republic of Colombia originally authorized by section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375), and most recently amended by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1011).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the underlying authority and associated notification requirement for 2 fiscal years.

Extension and modification of authority of Department of Defense to provide support for counterdrug activities of other governmental agencies (sec. 1012)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would extend, by 3 years, the authority of the Department of Defense (DOD) to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of other governmental agencies originally authorized by section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510), and most recently amended by section 1005 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1014) that would amend section 1004 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1991 (Public Law 101-510), as most recently amended by section 1005 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), to authorize DOD to provide additional support for activities of other governmental agencies to counter transnational organized crime (TOC) in addition to its counterdrug activities. The provision would also extend the underlying authority through the end of fiscal year 2020 and reduce the dollar threshold for a notification on facilities projects to the congressional defense committees.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would modify the length of the extension of the underlying authority to 3 fiscal years, provide a definition of transnational organized crime, and other conforming modifications.

Availability of funds for additional support for counterdrug activities of certain foreign governments (sec. 1013)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would amend section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85), as most recently amended by section 1013 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66). Specifically, the provision would extend the Department of Defense's (DOD) authority to provide additional support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments through fiscal year 2020, as well as increase the cap on the limitation on obligations from \$100.0 million to \$125.0 million per fiscal year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would strike the proposed extension of the underlying authority.

Extension and modification of authority for joint task forces supporting law enforcement agencies conducting activities to counter transnational organized crime to support law enforcement agencies conducting counter-terrorism activities (sec. 1014)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1012) that would amend section 1022 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136), as most recently amended by section 1012 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), to: (1) Extend the underlying authority through fiscal year 2020; (2) Expand the scope of the Department of Defense (DOD) authority to provide support to U.S. law enforcement agencies for counterterrorism purposes when a nexus exists between drug trafficking or transnational organized crime (TOC) and a foreign terrorist organization; (3) Make a series of technical modifications; and (4) Expand the authority of DOD to support counter illicit trafficking activities in certain circumstances.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment to include the extension of the underlying authority; expansion of the scope of the authority to provide support to U.S. law enforcement agencies for counterterrorism and TOC when a drug trafficking nexus exists; and a series of technical changes.

Sense of Congress regarding security in the Western Hemisphere (sec. 1015)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1015) that would express the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense should continue to support programs that combat illicit networking in the United Mexican States and Central America.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical and clarifying amendment.

Subtitle C—Naval Vessels and Shipyards

Definition of combatant and support vessel for purposes of the annual plan and certification relating to budgeting for construction of naval vessels (sec. 1021)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1021) that would define the term “combatant and support vessel” that is used to prepare the Department of the Navy’s 30-year shipbuilding plan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund (sec. 1022)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1022) that would create a National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund to manage the obligation and expenditures for the advanced procurement or construction of nuclear-powered strategic ballistic missile submarines. The provision would also authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer

up to \$3.5 billion to the Fund from unobligated balances from fiscal years 2014, 2015, and 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1002) that would create a fund to manage the construction (including design of vessels), purchase, alteration, and conversion of strategic missile submarines.

The agreement includes a provision that would create a National Sea-Based Deterrence Fund to manage the construction (including design of vessels), purchase, alteration, and conversion of strategic missile submarines. The provision would also authorize the transfer of unobligated balances as proposed in the House bill.

Limitation on use of funds for inactivation of U.S.S. George Washington (sec. 1023)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would prohibit spending more than 50 percent of the funds authorized and appropriated for the Office of the Secretary of Defense until the Secretary of Defense obligates funds for commencing, planning, and buying long lead time materials for the refueling and complex overhaul of the USS George Washington (CVN-73).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1021) that would prohibit spending any funds for inactivation of the USS George Washington unless such tasks are identical to tasks that would be necessary to conduct a refueling and complex overhaul of the vessel.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note that the administration did not include a budget request to support the nuclear refueling and complex overhaul of the USS George Washington (CVN-73) in fiscal year 2015. In a report to Congress titled “Estimated Impacts of Sequestration-Level Funding” dated April 2014, the Department of Defense indicated that “if Congress acts to support outyear funding at the PB15 level, the additional \$6.3B necessary to retain CVN 73 would be reflected in next year’s budget.”

Consistent with section 5062 of title 10, United States Code, and multiple testimonies from the combatant commanders, we believe that Congress has been unambiguous about the support of 11 operational aircraft carriers and have provided sufficient authorization of appropriations in this Act to maintain this carrier force structure. We fully anticipate that the administration will support a budget request for fiscal year 2016 that is consistent with title 10, United States Code.

Sense of Congress recognizing the anniversary of the sinking of U.S.S. Thresher (sec. 1024)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1025) that would express the sense of Congress in recognition of the anniversary of the sinking of the USS Thresher.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Pilot program for sustainment of Littoral Combat Ships on extended deployments (sec. 1025)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1023) that would provide additional flexibility for the Secretary of the Navy to maintain Littoral Combat Ships (LCS) by allowing government personnel or U.S. contractor personnel to conduct corrective and preventive maintenance on an LCS vessel regardless of the ship's location.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would allow the Secretary of the Navy to establish a pilot program for conducting corrective and preventive maintenance or repair on LCS vessels operating on extended deployment, performed by United States Government personnel or United States contractor personnel. The Secretary would also be required to prepare a report 120 days after completion of this LCS sustainment pilot program and submit that report to the congressional defense committees.

Availability of funds for retirement or inactivation of Ticonderoga class cruisers or dock landing ships (sec. 1026)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1026) that would limit the obligation and expenditure of funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the retirement, inactivation, or storage of Ticonderoga-class cruisers and Whidbey Island-class amphibious ships. This section would also require the modernization of two Ticonderoga-class cruisers to begin in fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1022) that would establish rules under which the Navy could use resources in the Ship, Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund (SMOSF) to implement a plan to: (1) Retain 11 Ticonderoga-class cruisers and nine Whidbey Island-class and Harpers Ferry-class dock landing ships in active service; (2) Temporarily inactivate 11 Ticonderoga-class cruisers and three Whidbey Island-class dock landing ships; (3) Modernize the inactivated ships during the period of their inactivation; and (4) Reactivate those ships to replace cruisers and dock landing ships retiring at the end of their expected service lives.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would direct Navy to induct two cruisers for modernization with fiscal year 2015 funds. The provision would also establish rules under which the Navy could use resources in the SMOSF account to modernize and retain the cruisers and dock landing ships.

We are specifically not prohibiting the Navy from assigning crews to other duties ashore during the duration of the modernization. The Navy has previously modernized a number of ship classes that resulted in significant time out of service for individual vessels. In those instances, the Navy made substantial but temporary reductions in the crew size. We believe that the temporary reductions should be commensurate with the period of the availability. We direct the Secretary of the Navy to ensure that the Navy does adequate planning and preparation to ensure that the crews for cruisers and dock landing ships emerging from a SMOSF-funded

modernization period are ready when the ship is delivered from modernization activities and returned to the fleet. We also expect the Secretary to ensure that these ships are maintained in the inventory until the end their expected service lives, excluding time spent in a phased modernization status.

Subtitle D—Counterterrorism

Extension of authority to make rewards for combating terrorism (sec. 1031)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would extend the authority through fiscal year 2015 for the Secretary of Defense to offer and make rewards to a person providing information or nonlethal assistance to U.S. Government personnel or government personnel of allied forces participating in a combined operation with U.S. Armed Forces conducted outside the United States against international terrorism or providing such information or assistance that is beneficial to force protection associated with such an operation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Prohibition on use of funds to construct or modify facilities in the United States to house detainees transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1032)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would prohibit the use of funds available to the Department of Defense (DOD) through December 31, 2015, to modify or construct any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house detainees transferred from the U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for purposes of detaining or imprisoning such detainees under DOD custody or control unless authorized by Congress.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to extend an identical prohibition contained in section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) by 1 year through December 31, 2015.

Prohibition on the use of funds for the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 1033)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would prohibit the use of funds available to the Department of Defense to transfer or release any detainee at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions through December 31, 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to extend an identical prohibition contained in section 1034 of the National Defense Authorization Act or Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), by 1 year through December 31, 2015.

Subtitle E—Miscellaneous Authorities and Limitations

Modification of Department of Defense authority for humanitarian demining assistance and stockpiled conventional munitions assistance programs (sec. 1041)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would modify the reporting requirements and definitions contained in section 407 of title 10, United States Code, regarding humanitarian demining assistance and stockpiled conventional munitions assistance.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1201) that would modify the definitions contained in section 407 of title 10, United States Code, regarding humanitarian demining assistance and stockpiled conventional munitions assistance.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Airlift service (sec. 1042)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 822) that would amend chapter 157 of title 10, United States Code, by inserting a new section that would require transportation of passengers or property by Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF)-eligible aircraft obtained by the Secretary of Defense or the Secretary of a military department through a contract for airlift service to be provided only by a covered air carrier.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would conform the provision to language on the same matter contained in title 49, United States Code.

As operations in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility draw down, there will be reduced demand for airlift.

The CRAF program was created to ensure the nation can address airlift requirements despite fluctuations in requirements over time. During this transition back to pre-1990 levels of demand for airlift services, we believe it is imperative to maintain both organic and commercial capacities to meet operational demands and unknown future requirements.

Therefore, we direct the Department of Defense (DOD) to work closely with CRAF program partners to ensure that DOD establishes “appropriate levels for peacetime cargo airlift augmentation in order to promote the effectiveness of the Civil Reserve Air Fleet and provide training within the military aircraft system,” as directed in the National Airlift Policy.

Authority to accept certain voluntary legal support services (sec. 1043)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would amend section 1588 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize service secretaries to accept voluntary legal support services provided by law students or persons studying to be a paralegal, when such services are provided under the direct supervision of an attorney through internship and externship programs approved by the secretary concerned.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1043) that would authorize service secretaries to accept voluntary legal support services provided by law students through internship and externship programs approved by the secretary concerned.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Expansion of authority for Secretary of Defense to use the Department of Defense reimbursement rates for transportation services provided to certain non-Department of Defense entities (sec. 1044)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1043) that would amend section 2642 of title 10, United States Code, to extend the authority to provide transportation services beyond other Federal agencies to include: (1) State, local, and tribal agencies (including any organizations composed of State, local, and tribal agencies); and (2) Defense contractors, when those contractors are transporting supplies for, or destined for, a Department of Defense entity.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1084).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Repeal of authority relating to use of military installations by Civil Reserve Air Fleet contractors (sec. 1045)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would repeal section 9513 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the use of military installations by commercial air carriers doing business with the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 351).

The agreement includes this provision.

Inclusion of Chief of the National Guard Bureau among leadership of the Department of Defense provided physical protection and personal security (sec. 1046)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1044) that would amend section 1074 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) to include the Chief of the National Guard Bureau as one of the specified persons in the Department of Defense who, by nature of their positions, requires continuous security and protection.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Inclusion of regional organizations in authority for assignment of civilian employees of the Department of Defense as advisors to foreign ministries of defense (sec. 1047)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would amend section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–84), as most recently amended by section 1094 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), to expand the

authority of the Secretary of Defense to provide Department of Defense (DOD) advisors to regional organizations.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We are concerned about the implementation of the Ministry of Defense Advisors (MODA) program, specifically the process through which nations and activities are proposed and prioritized and how civilian personnel are selected. We also encourage the Secretary to keep the congressional defense committees informed of the MODA program as it is further institutionalized as one of DOD's Defense Institution Building activities.

Report and limitation on availability of funds for aviation foreign internal defense program (sec. 1048)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1045) that would prohibit U.S. Special Operations Command from obligating any funds available for fiscal year 2015 for the Aviation Foreign Internal Defense Program until the Secretary of Defense provides a certification to the congressional defense committees that validates program requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would fence 50 percent of the funds made available for Procurement, defense-wide, to support the fixed-wing aviation foreign internal defense program until the Secretary provides the congressional defense committees with the required report and certification.

Modifications to OH-58D Kiowa Warrior aircraft (sec. 1049)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1051) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to obligate funds for the modification of OH-58D Kiowa Warrior helicopters.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle F—Studies and Reports

Protection of top-tier defense-critical infrastructure from electromagnetic pulse (sec. 1051)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a certification by June 2015 that all defense mission-critical infrastructure of the Department of Defense that requires protection from the adverse effects of man-made or naturally occurring electro-magnetic pulse (EMP) that receives power from non-military power sources, is protected from such effects.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to report by June 2015 whether such top-tier de-

fense-critical infrastructure that requires EMP protection is protected from EMP. The provision would also require that, if any such infrastructure is not protected against EMP, the report shall describe what actions would be required to achieve such protection.

Response of the Department of Defense to compromises of classified information (sec. 1052)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1062) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act on actions taken by the Secretary regarding significant compromises of classified information. The report would include a description of any changes to Department of Defense (DOD) policies or guidance relating to significant compromises of classified information, an overview of mitigation efforts, a description of the resources dedicated to efforts relating to such compromises, a description of the Secretary's plan to continue evaluating and mitigating any damages, and a general description and estimate of the cost associated with mitigating such compromises. This section would also require updates to the initial report on a semiannual basis during calendar years 2015–18.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision, but the classified annex to the Senate report accompanying S. 2410 (S. Rept. 113–176) of the Carl Levin National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015 also included direction for DOD on this issue.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would sunset the reporting requirement after 2016 and require quarterly updates rather than semi-annual reporting. However, we understand that the Information Review Task Force, within the Defense Intelligence Agency, and the Mitigation Oversight Task Force, within the Joint Staff, are already producing quarterly reports regarding the disclosure and mitigation measures. Providing the Mitigation Oversight Task Force and Information Review Task Force reports to the appropriate congressional committees, will be considered sufficient to answer the requirements of this provision, assuming that all the elements of the provision are addressed.

Study on joint analytic capability of the Department of Defense (sec. 1053)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to undertake an independent assessment of the joint analytic capabilities of the Department of Defense to support strategy, plans, and force development and their links to resource decisions.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Business case analysis of the creation of an active duty association for the 168th Air Refueling Wing (sec. 1054)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a business case analysis of the creation of an active association with the 168th Air Refueling Wing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Reports on recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force (sec. 1055)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1061) that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit annual reports for each fiscal year from 2016 through 2019 on how the Air Force is implementing the recommendations of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on protection of military installations (sec. 1056)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1042) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to designate personnel to engage in activities to protect the buildings, grounds, persons, and property that are under the jurisdiction, custody or control of the Department of Defense (DOD). The provision would also allow the Secretary of Defense to prescribe regulations, including traffic regulations, for the same purpose.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives, and to the Senate and House of Representatives Committees on Judiciary, and the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs, and the House Committee on Homeland Security and Government Affairs a report, coordinated with the Attorney General of the United States and the Secretary of Homeland Security, that identifies the issues, shortfalls and gaps in authorities for the protection of military installations by the three agencies concerned, and the risks associated with those issues, shortfalls, and gaps. The report would also provide a description of specific examples of incidents that illustrate those concerns. The agreement also seeks a recommendation for legislation that fulfills DOD's requirements and addresses the concerns of the three agencies.

We encourage the Secretary of Defense, the Attorney General, and the Secretary of Homeland Security to work collaboratively in drafting the report and to make it a priority to ensure the security of U.S. military installations.

Comptroller General briefing and report on Army and Army National Guard force structure changes (sec. 1057)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1050) that would prohibit, during fiscal year 2015, reductions of Active Duty Army and Army National Guard end strength and transferring AH-64 attack

helicopters from the National Guard to the Active Duty Army. The provision would also require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report on its review of Department of Defense and Department of the Army analysis and plans for force structure and mix changes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would clarify the elements of the required Comptroller General assessment. The agreement would also strike the limitations on end strength reductions and the transfer of National Guard helicopters because these limitations are addressed elsewhere in this Act.

Improving analytic support to systems acquisition and allocation of acquisition, intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance assets (sec. 1058)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would require the Vice Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff (VCJCS), in consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics (USD (AT&L)), and the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation (CAPE), to conduct an assessment of the operations research tools, processes, and capabilities used to support the analysis of requirements for new systems acquisitions and the analysis, validation, and prioritization of requirements for the allocation of existing intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) assets to the combatant commands. The provision would require the VCJCS, the USD (AT&L), and the Director of CAPE to brief Congress on the results of this assessment within 180 days of enactment of the Act.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to review and issue or revise guidance to components of the Department of Defense (DOD) to improve the application of operations research and systems analysis to: (1) the requirements process for acquisition of major defense acquisition programs and major automated information systems; and (2) the allocation of ISR systems to the combatant commands. The provision would also require the Secretary to brief Congress on issued or revised guidance not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

The Secretary's review should include (but not be limited to) the following elements:

- (1) The quality and degree of standardization of the data and supporting analysis submitted by the combatant commands for the allocation of ISR assets;
- (2) The extent to which DOD uses operations research and systems analysis (ORSA) to support deliberations by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council in vetting requirements from the military services and the combatant commands for new acquisition programs and ISR allocations in the Global Force Management Allocation Plan (GFMAP);
- (3) The ORSA resources available to the Force Structure, Resources, and Assessment Directorate of the Joint Staff, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, and the

Joint Functional Component Command for ISR to support requirements analysis;

(4) The extent to which ORSA methods are applied to analyzing the results of the employment of ISR assets to inform decisions on future GFMAP allocations; and

(5) The standardization of reporting to a common database of ISR systems performance, including a minimum set of metrics describing mission execution for all ISR support to the combatant commands.

Review of United States military strategy and the force posture of allies and partners in the United States Pacific Command area of responsibility (sec. 1059)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1064) that would require the Secretary of Defense to commission an independent review of the U.S. Asia-Pacific region rebalance.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with minor amendments.

Repeal of certain reporting requirements relating to the Department of Defense (sec. 1060)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1067) that would repeal or modify a number of reporting requirements that have been included in law in past years.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3830) contained a provision that would repeal additional reporting requirements included in law in past years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would amend title 10, United States Code, to repeal two reporting requirements and section 354 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110-417) to repeal one reporting requirement.

Repeal of requirement for Comptroller General of the United States annual reviews and report on pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force (sec. 1061)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1068) that would repeal the requirement for a Comptroller General review of a pilot program on commercial fee-for-service air refueling support for the Air Force. Since enacted in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-81), the pilot program has yet to be used.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on additional matters in connection with report on the force structure of the United States Army (sec. 1062)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3900) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of the Army to provide an update with respect to the report of the Secretary on the force structure of the Army

submitted under section 1066 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with a clarifying amendment.

We direct the Chief of Staff of the Army to provide, not later than March 15, 2015, a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives containing an assessment of an alternative force structure methodology for organizing the Army. The briefing should include an assessment of the methodology as a construct for organizing the Army to meet operational requirements consistent with defense strategic guidance and projected budget constraints.

Certification for realignment of forces at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores (sec. 1063)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1048) that would prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from reducing force structure at Lajes Air Force Base, Azores, Portugal, until: (1) The Secretary of Defense concludes the European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment (EICA); (2) The Secretary includes within that assessment an analysis of how the use and force structure of the Lajes Air Force Base is in keeping with the goals of the U.S.-Portugal Permanent Bilateral Commission; and (3) The congressional defense committees are briefed on the assessment's results.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require that prior to any action to realign forces at the Lajes Air Force Base, the Secretary of Defense must certify to the congressional defense committees that: (1) The action is supported by a EICA; and (2) The Secretary has determined, based on an analysis of operational requirements, that the Lajes Air Force Base is not an optimal location for U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM) or U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM).

We direct the Secretary to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees at the time the certification is made pursuant to this section on the required force structure at Lajes Air Force Base. The briefing should include at a minimum:

(1) A detailed description and justification of the planned force structure at the Lajes Air Force Base;

(2) A copy of the Department of Defense (DOD) analysis of operational requirements for the use of Lajes Air Force Base, including an explanation of how this analysis supports DOD's conclusion regarding Lajes' potential use by components of SOCOM and AFRICOM;

(3) A discussion of:

(A) the purpose, goals, and activities of the United States-Portugal Permanent Bilateral Commission,

(B) what role, if any, United States forces at the Lajes Air Force Base should play in promoting the goals of the Commission, and

(C) how the reduction in force structure at Lajes Air Force Base will impact the goals of the commission and

- the bilateral cooperation between the two countries in the fight against terrorism.
- (4) An evaluation of the possible costs and collateral military impacts associated with a closure of Lajes Air Force Base.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

Technical and clerical amendments (sec. 1071)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1071) that would make technical and clerical corrections to title 10, United States Code, and various National Defense Authorization Acts.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1086).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make additional technical and clerical corrections to existing law.

Reform of quadrennial defense review (sec. 1072)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1077) that would substantially modify section 118 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the timing, analysis, structure, review, and submission of a new Defense Strategy Review.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with amendments that clarify the elements of the Defense Strategy Review and duties of the National Defense Panel.

Biennial surveys of Department of Defense civilian employees on workplace and gender relations matters (sec. 1073)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1081) that would amend chapter 23 of title 10, United States Code, to require biennial surveys of civilian employees of the Department of Defense (DOD) to solicit information on gender issues, including issues relating to gender-based assault, harassment, and discrimination, and the climate in the DOD for forming professional relationships between male and female employees of the DOD. The provision would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the feasibility of conducting similar surveys of military dependents and DOD contractors.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We expect DOD to implement this provision through available information technology. Further, we direct the Secretary to inform the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives on actions taken to address findings of the biennial surveys.

Revision to statute of limitations for aviation insurance claims (sec. 1074)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1073) that would amend section 44309 of title 49, United States Code, by clarifying that the claimant for civil actions must present a claim to the Sec-

retary of Transportation and have it denied before instituting a civil action against the United States. Additionally, this section would clarify that an insurance claim must be made within 2 years of the loss, or for an insurance claim made by a person with whom the insured has no privity of contract, the earlier of either 60 days after final judgment by a court or 6 years after the date of the loss.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Pilot program for the Human Terrain System (sec. 1075)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1074) that would require the Secretary of the Army to conduct a pilot program to use Human Terrain System assets in the U.S. Pacific Command area of responsibility to support Phase 0 shaping operations and to support the theater security cooperation plans of the geographic combatant commander.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would provide the Secretary of the Army some flexibility in the establishment of the Human Terrain System pilot program.

Should the pilot program under this authority be carried out, we direct the Secretary of the Army to brief the congressional defense committees on the milestones, metrics, deliverables, and resources needed to execute the program. The brief should include an assessment of the value of the program in comparison to the various other analytic tools and techniques that are at the disposal of the military.

Clarification of policies on management of special use airspace of Department of Defense (sec. 1076)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1075) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to enter into a memorandum of understanding with a non-Department of Defense (DOD) entity that is engaged in the test range program authorized under section 332(c) of the Federal Aviation Administration Modernization and Reform Act of 2012 (Public Law 112–95). Such entity would be allowed access to non-regulatory special use airspace if such access is used by the entity as part of such test range program and such access would not interfere with the activities of the Secretary or otherwise interrupt or delay missions or training of the DOD.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision. A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3578) contained a provision that would allow the Secretary of Defense to authorize use by another department or agency of the Federal government of special use airspace at a DOD installation if such use would support or benefit DOD, or support some national security interest. Access could not be granted if the use of airspace would interfere with the assigned mission of the commander of the installation.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would direct DOD to issue guidance clarifying policies on the appropriate management of special use airspace within DOD, and

on policies governing access by users from outside the DOD to special use airspace managed by DOD. The provision would require the Secretary of Defense to issue such guidance within 90 days of enactment of this Act, and to provide the congressional defense committees a briefing on such guidance within 120 days of enactment of this Act.

The Joint Explanatory Statement accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) noted that: (1) developing established procedures to integrate unmanned aircraft systems into the National Airspace System will be very important in allowing both DOD and non-DOD entities to train with and operate unmanned aircraft systems on a routine basis; and (2) developing these procedures could include the use of FAA-designated DOD non-regulatory special use airspace.

Subsequently, DOD released guidance to the Services and DOD components to prescribe guidelines for local commanders to grant access to special use airspace. DOD officials assure us that their guidance was not intended to prevent local commanders from exercising authority to allow access within the DOD guidelines. Nevertheless, we understand that local commanders have interpreted the DOD guidelines as either allowing or preventing the local installation commander from negotiating a memorandum of understanding (MOU) under which access to special use airspace could be granted.

The provision would direct the Secretary of Defense to move expeditiously to correct such misunderstandings of the guidelines on access to special use airspace, including the authority of local commanders to enter into a memorandum of understanding for the use of special use airspace by any department or agency of the Federal Government, or state governments, to include those engaged in the Federal Aviation Administration test range program, participating in the Robotic Aircraft for Public Safety program, or participating in other activities of a similar nature.

Department of Defense policies on community involvement in Department community outreach events (sec. 1077)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 354) that would authorize service secretaries to enter into a contract or agreement with a non-federal civic organization to conduct or support an air show or open house to feature any unit, aircraft, vessel, equipment, or servicemembers under the jurisdiction of the secretary, and would authorize the secretary to charge or authorize a nominal admission fee to attend a military air show or open house.

The House bill also contained a provision (sec. 355) to amend section 974 of title 10, United States Code, to require the secretary concerned to accept contributions of money, personal property, or services on the condition that such money, property, or services be used for the benefit of a military musical unit under the jurisdiction of the Secretary.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1065) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the policies of the Department of Defense (DOD) on the involvement of non-federal entities in DOD community outreach events that feature any unit, aircraft, vessel, equipment, or members of the Armed Forces.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, a report on the policies of DOD on the involvement of non-federal entities in DOD community outreach events (including air shows, parades, open houses, and performances by military musical units) that feature any unit, aircraft, vessel, equipment, or members of the Armed Forces in order to increase the involvement of non-federal entities in such events.

Notification of foreign threats to information technology systems impacting national security (sec. 1078)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1083) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to submit to the appropriate congressional committees a notification of each instance in which the Secretary or the Director determine through analysis or reporting that an information technology or telecommunications component from a company suspected of being influenced by a foreign country, or a suspected affiliate of such a company, is competing for or has been awarded a contract to include the technology of such company or such affiliate into a covered network. Each notification would be required to include:

- (1) A description of each such instance, including an identification of the company of interest and the network affected;
- (2) An analysis of the potential risks and the actions that can be taken to mitigate such risks; and
- (3) A description of any follow up or other response actions to be taken.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit the application of the provision to the Secretary of Defense and the reportable instances to threats to information technology or network components by an agent of a foreign power in which compromises would pose a significant risk to the programs and operations of the Department of Defense. In addition, the Secretary of Defense would be required to work with other appropriate government agencies to develop a plan to respond to the reported instance. The provision makes clear that the Secretary shall use existing authorities and open source information to make determinations regarding reportable instances.

Pilot program to rehabilitate and modify homes of disabled and low-income veterans (sec. 1079)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1085) that would require the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development to conduct a pilot program to award grants to qualified non-profit organizations to rehabilitate and modify the primary residence of eligible veterans.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would limit the use of funds under this program to those

veterans who the Secretary determines are residing in, and reasonably intend to continue residing in, a primary residence owned by such veterans or family members. The amendment would also strike language in the underlying Senate provision that would have required the Secretary to adhere to certain preferences in awarding grants under the pilot program, and would have limited qualified organizations to those possessing certain expertise or other criteria.

Legislative Provisions Not Adopted

Repeal of limitation on Inspector General audits of certain financial statements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1002) that would repeal the limitation on Inspector General audits of certain financial statements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of the Senate on sequestration

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1003) pertaining to sequestration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Management of Defense information systems

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1004) that would amend section 2222 of title 10, United States Code, to expand certification requirements, investment review processing and enterprise architecture requirements from defense business systems to all defense information technology systems.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Elsewhere in this report, we have expressed our concerns over the Department of Defense's (DOD) ability to effectively and efficiently acquire the information technology systems it will need. While part of that challenge is in the acquisition process, we also recognize that a significant problem in DOD's larger management and oversight for IT investments. For example, in our discussions with industry on acquisition improvement, we received suggestions for improving the requirements generation and validation process, as well as aligning IT outcomes with the strategic goals of the organization. These things require a robust management process, and should inform acquisition, not the other way around.

We believe that DOD has a valuable process established in section 2222 of title 10, United States Code for dealing with defense business systems. We see value in having that process expanded to each of the various IT mission areas, especially the processes that conduct business process reengineering (BPR) prior to making acquisition decisions. Currently, the Deputy Chief Management Officer is focused on business systems, but we think their role could be extended to apply process improvement and BPR techniques to DOD's other IT mission areas as well.

We recognize it may be premature at this point, though, to make such significant changes. We understand DOD is reviewing its internal processes, and new leadership is looking to mold the organization in a way to achieve its strategic goals. We look forward to seeing how these efforts progress, and will consider if similar actions that were proposed by the House bill may be warranted in the future.

Report on auditable financial statements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1005) that would require a report on auditable financial statements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense (DOD) provides progress reports on each service and defense agency as part of its semi-annual report on the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) plan, required by section 1003(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (P.L. 111–84).

We also note that DOD posts its semi-annual reports on the FIAR plan electronically on a website for public review.

Report on implementing audit reporting requirements

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1006) that would require a report on implementing certain audit reporting requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense (DOD) did not validate its statement of budgetary resources as ready for audit by September 30, 2014, as required by section 1005 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (P.L. 112–239).

We expect that DOD will explain why this objective was not achieved, describe any factors which may have impeded achievement of the objective, and detail a remedial plan through which DOD will address any such impediments and proceed to validate its statement of budgetary resources as ready for audit.

We expect that DOD will include this information in its next semi-annual report on the Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) plan, required by section 1003(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (P.L. 111–84).

We also note that DOD posts its semi-annual reports on the FIAR plan electronically on a web site for public review.

Submittal of biannual reports on use of funds in the drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, defense-wide account on the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1013) that would amend section 1009(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to add the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate as recipients of a bian-

nual report on the use of funds in the drug interdiction and counter-drug activities, defense-wide account.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The Secretary of Defense may, upon request, provide a copy of this report to the Foreign Affairs Committee of the House of Representatives and Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate.

National Guard drug interdiction and counter-drug activities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1014) that would amend section 112 of title 32, United States Code, adding the operations and activities provided by the National Guard Counter-drug Training Centers within the United States for federal, state, and local law enforcement to the items for which the Secretary of Defense may provide funds to the governor of a state who submits to the Secretary a state drug interdiction and counter-drug activities plan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note the role of the National Guard Counter-drug mission in ensuring the security of the U.S. Homeland. As part of that mission, the National Guard Counter-drug Schools continue to play an important role in training and educating local, state, and federal law enforcement and other entities on counter-drug-related matters. We recognize the benefits of maintaining and supporting the National Guard counterdrug strategy.

Prohibition on use of funds for certain permitting activities under the Sunken Military Craft Act

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1027) that would prohibit the Executive Branch from spending any funds to issue a regulation for permitting activities set forth in section 1403 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Limitation on the transfer or release of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1031) that would provide an exception to the annual prohibition on the transfer or release of detainees held at U.S. Naval Base, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (GTMO) to the United States if the Secretary of Defense submits a detailed plan to close the GTMO detention facility to the appropriate congressional committees and Congress fails to enact a joint resolution of disapproval under expedited procedures. The provision would authorize the Secretary, if a joint resolution of disapproval is not enacted, to transfer Guantanamo detainees to custody in the United States for detention, trial, and incarceration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on facilitation of transfer overseas of certain individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1032) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees on impediments to the transfer of Guantanamo detainees overseas and actions that have been taken, or are planned to be taken, to overcome such impediments and facilitate overseas transfers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the United States for emergency or critical medical treatment

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1033) that would provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to temporarily transfer individuals detained at the Guantanamo detention facility (GTMO) to a Department of Defense medical facility in the United States for the sole purpose of providing emergency or critical medical care if such treatment is not available at GTMO and is necessary to prevent death or imminent serious injury or harm to the detainee's health.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Prohibition on the use of funds for recreational facilities for individuals detained at Guantanamo

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense funds to provide additional or upgraded recreational facilities for individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Prohibition on transfer or release to Yemen of individuals detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1034) that would prohibit using funds available to the Department of Defense to transfer, release, or otherwise assist in the transfer or release, of any individual held at the Guantanamo detention facility to Yemen during the period beginning on the date of enactment of the Act and ending on December 31, 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Reduction in Department of Defense civilian personnel and review of certain headquarters spending

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1041) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on Department of De-

fense (DOD) civilian positions, including the number of civilian positions created between September 11, 2001, and December 31, 2013, as a result of military to civilian conversions, the number of positions created as temporary positions that are being converted back to military positions, and the number of civilian positions that have been or are being eliminated.

The provision would also express the sense of Congress that the number of civilian positions should be reduced simultaneously with, and by the same percentages, as the corresponding reductions in military end strengths.

The provision would also require the Secretary to review spending on headquarters in commands below major command with the objective of reducing such spending by not less than 10 percent.

The provision would also require the updating of various DOD instructions and regulations to improve tracking and reporting headquarters personnel and resources.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, to submit to the congressional defense committees a report setting forth the following:

(1) The total number of civilian positions created in the DOD between September 11, 2001, and December 31, 2013, as a result of conversions of support functions from performance by military personnel to performance by civilian personnel, set forth separated by the number of each of administrative, technical, and medical positions;

(2) The total number of civilian positions created as described in paragraph (1) that were created as temporary positions and are now being converted back to military positions; and

(3) The total number of civilian positions created as described in paragraph (1) that have been or are being eliminated.

Submittal of procedures and report relating to sensitive military operations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1046) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of 25 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise available for fiscal year 2015 for the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict until the congressional defense committees receive the procedures required by section 130f(b)(1) of title 10, United States Code, and the report required by section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Limitation on use of Russian-flagged airlift aircraft to support the airlift movement requirements of the United States Transportation Command

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1047) that would allow the use of Russian-flagged airlift aircraft to support airlift movement requirements of U.S. Transportation Command (TRANSCOM) only after the Commander, U.S. Transportation Command certified to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, for each manifested cargo mission, that utilizing Russian-flagged airlift aircraft is the only means available to TRANSCOM to execute that particular manifested cargo delivery mission.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Prohibition on use of drones to kill United States citizens

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1052) that would prohibit any officer, employee, detailee, or contractor of the Department of Defense to use a drone to kill a U.S. citizen.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report and briefing to Congress on procurement and inspection of armored commercial passenger-carrying vehicles to transport civilian employees of the Department of Defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1063) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report and detailed briefing on the Department of Defense's policies and procedures for procuring and inspecting armored commercial passenger-carrying vehicles for transporting civilian employees of the Department.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to submit to the congressional defense committees, not later than March 30, 2015, a report on the Department of Defense's policies and procedures for procuring and inspecting upon delivery armored commercial passenger-carrying vehicles for transporting civilian employees.

The report shall include: (1) a description of the Department's current policies and procedures for procuring and inspecting upon delivery, armored commercial passenger-carrying vehicles for transporting civilian employees in hostile or potentially hostile locations overseas; (2) recommendations for any changes to such policies and procedures that the Secretary determines would increase the safety of civilian employees in hostile or potentially hostile locations overseas, including a cost benefit analysis regarding the reasonableness of such recommendations; and (3) any other relevant matter the Under Secretary determines appropriate.

Report on long-term costs of Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1066) that would require a report on long-term costs of operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Provision of annual voter assistance

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1071) that would amend chapter 80 of title 10, United States Code, to require the Secretary of Defense to develop an online system to provide annual voting assistance to Active-Duty servicemembers.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sale or donation of excess personal property for border security activities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1072) that would amend section 2576a of title 10, United States Code, to include “border security” as one of the law enforcement activities for which Department of Defense (DOD) excess property may be transferred to federal and state agencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that, under section 2576a, DOD already has the authority to provide excess personal property to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for border security since it is a law enforcement activity, and that DOD has already been providing such equipment to CBP.

Sense of Congress on the life and achievements of Dr. James R. Schlesinger

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1076) that would state the sense of Congress on the life and achievements of Dr. James R. Schlesinger.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that Dr. Schlesinger served the United States with distinction in a variety of senior government positions, including as Secretary of Defense, Director of Central Intelligence, Secretary of Energy, and Chairman of the Atomic Energy Commission. In recognition of Dr. Schlesinger’s lifetime of distinguished service and achievement, the Senate passed Senate Resolution 472 on June 11, 2014.

Resubmission of 2014 quadrennial defense review

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1078) that would require the Secretary of Defense to resubmit the 2014 Quadrennial Defense Review.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress regarding counter-improvised explosive devices

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1079) that would express the sense of the Congress on the need to remain dedicated to retaining knowledge, technological expertise, as well as the lessons learned from Operation Enduring Freedom and Operation Iraqi Freedom, regarding counter-improvised explosive device tactics, techniques, and procedures.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1525).

The agreement does not include the provision.

We note that the threat posed by improvised explosive devices remains significant and the Department needs to continue to advance efforts to defeat these devices, train the force to counter them, and attack the facilitation networks that bring these devices into the various theaters where U.S. and friendly forces operate. We also expect the Department of Defense to work to consolidate the lessons learned by U.S. forces from more than a decade at war.

Enhancing presence and capabilities and readiness posture of United States military in Europe

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1080) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a plan recommending actions and resources to enhance the capabilities and capacities of U.S. Armed Forces in Europe to counter the conventional, unconventional and subversive activities of the Russian Federation in the U.S. European Command's area of responsibility and to respond under Article 5 of the North Atlantic Treaty.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We note that a provision requiring a security strategy for Europe is included under another title of the Act.

Determination and disclosure of transportation costs incurred by the Secretary of Defense for congressional trips outside the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1081) that would require the Secretary of Defense to determine the cost of the transportation provided in the case of a trip taken by a member, officer, or employee of the Senate or the House of Representatives in carrying out official duties outside the United States for which the Department of Defense provides transportation and to provide a written statement of the cost not later than 10 days after completion of the trip to the member, officer, or employee involved and to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate or the House of Representatives.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We support public disclosure of official travel by members, officers, and employees of the Senate and the House of Representatives. To this end, we note that section 1754 (b) of title 22, United States Code, contains reporting and disclosure requirements for congressional travel outside the United States, including a requirement for reports to be open to public inspection and published in the Congressional Record. We recognize there are circumstances under which transportation provided by the Department of Defense best meets the needs of congressional delegations, ranging from protecting the safety and security of the delegations, expediency, and accessing destinations that have little or no commercial air service. We further note that the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives each maintain policies and processes to provide further oversight of travel requests by members and employees of the committees.

Improvement of financial literacy

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1082) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop and implement a training program to increase and improve financial literacy training for incoming and outgoing military personnel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Transfer of administration of Ocean Research Advisory Panel from Department of the Navy to National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1082) that would transfer the responsibility of the administration of the Ocean Research Advisory Panel from the Department of the Navy to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We recommend that the Department of Defense, in coordination with other appropriate organizations, examine the funding, management, functions, and administration of the Ocean Research Advisory Panel to ensure that this activity is being executed in the most effective and efficient manner.

Annual report on performance of regional offices of the Department of Veterans Affairs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1084) that would amend section 7734 of title 38, United States Code, to include in the annual report on the quality of services provided by the Veterans Benefits Administration, a report on the performance of any regional office that fails to meet its administrative goals.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress regarding the transfer of used military equipment to federal, state, and local agencies

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1085) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the transfer of used military equipment to federal, state, and local agencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Methods for validating certain service considered to be active service by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1086) that would specify methods for validating certain service of coastwise merchant seamen considered to be active service by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Cost of wars

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1087) that would require the Secretary of Defense to post on the public web site of the Department of Defense the costs of the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Observance of Veterans Day

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1088) that would amend Chapter 1 of title 36, United States Code, to require the President to issue each year a proclamation calling on the people of the United States to observe 2 minutes of silence on Veterans Day in honor of the service and sacrifice of veterans throughout the history of the Nation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Findings; sense of Congress

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1089) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should order that the names of the 74 military personnel lost aboard the USS Frank E. Evans on June 3, 1969, be added to the Vietnam Veterans Memorial.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We recommend that the names of the 74 military personnel lost aboard the USS Frank E. Evans on June 3, 1969, be added to the Vietnam Veterans Memorial if adequate funds are available to pay for adding the names and there is sufficient space available on the Memorial to accommodate the additional names.

Review of operation of certain ships during the Vietnam Era

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review, by not later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, the logs of each Navy ship known to have operated in the waters near Vietnam during the Vietnam Era to determine whether the ship operated in the territorial waters of the Republic of Vietnam during that period, and, for each ship that operated in these waters during that time, the date or dates that the ship so operated and the distance from the shore of the location where the ship operated. The Secretary of Defense would be required to provide this determination and information to the Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1062).

The agreement includes does not include these provisions.

We note that the Department of Veterans Affairs maintains a publicly available Internet list of ships which, during the Vietnam War, experienced possible exposure to Agent Orange based on military records, and which, as of January 2014, included 308 United States Navy and Coast Guard ships associated with military service and possible exposure to Agent Orange based on military records. We further note that the number of ships on this list is likely to increase as Department of Veterans Affairs continues to determine qualifying service in Vietnam for veterans who file a claim for compensation benefits.

Sense of Congress recognizing the 70th anniversary of the Allied amphibious landing on D-Day, June 6, 1944, at Normandy, France

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090A) that would express the sense of Congress that would recognize the 70th anniversary of the Allied amphibious landing on D-Day, June 6, 1944, at Normandy, France, during World War II and would request the President to issue a proclamation calling on the people of the United States to observe the anniversary with appropriate ceremonies and programs to honor the sacrifices of their fellow countrymen to liberate Europe.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Transportation of supplies to members of the armed forces from nonprofit organizations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090B) that would amend chapter 20, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to transport, on a space available basis and without charge, supplies that have been furnished by a nonprofit organization and that are intended for distribution to members of the Armed Forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The Secretary of Defense informed us that he has the authority to accept donations and gifts for the benefit of our Armed Forces,

but that the Department of Defense has very limited resources to receive, screen, and transport donations and gifts.

Findings and purposes

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1701) that would discuss the findings of Congress leading up to the establishment of an advisory panel on Department of Defense audit readiness. In addition, this provision discusses the purposes of the panel: to actively monitor the Department of Defense's audit readiness and audit work and to report on problems that need to be resolved with the intention to shed light on the best, most efficient path forward to meet the 2017 and 2019 deadlines relating to auditability.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Comptroller General oversight of Department of Defense audit readiness

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1702) that would establish the advisory panel on Department of Defense audit readiness, describe the process for the selection of members to the panel, identify the period of appointment, and describe meeting requirements of the panel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Duties of the Advisory Panel

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1703) that would define the duties of the Advisory Panel. The Panel would identify, review, and evaluate the work of the Department of Defense regarding auditability. The Panel would submit to congressional defense committees semi-annual reports on the findings and recommendations of the Panel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Powers of the Advisory Panel

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1704) that would provide the authority for the advisory panel to hold hearings and receive information directly from the Department of Defense.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Advisory Panel personnel matters

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1705) that would require members of the Advisory Panel to serve without compensation for such service. This section would also provide authority for travel expenses and staff to support the Advisory Panel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Termination of the Advisory Panel

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1706) that would terminate the Advisory Panel on April 30, 2019.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XI—CIVILIAN PERSONNEL MATTERS

One-year extension of authority to waive annual limitation on pay for federal civilian employees working overseas (sec. 1101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1101) that would authorize the head of an executive agency to waive limitations on the aggregate of basic and premium pay payable through calendar year 2015 to an employee who performs work in an overseas location that is in the area of responsibility of the Commander, U.S. Central Command (CENTCOM), or a location that was formerly in CENTCOM but has been moved to an area of responsibility of the Commander, U.S. Africa Command, in support of a contingency operation or an operation in response to a declared emergency. The amount payable may not exceed the total annual compensation payable to the Vice President under section 104 of title 3, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1103).

The agreement includes the House provision.

One-year extension of discretionary authority to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities to personnel on official duty in a combat zone (sec. 1102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1102) that would authorize temporary discretionary authority to federal agencies to grant allowances, benefits, and gratuities comparable to those provided to members of the foreign service to an agency's civilian employees on official duty in a combat zone. This authority would expire at the end of fiscal year 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Revision to list of science and technology reinvention laboratories (sec. 1103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1103) that would amend the list of Science and Technology Reinvention Laboratories to include the Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences and the Space and Missile Defense Command Technical Center.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension and modification of experimental program for scientific and technical personnel (sec. 1104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would remove the sunset date and annual reporting requirement for section 1101 of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (Public Law 105–261) which provides authority that is used by the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency and other agencies to hire world-class technical experts to serve as research and development program managers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would make technical modifications to the same section.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Temporary authorities for certain positions at Department of Defense research and engineering facilities (sec. 1105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1105) that would modify section 1107 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) to establish a pilot program providing direct hiring authority to the laboratory director of specified laboratories for certain students enrolled in scientific, technical, engineering, or mathematics (STEM) programs at institutions of higher education on a temporary or term basis.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that establishes a pilot program for direct hire authority for STEM students on a temporary or term basis, for up to three percent of the laboratory's scientific and engineering workforce.

Rate of overtime pay for Department of the Navy employees performing work aboard or dockside in support of the nuclear aircraft carrier forward deployed in Japan (sec. 1106)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1108) that would amend section 5542(a)(6)(B) of title 5, United States Code, to extend for 1 year the authority for a civilian employee of the Department of the Navy who is assigned to temporary duty to perform work aboard, or dockside in direct support of, the nuclear aircraft carrier that is forward deployed in Japan to receive overtime pay.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit the amount the Secretary of the Navy may pay under this section to \$250,000 in fiscal year 2015 until the Director of the Office of Personnel Management submits the report required in section 1105(b)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383).

Extension of part-time reemployment authority (sec. 1107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1109) that would extend for 5 years the authority of federal agencies to reemploy retired federal civilian employees under limited conditions, without offset of annuity against salary, for certain specified purposes.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3890) contained a similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would extend through December 31, 2019 the authority of federal agencies to reemploy retired federal civilian employees under limited conditions, without offset of annuity against salary, for certain specified purposes.

Personnel authorities for civilian personnel for the United States Cyber Command and the cyber component headquarters of the military departments (sec. 1108)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1104) that would express the sense of the Senate that enhanced personnel authorities are needed for hiring, compensating, and promoting civilian personnel supporting U.S. Cyber Command (CYBERCOM), perhaps modeled on the Defense Civilian Intelligence Personnel System (DCIPS) established in sections 1601 through 1607 of title 10, United States Code. The provision also would require the Principal Cyber Advisor, within 180 days of enactment, to provide recommendations to the Secretary of Defense to improve the support provided by CYBERCOM's executive agent, the Department of the Air Force, in the area of civilian personnel, both through administrative actions and legislation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the Principal Cyber Advisor's recommendations to the Secretary to include the civilian personnel of the cyber component headquarters of the military departments.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Judicial review of Merit Systems Protection Board decisions relating to whistleblowers

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1106) that would amend section 7703 of title 5, United States Code, to extend by 3 years a pilot provision of the Whistleblower Protection Enhancement Act (Public Law 101-12) to allow whistleblowers to appeal cases from the Merit Systems Protection Board to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit or any court of appeals of competent jurisdiction.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that this provision was enacted in the All Circuit Review Extension Act (Public Law 113-170).

Pay parity for Department of Defense employees employed at joint bases

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1107) that would require that when the constituent installations of a joint military installation are not located within the same pay locality, all Department of Defense employees of the joint military installation receive locality pay at a percentage equal to that which is payable to the constituent installation receiving the highest locality pay.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

Subtitle A—Assistance and Training

Modification and extension of Global Security Contingency Fund (sec. 1201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1201) that would extend for 1 year the authority under section 1207 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) for the Global Security Contingency Fund (GSCF). The provision would also modify the GSCF authority to allow funds to be used for small-scale construction as part of foreign capacity-building activities under the program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1205) that would extend the GSCF authority for 2 years and make a clarifying amendment.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would extend the GSCF authority for 2 years and make a clarifying amendment.

We expect that any small-scale military construction projects authorized under this section would be a supporting, logical component of a comprehensive GSCF program, and not a stand-alone project.

Notice to Congress on certain assistance under authority to conduct activities to enhance the capability of foreign countries to respond to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction (sec. 1202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would amend section 1204(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) to include the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives as recipients of information required by the provision.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Enhanced authority for provision of support to foreign military liaison officers of foreign countries while assigned to the Department of Defense (sec. 1203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1203) that would amend section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide administrative and support services, to include certain training programs, for liaison officers of a foreign country, while such liaison officers are assigned temporarily to the headquarters of a combatant command, component command, or subordinate operational command of the United States. This section would further amend section 1051a of title 10, United States Code, to include a limitation on the authorized number of liaison officers and amount of unreimbursed support for travel, subsistence, and medical care expenses per fiscal year for any

such liaison officer. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees an annual report on January 31 of each year from 2016–18 on the summary of expenses incurred by the United States for liaison officers of a developing country, and include the Department of Defense’s definition of a “developing country” as used for the purposes of this authority.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1263).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Prohibition on use of funds for assistance to units of foreign security forces that have committed a gross violation of human rights (sec. 1204)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1202) that would amend chapter 134 of title 10, United States Code, to include a limitation on the use of funds for training, equipment, or other assistance for the members of a unit of a foreign security force if the Secretary of Defense has credible information that such unit has committed a gross violation of human rights.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical changes and incorporate the requirement to submit an annual report from section 1204 of H.R. 4435, “Annual Report on Human Rights Vetting and Verification Procedures of the Department of Defense” into the Senate provision.

Codification and enhancement of authority to build the capacity of foreign security forces (sec. 1205)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1205) that would codify in title 10, United States Code, the authority for the Secretary of Defense to conduct a program to train and equip certain foreign security forces to build their capacity to conduct counterterrorism operations and stability operations under section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109–163), as amended (the “section 1206 authority”). The provision would also modify the limitations on the amount of funds that could be used under the section 1206 authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a number of technical and clarifying amendments.

We expect that any small-scale military construction projects authorized under this section would be a supporting, logical component of a comprehensive section 1206 program, and not a stand-alone project.

Training of security forces and associated security ministries of foreign countries to promote respect for the rule of law and human rights (sec. 1206)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to conduct

human rights training of security forces and associated ministries of foreign countries. The provision would require that the activities conducted pursuant to this section have the concurrence of the Secretary of State and the provision would define the activities considered to be human rights training.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, shall provide the Committees on Armed Services and Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate a briefing on the initial implementation activities associated with this new authority and other related matters deemed appropriate by the Secretary of Defense.

Cross servicing agreements for loan of personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment in coalition operations (sec. 1207)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1207) that would codify in title 10, United States Code, an authority for the Secretary of Defense to enter into arrangements under acquisition and cross servicing agreements (ACSA) to loan equipment for personnel protection and personnel survivability. The provision would authorize such loans to coalition forces for their use in coalition operations with the United States as part of a contingency operation or a peacekeeping operation under the United Nations Charter or another international agreement. The provision would also include a waiver of the requirement to reimburse the United States for the loss of such equipment in the event it is damaged or destroyed during combat operations for which the equipment was loaned.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would provide statutory authority through the end of fiscal year 2019 for the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to enter into arrangements to use ACSAs to loan personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment to coalition forces participating in such coalition operations with the United States. The agreement would also authorize the loaning of such equipment in connection with the training of coalition forces to be deployed to those operations.

We note that a similar authority to loan personnel protection and personnel survivability equipment under ACSAs to allies and other partners has made an important contribution to coalition operations in Afghanistan. The Department of Defense has interpreted this temporary authority narrowly, and we urge the Department to take a similar approach in the implementation of any program under this section.

Extension and modification of authority for support of special operations to combat terrorism (sec. 1208)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1241) that would extend through 2017 the authority for support of special operations

to combat terrorism pursuant to section 1208 of the Ronald Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108–375), as amended most recently by section 1203(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1208) that would extend the authority through fiscal year 2016, and increase the annual cap on the authority from \$50.0 million to \$60.0 million.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the authority through fiscal year 2017 and increase the annual cap on the authority from \$50.0 million to \$75.0 million.

Authority to provide assistance to the vetted Syrian opposition (sec. 1209)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1209) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to provide equipment, supplies, training, and defense services to assist the vetted elements of the Syrian opposition for the purposes of: (1) Defending the Syrian people from the attacks of the Syrian regime; (2) Protecting the United States, our friends and allies, and the Syrian people from terrorist elements; and (3) Promoting the conditions for a negotiated settlement to end the conflict in Syria. The provision would also establish requirements for an element of the Syrian opposition to be deemed vetted, permit the Secretary of Defense to provide assistance to third countries for purposes of the provision of training and equipment, and provide the authority to accept contributions from other nations. The Secretary of State's concurrence would be required to conduct activities under this authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that the agreement includes language similar to the Continuing Appropriations Resolution, 2015 (Public Law 113–164) to provide assistance to the appropriately vetted elements of the Syrian opposition.

Additionally, we note that sustainment, at a minimum, includes the provision of logistics, intelligence, communications, and other enabling support necessary to maintain operations in support of the mission; supply of food, fuel, arms, munitions, and equipment; maintenance of equipment; and repair and renovation of facilities.

Provision of logistic support for the conveyance of certain defense articles to foreign forces training with the United States Armed Forces (sec. 1210)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 323) that would authorize a 2-year pilot program for the Secretary of Defense to use up to \$10.0 million in funds to provide logistic support for the transfer of excess defense articles to allied forces participating with U.S. armed forces in bilateral or multilateral training activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to use up to \$10.0 million in each of fiscal years 2015 and 2016 to provide logistic support for the transfer of excess defense articles in Afghanistan to the military forces of countries with which the U.S. Armed Forces plan to conduct bilateral or multilateral training overseas during those fiscal years.

Biennial report on programs carried out by the Department of Defense to provide training, equipment, or other assistance or reimbursement to foreign security forces (sec. 1211)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would require a biennial report to Congress in fiscal years 2016, 2018, and 2020 on the Department of Defense programs to provide training, equipment, or other security assistance or reimbursement to foreign security forces.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with technical and clarifying amendments.

Subtitle B—Matters Relating to Afghanistan, Pakistan, and Iraq

Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan (sec. 1221)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1211) that would extend for 1 year the authority under section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), as amended, for the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP) in Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1221).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would limit the total funds available for the CERP program in fiscal year 2015 to \$10.0 million and make other technical and clarifying amendments.

Extension and modification of authority for reimbursement of certain coalition nations for support provided to United States military operations (sec. 1222)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would extend through fiscal year 2015 the authority under section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended, for the Secretary of Defense to reimburse coalition nations for support provided to the United States for military operations in Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan, and make certain technical amendments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1224) that would extend for 1 year the authority under section 1233 of Public Law 110–181, as amended. The Senate provision would limit overall funds available under this section in fiscal year 2015 to \$1.2 billion and of those funds, no more than \$900.0 million would be available for Pakistan. The provision would also extend certain notification and certification requirements relating to any payments under this section to Pakistan. In addition, the provision

would limit the Secretary from waiving the certification requirements with regard to \$300.0 million of the \$900.0 million authorized for Pakistan unless the Secretary can make certain additional certifications regarding Pakistan's military operations in North Waziristan.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would expand the authority under section 1233 of Public Law 110-181 to allow the Secretary of Defense to reimburse coalition nations for support provided to U.S. military operations in Iraq or in Operation Enduring Freedom in Afghanistan. The amendment to the Senate provision would also increase the amount of funding authorized under this section for Pakistan to \$1.0 billion. The amendment would clarify the additional certification requirements that the Secretary would need to make to invoke the waiver with regard to the full amount of funding authorized under this section for Pakistan.

One-year extension of logistical support for coalition forces supporting certain United States military operations (sec. 1223)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1213) that would extend certain authorities for the support of coalition forces participating with the United States in military operations in Afghanistan. The provision would extend current authorities to (1) provide coalition forces with logistical support under section 1234 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181); and (2) use acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend those forces certain military equipment for personnel protection.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1225) that would extend the authority under section 1234 of Public Law 110-181 to provide logistical support to coalition forces participating with the United States in military operations in Afghanistan.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would expand this authority to allow the provision of logistical support to such coalition forces in Afghanistan and Iraq.

United States plan for sustaining the Afghanistan National Security Forces through the end of fiscal year 2017 (sec. 1224)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1216) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report containing a detailed plan for the sustainment of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) through fiscal year 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the report to contain a detailed plan for sustaining the ANSF through fiscal year 2017 and additional clarifying amendments.

Semiannual report on enhancing security and stability in Afghanistan (sec. 1225)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1227) that would require a semi-annual report to the appropriate committees of Congress on enhancing the strategic partnership between the United States and Afghanistan, including efforts to build and sustain the Afghan National Security Forces.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1214).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment clarifying the information to be included in the report and making other technical amendments.

We note that the House provision is addressed elsewhere in this report.

Sense of Congress on stability and sovereignty of Afghanistan (sec. 1226)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1217) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the continuing U.S. national security interest in Afghanistan after 2014 and support for Afghan National Security Forces.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Extension of Afghan Special Immigrant Program (sec. 1227)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1218) that would authorize a certain number of visas for principal aliens who may be provided special immigrant visa status in accordance with section 602(b)(3) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101). This section would also extend the period in which the principal alien must be employed by or on behalf of the U.S. Government in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan to December 31, 2015. Additionally, this section would extend the period in which the principal alien must apply to the Chief of Mission in Afghanistan to September 30, 2015. The authorization in this section would terminate on September 30, 2016.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1230).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Independent assessment of United States efforts against al-Qaeda (sec. 1228)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1219) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to conduct an independent assessment related to U.S. efforts to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al-Qaeda, its affiliated groups, associated groups, and adherents.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We expect the Department to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on the process for developing the inde-

pendent assessment report, including an estimate of the cost of preparing such an assessment.

Sense of Congress on security of Afghan women (sec. 1229)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the importance of the security and civic participation of women for the development and national security of Afghanistan and the need to increase the number of women in the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF).

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3715) contained a provision that would express the sense of Congress that the United States should continue to support the meaningful inclusion of women in the political, economic, and security transition process in Afghanistan. The Senate provision would also require a report on the security of Afghan women and girls, including information on the recruitment and retention of women in the ANSF.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that elsewhere in this Act, the Secretary of Defense is required to report to the appropriate committees of Congress on efforts by the Afghan Ministry of Defense and the Afghan Ministry of Interior to increase the recruitment and retention of women in the ANSF.

Review process for use of United States funds for construction projects in Afghanistan that cannot be physically accessed by United States Government personnel (sec. 1230)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220B) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense (DOD) funds for construction projects in Afghanistan in excess of \$500,000 that cannot be audited and physically inspected. The provision included authority to waive the prohibition if, prior to the obligation of funds for the project, a plan is submitted to the relevant congressional committees for the monitoring of the use of such funds to ensure they are used for their intended purpose and to mitigate waste, fraud and abuse.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1226).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of fiscal year 2015 DOD funds for construction projects in Afghanistan in excess of \$1 million that cannot be authorized and physically inspected by U.S. Government personnel or their designated representatives. The provision would allow for this prohibition to be waived if the Secretary of Defense or the Commander of U.S. Forces in Afghanistan submits to the relevant congressional committee a report containing (1) a detailed plan for the monitoring of the funds for the project, and (2) certain specific determinations regarding the project's contribution to U.S. national security, its coordination with the Government of Afghanistan and other implementing partners, and its sustainability.

Extension of authority to transfer defense articles and provide defense services to the military and security forces of Afghanistan (sec. 1231)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would extend for 1 year the authority of the Secretary of Defense to transfer to the Afghan security forces defense articles being drawn down in Afghanistan, and to provide defense services in connection with such transfers, under section 1222 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

One-year extension of authority to use funds for reintegration activities in Afghanistan (sec. 1232)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1223) that would extend for 1 year the authority under section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as amended, for the Secretary of Defense to use funds to support the reintegration of former insurgent fighters into Afghan society. The provision would allow the use of up to \$15.0 million in fiscal year 2015 for reintegration purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would allow the use of up to \$5.0 million in Department of Defense (DOD) funds for reintegration purposes. We note that the United States continues to support a political reconciliation process that is Afghan-owned and Afghan-led. We encourage the transition of reintegration efforts from the Department of Defense to the appropriate institutions of the Government of Afghanistan, and accordingly expect that there will not be a need for this DOD reintegration authority after fiscal year 2015.

Clearance of unexploded ordnance on former United States training ranges in Afghanistan (sec. 1233)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1229) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to use up to \$125.0 million of Department of Defense (DOD) funds in each of fiscal years 2015 and 2016 to conduct surface clearance of unexploded ordnance at closed training ranges used by the U.S. Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify that the DOD is authorized to use up to a total of \$250.0 million in DOD funds through September 30, 2016, to conduct both surface and subsurface clearance of unexploded ordnance under this section.

Report on impact of end of major combat operations in Afghanistan on authority to use military force (sec. 1234)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1241) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Attorney General, to submit a

report to the appropriate committees of Congress on the impact, if any, of the end of major combat operations in Afghanistan on the authority to use military force against al Qaeda, the Taliban and associated forces under the 2001 Authorization for the Use of Military Force or any other available legal authority.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on bilateral security cooperation with Pakistan (sec. 1235)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1228) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a detailed report to the appropriate committees of Congress on the nature and extent of bilateral security cooperation between the United States and Pakistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical and clarifying amendment.

Authority to provide assistance to counter the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (sec. 1236)

Following passage of the House bill and the Senate committee-reported bill, the administration submitted to the congressional defense committees a legislative proposal that would authorize to be appropriated to the Iraq Train and Equip Fund up to \$1.6 billion to provide assistance to military and other security forces of or associated with the Government of Iraq, including Kurdish and tribal security forces, with a national mission, to counter the Islamic State in Iraq and the Levant (ISIL).

The agreement includes the proposal for the Iraq Train and Equip Fund with certain technical and clarifying amendments. Assistance under this section would be restricted to no more than 25 percent of the amounts authorized until the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, submits a report on the plan for providing such assistance and re-training and re-equipping the Iraqi Security Forces, and the President submits a report on how such assistance fits within a broader regional strategy.

We note the significant contribution that Kurdish security forces have made to countering ISIL's advance. We understand that the administration's plan includes assistance to train and equip 3 brigades of Kurdish peshmerga. Accordingly, we expect that a significant portion of the assistance under this authority will be provided to meet the requirements of the Kurdish security forces and urge the Secretary of Defense to ensure that such assistance is delivered in a timely manner to such forces. We further expect the Secretary of Defense to keep the congressional defense committees fully informed as this plan is developed and implemented, including any arrangements to ensure that such assistance for Kurdish security forces is promptly delivered to those forces.

The provision is also amended to add local security forces with a national security mission to the list of forces authorized to receive assistance under this section. We believe that, for purposes of this section, local security forces should include local forces that are committed to protecting highly vulnerable ethnic and religious mi-

nority communities in the Nineveh Plain and elsewhere from the ISIL threat.

We note that among the lessons learned from the execution of previous large-scale train-and-equip funds in Iraq and Afghanistan has been the need for high-level oversight and requirements coordination, such as through the Afghanistan Requirements Oversight Council (AROC), to ensure that significant expenditures from the fund are aligned with validated requirements and subject to adequate oversight. We expect that the Department of Defense (DOD) will utilize a mechanism and procedures similar to the AROC in carrying out the program under the ITEF. Therefore, we direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after the date of enactment of this Act, on the procedures and mechanism DOD will use to ensure that major expenditures from the fund are made only pursuant to an appropriately validated need and subject to adequate monitoring and evaluation.

Extension and modification of authority to support operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (sec. 1237)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1243) that would extend through fiscal year 2015 the authority under section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), as amended, for the use of Department of Defense funds to support the operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (OSC–I). The provision would also clarify the kinds of training activities that the OSC–I is authorized to conduct in support of the Iraqi Ministry of Defense and the Counter Terrorism Service.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1231).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the use of up to \$140.0 million to support OSC–I operations and activities during fiscal year 2015.

Subtitle C—Matters Relating to the Russian Federation

Limitation on military cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation (sec. 1241)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1221) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense (DOD) funds for fiscal year 2015 for bilateral military-to-military contact or cooperation between the Governments of the United States and the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Defense makes certain specified certifications to the appropriate congressional committees regarding Russia’s actions in Ukraine, its compliance with its arms control obligations, and its foreign military sales or transfers.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1242(e)).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would prohibit the use of DOD funds for fiscal year 2015 for bilateral military-to-military cooperation between the United States and the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Defense, in co-

ordination with the Secretary of State, certifies that Russia has ceased its occupation of Ukrainian territory and its aggressive activities that threaten Ukraine and North Atlantic Treaty Organization members. The amendment authorizes the Secretary of Defense to waive the prohibition if the Secretary determines that doing so is in the U.S. national security interest and the Secretary provides prior notification to the appropriate committees of Congress, including certain specified information.

Notification and assessment of proposal to modify or introduce new aircraft or sensors for flight by the Russian Federation under Open Skies Treaty (sec. 1242)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1222) that would limit the use of funds to authorize or permit a certification by the United States of a proposal by the Russian Federation to change any sensor package on a Russian Open Skies aircraft, unless certain specified conditions are met.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the President, not later than 30 days after the Russian Federation provides notification to all States Parties to the Open Skies Treaty (Treaty) of its intention to seek certification to change, modify, or introduce a new aircraft or sensor under the Treaty, to notify the appropriate committees of Congress and provide the relevant details of the Russian proposal. The provision would also require, not later than 30 days prior to the date of intended approval of certification of such aircraft or sensor by the United States, the Director of National Intelligence, jointly with the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit to the appropriate committees of Congress an assessment of the national security implications for the United States of any new aircraft or sensor proposed to be deployed by the Russian Federation under the Treaty. Further, the provision would require that any such assessment include a description of any plans by the United States to mitigate any negative effect of the proposed new Russian sensor or aircraft on the national security of the United States, including an analysis of the costs and effectiveness of any such plans.

In any case where an assessment of national security implications is prepared in response to this provision, we expect the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to take into account the views of the relevant regional and functional combatant commander on the security implications of a proposed Russian change in aircraft or sensor for Open Skies overflights in their assigned area of responsibility. We note that the Department of Defense committed to provide information concerning the views of relevant regional and functional combatant commanders relating to proposals under the Treaty to the committees in November 2014.

Limitations on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation (sec. 1243)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1223) that would extend by 1 year the limitations in section 1246(c) of the National De-

fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) on providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation. The provision would also place limitations on providing information to the Russian Federation concerning the velocity at burnout of United States missile defense interceptors or targets.

The Senate committee-reported bill included a similar provision (sec. 1266).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Report on non-compliance by the Russian Federation with its obligations under the INF Treaty (sec. 1244)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1225) that would require the President to submit a report to Congress on the status of efforts to hold the Russian Federation accountable for its violation of the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty, and on the President's assessment as to whether it remains in the national security interests of the United States to remain a party to the INF Treaty and related treaties while the Russian Federation is in non-compliance with the INF Treaty.

The Senate committee-passed bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the President to submit, not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act, a report to the appropriate congressional committees on Russian non-compliance with the INF Treaty, including elements set forth in section 1061 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66). It would also require the Department of State, jointly with the Department of Defense and other appropriate agencies, to brief the appropriate congressional committees at the time of submission of the report, and every 6 months thereafter until the Russian Federation returns to compliance with its obligations under the INF Treaty, on the status of efforts to resolve U.S. concerns about Russian INF noncompliance. Finally, in the event the President determines that Russia has deployed, or intends to deploy, systems that violate the INF Treaty, it would require the President to notify Congress promptly of such a determination, and any plans to respond to such deployments.

Annual report on military and security developments involving the Russian Federation (sec. 1245)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1227) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a detailed report to the specified congressional committees annually through 2021 on the current and future military power of the Russian Federation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1243).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a detailed report to the appropriate congressional committees annually through 2018 on the security and military strategies, priorities, and capabilities of the Russian Federation.

We expect the Secretary of Defense to consult closely with the Director of National Intelligence and the Secretary of State

throughout the preparation of the report required under this section, including to avoid duplicative reporting.

Prohibition on use of funds to enter into contracts or other agreements with Rosoboronexport (sec. 1246)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1229) that would prohibit the use of Department of Defense (DOD) funds to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, to make a grant to, or to provide a loan or loan guarantee to the Russian state corporation Rosoboronexport. The provision included a national security waiver of this prohibition if the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees certain detailed certifications.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1267).

The agreement includes the House provision with technical and clarifying amendments. The amendment would include a limited waiver of the prohibition with respect to contracting for spare parts or other activities related to the maintenance of helicopters operated by the Afghan National Security Forces or otherwise operated by DOD only if the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees a detailed certification that the waiver is in the U.S. national security interest, based on certain determinations by the Commander of U.S. forces in Afghanistan and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics.

Report on the New START Treaty (sec. 1247)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1230A) that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2015 funds for implementation of the New START Treaty unless the Secretary of Defense certifies that the Russian Federation has met a number of specified conditions.

The Senate committee-reported bill included no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to jointly submit to the appropriate congressional committees a report stating the reasons continued implementation of the New START Treaty is in the national security interests of the United States.

Subtitle D—Matters Relating to the Asia-Pacific Region

Strategy to prioritize United States defense interests in the Asia-Pacific region (sec. 1251)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1231) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State and other heads of federal departments and agencies, to develop a strategy to prioritize U.S. interests in the U.S. Pacific Command area of responsibility, as well as an implementation plan to support the strategy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would narrow the scope of the strategy to defense issues and remove the implementation plan requirement.

The Defense Intelligence Agency should conduct the appropriate defense intelligence assessments focused on the matters included in subsection (a)(2)(B) to inform the strategy.

Modifications to annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China (sec. 1252)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1232) that would amend subsection (b) of section 1202 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (Public Law 106-65) to modify the annual report on military and security developments involving the People's Republic of China.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Military-to-military engagement with the Government of Burma (sec. 1253)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1233) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide an annual report to the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House on the goals and objectives guiding military-to-military engagement between the United States and the Union of Burma, with a 5-year sunset.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1210) that would require a certification of certain steps by the Government of Burma to improve conditions before security assistance would be authorized under this Act. The provision also contained exceptions to that limitation for human rights and disaster relief training, as well as a report requirement on the strategy and plans for military-to-military engagement between the U.S. Armed Forces and the Burma military.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would remove the certification requirement, combine the reporting requirements from both provisions, and authorize the human rights and disaster relief training contained within the Senate provision with clarifying modifications. The agreement also provides that no Department of Defense assistance to the Government of Burma is authorized by this Act except as provided in this section. If a decision is made to engage in one of the authorized activities enumerated in subsection (a), we expect the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the Secretary of State.

We note that there remains significant progress to be made on: establishing civilian oversight of the Burma military, implementing human rights reform in the Burma military, and terminating military relations with North Korea. We also note that the Government of Burma must take significant steps toward establishing a transparent and inclusive process to amend the constitution of Burma, including the full participation of the political opposition and all ethnic minority groups in that process.

Report on Department of Defense munitions strategy of the United States Pacific Command (sec. 1254)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1234) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees with a report on the munitions strategy of United States Pacific Command.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Missile defense cooperation in Northeast Asia (sec. 1255)

The House bill included a provision (sec. 1235) that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct an assessment to identify opportunities for increasing missile defense cooperation among the United States, Japan, and the Republic of Korea, and to evaluate options for short-range missile, rocket, and artillery defense capabilities to address threats from the Korean Peninsula. The provision would also require the Secretary to brief Congress on the assessment.

The Senate committee-reported bill included no such provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that missile defense cooperation with allies in Asia could be bilateral, trilateral, or multilateral.

Sense of Congress and report on Taiwan and its contribution to regional peace and stability (sec. 1256)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1236) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives a report on the maritime capabilities of Taiwan. The provision also would express the sense of Congress that the United States should consider opportunities to help enhance the maritime capabilities of Taiwan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would expand the report to the self-defense capabilities of Taiwan and express a sense of Congress that reaffirms U.S. security commitments under the Taiwan Relations Act (Public Law 96-8).

Independent assessment of the ability of the Department of Defense to counter anti-access and area-denial strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies of potential adversaries (sec. 1257)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 221) that would require the Secretary of Defense to task the Defense Science Board or other independent group to examine the potential specific challenges to U.S. military technological superiority within the next 10 years, and the specific planned responses by the Department of Defense (DOD) to meet these challenges.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1237) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an agreement

with an independent entity to conduct an assessment of anti-access and area-denial (A2AD) strategies and capabilities that pose a threat to security in the Asia-Pacific region and strategies to mitigate such threats.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to task an independent entity to conduct an assessment of the ability of the DOD to counter A2AD strategies, capabilities, and other key technologies that could be implemented by potential adversaries.

In the annual report to Congress on “Military and Security Developments Involving the People’s Republic of China 2014,” the Department of Defense notes that China continues to sustain investments in key anti-access and area denial capabilities to deter or counter third-party intervention in the region. The Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics has warned that America’s “technological superiority is not assured,” and that “the Department of Defense is being challenged in ways that I have not seen for decades, particularly in the Asia-Pacific region.” We share this concern and believe that an independent assessment could help focus the Department’s investments and strategic thinking on these challenges.

We remain concerned by questions regarding the relative U.S. advantages in technological capabilities, which could be undercut as advanced technologies continue to proliferate. The potential for greater technological parity among adversaries carries the risk of U.S. military forces operating without the traditional level of overmatch needed to succeed swiftly in a contingency, which raises further questions about the impact that the loss of technological superiority would have on the freedom of U.S. action in securing national security objectives. These questions merit examination in the assessment.

Elsewhere in this Act, we require the Secretary of Defense to report on the Department’s munitions strategy for United States Pacific Command, based on a provision in the House bill (sec. 1234). However, we believe that some of the reporting elements contained in the House bill would be better suited to this independent assessment. These include assessing other countries’ munitions programs, capabilities, and technologies that could challenge U.S. deployed forces and military systems, and providing recommendations for how the United States can counter these challenges or restore, maintain, or expand U.S. military technological advantages in munitions.

We expect, as part of the information, data, resources, and analyses provided to the independent entity, the Department also provide a baseline description of the counter-A2AD policies, strategies, force posture, programs, capabilities, systems and technologies that are currently in place or funded.

Sense of Congress reaffirming security cooperation with Japan and the Republic of Korea (sec. 1258)

The House bill contained provisions (sec. 1238 and 1239) that would express the sense of Congress to reaffirm the U.S. security commitment to Japan and the Republic of Korea.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a merger of the two House provisions with clarifying amendments.

Report on maritime security strategy in the Asia-Pacific region (sec. 1259)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1245) that would require the President to submit to the congressional defense committees a report that outlines the strategy of the Department of Defense with regard to maritime security in the South China Sea and East China Sea. The provision would also require an annual briefing on the military to military engagement with the People's Republic of China.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We direct that, not later than March 15, 2015, the Secretary of Defense shall provide the congressional defense committees a briefing (in classified form, if appropriate) on the following:

- (1) An assessment of the military to military engagements between the United States and the People's Republic of China in the previous 12 months, before March 15, 2015, including an assessment of the success of such engagements in meeting the objectives of the Commander of the United States Pacific Command for such engagements; and
- (2) A detailed description of all planned and potential military to military engagements between the United States and the People's Republic of China for the next 12 months, after March 15, 2015, including the objectives of such engagements.

Sense of Congress on Taiwan maritime capabilities and exercise participation (sec. 1259A)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1212) that would express the sense of the Senate that both Taiwan and the People's Republic of China should be afforded the opportunity to participate in the humanitarian assistance and disaster relief portions of future multilateral exercises.

The House bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1236).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would incorporate a section of the sense of Congress from the House provision.

Modification of matters for discussion in annual reports of United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission (sec. 1259B)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1244) that would revise and update the matters for discussion of the annual report of the United States-China Economic and Security Review Commission.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle E—Other Matters

One-year extension of authorization for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities (sec. 1261)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1242) that would extend by 1 year the authority for non-conventional assisted recovery capabilities pursuant to subsection (h) of section 943 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417), as amended most recently by section 1203(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1264).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Modification of national security planning guidance to deny safe havens to al-Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates (sec. 1262)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1244) that would modify section 1032 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) by requiring the President to provide to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, the required national security planning guidance, including any updates to such guidance, to deny safe havens to al-Qaeda and its violent extremist affiliates not later than October 1, 2014. Additionally, this section would add an element to the required guidance that would describe the feasibility, resourcing, authorities required, and potential benefit of conducting multilateral training and equipping of military forces in relevant countries.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Enhanced authority to acquire goods and services of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense activities in United States Africa Command area of responsibility (sec. 1263)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1245) that would provide the Secretary of Defense with an enhanced authority to acquire products and services produced in the Republic of Djibouti in support of Department of Defense (DOD) activities in the U.S. Africa Command (AFRICOM) area of responsibility. The Secretary would be required to make a determination that: (1) The product or service is to be used only in support of DOD activities in AFRICOM; (2) The limit on competition or preference for Djiboutian products or services is vital to the national security interest of the United States; (3) The Djiboutian product or service is of equivalent quality to that which would have been otherwise acquired; and (4) The limitation or preference will not adversely affect U.S. military or stability operations in AFRICOM or the U.S. industrial base. The authority provided in this section would terminate on September 30, 2018.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 828) that would provide DOD missions in Africa with a limited procurement authority giving a preference to products and services produced in Africa.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Should the Secretary secure additional long-term agreements that provide for basing arrangements to support U.S. military operations, particularly counterterrorism operations, support to U.S. Department of State evacuation requirements, or force protection operational requirement of AFRICOM, we will consider a comparable acquisition preference.

Treatment of the Kurdistan Democratic Party and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan under the Immigration and Nationality Act (sec. 1264)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1249) that would authorize the Secretary of State, after consulting with the Secretary of Homeland Security and the Attorney General, or the Secretary of Homeland Security, after consulting with the Secretary of State and Attorney General, to exclude the Kurdistan Democratic Party (KDP) and the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan (PUK) from the definition of a terrorist organization under section 212(a)(3)(B)(vi)(III) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(3)(B)(vi)(III)) for the purposes of issuing a temporary visa to a member of the KDP and PUK.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1261).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical and clarifying amendment.

Prohibition on integration of missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of United States and sense of Congress concerning integration of missile defense systems of Russia into missile defense systems of NATO (sec. 1265)

The House Bill included a provision (sec. 1250) that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2015 funds to integrate missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of the United States. It would also limit the availability of funds to integrate missile defense systems of Russia into missile defense systems of the United States if such integration would undermine the security of the United States or the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), unless the Secretary of Defense could certify that Russia had met certain specified conditions.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3704) contained a provision that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2015 funds to integrate missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of the United States.

The agreement includes a provision that would limit the availability of fiscal year 2015 funds to integrate missile defense systems of China into missile defense systems of the United States, and would express the sense of Congress that missile defense systems of Russia should not be integrated into missile defense sys-

tems of NATO if such integration undermines the security of the United States or NATO, respectively.

Limitation on availability of funds to implement the Arms Trade Treaty (sec. 1266)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1253) that would limit the Department of Defense's ability to implement the Arms Trade Treaty while also permitting the Department to assist foreign governments in bringing their laws and regulations to a level equal to that of the United States'.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the provision. A nearly identical provision was included in the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014 (Public Law 113-66).

Notification and review of potentially significant arms control non-compliance (sec. 1267)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1262) that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the President if the Secretary has substantial reason to believe that there is a potentially significant case of foreign noncompliance with an arms control treaty to which the United States is a party. The provision would also require the Secretary to submit notice to certain Senate committees not later than 30 days after such notification to the President.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the President if, after consultation with the Secretary of State and the Director of National Intelligence, the Secretary of Defense has substantial reason to believe that there is a case of foreign activity that would pose a significant threat to United States national security interests and that may be inconsistent with an arms control treaty to which the United States is a party, and such case is not included in the most recent annual arms control compliance report, or is significantly different from a case included in such report. If the President receives such a notification from the Secretary of Defense, the provision would require the President to promptly refer the matter to the Secretary of State to arrange for an inter-agency review of the case to assess whether it constitutes a significant case of arms control non-compliance. Not later than 60 days after the President makes such a referral, the provision would also require the Secretary of State to submit to the appropriate congressional committees the results of the inter-agency assessment.

Inter-European Air Forces Academy (sec. 1268)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1265) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to operate the Inter-European Air Forces Academy (the "Academy") to provide military education and training to military personnel of North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) members and countries participating in the NATO Partnership for Peace program. The authority would extend through September 30, 2017.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment and an amendment that would provide authority to the Secretary of the Air Force to operate the Academy through September 30, 2019.

Department of Defense support to security of United States diplomatic facilities (sec. 1269)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1261) that would express a sense of Congress on the force structure and force posture of U.S. Africa Command within the context of so-called “New Normal” and its general mission requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would incorporate additional reporting requirements related to the Marine Security Guard Program and the security of high-threat, high-risk diplomatic facilities. Further, the agreement requires an assessment of the effectiveness of Department of Defense-provided Security Augmentation Units utilized during the previous year or those utilized as of the date of this Act to improve security at high-threat, high-risk facilities as well as an evaluation of any impediments to the effectiveness of such units. We expect this assessment will analyze the integration of such units into relevant policies, plans, and reviews, to include, but not be limited to, vulnerability assessments, waiver mitigation requirements, and emergency action plans.

Information on sanctioned persons and businesses through the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (sec. 1270)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1262) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit an annual report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives, within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, that would require a list of Department of Defense contractors that have conducted significant transactions with Iran.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would amend section 2313(c) of title 41, United States Code, to direct Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) of the Department of the Treasury to ensure that persons designated on OFAC certain lists are included in a database (known as the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System).

Reports on nuclear program of Iran (sec. 1271)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1263) that would require the President to submit a report to Congress, within 30 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, on the interim agreement related to the Islamic Republic of Iran’s nuclear program, including a verification of whether Iran is complying with such agree-

ment and an assessment of the overall state of Iran's nuclear program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Sense of Congress on defense modernization by NATO countries
(sec. 1272)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1296) that would express the sense of Congress that the defense modernization program of Poland presents an important opportunity for strengthening the U.S.-Poland bilateral relationship.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress that the United States should work with North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) members as they seek to modernize their defense capabilities to encourage them to procure defense systems, including air and missile defense systems, that are interoperable with NATO defense systems and help fill critical NATO shortfalls.

We agree with the NATO Wales Summit Declaration, issued by heads of state on September 5, 2014, that it is important that the NATO member states "reverse the trend in declining defence budgets, to make the most effective use of our funds and to further a more balanced sharing of costs and responsibilities."

We, therefore, support NATO member states like the Republic of Poland that are planning to invest significantly in the modernization of their defense capabilities, including Poland's decision to procure air and missile defense systems for the Polish Army by 2022. We understand Poland's overall investment plan will bring it above the 2 percent of gross domestic product pledge for NATO members' national defense spending, which was reaffirmed by NATO in Wales. We believe these are important investments that could contribute to key alliance security commitments.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House, not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, on the benefits to the security of the Alliance that would result from the procurement of interoperable American air and missile defense technology by NATO members.

Report on protection of cultural property in event of armed conflict
(sec. 1273)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1269) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to Congress on efforts of the Department of Defense to protect cultural property abroad, including activities undertaken pursuant to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with technical and clarifying amendments.

United States strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe (sec. 1274)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1242) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit to the appropriate congressional committees a strategy for enhancing security and stability in Europe.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the force posture, readiness, and responsiveness of U.S. forces and the forces of other North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) members in Europe, and the contingency plans for those U.S. forces, to ensure they are appropriate to meet the obligation of collective self-defense under the North Atlantic Treaty. The amendment would also require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit a report to the appropriate committees of Congress on a strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe. The report would include a plan for reassuring NATO members regarding the U.S. and NATO commitments to collective self-defense under the North Atlantic Treaty, and a plan on enhancing U.S. security cooperation with NATO partner nations.

We expect that at the time the report required under this section is submitted, the Department of Defense will brief the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and the House of Representatives on the findings of the review, including those relating to U.S. contingency plans. We also expect the Secretary of Defense, in developing the plan on enhancing U.S. security cooperation with NATO partner nations, to take into consideration a partner nation's commitment to upholding and enhancing regional security and stability.

Report on military assistance to Ukraine (sec. 1275)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1246) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees semi-annually through January 31, 2017, on U.S. military assistance to Ukraine.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would express the sense of Congress regarding the provision of nonlethal and lethal U.S. military assistance to Ukraine to the extent such assistance is defensive and non-provocative. The agreement also includes a technical amendment to the reporting requirement in the Senate provision.

Sense of Congress on efforts to remove Joseph Kony from the battlefield and end the atrocities of the Lord's Resistance Army (sec. 1276)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3577) contained a provision that would express the sense of Congress regarding current efforts to remove Jo-

seph Kony and his top commanders and to express the continued support of Operation Observant Compass.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of annual reports on the military power of Iran (sec. 1277)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3740) contained a provision that would amend section 1245(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2010 (Public Law 111–84) by extending the requirement to submit a report on the military power of Iran from December 31, 2014, to December 31, 2016.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report and strategy regarding North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel (sec. 1278)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1251) that would make a series of findings, express the sense of Congress, require a determination, and require the submission of a report regarding the individuals responsible for the attack against United States personnel in Benghazi, Libya and a counterterrorism strategy related to North Africa.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on Department of Defense efforts to hold the individuals responsible for the attack against U.S. personnel in Benghazi, Libya accountable and require the President to submit a report on various security-related matters in North Africa, West Africa, and the Sahel.

Rule of construction (sec. 1279)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1254) stating that nothing in this Act shall be construed as authorizing the use of force against Syria or Iran.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would retain the reference to Iran and delete the reference to Syria.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION(S) NOT ADOPTED

Annual report on human rights vetting and verification procedures of the Department of Defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1204) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit to Congress an annual report on human rights vetting and verification procedures of the Department of Defense. This report shall be submitted at the same time the budget of the President is submitted to Congress under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that this annual reporting requirement was incorporated to a provision codifying the so-called “Leahy human rights vetting” requirement into title 10 United States Code.

Use of acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend certain military equipment to certain foreign forces for personnel protection and survivability

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1206) that would provide a 1-year extension of the authority under section 1202 of the John Warner National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2007 (Public Law 109–364), as amended, for the Secretary of Defense to use the established procedures under acquisition and cross-servicing agreements to lend certain equipment for personnel protection to coalition partner forces in Afghanistan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan under Operation Resolute Support

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1214) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees providing detailed information on the progress toward security and stability in Afghanistan under the North Atlantic Treaty Organization mission known as Operation Resolute Support.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1227).

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Senate committee-reported provision, with certain clarifying amendments, is included in another section of this title, and contains a number of the reporting requirements under the House provision.

Requirement to withhold Department of Defense assistance to Afghanistan in amount equivalent to 150 percent of all taxes assessed by Afghanistan to extent such taxes are not reimbursed by Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1215) that would require the withholding of Department of Defense (DOD) fiscal year 2015 funds appropriated for assistance to the Government of Afghanistan in an amount equivalent to 150 percent of the aggregate taxes assessed by Afghanistan on DOD-provided assistance during fiscal year 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Limitation on funds to establish permanent military installations or bases in Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220A) that would prohibit establishing military installations or bases for the purpose of

permanently stationing United States Armed Forces in Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Actions to support human rights, participation, prevention of violence, existing frameworks, and security and mobility with respect to women and girls in Afghanistan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220C) that would express the sense of Congress in support of the meaningful inclusion of women in conflict prevention, management, and resolution, and of the security of Afghan women and girls during the security transition in Afghanistan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that another provision elsewhere in this Act expresses the sense of Congress regarding the importance of Afghan women's security and civic participation for Afghanistan's development and national security.

Sense of Congress relating to Dr. Shakil Afridi

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1220D) that would express the sense of Congress regarding Pakistani physician Dr. Shakil Afridi and calling on the Government of Pakistan to release him immediately from prison.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note the contributions of Dr. Afridi to efforts to locate Osama bin Laden. We are concerned about Dr. Afridi's continuing incarceration and urge the Government of Pakistan to release him immediately.

Limitation on availability of funds to transfer missile defense information to the Russian Federation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1224) that would limit the availability of funds to transfer missile defense information to the Russian Federation unless the President submits certain reports on U.S.-Russian discussions on missile defense matters.

The Senate committee-reported bill included no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Missile Defense Agency and the Department of Defense have, respectively, briefed the committees on the substance of previous discussions with the Russian Federation on U.S. missile defense capabilities, when those discussions were still taking place, and those briefings included materials that were shared with the Russian Federation. The Department of State has provided similar briefings to the committees, and has assured the committees no similar materials were shared with the Russian Federation, and, thus, were not at issue to be made available to the committees as a part of our oversight responsibilities.

Sense of Congress regarding Russian aggression toward Ukraine

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1226) that would express the sense of Congress regarding Russian aggression towards Ukraine.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Plan to reduce Russian Federation nuclear force dependencies on Ukraine

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1228) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a plan for working with the Government of Ukraine to reduce Russia's dependence on Ukraine for support of Russia's SS-18 intercontinental ballistic missile (ICBM).

The Senate committee-reported bill included no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

We note that, since the House bill provision was written, press reports indicate that Russia is no longer depending on Ukraine to support the SS-18 ICBM, which was originally designed and built by the Yuzhnoye Design Bureau that is in Ukraine.

Requirements relating to certain defense transfers to the Russian Federation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1230) that would establish a U.S. policy of opposing transfers of defense articles or defense services from any North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) member country to Russia while Russia occupies the territory of Ukraine or a NATO member country.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress on future of NATO and enlargement initiatives

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1240) that would express the sense of Congress on initiatives for the enlargement of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and the success of NATO's Open-Door Policy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that at the NATO Summit in Wales in September 2014, Alliance members reaffirmed their commitment to the Open-Door Policy, declaring "NATO's door will remain open to all European democracies which share the values of our Alliance, which are willing and able to assume the responsibilities and obligations of membership, which are in a position to further the principles of the Treaty, and whose inclusion will contribute to the security of the North Atlantic area. We reaffirm our strong commitment to the Euro-Atlantic integration of the partners that aspire to join the Alliance, judging each on its own merits."

We also note that provisions relating to U.S. and NATO efforts to reassure NATO member countries, particularly in Eastern Europe, are included in another section of this title.

Sale of F-16 aircraft to Taiwan

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1240A) that would require the sale of no fewer than 66 F-16 C/D aircraft to Taiwan.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Strategic framework for United States security force assistance and cooperation in the European and Eurasian regions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1246) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to develop a strategic framework for U.S. security force assistance and cooperation in Europe and Eurasia and submit a detailed report on that strategic framework to the appropriate congressional committees.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that elsewhere in this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, is required to submit to the appropriate committees a strategy and plans for enhancing security and stability in Europe.

Requirement of Department of Defense to continue implementation of United States Strategy to Prevent and Respond to Gender-Based Violence Globally and participation in interagency working group

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1247) that would express the sense of Congress that combating violence against women and girls worldwide is critical to promoting regional and global stability and achieving sustainable peace and security. This section would further require the Secretary of Defense, within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to provide a briefing to the appropriate congressional committees on efforts by the Department of Defense (DOD) relating to its participation in the interagency working group to implement the U.S. Strategy to Prevent and Respond to Gender-based Violence Globally, and to continue implementing the strategy as appropriate.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We encourage the DOD to support the continued implementation of the U.S. Strategy to Prevent and Respond to Gender-based Violence Globally and to participate in the interagency working group. Gender-based violence impacts security and stability worldwide and as such, the United States must continue to lead the global effort to actively address it. We direct the Secretary of Defense or his designee(s) to brief the appropriate congressional committees on efforts by the DOD relating to its participation in the working group not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

Department of Defense situational awareness of economic and financial activity

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1248) that would set forth a number of findings and require the Secretary of Defense to take such steps as necessary to improve the situational awareness capabilities of the Department of Defense (DOD) regarding legal and licit business transactions of adversaries and potential adversaries, and to improve the ability of DOD to translate such capabilities into certain activities of DOD.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We recognize that foreign and non-state adversaries, as well as potential adversaries may use legal activities within global economic and financial systems as strategic tools to meet their objectives. We note that several organizations within the U.S. government maintain situational awareness of such activities, to include the Department of Treasury, the Department of State, the Central Intelligence Agency, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and DOD. We encourage the Secretary of Defense to leverage inter-agency efforts to improve the DOD's own awareness of such activities, and to incorporate relevant findings into the DOD's activities and policies.

War Powers of Congress

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1252) that would express the sense of Congress relating to the importance of observance of the War Powers Resolution (Public Law 93-148) and state that nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize any use of military force.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Combating crime through intelligence capabilities

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1255) that would authorize the supply of intelligence resources to the Joint Interagency Task Force South (JIATF-S) in coordination with U.S. Southern Command to combat crime.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the United States Southern Command's JIATF-S and its associated personnel and platforms continues to accomplish a great deal with its limited resources in their mission of countering illicit drug trafficking and disruption of transnational criminal organizations.

Statement of policy [on counternarcotics]

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1256) that would make a statement of U.S. policy with respect to the Western Hemisphere.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Declaration of policy regarding Israel's lawful exercise of self-defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1257) that would declare Congress' support for Israel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Statement of policy and report on the inherent right of Israel to self-defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1258) that would establish United States policy with respect to Israel and require the President to submit a report to Congress on a variety of matters relating to Israel.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress on United States presence and cooperation in the Arabian Gulf region to deter Iran

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1264) that would express the sense of Congress on the U.S. forward presence and cooperation in the region of the Arabian Gulf in order to deter the Islamic Republic of Iran.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe that the United States should maintain a robust forward presence and posture in order to support United States allies and partners in the Arabian Gulf region and to support our national security interests therein. There are key strategic United States facilities in the Arabian Gulf region that are used to deter regional aggressors and to enable ongoing military operations in the region, including ongoing operations in Afghanistan, Iraq, and Syria. These facilities should be sustained given their strategic and operational importance. Additionally, the United States should seek ways to support and enable the security posture of GCC countries in the Arabian Gulf region.

Report on Accountability for Crimes Against Humanity in Nigeria

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1266) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report on the crimes against humanity committed by Boko Haram.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Sense of Congress regarding the naval capabilities of the Russian Federation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1267) that would express the sense of Congress regarding the sale of two French Mistral class warships to Russia.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on collective and national security implications of Central Asian and South Caucasus energy development

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1268) that would require that the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Energy, submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees on the implications of new energy resource development and distribution networks in areas around the Caspian Sea for energy security strategies of the United States and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committee not later than June 30, 2015, on an energy security strategy in the U.S. European Command (EUCOM) area of responsibility (AOR), including how energy security concerns are incorporated into EUCOM's operations and plans. The report should include a description of (1) the energy vulnerabilities of U.S. forces and NATO member forces within the EUCOM AOR; (2) efforts to promote the ability of U.S. forces and NATO member forces to operate throughout the EUCOM AOR with secure energy supply and distribution networks; (3) EUCOM's relationship and interaction with the NATO Energy Security Center of Excellence; (4) the impact of recent disputes between the Russia Federation and intermedate transit states on the energy security of U.S. forces and NATO member forces in the EUCOM AOR; (5) the impact on military readiness of U.S. forces and NATO member forces if energy supplies or distribution networks are disrupted; and (6) NATO and U.S. plans to mitigate the risks of potential energy vulnerabilities. The report may be submitted in unclassified form but with a classified annex. We encourage the Secretary of Defense to consult with other appropriate U.S. government agencies in the preparation of the report.

Sense of Congress on Nigeria and Boko Haram

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1270) that would express the sense of Congress about the security situation in Nigeria and the threat posed by Boko Haram.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Recognition of victims of Soviet Communist and Nazi regimes

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1271) that would support the designation of "Black Ribbon Day" to recognize the victims of the Soviet Communism and Nazi regimes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report relating to rescue efforts in Nigerian kidnapping

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1272) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to Congress a report relating to efforts to rescue the so-called Chibok Girls in Nigeria.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

Subtitle A—Funds

Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds (sec. 1301)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1301) that would define the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs, define the funds as authorized to be appropriated in section 301 of this Act, and authorize CTR funds to be available for obligation for 3 fiscal years.

The House bill contained no similar provision (sec. 1301).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Funding Allocations (sec. 1302)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1302) that that would authorize \$365,088,000, the amount of the budget request, for the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program. This provision would authorize specific amounts for each CTR program element, requires notification to Congress 30 days before the Secretary of Defense obligates and expends fiscal year 2015 funds for a purpose other than a purpose listed in the provision, and would require notification to Congress 15 days before the Secretary of Defense obligates and expends fiscal year 2015 funds in excess of the specific amount authorized for each CTR program element.

The House reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1302) funding the program at \$365,108,000.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment to the funding of the Cooperative Biological Engagement Program increasing the amount to \$256,762,000 from \$256,742,000.

Subtitle B—Consolidation and Modernization of Statutes Relating to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program

Short Title (sec. 1311)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1311) that would state the title of a consolidation and modernization of statutes related to the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat reduction Program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Definitions (sec. 1312)

The agreement includes a section which provides definitions applicable to the provisions in subtitle B of title XIII of this Act.

PART I—PROGRAM AUTHORITIES

Authority to carry out Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1321)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1321) that would authorize the activities of the Cooperative Threat Reduction program. Activities in subsection (a) would require concurrence of the Secretary of State or other appropriate agency head under section 1322 or 1323.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with technical and conforming amendments, as well as a provision granting an exception for notification of obligations which have already been reported in prior notifications to the congressional defense committees.

Use of funds for certain emergent threats or opportunities (sec. 1322)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1322) that would permit the obligation and expenditure of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds that will permit the United States to resolve critical emerging proliferation threats or take advantage of opportunities to achieve long-standing non-proliferation goals with a requirement that such activities will be completed in a short period of time with congressional notification occurring within 10 days of such obligation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require congressional notification at the time the Secretary of Defense obligates the funding and that such activities will be completed in 5 years.

Authority for urgent threat reduction activities under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1323)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1323) that would authorize the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program to obligate and expend not more than 15 percent of available funds in governed areas if the Secretary of Defense determines that the proliferation threat must be addressed urgently in such areas, certain provisions of law impede the ability to address the urgent proliferation threat, and it is necessary to obligate or expend funds to address the proliferation threat. The Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, must notify Congress within 15 days of when such funds are first obligated. The provision also authorizes the obligation and expenditure of funds for urgent proliferation threats in an ungoverned area or an area that is not governed by an effective governmental authority. Such determination shall be made by the President with congressional notification within 15 days of when the funds are first obligated.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require notification for proliferation threats in governed and ungoverned areas at the time the funding is first obligated. The agreement also includes technical and clarifying amendments to the provision.

Use of funds for unspecified purposes or for increased amounts (sec. 1324)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1324) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to obligate or expend Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for purposes other than specified or in excess of the specified amounts, if the Secretary determines that such obligation or expenditure is necessary to protect or support the interests of the United States and submits to the congressional defense committees a notification justifying such obligation or expenditure and a period of 15 days has elapsed following the date of such notification.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Use of contributions to Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1325)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1325) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to enter into agreements to receive contributions for activities under the Cooperative Threat Reduction program with a notice to congressional defense committees within 30 days after receiving such contribution, with a 15-day notice and wait before expending such funds.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

We note that implementation plans consistent with subparagraph (f) that were submitted under the prior provisions of law are henceforth superseded with the enactment of this section. We direct the Secretary to submit a revised plan consistent with subsection (f) as found in this section.

PART II—RESTRICTIONS AND LIMITATIONS

Prohibition on use of funds for specified purposes (sec. 1331)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1331) that would prohibit the use of Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for peacekeeping-related activities, housing, environmental restoration, job retraining, and defense conversion. The provision further prohibits funding for eliminating conventional weapons unless they can be reasonably used or adapted for use to deliver chemical, nuclear or biological weapons.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Requirement for on-site managers (sec. 1332)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1332) that would require, before obligating any Cooperative Threat Reduction funds for a project in the former Soviet Union or a project that involves dismantlement, destruction, storage or con-

struction of a facility or for which the total program funding exceeds \$50,000,000, that there shall be appointed an on-site manager from employees of the Federal Government.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Limitation on use of funds until certain permits obtained (sec. 1333)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1333) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to seek to obtain all permits required for construction of a project in states of the former Soviet Union before obligating significant amounts of program funding for that phase of the project.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require seeking all permits before obligating 40 percent of the total costs of that phase of the project. The agreement also includes an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Limitation on availability of funds for Cooperative Threat Reduction activities with Russian Federation (sec. 1334)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1303) that would limit Cooperative Threat Reduction funding in fiscal year 2015 to the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that Russia is respecting the sovereignty of Ukrainian territory, no longer acting inconsistently with the Intermediate-range Nuclear Forces Treaty, and in compliance with the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe. The provisions would also include a waiver for the Secretary of Defense, pending a notification, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to the appropriate congressional committees that such contact or cooperation is in the national security interest of the United States and a period of 30 days has elapsed following the notification.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would prohibit funding for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs in Russia beyond fiscal year 2015 without specific authorization from Congress.

We support threat reduction programs and understand the importance of the Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program, but we also believe that the traditional manner in which the program's activities have been carried out in the Russian Federation is no longer necessary and no longer sustainable. While there still may be areas of technical cooperation that are of mutual benefit, such as the successful cooperative effort to rid Syria of chemical weapons and materials, this work in the future should be focused on specific threats, and not just a continuation of effort. Moreover, the CTR program may not be the most appropriate mechanism under which future cooperative work in Russia is conducted. The United States relationship with Russia has changed fundamentally and

the CTR work in the Russian Federation is concluding. We believe that securing and destroying nuclear weapons and nuclear material is now a Russian responsibility and one that the United States should no longer fund without Russian cooperation. Any work proposed by the Department under CTR in the future with the Russian Federation will be carefully reviewed and subject to specific authorization.

PART III—RECURRING CERTIFICATIONS AND REPORTS

Annual certifications on use of facilities being constructed for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction projects or activities (sec. 1341)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1341) that would require annual certification to the congressional defense committees for each facility of a project or activity under the program for which construction occurred during the preceding fiscal year, whether the facility will be used for its intended purpose by the state of the former Soviet Union where it is constructed, whether the government of such state remains committed to the use of such facility for its intended purpose, and whether the actions needed to ensure security at the facility have been taken.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would replace “state of the former Soviet Union” with “foreign country.” The agreement also includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Requirement to submit summary of amounts requested by project category (sec. 1342)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1342) that would require, in the annual report and budget submission for the Cooperative Threat Reduction program, a descriptive summary of the amounts requested for each project category for the current and following fiscal year and a descriptive summary for each project category of the amounts obligated and expended in the prior fiscal year and the current fiscal year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Reports on activities and assistance under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1343)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1343) that would require an annual report on activities carried out under the Cooperative Threat Reduction program to be submitted not later than the first Monday in February.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

Metrics for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program (sec. 1344)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1344) that would require the Department of Defense to implement metrics to measure the effectiveness of Cooperative Threat Reduction program activities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

PART IV—REPEALS AND TRANSITION PROVISIONS

Repeals (sec. 1351)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1351) that would repeal certain provisions of law related to Cooperative Threat Reduction.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision as well as two tables showing the source law and disposition of law repealed in this section.

TABLE #1—SOURCE LAW

| Section # | Source section | Heading |
|-----------|---|---|
| 1311 | [None] | Short title [of new CTR Act] |
| 1312 | [Various] | Definitions |
| 1321 | (a) Sec. 1501 of P.L. 104–201, NDAA FY 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note). (b) [New] (c) Sec. 1308(b) of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5963(b)). (d) Sec 212(b) [2d sent.] of P.L. 102–228, Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note). (e) Sec 221(c) of P.L. 102–228, Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note). (f) Sec. 1306(a) of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). (g) Sec. 1205 of P.L. 104–106, NDAA FY 1996 (22 U.S.C. 5955 note). | Authority to Carry out Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program |
| 1322 | Sec. 1308 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5963). | Funds for Certain Emergent Threats or Opportunities |
| 1323 | Sec. 1305 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5965). | Authority for Urgent Threat Reduction Activities Under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program |
| 1324 | Recurring annual NDAA provisions [sec. 1302(b) & (c)]. | Use of Funds for Unspecified Purposes or For Increased Amounts |
| 1325 | Sec. 1303 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Use of contributions to Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program |
| 1331 | (a) Sec. 1303(a) & (b) of P.L. 106–65, NDAA FY 2000; (b) Sec. 1303 of P.L. 106–398, NDAA FY 2001 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Prohibition on Use of Funds for Specified Purposes |
| 1332 | Sec. 1305 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5961). | Requirement for On-Site Managers |
| 1333 | Sec. 1303 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5960). | Limitation on Use of Funds Until Certain Permits Obtained |

TABLE #1—SOURCE LAW—Continued

| Section # | Source section | Heading |
|-----------|--|--|
| 1334 | [none] | Limitation on availability of funds for Cooperative Threat Reduction activities with Russian Federation |
| 1341 | Sec. 1307 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5962). | Annual Certifications on use of Facilities Being Constructed for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Projects or Activities |
| 1342 | Sec. 1307 of P.L. 105–261, NDAA FY 1999 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Requirement to Submit Summary of Amounts Requested by Project Category |
| 1343 | Sec. 1308 of P.L. 106–398, NDAA FY 2001 (22 U.S.C. 5959). | Reports on Activities and Assistance under Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program |
| 1344 | Sec. 1304 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5964). | Metrics for Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction Program |

TABLE #2—DISPOSITION OF LAW REPEALED

| DISPOSITION OF PROVISIONS OF LAW REPEALED BY SECTION 1351 | | |
|--|---|--|
| Section | Heading | Disposition |
| Sec. 212 of P.L. 102–228, Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note). | Authority for program to facilitate Soviet weapons destruction. | (a) Obsolete (“President” replaced by “Secretary of Defense” in later law). (b) [1st sent.] Rendered obsolete by later law (see sec. 1501 of P.L. 104–201). (b) [2nd sent.] Reenacted in sec. 1321(d) |
| Sec. 221 of P.L. 102–228, Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note). | Administration of nuclear threat reduction programs. | (a) Expired. (b) Specific identification of SecDef as executive agent is no longer required due to later law. (c) Reenacted in sec. 1321(e). (d) Obsolete. (e) Expired |
| Sec. 222 of P.L. 102–228, Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note). | Repayment arrangements | Obsolete |
| Sec. 231 of P.L. 102–228, Soviet Nuclear Threat Reduction Act of 1991 (22 U.S.C. 2551 note). | Prior notice of obligations to Congress | Superseded by sec. 1205 of P.L. 104–106 |
| Sec. 1412 of the Former Soviet Union Demilitarization Act (P.L. 102–484; 22 U.S.C. 5902). | Authority for programs to facilitate demilitarization. | (a) Obsolete. (b) Rendered obsolete by later law (see sec. 1501 of P.L. 104–201). (c) Precatory; not recommended for re-enactment. (d) Superseded by sec. 1203(d) of P.L. 103–160, which was repealed by P.L. 110–181 sec. 1304(a)(2) |
| Sec. 1431 of the Former Soviet Union Demilitarization Act (P.L. 102–484; 22 U.S.C. 5921). | Prior notice to Congress of obligation of funds. | (a) Superseded by sec. 1205 of P.L. 104–106. (b) Obsolete |

TABLE #2—DISPOSITION OF LAW REPEALED—Continued

| DISPOSITION OF PROVISIONS OF LAW REPEALED BY SECTION 1351 | | |
|---|---|---|
| Section | Heading | Disposition |
| Sec. 1203 of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (P.L. 103–160; 22 U.S.C. 5952). | Authority for programs to facilitate cooperative threat reduction. | (a) Obsolete (“President” replaced by “Secretary of Defense” in later law). (b) Rendered obsolete by later law (see sec. 1501 of P.L. 104–201). (c) Precatory; not recommended for re-enactment |
| Sec. 1204 of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (P.L. 103–160; 22 U.S.C. 5953). | Demilitarization Enterprise Fund | Obsolete |
| Sec. 1206 of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (P.L. 103–160; 22 U.S.C. 5955). | Prior notice to Congress of obligation of funds. | (a) Superseded by sec. 1205 of P.L. 104–106. (b) Expired |
| Sec. 1208 of the Cooperative Threat Reduction Act of 1993 (P.L. 103–160; 22 U.S.C. 5957). | Appropriate congressional committees defined. | Obsolete (used committee lists found in later law) |
| Sec. 1205 of P.L. 104–106, NDAA FY 1996 (22 U.S.C. 5955 note). | Prior notice to Congress of obligation of funds. | Reenacted in part in sec. 1321(g) |
| Sec. 1501 of P.L. 104–201, NDAA FY 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note). | Specification of cooperative threat reduction programs. | Reenacted in sec. 1321 |
| Sec. 1307 of P.L. 105–261, NDAA FY 1999 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Requirement to submit summary of amounts requested by project category. | Reenacted in sec. 1342 |
| Sec. 1303 of P.L. 106–65, NDAA FY 2000 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Prohibition on use of funds for specified purposes. | Reenacted in sec. 1331 |
| Sec. 1303 of P.L. 106–398, NDAA FY 2001 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Prohibition on use of funds for elimination of conventional weapons. | Reenacted in sec. 1331 |
| Sec. 1304 of P.L. 106–398, NDAA FY 2001 | Limitation on use of funds for fissile material storage facility. | Obsolete |
| Sec. 1306 of P.L. 106–398, NDAA FY 2001 ... | Agreement on nuclear weapons storage sites. | Obsolete |
| Sec. 1308 of P.L. 106–398, NDAA FY 2001 (22 U.S.C. 5959). | Reports on activities and assistance under cooperative threat reduction programs. | Reenacted in sec. 1343 |
| Sec. 1304 of P.L. 107–107, NDAA FY 2002 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Requirement to consider use of revenue generated by activities carried out under cooperative threat reduction programs. | Precatory; not recommended for re-enactment |
| Sec. 1305 of P.L. 107–314, NDAA FY 2003 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Prohibition against use of funds for second wing of fissile material storage facility. | Obsolete |
| Sec. 1306 of P.L. 107–314, NDAA FY 2003 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Limited Waiver of Restrictions on Use of Funds for Threat Reduction in States of the Former Soviet Union. | Obsolete |
| Sec. 1303 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5960). | Limitation on use of funds until certain permits obtained. | Reenacted in sec. 1333 |
| Sec. 1305 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5961). | Requirement for on-site managers | Reenacted in sec. 1332 |
| Sec. 1307 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5962). | Annual certifications on use of facilities being constructed for cooperative threat reduction projects or activities. | Reenacted in sec. 1341 |
| Sec. 1308 of P.L. 108–136, NDAA FY 2004 (22 U.S.C. 5963). | Authority to use cooperative threat reduction funds outside the former Soviet Union. | (a) Reenacted with clarifications in sec. 1322 (b) Reenacted in sec. 1321(c). (c) Reenacted in sec. 1322(b). (d) Obsolete. |
| Sec. 1303 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Utilization of contributions to the cooperative threat reduction program. | (c)–(f) Reenacted in sec. 1325 |
| Sec. 1304 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5964). | Metrics for the cooperative threat reduction program. | (a) Reenacted in sec. 1344 (b), (c), (d) Expired. (e) Reenacted in sec. 1312 |

TABLE #2—DISPOSITION OF LAW REPEALED—Continued

| DISPOSITION OF PROVISIONS OF LAW REPEALED BY SECTION 1351 | | |
|---|--|--|
| Section | Heading | Disposition |
| Sec. 1305 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5965). | Cooperative threat reduction program authority for urgent threat reduction activities. | (a), (b) Reenacted in sec. 1323. (c) Reenacted in sec. 1312 |
| Sec. 1306 of P.L. 111–84, NDAA FY 2010 (22 U.S.C. 5952 note). | Cooperative threat reduction defense and military contacts program. | Reenacted in sec. 1321(a)(6) & (f) |

Transition provisions (sec. 1352)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1352) that would transition any determinations based on prior Cooperative Threat Reduction authorities to the provisions herein upon date of enactment while ensuring authorized funding remains available for obligation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make technical and clarifying changes to the provision.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Subtitle A—Military Programs

Working capital funds (sec. 1401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1401) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the use of the Armed Forces and agencies of the Department of Defense for working capital and revolving funds, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1401).

The agreement includes this provision.

Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense (sec. 1402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1402) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense for chemical agents and munitions destruction, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1402).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide (sec. 1403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1403) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense for drug interdiction and counterdrug activities, defense-wide, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1403).

The agreement includes this provision.

Defense Inspector General (sec. 1404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1404) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the Department of Defense for the Office of the Inspector General, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1404).

The agreement includes this provision.

Defense Health Program (sec. 1405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1405) authorizing appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the Defense Health Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1405).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Authority for transfer of funds to joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund for Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, Illinois (sec. 1411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1421) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to transfer \$146.9 million from the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund to be used for operations of the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, Illinois.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1421).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Authorization of appropriations for Armed Forces Retirement Home (sec. 1412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1422) that would authorize \$63.4 million to be appropriated for fiscal year 2015 from the Armed Forces Retirement Home Trust Fund for the operation of the Armed Forces Retirement Home.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1423).

The agreement includes this provision.

Comptroller General of the United States report on Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, North Chicago, Illinois (sec. 1413)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1422) that would require the Comptroller General of the United States to submit to the Committees on Armed Services and the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives a report on the Captain James A. Lovell Federal Health Care Center, North Chicago, Illinois, demonstration project not later than 120 days after the date that the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Veterans Affairs submit to the Committees on

Armed Services and the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives their evaluation report on this demonstration project.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Report on development of secure supply of rare earth materials

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would direct the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, on the supply of rare earth materials extracted, processed, and refined from secure sources of supply to develop and produce advanced technologies in support of requirements of the Department of Defense (DOD).

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the Senate provision.

We direct the Comptroller General of the United States to submit a report to the congressional defense committees no later than 1 year after the date of enactment of this Act, on the supply of rare earth materials extracted, processed, and refined from secure sources of supply to develop and produce advanced technologies in support of requirements of the DOD. The report shall include, at a minimum, an assessment of: (1) DOD's identification and plans to mitigate any national security risks resulting from its rare earth materials dependency; (2) actions that DOD has taken to identify gaps in a secured supply chain for rare earth materials, associated challenges to include technical hurdles and intellectual property concerns, and mitigation plans to obtain secure sources of supply; (3) DOD's short and long-term requirements determination for processes for specific quantities of rare earth materials related to the National Defense Stockpile, including DOD assessments; and (4) recommendations on the potential role of the Federal Government in the development of secure sources of supply. The report may include a classified annex.

Revisions to previously authorized disposals from the National Defense Stockpile

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1411) that would authorize revisions on limitations in asset sales contained in section 3303(a)(7) of the Strom Thurmond National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1999 (P.L. 105-261), as most recently amended by section 1412(a) of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (P.L. 110-417), to increase the Department of Defense's stockpile commodity disposal authority by \$50.0 million, and extend this authority from 2016 to 2019.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

We encourage the Defense Logistics Agency to continue the planned upgrade of the beryllium stockpile held in the National Defense Stockpile.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

BUDGET ITEM

National Guard and Reserve Component Equipment

The amended budget request for Overseas Contingency Operations contained no funding for a National Guard and Reserve Equipment account.

The House bill contained \$250.0 million for a National Guard and Reserve Equipment account.

The Senate committee-reported bill would provide no funding for a National Guard and Reserve Component Equipment account.

The agreement includes \$1.25 billion for a National Guard and Reserve Equipment account in section 4102 of this Act. Given the uncertainty of the current and projected fiscal environment, the availability of equipment needed to sustain and modernize the National Guard and Reserve Components as an operational reserve and for their domestic support missions, to include legacy aircraft as part of the Aerospace Control Alert (ACA) mission, remains a concern. We believe, therefore, additional funds are necessary to reduce high priority operational and dual-use equipment shortfalls. Accordingly, we expect these funds to be used for the purposes of, but not limited to, meeting the fiscal year 2015 unfunded equipment priorities of the Reserve Components as reported to Congress under section 10543(c) of title 10, United States Code. The funds may also be used by the National Guard and Reserve Components for the procurement of: aircraft, including associated replacements or upgrades for avionics, radars, and fuel tanks; tactical missiles; wheeled and tracked combat and tactical vehicles, including associated replacements or upgrades for mobility, survivability, and lethality, such as weapons stations; ammunition; small arms; tactical radios; non-system training devices; command, control, and logistics automation systems; shelters, and other critical dual-use items.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Purpose (sec. 1501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1501) stating the purpose of the title.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained an identical provision (sec. 1501).

The agreement includes this provision.

Procurement (sec. 1502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1502) authorizing additional appropriations for procurement accounts for the Army, the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and defense-wide activities.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a similar provision (sec. 1502).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Research, development, test and evaluation (sec. 1503)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision (sec. 1503) authorizing additional appropriations for the Department of Defense for research, development, test and evaluation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Operation and maintenance (sec. 1504)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1503) authorizing additional appropriations for the use of the Armed Forces and other agencies of the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a similar provision (sec. 1504).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Military personnel (sec. 1505)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1504) authorizing additional appropriations for the use of the Armed Forces and other agencies of the Department of Defense for military personnel.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a similar provision (sec. 1505).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Other appropriations (secs. 1506–1509)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1505) authorizing additional appropriations for the Defense Health Program, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, defense-wide, and National Guard and Reserve Equipment.

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained similar provisions (secs. 1506, 1507, 1508 and 1509).

The agreement includes the Senate provisions.

Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund (sec. 1510)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3835) contained a provision that would authorize appropriations for the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

European Reassurance Initiative (sec. 1511)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3835) contained a provision that would authorize appropriations for the European Reassurance Initiative.

The House bill contained no similar provision.
The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Subtitle B—Financial Matters

Treatment as additional authorizations (sec. 1521)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1511) stating that the amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to the amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 1511).

The agreement includes this provision.

Special transfer authority (sec. 1522)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1512) that would provide the Department of Defense (DOD) with \$3.0 billion of special transfer authority in fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1512) that would provide DOD with \$4.0 billion of special transfer authority in fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment to provide DOD with \$3.5 billion in special transfer authority in fiscal year 2015.

Subtitle C—Limitations, Reports, and Other Matters

Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (sec. 1531)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1523) that would prohibit the use of fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense (DOD) funds for the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (AIF) until all prior-year funds for the AIF have been obligated or expended.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1524) that would prohibit the use of fiscal year 2015 DOD funds for the AIF.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (sec. 1532)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1521) that would continue existing limitations under section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181), as amended, on the use of funds in the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (ASFF).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1523).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would also extend the authority under subsection 1531(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) to accept certain equipment procured using ASFF funds and to treat such equipment as Department of Defense stocks. The agreement would condition the acceptance of equipment procured with ASFF funds authorized under this Act on: (1) the Secretary of Defense submitting to the congressional defense

committees a detailed report on the equipment procurement process in Afghanistan; and (2) the Commander, U.S. Forces Afghanistan making certain determinations regarding the equipment to be accepted under this authority. These determinations would be provided to the congressional defense committees as part of the quarterly reports on the exercise of this authority.

In extending the authority under subsection 1531(d) of Public Law 113–66, we expect that this authority will be utilized only in limited circumstances and should not be broadly available for accepting equipment that has been damaged after it was transferred to the Afghan security forces.

Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund (sec. 1533)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1522) that would authorize various transfer authorities, reporting requirements, and other associated activities for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device (IED) Defeat Fund, as managed by the Joint IED Defeat organization.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1522) that would authorize the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund and would thereby provide the Director of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization (JIEDDO) with the authority to investigate, develop, and provide equipment, supplies, services, training, facilities, personnel, and funds to assist United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices for Operation Enduring Freedom or any successor operation to that operation. The provision would also extend JIEDDO's authority with respect to homemade explosives, and would sunset this authority on December 31, 2015. The provision would also direct the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to present to the congressional defense committees a plan to consolidate any enduring functions of the stated organizations, capabilities, and funding into an appropriate organization identified as part of that review.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund (sec. 1534)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision that would authorize the Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

European Reassurance Initiative (sec. 1535)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision (sec. 1527) that would specify the purposes for which amounts authorized to be appropriated for the European Reassurance Initiative (ERI) could be used and provide other limitations on the use of such funds.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment clarifying that for fiscal year 2015 \$1.0 billion is authorized

to be appropriated in Overseas Contingency Operations funds for the ERI. The amendment would also provide that of these funds not less than \$75.0 million would be available for programs, activities, and assistance to support Ukraine, and not less than \$30.0 million would be available for programs and activities to build the capacity of European allies and partner nations. Amounts specified for the ERI fund would be available for the purposes of ERI through September 30, 2016.

We are deeply concerned about the ongoing violations of Ukraine's sovereignty and territorial integrity and note that a provision in another section of this title expresses the sense of Congress in support of providing Ukraine military assistance, both non-lethal and lethal assistance, that is defensive and non-provocative.

We are also concerned about the potential spread of the unconventional and hybrid warfare tactics used by Russia in Ukraine to other countries in the region, potentially including the Baltic countries, Moldova, and Georgia. We urge the Secretary of Defense to devote the appropriate level of planning and resources, including resources under the ERI, to countering the threat posed by these unconventional and hybrid warfare tactics.

Plan for transition of funding of United States Special Operations Command from supplemental funding for overseas contingency operations to recurring funding for future-years defense programs (sec. 1536)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1521) that would require the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees, as part of the fiscal year 2016 budget request, with a plan to fully transition appropriate U.S. Special Operations Command funding from the Overseas Contingency Operations budget to the base budget over the future years defense program to maintain critical and enduring special operations capabilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Codification of Office and Management and Budget criteria

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1524) that would require the Secretary of Defense to implement specified criteria in requests for Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the criteria for OCO funding requests developed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for deciding whether funding for programs properly belongs in the base or OCO requests have not been updated in over 4 years. While these criteria have been somewhat successful in delineating between these two sources of funding and have facilitated OCO funding requests for the Department of Defense (DOD), there have been significant fact-of-life world events which dictate a need to re-examine and update those

criteria. It is also unclear how the guidance is applied to DOD reprogramming requests submitted to Congress for emergent requirements. OMB should ensure the criteria remain relevant and adaptable for evaluating DOD budget and reprogramming requests. We encourage DOD to evaluate the OCO criteria on a regular basis to ensure proper delineation of base and OCO budget requests. We would expect OMB to update the OCO criteria in time to support the FY 2016 budget submission.

TITLE XVI—STRATEGIC PROGRAMS, CYBER, AND INTELLIGENCE
MATTERS

Subtitle A—Space Activities

Department of Defense Space Security and Defense Program (sec. 1601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1601) that states a sense of Congress that critical U.S. space systems face a growing foreign threat, that both the People's Republic of China (PRC) and the Russian Federation are developing capabilities to disrupt the use of space by the United States during a conflict, and that a fully developed, multi-faceted approach is needed to deter and defeat any adversary's acts of aggression in outer space. The provision directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act that assesses the ability of the Department of Defense to deter and defeat any adversary's act of aggression in outer space. In addition, this section would direct the Secretary, acting through the Office of Net Assessment, to conduct a study and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act of potential alternate defense and deterrent strategies in response to the existing and projected counterspace capabilities of PRC and the Russian Federation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Evolved expendable launch vehicle notification (sec. 1602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1602) that would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to provide certain congressional committees with notification of each change to the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) acquisition plan and schedule as compared to the plan and schedule included in the budget submitted by the President for fiscal year 2015. The notification would include an identification of the change, a national security rationale for the change, the impact of the change on the EELV block buy contract, the impact of the change on the opportunities for competition for certified EELV launch providers, and the costs or savings of the change. The notification requirement would apply to fiscal years 2015, 2016, and 2017.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the notification be sent to the appropriate congressional committees at the time of the budget submission for fiscal year 2016 and 2017, and it would not require such notification if no change has occurred relative to the fiscal year 2015 budget submission.

Satellite communications responsibilities of Executive Agent for Space (sec. 1603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1603) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to revise Department of Defense (DOD) directives and guidance for the DOD Executive Agent (EA) for Space, with respect to the development of space strategies, architectures and programs for satellite communications.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would add coordination with the commanders of the combatant commands.

We direct the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees, within 90 days of the enactment of this Act, on DOD's satellite communications organization structure. The report shall include a review of the efficiency and effectiveness of the DOD's current satellite communications organizational structure. It shall also include a recommendation to strengthen the DOD's leadership and acquisition structure of satellite communications in a manner which improves strategic planning and lowers costs through more efficient acquisition approaches to meet the DOD's related requirements.

Specifically, the Secretary shall assess the merits and challenges of designating the Commander of the Air Force Space Command's Space and Missile Systems Center as the DOD's single acquisition agent for wideband satellite communications not later than fiscal year 2017. If the Secretary disagrees with this proposition the report must demonstrate and give a plan to implement an organization structure that brings coherency to the satellite communications acquisition process. The acquisition of commercial satellite communications is currently at best ad hoc, expensive, and reliant on Overseas Contingency Operations funding with little long-term planning, and is not strategically and efficiently aligned with the acquisition of military wideband satellite communications.

Rocket propulsion system development program (sec. 1604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1604) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop a next-generation liquid rocket engine authorized at \$220.0 million for fiscal year 2015. In carrying out the program, the Secretary would be required to coordinate with the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, to the extent practicable. The provision also contained a sense of Congress that the engine be made in the United States, meet the requirements of the national security space community, developed not later than 2019 using full and open competition, and available for purchase by all space launch providers of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1629) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a program plan for the production of a liquid rocket engine to support national security launch missions by no later than 2019.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would direct the Secretary of Defense to develop a rocket propulsion system that is made in the United States, is developed no later than 2019 using full and open competition, meets the requirements of the national security space community, and is available for purchase by all space launch providers of the United States.

We note that this provision is not an authorization of funds for the development of a new launch vehicle. This provision is for the development of a rocket propulsion system to replace non-allied space launch engines by 2019.

The Secretary should coordinate with the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, to the extent practicable, to ensure that the rocket propulsion system developed under subsection meets objectives that are common to both the national security space community and the civil space program of the United States.

Pilot program for acquisition of commercial satellite communication services (sec. 1605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1605) that would allow the Secretary of Defense to develop and execute a pilot program to examine the feasibility of expanding the use of working capital funds to acquire commercial satellite communications services to meet Department of Defense requirements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment that would change the report due date from 150 days after enactment to 90 days. It also changes the budgetary authority from commercial satellite communications to satellite communications, and makes other administrative modifications.

Update of National Security Space Strategy to include space control and space superiority strategy (sec. 1606)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1606) that would extend the analysis required by section 911(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) through fiscal year 2030.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1621) that would direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, to update the space control and space superiority strategy pursuant to the Space Posture Review conducted under section 913 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would extend the analysis required by section 911(d) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181) through fiscal year 2030, and make other clarifying changes.

Allocation of funds for the Space Security and Defense Program; report on space control (sec. 1607)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1622) that would require a preponderance of the funds used within the Space Security and Defense Program be allocated to offensive space control and active defense strategies with a statement on the use of such funds at the time of the President's budget submission to Congress.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would change the word "preponderance" to "majority," require the development of the capabilities in addition to strategies, require a review of the appropriate types of funding for the program, and sunset the provision in 5 years from the date of enactment of this Act.

Prohibition on contracting with Russian suppliers of rocket engines for the evolved expendable launch vehicle program (sec. 1608)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1623) that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from entering into a new contract or renewing a current contract for space launch activities from any person if that person purchases supplies critical for space launch activities covered by the contract from a Russian entity. The provision contained a waiver from the prohibition for U.S. national security interests and if space launch services and capabilities could not be obtained at a fair and reasonable price without the purchase of supplies critical for space launch activities from a Russian entity.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from awarding or renewing a contract for the procurement of property or services for space launch activities under the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program if the contract carries out such activities using rocket engines designed or manufactured in the Russian Federation. The provision includes the waiver from the Senate provision. The provision exempts the placement of orders or the exercise of options under contract FA8811-13-C-0003, awarded on December 18, 2013, or unless the Secretary, upon advice of the General Counsel of the Department of Defense, certifies to the congressional defense committees that the offeror of a contract has provided sufficient documentation to conclusively demonstrate that prior to February 1, 2014, the offeror had either fully paid for or entered into a legally binding commitment for rocket engines designed or manufactured in the Russia Federation.

Assessment of evolved expendable launch vehicle program (sec. 1609)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1624) that would require the Government Accountability Office to assess the advisability of the Secretary of Defense to require that launch providers establish or maintain business systems complying with the data requirements and cost accounting standards of the Department of Defense, including certified cost or price data.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision, with a modification to the date the report is due.

Competitive procedures required to launch payload for mission number five of the Operationally Responsive Space Program (sec. 1610)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1627) that would require competitive procedures be used to launch the payload for the Operationally Responsive Space program mission number five.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Availability of additional rocket cores pursuant to competitive procedures (sec. 1611)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1626) that would, based upon the Fiscal Year 2015 National Security Space Launch Forecast, require the Secretary of Defense to make available during fiscal year 2015 one additional rocket core for open competition. The provision also requires that between fiscal years 2015 and 2017, one additional competitive rocket core shall be made available unless the Secretary determines that there is no practicable way to implement this requirement while remaining in compliance with the terms and conditions of the 36 rocket core block buy under the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) program. Upon making such a determination, the Secretary shall certify within 45 days that there is no practicable way to add the second additional rocket core for open competition as well as describing the basis for such a determination and providing both to the congressional defense committees. A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3824) contained a provision that would make technical and conforming changes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with technical and conforming amendments.

Limitations on availability of funds for weather satellite follow-on system and Defense Meteorological Satellite program (sec. 1612)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 215) that would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to place the last remaining satellite of the Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) on the launch manifest for the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) program. Additionally, this section would direct the Secretary of the Air Force to establish an additional launch, for acquisition in fiscal year 2015, under the EELV program, using full and open competition among certified providers. The House bill would also fence 75 percent of the funds for the weather satellite follow-on system until the Secretary submits to the congressional defense committees the plan to meet the meteorological and oceanographic collection requirements validated by the Joint Requirements Oversight Council.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1628) that would prohibit funding the storage of the last DMSP unless the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the Department of Defense (DOD) intends to launch the satellite, will have sufficient funding to do so in the future years defense program, and that storing the satellite until a launch in 2020 is the most cost-effective approach to meeting the requirements of DOD.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that removes both the direction to launch the DMSP satellite and the addition of a competition launch. The agreement would limit 50 percent of the funds for the follow-on weather satellite until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense committees a plan to meet the meteorological and oceanographic collection requirements of the Joint Requirements Oversight Council, including the requirements of the combatant commands, military departments and agencies of the DOD. The Government Accountability Office (GAO) shall review the plan and the Analysis of Alternatives to determine if it meets best practices and fully addresses the concerns of the acquisition, operational and user communities, including how DOD assessed and dealt with cost, schedule and risks posed by each alternative considered.

The agreement also includes a prohibition on storage of DMSP, unless the Secretary of Defense intends to launch the satellite and that storing the satellite until the anticipated launch is the most cost-effective approach to meeting the requirements of DOD. If the Secretary of Defense decides not to launch the satellite, the Secretary of Defense must certify that the related requirements of the DOD will be met. The agreement also includes a review of the certification report by GAO.

Limitation on availability of funds for space-based infrared systems space data exploitation (sec. 1613)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 216) that would limit obligation or expenditure of funds authorized by this Act to not more than 50 percent for the data exploitation under the Space-Based Infrared Systems (SBIRS) space modernization initiative, which funds modernization and evolution of technologies to meet the SBIRS mission, until the Secretary of the Air Force delivers a certification to the congressional defense committees that the limited funds available for this effort will be used in support of data exploitation of the current SBIRS program of record, including the scanning and staring sensor; or that the data from the current SBIRS program of record, including the scanning and staring sensor, is being fully exploited and no further efforts are warranted.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary of the Air Force make the certification as the Department of Defense Executive Agent for Space.

We direct the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to conduct an overhead persistent infrared assessment. Such assessment shall include input from the Joint Staff and each of the combatant commands, including the component commands, detailing how they

currently integrate, and plan to integrate, the use of overhead persistent infrared capabilities to pursue their assigned mission areas. Such assessment shall be delivered to the congressional defense committees in the form of a report by April 1, 2015.

Limitations on availability of funds for hosted payload and wide field of view testbed of the space-based infrared systems (sec. 1614)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 217) that would limit 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for hosted payloads and wide field of view testbed alternative approaches to the Space-Based Infrared Systems (SBIRS) program of record until completion and briefing to the appropriate congressional committees on the analysis of alternatives (AOA).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would release 75 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated upon completion and submittal of the AOA to the appropriate congressional committees, with the remaining 25 percent to be released 30 days after receiving the briefing as described in the House provision.

We direct the U.S. Comptroller General of the United States to review the AOA for SBIRS to determine the extent that the Department met AOA best practices and fully addressed the concerns of the acquisition, operational, and user communities. Further, the Comptroller General shall review how the Department identified the requirements and assessed and addressed the cost, schedule, and risks posed for each alternative in the AOA. The Comptroller General shall provide the results of the review to the appropriate congressional committees within 180 days from receipt of the AOA, and also provide an interim briefing within 90 days.

Limitations on availability of funds for protected tactical demonstration and protected military satellite communications testbed of the advanced extremely high frequency program (sec. 1615)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 218) that would limit 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for the protected tactical demonstration and protected military satellite communications testbed alternative approaches to the Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) program of record until completion and briefing to the congressional defense committees on the analysis of alternatives (AOA).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would release 75 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated upon completion and submittal of the AOA with the remaining 25 percent released 30 days after receiving the briefing as described in the House provision. The agreement also includes other clarifying amendments that make clear the restriction is not intended to limit technology insertions to current satellite communication programs of record.

We direct the Comptroller General of the United States to review the reliability of the MILSTAR system, including terminals and maintenance and its effect on readiness as well as the phasing of the AEHF and Family of Advanced Beyond the line of sight Terminals (FAB-T) system to determine whether there will be a timely replacement of the MILSTAR system so as to not further degrade reliability and readiness of the existing communications architecture. The Comptroller General shall present an initial assessment to the congressional defense committees in the form of a briefing no later than March 31, 2015, with a final briefing no later than September 30, 2015.

We also direct the Comptroller General to review the AOA for AEHF to determine the extent that the Department of Defense (DOD) met AOA best practices and fully addressed the concerns of the acquisition, operational, and user communities. Further, the Comptroller General shall review how DOD identified the requirements and assessed and addressed the cost, schedule, and risks posed for each alternative in the AOA. The Comptroller General shall provide the results of the review to the congressional defense committees within 180 days from receipt of the AOA, and also provide an interim briefing within 90 days.

Study of space situational awareness architecture (sec. 1616)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1630) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to task the Defense Science Board to assess the architecture of the ground and space sensors used for space situational awareness (SSA) for both defensive and offensive space operations over a 5-, 10-, and 20-year budget plan. The assessment shall also include ground systems to task the sensors and process the data.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the report to have an unclassified summary and a classified appendix, as required.

Briefing on range support for launches in support of national security (sec. 1617)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3899) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the requirements and investments needed to modernize Department of Defense space launch facilities and supporting infrastructure at Cape Canaveral Air Force Station and Vandenberg Air Force Base.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with technical and clarifying amendments.

We expect the Secretary to leverage, update, and expand on the previous space launch infrastructure briefing provided to Congress in March 2014.

Subtitle B—Defense Intelligence and Intelligence-Related Activities
Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities Executive Agent (sec. 1621)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1614) that would establish an executive agent for the Tactical Exploitation of National Capabilities (TENCAP) program. The executive agent would report directly to the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, and would be responsible for working with the combatant commands, military services, and intelligence community to develop methods to increase warfighter effectiveness through the exploitation of national capabilities and to promote cross-domain integration of such capabilities into military operations, training, intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance activities. The provision also would require the TENCAP executive agent to provide an annual briefing to the congressional defense and intelligence committees for fiscal years 2016–20 on the investments, activities, challenges, and opportunities in carrying out the TENCAP program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make technical and conforming changes regarding the designation of a TENCAP executive agent. We agree that the Undersecretary of Defense for Intelligence should designate a TENCAP executive agent through the use of an existing billet. We do not intend to create new standalone positions to support this function.

One-year extension of report on imagery intelligence and geospatial information support provided to regional organizations and security alliances (sec. 1622)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1613) that would extend an existing reporting requirement by 1 year, regarding sharing of imagery intelligence and geospatial information to regional organizations and security alliances.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of Secretary of Defense authority to engage in commercial activities as security for intelligence collection activities (sec. 1623)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1651) that would amend 431(a) of title 10, United States Code, to change the current sunset date from December 31, 2015, to December 31, 2017.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authority relating to jurisdiction over Department of Defense facilities for intelligence collection or special operations activities abroad (sec. 1624)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1653) that would amend section 926(b) of the National Defense Au-

thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to extend the sunset dates in the provision in paragraph (1) by striking “September 30, 2015” and inserting “September 30, 2017”; and by striking “fiscal year 2016” and inserting “fiscal year 2018.”

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Assessment and limitation on availability of funds for intelligence activities and programs of United States Special Operations Command and special operations forces (sec. 1625)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1611) that would require the Secretary of Defense, acting through the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict, and the Director of the Defense Intelligence Agency, to submit an assessment to the appropriate congressional committees on the intelligence activities and programs of the U.S. Special Operations Forces and U.S. Special Operations Command (SOCOM). This section would also limit 50 percent of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 of SOCOM Major Force Program–11 procurement, defense-wide, and research, development, testing, and evaluation, defense-wide, until such assessment is received.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would modify the reporting requirements and the limitation on funds and add a requirement that the Comptroller General of the United States provide review and provide an assessment of the required report.

Annual briefing on the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of the combatant commands (sec. 1626)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1612) that would direct the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff to provide briefings to the congressional defense and intelligence committees on the intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance requirements of each of the combatant commands. The provision would also provide specific guidance for what information should be included in the annual briefing. The provision would further require that the Chairman provide the briefing with the budget submission each year, from fiscal year 2016–20.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Prohibition on National Intelligence Program consolidation (sec. 1627)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1616) that would prohibit amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense (DOD) to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2015, to execute:

- (1) the separation of the National Intelligence Program (NIP) budget from the DOD budget;
- (2) the consolidation of the NIP budget within DOD; or
- (3) the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for the NIP budget.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Personnel security and insider threat (sec. 1628)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1654) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an interim continuous evaluation (CE) system for personnel security; use this interim system to develop policies and procedures for an objective system; engineer CE systems to interface with an automated insider threat detection and prevention system; acquire CE capabilities competitively based on advanced commercial technology; establish a multi-disciplinary team to support the development of an automated insider threat capability; and create an executive committee of senior officials to ensure that the insider threat program is appropriately coordinated and resourced.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Secretary to report to Congress on the Department's plans to address the elements contained in the provision.

We also note that the study by the Director of Cost Analysis and Program Evaluation (CAPE) of the Office of Personnel Management's personnel investigation service for the Department of Defense made a number of recommendations for additional phases of CAPE's assessment. We endorse the CAPE recommendations for Phase 2A and 2B actions, specifically: improvements to workflow scheduling, limiting investigations to those requiring access to classified information; establishing a business practice working group to achieve efficiencies (such as cost savings from economies of scale); the efficacy of information technology investments, quality control practices, enhancing competition; and rationalizing the mix of government and contract investigators.

Migration of Distributed Common Ground System of Department of the Army to an open system architecture (sec. 1629)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1655) that would require the Secretary of the Army, within 3 years of the date of enactment of this Act, to migrate the Distributed Common Ground System (DCGS), including the so-called Red Disk or any successor to Red Disk under development at the Army Intelligence and Security Command, to an open systems architecture. The provision would require that the DCGS open systems architecture be compliant with the Defense Intelligence Information Enterprise open architecture.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle C—Cyberspace-Related Matters

Budgeting and accounting for cyber mission forces (sec. 1631)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1643) that would require that the Secretary of Defense, for fiscal year 2017 and every succeeding year, for the President's annual budget submission and supporting documents, to develop a major force program (MFP) category and program elements for the Department of Defense (DOD) future years defense program for the training, arming, and equipping of the cyber mission forces. The provision would also require the Secretary to assess the feasibility and advisability of establishing a general fund transfer account to execute the funds programmed in the MFP and provide a recommendation to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We note that for several years, as the importance of cyber operations investments has grown, the congressional defense committees have been urging DOD to improve how it categorizes and displays its budget justification documentation. In embarking on the process of developing a major force program, we believe it is important for DOD to adopt a taxonomy that remains consistent over several years. We understand that the Department is responding to budget guidance from the Office of Management and Budget, as well as changing priorities from new leadership, but these fluctuations in taxonomy result in an inconsistent and moving baseline that masks real annual changes in budget and execution levels.

Reporting on cyber incidents with respect to networks and information systems of operationally critical contractors (sec. 1632)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1645) that would direct the Secretary of Defense to establish procedures for designating contractors as "operationally critical contractors," notifying such contractors that they have been designated, and requiring designated contractors to report successful penetrations of their computer networks by known or suspected advanced persistent threat actors. The provision narrowly defines an operationally critical contractor as a company designated by the Secretary as a critical source of supply for airlift, sealift, intermodal transportation services, or logistical support that is essential to mobilization, deployment, or sustainment in a contingency operation.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We fully share the concern of industry and the Department of Defense (DOD) about harmonizing reporting on cyber intrusions to eliminate redundant reporting. We direct the Secretary to ensure that contractor reporting to DOD, and the dissemination of such reporting, is coordinated with reporting to the Director of National Intelligence and other government agencies.

Executive agents for cyber test and training ranges (sec. 1633)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1621) that would require the Secretary of Defense to establish an executive agent to coordinate and oversee the management of the various cyber and information technology test and training ranges being developed and deployed by the Department of Defense (DOD).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make technical changes and require the Secretary to designate two cyber range executive agents from among existing DOD personnel, one for test ranges and one for training ranges; and to consult with the Principal Cyber Advisor in designating the executive agents. The amendment also would require the executive agents, in consultation with the DOD Chief Information Officer, to jointly designate a standard language from among existing open source candidates for expressing cyber event and threat data in machine-readable form for use in the Joint Information Environment and the range environment.

We note that for several years, the Armed Services Committees of the Senate and House of Representatives have expressed concerns over how the DOD has managed its cyber range resources. While we recognize that DOD has developed and maintained a core set of capabilities, we have observed first-hand that the lack of coordination of those capabilities has left DOD in a situation where the current ranges do not support the tools and capabilities that cyber operators would use in the real world. Unlike in live training for kinetic operations, in cyberspace, we do not train like we fight. We believe that the integrated plan required by these executive agents is a necessary tool to create standardization between training and operational tools, as well as to ensure that DOD is investing in adequate range resources to meet unit-level training requirements for the force structure being defined by U.S. Cyber Command.

One reason that we have tasked these executive agents to designate a standard language for cyber event and threat data reporting is to better ensure that there is standardization of these capabilities across the range and operational networks. Not only is this a fundamental capabilities needed by cyber forces, it would also set a valuable precedent for ensuring that the capabilities that are used on live, operational networks are the same tools that would be used in a test or training environment. We firmly believe that any standard designated by these executive agents should swiftly be transitioned to use on the operational networks of the Joint Information Environment.

In designating the two required executive agents, we believe that the Secretary should consider two important factors. First, the Test Resource Management Center (TRMC) already fills a similar role for the test range complex, and would make a logical choice to take on the responsibilities for the test range executive agent. Second, we believe that the training range executive agent should be the responsibility of one of the military departments, since they have the operational imperative to maintain training readiness, as well

as the resources to sustain and upgrade training range capabilities over time.

Cyberspace mapping (sec. 1634)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1641) that would require the Secretary of Defense, within 60 days of the date of enactment of this Act, to designate a network or network segment within the Department of Defense (DOD) to support the execution of a pilot program to demonstrate large-scale cyberspace mapping technology, as approved by the Cyber Investment Management Board (CIMB). The provision also would require the Principal Cyber Advisor, within 180 days of enactment of this Act, to recommend policy to the Secretary of Defense regarding the mapping of cyberspace to support the missions of U.S. Cyber Command.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Review of cross domain solution policy and requirement for cross domain solution strategy (sec. 1635)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1642) that would require the Secretary of Defense to review the policies of the Department of Defense, and develop a strategy concerning the procurement, approval, and use of capabilities to transmit information across networks and systems at different security classification levels.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Requirement for strategy to develop and deploy decryption service for the Joint Information Environment (sec. 1636)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1614) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a strategy for a decryption service for the Joint Information Environment. The provision would require the strategy for the decryption and re-encryption of communications to enable the inspection of communications content to detect cyber threats and insider threat activity. That strategy would include a requirements definition document, an architecture, a concept of operations, a cost estimate, and an assessment of the security benefit of such a service. The provision would require a briefing on the strategy to be provided to the congressional defense and intelligence committees by October 1, 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Actions to address economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace (sec. 1637)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3377) contained a provision that would require the President to report annually to appropriate congressional committees on countries that engage in economic or industrial espionage in cyberspace with respect to U.S. trade secrets or propri-

etary information, and on the types of technologies and intellectual property such countries target, what they have succeeded in stealing, and, where known, the incorporation of such stolen property in articles, products, or services. The report also would include the actions the President has taken to diminish such espionage, and the progress made towards that goal.

The provision would authorize the President, pursuant to the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.), to block and prohibit all transactions in all property and interests in property of each person determined to be knowingly engaged in such espionage, if such property and interests in property are in the United States, come within the United States, or are or come within the possession or control of a U.S. person.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We urge the President in implementing this provision to harmonize the required reporting on industrial or economic espionage through cyberspace with related reporting, including the biennial report on the threat to U.S. industry from foreign economic collection and industrial espionage required by section 809(b) of Public Law 103-359.

Sense of Congress regarding role of reserve components in defense of United States against cyber attacks (sec. 1638)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1622) that would express the sense of Congress that the National Guard has a valuable role to play in defending the United States against cyber attacks.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would recognize the important capabilities and role of all the reserve components.

We are aware that the Army National Guard is pursuing a decision to establish ten Cyber Protection Teams (CPTs), independent of the plans by U.S. Cyber Command to establish 68 CPTs within the active component. While we support a role for the reserve components in Department of Defense planning and organization to deal with cyber threats, we are concerned that such plans are not adequately synchronized with overall planning in this space. In particular, we are concerned about potentially creating parallel structures, personnel and training pathways, and authorities for such forces.

Therefore, we direct the Secretary of the Army to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, within 90 days of the enactment of this Act, on the progress made by the Army National Guard to establish ten CPTs to support preparations to respond to emergencies involving an attack or natural disaster impacting computer networks. The report shall include the following:

- (1) A timeframe for when stationing of the CPTs will be finalized.
- (2) A timeframe for activation of the CPTs and whether the teams will be activated at the same time or staggered over time.
- (3) A description of manning and basing requirements.

(4) The number and location of nominations received for a CPT and the activation date estimate provided in each nomination.

(5) An assessment of the range of stated cost projections included in the nominations.

(6) An assessment of any identified patterns regarding ease or difficulty of staffing individuals with required credentials within particular regions.

(7) Any additional information deemed relevant by the Secretary.

Sense of Congress on the future of the Internet and the .MIL top-level domain (sec. 1639)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090E) that would prohibit the transfer of the responsibilities of the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) for Internet domain name system functions until the Comptroller General of the United States submits a report to Congress, within 1 year of the date on which NTIA receives a proposal for the transfer of such responsibilities to the Internet Corporation For Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1646) that would express the sense of Congress that the Secretary of Defense should:

(1) Advise the President to transfer the remaining role of the United States Government in the functions of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) to a global multi-stakeholder community only if the President is confident that the .mil top level domain and the Internet protocol address numbers used exclusively by the Department of Defense (DOD) for national security will remain exclusively used by DOD; and

(2) Take all necessary steps to sustain the successful stewardship and good standing of the Internet root zone servers managed by DOD components.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would clarify how the Secretary should provide advice in the process, as well as ensure active participation in all transition planning and accountability stress testing.

We understand that the arcane and esoteric process for governing the internet is not conducive to quick or easy understanding by decisionmakers not intimately involved in the process. We fear that the lack of understanding breeds concerns that at face value appear warranted, but upon closer examination may not be valid, or may be easily mitigated. We believe that some improved confidence building measures and transparency over the negotiations process would be helpful in dispelling any misconceptions about the process.

Therefore, we direct the Secretary to submit a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House of Representatives on the transition process no later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act. This report should explain DOD's role in the negotiations process, any issues or concerns about the status of negotiations, and any observations related to the accountability stress testing currently underway. Furthermore, we encourage DOD and the National Telecommunications and Information Administration

to provide regular briefings to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and House or Representatives, as well as other congressional committees, on the status of transition planning and accountability stress testing.

Subtitle D—Nuclear Forces

Preparation of annual budget request regarding nuclear weapons (sec. 1641)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1631) that would amend section 179 of title 10, United States Code, and add a new requirement regarding annual transfers to the Department of Energy (DOE) from the Department of Defense's (DOD) budget authority. Prior to making such transfers, the Secretary of Defense must enter into a memorandum of agreement with the Secretary of Energy as to how the funds will be obligated and expended within the Weapons Activities budget of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that includes a waiver to the certification requirement if the Secretary of Defense determines such waiver is in the national security interest and provides a copy of the agreement between the Secretaries of Defense and Energy describing how the Secretary of Energy will obligate or expend any amounts covered by the transfer of the budget authority to the DOE and an explanation of why the Secretary was not able to certify the previous year's DOD-to-NNSA budget transfers complied with previous DOD-DOE Secretaries' agreements.

Improvement to biennial assessment on delivery platforms for nuclear weapons and the nuclear command and control system (sec. 1642)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1637) that would amend section 492(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, to add “, and the ability to meet operational availability requirements for” after “military effectiveness of”.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Congressional Budget Office review of cost estimates for nuclear weapons (sec. 1643)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1640) that would amend 1041(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to require the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on an annual basis regarding the 10-year cost of nuclear weapons programs.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 1602) that would amend section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to require the CBO to submit such a report 120 days after submission

of the report required by section 1043 for an odd-numbered fiscal year.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the CBO report to be submitted by July 1 of each year in which the President submits a report under section 1043 for an odd-numbered fiscal year. The amendment would also require the CBO report to include an estimate of the relative percentage of total defense spending represented by nuclear weapons funding during the 10-year period. Finally, the amendment would require the Director of the CBO to submit a letter to the congressional defense committees if the Director determines a report under section 1043 for an even-numbered fiscal year contains a significant change.

We expect the Director to use their best judgment regarding whether any changes are significant enough to warrant submission of a letter.

Retention of missile silos (sec. 1644)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1634) that would require the Secretary of Defense to preserve each intercontinental ballistic missile silo that contains a deployed missile as of the date of the enactment of this Act in, at a minimum, a warm status that enables such silo to remain a fully functioning element of the interconnected and redundant command and control system of the missile field and be made fully operational with a deployed missile.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would make the requirement effective during the period in which the New START Treaty (as defined by section 494(a)(2)(D) of title 10, United States Code) is in effect. The amendment also includes a rule of construction that would enable the Department of Defense to place a silo offline temporarily to perform maintenance activities.

We intend that this provision would require the Secretary to maintain the pertinent silos in a condition that permits them to be returned to operational alert status.

Procurement authority for certain parts of intercontinental ballistic missile fuzes (sec. 1645)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1601) that would give authority to procure commercial parts for intercontinental ballistic missile fuses, notwithstanding 10 United States Code 1502(a), for fiscal year 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Assessment of nuclear weapon secondary requirement (sec. 1646)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1634) that would require the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Energy and the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command, to assess the annual nuclear weapon secondary production requirement needed to sustain a safe, secure, reliable, and effective nuclear deterrent. The Secretary of Defense would be required to submit a re-

port on this assessment to the congressional defense committees within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. This report would be in unclassified form, with a classified annex if necessary, and would be required to include an explanation of the rationale and assumptions that led to the current 50-to-80 per year secondary production requirement, including the factors considered in determining such requirement, and an analysis of whether there are any changes to the 50-to-80 per year secondary production requirement, including the reasons for any such changes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the report 120 days after the date of enactment.

Certification on nuclear force structure (sec. 1647)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1635) that would require that, not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff and the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command, jointly certify that the plan for implementation of the New START Treaty announced on April 8, 2014, will enable the United States to meet its obligations under such treaty in a manner that ensures the nuclear forces of the United States are capable, survivable, and balanced; and maintain strategic stability, deterrence and extended deterrence, and allied assurance.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Advance notice and reports on B61 life extension program (sec. 1648)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1604) that would require the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council and the Commander of U.S. Strategic Command to provide the congressional defense committees with separate reports in advance of any decision to reduce the scope of the B61 Life Extension Program below the level proposed in the fiscal year 2015 Stockpile Stewardship Management Plan.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a minor amendments.

Notification and report concerning removal or consolidation of dual-capable aircraft from Europe (sec. 1649)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1639) that would limit fiscal year 2015 funding for the Department of Defense to consolidate or remove dual-capable aircraft (unless being replaced by F-35 aircraft) from the area of responsibility (AOR) of the U.S. European Command (EUCOM) until the Secretary of Defense certifies that the armed forces of the Russian Federation are no longer occupying Ukrainian territory, the Russian Federation is no longer violating the Intermediate Nuclear Forces Treaty, and the Russian Federation is in compliance with the Conventional Forces in Europe Treaty. The provision contained a waiver for national security

including a certification that such consolidation is consistent with the 2012 North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Deterrence and Defense Posture Review concerning reciprocal non-strategic nuclear weapons reductions by the Russian Federation and an unclassified report explaining why such certification cannot be made with a 30-day wait period. The provision required a report on the cost and burden sharing arrangements of the forward deployed nuclear weapons in place with the NATO and any recommendations for changes to the current arrangement.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require, 90 days before the date on which the Secretary of Defense removes or consolidates United States dual capable aircraft from the EUCOM AOR, the Secretary to congressional defense committees and include a report on how such removal or consolidation is in the national security interests of the United States and NATO and whether the proposed consolidation or removal is affected by the armed forces of the Russian Federation occupying Ukrainian territory, the Russian Federation deploying or preparing to deploy nuclear weapons to Ukrainian territory, the Russian Federation not complying with the Intermediate Nuclear Forces Treaty, and the Russian Federation not complying with the Conventional Forces in Europe Treaty.

Reports on installation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems at headquarters of United States Strategic Command (sec. 1650)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1603) that would require the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command (STRATCOM) to submit an annual report on the installation of nuclear command, control, and communications systems as part of the replacement of the STRATCOM headquarters. The requirement for an annual report would terminate at such time as when the Commander, STRATCOM certifies to the congressional defense committees that all milestones have been completed, and the headquarters building is a fully functioning node in the overall NC3 architecture.

The Government Accountability Office (GAO) shall review the existing milestones and scope of the effort and provide a technical briefing to the congressional defense committees no later than September 30, 2014, as to whether the scope of the current effort is complete, fully integrated, and meets accepted programmatic planning practices.

GAO shall review the report submitted each year and provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on whether the installation is meeting projected milestones and costs and whether there are outstanding programmatic or technical issues that must be addressed to meet these milestones so that the building can become an operational hub in the overall NC3 network.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Report on plans for response of Department of Defense to INF Treaty violation (sec. 1651)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1644) that would require the Missile Defense Agency to develop a plan to test, by 2016, the capability of the Aegis Ashore missile defense system to counter intermediate-range ground-launched cruise missiles. It would also require, if Russia is not in compliance with the Intermediate-range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty as of the date of enactment of this Act, the Department of Defense to develop a plan for the research and development of intermediate-range ballistic and cruise missiles.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing any steps being taken or planned to be taken by the Department of Defense in response to Russian actions in violation of its obligations under the INF Treaty. The report would include a description of any plans to conduct research, development, testing or deployment of potential future United States military capabilities, including activities to modify, test, or deploy existing military systems, to deter or defend against the threat of Russian INF-range systems, should such systems be deployed.

Statement of policy on the nuclear triad (sec. 1652)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1636) that contained a series of findings on the nuclear triad and a statement that it is the policy of the United States to operate and sustain a triad of nuclear delivery platforms consisting of heavy bombers armed with nuclear gravity bombs and air launched cruise missiles, land based intercontinental ballistic missiles which can carry independently targeted reentry vehicles, ballistic missile submarines and forward deployed dual capable fighter bomber aircraft and nuclear weapons, as well as to ensure that members of the Armed Forces that operate and maintain these systems have sufficient training and resources to execute their nuclear mission.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that strikes the findings.

Sense of Congress on deterrence and defense posture of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (sec. 1653)

The Senate committee-reported bill included a provision (sec. 1605) that would express the sense of Congress that the United States reaffirms and remains committed to the policies enumerated in the Deterrence and Defense Posture Review of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO), dated May 20, 2012.

The House Bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would include policies enumerated in the NATO Wales Summit Declaration of September 2014.

Subtitle E—Missile Defense Programs

Availability of funds for Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system (sec. 1661)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1613) that would authorize fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense funds to be provided to the Government of Israel to procure the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system, including for co-production of Iron Dome parts and components in the United States by United States industry. The provision would also require that the funds authorized for Iron Dome be subject to the terms, conditions and co-production targets specified for fiscal year 2015 in the “Agreement Between the Department of Defense of the United States of America and the Ministry of Defense of the State of Israel Concerning Iron Dome Defense System Procurement,” signed March 5, 2014. The provision would also authorize a portion of the funds to be used for several other U.S.-Israeli cooperative missile defense programs, if the Government of Israel determines that it is a higher priority for its national security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require the Department of Defense, prior to the initial obligation of the authorized funds, to certify that the U.S.-Israel Iron Dome agreement is being successfully implemented, and to describe any risks relating to implementation of the agreement.

Testing and assessment of missile defense systems prior to production and deployment (sec. 1662)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1615) that would require the Secretary of Defense to ensure that, prior to making a final production decision for, and prior to operational deployment of, a new or substantially upgraded interceptor or weapon system of the Ballistic Missile Defense System, sufficient and operationally realistic testing of the system is conducted, and the testing results demonstrate a high probability that the system will work in an operationally effective manner. The provision would also require the Director of Operational Test and Evaluation to provide an assessment to the Secretary of the sufficiency and results of the testing.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Acquisition plan for re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle (sec. 1663)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1614) that would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a rigorous acquisition plan for the re-design of the Exo-atmospheric Kill Vehicle of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense system, subject to approval by the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics. It would also require the Department of Defense, after such approval, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees describing the acquisition plan and how it will meet specified objectives.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Study on testing program of ground-based midcourse missile defense system (sec. 1664)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1645) that would require the Secretary of Defense to enter into an arrangement with a Federally Funded Research and Development Center to conduct a study of the testing program for the ground-based midcourse missile defense system, and to submit to the congressional defense committees a report containing the study.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Sense of Congress and report on homeland ballistic missile defense (sec. 1665)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1611) that would express the sense of Congress concerning the importance of defending the United States Homeland against the threat of limited ballistic missile defense attack, and the need to improve the current capability of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense system. The provision would also require the Department of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a report describing the status of efforts to improve the homeland ballistic missile defense capability of the United States.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We direct that, not later than 60 days after submission of the report required by the provision, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees providing its views on the report. We further direct that, as soon as practicable after the briefing has been provided, the GAO submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the views provided in the briefing.

Sense of Congress and report on regional ballistic missile defense (sec. 1666)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1612) that would express the sense of the Congress on the importance of the United States and its allies and partners improving their regional ballistic missile defense capabilities, and would require the Department of Defense to submit a report on the status of efforts to improve such capabilities in Europe, the Middle East, and the Asia-Pacific region.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We direct that, not later than 60 days after submission of the report required by this provision, the General Accounting Office provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees providing

its views on the report. We further direct that, as soon as practicable after the briefing has been provided, the GAO submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the views provided in the briefing.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Air Force intelligence organization

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1615) that would express the sense of Congress that the Air Force National Air and Space Intelligence Center provides indispensable intelligence support, and should remain organizationally aligned to the Headquarters Air Staff with reporting through the Vice Chief of Staff. In addition, this section would require the Secretary of the Air Force to submit to the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees a strategic plan for the intelligence organization of the Air Force, which includes maintaining the National Air and Space Intelligence Center alignment to the Headquarters Air Staff.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include the House provision.

We did not recommend including this provision, since the Air Force has provided the plan the House provision would have required and has decided to maintain the National Air and Space Intelligence Center directly aligned to the Headquarters Air Staff. However, we will continue to monitor the Air Force's implementation of its plan.

Authority for Secretary of Defense to engage in commercial activities as security for military operations abroad

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1652) that would amend subsections 431 through 437 of title 10, United States Code, to: (1) Allow the Secretary of Defense to employ commercial activities as security for military operations, in addition to existing authority for using such activities for intelligence operations; (2) Direct that reports of audits on commercial activities used as security for intelligence operations as reported to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, and reports on audits of commercial activities used as security for military operations, are reported to the congressional defense committees only; and (3) Make conforming changes throughout these subsections.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We believe that this could be a useful authority, but would have to receive better answers from the Department of Defense (DOD) to questions that were raised after DOD requested this authority. We would reevaluate this request in the future if DOD continues to identify a need for the authority.

Budget increase for Aegis ballistic missile defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1646) that would authorize an increase of \$99.0 million for procurement of Standard

Missile-3 (SM-3) interceptors for the Aegis ballistic missile defense system.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Funding authorization levels for procurement of SM-3 interceptors are included in the procurement funding table in section 4101 of this Act.

Director of National Intelligence certification with respect to the mission analysis for cyber operations of Department of Defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1623) that would amend section 933 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113-66) to require the Director of National Intelligence to certify that the recommendations of the cyber mission analysis report by the Secretary of Defense required by section 933 are consistent with the cyber operations capability needs of the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Secretary's cyber mission analysis report did not include a certification that the Red Team personnel and capacity in the Air National Guard are no longer required by the Department of Defense, and may not be reduced or reassigned without such a certification.

Independent review of the personnel reliability program of the Department of Defense and the human reliability program of the Department of Energy

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1632) that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of Energy to jointly seek to enter into a contract with a federally funded research and development center to conduct an independent review of the Personnel Reliability Program (PRP) of the Department of Defense and the Human Reliability Program of the Department of Energy.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense is currently reviewing its PRP as part of a broader assessment of needs within its nuclear enterprise. We expect this review will offer significant recommendations for modernizing PRP to make it both more effective and more efficient. We further expect that the Department of Energy will apply lessons learned from the Department of Defense's review to its own, similar program. We will continue close oversight of this matter.

Integrated plan on space launch activities of the Federal Government

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3814) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to jointly, in coordina-

tion with the National Security Council, the Director of the Office of Science and Technology Policy and the heads of other appropriate agencies of the Federal Government, develop a plan to achieve the effective planning, coordination, and execution for the civil and national security space launch activities of the Federal Government in order to ensure that the mission needs of the United States of reliable, timely, and affordable access to space for all agencies are met in a cost-effective manner.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and the heads of other appropriate agencies of the Federal Government, to identify and assess opportunities for coordination among Federal agencies in space launch acquisition efforts, and provide a summary of the lessons learned by the Department of Defense and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration regarding their launch service programs. The results of the study shall be provided to the appropriate congressional committees in the form of a briefing no later than December 31, 2015.

Furthermore, we direct the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to assess the results of the study as presented in the briefing to Congress, as well as update the related space launch findings and recommendations reported in the 2012 GAO Annual Report titled "Opportunities to Reduce Duplication, Overlap and Fragmentation, Achieve Savings, and Enhance Revenue." The GAO shall provide the results of the assessment within 90 days of receiving the briefing provided to Congress.

Reports and briefings of Strategic Advisory Group

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1638) that would require the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command to provide to the congressional defense committees a copy of each briefing and report prepared by his Strategy Advisory Group, including any subgroup thereof and any successor advisory group, provided to him in the previous year.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision. We are aware that the Commander wrote to the Chairman of the House Armed Services Committee on May 19, 2014 and committed to providing the committees with briefings on the materials provided to him by the Strategic Advisory Group. We believe this will be a useful arrangement for the oversight function of the committees. We also believe that, from time to time, it may be necessary to receive copies of these reports, and we look forward to working with the Commander in the event the committees believe that it is necessary. With this understanding, we choose not to adopt section 1638 of the House bill at this time.

Report on governance and corruption in the Russian Federation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1617) that would direct the Director of National Intelligence to submit a report on the status of governance and corruption in the Russian Federation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Report on reliance of Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program on foreign manufacturers

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1625) that would require the Government Accountability Office to submit, within 180 days of enactment of this Act, a report on risks of reliance on foreign manufacturers to the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle program.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We agree that the RAND study “U.S. Space Launch Capability—An Assessment of the Use of Foreign Components”, (December 2013), as required by section 916 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) obviates the need for an additional study at this time.

Sense of Congress on procurement and deployment of capability enhancement II exo-atmospheric kill vehicle

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1642) that would express the sense of Congress that the Department of Defense (DOD) should not procure an additional Capability Enhancement-II (CE-II) exo-atmospheric kill vehicle for deployment on Ground-Based Interceptors of the Ground-based Midcourse Defense (GMD) system until a successful operationally realistic intercept flight test of the CE-II has occurred.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that, after the House bill was passed, a successful intercept flight test of the GMD system, using an upgraded version of the CE-II kill vehicle, took place on June 22, 2014. This successful flight test result allowed DOD to resume assembly and delivery of GBIs with upgraded CE-II kill vehicles for deployment.

Sense of the Senate on resolution limits on commercial space imagery

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1631) that would express the sense of the Senate that the Secretary of Defense should support the relaxation of panchromatic, spectral, and infrared imagery resolution limits on the sale of commercial space imagery. The provision would also require the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy to provide a recommendation to Congress by April 1, 2015, on the design and development of a flexible and dynamic capability to control the collection and sale of commercial space imagery to protect national security.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We direct the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, to brief the congressional defense and intelligence committees on the progress achieved in developing

a flexible and dynamic capability to control the collection and sale of commercial space imagery to protect national security.

Theater air and missile defense of allies of the United States

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1641) that would require the operational deployment of the Aegis Ashore missile defense system in Poland by December 31, 2016, and would require the deployment of either the Patriot short-range missile defense system or the Terminal High Altitude Area Defense terminal missile defense system in Poland by the end of 2014.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that a separate provision, described elsewhere in this report, relates to regional missile defense capabilities of the United States and its allies and partners in several combatant command areas of responsibility.

TITLE XVII—NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE FUTURE OF THE ARMY

National commission on the future of the Army (secs. 1701–1712)

The House bill contained a provision (secs. 1095–1099A) that would establish a National Commission on the Future of the Army to conduct a comprehensive review of the Army's size, structure, and force mix.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (secs. 1701–1709).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with amendments that would clarify the limitations on the authority of the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of the Army with respect to the transfer of AH–64 Apache attack helicopters from the Army National Guard (ARNG) to the regular Army. The agreement also includes amendments that would clarify the duties of such a commission.

We expect the Army and ARNG to immediately proceed with appropriate planning and preparation activities for the transfer of up to 48 AH–64 Apache aircraft prior to March 31, 2016. Such preparations should include all necessary personnel and materiel-related actions required to facilitate such transfers. We also expect the Army and ARNG to continue the planning necessary for the potential implementation of the rest of the Army's Aviation Restructure Initiative so that disruptions to the readiness of the Army and ARNG are minimized in the event that Congress approves additional elements of the Army's plan beyond March 31, 2016.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

Summary and explanation of funding tables

Division B of this Act authorizes funding for military construction projects of the Department of Defense. It includes funding authorizations for the construction and operation of military family housing as well as military construction for the reserve components, the defense agencies, and the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program. It also provides authorization for the base closure accounts that fund military construction, envi-

ronmental cleanup, and other activities required to implement the decisions of the base closure rounds.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Short title (sec. 2001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2001) that would designate division B of this Act as the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2001).

The agreement includes this provision.

Expiration of authorizations and amounts required to be specified by law (sec. 2002)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2002) that would ensure that the authorizations provided in titles XXI through XXVII shall expire on October 1, 2017, or the date of enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2018, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision.

This agreement includes the provision with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Effective date

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2003) that would provide that titles XXI through XXVII of this Act take effect on October 1, 2014, or the date of enactment of this Act, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XXI—ARMY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$539.4 million for military construction and \$429.6 million for family housing for the Army for fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$543.4 million for military construction and \$429.6 million for family housing for the Army for fiscal year 2015.

The budget request included \$96.0 million for the third of six planned phases of construction of a Command and Control Facility at Fort Shafter, Hawaii. We understand that all six phases are necessary to provide for a complete facility that meets the requirements of U.S. Army Pacific. Furthermore, we understand that combining the remaining four phases into a single authorized project would save the Army significant military construction funding and accelerate facility construction by up to 4 years.

Therefore, the agreement includes authorization of \$311.4 million for the remaining four phases of the Command and Control Facility at Fort Shafter, Hawaii. This authorization assumes at least 10

percent savings will be achieved through construction and contracting efficiencies. Consistent with these efficiencies, the agreement includes an authorization of appropriations for fiscal year 2015 of \$85.0 million for the first increment of this project.

We believe that it is inappropriate to phase, rather than increment, large military construction projects when each distinct phase does not fully meet the requirements of the user and direct the Army to refrain from requesting similarly phased projects in the future.

We recognize that in difficult budget times military construction funding is often deferred in favor of other priorities and note that the Army's military construction request for fiscal year 2015 is 52 percent less than what was requested for fiscal year 2014. Therefore, the agreement includes authorization of \$15.0 million for a Consolidated Shipping Center at Blue Grass Army Depot, Kentucky, \$46.0 million for a Simulations Center at Fort Hood, Texas, and \$86.0 million for Phase 3 of the Individual Training Barracks Complex at Fort Lee, Virginia, the Army's top unfunded military construction priorities.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2101)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2101) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Army for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2101).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Family housing (sec. 2102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2102) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Army for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2102).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Army (sec. 2103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2103) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Army for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2103).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2004 project (sec. 2104)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2104) that would modify an authority provided in section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136)

to authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2104).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects (sec. 2105)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2105) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2101 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–239) and authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of previously authorized construction projects.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2105).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2106)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2106) that would extend the authorization listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2106).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2107)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2107) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2107).

The agreement includes this provision.

Limitation on construction of cadet barracks at United States Military Academy, New York (sec. 2108)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2108) that would require the Secretary of the Army to certify to the congressional defense committees that the Secretary intends to award a contract for the renovation of MacArthur Long Barracks concurrent with assuming beneficial occupancy of the renovated MacArthur Short Barracks before obligating or expending funds for construction of increment 3 of the Cadet Barracks at the United States Military Academy, New York.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Limitation on funding for family housing construction at Camp Walker, Republic of Korea (sec. 2109)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2109) that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of funds authorized for construction of military family housing units at Camp Walker, Republic of Korea (ROK), until 30 days following the delivery of a report to the congressional defense committees validating on-post housing requirements in the ROK, including Camp Walker and Camp Humphries, and a plan for meeting such requirements.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

TITLE XXII—NAVY MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$1.02 billion for military construction and \$370.4 million for family housing for the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$993.2 million for military construction and \$370.4 million for family housing for the Department of the Navy for fiscal year 2015.

The budget request included \$120.1 million for a Center for Cyber Studies Building in Annapolis, Maryland. We understand the Navy would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request and, therefore, the agreement includes a \$90.1 million reduction.

We recognize that in difficult budget times military construction funding is often deferred in favor of other priorities and note that the Navy's military construction request for fiscal year 2015 is 40 percent less than what was requested for fiscal year 2014. Therefore, the agreement includes authorization of \$13.8 million for a Regional Ship Maintenance Support Facility at Bangor, Washington, and \$50.7 million for a Radio Battalion Complex at Camp Lejeune, North Carolina, the top unfunded military construction priorities of the Navy and Marine Corps, respectively.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Navy construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2201) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Navy for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2201).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Family housing (sec. 2202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2202) that would authorize new construction and planning and design of family housing units for the Navy for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2202).

The agreement includes this provision.

Improvements to military family housing units (sec. 2203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2203) that would authorize funding for fiscal year 2015 to improve existing Navy family housing.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2203).

The agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, Navy (sec. 2204)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2204) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing projects of the Navy for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2204).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2205)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2205) that would modify the authority provided by section 2201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of Public Law 112–81) and authorize the Secretary of the Navy to make certain modifications to the scope of previously authorized construction projects.

The Senate bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2205).

The agreement includes this provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project (sec. 2206)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2206) that would modify the authority provided by section 2201 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66) and authorize the Secretary of the Navy to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2206).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2207)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2207) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2207).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2208)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2208) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

TITLE XXIII—AIR FORCE MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$811.7 million for military construction and \$327.7 million for family housing for the Air Force in fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$846.2 million for military construction and \$327.7 million for family housing for the Air Force in fiscal year 2015.

We recognize that in difficult budget times military construction funding is often deferred in favor of other priorities and note that the Air Force's military construction request for fiscal year 2015 is 30 percent less than what was requested for fiscal year 2014. Therefore, the agreement includes authorization of \$34.4 million for a Corrosion Control and Composite Repair Shop at Andersen Air Force Base, Guam, the Air Force's top unfunded military construction priority.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2301)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2301) that would authorize military construction projects for the active component of the Air Force for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2301).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Authorization of appropriations, Air Force (sec. 2302)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2302) that would authorize appropriations for the active component military construction and family housing of the Air Force for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2302).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2008 project (sec. 2303)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2303) that would modify the authority provided by section 2301 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (division B of Public Law 110–81) and authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to make

certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2303).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 project (sec. 2304)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2305) that would extend the authorization listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2304).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2012 project (sec. 2305)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2306) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2305).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would remove one of the projects that was to be extended.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISION NOT ADOPTED

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2304) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66; 127 Stat. 992) to allow the Secretary of the Air Force to construct listed facilities at any suitable location in the Northern Mariana Islands.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Air Force is currently conducting an environmental impact study to “improve an existing airport or airports and associated infrastructure in the Mariana Islands in support of expanding mission requirements and to achieve divert capabilities in the western Pacific.” If necessary, upon issuing a Record of Decision, we would welcome a legislative proposal from the Secretary of the Air Force to modify the scope or location for the project as currently authorized by section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66).

TITLE XXIV—DEFENSE AGENCIES MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$2.06 billion for military construction for the defense agencies, \$150.0 million for energy conservation projects, \$38.7 million for chemical demilitarization construction, and \$61.1 million for family housing for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$1.96 billion for military construction, \$150.0 million for energy conservation projects, \$38.7 million for chemical demilitarization construction, and \$61.1 million for family housing for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2015.

The budget request included \$259.7 million for the Medical Center Replacement at Rhine Ordnance Barracks, Germany. We understand the Department of Defense would be unable to expend the full amount of the budget request and, therefore, the agreement includes a \$70.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$9.0 million for Contingency Construction. In light of unobligated balances in the Contingency Construction account from previous years, the agreement includes a \$9.0 million reduction.

The budget request included \$24.4 million for Planning and Design. In light of unobligated balances in the Planning and Design account from previous years, the agreement includes a \$20.0 million reduction.

Subtitle A—Defense Agency Authorizations

Authorized Defense Agencies construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2401) that would authorize military construction projects for the defense agencies for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2401).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Authorized energy conservation projects (sec. 2402)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2402) that would authorize energy conservation projects for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2402).

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would make technical changes and strike subsection (c) titled “Limitation on Set-Aside of Facilities Restoration and Modernization Program Funds for Energy Projects.”

We believe that facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization (SRM) projects funded by operation and maintenance accounts, including energy projects, should not be set aside and should compete equally when determining SRM priorities at military installations.

Authorization of appropriations, Defense Agencies (sec. 2403)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2403) that would authorize appropriations for the construction and family housing projects of the defense agencies for fiscal year 2015. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2015 military construction and family housing projects authorized for the defense agencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2403).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2404)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2404) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2404).

The agreement includes this provision.

Extension of authorizations of certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2405)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2405) that would extend authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2405).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Limitation on project authorization to carry out certain fiscal year 2015 projects pending submission of report (sec. 2406)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2406) that would restrict the obligation of funds for certain military construction projects to support the U.S. Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) human performance initiative, until the Secretary of Defense submits a report on this program required by the Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) and a report on the review of Department of Defense efforts regarding the prevention of suicide among members of United States Special Operations Forces and their dependents required elsewhere in this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment eliminating the condition that the Secretary submit the report required by the Joint Explanatory Statement to Accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66) since the report has now been submitted to the congressional defense committees.

Subtitle B—Chemical Demilitarization Authorizations

Authorization of appropriations, chemical demilitarization construction, defense-wide (sec. 2411)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2411) that would authorize appropriations for military construction projects for the chemical demilitarization program for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2411).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2000 project (sec. 2412)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2412) that would modify the authority provided by section 2401(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2000 (division B of Public Law 106–65), as amended.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a nearly identical provision (sec. 2412).

The agreement includes the House provision.

TITLE XXV—NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$199.7 million for military construction in fiscal year 2015 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$174.7 million for military construction in fiscal year 2015 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

We understand that the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program has expended prior year funds more slowly than anticipated and does not require the full requested amount for fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the agreement includes a \$25.0 million reduction.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Authorized NATO construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2501) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to make contributions to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program in an amount equal to the sum of the amount specifically authorized in section 2502 of this title and the amount of recoupment due to the United States for construction previously financed by the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 2501).

The agreement includes this provision.

Authorization of appropriations, NATO (sec. 2502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2502) that would authorize the U.S. contribution to the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2502).

The agreement includes the House provision.

TITLE XXVI—GUARD AND RESERVE FORCES FACILITIES

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$426.5 million for military construction in fiscal year 2015 for facilities for the guard and reserve components.

The agreement includes authorization of appropriations of \$532.1 million for military construction in fiscal year 2015 for facilities for the guard and reserve components.

We recognize that in difficult budget times military construction funding is often deferred in favor of other priorities and note that the Department's military construction request for the guard and reserve components for fiscal year 2015 is 38 percent less than what was requested for fiscal year 2014. Therefore, the agreement includes authorization of \$5.0 million for a Readiness Center in Alamogordo, New Mexico; \$19.0 million for Enlisted Barracks at Yakima, Washington; \$10.8 million for a Vehicle Maintenance Shop in Dagsboro, Delaware; \$13.2 million for a Consolidated Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility at Fort Smith Municipal Airport, Arkansas; \$25.0 million for an Army Reserve Center in Riverside, California; \$26.0 million for an Army Reserve Center in Arlington Heights, Illinois; \$9.3 million for an Army Reserve Center in Starkville, Mississippi; \$47.9 million for a Joint Reserve Intelligence Center in Everett, Washington; and \$14.5 million for a Guardian Angel Operations Facility at Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, Arizona. Each of these projects were identified as the top unfunded military construction priorities of the respective guard and reserve components.

Subtitle A—Project Authorizations and Authorization of Appropriations

Authorized Army National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2601)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2601) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army National Guard for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2601).

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Authorized Army Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2602)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2602) that would authorize military construction projects for the Army Reserve for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2602).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Authorized Navy Reserve and Marine Corps Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2603)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2603) that would authorize military construction projects for the Navy Reserve and the Marine Corps Reserve for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2603).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Authorized Air National Guard construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2604)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2604) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air National Guard for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2604).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Authorized Air Force Reserve construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2605)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2605) that would authorize military construction projects for the Air Force Reserve for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2605).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Authorization of appropriations, National Guard and Reserve (sec. 2606)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2606) that would authorize appropriations for the reserve component military construction projects for fiscal year 2015. This provision would also provide an overall limitation on the cost of the fiscal year 2015 military construction projects authorized for the reserve components.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2606).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle B—Other Matters

Modification and extension of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2012 projects (sec. 2611)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2611) that would modify the authorization contained in section 2301(a) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (division B of

Public Law 112–81) to allow the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of previously authorized construction projects and extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2018, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2019, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2611) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2013 projects (sec. 2612)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2612) that would modify the authority provided by section 2601 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (division B of Public Law 112–293) and authorize the Secretary of the Army to make certain modifications to the scope of a previously authorized construction project.

The Senate committee-reported bill included a similar provision (sec. 2612). A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3881) contained a provision that would modify the location for a previously authorized project from Tustin, California, to the vicinity of Tustin, California, as requested by the Army.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that incorporates Senate Amendment 3881.

Modification of authority to carry out certain fiscal year 2014 project (sec. 2613)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3692) contained a provision that would modify the authorization for a Cyber/ISR facility at Martin State Airport, Maryland.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Extension of authorization of certain fiscal year 2011 projects (sec. 2614)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2613) that would extend the authorizations listed until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2613). A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3798) contained a provision that would extend an additional project at Fort Story, Virginia, until October 1, 2015, or the date of the enactment of an act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2016, whichever is later, as requested by the Army.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment incorporating Senate Amendment 3798.

TITLE XXVII—BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACTIVITIES

Summary

The Department of Defense requested \$270.1 million for the ongoing cost of environmental remediation and other activities necessary to continue implementation of the 1988, 1991, 1993, 1995, and 2005 Base Realignment and Closure rounds.

The agreement includes the requested amount.

Subtitle A—Authorization of Appropriations

Authorization of appropriations for base realignment and closure activities funded through Department of Defense base closure account (sec. 2701)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2701) that would authorize appropriations for ongoing activities that are required for base realignment and closure activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2701).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle B—Prohibition on Additional BRAC Round

Prohibition on conducting additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round (sec. 2711)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2711) that would affirm congressional intent to reject the budget request to authorize another Base Realignment and Closure round in 2017.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2702).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle C—Other Matters

Modification of property disposal procedures under base realignment and closure process (sec. 2721)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2722) that would authorize the local government, in whose jurisdiction the military installation is wholly located, to be recognized as the local reuse authority for purposes of managing Base Closure and Realignment (BRAC) reuse planning. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees as to excess BRAC property that has not been declared surplus by the Federal Government.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

HUBZones

The Senate committee-reported bill included a provision (sec. 2703) that would modify section 632 of title 15, United States Code, to expand the area around former military installations closed under the Base Realignment and Closure process that can be con-

sidered for purposes of satisfying employee residency requirements under the HUBZone program and would extend the period of applicability from 5 to 8 years.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Force-structure plans and infrastructure inventory and assessment of infrastructure necessary to support the force structure

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2721) that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report as part of the budget justification documents submitted to Congress in support of the President's budget for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2016 that details multiple 20-year force structure plans and a comprehensive inventory of worldwide infrastructure. The report would also compare these two items to determine categories of excess in the Department of Defense infrastructure. The Secretary of Defense would also certify whether the need exists for the closure or realignment of additional military installations and whether the Secretary anticipates that each base closure and realignment recommendation would result in annual net savings for each of the military departments within 6 years after the initiation of the additional round of closures and realignments.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that a provision elsewhere in this Act makes clear that nothing in this Act shall be construed to authorize an additional Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) round. We also note that the Department of Defense and Military Departments have provided testimony about the current estimates of excess infrastructure capacity associated with military installations. However, those estimates are based on outdated data from the analysis done in support of the 2005 BRAC round. The Department of Defense and military departments have also stated that overall force structure reductions may generate additional excess infrastructure capacity. However, we are aware that the military departments are implementing installation management methods that may serve to diminish excess infrastructure capacity.

Due to the force structure changes and infrastructure investments and management strategies that have occurred since the 2005 BRAC round, we believe that excess infrastructure capacity assessments should be based on current infrastructure data and informed by current force structure projections. We believe the Department of Defense has the authority to provide such an updated analysis but to date has not provided such an assessment.

Final settlement of claims regarding caretaker agreement for former Defense Depot Ogden, Utah

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2723) that would limit any further claim adjudication associated with a caretaker agreement between the City of Ogden, Utah, the Ogden Local Redevelopment Authority, and the Department of the Army. This limitation would be conditioned on a release of claims against the United

States by the City of Ogden and the Ogden Local Redevelopment Authority.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

Subtitle A—Military Construction Program and Military Family Housing Changes

Congressional notification of construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense access road projects conducted under authorities other than a Military Construction Authorization Act (sec. 2801)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2801) that would amend section 2802 of title 10, United States Code, to clarify that certain military construction projects, land acquisitions, and defense-access roads projects must be specifically authorized in a Military Construction Authorization Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would instead modify section 2802 of title 10, United States Code, to require that the Secretary concerned notify the congressional defense committees at least 15 days prior to the initiation of any construction, land acquisition, or defense-access road project by a military department, Defense Agency, or Department of Defense Field Activity on a military installation that will be carried out pursuant to a provision of law other than a Military Construction Authorization Act.

Modification of authority to carry out unspecified minor military construction (sec. 2802)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2802) that would modify section 2805 of title 10, United States Code, by increasing the threshold associated with operation and maintenance funding for minor military construction purposes from \$750,000 to \$1.0 million. This section would also unify the threshold for application of unspecified minor construction from \$2.0 million to \$3.0 million. Finally, this section would authorize the Secretary concerned to make adjustments to the general authority to match area cost factors.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2803) that would increase the maximum amount of unspecified minor military construction funding that can be used to correct facility deficiencies that threaten the life, safety, or health of personnel from \$3.0 million to \$4.0 million. The committee recommended an increase in this threshold to reflect its view that life, safety, and health deficiencies are at least equal to, if not more important than, laboratory revitalization for which the unspecified minor military construction threshold is \$4.0 million.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would remove the authorization to make adjustments to the general authority to match area cost factors and add the Senate

provision increasing the threshold for projects designed to correct facility deficiencies that threaten the life, safety, or health of personnel.

Clarification of authorized use of payments-in-kind and in-kind contributions (sec. 2803)

The Senate committee-reported bill included a provision (sec. 2801) that would clarify the requirement of section 2687(a) of title 10, United States Code, as amended by section 2807 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), that military construction projects built with in-kind payments or in-kind contributions required by bilateral agreements be specifically authorized by law.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would make the provision effective beginning on the later of September 30, 2016, or the date of enactment of an Act authorizing funds for military construction for fiscal year 2017. The provision would also exempt military construction projects funded with payments-in-kind or in-kind contributions that were the subject of negotiation between the United States and a host country as of the date of enactment of this Act. Lastly, the provision would require, until the effective date, notification to the congressional defense committees at least 30 days prior to initiating any military construction project built for Department of Defense personnel outside the United States using payments-in-kind or in-kind contributions and make other conforming changes.

Use of one-step turn-key contractor selection procedures for additional facility projects (sec. 2804)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2803) that would modify section 2862 of title 10, United States Code, by expanding the existing authority to use turn-key selection procedures for military construction projects to include certain repair projects and facility construction associated with authorized security assistance activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Limitations on military construction in European Command area of responsibility and European Reassurance Initiative (sec. 2805)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2804) that would extend the prohibition previously included in section 2809 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66) on awarding a contract for any new military construction and family housing project, with certain exceptions, in the U.S. European Command area of responsibility until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that the installations and specific military construction requirements authorized in the Act have been examined as part of the ongoing European Infrastructure Consolidation Assessment, have been determined to be of an enduring nature, and most effectively meet military requirements at the authorized location.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2805).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that, for projects authorized in title XXIX of this Act to support the European Reassurance Initiative, would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a military construction project data sheet and certification that a pre-financing statement for eligible projects has been submitted through the North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program to the congressional defense committees prior to awarding a contract in connection with any such project.

Extension of temporary, limited authority to use operation and maintenance funds for construction projects in certain areas outside the United States (sec. 2806)

The Senate committee-reported bill included a provision (sec. 2804) that would extend the contingency construction authority contained in section 2808 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (division B of Public Law 108–136), as amended, for an additional year.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Application of residential building construction standards (sec. 2807)

The Senate committee-reported bill included a provision (sec. 2802) that would allow for residential buildings designed and constructed using funds authorized by this Act to meet an above code green building standard or rating system to use the ICC 700 National Green Building Standard, the LEED Green Building Standard System, or an equivalent protocol.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would further modify the list of above code green building standard or rating systems that may be used by the Department of Defense (DOD).

We are concerned that the DOD may not be considering all appropriate voluntary consensus green building systems or standards, as defined in the Office of Management and Budget Circular Number A–119, and their associated certification systems, when performing the renovation or construction of residential buildings. We believe that DOD should consider all appropriate voluntary consensus green building systems or standards and, in doing so, should focus on energy savings and cost-efficiency, using a comprehensive approach that factors in all facets of a green building including costs for certification and overall compliance when determining which green building standard or rating system to use. DOD should also consider using third party verification to ensure design and construction meet the requirements for certification, and include user training and education to ensure the building is operated efficiently.

Limitation on construction of new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba (sec. 2808)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2806) that would limit funding authorized by the bill for new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, until the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that any new construction of facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, have enduring military value independent of a high-value detention mission.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

We note that the Department of Defense has previously determined that all new facilities at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, authorized by this Act have enduring military value independent of a high-value detention mission.

Subtitle B—Real Property and Facilities Administration

Renewals, extensions, and succeeding leases for financial institutions operating on military installations (sec. 2811)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2812) that would authorize the Secretary concerned to enter into a sole source renewal, extension, or succeeding lease for a financial institution operating on a military installation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2812).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Deposit of reimbursed funds to cover administrative expenses relating to certain real property transactions (sec. 2812)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2814) that would amend section 2695 of title 10, United States Code, and would provide flexibility to ensure that reimbursements eventually received by the military departments are not expired at the time of reimbursement. This section would provide for the merger of the reimbursed funds with those in the current appropriation, fund, or account used by the military departments for payment of administrative transaction-related expenses. Finally, this section would authorize the military departments to use operation and maintenance appropriations to pay for administrative expenses needed to complete other real property transactions.

The Senate committee-reported bill included a similar provision (sec. 2811).

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle C—Provisions Related to Asia-Pacific Military
Realignment

Realignment of Marine Corps forces in Asia-Pacific region (sec. 2821)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2831) that would amend section 2822 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66) and strike certain restrictions limiting the movement of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa, Japan, to Guam.

The Senate bill contained a related provision (sec. 2821) that would extend the prohibition on funds for construction activities to implement the realignment of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa, Japan, to Guam until certain conditions are met.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would remove the prohibition on construction activities to implement the realignment of Marine Corps forces from Okinawa, Japan, to Guam and replace it with an overall cost cap on such construction, reflecting the July 2014 Master Plan for Guam, subject to inflation and changes in costs to comply with changes in law. The provision would also continue restrictions on the development of public infrastructure on Guam unless a grant, transfer, cooperative agreement, or supplemental funding for the development of public infrastructure is specifically authorized by law and would be used to carry out a project included in the report of the Economic Adjustment Committee required by section 2831(d) of the National Defense Authorization act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66).

Establishment of surface danger zone, Ritidian Unit, Guam National Wildlife Refuge (sec. 2822)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would allow the Secretary of the Navy and the Secretary of the Interior to provide for the establishment and operation of a surface danger zone in the Ritidian Unit, Guam, to accommodate a live-fire training range on Andersen Air Force Base-Northwest Field and provide for the management of the adjacent Guam National Wildlife Refuge property.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle D—Land Conveyances

Land conveyance, Gordo Army Reserve Center, Gordo, Alabama (sec. 2831)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3908) contained a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, approximately 3.79 acres in Gordo, Alabama, for the purpose of permitting the Town to use the parcel for municipal government purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Land conveyance, West Nome Tank Farm, Nome, Alaska (sec. 2832)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3889) contained a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey, without consideration, approximately 7 acres known as the West Nome Tank Farm in Nome, Alaska, for municipal purposes.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Land conveyance, former Air Force Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point, Norwalk, California (sec. 2833)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2849) that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey, without consideration, approximately 15 acres to the City of Norwalk, California, from the former Norwalk Defense Fuel Supply Point for public purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Transfer of administrative jurisdiction and alternative land conveyance authority, former Walter Reed Army Hospital, District of Columbia (sec. 2834)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2842) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, Army property at the former Walter Reed Army Medical Center to Children's National Medical Center for medical research purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement contains the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey certain Army property at the former Walter Reed Army Medical Center to the Department of State. Furthermore, should the authorized conveyance to the Department of State not occur, the amendment would allow the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration, certain property to an authorized recipient for the purpose of permitting the recipient to use the property for the protection of public health, including research.

We note that the Army and Department of State have been pursuing an interagency transfer of property and facilities at the former Walter Reed Army Medical Center since April 2006 and we encourage both agencies to work together to conclude negotiations expeditiously. If the Army pursues the alternative conveyance authority provided by this section, we believe such a conveyance should be conducted in a manner that is consistent with the disposal process of real property for public health, including research, as found in section 550 of title 40, United States Code.

Land conveyance, former Lynn Haven fuel depot, Lynn Haven, Florida (sec. 2835)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3842) contained a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey approximately 144 acres at the former Lynn Haven Fuel Depot in Bay County, Florida, for fair market value.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

Transfers of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Frank D. Merrill and Lake Lanier, Georgia (sec. 2836)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2843) that would require the Secretary of the Army and the Secretary of Agriculture to exchange lands located Camp Frank D. Merrill in Dahlonga, Georgia, currently under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of Agriculture, for certain lands adjacent to Lake Lanier, Georgia, currently under the administrative jurisdiction of the Secretary of the Army.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2833).

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Land conveyance, Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam, Hawaii (sec. 2837)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2844) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, to the Honolulu Authority for Rapid Transportation certain properties for public purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2831).

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Modification of conditions on land conveyance, Joliet Army Ammunition Plant, Illinois (sec. 2838)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2845) that would make technical corrections to a conveyance originally authorized by section 2922(c)(2) of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996 (division B of Public Law 104–106).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Camp Gruber, Oklahoma (sec. 2839)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2847) that would require the Secretary of the Army to perform a business case analysis to assess the requirements associated with reacquiring the former Camp Gruber, Oklahoma. If the Secretary determined that a reversion of the former Camp Gruber is needed for national defense purposes, the Secretary would exercise the reversionary rights and request the Oklahoma Department of Wildlife to reconvey Camp Gruber to the United States. The Secretary would then convey, without consideration, the former Camp Gruber to the Oklahoma Military Department for military maneuver space.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2834) that would provide for the transfer of administrative jurisdiction of property at Camp Gruber, Oklahoma, to the Department of the Army for the purpose of military training if the Secretary of the Army determines that such property is needed for national defense purposes.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Conveyance, Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina (sec. 2840)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3942) contained a provision that would authorize the Secretary of the Air Force to convey approximately 53 acres at Joint Base Charleston, South Carolina, to the City of Hanahan for the purpose of accommodating the City's recreation needs.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Land exchanges, Arlington County, Virginia (sec. 2841)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 2832) that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to exchange real property with Arlington County, Virginia, and the Commonwealth of Virginia, for purposes of expanding the contiguous land available to Arlington National Cemetery.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a clarifying amendment.

We believe that any use of land exchanged by the Army with Arlington County, Virginia, and the Commonwealth of Virginia should be compatible with a location immediately adjacent to Arlington National Cemetery, one of our Nation's most sacred shrines.

Subtitle E—Military Memorials, Monuments, and Museums

Acceptance of in-kind gifts on behalf of Heritage Center for the National Museum of the United States Army (sec. 2851)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3915) contained a provision that would amend section 4772 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to accept funds and in-kind gifts, including services, construction materials, and equipment used in construction, for the Heritage Center for the National Museum of the United States Army from the Army Historical Foundation and industry donors. The provision would also remove the \$250,000 limit on the value of gifts that may be accepted by the Commander of the United States Army Center of Military History.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision with an amendment that would retain the \$250,000 limit on the value of gifts that may be accepted by the Commander of the United States Army Center of Military History. We note that the Secretary of the Army has authority to accept gifts of a value greater than \$250,000.

Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial, San Diego, California (sec. 2852)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2841) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to convey, without consideration, certain Department of the Navy property to the Mount Soledad Veterans Memorial Association in San Diego, California, for public purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize the Secretary of Defense to convey the Mt. Soledad Veterans Memorial in San Diego, California, to the Mount Soledad Veterans Memorial Association for consideration that reasonably reflects the price paid by the United States to purchase the Memorial pursuant to Public Law 109–272, the condition that the property be accepted “as is”, the condition that the Memorial be used and maintained as a veterans memorial in perpetuity, and other factors. The provision would also provide for the United States, at its election, to exercise reversionary rights to the Memorial if the Secretary of Defense determines that it is ever put to a use other than as a veterans memorial. Exercise of any reversionary rights would be temporary and solely for the purpose of conveying, as expeditiously as practicable, the Memorial to another entity subject to the same conditions in this provision.

Establishment of memorial to the victims of the shooting at the Washington Navy Yard on September 16, 2013 (sec. 2853)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2861) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to establish a memorial at the Washington Navy Yard in the District of Columbia dedicated to the victims of the shooting attack that occurred on September 16, 2013.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 2841).

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Prior to establishment of the memorial authorized under this section, we direct the Secretary of the Navy to provide a report to the congressional defense committees detailing, at a minimum, the design, specific location, and funding dedicated to the construction and long-term maintenance of the memorial.

Subtitle F—Designations

Redesignation of the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies as the Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies (sec. 2861)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2862) that would redesignate the Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies at Honolulu, Hawaii, as the “Daniel K. Inouye Asia-Pacific Center for Security Studies”, and make other conforming changes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision.

Subtitle G—Other Matters

Report on physical security at Department of Defense facilities (sec. 2871)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3569) contained a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a summary of the actions taken by the Department of Defense to respond to the recommendations resulting from

the reviews of security standards following the November 2009 shootings at Fort Hood, Texas, and the September 2013 shootings at the Washington Navy Yard, District of Columbia.

The House bill included no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Report on prevalence of black mold in buildings located on military installations

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2805) that would require the Secretary of Defense to report on the prevalence of black mold in buildings located on military installations and add affected buildings to the appropriate branch's construction priority list for building replacement or renovation.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that indoor exposure to mold can cause a variety of negative health impacts, including allergic reactions. We encourage the Department of Defense and the military departments to continue taking cost-effective, timely, and appropriate actions to prevent the formation of, and remediate reported cases of, indoor mold in facilities located on military installations.

Consultation requirement in connection with Department of Defense major land acquisitions

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2811) that would require consultation by the Secretary concerned with the chief executive officer of the state or territory as to the location of any proposed major land acquisition.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Arsenal installation reutilization authority

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2813) that would modify section 2667 of title 10, United States Code, to provide authorities to lease real or personal property contained in such section to the commander of military manufacturing arsenals or, if part of a larger military installation, the installation commander for the purposes of leveraging private investment at military manufacturing arsenals through long-term facility use contracts, property management contracts, leases or other such agreements.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Special easement acquisition authority, Pacific Missile Range Facility, Barking Sands, Kauai, Hawaii

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2815) that would authorize the Secretary of the Navy to use the authorities provided by sections 2664 and 2684(a) of title 10, United States Code, to ac-

quire from willing sellers easements and other interests in real property in the vicinity of the Pacific Missile Range Facility, Kauai, Hawaii.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that the Department of Defense and the military departments have authorities under sections 2664 and 2684(a) of title 10, United States Code, to enter into agreements with or acquire from willing sellers easements and other interests in real property in the vicinity of military installations and range facilities. In instances where a military installation or range facility is subject to encroachment or other restrictions that may directly or indirectly restrict, impede, or otherwise interfere with current or anticipated military training, testing, or operations, we believe the Department of Defense and military departments should consider the authorities of sections 2664 and 2684(a) of title 10, United States Code, as a possible means to alleviate adverse impacts to military missions.

Sense of Congress on national security and public lands

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2817) that would express the sense of Congress that national defense should be the top priority for all aspects of the Federal Government, and that national security functions, such as military training and exercises, should be the top priority, particularly with regard to the use of land owned by the United States.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

The Department of Defense regularly uses federal land owned by the U.S. Government for military training, including to prepare U.S. forces deploying overseas for the terrain and climate they will encounter, as well as for testing of new military technologies. We note that such access to U.S. federal lands facilitates military preparedness and advances the technological edge of our forces. Therefore, we believe such activities should be given priority consideration with regard to the use of land owned by the United States.

Indemnification of transferees of property at military installations closed since October 24, 1988, that remain under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2819) that would provide additional liability protections to former military installations closed outside of the Base Realignment and Closure process.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Land conveyance, Robert H. Dietz Army Reserve Center, Kingston, New York

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2846) that would authorize the Secretary of the Army to convey, without consideration,

to the City of Kingston, New York, certain properties for public purposes.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Redesignation of Pohakuloa Training Area in Hawaii as the Pohakuloa Training Center

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2863) that would change the designation of the Pohakuloa Training Area in Hawaii to the Pohakuloa Training Center.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not contain this provision.

Additional withdrawal and reservation of public land to support White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2941) that would amend section 2951 of the Military Construction Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (division B of Public Law 113–66) and extend the withdrawal and reservation of public land at White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico, to include Federal lands located beneath the boundaries of the Special Use Airspace designated as R–5107C and R–5107H.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We note that on June 4, 2014, the Secretary of Defense proposed mitigation measures that, if adopted, are intended to address the Department of Defense’s (DOD) concerns with potential impacts to military operations by the SunZia Southwest Transmission project (SunZia). Most notably, the Secretary proposed the burial of a total of approximately five miles, in up to three separate segments, of the SunZia project transmission line along the Bureau of Land Management’s (BLM) preferred alternative route (PAR) north of White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), within an area known as the Northern Extension Area (NEA). We further note that the Secretary’s proposed mitigation plan was accepted by SunZia on July 27, 2014, and is now being reviewed by BLM in the context of an Environmental Assessment that we anticipate will be completed in February 2015.

As we noted in the Joint Explanatory Statement to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–66), we expressed our expectation that DOD concerns would be addressed by the executive branch prior to the conclusion of the environmental review process to preserve the critical testing and training capabilities of WSMR. We continue to have concerns about the impact the SunZia project may have on these capabilities. We are specifically concerned that the mitigation measures proposed by the Secretary of Defense are intended to address existing and expected test profiles, but may not be sufficient to provide for future training and testing requirements at WSMR.

Therefore, we direct the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, concurrent with the com-

pletion of the BLM Environmental Assessment, that assesses the potential impact of the SunZia project on the future training and testing capabilities of WSMR to respond to potential future threats. The report shall include, at a minimum, an explanation of the Secretary's proposed mitigation plan, including:

(1) How the proposed mitigation plan addresses concerns identified in the "Technical Working Group Report for the SunZia Transmission Line Project" dated August 7, 2013, including the following specific excerpts:

(a) Line Burial—"The distance required for line burial is 35 miles. This is the minimum distance necessary to prevent impairment of the Nation's unique capabilities to test DOD weapon systems in this location."

(b) Electromagnetic Interference (EMI)—"Introduction of the 500 kV overhead transmission lines in the NEA would raise the background noise level and create a heat signature that would be detected during infrared (IR) sensor testing. At present, there is very limited EMI interference within the NEA."

(c) Test mission profiles—"The above-ground construction and introduction of the SunZia transmission line along the FEIS [Final Environmental Impact Statement] PAR places an obstruction in the path of low-level flyers, thus jeopardizing the effective conduct of testing. Targets flying critical low-level profiles would have to "pop up" from those flight levels to avoid transmission lines. The FAA [Federal Aviation Administration] requires a 500 foot buffer above structures for safety considerations. Such a "pop up" would prematurely provide identification and targeting and thus disrupt and invalidate the test mission profile because of the change in the observed background clutter."

(d) Multiple Simultaneous Engagement (MSE) of Aerial Targets—"The most stressing and complex test missions involve MSE of aerial targets in a single presentation. This requirement alone mandates the 35-mile underground installation of the transmission line to ensure that incoming targets are in the proper alignment to the background clutter for a realistic presentation."

(2) How the SunZia project and proposed mitigation plan could impact future training and testing events at WSMR;

(3) An identification of any additional mitigation measures that may be necessary in the future to protect the unique capabilities of WSMR should new training or testing requirements arise; and

(4) An assessment by the Secretary of the DOD Clearinghouse process as it relates to the SunZia project, including:

(a) The extent to which the DOD Clearinghouse identified and communicated potential negative impacts of the SunZia project to WSMR in a timely manner; and

(b) Any legislative or policy changes the Secretary would recommend to improve the ability of DOD Clearinghouse to advocate for and protect DOD equities.

(5) Any other matters the Secretary deems appropriate.

We note that the report required above is not intended to, in any way, delay the completion of the BLM Environmental Assessment associated with the SunZia Southwest Transmission project.

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY
CONSTRUCTION

Summary

The Department of Defense requested authorization of appropriations of \$220.4 million for military construction for Overseas Contingency Operations for fiscal year 2015.

The agreement includes the requested amount.

Authorized Army construction and land acquisition project (sec. 2901)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision that would authorize up to \$163.0 million of amounts authorized for the European Reassurance Initiative to be used for military construction, subject to several restrictions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would specifically authorize military construction projects for the Army in support of the European Reassurance Initiative.

Authorized Air Force construction and land acquisition projects (sec. 2902)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision that would authorize up to \$163.0 million of amounts authorized for the European Reassurance Initiative to be used for military construction, subject to several restrictions.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would specifically authorize military construction projects for the Air Force in support of the European Reassurance Initiative.

Authorized Defense Agency construction and land acquisition project (sec. 2903)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision that would authorize a military construction project for the National Security Agency for Overseas Contingency Operations for fiscal year 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 2904)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3875) contained a provision that would authorize appropriations for military construction for the use of the Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the Department

of Defense for Overseas Contingency Operations for fiscal year 2015.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with a technical amendment.

TITLE XXX—NATURAL RESOURCES RELATED GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS ADOPTED

Summary

The House bill contained the following provisions:

Sec. 1091. Short title.

Sec. 1092. Designation of National World War I Museum and Memorial in Kansas City, Missouri.

Sec. 1093. Redesignation of Pershing Park in the District of Columbia as the National World War I Memorial and enhancement of commemorative work.

Sec. 1094. Additional amendments to World War I Centennial Commission Act.

Sec. 2848. Land conveyance, Hanford Site, Washington.

Sec. 2866. Manhattan Project National Historical Park.

Sec. 2867. Ensuring public access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain in the Hanford Reach National Monument.

Sec. 2901. Transfer of Administrative jurisdiction, Naval Air Station Fallon, Nevada.

Sec. 2902. Water Rights.

Sec. 2903. Withdrawal.

Sec. 2931. Withdrawal and reservation of public land for Naval Air Weapons Station China Lake, California.

Proposed amendments to the Senate committee-reported bill contained the following provisions:

Amendment number 3393. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Baraboo, WI.

Amendment number 3902. Land conveyance, Wainwright, Alaska.

The agreement includes the following provisions:

Sec. 3001. Land conveyance, Wainwright, Alaska.

Sec. 3002. Sealaska land entitlement finalization.

Sec. 3003. Southeast Arizona land exchange and conservation.

Sec. 3004. Land exchange, Cibola National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona, and Bureau of Land Management land in Riverside County, California.

Sec. 3005. Special rules for Inyo National Forest, California, land exchange.

Sec. 3006. Land exchange, Trinity Public Utilities District, Trinity County, California, the Bureau of Land Management, and the Forest Service.

Sec. 3007. Idaho County, Idaho, shooting range land conveyance.

Sec. 3008. School District 318, Minnesota, land exchange.

Sec. 3009. Northern Nevada land conveyances.

Sec. 3010. San Juan County, New Mexico, Federal land conveyance.

Sec. 3011. Land conveyance, Uinta-Wasatch-Cache National Forest, Utah.

- Sec. 3012. Conveyance of certain land to the city of Fruit Heights, Utah.
- Sec. 3013. Land conveyance, Hanford Site, Washington.
- Sec. 3014. Ranch A Wyoming consolidation and management improvement.
- Sec. 3021. Bureau of Land Management permit processing.
- Sec. 3022. Internet-based onshore oil and gas lease sales.
- Sec. 3023. Grazing permits and leases.
- Sec. 3024. Cabin user and transfer fees.
- Sec. 3030. Addition of Ashland Harbor Breakwater Light to the Apostle Islands National Seashore.
- Sec. 3031. Blackstone River Valley National Historical Park.
- Sec. 3032. Coltsville National Historical Park.
- Sec. 3033. First State National Historical Park.
- Sec. 3034. Gettysburg National Military Park.
- Sec. 3035. Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad National Historical Park, Maryland.
- Sec. 3036. Harriet Tubman National Historical Park, Auburn, New York.
- Sec. 3037. Hinchliffe Stadium addition to Paterson Great Falls National Historical Park.
- Sec. 3038. Lower East Side Tenement National Historic Site.
- Sec. 3039. Manhattan Project National Historical Park.
- Sec. 3040. North Cascades National Park and Stephen Mather Wilderness.
- Sec. 3041. Oregon Caves National Monument and Preserve.
- Sec. 3042. San Antonio Missions National Historical Park.
- Sec. 3043. Valles Caldera National Preserve, New Mexico.
- Sec. 3044. Vicksburg National Military Park.
- Sec. 3050. Revolutionary War and War of 1812 American battlefield protection program.
- Sec. 3051. Special resource studies.
- Sec. 3052. National heritage areas and corridors.
- Sec. 3053. National historic site support facility improvements.
- Sec. 3054. National Park System donor acknowledgment.
- Sec. 3055. Coin to commemorate 100th anniversary of the National Park Service.
- Sec. 3056. Commission to study the potential creation of a National Women's History Museum.
- Sec. 3057. Cape Hatteras National Seashore Recreational Area.
- Sec. 3060. Alpine Lakes Wilderness additions and Pratt and Middle Fork Snoqualmie Rivers protection.
- Sec. 3061. Columbine-Hondo Wilderness.
- Sec. 3062. Hermosa Creek watershed protection.
- Sec. 3063. North Fork Federal lands withdrawal area.
- Sec. 3064. Pine Forest Range Wilderness.
- Sec. 3065. Rocky Mountain Front Conservation Management Area and wilderness additions.
- Sec. 3066. Wovoka Wilderness.
- Sec. 3067. Withdrawal area related to Wovoka Wilderness.
- Sec. 3068. Withdrawal and reservation of additional public land for Naval Air Weapons Station, China Lake, California.
- Sec. 3071. Illabot Creek, Washington, wild and scenic river.
- Sec. 3072. Missisquoi and Trout wild and scenic rivers, Vermont.

- Sec. 3073. White Clay Creek wild and scenic river expansion.
- Sec. 3074. Studies of wild and scenic rivers.
- Sec. 3077. Land taken into trust for benefit of the Northern Cheyenne Tribe.
- Sec. 3078. Transfer of administrative jurisdiction, Badger Army Ammunition Plant, Baraboo, Wisconsin.
- Sec. 3081. Ensuring public access to the summit of Rattlesnake Mountain in the Hanford Reach National Monument.
- Sec. 3082. Anchorage, Alaska, conveyance of reversionary interests.
- Sec. 3083. Release of property interests in Bureau of Land Management land conveyed to the State of Oregon for establishment of Hermiston Agricultural Research and Extension Center.
- Sec. 3087. Bureau of Reclamation hydropower development.
- Sec. 3088. Toledo Bend Hydroelectric Project.
- Sec. 3089. East Bench Irrigation District contract extension.
- Sec. 3091. Commemoration of centennial of World War I.
- Sec. 3092. Miscellaneous issues related to Las Vegas valley public land and Tule Springs Fossil Beds National Monument.
- Sec. 3093. National Desert Storm and Desert Shield Memorial.
- Sec. 3094. Extension of legislative authority for establishment of commemorative work in honor of former President John Adams.
- Sec. 3095. Refinancing of Pacific Coast groundfish fishing capacity reduction loan.
- Sec. 3096. Payments in lieu of taxes.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

National security considerations for inclusion of Federal property on National Register of Historic Places or designation as National Historic Landmark under the National Historic Preservation Act

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2816) that would prohibit the designation of Federal property as a National Historic Landmark or for nomination to the World Heritage List if the head of the agency managing the Federal property objects to such inclusion or designation for reasons of national security. This section would also authorize the expedited removal of Federal property listed on the National Register of Historical Places if the managing agency of that Federal property submits a request to the Secretary of the Interior for such removal for reasons of national security.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Designation of Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial in Riverside, California

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2864) that would authorize the designation of a Distinguished Flying Cross National Memorial at March Field Air Museum in Riverside, California.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Renaming site of the Dayton Aviation Heritage National Historical Park, Ohio

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2865) that would modify the name of the John W. Berry, Sr. Wright Brothers Aviation Center, Dayton, Ohio, to the John W. Berry, Sr. Wright Brothers National Museum, Dayton, Ohio.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Redesignation of Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area, California

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2911) that would rename the Johnson Valley Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area in California as the Johnson Valley National Off-Highway Vehicle Recreation Area.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Elimination of termination date for public land withdrawals and reservations under Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 2921) that would extend the public lands withdrawn for military purposes listed in the Military Lands Withdrawal Act of 1999 (title 30 of Public Law 106–65) until the secretary of the military department concerned determines a military purpose does not exist, or the Secretary of the Interior permanently transfers the administrative jurisdiction to the secretary of the military department concerned.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

Overview

Title XXXI authorizes appropriations for atomic energy defense activities of the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2015, including: the purchase, construction, and acquisition of plant and capital equipment; research and development; nuclear weapons activities; nuclear nonproliferation activities; naval nuclear propulsion; environmental cleanup and other operating expenses. This title authorizes appropriations in five categories: (1) National Nuclear Security Administration; (2) Defense environmental cleanup; (3) Other defense activities; (4) Defense nuclear waste disposal; and (5) Energy security and assurance.

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

Subtitle A—National Security Programs Authorizations

National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3101)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3101) that would authorize appropriations for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2015, including funds for weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactor programs, and Federal Salaries and Expenses (formerly known as the Office of the Administrator), at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize several new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration.

The House reported bill contained a similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision.

Defense environmental cleanup (sec. 3102)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3102) that would authorize appropriations for defense environmental cleanup activities for fiscal year 2015, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize several new plant projects for defense environmental cleanup.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 3102).

The agreement includes this provision.

Other defense activities (sec. 3103)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3103) that would authorize appropriations for other defense activities for fiscal year 2015, including funds for Health, Safety, and Security, the Office of Legacy Management, and Nuclear Energy, as identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained an identical provision (sec. 3103).

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle B—Program Authorizations, Restrictions, and Limitations

Design and use of prototypes of nuclear weapons for intelligence purposes (sec. 3111)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would update section 3115 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239) to provide for the design and use of prototypes of nuclear weapons to further intelligence estimates with respect to foreign nuclear weapons activities.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would permit the Secretary of Energy to submit his views of the programmatic plan for such activities as developed by the National Nuclear Security Administration laboratories.

Plutonium pit production capacity (sec. 3112)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would add a new section to title 42 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2521) to require the Secretary of Energy to ensure that the nuclear security enterprise produces at least 30 war reserve pits during 2023, at least 50 war reserve pits during 2026, and, during a pilot period of at least 90 days during 2027, demonstrates the capability to produce war reserve pits at a rate sufficient to produce 80 pits per year. The Secretary of Energy would be required to certify to the congressional defense committees and the Secretary of Defense, by March 1 of each year until 2027, that the programs and budget of the Department of Energy will meet these pit production milestones.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that, in addition to striking the findings, would require initial production of qualification pits in 2021, production of not less than 10 war reserve pits during 2024, production of not less than 20 war reserve pits during 2025, production of not less than 30 war reserve pits during 2026, and a pilot production period during 2027 that demonstrates the capability to produce 80 pits per year. The agreement provides the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Defense the authority to delay the requirement for the pilot demonstration in 2027 no more than two years to 2029. If the Secretaries jointly delay the pilot demonstration, they must submit a report to the congressional defense committees describing the justification for the proposed delay, the impacts of the proposed delay on stockpile stewardship, nuclear modernization, life extension programs, future stockpile strategy, and dismantlement efforts, as well as their assessment regarding whether the delay is consistent with national policy regarding creation of a responsive nuclear infrastructure. The Commander, U.S. Strategic Command would also be required to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the potential risks of the proposed delay in meeting nuclear deterrence requirements and national requirements related to creation of a responsive nuclear infrastructure.

Life-cycle cost estimates of certain atomic energy defense capital assets (sec. 3113)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3111) that would amend the Atomic Energy Defense Act to require that, under Department of Energy Order 413.3, an independent life-cycle cost estimate is conducted prior to certain atomic energy defense capital asset projects that have achieved critical decision 2 in the acquisition process. The provision clarifies that this requirement applies only to atomic energy defense capital assets where the total project cost exceeds \$100.0 million and where the purpose of the capital asset is to perform a limited-life, single-purpose mission.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes Senate provision.

We note that this requirement applies only to single-purpose, limited-life facilities such as the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Fa-

cility in South Carolina and the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant in Washington. It is not intended to be applied to multi-mission, long-life facilities such as the Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project in Tennessee or the replacement facilities for the Chemistry and Metallurgy Research facility in New Mexico. We believe this provision will help reduce the likelihood of large and unexpected increases in life-cycle cost estimates late in the acquisition process for these types of facilities.

Expansion of requirement for independent cost estimates on life extension programs and new nuclear facilities (sec. 3114)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3112) that would require independent cost estimates earlier in the acquisition process for life extension programs and new nuclear facilities.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would require an independent cost review at the completion of phase 6.2.

We believe that, in the early stages of concept definition, there are often many options still under consideration and it would not be cost effective to perform a full independent cost estimate for each option. A less formal independent cost review at phase 6.2 has been determined to give sufficient cost guidance to determine which options should proceed further in the acquisition process.

Definition of baseline and threshold for stockpile life extension project (sec. 3115)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would amend section 4713 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2753) to clarify that the cost and schedule baseline of a nuclear stockpile life extension project established pursuant to such section shall be the cost and schedule contained in the weapon design and cost report that was required prior to the project entering into the development engineering phase. This section would also lower the threshold for congressional notification on costs per warhead exceeding the baseline from 200 percent to 150 percent.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify that the cost and schedule baseline for a stockpile life extension project would be the cost and schedule described in the first Selected Acquisition Report submitted under section 4217(a) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2537(a)).

Authorized personnel levels of National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3116)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3114) that would amend section 3241A of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441a) to require that, by October 1, 2015, the total number of employees within the Office of the Administrator may not exceed 1,650.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit the number of employees to 1,690.

Cost estimation and program evaluation by National Nuclear Security Administration (sec. 3117)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3131) that would amend section 3221(h) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2411) to clarify that the term “Administration,” with respect to any authority, duty, or responsibility provided by section 3211, does not include the Office of Naval Reactors.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a technical amendment.

Cost containment for Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project (sec. 3118)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3113) that would amend section 3123 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), as amended by section 3126 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2014 (Public Law 113–966), to clarify that the Secretary of Energy may adjust the statutory cost cap of \$4.2 billion for Phase I of the Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project (UCRP) if, by March 15, 2015, the Secretary of Energy submits to the congressional defense committees a detailed justification for such adjustment.

This provision would also require the Secretary of Energy to certify to the congressional defense committees and the Secretary of Defense by March 1 of each year through 2025, that Phase I of the UCRP will meet the cost cap of \$4.2 billion (as adjusted) and that the UCRP will enable uranium operations in building 9212 of the Y–12 National Security Complex to cease by 2025, while uranium operations begin in a new facility constructed under the UCRP by 2025.

The provision would further require the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of the Navy to jointly submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2015, on implementation of section 3123(e) of National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239), as amended.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3113) that would require, before beginning construction of the replacement for building 9212, the technologies (or their substitutes) that are to go into the replacement building have a technology readiness level of at least seven. Technologies (or their substitutes) that were in building 9212 that do not go into the replacement building are also to have a technology readiness level of at least seven.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that combines the two provisions while striking the sense of Congress in the House provision.

Production of nuclear warhead for long-range standoff weapon (sec. 3119)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3116) that would require the Secretary of Energy to deliver a first production unit for a nuclear warhead for the long-range standoff weapon not later than September 30, 2025. This provision would also require the Secretary of Energy and the Secretary of Defense to jointly develop a plan to carry out this mandate and require the Secretaries to submit this plan to the congressional defense committees within 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would provide the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command, the ability to defer the first production unit date by up to 1 year if the Commander certifies to the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council and the congressional defense committees that the delay is in the interest of national security and does not negatively affect the ability of the Commander to meet nuclear assurance and deterrence requirements.

Disposition of weapons-usable plutonium (sec. 3120)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3117) that would require the Secretary of Energy to specifically carry out construction and program support activities with fiscal year 2015 funds authorized for the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility (MFFF). For construction and program support activities. Program support activities are defined as those activities in support of the design, long-lead equipment, procurement, and site preparation for the MFFF.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would clarify project support activities.

Limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security (sec. 3121)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3118) that would limit the availability of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security Administration's (NNSA) Office of the Administrator to not more than 75 percent of the above until several statutorily required reports are submitted to certain congressional committees in 2015. These include:

- (1) The report on stockpile assessments required under section 4205(f)(2) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2525(f)(2));
- (2) The Secretary of Energy's portion of the report required by section 1043 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81);
- (3) The annual assessment required under section 3122 of Public Law 112-81; and

(4) The detailed report on the stockpile stewardship, management, and infrastructure plan required by section 4203(b) of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2523(b)).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with a clarifying amendment.

Limitation on availability of funds for nonproliferation activities between the United States and the Russian Federation (sec. 3122)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3120) that would prohibit the use of fiscal year 2015 funds for the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) for any contact, cooperation, or transfer of technology between the United States and the Russian Federation until the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense, certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that the Russian Federation is respecting the sovereignty of Ukrainian territory, is no longer acting inconsistently with the Intermediate-range Nuclear Forces Treaty, and is in compliance with the Treaty on Conventional Armed Forces in Europe.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that unless specifically authorized by Congress, International Material Protection, Control and Accounting activities in the Russian Federation, except those associated with the Plutonium Management and Disposition agreement, shall be completed no later than fiscal year 2018. In addition, the amendment prohibits any fiscal year 2015 funding for the transfer of Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System technology from the United States to the Russian Federation.

We note that the Material Protection, Control and Accounting activities in the Russian Federation has secured nuclear material facilities in Russia since the breakup of the Soviet Union. Assisting Russia in securing nuclear materials has been in the national security interests of the United States. However, given that the majority of work has been completed at facilities over the past 20 years, we believe that physical work on this program should be completed no later than fiscal year 2018. We understand that this is also the target timeframe for completion set by the NNSA. This does not rule out continued exchange of best practices in physical security in such areas as insider threat, developments in security technology, as well as other appropriate compensatory measures or other areas of mutual benefit in securing nuclear material. If areas of concern emerge that require additional physical security work in Russia after fiscal year 2018, and that work is of benefit to the security interests of the United States, it can be part of an annual budget request which can be reviewed by the congressional defense committees. We understand that Rosatom is evaluating the ongoing work with the NNSA and expects to be promptly informed of any change in status of the relationship between Rosatom and the NNSA.

We believe that the NNSA should not be providing Multiple Integrated Laser Engagement System (MILES) technology. We understand MILES technology is a tactical force-on-force trainer employed by the U.S. military and believe it is inappropriate to be providing military-grade technology to the Russian Federation at a time when Russia has exercised aggressive actions towards U.S. partners and allies.

Identification of amounts required for uranium technology sustainment in budget materials for fiscal year 2016 (sec. 3123)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3116) that would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to include in the fiscal year 2016 budget request a uranium sustainment budget line for technology development past technology readiness level five so that plant-directed research and development (R&D) at facilities such as Y-12 can concentrate on projects involving technology readiness level four and below.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Subtitle C—Plans and Reports

Analysis and report on W88 Alt 370 program high explosives options (sec. 3131)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3132) that would require the Secretary of the Navy, the Administrator for Nuclear Security, and the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council to submit a joint report to the congressional defense committees within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act on the W88 Alt 370 nuclear warhead program. The report would be required to contain analysis of the costs, benefits, risks, and feasibility of both including and not including a refresh of the conventional high explosives of the W88 warhead as part of the W88 Alt 370 program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Analysis of existing facilities and sense of Congress with respect to plutonium strategy (sec. 3132)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3133) that would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 270 days after the date of enactment of this Act containing an analysis of using or modifying existing facilities across the nuclear security enterprise to support the plutonium strategy of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would require the Administrator to include, as part of the Administrator's planned analysis of alternatives for Critical Decision 1 of the plutonium strategy, an analysis of using or modifying existing facilities of the nuclear security enterprise. The Administrator would be required to submit the analysis to the congress-

sional defense committees within 30 days of completing it. The amendment would also add a sense of Congress regarding the commitments made by the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council on July 25, 2014, regarding a strategy to carry out a modular building strategy for plutonium capabilities.

Plan for verification and monitoring of proliferation of nuclear weapons and fissile material (sec. 3133)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3134) that would require the President, in consultation with the Secretaries of State, Homeland Security and Energy as well as the Director of National Intelligence, to develop an interagency plan for verification and monitoring related to the potential proliferation of nuclear weapons, components of such weapons and fissile material. Such plan would be due to the appropriate congressional committees no later than September 1, 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Comments of Administrator for Nuclear Security and Chairman of Nuclear Weapons Council on final report of Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise (sec. 3134)

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 3115) that would require the Administrator of the National Nuclear Security Administration to respond within 90 days to the findings of the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise, created in section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (P.L. 112-239), which shall be submitted to the congressional defense committees.

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would also require separate comments on the advisory panel's report from the Chairman of the Nuclear Weapons Council. The amendment would also clarify that comments are required only on the advisory panel's final report and that such comments are due 90 days after enactment of this Act.

Subtitle D—Other Matters

Establishment of Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health; Extension of Authority of Office of Ombudsman for Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program (sec. 3141)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 1090D) that would state a sense of Congress that the President should establish a federal advisory board for part E of the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3114) that would create an advisory board reporting to the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Program on toxic substances and worker health.

The agreement includes the Senate provision with an amendment that would ensure the advisory board functions only in an advisory capacity pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (5 U.S.C. 2(b)(6)). The amendment also includes modifications to ensure the advisory board's members, staff, and contractors do not have a conflict of interest and permits the Secretary of Labor to employ outside contractors to support the work of the board. The amendment would also clarify that the advisory board provides advice to the Secretary of Labor instead of the President and that the appointments to the board should reflect a balance of perspectives from the scientific, medical, and claimant communities.

We note that "claimant communities" should be interpreted to include a mixture of the legal, worker, worker families, worker advocate, and other relevant communities as the President determines appropriate. The amendment would also extend the authority for the Office of the Ombudsman in the Department of Labor to 2019.

We further note that the Secretary shall ensure the Board is provided the necessary support for the Board to perform its functions, including program review and audit functions as appropriate, and that the Department of Labor will consult the Board regarding the need for and selection of outside technical support, experts and contractors, consistent with the process and support used by the Advisory Board on Radiation and Worker found in section 7384o of title 42, United States Code, and consistent with federal acquisition laws.

Technical corrections to Atomic Energy Defense Act (sec. 3142)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3141) that would make technical corrections to the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment making additional technical corrections.

Technical corrections to National Nuclear Security Administration Act (sec. 3143)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3142) that would make technical corrections to section 3220 (50 U.S.C. 2410) and section 3236 (50 U.S.C. 2426) of the National Nuclear Security Administration Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Technology Commercialization Fund (sec. 3144)

A proposed amendment to the Senate committee-reported bill (amendment number 3510) contained a provision that would amend section 1001(e) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 16391(e)) by inserting after "fiscal year" the phrase "based on future planned activities and the amount of the appropriations for the fiscal year."

The House bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS NOT ADOPTED

Additional limitation on availability of funds for Office of the Administrator for Nuclear Security

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3119) that would limit the availability of funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2015 for the National Nuclear Security Administration's (NNSA) Office of the Administrator to not more than 90 percent until the date on which the Administrator for Nuclear Security submits to the congressional defense committees a report on the efficiencies proposed by the 2012 Joint Department of Energy/Department of Defense Study on Potential NNSA Management and Work Force Prioritization Efficiencies.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

We believe NNSA and the Department of Energy must undertake robust and continuing efforts to find cost savings and cost avoidances that enable NNSA to work more efficiently and effectively. In the Conference Report accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (House Report 112-706), we expressed concern that the rise to unprecedented levels of the cost of major stockpile and infrastructure modernization projects leading to slippages in project schedules could undermine the credibility of the nation's nuclear deterrent. We also noted that administrative costs and bureaucracy within the NNSA and the nuclear security enterprise must be reduced and the enterprise must be refocused on accomplishing its mission effectively and efficiently, as well as safely and securely. We remain concerned about these longstanding challenges.

In the context of these concerns, we appreciate the letter of November 4, 2014 from the NNSA Administrator to the House Armed Services Committee, committing to continue efforts to make the nuclear enterprise more efficient. We believe this process to seek efficiencies and productivity gains, proposed by the Administrator in this letter, to be a small but hopeful step in the right direction. We therefore endorse this proposal and direct the Administrator for Nuclear Security, consistent with his commitment, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees by February 28, 2015, on the actions, initiatives, and pilot programs the Administrator will undertake in the remainder of fiscal year 2015 to realize efficiencies within NNSA and the nuclear security enterprise. This report should include specific opportunities identified by the NNSA laboratories and plants and measures to eliminate or streamline burdensome and ineffective transactional oversight.

We further direct the Administrator to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by November 15, 2015, containing the Administrator's assessment of whether the actions, initiatives, and pilot programs previously identified by the Administrator were successful.

Budget increase for defense environmental cleanup

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3143) that would increase the Department of Energy Environmental Management program by \$20.0 million for defense environmental cleanup offset by an identical amount from the inertial confinement and fusion program.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Energy Security and Assurance

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3104) that would authorize appropriations for energy security and assurance programs for fiscal year 2015, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

Limitation on availability of funds for defense nuclear nonproliferation activities at sites in the Russian Federation

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3121) that would prohibit the use of fiscal year 2015 funds for the National Nuclear Security Administration for any defense nuclear nonproliferation activities at sites in the Russian Federation until at least 30 days have elapsed following the date that the Secretary of Energy certifies to the appropriate congressional committees that such sites are not actively engaged in Russian nuclear weapons, intelligence, or defense activities. The prohibition includes a waiver for the President to submit a notification that such a waiver is in the national interests of the United States, that none of the funds will be contributed to Russia's nuclear weapons program, and that a period of 30 days has elapsed following the date of the notification.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement does not include this provision.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

Authorization (sec. 3201)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3201) that would authorize funds for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board for fiscal year 2015.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 3201).

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would authorize \$29.15 million.

Inspector General of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (sec. 3202)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3202) that would amend section 322 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286k(a)) to mandate that the Inspector General of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission shall serve as the Inspector General of the

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board, in accordance with the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C. App).

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Number of employees of Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (sec. 3203)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3203) that would amend section 313(b)(1)(A) of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286b(b)(1)(A)) to limit the number of full-time employees of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board to 120.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit the number of employees to 130.

TITLE XXXIV—NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVES

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 3401)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3401) that would authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2015 for the purpose of carrying out activities under chapter 641 of title 10, United States Code, relating to the naval petroleum reserves.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

TITLE XXXV—MARITIME ADMINISTRATION

Authorization of appropriations for national security aspects of the Merchant Marine for fiscal year 2015 (sec. 3501)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3501) that would authorize appropriations for the Maritime Administration of the Department of Transportation for those activities of the Maritime Administration associated with maintaining national defense sealift.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

Floating dry docks (sec. 3502)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3502) that would limit the application of section 55102 of title 46, United States Code, to Drydock-17 (formerly known as USN-YFD-17) in the waters of the State of Alabama.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a provision (sec. 1024) that would enable the Secretary of the Navy to authorize shipbuilding or ship repair contractors owning U.S.-built dry docks, tugboats, and towing vessels to engage in limited coastwise trade for purposes of performing a shipbuilding or ship repair contract entered into with the Department of the Navy.

The agreement includes the House provision with an amendment that would limit the application of section 55102 of title 46, United States Code, and extend the exemption to cover any floating dry-

dock if the movement occurs within 5 nautical miles of the shipyard or affiliate that owns and operates such floating dry dock, and the floating dry dock:

- (1) Is being used to launch or raise a vessel in connection with the construction, maintenance, or repair of that vessel;
- (2) Is owned and operated by—
 - (a) A shipyard located in the United States that is an eligible owner specified under section 12103(b) of this title; or
 - (b) An affiliate of such a shipyard; and
- (3) Was owned or contracted for purchase by such shipyard or affiliate prior to the date of enactment of this Act.

Sense of Congress on the role of domestic maritime industry in national security (sec. 3503)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 3503) that would express the sense of Congress that coastwise trade laws promote a strong domestic trade maritime industry, which supports the national security and economic vitality of the United States and the efficient operation of the U.S. transportation system.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained no similar provision.

The agreement includes this provision.

United States Merchant Marine Academy Board of Visitors (sec. 3504)

The Senate passed a bill (S. 2076), the U.S. Merchant Marine Academy Board of Visitors Enhancement Act.

Neither the House bill nor the Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision.

The agreement includes the Senate bill with a clarifying amendment.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Authorization of appropriations (sec. 4001)

The House bill contained a provision (sec. 4001) that would provide for the authorization of projects, programs, and activities in accordance with the tables in division D.

The Senate committee-reported bill contained a similar provision (sec. 4001).

The agreement includes the House provision.

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015

(In Thousands of Dollars)

| | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| DISCRETIONARY AUTHORIZATIONS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE | | | |
| National Defense Funding, Base Budget Request | | | |
| Function 051, Department of Defense-Military | | | |
| Division A: Department of Defense Authorizations | | | |
| Title I—Procurement | | | |
| Aircraft Procurement, Army | 5,102,685 | 96,426 | 5,199,111 |
| Missile Procurement, Army | 1,017,483 | | 1,017,483 |
| Weapons & Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army | 1,471,438 | 258,111 | 1,729,549 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Army | 1,031,477 | -20,000 | 1,011,477 |
| Other Procurement, Army | 4,893,634 | -195,341 | 4,698,293 |
| Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund | 115,058 | -115,058 | 0 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Navy | 13,074,317 | 230,288 | 13,304,605 |
| Weapons Procurement, Navy | 3,217,945 | 54,211 | 3,272,156 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps | 771,945 | -9,638 | 762,307 |
| Shipbuilding & Conversion, Navy | 14,400,625 | 1,254,010 | 15,654,635 |
| Other Procurement, Navy | 5,975,828 | 258,015 | 6,233,843 |
| Procurement, Marine Corps | 983,352 | -35,741 | 947,611 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | 11,542,571 | 128,900 | 11,671,471 |
| Missile Procurement, Air Force | 4,690,506 | -57,900 | 4,632,606 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force | 677,400 | | 677,400 |
| Other Procurement, Air Force | 16,566,018 | -14,289 | 16,551,729 |
| Procurement, Defense-Wide | 4,221,437 | -186,352 | 4,035,085 |
| Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund | 20,000 | -20,000 | 0 |
| Prior Year Rescissions | -265,685 | 265,685 | 0 |
| Subtotal, Title I—Procurement | 89,508,034 | 1,891,327 | 91,399,361 |
| Title II—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation | | | |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army | 6,593,898 | 18,417 | 6,612,315 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy | 16,266,335 | -84,243 | 16,182,092 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force | 23,739,892 | 137,144 | 23,877,036 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | 16,766,084 | 221,000 | 16,987,084 |
| Operational Test & Evaluation, Defense | 167,738 | | 167,738 |
| Subtotal, Title II—Research, Development, Test and Evaluation | 63,533,947 | 292,318 | 63,826,265 |
| Title III—Operation and Maintenance | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army | 33,240,148 | -196,400 | 33,043,748 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve | 2,490,569 | -8,800 | 2,481,769 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard | 6,030,773 | 4,200 | 6,034,973 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Navy | 39,025,857 | -40,852 | 38,985,005 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps | 5,909,487 | 15,050 | 5,924,537 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve | 1,007,100 | 15,027 | 1,022,127 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve | 268,582 | 3,900 | 272,482 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Air Force | 35,331,193 | 54,622 | 35,385,815 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve | 3,015,842 | 5,000 | 3,020,842 |

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

| | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard | 6,392,859 | 5,000 | 6,397,859 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide | 31,198,232 | -22,144 | 31,176,088 |
| US Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces, Defense | 13,723 | | 13,723 |
| Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster and Civic Aid | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| Cooperative Threat Reduction | 365,108 | | 365,108 |
| Defense Acquisition Development Workforce Fund | 212,875 | -129,841 | 83,034 |
| Environmental Restoration, Army | 201,560 | | 201,560 |
| Environmental Restoration, Navy | 277,294 | | 277,294 |
| Environmental Restoration, Air Force | 408,716 | | 408,716 |
| Environmental Restoration, Defense | 8,547 | | 8,547 |
| Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Sites | 208,353 | | 208,353 |
| Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund | 5,000 | -5,000 | 0 |
| Support Of International Sporting Competitions, Defense | 10,000 | -4,300 | 5,700 |
| Subtotal, Title III—Operation and Maintenance | 165,721,818 | -304,538 | 165,417,280 |
| Title IV—Military Personnel | | | |
| Military Personnel Appropriations | 128,957,593 | -477,985 | 128,479,608 |
| Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions | 6,236,092 | | 6,236,092 |
| Subtotal, Title IV—Military Personnel | 135,193,685 | -477,985 | 134,715,700 |
| Title XIV—Other Authorizations | | | |
| Working Capital Fund, Army | 13,727 | | 13,727 |
| Working Capital Fund, Air Force | 61,717 | | 61,717 |
| Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide | 44,293 | | 44,293 |
| Working Capital Fund, DECA | 1,114,731 | 100,000 | 1,214,731 |
| Defense Health Program | 31,833,061 | -1,148,615 | 30,684,446 |
| Chemical Agents & Munitions Destruction | 828,868 | | 828,868 |
| Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities | 820,687 | | 820,687 |
| Office of the Inspector General | 311,830 | | 311,830 |
| Subtotal, Title XIV—Other Authorizations | 35,028,914 | -1,048,615 | 33,980,299 |
| Total, Division A: Department of Defense Authorizations | 488,986,398 | 352,507 | 489,338,905 |
| Division B: Military Construction Authorizations | | | |
| Military Construction | | | |
| Army | 539,427 | 4,000 | 543,427 |
| Navy | 1,018,772 | -25,573 | 993,199 |
| Air Force | 811,774 | 34,400 | 846,174 |
| Defense-Wide | 2,061,890 | -99,000 | 1,962,890 |
| Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense | 38,715 | | 38,715 |
| NATO Security Investment Program | 199,700 | -25,000 | 174,700 |
| Army National Guard | 126,920 | 7,000 | 133,920 |
| Army Reserve | 103,946 | 25,000 | 128,946 |
| Navy and Marine Corps Reserve | 51,528 | 47,869 | 99,397 |
| Air National Guard | 94,663 | 11,200 | 105,863 |
| Air Force Reserve | 49,492 | 14,500 | 63,992 |
| Subtotal, Military Construction | 5,096,827 | -5,604 | 5,091,223 |

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

| | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Family Housing | | | |
| Construction, Army | 78,609 | | 78,609 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army | 350,976 | | 350,976 |
| Construction, Navy and Marine Corps | 16,412 | | 16,412 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps | 354,029 | | 354,029 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Air Force | 327,747 | | 327,747 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide | 61,100 | | 61,100 |
| Family Housing Improvement Fund | 1,662 | | 1,662 |
| Subtotal, Family Housing | 1,190,535 | 0 | 1,190,535 |
| Base Realignment and Closure | | | |
| Base Realignment and Closure—Army | 84,417 | | 84,417 |
| Base Realignment and Closure—Navy | 94,692 | | 94,692 |
| Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force | 90,976 | | 90,976 |
| Subtotal, Base Realignment and Closure | 270,085 | 0 | 270,085 |
| Total, Division B: Military Construction Authorizations ... | 6,557,447 | -5,604 | 6,551,843 |
| Total, 051, Department of Defense-Military | 495,543,845 | 346,903 | 495,890,748 |
| Function 053, Atomic Energy Defense Activities | | | |
| Division C: Department of Energy National Security Authorization and Other Authorizations | | | |
| Environmental and Other Defense Activities | | | |
| Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health ... | | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Nuclear Energy | 104,000 | 0 | 104,000 |
| Weapons Activities | 8,314,902 | -104,342 | 8,210,560 |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 219,602 | 1,774,758 |
| Naval Reactors | 1,377,100 | 0 | 1,377,100 |
| Office of the Administrator | 410,842 | -23,979 | 386,863 |
| Defense Environmental Cleanup | 5,327,538 | -443,000 | 4,884,538 |
| Other Defense Activities | 753,000 | 1,000 | 754,000 |
| Subtotal, Environmental and Other Defense Activities | 17,842,538 | -348,719 | 17,493,819 |
| Independent Federal Agency Authorization | | | |
| Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board | 30,150 | | 30,150 |
| Subtotal, Independent Federal Agency Authorization | 30,150 | 0 | 30,150 |
| Subtotal, Division C: Department of Energy National Security Authorization and Other Authorizations | 17,872,688 | -348,719 | 17,523,969 |
| Subtotal, 053, Atomic Energy Defense Activities | 17,872,688 | -348,719 | 17,523,969 |
| Total, National Defense Funding, Base Budget Request | 513,416,533 | -1,816 | 513,414,717 |

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

| | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| National Defense Funding, OCO Budget Request | | | |
| Function 051, Department of Defense-Military | | | |
| Procurement | | | |
| Aircraft Procurement, Army | 36,000 | | 36,000 |
| Missile Procurement, Army | 32,136 | | 32,136 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Army | 140,905 | | 140,905 |
| Other Procurement, Army | 778,583 | | 778,583 |
| Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund | 379,000 | 65,463 | 444,463 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Navy | 196,247 | | 196,247 |
| Weapons Procurement, Navy | 66,785 | | 66,785 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Navy & Marine Corps | 154,519 | | 154,519 |
| Other Procurement, Navy | 306,768 | 550 | 307,318 |
| Procurement, Marine Corps | 53,589 | | 53,589 |
| Aircraft Procurement, Air Force | 646,219 | | 646,219 |
| Missile Procurement, Air Force | 136,189 | | 136,189 |
| Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force | 219,785 | | 219,785 |
| Other Procurement, Air Force | 3,430,774 | | 3,430,774 |
| Procurement, Defense-Wide | 227,886 | 356,672 | 584,558 |
| Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund | 50,000 | -50,000 | 0 |
| National Guard & Reserve Equipment | 0 | 1,250,000 | 1,250,000 |
| Prior Year Rescissions | -117,000 | 117,000 | 0 |
| Subtotal, Procurement | 6,738,385 | 1,739,685 | 8,478,070 |
| Research, Development, Test and Evaluation | | | |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy | 36,020 | | 36,020 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force | 14,706 | | 14,706 |
| Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Defense-Wide | 281,447 | 5,200 | 286,647 |
| Subtotal, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .. | 336,673 | 5,200 | 341,873 |
| Operation and Maintenance | | | |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army | 17,135,276 | 540,494 | 17,675,770 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve | 41,532 | | 41,532 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard | 76,461 | 50,800 | 127,261 |
| Afghanistan Security Forces Fund | 4,109,333 | | 4,109,333 |
| Iraq Train & Equip Fund | 1,618,000 | | 1,618,000 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Navy | 5,599,868 | 278,270 | 5,878,138 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps | 1,487,774 | 23,210 | 1,510,984 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve | 45,876 | | 45,876 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve | 10,540 | | 10,540 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Air Force | 9,109,193 | 253,140 | 9,362,333 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve | 77,794 | | 77,794 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard | 20,300 | 2,300 | 22,600 |
| Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide | 6,171,425 | 163,815 | 6,335,240 |
| Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance | 45,503,372 | 1,312,029 | 46,815,401 |
| Military Personnel | | | |

SUMMARY OF NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015—Continued

(In Thousands of Dollars)

| | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Military Personnel Appropriations | 5,536,340 | 1,500 | 5,537,840 |
| Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions | 58,728 | | 58,728 |
| Subtotal, Military Personnel | 5,595,068 | 1,500 | 5,596,568 |
| Other Authorizations | | | |
| Working Capital Fund, Air Force | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Working Capital Fund, Defense-Wide | 86,350 | | 86,350 |
| Defense Health Program | 300,531 | | 300,531 |
| Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities | 189,000 | 20,000 | 209,000 |
| Office of the Inspector General | 7,968 | | 7,968 |
| Counterterrorism Partnerships Fund | 4,000,000 | -2,700,000 | 1,300,000 |
| European Reassurance Initiative | 925,000 | -554,287 | 370,713 |
| Subtotal, Other Authorizations | 5,513,849 | -3,234,287 | 2,279,562 |
| Military Construction | | | |
| Army | 0 | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| Air Force | 0 | 121,560 | 121,560 |
| Defense-Wide | 46,000 | 15,850 | 61,850 |
| Subtotal, Military Construction | 46,000 | 174,410 | 220,410 |
| Total, National Defense Funding, OCO Budget Request .. | 63,733,347 | -1,463 | 63,731,884 |
| Total, National Defense | 577,149,880 | -3,279 | 577,146,601 |
| MEMORANDUM: NON-DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS | | | |
| Title XIV—Armed Forces Retirement Home (Function 600) | 63,400 | | 63,400 |
| Title XIV—Cemeterial Expenses, Army (Function 700) | 45,800 | 16,081 | 61,881 |
| Title XXXIV—Naval Petroleum and Oil Shale Reserves (Function 270) | 19,950 | | 19,950 |
| Title XXXV—Maritime Administration (Function 400) | 148,400 | | 148,400 |
| MEMORANDUM: TRANSFER AUTHORITIES (NON-ADD) | | | |
| Title X—General Transfer Authority | [5,000,000] | [-500,000] | [4,500,000] |
| Title XV—Special Transfer Authority | [4,000,000] | [-500,000] | [3,500,000] |
| MEMORANDUM: DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS NOT UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE ARMED SERVICES COMMITTEE (NON-ADD) | | | |
| Defense Production Act | [21,638] | | [21,638] |

NATIONAL DEFENSE BUDGET AUTHORITY IMPLICATION

(In Thousands of Dollars)

| | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Summary, Discretionary Authorizations Within the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee | | | |
| SUBTOTAL, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (051) | 495,543,845 | 346,903 | 496,586,255 |
| SUBTOTAL, ATOMIC ENERGY DEFENSE PROGRAMS (053) | 17,872,688 | -348,719 | 17,523,969 |
| TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE (050)—BASE BILL | 513,416,533 | -1,816 | 513,414,717 |
| TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | 63,733,347 | -1,463 | 61,656,832 |
| GRAND TOTAL, NATIONAL DEFENSE | 577,149,880 | -3,279 | 577,146,601 |
| Base National Defense Discretionary Programs that are Not In the Jurisdiction of the Armed Services Committee or Do Not Require Additional Authorization | | | |
| Defense Production Act Purchases | 22,000 | | 22,000 |
| Indefinite Account: Disposal Of DOD Real Property | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| Indefinite Account: Lease Of DOD Real Property | 31,000 | | 31,000 |
| Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051 | 61,000 | | 61,000 |
| Formerly Utilized Sites Remedial Action Program | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053 | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| Other Discretionary Programs | 7,681,000 | | 7,681,000 |
| Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054 | 7,681,000 | | 7,681,000 |
| Total Defense Discretionary Adjustments (050) | 7,842,000 | | 7,842,000 |
| Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary | | | |
| Department of Defense—Military (051) | 559,338,192 | 345,440 | 559,683,632 |
| Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053) | 17,972,688 | -348,719 | 17,623,969 |
| Defense-Related Activities (054) | 7,681,000 | | 7,681,000 |
| Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary | 584,991,880 | -3,279 | 584,988,601 |
| National Defense Mandatory Programs, Current Law | | | |
| Concurrent receipt accrual payments to the Military Retirement Fund | 6,399,000 | | 6,399,000 |
| Revolving, trust and other DOD Mandatory | 1,107,000 | | 1,107,000 |
| Offsetting receipts | -1,591,000 | | -1,591,000 |
| Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 051 | 5,915,000 | | 5,915,000 |
| Energy employees occupational illness compensation programs and other | 1,180,000 | | 1,180,000 |
| Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 053 | 1,180,000 | | 1,180,000 |
| Radiation exposure compensation trust fund | 59,000 | | 59,000 |
| Payment to CIA retirement fund and other | 514,000 | | 514,000 |
| Subtotal, Budget Sub-Function 054 | 573,000 | | 573,000 |
| Total National Defense Mandatory (050) | 7,668,000 | | 7,668,000 |
| Budget Authority Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Mandatory | | | |
| Department of Defense—Military (051) | 565,253,192 | 345,440 | 565,598,632 |
| Atomic Energy Defense Activities (053) | 19,152,688 | -348,719 | 18,803,969 |
| Defense-Related Activities (054) | 8,254,000 | | 8,254,000 |
| Total BA Implication, National Defense Discretionary and Man- datory | 592,659,880 | -3,279 | 592,656,601 |

TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|---------|----------------------|-----------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FIXED WING | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 002 | UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT | 1 | 13,617 | 1 | 13,617 | 1 | 13,617 | | | 1 | 13,617 |
| 003 | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) | 16 | 185,090 | 16 | 109,790 | 16 | 185,090 | | -48,800 | 16 | 136,290 |
| | Program decrease | | | | [-75,300] | | | | | | |
| 004 | MQ-1 UAV | 19 | 190,581 | 19 | 239,581 | 19 | 190,581 | | 49,000 | 19 | 239,581 |
| | Extended range modifications Per Army UFR | | | | [49,000] | | | | | | |
| 005 | RQ-11 (RAVEN) | | 3,964 | | 3,964 | | 3,964 | | | | 3,964 |
| ROTARY | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 006 | HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH) | 55 | 416,617 | 55 | 416,617 | 90 | 612,617 | | | 55 | 416,617 |
| | Risk reduction for buy of LUH to meet Army training fleet plans. | | | | | [35] | [196,000] | | | | |
| 007 | AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIA REMAN | 25 | 494,009 | 25 | 494,009 | 25 | 494,009 | | | 25 | 494,009 |
| 008 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 157,338 | | 157,338 | | 157,338 | | | | 157,338 |
| 012 | UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP) | 79 | 1,237,001 | 85 | 1,335,401 | 87 | 1,382,001 | | 6 | 85 | 1,340,027 |
| | ARNG Modernization-6 additional UH-60M aircraft | | | [6] | [98,400] | [8] | [145,000] | | [6] | | |
| 013 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 132,138 | | 132,138 | | 132,138 | | | | 132,138 |
| 014 | CH-47 HELICOPTER | 32 | 892,504 | 32 | 892,504 | 32 | 892,504 | | | 32 | 892,504 |
| 015 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 102,361 | | 102,361 | | 102,361 | | | | 102,361 |
| MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 016 | MQ-1 PAYLOAD (MIP) | 2 | 26,913 | 2 | 26,913 | 2 | 26,913 | | | 2 | 26,913 |
| 018 | GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP) | | 14,182 | | 14,182 | | 14,182 | | | | 14,182 |
| 019 | MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) | | 131,892 | | 131,892 | | 131,892 | | | | 131,892 |
| 020 | AH-64 MODS | | 181,869 | | 181,869 | | 181,869 | | | | 181,869 |
| 021 | CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP) | | 32,092 | | 32,092 | | 32,092 | | | | 32,092 |
| 022 | UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS | | 15,029 | | 15,029 | | 15,029 | | | | 15,029 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 023 | UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS | | 76,515 | | 83,315 | | 76,515 | | | | 76,515 |
| | ARNG Modernization-UH-60A to UH-60L conversions | | | | [6,800] | | | | | | |
| 025 | NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN | | 114,182 | | 114,182 | | 114,182 | | | | 114,182 |
| 026 | COMMS. NAV SURVEILLANCE | | 115,795 | | 115,795 | | 115,795 | | | | 115,795 |
| 027 | GATM ROLLUP | | 54,277 | | 54,277 | | 54,277 | | | | 54,277 |
| 028 | RO-7 UAV MODS | | 125,380 | | 125,380 | | 125,380 | | | | 125,380 |
| | GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 029 | AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT | | 66,450 | | 98,850 | | 74,250 | | 32,400 | | 98,850 |
| | Army requested realignment | | | | [32,400] | | [7,800] | | [32,400] | | |
| 030 | SURVIVABILITY CM | | | | 7,800 | | 7,800 | | 7,800 | | 7,800 |
| | Army requested realignment | | | | [7,800] | | [7,800] | | [7,800] | | |
| 031 | CMWS | | 107,364 | | 60,364 | | 60,164 | | -47,000 | | 60,364 |
| | Army requested reduction | | | | [-47,000] | | [-47,200] | | [-47,000] | | |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 032 | AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 6,847 | | 6,847 | | 6,847 | | | | 6,847 |
| 033 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | | 29,231 | | 29,231 | | 29,231 | | | | 29,231 |
| 034 | ARCWREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS | | 48,081 | | 48,081 | | 48,081 | | | | 48,081 |
| 035 | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | | 127,232 | | 127,232 | | 127,232 | | | | 127,232 |
| 036 | INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 1,203 | | 1,203 | | 1,203 | | | | 1,203 |
| 037 | LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET | 387 | 2,931 | 387 | 2,931 | 387 | 2,931 | | | 387 | 2,931 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 616 | 5,102,695 | 622 | 5,174,785 | 659 | 5,412,085 | 6 | 96,426 | 622 | 5,199,111 |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 002 | LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) | | 110,300 | | 110,300 | | 110,300 | | | | 110,300 |
| 003 | ISE MISSILE | 70 | 384,605 | 70 | 384,605 | 70 | 384,605 | | | 70 | 384,605 |
| | AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | | | | | |
| 004 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | | 4,452 | | 4,452 | | 4,452 | | | | 4,452 |
| | ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 005 | JAVELIN (AAMS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY | 338 | 77,668 | 338 | 77,668 | 338 | 77,668 | | | 338 | 77,668 |
| 006 | TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY | 1,008 | 50,368 | 1,008 | 50,368 | 1,008 | 50,368 | | | 1,008 | 50,368 |
| 007 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 19,984 | | 19,984 | | 19,984 | | | | 19,984 |
| 008 | GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS) | 534 | 127,145 | 534 | 127,145 | 534 | 127,145 | | | 534 | 127,145 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 009 | MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRR) | 2,994 | 21,274 | 2,994 | 21,274 | 2,994 | 21,274 |
| | MODIFICATIONS | | | | | | |
| 012 | PATRIOT MODS | | 131,838 | | 131,838 | | 131,838 |
| 013 | STINGER MODS | | 1,355 | | 1,355 | | 1,355 |
| 014 | AVENGER MODS | | 5,611 | | 5,611 | | 5,611 |
| 015 | ITAS/TOW MODS | | 19,676 | | 19,676 | | 19,676 |
| 016 | MLRS MODS | | 10,380 | | 10,380 | | 10,380 |
| 017 | HIMARS MODIFICATIONS | | 6,008 | | 6,008 | | 6,008 |
| 018 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 36,930 | | 36,930 | | 36,930 |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | | | | | |
| 019 | AIR DEFENSE TARGETS | | 3,657 | | 3,657 | | 3,657 |
| 020 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$50M (MISSILES) | | 1,522 | | 1,522 | | 1,522 |
| 021 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT | | 4,710 | | 4,710 | | 4,710 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 4,944 | 1,017,483 | 4,944 | 1,017,483 | 4,944 | 1,017,483 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY | | | | | | |
| | TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| 001 | STRYKER VEHICLE | | 385,110 | | 385,110 | | 435,110 |
| | Unfunded requirement—fourth DVH brigade set | | | | | 50,000 | [50,000] |
| 002 | MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| | STRYKER (MOD) | | 39,683 | | 39,683 | | 39,683 |
| | Unfunded requirement—Fourth DVH Brigade Set | | | | | 37,000 | [37,000] |
| 003 | FIST VEHICLE (MOD) | | 26,759 | | 26,759 | | 26,759 |
| 004 | BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD) | | 107,506 | | 107,506 | | 144,506 |
| | Army unfunded priority and industrial base risk mitigation | | | | | | |
| 005 | HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD) | | 45,411 | | 45,411 | | 45,411 |
| 006 | PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) | 18 | 247,400 | 18 | 247,400 | 18 | 247,400 |
| 007 | IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) | 15 | 50,451 | 15 | 126,364 | 15 | 126,364 |
| | Army unfunded priority and industrial base risk mitigation | | | | [75,913] | | [75,913] |
| 008 | ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD) | | 2,473 | | 2,473 | | 2,473 |
| 009 | ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE | 7 | 36,583 | 7 | 36,583 | 7 | 36,583 |
| 010 | M88 FOV MODS | | 1,975 | | 1,975 | | 1,975 |
| | Unfunded requirement—Industrial Base Initiative | | | | | | |
| 011 | JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE | 8 | 49,462 | 8 | 8,262 | 8 | 34,362 |
| | Early to need | | | | | | |
| 012 | M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD) | | 237,023 | | 237,023 | | 237,023 |
| | Army unfunded priority and industrial base risk mitigation | | | | | | |
| 013 | ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM | | 120,000 | | 120,000 | | 120,000 |
| | Industrial Base initiative | | | | | | [120,000] |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|--|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 014 | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV) | | 6,478 | | 6,478 | | 6,478 | | | | 6,478 |
| 016 | WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MORTAR SYSTEMS | | 5,012 | | 5,012 | | 5,012 | | | | 5,012 |
| 017 | XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM) | 8,959 | 28,390 | 8,959 | 28,390 | 8,959 | 28,390 | | | 8,959 | 28,390 |
| 018 | COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM | | 148 | | 148 | | 148 | | | | 148 |
| 019 | CARBINE | 38,234 | 29,366 | 38,234 | 20,616 | 38,234 | 20,616 | -8,750 | | 38,234 | 20,616 |
| | Army requested realignment | | | | [-8,750] | | | | | | |
| 021 | COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION | | 8,409 | | 8,409 | | 8,409 | | | | 8,409 |
| 022 | HANDGUN | 4,811 | 3,957 | 4,811 | 1,957 | 4,811 | 3,957 | | | 4,811 | 3,957 |
| | Funding ahead of need | | | | [-2,000] | | | | | | |
| 024 | MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH | | | | | | | | | | |
| | M777 MODS | | 18,166 | | 18,166 | | 18,166 | | | | 18,166 |
| 025 | M4 CARBINE MODS | | 3,446 | | 6,446 | | 6,446 | | | | 6,446 |
| | Army requested realignment | | | | [-3,000] | | | | | | |
| 026 | M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS | | 25,296 | | 25,296 | | 25,296 | | | | 25,296 |
| 027 | M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS | | 5,546 | | 5,546 | | 5,546 | | | | 5,546 |
| 028 | M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS | | 4,635 | | 2,635 | | 2,635 | | | | 2,635 |
| | Army requested realignment | | | | [-2,000] | | | | | | |
| 029 | SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS | | 4,079 | | 4,079 | | 4,079 | | | | 4,079 |
| 030 | M119 MODIFICATIONS | | 72,718 | | 72,718 | | 72,718 | | | | 72,718 |
| 031 | M16 RIFLE MODS | | 1,952 | | | | | | | | 0 |
| | At Army request transfer to WTCV 31 and RDTA 70 and 86 | | | | [-1,952] | | | | | | |
| 032 | MORTAR MODIFICATION | | 8,903 | | 8,903 | | 8,903 | | | | 8,903 |
| 033 | MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | | 2,089 | | 2,089 | | 2,089 | | | | 2,089 |
| 034 | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV) | | 2,005 | | 2,005 | | 2,005 | | | | 2,005 |
| 035 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV) | | 8,911 | | 8,911 | | 8,911 | | | | 8,911 |
| 036 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | | 414 | | 414 | | 414 | | | | 414 |
| 037 | SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG) | | 1,682 | | 1,682 | | 1,682 | | | | 1,682 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY | 52,052 | 1,471,438 | 52,052 | 1,701,736 | 52,052 | 1,557,449 | 258,111 | | 52,052 | 1,729,549 |

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 033 | Unjustified request | | | | [-1,900] | | | | | | |
| | CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION | | 113,881 | | 113,881 | | 113,881 | | | | 113,881 |
| 034 | ARMS INITIATIVE | | 2,504 | | 2,504 | | 2,504 | | | | 2,504 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | 416 | 1,031,477 | 416 | 1,008,077 | 416 | 1,013,077 | | -20,000 | 416 | 1,011,477 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | | | | | |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS | | 7,987 | | 7,987 | | 7,987 | | | | 7,987 |
| 002 | SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED: | 1 | 160 | 1 | 160 | 1 | 160 | | | 1 | 160 |
| 004 | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE | 176 | 164,615 | 176 | 164,615 | 176 | 164,615 | | | 176 | 164,615 |
| 005 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMIV) | | | | 50,000 | | | | | | 50,000 |
| | Additional FMIVs - Industrial Base initiative | | | | 50,000 | | | | | | [50,000] |
| 006 | FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP | 19 | 8,415 | 19 | 8,415 | 19 | 8,415 | | | 19 | 8,415 |
| 007 | FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV) | 444 | 28,425 | 444 | 28,425 | 444 | 28,425 | | | 444 | 28,425 |
| | Additional HEMTT ESP Vehicles-Industrial Base initiative | | | | 50,000 | | | | | | [50,000] |
| 008 | PLS ESP | 198 | 89,263 | 198 | 89,263 | 198 | 89,263 | | | 198 | 89,263 |
| 013 | TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS | 735 | 38,226 | 735 | 38,226 | 735 | 38,226 | | | 735 | 38,226 |
| 014 | MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP | 768 | 91,173 | 768 | 83,173 | 768 | 91,173 | | -8,000 | 768 | 83,173 |
| | Early to need | | | | [-8,000] | | | | | | [-8,000] |
| 015 | MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS | 1 | 14,731 | 1 | 14,731 | 1 | 14,731 | | | 1 | 14,731 |
| | NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 016 | HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN | 1 | 175 | 1 | 175 | 1 | 175 | | | 1 | 175 |
| 017 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 25 | 1,338 | 25 | 1,338 | 25 | 1,338 | | | 25 | 1,338 |
| 018 | NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER | | 11,101 | | 11,101 | | 11,101 | | | | 11,101 |
| | COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 019 | WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK | 1,280 | 638,087 | 1,280 | 638,087 | 1,280 | 638,087 | | -125,000 | 1,280 | 638,087 |
| | Point of Presence (POP) and Soldier Network Extension (SNE) delay. | | | | [-125,000] | | | | | | [-125,000] |
| 020 | SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 69 | 21,157 | 69 | 21,157 | 69 | 21,157 | | | 69 | 21,157 |
| 021 | JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY | | 7,915 | | 7,915 | | 7,915 | | | | 7,915 |
| 022 | JCSE EQUIPMENT (USRECOM) | | 5,440 | | 5,440 | | 5,440 | | | | 5,440 |
| | COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 023 | DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS | 18 | 118,085 | 18 | 118,085 | 18 | 118,085 | | | 18 | 118,085 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| 024 | TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS | 21 | 13,999 | 21 | 13,999 | 21 | 13,999 | 21 | 13,999 |
| 025 | SHF TERM | | 6,494 | | 6,494 | | 6,494 | | 6,494 |
| 026 | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE) | | 1,635 | | 1,635 | | 1,635 | | 1,635 |
| 027 | SMART-T (SPACE) | | 13,554 | | 13,554 | | 13,554 | | 13,554 |
| 028 | GLOBAL BROADCAST SVC—GBS | | 18,899 | | 18,899 | | 18,899 | | 18,899 |
| 029 | MOD OF IM-SVC EQUIP (TAG SAT) | | 2,849 | | 2,849 | | 2,849 | | 2,849 |
| 030 | ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC) | | 100,000 | | 100,000 | | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| 033 | COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | |
| | JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM | 2,674 | 125,711 | 2,674 | 125,711 | 2,674 | 125,711 | 2,674 | 125,711 |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-50,000] | | [-50,000] | | [-50,000] | | [-50,000] |
| 034 | MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVIR) | | 9,692 | | 9,692 | | 9,692 | | 9,692 |
| | Unobligated balances | | 4,692 | | 4,692 | | 4,692 | | 4,692 |
| 035 | RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2) | 620 | 17,136 | 620 | 17,136 | 620 | 17,136 | 620 | 17,136 |
| 037 | AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPAZ | 3,081 | 22,099 | 3,081 | 22,099 | 3,081 | 22,099 | 3,081 | 22,099 |
| 038 | TRACTOR DESK | | 3,724 | | 3,724 | | 3,724 | | 3,724 |
| 039 | SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT | | 969 | | 969 | | 969 | | 969 |
| 040 | SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMMELECTRONICS | | 294 | | 294 | | 294 | | 294 |
| 041 | TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM | 8,344 | 24,354 | 8,344 | 24,354 | 8,344 | 24,354 | 8,344 | 24,354 |
| 042 | UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE | | 17,445 | | 17,445 | | 17,445 | | 17,445 |
| 043 | RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY | | 1,028 | | 1,028 | | 1,028 | | 1,028 |
| 044 | FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE | 974 | 22,614 | 974 | 22,614 | 974 | 22,614 | 974 | 22,614 |
| | COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM | | | | | | | | |
| 046 | CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE | | 1,519 | | 1,519 | | 1,519 | | 1,519 |
| 047 | ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT | 305 | 12,478 | 305 | 12,478 | 305 | 12,478 | 305 | 12,478 |
| | INFORMATION SECURITY | | | | | | | | |
| 050 | INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP | | 2,113 | | 2,113 | | 2,113 | | 2,113 |
| 051 | COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) | 2,750 | 69,646 | 2,750 | 69,646 | 2,750 | 69,646 | 2,750 | 69,646 |
| | COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | |
| 052 | BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS | | 28,913 | | 28,913 | | 28,913 | | 28,913 |
| | COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | |
| 053 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS | | 97,091 | | 97,091 | | 97,091 | | 97,091 |
| 054 | DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS) | | 246 | | 246 | | 246 | | 246 |
| 055 | EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | | 5,362 | | 5,362 | | 5,362 | | 5,362 |
| 056 | INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM | | 79,965 | | 79,965 | | 79,965 | | 79,965 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) | | | | | | | | |
| 060 | JTT/CIBS-M | | 870 | | 870 | | 870 | | 870 |
| 061 | PROPHET GROUND | 11 | 55,896 | 11 | 55,896 | 11 | 55,896 | 11 | 55,896 |
| 063 | DCGS-A (MIP) | 2,423 | 128,207 | 2,423 | 128,207 | 2,423 | 128,207 | 2,423 | 128,207 |
| 064 | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (TAGS) | | 5,286 | | 5,286 | | 5,286 | | 5,286 |
| 065 | TROJAN (MIP) | 2 | 12,614 | 2 | 12,614 | 2 | 12,614 | 2 | 12,614 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|---------|------------------|------|----------------------|-----------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 141 | MEDICAL EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL | 2,388 | 46,957 | 2,388 | 46,957 | 2,388 | 46,957 | | | 2,388 | 46,957 |
| 142 | MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS | 60 | 23,758 | 60 | 23,758 | 60 | 23,758 | | | 60 | 23,758 |
| 143 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ) | 585 | 2,789 | 585 | 2,789 | 585 | 2,789 | | | 585 | 2,789 |
| 144 | CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HY, 6X4 (CCE) | 22 | 5,827 | 22 | 5,827 | 22 | 5,827 | | | 22 | 5,827 |
| 145 | SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING | 22 | 14,926 | 22 | 14,926 | 22 | 14,926 | | | 22 | 14,926 |
| 147 | COMPACTOR | 617 | 4,348 | 617 | 4,348 | 617 | 4,348 | | | 617 | 4,348 |
| 148 | HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR | 14 | 4,938 | 14 | 4,938 | 14 | 4,938 | | | 14 | 4,938 |
| 149 | TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED | 95 | 34,071 | 95 | 34,071 | 95 | 34,071 | | | 95 | 34,071 |
| 150 | ALL TERRAIN CRANES | 4 | 4,938 | 4 | 4,938 | 4 | 4,938 | | | 4 | 4,938 |
| 151 | PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING | | 667 | | 667 | | 667 | | | | 667 |
| 153 | ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP | | 14,924 | | 14,924 | | 14,924 | | | | 14,924 |
| 154 | CONST EQUIP ESP | 79 | 15,933 | 79 | 15,933 | 79 | 15,933 | | | 79 | 15,933 |
| 155 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP) | 53 | 6,749 | 53 | 6,749 | 53 | 6,749 | | | 53 | 6,749 |
| 156 | RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP | | 10,509 | | 10,509 | | 10,509 | | | | 10,509 |
| 157 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOATRAIL) | | 2,166 | | 2,166 | | 2,166 | | | | 2,166 |
| 158 | GENERATORS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP | 3,882 | 115,190 | 3,882 | 105,190 | 3,882 | 115,190 | | | 3,882 | 105,190 |
| | Cost savings from new contract | | | | [-10,000] | | | | | | [-10,000] |
| 160 | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS | 146 | 14,327 | 146 | 14,327 | 146 | 14,327 | | | 146 | 14,327 |
| 161 | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT | 1 | 65,062 | 1 | 65,062 | 1 | 65,062 | | | 1 | 65,062 |
| 162 | TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM | 43 | 101,295 | 43 | 101,295 | 43 | 101,295 | | | 43 | 101,295 |
| 163 | CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER | | 13,406 | | 13,406 | | 13,406 | | | | 13,406 |
| 164 | AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER | | 14,440 | | 14,440 | | 14,440 | | | | 14,440 |
| 165 | GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING | | 10,165 | | 10,165 | | 10,165 | | | | 10,165 |
| 166 | TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT | | 5,726 | | 5,726 | | 5,726 | | | | 5,726 |
| 167 | INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE) | 1,657 | 37,482 | 1,657 | 37,482 | 1,657 | 37,482 | | | 1,657 | 37,482 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 168 | TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD) | 415 | 16,061 | 415 | 16,061 | 415 | 16,061 | 415 | 16,061 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | |
| 170 | RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 2,380 | | 2,380 | | 2,380 | | 2,380 |
| 171 | PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPAS) | | 30,686 | | 30,686 | | 30,686 | | 30,686 |
| 172 | BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT | | 1,008 | | 1,008 | | 1,008 | | 1,008 |
| 173 | MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3) | 3,209 | 98,559 | 3,209 | 80,559 | 3,209 | 83,559 | 3,209 | 83,559 |
| | Early to need—watercraft CASR | | (-15,000) | | (-18,000) | | (-15,000) | | (-15,000) |
| 174 | PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH) | | 1,697 | | 1,697 | | 1,697 | | 1,697 |
| 175 | SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING | | 25,394 | | 25,394 | | 25,394 | | 25,394 |
| 176 | AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3 | 963 | 12,975 | 963 | 12,975 | 963 | 12,975 | 963 | 12,975 |
| | OPAZ | | | | | | | | |
| 180 | INITIAL SPARES—O&F | 11 | 50,032 | 11 | 50,032 | 11 | 50,032 | 11 | 50,032 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 94,760 | 4,893,634 | 94,760 | 4,701,234 | 94,760 | 4,537,234 | 94,760 | 4,696,293 |
| | JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND | | | | | | | | |
| 004 | STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE | | 115,058 | | | | | | 0 |
| | OPERATIONS | | | | | | | | |
| | Transfer of JIEDDO to Overseas Contingency Operations | | (-65,558) | | (-115,058) | | | | (-65,463) |
| | Unjustified request | | (-49,500) | | | | | | (-49,595) |
| | TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND | | 115,058 | | | | | | 0 |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | |
| | EA-18G | | 43,547 | 5 | 493,547 | | 68,547 | | 493,547 |
| | Additional EA-18G aircraft | | | (5) | (450,000) | | | | (450,000) |
| | Preserve option of buying more EA-18G aircraft | | | | | | | | |
| 005 | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV | 2 | 610,652 | 2 | 29,400 | 2 | 610,652 | 2 | 610,652 |
| 006 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 29,400 | | 29,400 | | 29,400 | | 29,400 |
| 007 | JSF STOVL | 6 | 1,200,410 | 6 | 1,200,410 | 6 | 1,200,410 | 6 | 1,200,410 |
| 008 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 143,885 | | 143,885 | | 143,885 | | 143,885 |
| 009 | V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) | 19 | 1,487,000 | 19 | 1,487,000 | 19 | 1,487,000 | 19 | 1,487,000 |
| 010 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 45,920 | | 45,920 | | 45,920 | | 45,920 |
| 011 | H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) | 26 | 778,757 | 26 | 778,757 | 26 | 778,757 | 26 | 778,757 |
| 012 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 80,926 | | 80,926 | | 80,926 | | 80,926 |
| | Advance procurement efficiencies | | | | | | | | (-5,300) |
| 013 | MH-60S (MYP) | 8 | 210,209 | 8 | 210,209 | 8 | 210,209 | 8 | 210,209 |
| 015 | MH-60R (MYP) | 29 | 933,882 | 29 | 880,482 | 29 | 933,882 | 29 | 878,882 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | | | | | | | |
| | Shutdown funding ahead of need | | | | | | | | (-53,400) |
| 016 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 106,686 | | 106,686 | | 106,686 | | 106,686 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|--|-----------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 017 | P-8A POSEIDON | 8 | 2,003,327 | 8 | 2,003,327 | 8 | 2,003,327 | | -17,400 | 8 | 1,985,927 |
| | Anticipated unit price savings | | | | | | | | [-11,300] | | |
| | Unjustified growth--production engineering support | | | | | | | | [-6,100] | | |
| 018 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 48,457 | | 48,457 | | 48,457 | | | | 48,457 |
| 019 | E-20 ADV HAWKEYE | 4 | 819,870 | 4 | 819,870 | 4 | 819,870 | | | 4 | 819,870 |
| 020 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 225,765 | | 225,765 | | 225,765 | | | | 225,765 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 023 | KC-130J | 1 | 92,290 | 1 | 92,290 | 1 | 92,290 | | | 1 | 92,290 |
| 026 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 37,445 | | 37,445 | | 37,445 | | | | 37,445 |
| 027 | MQ-8 UAV | | 40,663 | | 40,663 | | 40,663 | | | | 40,663 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 029 | EA-6 SERIES | | 10,993 | | 10,993 | | 10,993 | | | | 10,993 |
| 030 | AEA SYSTEMS | | 34,768 | | 34,768 | | 34,768 | | | | 34,768 |
| 031 | AV-8 SERIES | | 65,472 | | 65,472 | | 65,472 | | | | 65,472 |
| 032 | ADVERSARY | | 8,418 | | 8,418 | | 8,418 | | | | 8,418 |
| 033 | F-18 SERIES | | 679,177 | | 679,177 | | 679,177 | | | | 679,177 |
| 034 | H-46 SERIES | | 480 | | 480 | | 480 | | | | 480 |
| 036 | H-53 SERIES | | 38,159 | | 38,159 | | 38,159 | | | | 38,159 |
| 037 | SH-60 SERIES | | 108,850 | | 108,850 | | 108,850 | | | | 108,850 |
| 038 | H-1 SERIES | | 45,033 | | 45,033 | | 45,033 | | | | 45,033 |
| 039 | EP-3 SERIES | | 32,890 | | 32,890 | | 32,890 | | | | 32,890 |
| | Obsolescence issues | | | | 15,000 | | | | | | |
| | SIGINT Architecture Modernization Common Configuration | | | | 113,000 | | | | | | |
| | SPIRAL 3 & ELINT KITS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 040 | P-3 SERIES | | 2,823 | | 2,823 | | 2,823 | | | | 2,823 |
| 041 | E-2 SERIES | | 21,208 | | 21,208 | | 21,208 | | | | 21,208 |
| 042 | TRAINER A/C SERIES | | 12,608 | | 12,608 | | 12,608 | | | | 12,608 |
| 044 | C-130 SERIES | | 40,378 | | 40,378 | | 40,378 | | | | 40,378 |
| 045 | FEWSG | | 640 | | 640 | | 640 | | | | 640 |
| 046 | CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES | | 4,635 | | 4,635 | | 4,635 | | | | 4,635 |
| 047 | E-6 SERIES | | 212,876 | | 212,876 | | 212,876 | | | | 212,876 |
| 048 | EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES | | 71,328 | | 71,328 | | 71,328 | | | | 71,328 |
| 049 | SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | | 21,317 | | 21,317 | | 21,317 | | | | 21,317 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|----------------|
| 050 | T-45 SERIES | 90,052 | 90,052 | 90,052 | 90,052 | |
| 051 | POWER PLANT CHANGES | 19,094 | 19,094 | 19,094 | 19,094 | |
| 052 | IPATS SERIES | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,085 | 1,085 | |
| 054 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | 155,644 | 155,644 | 155,644 | 155,644 | |
| 055 | COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES | 157,531 | 157,531 | 157,531 | 157,531 | |
| 056 | COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM | 1,958 | 1,958 | 1,958 | 1,958 | |
| 057 | ID SYSTEMS | 38,880 | 38,880 | 38,880 | 38,880 | |
| 058 | P-8 SERIES | 29,797 | 29,797 | 29,797 | 29,797 | |
| 059 | IMAGTF EW FOR AVIATION | 14,770 | 14,770 | 14,770 | 14,770 | |
| 060 | MQ-8 SERIES | 8,741 | 8,741 | 8,741 | 8,741 | |
| 061 | RQ-7 SERIES | 2,542 | 2,542 | 2,542 | 2,542 | |
| 062 | V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY | 135,584 | 135,584 | 135,584 | 135,584 | |
| 063 | F-35 STOVL SERIES | 285,968 | 285,968 | 285,968 | 285,968 | |
| 064 | F-35 CV SERIES | 20,502 | 20,502 | 20,502 | 20,502 | |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | |
| 065 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 1,229,651 | 1,194,651 | 1,194,651 | 1,107,506 | |
| | Reduce rate of growth in replenishment spares | [-3,000] | [-35,000] | [-122,145] | [-122,145] | |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP. & FACILITIES | | | | | |
| 066 | COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT | 418,355 | 418,355 | 418,355 | 398,488 | |
| | Unobligated balances | | | | [-19,867] | |
| 067 | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 23,843 | 23,843 | 23,843 | 23,843 | |
| 068 | WAR CONSUMABLES | 15,939 | 15,939 | 15,939 | 15,939 | |
| 069 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | 5,630 | 5,630 | 5,630 | 5,630 | |
| 070 | SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 65,839 | 65,839 | 65,839 | 65,839 | |
| 071 | FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION | 1,768 | 1,768 | 1,768 | 1,768 | |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 103 | 13,074,317 | 103 | 13,084,317 | 103 |
| | | | | | | 230,288 |
| | | | | | | |
| | WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | |
| | MODIFICATION OF MISSILES | | | | | |
| 001 | TRIDENT II MODS | 1,190,455 | 1,190,455 | 1,201,455 | 1,185,455 | |
| | Additional FCET | | | [11,000] | | |
| | Guidance hardware cost growth | | | | [-5,000] | |
| | SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES | | | | | |
| 002 | MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | 5,671 | 5,671 | 5,671 | 5,671 | |
| | STRATEGIC MISSILES | | | | | |
| 003 | TOMAHAWK | 196 | 276,258 | 200 | 276,258 | |
| | Minimum sustaining rate increase | [96] | [82,000] | [100] | [82,000] | [96] |
| | TACTICAL MISSILES | | | | | |
| 004 | AMIRAM | 32,165 | 22,165 | 32,165 | 22,165 | |
| | Program decrease | [-10,000] | [-10,000] | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|
| 035 | LCS MCM MISSION MODULES | 15,270 | 15,270 | 15,270 | 15,270 | | |
| 036 | LCS ASW MISSION MODULES | 2,729 | 2,729 | 2,729 | 2,729 | | |
| 037 | LCS SUW MISSION MODULES | 44,208 | 44,208 | 44,208 | 44,208 | | 39,697 |
| | Gun module cost growth | | | | | -4,511 | |
| | Maritime security module cost growth | | | | | [-3,080] | |
| 038 | REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) | 42,276 | 42,276 | 42,276 | 42,276 | [-1,431] | 42,276 |
| | SHIP SONARS | | | | | | |
| 040 | SPQ-9B RADAR | 28,007 | 28,007 | 28,007 | 28,007 | | 28,007 |
| 041 | AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM | 79,802 | 79,802 | 79,802 | 79,802 | | 79,802 |
| 042 | SSN ACOUSTICS | 165,655 | 165,655 | 165,655 | 165,655 | | 165,655 |
| 043 | UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 9,487 | 9,487 | 9,487 | 9,487 | | 9,487 |
| 044 | SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS | 11,621 | 11,621 | 11,621 | 11,621 | | 11,621 |
| | ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 046 | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM | 24,221 | 24,221 | 24,221 | 24,221 | | 24,221 |
| 047 | SSTD | 12,051 | 12,051 | 12,051 | 12,051 | | 12,051 |
| 048 | FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 170,831 | 170,831 | 170,831 | 170,831 | | 170,831 |
| 049 | SURTASS | 9,619 | 9,619 | 9,619 | 9,619 | | 9,619 |
| 050 | MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE | 14,390 | 14,390 | 14,390 | 14,390 | | 14,390 |
| | ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 051 | AN/SLO-32 | 214,582 | 214,582 | 214,582 | 214,582 | | 214,582 |
| | RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 052 | SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT | 124,862 | 124,862 | 124,862 | 124,862 | | 124,862 |
| 053 | AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS) | 164 | 164 | 164 | 164 | | 164 |
| | SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 054 | SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG | 45,362 | 45,362 | 45,362 | 45,362 | | 45,362 |
| | OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 055 | COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY | 33,939 | 33,939 | 33,939 | 33,939 | | 33,939 |
| 056 | TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS) | 324 | 324 | 324 | 324 | | 324 |
| 057 | NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS) | 18,192 | 18,192 | 18,192 | 18,192 | | 18,192 |
| 058 | ATDLS | 16,768 | 16,768 | 16,768 | 16,768 | | 16,768 |
| 059 | NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS) | 5,219 | 5,219 | 5,219 | 5,219 | | 5,219 |
| 060 | MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT | 42,108 | 42,108 | 42,108 | 42,108 | | 41,499 |
| | AN/SQQ-32 integration cost growth | | | | | -609 | |
| 062 | NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE) | 15,232 | 15,232 | 15,232 | 15,232 | [-609] | 15,232 |
| 063 | AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE | 4,524 | 4,524 | 4,524 | 4,524 | | 4,524 |
| 064 | STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP | 6,382 | 6,382 | 6,382 | 6,382 | | 6,382 |
| | TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 065 | OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT | 46,122 | 46,122 | 46,122 | 46,122 | | 44,058 |
| | BFTT installation kit cost growth | | | | | -2,064 | |
| | AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | [-2,064] | |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|--|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------------|---------|------------------|------|----------------------|---------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 066 | MATCALS | | 16,999 | | 16,999 | | 16,999 | | | | 16,999 |
| 067 | SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL | | 9,366 | | 9,366 | | 9,366 | | | | 9,366 |
| 068 | AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM | | 21,357 | | 21,357 | | 21,357 | | | | 21,357 |
| 069 | NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM | | 26,639 | | 26,639 | | 26,639 | | | | 26,639 |
| 070 | FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS | | 9,214 | | 9,214 | | 9,214 | | | | 9,214 |
| 071 | LANDING SYSTEMS | | 13,902 | | 13,902 | | 13,902 | | | | 13,902 |
| 072 | ID SYSTEMS | | 34,901 | | 34,901 | | 34,901 | | | | 34,901 |
| 073 | NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | | 13,950 | | 13,950 | | 13,950 | | | | 13,950 |
| | OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 074 | DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL | | 1,205 | | 1,205 | | 1,205 | | | | 1,205 |
| 075 | MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM | | 3,447 | | 3,447 | | 3,447 | | | | 3,447 |
| 076 | TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS | | 16,766 | | 16,766 | | 16,766 | | | | 16,766 |
| 077 | DGSS-N | | 23,649 | | 23,649 | | 23,649 | | | | 23,649 |
| 078 | CANES | | 357,589 | | 357,589 | | 357,589 | | | | 357,589 |
| 079 | RADIAC | | 8,343 | | 8,343 | | 8,343 | | | | 8,343 |
| 080 | CANES-INTELL | | 65,015 | | 65,015 | | 65,015 | | | | 65,015 |
| 081 | GPETE | | 6,284 | | 6,284 | | 6,284 | | | | 6,284 |
| 082 | INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY | | 4,016 | | 4,016 | | 4,016 | | | | 4,016 |
| 083 | EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION | | 4,113 | | 4,113 | | 4,113 | | | | 4,113 |
| 084 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 45,053 | | 45,053 | | 45,053 | | | | 45,053 |
| | SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 085 | SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS | | 14,410 | | 14,410 | | 14,410 | | | | 14,410 |
| 086 | SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION | | 20,830 | | 20,830 | | 20,830 | | | | 20,830 |
| 088 | COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$3M | | 14,145 | | 14,145 | | 14,145 | | | | 14,145 |
| | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 089 | SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT | | 11,057 | | 11,057 | | 11,057 | | | | 11,057 |
| 090 | SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT | | 67,852 | | 67,852 | | 67,852 | | | | 67,852 |
| | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 091 | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | | 13,218 | | 13,268 | | 13,218 | | | | 13,218 |
| 092 | CWV 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | | | [50] | | | | | | |
| | NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT) | | 272,076 | | 272,076 | | 272,076 | | | | 272,076 |
| | SHORE COMMUNICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 093 | JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT | | 4,369 | | 4,369 | | 4,369 | | | | 4,369 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|
| 094 | ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS | 1,402 | 1,402 | 1,402 | 1,402 | |
| | CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 095 | INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | 110,766 | 110,766 | 110,766 | 110,766 | |
| 096 | MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM | 979 | 979 | 979 | 979 | |
| | CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 097 | CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP | 11,502 | 11,502 | 11,502 | 11,502 | |
| | OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT | | | | | |
| 098 | COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT | 2,967 | 2,967 | 2,967 | 2,967 | |
| | SONOBUOYS | | | | | |
| 100 | SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES | 182,946 | 182,946 | 182,946 | 182,946 | |
| | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 101 | WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 47,944 | 47,944 | 47,944 | 47,944 | |
| 103 | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 76,683 | 76,683 | 76,683 | 76,683 | |
| 106 | METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT | 12,875 | 12,875 | 12,875 | 12,875 | |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | | | | 300 |
| 107 | DCRS/DPL | 1,415 | 1,415 | 1,415 | 1,415 | (300) |
| 109 | AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES | 23,152 | 23,152 | 23,152 | 23,152 | |
| 114 | AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 52,555 | 52,555 | 52,555 | 52,555 | |
| | SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 115 | SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT | 5,572 | 5,572 | 5,572 | 5,572 | |
| | SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 118 | SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 165,769 | 165,769 | 165,769 | 165,769 | |
| 123 | TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 61,462 | 61,462 | 61,462 | 61,462 | |
| | FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 126 | STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP | 229,832 | 229,832 | 229,832 | 229,832 | |
| | ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 127 | SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS | 66,020 | 66,020 | 66,020 | 66,020 | |
| | 688 TID4 installation cost growth | | | | | -5,216 |
| 128 | ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 7,559 | 7,559 | 7,559 | 7,559 | (-5,216) |
| | OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 132 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | 20,619 | 20,619 | 20,619 | 20,619 | |
| 133 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 11,251 | 11,251 | 11,251 | 11,251 | |
| | OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE | | | | | |
| 137 | TRAINING DEVICE MODS | 84,080 | 84,080 | 84,080 | 84,080 | |
| | CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 138 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | 2,282 | 2,282 | 2,282 | 2,282 | |
| 139 | GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | 547 | 547 | 547 | 547 | |
| 140 | CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP | 8,949 | 8,949 | 8,949 | 8,949 | |
| 141 | FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | 14,621 | 14,621 | 14,621 | 14,621 | |
| 142 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 957 | 957 | 957 | 957 | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 001 | TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES | 16,756 | 16,756 | 16,756 | 16,756 | 16,756 |
| 002 | AAV/A1 PIP | 77,736 | 77,736 | 77,736 | 77,736 | 77,736 |
| 003 | ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS | 5,742 | 5,742 | 5,742 | 5,742 | 642 |
| | EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | [−5,100] | [−5,100] | [−5,100] | [−5,100] | [−5,100] |
| | Per Marine Corps excess to need | | | | | |
| 004 | 155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER | 4,532 | 4,532 | 4,532 | 4,532 | 4,532 |
| 005 | HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM | 19,474 | 19,474 | 19,474 | 19,474 | 19,474 |
| 006 | WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION | 7,250 | 7,250 | 7,250 | 7,250 | 7,250 |
| 007 | OTHER SUPPORT | 21,909 | 21,909 | 21,909 | 21,909 | 21,909 |
| 008 | MODIFICATION KITS | 3,208 | 3,208 | 3,208 | 3,208 | 3,208 |
| | WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM | | | | | |
| 009 | GUIDED MISSILES | 31,439 | 31,439 | 31,439 | 31,439 | 31,439 |
| 010 | GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 | 343 |
| 011 | JAVELIN | 4,995 | 4,995 | 4,995 | 4,995 | 4,995 |
| 012 | FOLLOW ON TO SMAR | 1,589 | 1,589 | 1,589 | 1,589 | 1,589 |
| | ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H) | | | | | |
| 013 | OTHER SUPPORT | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 | 5,134 |
| | MODIFICATION KITS | | | | | |
| 014 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS | 9,178 | 9,178 | 9,178 | 9,178 | 9,178 |
| 015 | UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER | 12,272 | 12,272 | 12,272 | 12,272 | 12,272 |
| | COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM IC | | | | | |
| 016 | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | 30,591 | 30,591 | 30,591 | 30,591 | 30,591 |
| | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 017 | OTHER SUPPORT (TEL) | 2,385 | 2,385 | 2,385 | 2,385 | 2,385 |
| | COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | | | | | |
| 019 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL) | 4,205 | 4,205 | 4,205 | 4,205 | 4,205 |
| 020 | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | 8,002 | 8,002 | 8,002 | 8,002 | 8,002 |
| | AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS | | | | | |
| 021 | RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | 19,595 | 19,595 | 19,595 | 19,595 | 19,375 |
| | RADAR SYSTEMS | | | | | |
| | Sustainment—unjustified growth | | | | | −220 |
| 022 | GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (GATOR) | 89,230 | 89,230 | 89,230 | 89,230 | 89,230 |
| 023 | RQ-21 UAS | 70,565 | 70,565 | 70,565 | 70,565 | 70,565 |
| | INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | |
| 024 | FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM | 11,860 | 11,860 | 11,860 | 11,860 | 11,860 |
| 025 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 44,340 | 44,340 | 44,340 | 44,340 | 42,550 |
| | Unjustified program growth | | | | | −1,790 |
| 028 | RQ-11 UAV | 2,737 | 2,737 | 2,737 | 2,737 | 2,737 |
| 030 | DCGS-MC | 20,620 | 20,620 | 20,620 | 20,620 | 20,620 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|--------|------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------------------|------|----------------------|--------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| | OTHER COMVELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 031 | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | 9,798 | | 9,798 | | 9,798 | | | | 9,798 |
| 032 | OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN) | | 2,073 | | 2,073 | | 2,073 | | | | 2,073 |
| 033 | COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES | | 33,570 | | 33,570 | | 33,570 | | | | 33,570 |
| 034 | COMMAND POST SYSTEMS | | 38,186 | | 38,186 | | 38,186 | | | | 38,186 |
| 035 | RADIO SYSTEMS | | 64,494 | | 64,494 | | 64,494 | | | | 64,494 |
| 036 | COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS | | 72,956 | | 72,956 | | 72,956 | | | | 72,956 |
| | Unjustified program growth | | | | | | | | | | |
| 037 | COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT | | 43,317 | | 43,317 | | 43,317 | | | | 43,317 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 037A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 2,498 | | 2,498 | | 2,498 | | | | 2,498 |
| | ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 038 | COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES | | 332 | | 332 | | 332 | | | | 332 |
| 039 | COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES | | 11,035 | | 11,035 | | 11,035 | | | | 11,035 |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | |
| 040 | 5/4T TRUCK HUMRV (MYP) | | 57,255 | | 37,255 | | 57,255 | | | | 37,255 |
| | Early to need | | | | | | | | | | |
| 041 | MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS | | 938 | | 938 | | 938 | | | | 938 |
| 044 | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE | 7 | 7,500 | 7 | 7,500 | 7 | 7,500 | | | 7 | 7,500 |
| 045 | FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS | | 10,179 | | 10,179 | | 10,179 | | | | 10,179 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 046 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 11,023 | | 11,023 | | 11,023 | | | | 11,023 |
| | ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 047 | ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT | | 994 | | 994 | | 994 | | | | 994 |
| 048 | BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT | | 1,256 | | 1,256 | | 1,256 | | | | 1,256 |
| 049 | TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS | | 3,750 | | 3,750 | | 3,750 | | | | 3,750 |
| 050 | POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED | | 8,985 | | 8,985 | | 8,985 | | | | 8,985 |
| | USMC unfunded priority | | | | | | | | | | |
| 051 | AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 4,418 | | 4,418 | | 4,418 | | | | 4,418 |
| 052 | EOD SYSTEMS | | 6,528 | | 6,528 | | 6,528 | | | | 6,528 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 053 | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | | 26,510 | | 26,510 | | 26,510 | | | | 26,510 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|------------------|----------|----------------------|-----------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 022 | B-52 | | 180,148 | | 180,148 | | 180,148 | | | | 180,148 |
| 023 | LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES | | 13,159 | | 13,159 | | 13,159 | | | | 13,159 |
| | TACTICAL AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 025 | F-15 | | 387,314 | | 387,314 | | 387,314 | | | | 387,314 |
| 026 | F-16 | | 12,336 | | 12,336 | | 12,336 | | | | 12,336 |
| 027 | F-22A | | 180,207 | | 180,207 | | 180,207 | | | | 180,207 |
| 028 | F-35 MODIFICATIONS | | 187,646 | | 187,646 | | 187,646 | | | | 187,646 |
| 029 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | | 28,500 | | 28,500 | | 28,500 | | | | 28,500 |
| | AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 030 | C-5 | | 14,731 | | 14,731 | | 14,731 | | | | 14,731 |
| 031 | C-5M | | 331,466 | | 281,466 | | 281,466 | | -50,000 | | 281,466 |
| | Program execution delay | | | | [-50,000] | | [-50,000] | | | | [-50,000] |
| 033 | C-17A | | 127,494 | | 127,494 | | 127,494 | | | | 127,494 |
| 034 | C-21 | | 264 | | 264 | | 264 | | | | 264 |
| 035 | C-32A | | 8,767 | | 8,767 | | 8,767 | | | | 8,767 |
| 036 | C-37A | | 18,457 | | 18,457 | | 18,457 | | | | 18,457 |
| | TRAINER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 038 | GLIDER MODS | | 132 | | 132 | | 132 | | | | 132 |
| 039 | T-6 | | 14,486 | | 14,486 | | 14,486 | | | | 14,486 |
| 040 | T-1 | | 7,650 | | 7,650 | | 7,650 | | | | 7,650 |
| 041 | T-38 | | 34,845 | | 34,845 | | 34,845 | | | | 34,845 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | | | | | |
| 042 | U-2 MODS | | | | 64,300 | | 64,300 | | | | 0 |
| | Keep U-2 rather than enhance Global Hawk Block 30 | | | | [-64,300] | | [-64,300] | | | | |
| 044 | KC-10A (ATCA) | | 34,313 | | 34,313 | | 34,313 | | | | 34,313 |
| 045 | C-12 | | 1,960 | | 1,960 | | 1,960 | | | | 1,960 |
| 048 | VC-25A MOD | | 1,072 | | 1,072 | | 1,072 | | | | 1,072 |
| 049 | C-40 | | 7,292 | | 7,292 | | 7,292 | | | | 7,292 |
| 050 | C-130 | | 35,869 | | 109,671 | | 83,469 | | 88,400 | | 124,269 |
| | 8.33MHz radios | | | | [-7,447] | | | | | | |
| | C-130 8-Bladed Propeller upgrade | | | | [30,000] | | | | [30,000] | | |
| | C-130 AMP | | | | [35,800] | | | | [35,800] | | |
| | CVR/DIR | | | | [-7,151] | | | | | | |

| SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------|----------------------|-------------------|--|
| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | | |
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | |
| WAR CONSUMABLES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 086 | WAR CONSUMABLES | | 82,906 | | 82,906 | | 82,906 | | | | 82,906 | |
| OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 087 | OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES | | 1,007,276 | | 1,007,276 | | 1,007,276 | | | | 1,007,276 | |
| CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 087A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 69,380 | | 69,380 | | 69,380 | | | | 69,380 | |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 101 | 11,342,571 | 108 | 11,419,900 | 101 | 11,526,671 | 128,900 | | 101 | 11,671,471 | |
| MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC | | 80,187 | | 80,187 | | 80,187 | | | | 80,187 | |
| TACTICAL | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 003 | JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE | 224 | 337,438 | 224 | 337,438 | 224 | 337,438 | | | 224 | 337,438 | |
| 004 | SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X) | 303 | 132,995 | 303 | 132,995 | 303 | 132,995 | | | 303 | 132,995 | |
| 005 | AMRAAM | 200 | 329,600 | 200 | 329,600 | 200 | 329,600 | | | 200 | 329,600 | |
| 006 | PREDATOR HELIFIRE MISSILE | 283 | 33,878 | 283 | 33,878 | 283 | 33,878 | | | 283 | 33,878 | |
| 007 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 246 | 70,578 | 246 | 70,578 | 246 | 70,578 | | | 246 | 70,578 | |
| | Delay in Milestone C and contract award | | | | | | | | | | | |
| INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 008 | INDUSTRI'L PREPAREDNS/POL PREVENTION | | 749 | | 749 | | 749 | | | | 749 | |
| CLASS IV | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 009 | MM III MODIFICATIONS | | 28,477 | | 28,477 | | 28,477 | | | | 28,477 | |
| 010 | AGM-65D MAVERICK | | 276 | | 276 | | 276 | | | | 276 | |
| 011 | AGM-88A HARM | | 297 | | 297 | | 297 | | | | 297 | |
| 012 | AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) | | 16,083 | | 16,083 | | 16,083 | | | | 16,083 | |
| 013 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | | 6,924 | | 6,924 | | 6,924 | | | | 6,924 | |
| MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 014 | INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | | 87,366 | | 87,366 | | 87,366 | | | | 87,366 | |
| SPACE PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 015 | ADVANCED EHF | | 298,890 | | 298,890 | | 298,890 | | | | 298,890 | |
| 016 | WIDEBAND GAPILLER SATELLITES(SPACE) | | 38,971 | | 35,971 | | 38,971 | | | | 36,071 | |
| | Unjustified growth | | | | (-3,000) | | | | | | (-2,900) | |
| 017 | GPS III SPACE SEGMENT | 1 | 235,397 | 1 | 235,397 | 1 | 235,397 | | | 1 | 235,397 | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| 018 | ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 57,000 | 57,000 | 57,000 | 57,000 | | | | |
| 019 | SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) | 16,201 | 16,201 | 16,201 | 16,201 | | | | |
| 020 | GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) | 52,090 | 52,090 | 52,090 | 52,090 | | | | |
| 021 | DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE) | 87,000 | 87,000 | 87,000 | 87,000 | | | | |
| 022 | Program decrease | | [-87,000] | | | | | | |
| | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (INFRAST) | 750,143 | 750,143 | 750,143 | 750,143 | | | | |
| | Excess growth | | | | | | | | |
| 023 | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE) | 630,903 | 630,903 | 630,903 | 630,903 | | | | |
| | DMSP 20 launch/Additional competition launch | | | | | | | | 3 |
| 024 | SBIR HIGH (SPACE) | 450,884 | 450,884 | 450,884 | 450,884 | | | | |
| 028 | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 60,179 | 60,179 | 60,179 | 60,179 | | | | |
| | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | |
| 028A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 888,000 | 888,000 | 888,000 | 888,000 | | | | |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 1,260 | 4,890,506 | 1,260 | 4,822,506 | 1,260 | 4,550,975 | 1,260 | 4,832,606 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | |
| | ROCKETS | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | ROCKETS | 4,696 | 4,696 | 4,696 | 4,696 | | | | |
| 002 | CARTRIDGES | 133,271 | 133,271 | 133,271 | 133,271 | | | | |
| | BOMBS | | | | | | | | |
| 003 | PRACTICE BOMBS | 31,998 | 31,998 | 31,998 | 31,998 | | | | |
| 004 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | 148,614 | 148,614 | 148,614 | 148,614 | | | | |
| | Readiness funding increase—PACOM unfunded priority list | | [8,800] | | | | | | |
| 005 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 101,400 | 101,400 | 101,400 | 101,400 | | | | |
| | OTHER ITEMS | 2,973 | 2,973 | 2,973 | 2,973 | | | | |
| 006 | CAD/PAD | 29,989 | 29,989 | 29,989 | 29,989 | | | | |
| 007 | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) | 6,925 | 6,925 | 6,925 | 6,925 | | | | |
| 008 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | 494 | 494 | 494 | 494 | | | | |
| 009 | MODIFICATIONS | 1,610 | 1,610 | 1,610 | 1,610 | | | | |
| 010 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | 4,237 | | | | |
| | FLARES | | | | | | | | |
| 011 | FLARES | 86,101 | 86,101 | 86,101 | 86,101 | | | | |
| 012 | FUZES | 103,417 | 103,417 | 103,417 | 103,417 | | | | |
| | SMALL ARMS | | | | | | | | |
| 013 | SMALL ARMS | 24,648 | 24,648 | 24,648 | 24,648 | | | | |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | 2,973 | 677,400 | 2,973 | 677,400 | 2,973 | 686,200 | 2,973 | 677,400 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|--|---|-----------------|---------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|----------|------------------|------|----------------------|----------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | 6,528 | | 2,528 | | 6,528 | | | | 6,528 |
| | Program reduction | | | | [-4,000] | | | | | | |
| CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 002 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE | | 7,639 | | 2,639 | | 7,639 | | | | 7,639 |
| | Program reduction | | | | [-5,000] | | | | | | |
| 003 | CAP VEHICLES | | 961 | | 961 | | 961 | | | | 961 |
| 004 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 11,027 | | 5,027 | | 11,027 | | | | 11,027 |
| | Program reduction | | | | [-6,000] | | | | | | |
| SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 005 | SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES | | 4,447 | | 4,447 | | 4,447 | | | | 4,447 |
| 006 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 693 | | 693 | | 693 | | | | 693 |
| FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 007 | FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES | | 10,152 | | 10,152 | | 10,152 | | | | 10,152 |
| MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 008 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 15,108 | | 5,108 | | 15,108 | | | | 15,108 |
| | Program reduction | | | | [-10,000] | | | | | | |
| BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 009 | RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP | | 10,212 | | 6,212 | | 10,212 | | | | 10,212 |
| | Program reduction | | | | [-4,000] | | | | | | |
| 010 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 57,049 | | 32,049 | | 57,049 | | | | 57,049 |
| | Program reduction | | | | [-25,000] | | | | | | |
| COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 011 | COMMSEC EQUIPMENT | | 106,182 | | 106,182 | | 106,182 | | | | 106,083 |
| | VACM modernization devices unit cost growth | | | | | | | | | | -2,089 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | [-2,089] |
| 012 | MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC) | | 1,363 | | 1,363 | | 1,363 | | | | 1,363 |
| INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 013 | INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT | | 2,832 | | 2,832 | | 2,832 | | | | 2,832 |
| 014 | INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT | | 32,329 | | 32,329 | | 32,329 | | | | 32,329 |
| | NCCT | | | | | | [-3,000] | | | | |
| 016 | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | | 15,649 | | 15,649 | | 15,649 | | | | 15,649 |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 051 | TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT | | 50,335 | | 50,335 | | 50,335 | | | | 50,335 |
| 053 | RADIO EQUIPMENT | | 14,846 | | 14,846 | | 14,846 | | | | 14,846 |
| 054 | CGT/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT | | 3,635 | | 3,635 | | 3,635 | | | | 3,635 |
| 055 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | | 79,607 | | 79,607 | | 79,607 | | | | 79,607 |
| 056 | MODIFICATIONS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COMM ELECT MODS | | 105,398 | | 105,398 | | 105,398 | | | | 105,398 |
| 057 | PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP | | | | | | | | | | |
| | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | | 12,577 | | 12,577 | | 12,577 | | | | 12,577 |
| 058 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 31,209 | | 31,209 | | 31,209 | | | | 31,209 |
| 059 | DEPOT PLANT+HTRLS HANDLING EQ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | | 7,670 | | 7,670 | | 7,670 | | | | 7,670 |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | | | | | | |
| | BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | | 14,125 | | 14,125 | | 14,125 | | | | 14,125 |
| | ICBM training equipment | | | | | | 37,725 | | | | |
| 061 | CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS | | 16,744 | | 16,744 | | 16,744 | | | | 16,744 |
| 062 | PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT | | 2,495 | | 2,495 | | 2,495 | | | | 2,495 |
| 063 | MOBILITY EQUIPMENT | | 10,573 | | 10,573 | | 10,573 | | | | 10,573 |
| 064 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 5,462 | | 5,462 | | 5,462 | | | | 5,462 |
| 066 | SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DARP RC135 | | 24,710 | | 24,710 | | 24,710 | | | | 24,710 |
| 067 | DCGS-AF | | 206,743 | | 206,743 | | 206,743 | | | | 206,743 |
| 069 | SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM | | 537,370 | | 537,370 | | 537,370 | | | | 537,370 |
| 070 | DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG. | | 77,898 | | 77,898 | | 77,898 | | | | 77,898 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | | | |
| 070A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 13,990,196 | | 13,990,196 | | 13,990,196 | | | | 13,990,196 |
| 072 | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | | | | | |
| | SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | 32,813 | | 32,813 | | 32,813 | | | | 32,813 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | 16,566,018 | | 16,502,018 | | 16,566,618 | | | | 16,551,729 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | -14,289 |
| 001 | PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA | | | | | | | | | | |
| | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 1,594 | | 1,594 | | 1,594 | | | | 1,594 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| 002 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 4,325 | 4,325 | 4,325 | 4,325 | | | | |
| 003 | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA | 17,268 | 17,268 | 17,268 | 17,268 | | | | |
| 008 | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY | 10,491 | 10,491 | 10,491 | 10,491 | | | | |
| 010 | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 80,622 | 80,622 | 80,622 | 80,622 | | | | |
| 011 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | 14,147 | 14,147 | 14,147 | 14,147 | | | | |
| 012 | NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCEs) | 1,921 | 1,921 | 1,921 | 1,921 | | | | |
| 013 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK | 80,144 | 80,144 | 80,144 | 80,144 | | | | |
| 015 | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 8,755 | 8,755 | 8,755 | 8,755 | | | | |
| 016 | WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY | 33,737 | 33,737 | 33,737 | 33,737 | | | | |
| 017 | SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE | 32,544 | 32,544 | 32,544 | 32,544 | | | | |
| 018 | JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT | 13,300 | 13,300 | 13,300 | 13,300 | | | | |
| 020 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DIA MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 7,436 | 7,436 | 7,436 | 7,436 | | | | |
| 021 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMOACT MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 11,640 | 11,640 | 11,640 | 11,640 | | | | |
| 022 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS | 1,269 | 1,269 | 1,269 | 1,269 | | | | |
| 024 | VEHICLES | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | | | | |
| 025 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 1,039 | 1,039 | 1,039 | 1,039 | | | | |
| 026 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY VEHICLES | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | | | | |
| 027 | OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT | 7,639 | 7,639 | 7,639 | 7,639 | | | | |
| 028 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY) | 68,880 | 68,880 | 68,880 | 68,880 | | | | |
| 029 | THAAD | 464,424 | 464,424 | 464,424 | 464,424 | | | | |
| 030 | AEGIS BMD | 435,430 | 435,430 | 435,430 | 435,430 | | | | |
| 031 | BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS | 48,140 | 48,140 | 48,140 | 48,140 | | | | |
| 032 | AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III | 225,774 | 225,774 | 225,774 | 225,774 | | | | |
| 034 | IRON DOME | 175,972 | 175,972 | 175,972 | 175,972 | | | | |
| 041 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP) | 3,448 | 3,448 | 3,448 | 3,448 | | | | |

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | House Authorized | | Senate Authorized | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------------------|---------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 042 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD | | 43,708 | | 43,708 | | 43,708 | | | | 43,708 |
| 044 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TIS | | 10,783 | | 10,783 | | 10,783 | | | | 10,783 |
| 046 | MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS | | 29,599 | | 29,599 | | 29,599 | | | | 29,599 |
| 046A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 540,894 | | 540,894 | | 540,894 | | | | 540,894 |
| | AVIATION PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 047 | MC-12 | | 40,500 | | 40,500 | | [40,500] | | -40,500 | | 0 |
| | Unjustified Request | | | | | | [40,500] | | [40,500] | | |
| 048 | ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT | | 112,226 | | 112,226 | | 112,226 | | | | 112,226 |
| 049 | MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | | 3,021 | | 3,021 | | 3,021 | | | | 3,021 |
| 050 | NON-STANDARD AVIATION | | 48,200 | | 48,200 | | 48,200 | | | | 48,200 |
| 052 | MH-47 CHINOOK | | 22,230 | | 22,230 | | 22,230 | | | | 22,230 |
| 053 | RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | | 6,397 | | 6,397 | | 6,397 | | | | 6,397 |
| 054 | CV-22 MODIFICATION | | 25,578 | | 25,578 | | 25,578 | | | | 25,578 |
| 056 | MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE | | 15,651 | | 15,651 | | 21,351 | | | | 15,651 |
| | Capability Improvements | | | | | | [5,700] | | | | |
| 057 | STUASLO | | 1,500 | | 1,500 | | 1,500 | | | | 1,500 |
| 058 | PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE | | 145,929 | | 145,929 | | 145,929 | | | | 145,929 |
| 059 | AC/MC-130J | | 65,130 | | 65,130 | | 65,130 | | | | 65,130 |
| 061 | C-130 MODIFICATIONS | | 39,563 | | 39,563 | | 39,563 | | | | 39,563 |
| | SHIPBUILDING | | | | | | | | | | |
| 063 | UNDERWATER SYSTEMS | | 25,459 | | 25,459 | | 25,459 | | | | 25,459 |
| | AMMUNITION PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 065 | ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M | | 144,336 | | 144,336 | | 144,336 | | | | 144,336 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS | | | | | | | | | | |
| 068 | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS | | 81,001 | | 81,001 | | 81,001 | | | | 81,001 |
| 070 | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | | 17,323 | | 13,423 | | 17,323 | | | | 17,323 |
| | Reduction of PED Ground Systems | | | | [3,900] | | | | | | |
| 071 | OTHER ITEMS <\$5M | | 84,852 | | 84,852 | | 84,852 | | | | 84,852 |
| 072 | COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS | | 51,937 | | 51,937 | | 51,937 | | | | 51,937 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 074 | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 31,017 | 31,017 | 31,017 | 31,017 | | | | |
| 075 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | 63,134 | 63,134 | 63,134 | 63,134 | | | | |
| 076 | WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M | 192,448 | 192,448 | 192,448 | 192,448 | | | | |
| 078 | COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS | 19,984 | 19,984 | 19,984 | 19,984 | | | | |
| 081 | GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES | 5,044 | 5,044 | 5,044 | 5,044 | | | | |
| 082 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE | 38,126 | 38,126 | 38,126 | 38,126 | | | | |
| 088 | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 243,849 | 243,849 | 243,849 | 243,849 | | | | |
| | CBDP | | | | | | | | |
| 095 | CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS | 170,137 | 170,137 | 170,137 | 170,137 | | | | |
| 096 | CB PROTECTION & HAZARD MITIGATION | 150,392 | 150,392 | 150,392 | 150,392 | | | | |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE | 69 | 4,492,537 | 68 | 4,010,665 | -1 | -186,352 | 68 | 4,035,085 |
| | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | | | | | | | | |
| | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | | | | | | | | |
| 001 | JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | | | | 0 |
| | Unjustified request | | [-20,000] | | | | | | [-20,000] |
| | TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 0 | -20,000 | 0 | 0 |
| | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | | | | | | | |
| | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | | | | | | | |
| 010 | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | -265,685 | -265,685 | [265,685] | [265,685] | | | | 0 |
| | Denied Prior Year Rescission request | | | | | | | | [265,685] |
| | TOTAL PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | -265,685 | -265,685 | [265,685] | [265,685] | 0 | 265,685 | 0 | 265,685 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS | | | | | | | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS | | | | | | | | |
| 010 | UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS | -265,685 | -265,685 | | | | | | 0 |
| | Undistributed FY15 reduction | | | | | | | | [-265,685] |
| | TOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED GENERAL PROVISIONS | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT | 158,103 | 89,508,034 | 158,218 | 158,247 | 103 | 1,891,327 | 158,206 | 91,399,361 |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|---|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | |
| | FIXED WING | | | | | | |
| 003 | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) | 2 | 36,000 | | | 2 | 36,000 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 2 | 36,000 | | | 2 | 36,000 |
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | |
| | AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM | | | | | | |
| 004 | HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY | 159 | 32,136 | | | 159 | 32,136 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY | 159 | 32,136 | | | 159 | 32,136 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | | | | | | |
| | SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 007 | CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES | | 35,000 | | | | 35,000 |
| | MORTAR AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 009 | 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES | | 5,000 | | | | 5,000 |
| | ARTILLERY AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 013 | ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES | | 10,000 | | | | 10,000 |
| 014 | ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES | | 15,000 | | | | 15,000 |
| | ROCKETS | | | | | | |
| 020 | ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES | | 66,905 | | | | 66,905 |
| | OTHER AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 021 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | | 3,000 | | | | 3,000 |
| 022 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | | 1,000 | | | | 1,000 |
| 023 | SIGNALS, ALL TYPES | | 5,000 | | | | 5,000 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY | | 140,905 | | | | 140,905 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | | | | | |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| 005 | FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) | 286 | 95,624 | | | 286 | 95,624 |
| 008 | PLS ESP | | 60,300 | | | | 60,300 |

| | | | | | | |
|------|---|-----|----------------|----------------|-----|----------------|
| 010 | HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV | 473 | 192,620 | 192,620 | 473 | 192,620 |
| 015 | MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS | | 197,000 | 197,000 | | 197,000 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) | | | | | |
| 063 | DCGS-A (MIP) | | 63,831 | 63,831 | | 63,831 |
| 065A | TROJAN SPIRIT—TERMINALS (TIARA) | | 2,600 | 2,600 | | 2,600 |
| 067 | CI HUNTING AUTO REPTING AND COLL(CHARCS) | | 6,910 | 6,910 | | 6,910 |
| | ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARPARE (EW) | | | | | |
| 071 | FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITY | | 32,083 | 32,083 | | 32,083 |
| 072 | COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES | | 47,535 | 47,535 | | 47,535 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | | | | |
| 114A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| | COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 133 | FORCE PROVIDER | | 51,500 | 51,500 | | 51,500 |
| 135 | CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM | | 2,580 | 2,580 | | 2,580 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | | |
| 170 | RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 759 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 759 | 25,000 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY | | 778,583 | 778,583 | | 778,583 |
| | JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND | | | | | |
| | NETWORK ATTACK | | | | | |
| 001 | ATTACK THE NETWORK | | 189,700 | 189,700 | | 189,700 |
| 002 | JEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT | | 94,600 | 94,600 | | 94,600 |
| | DEFEAT THE DEVICE | | | | | |
| 003 | FORCE TRAINING | | 15,700 | 15,700 | | 15,700 |
| | TRAIN THE FORCE | | | | | |
| 004 | STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE | | 79,000 | 79,000 | | 79,000 |
| | OPERATIONS | | | | | |
| | Transfer from Base | | | 65,463 | | 65,463 |
| | TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND | | 379,000 | 444,463 | | 444,463 |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | |
| | COMBAT AIRCRAFT | | | | | |
| 011 | H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z) | 1 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 1 | 30,000 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | |
| 027 | MQ-8 UAV | 2 | 40,888 | 40,888 | 2 | 40,888 |
| 028A | STUASLO UAV | 3 | 55,000 | 55,000 | 3 | 55,000 |
| | MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT | | | | | |
| 039 | EP-3 SERIES | | 34,955 | 34,955 | | 34,955 |
| 049 | SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT | | 2,548 | 2,548 | | 2,548 |
| 054 | COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT | | 31,920 | 31,920 | | 31,920 |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|--|-----------------|---------|------------------|------|----------------------|---------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| 067 | AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES | | | | | | |
| | AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES | | 936 | | | | 936 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 6 | 196,247 | | | 6 | 196,247 |
| 003 | WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | | | |
| | STRATEGIC MISSILES | | | | | | |
| | TOMAHAWK | 47 | 45,500 | | | 47 | 45,500 |
| | TACTICAL MISSILES | | | | | | |
| 010 | LASER MAVERICK | | 16,485 | | | | 16,485 |
| 011 | STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM) | 40 | 4,800 | | | 40 | 4,800 |
| | TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY | 87 | 66,785 | | | 87 | 66,785 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC | | | | | | |
| | NAVY AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 001 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | | 7,596 | | | | 7,596 |
| 002 | AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | | 8,862 | | | | 8,862 |
| 003 | MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION | | 3,473 | | | | 3,473 |
| 006 | AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES | | 29,376 | | | | 29,376 |
| 011 | OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION | | 3,919 | | | | 3,919 |
| 012 | SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO | | 3,561 | | | | 3,561 |
| 013 | PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION | | 2,913 | | | | 2,913 |
| 014 | AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 2,764 | | | | 2,764 |
| | MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION | | | | | | |
| 015 | SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION | | 9,475 | | | | 9,475 |
| 016 | LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES | | 8,843 | | | | 8,843 |
| 017 | 40 MM, ALL TYPES | | 7,098 | | | | 7,098 |
| 018 | 60MM, ALL TYPES | | 5,935 | | | | 5,935 |
| 019 | 81MM, ALL TYPES | | 9,318 | | | | 9,318 |
| 020 | 120MM, ALL TYPES | | 6,921 | | | | 6,921 |
| 022 | GRENADES, ALL TYPES | | 3,218 | | | | 3,218 |
| 023 | ROCKETS, ALL TYPES | | 7,642 | | | | 7,642 |
| 024 | ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES | | 30,289 | | | | 30,289 |
| 025 | DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES | | 1,255 | | | | 1,255 |

| | | | | | |
|------|--|----|----------------|-------|----------------|
| 026 | FUZE, ALL TYPES | | 2,061 | | 2,061 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC | | 154,519 | | 154,519 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | |
| 023 | OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT | | 8,210 | | 8,210 |
| | UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS | | | | |
| 078 | OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT | | | 400 | 400 |
| | CANES | | | [400] | |
| 084 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 5,870 | | 5,870 |
| | ERI: Information Sharing with Coalition Partners | | | | |
| 088 | SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS | | 1,100 | | 1,100 |
| | COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M | | | | |
| 132 | OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 207,860 | | 207,860 |
| | EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP | | | | |
| 138 | CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 1,063 | | 1,063 |
| 139 | PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES | | 152 | | 152 |
| 142 | GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS | | 26,300 | | 26,300 |
| 145 | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | 3,300 | | 3,300 |
| | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION | | | | |
| 152 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 10,745 | | 10,745 |
| 157 | COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 3,331 | | 3,331 |
| 158 | OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 35,923 | | 36,073 |
| | C4ISR EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| 159 | ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 514 | | 514 |
| | ERI: Black Sea Information Sharing Initiatives | | | | |
| 164A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 2,400 | | 2,400 |
| | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | | 306,768 | 550 | 307,318 |
| | TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY | | | | |
| | PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | | | | |
| 007 | OTHER SUPPORT | | 3,190 | | 3,190 |
| | MODIFICATION KITS | 1 | | | |
| | GUIDED MISSILES | | | | |
| 010 | JAVELIN | 90 | 17,100 | | 17,100 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT | | | | |
| 013 | MODIFICATION KITS | | 13,500 | | 13,500 |
| 016 | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | | 980 | | 980 |
| | REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| 019 | COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL) | | 996 | | 996 |
| | ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) | | | | |

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | | Agreement Change | | Agreement Authorized | |
|------|--|-----------------|----------------|------------------|------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost | Qty | Cost |
| | INTEL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | |
| 025 | INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | 1,450 | | | | 1,450 |
| 028 | RO-11 UAV | | 1,740 | | | | 1,740 |
| | OTHER COMMELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | |
| 031 | NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT | | 134 | | | | 134 |
| | OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL) | | | | | | |
| 036 | COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS | | 3,119 | | | | 3,119 |
| | TACTICAL VEHICLES | | | | | | |
| 042 | MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT | | 584 | | | | 584 |
| | ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 052 | EOD SYSTEMS | | 5,566 | | | | 5,566 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | | | |
| 055 | MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP | | 3,230 | | | | 3,230 |
| | GENERAL PROPERTY | | | | | | |
| 058 | TRAINING DEVICES | | 2,000 | | | | 2,000 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS | 91 | 53,589 | | | 91 | 53,589 |
| | AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | | | |
| | OTHER AIRLIFT | | | | | | |
| 004 | C-130J | 1 | 70,000 | | | 1 | 70,000 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 018 | MQ-9 | 12 | 192,000 | | | 12 | 192,000 |
| | STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 021 | B-1B | | 91,879 | | | | 91,879 |
| | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | | | | | |
| 050 | C-130 | | 47,840 | | | | 47,840 |
| 051 | C-130 MODS | | 18,000 | | | | 18,000 |
| 053 | COMPASS CALL MODS | | 24,800 | | | | 24,800 |
| 063 | HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS | | 44,300 | | | | 44,300 |
| 064 | OTHER AIRCRAFT | | 111,990 | | | | 111,990 |
| | AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS | | | | | | |
| 070 | INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS | | 45,410 | | | | 45,410 |
| | TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 13 | 646,219 | | | 13 | 646,219 |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|
| | MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | |
| | TACTICAL | | | | |
| 006 | PREDATOR HELIFIRE MISSILE | 1,073 | 125,469 | 1,073 | 125,469 |
| 007 | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB | 268 | 10,720 | 268 | 10,720 |
| | TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | 1,341 | 136,189 | 1,341 | 136,189 |
| | PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | | | | |
| | CARTRIDGES | | | | |
| 002 | CARTRIDGES | | 2,469 | | 2,469 |
| | BOMBS | | | | |
| 004 | GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS | | 56,293 | | 56,293 |
| 005 | JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 4,027 | 117,039 | 4,027 | 117,039 |
| | FLARES | | | | |
| 011 | FLARES | | 19,136 | | 19,136 |
| | FUZES | | | | |
| 012 | FUZES | | 24,848 | | 24,848 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE | 4,027 | 219,785 | 4,027 | 219,785 |
| | OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE | | | | |
| | CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES | | | | |
| 004 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| | SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES | | | | |
| 006 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 1,878 | | 1,878 |
| | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| 008 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 5,131 | | 5,131 |
| | BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | | | | |
| 009 | RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP | | 1,734 | | 1,734 |
| 010 | ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION | | 22,000 | | 22,000 |
| | SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS | | | | |
| 027 | GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY | | 3,857 | | 3,857 |
| 033 | C3 COUNTERMEASURES | | 900 | | 900 |
| | SPACE PROGRAMS | | | | |
| 048 | MILSATCOM SPACE | | 19,547 | | 19,547 |
| | ORGANIZATION AND BASE | | | | |
| 055 | BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE | | 1,970 | | 1,970 |
| | PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP | | | | |
| 057 | NIGHT VISION GOGGLES | | 765 | | 765 |
| | BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| 060 | BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT | | 2,030 | | 2,030 |

| | | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|------------------|----------|------------------|
| | Program decrease | | [-50,000] | | 0 |
| | TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND | 50,000 | -50,000 | | |
| | NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT | | | | |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | |
| | MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT | | 1,250,000 | | 1,250,000 |
| | Program increase | | [1,250,000] | | |
| | TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT | | 1,250,000 | | 1,250,000 |
| | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | | | |
| | PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | 117,000 | | 0 |
| | Denied Prior Year Rescission request | | [117,000] | | |
| | TOTAL PRIOR YEAR RESCISSIONS | | 117,000 | | 0 |
| | TOTAL PROCUREMENT | 6,485 | 1,739,685 | 1 | 8,476,070 |

007

010

TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION.

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY | | | | | | | |
| BASIC RESEARCH | | | | | | | |
| 001 | 0601101A | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | 13,464 | 13,464 | 13,464 | | 13,464 |
| 002 | 0601102A | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 238,167 | 238,167 | 238,167 | | 238,167 |
| 003 | 0601103A | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 69,808 | 69,808 | 89,808 | 20,000 | 89,808 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | | [20,000] | [20,000] | |
| 004 | 0601104A | UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS | 102,737 | 102,737 | 102,737 | | 102,737 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 424,176 | 424,176 | 444,176 | 20,000 | 444,176 |
| APPLIED RESEARCH | | | | | | | |
| 005 | 0602105A | MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY | 28,006 | 28,006 | 28,006 | | 28,006 |
| 006 | 0602120A | SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY | 33,515 | 33,515 | 33,515 | | 33,515 |
| 007 | 0602122A | TRACTOR HIP | 16,358 | 16,358 | 16,358 | | 16,358 |
| 008 | 0602211A | AVIATION TECHNOLOGY | 63,433 | 63,433 | 63,433 | | 63,433 |
| 009 | 0602270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 18,502 | 18,502 | 18,502 | | 18,502 |
| 010 | 0602303A | MISSILE TECHNOLOGY | 46,194 | 46,194 | 46,194 | | 46,194 |
| 011 | 0602307A | ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 28,528 | 28,528 | 28,528 | | 28,528 |
| 012 | 0602308A | ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION | 27,435 | 27,435 | 27,435 | | 27,435 |
| 013 | 0602601A | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY | 72,883 | 72,883 | 72,883 | | 72,883 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|----------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 014 | 0602618A | BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY | 85,597 | 85,597 | 85,597 | 85,597 |
| 015 | 0602622A | CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY | 3,971 | 3,971 | 3,971 | 3,971 |
| 016 | 0602623A | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 6,853 | 6,853 | 6,853 | 6,853 |
| 017 | 0602624A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 38,069 | 38,069 | 38,069 | 38,069 |
| 018 | 0602705A | ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES | 56,435 | 56,435 | 56,435 | 56,435 |
| 019 | 0602709A | NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY | 38,445 | 38,445 | 38,445 | 38,445 |
| 020 | 0602712A | COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS | 25,939 | 25,939 | 25,939 | 25,939 |
| 021 | 0602716A | HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 23,783 | 23,783 | 23,783 | 23,783 |
| 022 | 0602720A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY | 15,659 | 15,659 | 15,659 | 15,659 |
| 023 | 0602782A | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 33,817 | 33,817 | 33,817 | 33,817 |
| 024 | 0602783A | COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY | 10,764 | 10,764 | 10,764 | 10,764 |
| 025 | 0602784A | MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY | 63,311 | 63,311 | 63,311 | 63,311 |
| 026 | 0602785A | MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY | 23,295 | 23,295 | 23,295 | 23,295 |
| 027 | 0602786A | WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY | 25,751 | 28,330 | 25,751 | 28,330 |
| | | Joint Service Combat Feeding Technology | [2,579] | | [2,579] | |
| 028 | 0602787A | MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 76,068 | 76,068 | 76,068 | 76,068 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 862,611 | 865,190 | 862,611 | 865,190 |
| | | | | | | 2,579 |
| | | | | | | [2,579] |
| | | | | | | 2,579 |
| | | | | | | 865,190 |
| | | | | | | 862,611 |
| | | | | | | 76,068 |
| | | | | | | 65,139 |
| 029 | 0603001A | WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 65,139 | 65,139 | 65,139 | 65,139 |
| | | Joint Service Combat Feeding Tech Demo | [674] | | [674] | |
| 030 | 0603002A | MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 67,291 | 67,291 | 67,291 | 67,291 |
| 031 | 0603003A | AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 88,990 | 88,990 | 88,990 | 88,990 |
| 032 | 0603004A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 57,931 | 57,931 | 57,931 | 57,931 |
| 033 | 0603005A | COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 110,031 | 110,031 | 110,031 | 110,031 |
| 034 | 0603006A | SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 6,883 | 6,883 | 6,883 | 6,883 |
| 035 | 0603007A | MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 13,580 | 13,580 | 13,580 | 13,580 |
| 036 | 0603008A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 44,871 | 44,871 | 44,871 | 44,871 |
| 037 | 0603009A | TRACTOR HIKE | 7,492 | 7,492 | 7,492 | 7,492 |
| 038 | 0603015A | NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS | 16,749 | 16,749 | 16,749 | 16,749 |
| 039 | 0603020A | TRACTOR ROSE | 14,483 | 14,483 | 14,483 | 14,483 |
| 041 | 0603125A | COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 24,270 | 24,270 | 24,270 | 24,270 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 042 | 0603130A | TRACTOR NAIL | 3,440 | 3,440 | 3,440 | | 3,440 |
| 043 | 0603131A | TRACTOR EGGS | 2,406 | 2,406 | 2,406 | | 2,406 |
| 044 | 0603270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 26,057 | 26,057 | 26,057 | | 26,057 |
| 045 | 0603313A | MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 44,957 | 44,957 | 44,957 | | 44,957 |
| 046 | 0603322A | TRACTOR CABE | 11,105 | 11,105 | 11,105 | | 11,105 |
| 047 | 0603461A | HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 181,609 | 181,609 | 181,609 | | 181,609 |
| 048 | 0603606A | LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 13,074 | 13,074 | 13,074 | | 13,074 |
| 049 | 0603607A | JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM | 7,321 | 7,321 | 7,321 | | 7,321 |
| 050 | 0603710A | NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 44,138 | 44,138 | 44,138 | | 44,138 |
| 051 | 0603728A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 9,197 | 9,197 | 9,197 | | 9,197 |
| 052 | 0603734A | MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 17,613 | 17,613 | 17,613 | | 17,613 |
| 053 | 0603772A | ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 39,164 | 39,164 | 39,164 | | 39,164 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 917,791 | 918,465 | 917,791 | 674 | 918,465 |
| ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | | | | | | | |
| 054 | 0603305A | ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 12,797 | 12,797 | 12,797 | | 12,797 |
| 055 | 0603308A | ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 13,999 | 13,999 | 13,999 | | 13,999 |
| 058 | 0603639A | TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION | 29,334 | 29,334 | 29,334 | | 29,334 |
| 060 | 0603747A | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 9,602 | 11,189 | 9,602 | 1,400 | 11,002 |
| | | Food Advanced Development | | [1,587] | | [1,400] | |
| 061 | 0603766A | TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV | 8,953 | 8,953 | 8,953 | | 8,953 |
| 062 | 0603774A | NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 3,052 | 3,052 | 3,052 | | 3,052 |
| 063 | 0603779A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL | 7,830 | 7,830 | 7,830 | | 7,830 |
| 065 | 0603790A | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 2,954 | 2,954 | 2,954 | | 2,954 |
| 067 | 0603804A | LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV | 13,386 | 13,386 | 13,386 | | 13,386 |
| 069 | 0603807A | MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV | 23,659 | 23,659 | 23,659 | | 23,659 |
| 070 | 0603827A | SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 6,830 | 9,830 | 9,830 | 3,000 | 9,830 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 101 | 0604798A | BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION | 105,279 | 105,279 | 105,279 | | 105,279 |
| 102 | 0604802A | WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV | 15,006 | 15,006 | 15,006 | | 15,006 |
| 103 | 0604804A | LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV | 24,581 | 24,581 | 24,581 | | 24,581 |
| 104 | 0604805A | COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV | 4,433 | 4,433 | 4,433 | | 4,433 |
| 105 | 0604807A | MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV | 30,397 | 30,397 | 30,397 | | 30,397 |
| 106 | 0604808A | LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV | 57,705 | 57,705 | 57,705 | | 57,705 |
| 108 | 0604818A | ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE | 29,683 | 29,683 | 29,683 | | 29,683 |
| 109 | 0604820A | RADAR DEVELOPMENT | 5,224 | 5,224 | 5,224 | | 5,224 |
| 111 | 0604823A | FIREFINDER | 37,492 | 37,492 | 37,492 | | 37,492 |
| 112 | 0604827A | SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL | 6,157 | 6,157 | 6,157 | | 6,157 |
| 113 | 0604854A | ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD | 1,912 | 1,912 | 1,912 | | 1,912 |
| 116 | 0605013A | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 69,761 | 69,761 | 69,761 | | 69,761 |
| 117 | 0605018A | INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (PPS-A) | 138,465 | 138,465 | 138,465 | | 138,465 |
| 118 | 0605028A | ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV) | 92,353 | 92,353 | 92,353 | | 92,353 |
| 119 | 0605030A | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) | 8,440 | 8,440 | 8,440 | | 8,440 |
| 120 | 0605031A | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN) | 17,999 | 17,999 | 17,999 | | 17,999 |
| 121 | 0605035A | COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCUM) | 145,409 | 145,409 | 145,409 | | 145,409 |
| 122 | 0605350A | WIN-T INCREMENT 3—FULL NETWORKING | 113,210 | 113,210 | 113,210 | | 113,210 |
| 123 | 0605380A | AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS) | 6,882 | 6,882 | 6,882 | | 6,882 |
| 124 | 0605450A | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) | 83,838 | 83,838 | 83,838 | | 83,838 |
| 125 | 0605456A | PAC-3/MSE MISSILE | 35,009 | 35,009 | 35,009 | | 35,009 |
| 126 | 0605457A | ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD) | 142,584 | 142,584 | 142,584 | | 142,584 |
| 127 | 0605625A | MANNED GROUND VEHICLE | 49,160 | 49,160 | 49,160 | | 49,160 |
| 128 | 0605626A | AERIAL COMMON SENSOR | 17,748 | 17,748 | 17,748 | | 17,748 |
| 129 | 0605766A | NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP) | 15,212 | 15,212 | 15,212 | | 15,212 |
| 130 | 0605812A | JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH. | 45,718 | 45,718 | 45,718 | | 45,718 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 131 | 0605830A | AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 10,041 | 10,041 | 10,041 | 10,041 |
| 132 | 0210609A | PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM) | 83,300 | 83,300 | 83,300 | 83,300 |
| 133 | 0303032A | TROJAN—RH12 | 983 | 983 | 983 | 983 |
| 134 | 0304270A | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 8,961 | 8,961 | 8,961 | 8,961 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | 1,719,374 | 1,726,638 | 1,721,076 | 7,264 |
| | | 1,726,638 | | | | 1,726,638 |
| RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | | | | | |
| 135 | 0604256A | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 18,062 | 18,062 | 18,062 | 18,062 |
| 136 | 0604258A | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 10,040 | 10,040 | 10,040 | 10,040 |
| 137 | 0604759A | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 60,317 | 60,317 | 60,317 | 60,317 |
| 138 | 0605103A | RAND ARROYO CENTER | 20,612 | 20,612 | 20,612 | 20,612 |
| 139 | 0605301A | ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL | 176,041 | 176,041 | 187,041 | 176,041 |
| | | Additional SSA operations (STRATCOM unfunded priority) | | [11,000] | | |
| 140 | 0605326A | CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM | 19,439 | 19,439 | 19,439 | 19,439 |
| 142 | 0605601A | ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES | 275,025 | 275,025 | 275,025 | 275,025 |
| 143 | 0605602A | ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS | 45,596 | 45,596 | 45,596 | 45,596 |
| 144 | 0605604A | SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS | 33,295 | 33,295 | 33,295 | 33,295 |
| 145 | 0605606A | AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION | 4,700 | 4,700 | 4,700 | 4,700 |
| 146 | 0605702A | METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RD&E ACTIVITIES | 6,413 | 6,413 | 6,413 | 6,413 |
| 147 | 0605706A | MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS | 20,746 | 20,746 | 20,746 | 20,746 |
| 148 | 0605709A | EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS | 7,015 | 7,015 | 7,015 | 7,015 |
| 149 | 0605712A | SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING | 49,221 | 49,221 | 49,221 | 49,221 |
| 150 | 0605716A | ARMY EVALUATION CENTER | 55,039 | 55,039 | 55,039 | 55,039 |
| 151 | 0605718A | ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG | 1,125 | 1,125 | 1,125 | 1,125 |
| 152 | 0605801A | PROGRAMMABLE ACTIVITIES | 64,169 | 64,169 | 64,169 | 64,169 |
| 153 | 0605803A | TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES | 32,319 | 32,319 | 32,319 | 32,319 |
| 154 | 0605805A | MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY | 49,052 | 49,052 | 49,052 | 49,052 |
| 155 | 0605857A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT | 2,612 | 2,612 | 2,612 | 2,612 |
| 156 | 0605898A | MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D | 49,592 | 49,592 | 49,592 | 49,592 |
| | | SUBTOTAL RD&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 1,000,430 | 1,000,430 | 1,011,430 | 1,000,430 |
| OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 158 | 0603778A | MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 17,112 | 17,112 | 17,112 | | 17,112 |
| 159 | 0607141A | LOGISTICS AUTOMATION | 3,654 | 3,654 | 3,654 | | 3,654 |
| 160 | 0607664A | BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC) | 1,332 | 1,332 | 1,332 | | 1,332 |
| 161 | 0607865A | PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT | 152,991 | 152,991 | 152,991 | | 152,991 |
| 162 | 0102419A | AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE | 54,076 | 29,076 | 54,076 | -12,500 | 41,576 |
| | | Funding ahead of need | | [-25,000] | | | [-12,500] |
| 163 | 0203726A | ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM | 22,374 | 22,374 | 22,374 | | 22,374 |
| 164 | 0203728A | JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM (JADOGCS) | 24,371 | 24,371 | 24,371 | | 24,371 |
| 165 | 0203735A | COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 295,177 | 321,177 | 295,177 | 26,000 | 321,177 |
| | | Stryker ECP risk mitigation | | [26,000] | | | [26,000] |
| 166 | 0203740A | MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM | 45,092 | 45,092 | 45,092 | | 45,092 |
| 167 | 0203744A | AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 264,887 | 264,887 | 264,887 | | 264,887 |
| 168 | 0203752A | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 381 | 381 | 381 | | 381 |
| 169 | 0203758A | DIGITIZATION | 10,912 | 10,912 | 10,912 | | 10,912 |
| 170 | 0203801A | MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 5,115 | 5,115 | 5,115 | | 5,115 |
| 171 | 0203802A | OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS | 49,848 | 44,848 | 49,848 | -5,000 | 44,848 |
| | | Contract delay for ATACMS | | [-5,000] | | | [-5,000] |
| 172 | 0203808A | TRACTOR CARD | 22,691 | 22,691 | 22,691 | | 22,691 |
| 173 | 0205402A | INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV | 4,364 | 4,364 | 4,364 | | 4,364 |
| 174 | 0205410A | MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT | 834 | 834 | 834 | | 834 |
| 175 | 0205412A | ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV | 280 | 280 | 280 | | 280 |
| 176 | 0205456A | LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM | 78,758 | 78,758 | 78,758 | | 78,758 |
| 177 | 0205778A | GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS) | 45,377 | 45,377 | 45,377 | | 45,377 |
| 178 | 0208053A | JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM | 10,209 | 10,209 | 10,209 | | 10,209 |
| 181 | 0303028A | SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES | 12,525 | 12,525 | 12,525 | | 12,525 |
| 182 | 0303140A | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 14,175 | 14,175 | 14,175 | | 14,175 |
| 183 | 0303141A | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 4,527 | 4,527 | 4,527 | | 4,527 |

| | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 184 | 0303142A | SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE) | 11,011 | 11,011 | 11,011 | 11,011 | 11,011 |
| 185 | 0303150A | WMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 2,151 | 2,151 | 2,151 | 2,151 | 2,151 |
| 187 | 0305204A | TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES | 22,870 | 22,870 | 22,870 | 22,870 | 22,870 |
| 188 | 0305208A | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 20,155 | 20,155 | 20,155 | 20,155 | 20,155 |
| 189 | 0305219A | MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS | 46,472 | 46,472 | 46,472 | 46,472 | 46,472 |
| 191 | 0305233A | RQ-7 UAV | 16,389 | 16,389 | 16,389 | 16,389 | 16,389 |
| 192 | 0307665A | BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE | 1,974 | 1,974 | 1,974 | 1,974 | 1,974 |
| 193 | 0310349A | WIN-T INCREMENT 2—INITIAL NETWORKING | 3,249 | 3,249 | 3,249 | 3,249 | 3,249 |
| 194 | 0708045A | END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES | 76,225 | 76,225 | 76,225 | 76,225 | 76,225 |
| 194A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 | 4,802 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 1,346,360 | 1,342,360 | 1,346,360 | 1,346,360 | 1,354,860 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY | 6,593,898 | 6,580,002 | 6,599,600 | 6,612,315 | |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY | | | | | |
| | | BASIC RESEARCH | | | | | |
| 001 | 0601103N | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 113,908 | 118,908 | 133,908 | 133,908 | 133,908 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | [5,000] | [20,000] | [20,000] | |
| 002 | 0601152N | IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH | 18,734 | 18,734 | 18,734 | 18,734 | 18,734 |
| 003 | 0601153N | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 443,697 | 443,697 | 443,697 | 443,697 | 443,697 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 576,339 | 581,339 | 596,339 | 596,339 | |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | | | | |
| 004 | 0602114N | POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH | 95,753 | 95,753 | 95,753 | 95,753 | 95,753 |
| 005 | 0602123N | FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH | 139,496 | 139,496 | 139,496 | 139,496 | 139,496 |
| 006 | 0602131M | MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY | 45,831 | 45,831 | 45,831 | 45,831 | 45,831 |
| 007 | 0602235N | COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH | 43,541 | 43,541 | 43,541 | 43,541 | 43,541 |
| 008 | 0602236N | WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH | 46,923 | 46,923 | 46,923 | 46,923 | 46,923 |
| 009 | 0602271N | ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH | 107,872 | 107,872 | 107,872 | 107,872 | 107,872 |
| 010 | 0602435N | OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH | 45,388 | 45,388 | 45,388 | 45,388 | 45,388 |
| | | Service Life extension for the AGOR ships | | [20,000] | | [20,000] | |
| 011 | 0602651M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH | 5,887 | 5,887 | 5,887 | 5,887 | 5,887 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 012 | 0602747N | UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH | 86,880 | 86,880 | 86,880 | | 86,880 |
| 013 | 0602750N | FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH | 170,786 | 170,786 | 170,786 | | 170,786 |
| 014 | 0602782N | MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH | 32,526 | 32,526 | 32,526 | | 32,526 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 820,883 | 840,883 | 820,883 | 20,000 | 840,883 |
| | | ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | | | | | |
| 015 | 0603114N | POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 37,734 | 37,734 | 37,734 | | 37,734 |
| 016 | 0603123N | FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 25,831 | 25,831 | 25,831 | | 25,831 |
| 017 | 0603271N | ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 64,623 | 64,623 | 64,623 | | 64,623 |
| 018 | 0603640M | USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD) | 128,397 | 128,397 | 128,397 | | 128,397 |
| 019 | 0603651M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 11,506 | 11,506 | 11,506 | | 11,506 |
| 020 | 0603673N | FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 256,144 | 256,144 | 256,144 | | 256,144 |
| 021 | 0603729N | WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 4,838 | 4,838 | 4,838 | | 4,838 |
| 022 | 0603747N | UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 9,985 | 9,985 | 9,985 | | 9,985 |
| 023 | 0603758N | NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS | 53,956 | 53,956 | 53,956 | | 53,956 |
| 024 | 0603782N | MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 595,014 | 595,014 | 595,014 | | 595,014 |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | | | | | |
| 025 | 0603207N | AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS | 40,429 | 40,429 | 40,429 | | 40,429 |
| 026 | 0603216N | AVIATION SURVIVABILITY | 4,325 | 4,325 | 4,325 | | 4,325 |
| 027 | 0603237N | DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL | 2,991 | 2,991 | 2,991 | | 2,991 |
| 028 | 0603251N | AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS | 12,651 | 12,651 | 12,651 | | 12,651 |
| 029 | 0603254N | ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 7,782 | 7,782 | 7,782 | | 7,782 |
| 030 | 0603261N | TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE | 5,275 | 5,275 | 5,275 | | 5,275 |
| 031 | 0603382N | ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY | 1,646 | 1,646 | 1,646 | | 1,646 |
| 032 | 0603502N | SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES | 100,349 | 100,349 | 100,349 | | 100,349 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 058 | 0603721N | ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION | 13,200 | 13,200 | 13,200 | | 13,200 |
| 059 | 0603724N | NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM | 69,415 | 69,415 | 69,415 | | 69,415 |
| 060 | 0603725N | FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT | 2,588 | 2,588 | 2,588 | | 2,588 |
| 061 | 0603734N | CHALK CORAL | 176,301 | 176,301 | 176,301 | | 176,301 |
| 062 | 0603739N | NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY | 3,873 | 3,873 | 3,873 | | 3,873 |
| 063 | 0603746N | RETRACT MAPLE | 376,028 | 376,028 | 376,028 | | 376,028 |
| 064 | 0603748N | LINK PLUMERIA | 272,096 | 272,096 | 272,096 | | 272,096 |
| 065 | 0603751N | RETRACT ELM | 42,233 | 42,233 | 42,233 | | 42,233 |
| 066 | 0603764N | LINK EVERGREEN | 46,504 | 46,504 | 46,504 | | 46,504 |
| 067 | 0603787N | SPECIAL PROCESSES | 25,109 | 25,109 | 25,109 | | 25,109 |
| 068 | 0603790N | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 9,659 | 9,659 | 9,659 | | 9,659 |
| 069 | 0603795N | LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY | 318 | 318 | 318 | | 318 |
| 070 | 0603851M | JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING | 40,912 | 40,912 | 40,912 | | 40,912 |
| 071 | 0603860N | JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEMNVAL | 54,896 | 27,896 | 54,896 | -13,000 | 41,896 |
| | | Program delay | | [-27,000] | | | |
| 073 | 0603925N | DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS | 58,696 | 58,696 | 58,696 | | 58,696 |
| 074 | 0604112N | GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER (CVN 78—80) | 43,613 | 43,613 | 43,613 | | 43,613 |
| 075 | 0604122N | REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS) | 21,110 | 21,110 | 21,110 | | 21,110 |
| 076 | 0604272N | TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM) | 5,657 | 5,657 | 5,657 | | 5,657 |
| 077 | 0604279N | ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION | 8,033 | 8,033 | 8,033 | | 5,923 |
| | | Unjustified request for test assets | | | | | [-2,110] |
| 078 | 0604454N | LX (R) | 36,859 | 36,859 | 36,859 | | 36,859 |
| 079 | 0604653N | JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW) | 15,227 | 15,227 | 15,227 | | 15,227 |
| 081 | 0604707N | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT | 22,393 | 22,393 | 22,393 | | 22,393 |
| 082 | 0604786N | OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT | 202,939 | 202,939 | | | 202,939 |
| | | Halt program pending analysis demonstrating need | | | | | [-202,939] |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 132 | 0604761N | SEWIP block 3 preliminary design contract delay | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 | 200 |
| 133 | 0604771N | INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING | 8,287 | 8,287 | 8,287 | 8,287 | 8,287 | 8,287 | 8,287 |
| 134 | 0604777N | MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT | 29,504 | 29,504 | 29,504 | 29,504 | 29,504 | 29,504 | 29,504 |
| 135 | 0604800M | NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM | 513,021 | 513,021 | 513,021 | 513,021 | 513,021 | 513,021 | 513,021 |
| 136 | 0604800N | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD | 516,456 | 516,456 | 516,456 | 516,456 | 516,456 | 516,456 | 516,456 |
| 137 | 0605013M | JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD | 2,887 | 2,887 | 2,887 | 2,887 | 2,887 | 2,887 | 2,887 |
| 138 | 0605013N | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 66,317 | 66,317 | 66,317 | 66,317 | 66,317 | 66,317 | 66,317 |
| 139 | 0605212N | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 573,187 | 573,187 | 573,187 | 573,187 | 573,187 | 573,187 | 573,187 |
| 140 | 0605220N | CH-53K RDTE | 67,815 | 67,815 | 67,815 | 67,815 | 67,815 | 67,815 | 67,815 |
| 141 | 0605450N | SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC) | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 | 6,300 |
| 142 | 0605500N | JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) | 308,037 | 323,037 | 323,037 | 308,037 | 308,037 | 319,037 | 319,037 |
| | | MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) | | | | | | 11,000 | |
| | | Spiral 2 government systems engineering program growth | | | | | | [-4,000] | |
| | | Wideband Communication Development | | | | | | [15,000] | |
| 143 | 0204202N | DDG-1000 | 202,522 | 202,522 | 202,522 | 202,522 | 202,522 | 202,522 | 202,522 |
| 144 | 0304231N | TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP | 1,011 | 1,011 | 1,011 | 1,011 | 1,011 | 1,011 | 1,011 |
| 145 | 0304785N | TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS | 10,357 | 10,357 | 10,357 | 10,357 | 10,357 | 10,357 | 10,357 |
| 146 | 0305124N | SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM | 23,975 | 23,975 | 23,975 | 23,975 | 23,975 | 23,975 | 23,975 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | 5,419,108 | 5,231,108 | 5,231,108 | 5,419,108 | 5,419,108 | -25,333 | 5,393,775 |
| 147 | 0604256N | MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| 148 | 0604258N | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 45,272 | 45,272 | 45,272 | 45,272 | 45,272 | 45,272 | 45,272 |
| | | TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 79,718 | 79,718 | 79,718 | 79,718 | 79,718 | 79,718 | 69,718 |
| | | QJM-173A program delay | | | | | | [-10,000] | |
| 149 | 0604759N | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 123,993 | 123,993 | 123,993 | 123,993 | 123,993 | 123,993 | 123,993 |
| 150 | 0605126N | JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | 4,960 | 4,960 | 4,960 | 4,960 | 4,960 | 4,960 | 4,960 |
| 151 | 0605152N | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY | 8,296 | 8,296 | 8,296 | 8,296 | 8,296 | 8,296 | 8,296 |
| 152 | 0605154N | CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES | 45,752 | 45,752 | 45,752 | 45,752 | 45,752 | 45,752 | 45,752 |
| 154 | 0605804N | TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES | 876 | 876 | 876 | 876 | 876 | 876 | 876 |
| 155 | 0605853N | MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 72,070 | 72,070 | 72,070 | 72,070 | 72,070 | 72,070 | 72,070 |
| 156 | 0605856N | STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT | 3,237 | 3,237 | 3,237 | 3,237 | 3,237 | 3,237 | 3,237 |
| 157 | 0605861N | RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT | 73,033 | 73,033 | 73,033 | 73,033 | 73,033 | 73,033 | 73,033 |

[-13,225]

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 158 | 0605863N | RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT | 138,304 | 138,304 | 138,304 | | 138,304 |
| 159 | 0605864N | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 336,286 | 336,286 | 336,286 | | 336,286 |
| 160 | 0605865N | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY | 16,658 | 16,658 | 16,658 | | 16,658 |
| 161 | 0605866N | NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT | 2,505 | 2,505 | 2,505 | | 2,505 |
| 162 | 0605867N | SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT | 8,325 | 8,325 | 8,325 | | 8,325 |
| 163 | 0605873M | MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT | 17,866 | 17,866 | 17,866 | | 17,866 |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 977,151 | 977,151 | 977,151 | -10,000 | 967,151 |
| OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | | |
| 168 | 0604402N | UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT. | 35,949 | 35,949 | 35,949 | | 35,949 |
| 169 | 0604766M | MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS | 215 | 215 | 215 | | 215 |
| 170 | 0605525N | CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON | 8,873 | 8,873 | 8,873 | | 8,873 |
| 172 | 0101221N | STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT | 96,943 | 96,943 | 96,943 | | 96,943 |
| 173 | 0101224N | SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 30,057 | 30,057 | 30,057 | | 30,057 |
| 174 | 0101226N | SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 4,509 | 4,509 | 4,509 | | 4,509 |
| 175 | 0101402N | NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS | 13,676 | 13,676 | 13,676 | | 13,676 |
| 176 | 0203761N | RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTI) | 12,480 | 12,480 | 12,480 | | 12,480 |
| 177 | 0204136N | F/A-18 SQUADRONS | 76,216 | 76,216 | 76,216 | | 76,216 |
| 179 | 0204163N | FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL) | 27,281 | 27,281 | 27,281 | | 27,281 |
| 180 | 0204228N | SURFACE SUPPORT | 2,878 | 2,878 | 2,878 | | 2,878 |
| 181 | 0204229N | TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC) | 32,385 | 32,385 | 32,385 | | 32,385 |
| 182 | 0204311N | INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 39,371 | 39,371 | 39,371 | | 39,371 |
| 183 | 0204413N | AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT) | 4,609 | 4,609 | 11,609 | | 4,609 |
| | | At USMC request transfer from RDTEN 53 | | | [7,000] | | |
| 184 | 0204460M | GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (G/ATOR) | 99,106 | 89,106 | 99,106 | -7,000 | 92,106 |
| | | Unjustified cost growth | | [-10,000] | | | [-7,000] |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|----------|---|----------|---------|---------|-----------|
| 185 | 0204571N | CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 39,922 | 39,922 | 39,922 | 39,922 |
| 186 | 0204574N | CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT | 1,157 | 1,157 | 1,157 | 1,157 |
| 187 | 0204575N | ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT | 22,067 | 22,067 | 22,067 | 22,067 |
| 188 | 0205601N | HARM IMPROVEMENT | 17,420 | 17,420 | 17,420 | 17,420 |
| 189 | 0205604N | TACTICAL DATA LINKS | 151,208 | 151,208 | 151,208 | 151,208 |
| 190 | 0205620N | SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION | 26,366 | 26,366 | 26,366 | 26,366 |
| 191 | 0205632N | MK-48 ADCAP | 25,952 | 25,952 | 25,952 | 25,952 |
| 192 | 0205633N | AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS | 106,936 | 106,936 | 106,936 | 106,936 |
| 194 | 0205675N | OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS | 104,023 | 104,023 | 104,023 | 104,023 |
| 195 | 0206313M | MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 77,398 | 77,398 | 77,398 | 77,398 |
| 196 | 0206335M | COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (CAC2S) | 32,495 | 32,495 | 32,495 | 32,495 |
| 197 | 0206623M | MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS | 156,626 | 156,626 | 156,626 | 156,626 |
| 198 | 0206624M | MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT | 20,999 | 20,999 | 20,999 | 20,999 |
| 199 | 0206625M | USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP) | 14,179 | 14,179 | 14,179 | 14,179 |
| 200 | 0207161N | TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 47,258 | 47,258 | 47,258 | 47,258 |
| 201 | 0207163N | ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) | 10,210 | 10,210 | 10,210 | 10,210 |
| 206 | 0303109N | SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE) | 41,829 | 41,829 | 41,829 | 41,829 |
| 207 | 0303138N | CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES) | 22,780 | 22,780 | 22,780 | 22,780 |
| 208 | 0303140N | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 23,053 | 23,053 | 23,053 | 23,053 |
| 209 | 0303150M | WMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 296 | 296 | 296 | 296 |
| 212 | 0305160N | NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC) | 359 | 359 | 359 | 359 |
| 213 | 0305192N | MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES | 6,166 | 6,166 | 6,166 | 6,166 |
| 214 | 0305204N | TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES | 8,505 | 8,505 | 8,505 | 8,505 |
| 216 | 0305208M | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 11,613 | 11,613 | 11,613 | 11,613 |
| 217 | 0305208N | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 18,146 | 18,146 | 18,146 | 18,146 |
| 218 | 0305220N | RQ-4 UAV | 498,003 | 530,403 | 498,003 | 463,003 |
| | | Milestone C delay | | | | -35,000 |
| | | Triton Sensor Development Acceleration | [32,400] | | | [-35,000] |
| 219 | 0305231N | MQ-8 UAV | 47,294 | 47,294 | 47,294 | 47,294 |
| 220 | 0305232M | RQ-11 UAV | 718 | 718 | 718 | 718 |
| 221 | 0305233N | RQ-7 UAV | 851 | 851 | 851 | 851 |
| 222 | 0305234N | SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO) | 4,813 | 4,813 | 4,813 | 4,813 |

| SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Authorized |
| 223 | 0305239M | RQ-21A | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 | 8,192 |
| 224 | 0305241N | MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT | 22,559 | 22,559 | 22,559 | 18,664 |
| | | Program execution | | | | -3,895 |
| | | | | | | [-3,895] |
| 225 | 0305242M | UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP) | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| 226 | 0308601N | MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT | 4,719 | 4,719 | 4,719 | 4,719 |
| 227 | 0702207N | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 21,168 | 21,168 | 21,168 | 21,168 |
| 228 | 0708011N | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 37,169 | 37,169 | 37,169 | 37,169 |
| 229 | 0708730N | MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH) | 4,347 | 4,347 | 4,347 | 4,347 |
| 229A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,162,684 | 1,162,684 | 1,162,684 | 1,162,684 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 3,286,028 | 3,308,428 | 3,293,028 | 3,240,133 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY | 16,266,335 | 16,183,835 | 16,022,696 | 16,182,092 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF | | | | |
| | | BASIC RESEARCH | | | | |
| 001 | 0601102F | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 314,482 | 314,482 | 314,482 | 314,482 |
| 002 | 0601103F | UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 127,079 | 127,079 | 147,079 | 147,079 |
| | | Basic research program increase | | | [20,000] | [20,000] |
| 003 | 0601108F | HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 12,929 | 12,929 | 12,929 | 12,929 |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 454,490 | 454,490 | 474,490 | 474,490 |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | | | |
| 004 | 0602102F | MATERIALS | 105,680 | 105,680 | 105,680 | 105,680 |
| 005 | 0602201F | AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES | 105,747 | 105,747 | 105,747 | 105,747 |
| 006 | 0602202F | HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH | 81,957 | 81,957 | 81,957 | 81,957 |
| 007 | 0602203F | AEROSPACE PROPULSION | 172,550 | 369,550 | 172,550 | 172,550 |
| | | RD-180 replacement | | [220,000] | | |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 033 | 0603790F | NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT | 2,392 | 2,392 | 2,392 | | 2,392 |
| 034 | 0603791F | INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D | 833 | 833 | 833 | | 833 |
| 035 | 0603830F | SPACE SECURITY AND DEFENSE PROGRAM | 32,313 | 32,313 | 32,313 | | 32,313 |
| 037 | 0603851F | INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL | 30,885 | 30,885 | 30,885 | | 30,885 |
| 039 | 0603859F | POLLUTION PREVENTION—DEM/VAL | 1,798 | 1,798 | 1,798 | | 1,798 |
| 040 | 0604015F | LONG RANGE STRIKE | 913,728 | 913,728 | 913,728 | | 913,728 |
| 042 | 0604317F | TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | 2,669 | 2,669 | 2,669 | | 2,669 |
| 045 | 0604422F | WEATHER SYSTEM FOLLOW-ON | 39,901 | 5,001 | 39,901 | | 39,901 |
| | | Realigned to DMSF—20 launch | | [-34,900] | | | |
| 049 | 0604800F | F-35—EMD | 4,976 | 4,976 | 4,976 | -4,976 | 0 |
| | | Transfer F-35 EMD: Air Force requested to line #75 | | | | [4,976] | |
| 050 | 0604857F | OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE | | 30,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 |
| | | Program Increase | | [30,000] | [20,000] | [20,000] | |
| 051 | 0604858F | TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM | 59,004 | 59,004 | 59,004 | | 59,004 |
| 054 | 0207110F | NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE | 15,722 | 15,722 | 15,722 | | 15,722 |
| 055 | 0207455F | THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR) | 88,825 | 88,825 | 88,825 | | 88,825 |
| 056 | 0305164F | NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE) | 156,659 | 156,659 | 156,659 | | 156,659 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | 1,372,168 | 1,367,268 | 1,392,168 | 15,024 | 1,387,192 |
| SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | | | | | | | |
| 059 | 0604233F | SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING | 13,324 | 13,324 | 13,324 | | 13,324 |
| 060 | 0604270F | ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT | 1,965 | 1,965 | 1,965 | | 1,965 |
| 061 | 0604281F | TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE | 39,110 | 39,110 | 39,110 | | 39,110 |
| 062 | 0604287F | PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT | 3,926 | 3,926 | 3,926 | | 3,926 |
| 063 | 0604329F | SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD | 68,759 | 68,759 | 68,759 | | 68,759 |
| 064 | 0604421F | COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS | 23,746 | 23,746 | 23,746 | | 23,746 |
| 065 | 0604425F | SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS | 9,462 | 19,462 | 9,462 | | 9,462 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|-----------|---------|
| 066 | 0604426F | Program increase | 214,131 | [10,000] | 214,131 | 214,131 | -14,000 | 200,131 |
| | | SPACE FENCE | | | | | [-14,000] | |
| 067 | 0604429F | Program delay | 30,687 | | 30,687 | 30,687 | | 30,687 |
| 068 | 0604441F | AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK | 319,501 | | 319,501 | 311,501 | -8,000 | 311,501 |
| | | SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD | | | | | | |
| | | Hosted payload demonstration | | | | | | |
| | | Upgrade mobile ground units (STRATCOM unfunded priority) | | | | | | |
| | | Wide field of view test bed | | | | | | |
| 069 | 0604602F | ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT | 31,112 | | 31,112 | 31,112 | | 31,112 |
| 070 | 0604604F | SUBMUNITIONS | 2,543 | | 2,543 | 2,543 | | 2,543 |
| 071 | 0604617F | AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT | 46,340 | | 46,340 | 46,340 | | 46,340 |
| 072 | 0604706F | LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS | 8,854 | | 8,854 | 8,854 | | 8,854 |
| 073 | 0604735F | COMBAT TRAINING RANGES | 10,129 | | 10,129 | 10,129 | | 10,129 |
| 075 | 0604800F | F-35—EMD | 563,037 | | 563,037 | 563,037 | 4,976 | 568,013 |
| | | Transfer F-35 EMD: Air Force requested from line #49 | | | | | [4,976] | |
| 077 | 0604853F | EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD | | | 100,000 | 100,000 | 220,000 | 220,000 |
| | | Rocket propulsion system | | | | | | |
| 078 | 0604932F | LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON | 4,938 | | 4,938 | 4,938 | -1,500 | 3,438 |
| | | Execution adjustment | | | | | | |
| 079 | 0604933F | ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION | 59,826 | | 59,826 | 59,826 | | 59,826 |
| 080 | 0605030F | JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC) | 78 | | 78 | 78 | | 78 |
| 081 | 0605213F | F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B | 173,647 | | 173,647 | 173,647 | | 173,647 |
| 082 | 0605214F | GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT | 5,332 | | 5,332 | 5,332 | | 5,332 |
| 083 | 0605221F | KC-46 | 776,937 | | 776,937 | 776,937 | | 776,937 |
| 084 | 0605223F | ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING | 8,201 | | 8,201 | 8,201 | | 8,201 |
| 086 | 0605278F | HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E | 7,497 | | 7,497 | 7,497 | | 7,497 |
| 087 | 0605431F | ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) | 314,378 | | 314,378 | 298,378 | | 314,378 |
| | | Protected tactical demonstration | | | | | | |
| | | Satellite contractor support | | | | | | |
| 088 | 0605432F | POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE) | 103,552 | | 103,552 | 103,552 | | 103,552 |
| 089 | 0605433F | WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) | 31,425 | | 31,425 | 31,425 | | 31,425 |
| 090 | 0605458F | AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2 RDT&E | 85,938 | | 85,938 | 85,938 | | 85,938 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 091 | 0605931F | B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM | 98,768 | 98,768 | 98,768 | | 98,768 |
| 092 | 0101125F | NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION | 198,357 | 198,357 | 198,357 | | 198,357 |
| 094 | 0207701F | FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING | 8,831 | 8,831 | 8,831 | | 8,831 |
| 095 | 0307581F | NEXTGEN JSTARS | 73,088 | 73,088 | 10,000 | | 73,088 |
| | | Integrate existing technology in replacement | | | [-63,088] | | |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION | 3,337,419 | 3,347,419 | 3,350,331 | 201,476 | 3,538,895 |
| MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| 097 | 0604256F | THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT | 24,418 | 24,418 | 24,418 | | 24,418 |
| 098 | 0604759F | MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT | 47,232 | 47,232 | 47,232 | | 47,232 |
| 099 | 0605101F | RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE | 30,443 | 30,443 | 30,443 | | 30,443 |
| 101 | 0605712F | INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION | 12,266 | 12,266 | 12,266 | | 12,266 |
| 102 | 0605807F | TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 689,509 | 689,509 | 689,509 | | 689,509 |
| 103 | 0605860F | ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) | 34,364 | 34,364 | 34,364 | | 34,364 |
| 104 | 0605864F | SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP) | 21,161 | 21,161 | 21,161 | | 21,161 |
| 105 | 0605976F | FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT. | 46,955 | 46,955 | 46,955 | | 46,955 |
| 106 | 0605978F | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT | 32,965 | 32,965 | 32,965 | | 32,965 |
| 107 | 0606017F | REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION | 13,850 | 13,850 | 13,850 | | 13,850 |
| 108 | 0606116F | SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT | 19,512 | 19,512 | 19,512 | | 19,512 |
| 110 | 0606392F | SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE | 181,727 | 181,727 | 181,727 | | 177,800 |
| | | Personnel costs excess to need | | | | | [-3,927] |
| 111 | 0308602F | ENTEPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS) | 4,938 | 4,938 | 4,938 | | 4,938 |
| 112 | 0702806F | ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 18,644 | 18,644 | 18,644 | | 18,644 |
| 113 | 0804731F | GENERAL SKILL TRAINING | 1,425 | 1,425 | 1,425 | | 1,425 |
| 114 | 1001004F | INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES | 3,790 | 3,790 | 3,790 | | 3,790 |
| 114A | XXXXXXF | EJECTION SEAT RELIABILITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | | 3,500 | | | 3,500 |

| | | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| | Initial Aircraft Qualification | | | [3,500] | | |
| | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 1,183,199 | 1,186,699 | 1,183,199 | -427 | 1,182,772 |
| OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | |
| 115 | 0603423F GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT | 299,760 | 299,760 | 299,760 | | 299,760 |
| 116 | 0604445F WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | | 2,000 |
| | Implementation of the Secretary's Cruise Missile Defense Program | [2,000] | [2,000] | | | |
| 118 | 0604618F JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION | 2,469 | 2,469 | 2,469 | | 2,469 |
| 119 | 0605018F AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS) | 90,218 | 90,218 | 60,218 | -30,000 | 60,218 |
| | Delayed contract award | | | [-30,000] | | |
| 120 | 0605024F ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY | 34,815 | 34,815 | 34,815 | | 34,815 |
| 122 | 0101113F B-52 SQUADRONS | 55,457 | 55,457 | 55,457 | | 55,457 |
| 123 | 0101122F AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM) | 450 | 450 | 450 | | 450 |
| 124 | 0101126F B-1B SQUADRONS | 5,353 | 5,353 | 5,353 | | 4,353 |
| | Execution adjustment | | | | -1,000 | |
| 125 | 0101127F B-2 SQUADRONS | 131,580 | 102,180 | 131,580 | [-1,000] | 111,580 |
| | Flexible Strike execution delay | | [-29,400] | | | |
| 126 | 0101213F MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS | 139,109 | 139,109 | 139,109 | | 139,109 |
| 127 | 0101313F STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM | 35,603 | 35,603 | 35,603 | | 35,603 |
| 128 | 0101314F NIGHT FIST—USSTRATCOM | 32 | 32 | 32 | | 32 |
| 130 | 0102326F REGIONSECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION PROGRAM | 1,522 | 1,522 | 1,522 | | 1,522 |
| 131 | 0105921F SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES | 3,134 | 3,134 | 3,134 | | 3,134 |
| 133 | 0205219F MQ-9 UAV | 170,396 | 170,396 | 170,396 | | 170,396 |
| 136 | 0207133F F-16 SQUADRONS | 133,105 | 133,105 | 133,105 | | 133,105 |
| 137 | 0207134F F-15E SQUADRONS | 261,969 | 261,969 | 261,969 | | 251,969 |
| | Execution adjustment | | | | -10,000 | |
| 138 | 0207136F MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION | 14,831 | 14,831 | 14,831 | | 14,831 |
| 139 | 0207138F F-22A SQUADRONS | 156,962 | 156,962 | 156,962 | | 151,962 |
| | Unjustified increase— laboratory test and operations | | | | -5,000 | |
| 140 | 0207142F F-35 SQUADRONS | 43,666 | 43,666 | 43,666 | | 43,666 |
| 141 | 0207161F TACTICAL AIM MISSILES | 29,739 | 29,739 | 29,739 | | 29,739 |
| 142 | 0207163F ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM) | 82,195 | 82,195 | 82,195 | | 82,195 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 144 | 0207171F | F-15 EPAWSS | 68,944 | 53,444 | 49,444 | -15,500 | 53,444 |
| | | Delays in pre-EMD phase | | [-15,500] | [-19,500] | [-15,500] | |
| 145 | 0207224F | COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY | 5,095 | 5,095 | 5,095 | | 5,095 |
| 146 | 0207227F | COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE | 883 | 883 | 883 | | 883 |
| 147 | 0207247F | AF TENCAP | 5,812 | 15,812 | 5,812 | | 5,812 |
| | | Program increase | | [10,000] | | | |
| 148 | 0207249F | PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT | 1,081 | 1,081 | 1,081 | | 1,081 |
| 149 | 0207253F | COMPASS CALL | 14,411 | 14,411 | 14,411 | | 14,411 |
| 150 | 0207268F | AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 109,664 | 109,664 | 109,664 | | 109,664 |
| 151 | 0207325F | JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM) | 15,897 | 15,897 | 15,897 | | 15,897 |
| 152 | 0207410F | AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC) | 41,066 | 41,066 | 41,066 | | 41,066 |
| 153 | 0207412F | CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC) | 552 | 552 | 552 | | 552 |
| 154 | 0207417F | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS) | 180,804 | 180,804 | 180,804 | | 180,804 |
| 155 | 0207418F | TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 3,754 | 3,754 | 3,754 | | 3,754 |
| 157 | 0207431F | COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES | 7,891 | 7,891 | 7,891 | | 7,891 |
| 158 | 0207444F | TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD | 5,891 | 5,891 | 5,891 | | 5,891 |
| 159 | 0207448F | C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK | 1,782 | 1,782 | 1,782 | | 1,782 |
| 161 | 0207452F | DCAPES | 821 | 821 | 821 | | 821 |
| 163 | 0207590F | SEEK EAGLE | 23,844 | 23,844 | 23,844 | | 23,844 |
| 164 | 0207601F | USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION | 16,723 | 16,723 | 16,723 | | 16,723 |
| 165 | 0207605F | WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS | 5,956 | 5,956 | 5,956 | | 5,956 |
| 166 | 0207697F | DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES | 4,457 | 4,457 | 4,457 | | 4,457 |
| 167 | 0208006F | MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS | 60,679 | 60,679 | 60,679 | | 60,679 |
| 169 | 0208059F | CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES | 67,057 | 67,057 | 67,057 | | 67,057 |
| 170 | 0208087F | AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS | 13,355 | 13,355 | 13,355 | | 13,355 |
| 171 | 0208088F | AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS | 5,576 | 5,576 | 5,576 | | 5,576 |
| 179 | 0301400F | SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE | 12,218 | 12,218 | 12,218 | | 12,218 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|----------|---|---------|-----------|------------|----------|---------|
| 180 | 0302015F | E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) | 28,778 | 28,778 | 28,778 | -5,800 | 22,978 |
| | | Low Frequency Transmit System—delay to contract award | | | | [-5,800] | |
| 181 | 0303131F | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) | 81,035 | 81,035 | 81,035 | | 81,035 |
| 182 | 0303140F | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 70,497 | 70,497 | 70,497 | | 70,497 |
| 183 | 0303141F | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 692 | 692 | 692 | | 692 |
| 185 | 0303601F | MILSATCOM TERMINALS | 55,208 | 55,208 | 55,208 | | 55,208 |
| 187 | 0304260F | AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE | 106,786 | 106,786 | 76,086 | | 106,786 |
| | | ASIP 2C | | [-30,700] | | | |
| 190 | 0305099F | GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM) | 4,157 | 4,157 | 4,157 | | 4,157 |
| 193 | 0305110F | SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE) | 20,806 | 20,806 | 20,806 | | 20,806 |
| 194 | 0305111F | WEATHER SERVICE | 25,102 | 25,102 | 25,102 | | 25,102 |
| 195 | 0305114F | AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCAL) | 23,516 | 23,516 | 23,516 | | 23,516 |
| 196 | 0305116F | AERIAL TARGETS | 8,639 | 8,639 | 8,639 | | 8,639 |
| 199 | 0305128F | SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES | 498 | 498 | 498 | | 498 |
| 200 | 0305145F | ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION | 13,222 | 13,222 | 13,222 | | 13,222 |
| 201 | 0305146F | DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES | 360 | 360 | 360 | | 360 |
| 206 | 0305173F | SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER | 3,674 | 3,674 | 3,674 | | 3,674 |
| 207 | 0305174F | SPACE INNOVATION INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 2,480 | 2,480 | 2,480 | | 2,480 |
| 208 | 0305179F | INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS) | 8,592 | 8,592 | 8,592 | | 8,592 |
| 209 | 0305182F | SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE) | 13,462 | 13,462 | 13,462 | | 13,462 |
| 210 | 0305202F | DRAGON U-2 | 5,511 | 5,511 | 11,311 | | 5,511 |
| | | Keep U-2 rather than enhance Global Hawk Block 30 | | [5,800] | | | |
| 212 | 0305206F | AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 28,113 | 38,113 | 28,113 | 10,000 | 38,113 |
| | | Per Air Force UFR | | [10,000] | | [10,000] | |
| 213 | 0305207F | MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS | 13,516 | 13,516 | 13,516 | | 13,516 |
| 214 | 0305208F | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 27,265 | 27,265 | 27,265 | | 27,265 |
| 215 | 0305219F | MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV | 1,378 | 1,378 | 1,378 | | 1,378 |
| 216 | 0305220F | RQ-4 UAV | 244,514 | 244,514 | 108,514 | | 244,514 |
| | | Keep U-2 rather than enhance Global Hawk Block 30 | | | [-136,000] | | |
| 217 | 0305221F | NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING | 11,096 | 11,096 | 2,296 | | 11,096 |
| | | NCCT | | | [-8,800] | | |
| 218 | 0305236F | COMMON DATA LINK (CDL) | 36,137 | 36,137 | 36,137 | | 36,137 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 219 | 0305238F | NATO AGS | 232,851 | 232,851 | 232,851 | | 232,851 |
| 220 | 0305240F | SUPPORT TO DCS ENTERPRISE | 20,218 | 20,218 | 20,218 | | 20,218 |
| 221 | 0305265F | GPS III SPACE SEGMENT | 212,571 | 212,571 | 212,571 | | 212,571 |
| 222 | 0305614F | JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM | 73,779 | 73,779 | 73,779 | | 73,779 |
| 223 | 0305881F | RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION | 4,102 | 4,102 | 4,102 | | 4,102 |
| 225 | 0305913F | NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE) | 20,468 | 20,468 | 20,468 | | 20,468 |
| 226 | 0305940F | SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS | 11,596 | 11,596 | 11,596 | | 11,596 |
| 227 | 0306250F | CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 4,938 | 4,938 | 4,938 | | 4,938 |
| 228 | 0308699F | SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW) | 1,212 | 1,212 | 1,212 | | 1,212 |
| 230 | 0401119F | C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF) | 38,773 | 38,773 | 38,773 | | 38,773 |
| 231 | 0401130F | C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF) | 83,773 | 83,773 | 83,773 | | 83,773 |
| 232 | 0401132F | C-130J PROGRAM | 26,715 | 26,715 | 26,715 | | 26,715 |
| 233 | 0401134F | LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCW) | 5,172 | 5,172 | 5,172 | | 5,172 |
| 234 | 0401219F | KC-10S | 2,714 | 2,714 | 2,714 | | 2,714 |
| 235 | 0401314F | OPERATIONAL SUPPORT ARLIFT | 27,784 | 27,784 | 27,784 | | 27,784 |
| 236 | 0401318F | CV-22 | 38,719 | 38,719 | 38,719 | | 38,719 |
| 237 | 0401319F | PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR) | 11,006 | 11,006 | 11,006 | | 11,006 |
| 238 | 0408011F | SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL | 8,405 | 8,405 | 8,405 | | 8,405 |
| 239 | 0702207F | DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF) | 1,407 | 1,407 | 1,407 | | 1,407 |
| 241 | 0708610F | LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT) | 109,685 | 109,685 | 97,185 | | 109,685 |
| | | Reduce unjustified program growth | | | [-12,500] | | |
| 242 | 0708611F | SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 16,209 | 16,209 | 16,209 | | 16,209 |
| 243 | 0804743F | OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING | 987 | 987 | 987 | | 987 |
| 244 | 0808716F | OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES | 126 | 126 | 126 | | 126 |
| 245 | 0901202F | JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY | 2,603 | 2,603 | 2,603 | | 2,603 |
| 246 | 0901218F | CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM | 1,589 | 1,589 | 1,589 | | 1,589 |
| 247 | 0901220F | PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION | 5,026 | 5,026 | 5,026 | | 5,026 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------|------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| 248 | 0901226F | AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY | 1,394 | 1,394 | 1,394 | 1,394 | 1,394 | |
| 249 | 0901279F | FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATIVE | 3,798 | 3,798 | 3,798 | 3,798 | 3,798 | |
| 250 | 0901538F | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 107,314 | 107,314 | 107,314 | 107,314 | 107,314 | |
| | | Defense Enterprise Accounting Management System Increment 2 | | | | | | -4,629 |
| 250A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 11,441,120 | 11,363,920 | 11,392,474 | 11,412,120 | 11,412,120 | [-4,629] |
| | | Classified program increase | | [25,000] | | | | -29,000 |
| | | Classified program reduction | | [-102,200] | | | | [-29,000] |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 15,717,666 | 15,617,566 | 15,437,320 | 15,608,737 | 15,608,737 | -108,929 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF | 23,739,892 | 23,865,392 | 23,512,458 | 23,877,036 | 23,877,036 | 137,144 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW | | | | | | |
| | | BASIC RESEARCH | | | | | | |
| 001 | 06010008R | DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE | 37,778 | 37,778 | 37,778 | 37,778 | 37,778 | |
| 002 | 0601101E | DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES | 312,146 | 312,146 | 332,146 | 332,146 | 332,146 | |
| | | Basic research program increase | | | [20,000] | | | [20,000] |
| 003 | 060111008Z | BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES | 44,564 | 34,564 | 34,564 | 34,564 | 34,564 | |
| | | National Security Science and Engineering Faculty Fellowship program | | [-10,000] | | | | [-10,000] |
| 004 | 0601117E | BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE | 49,848 | 49,848 | 49,848 | 49,848 | 49,848 | |
| 005 | 060112008Z | NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM | 45,488 | 55,488 | 55,488 | 55,488 | 55,488 | |
| | | Military Child STEM Education programs | | [10,000] | | | | [10,000] |
| 006 | 060122808Z | HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS | 24,412 | 34,412 | 34,412 | 34,412 | 34,412 | |
| | | Program increase | | [10,000] | | | | [10,000] |
| 007 | 06013848P | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 48,261 | 48,261 | 48,261 | 48,261 | 48,261 | |
| | | SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH | 562,497 | 572,497 | 592,497 | 592,497 | 592,497 | 30,000 |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | | | | | |
| 008 | 060200008Z | JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY | 20,065 | 20,065 | 20,065 | 20,065 | 20,065 | |
| 009 | 0602115E | BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 112,242 | 112,242 | 112,242 | 112,242 | 112,242 | |
| 011 | 06023408Z | LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM | 51,875 | 51,875 | 51,875 | 51,875 | 51,875 | |
| 012 | 060225108Z | APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES | 41,965 | 41,965 | 31,965 | 41,965 | 41,965 | |
| | | Program reduction | | | [-10,000] | | | [-10,000] |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|-----------------|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 013 | 0602303E | INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY | 334,407 | 334,407 | 334,407 | | 334,407 |
| 015 | 0602383E | BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE | 44,825 | 44,825 | 44,825 | | 44,825 |
| 016 | 0602384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 226,317 | 226,317 | 226,317 | | 226,317 |
| 018 | 060266808Z | CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH | 15,000 | 15,000 | 7,500 | | 15,000 |
| | | Program reduction | | | [-7,500] | | |
| 020 | 0602702E | TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY | 305,484 | 305,484 | 305,484 | | 305,484 |
| 021 | 0602715E | MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY | 160,389 | 160,389 | 160,389 | | 160,389 |
| 022 | 0602716E | ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY | 179,203 | 179,203 | 179,203 | | 179,203 |
| 023 | 06027188R | WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES | 151,737 | 151,737 | 151,737 | | 151,737 |
| 024 | 0602751D8Z | SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH | 9,156 | 9,156 | 9,156 | | 9,156 |
| 025 | 1160401BB | SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 39,750 | 39,750 | 39,750 | | 39,750 |
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 1,692,415 | 1,692,415 | 1,674,915 | | 1,692,415 |
| ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | | | | | | | |
| 026 | 0603000D8Z | JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 26,688 | 26,688 | 26,688 | | 26,688 |
| 027 | 0603121D8Z | SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 8,682 | 8,682 | 8,682 | | 8,682 |
| 028 | 0603122D8Z | COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT | 69,675 | 89,675 | 69,675 | 20,000 | 89,675 |
| | | Program emphasis for CT and Irregular Warfare Programs | | [20,000] | | [20,000] | |
| 029 | 0603133D8Z | FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING | 30,000 | 24,000 | 20,000 | -6,000 | 24,000 |
| | | Program decrease | | [-6,000] | | [-6,000] | |
| 030 | 06031608R | COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT | 283,694 | 283,694 | 283,694 | | 283,694 |
| 032 | 0603176C | ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT | 8,470 | 8,470 | 8,470 | | 8,470 |
| 033 | 0603177C | DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 45,110 | 45,110 | 45,110 | -2,000 | 43,110 |
| | | Unjustified growth | | | | [-2,000] | |
| 034 | 0603178C | WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY | 14,068 | 27,416 | 14,068 | | 14,068 |
| | | MDA DE Ballistic Missile Kill Capability Development | | [13,348] | | | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------|---|---------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|
| 035 | 0603179C | ADVANCED C4ISR | 15,329 | 15,329 | 15,329 | 15,329 | 15,329 |
| 036 | 0603180C | ADVANCED RESEARCH | 16,584 | 16,584 | 16,584 | 16,584 | 16,584 |
| 037 | 0603225D8Z | JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 19,335 | 19,335 | 19,335 | 19,335 | 19,335 |
| 038 | 0603264S | AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (A121)—THEATER CAPABILITY | 2,544 | 2,544 | 2,544 | 2,544 | 2,544 |
| 039 | 0603274C | SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY | 51,033 | 51,033 | 51,033 | 51,033 | 51,033 |
| 040 | 0603286E | ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS | 129,723 | 129,723 | 129,723 | 129,723 | 129,723 |
| 041 | 0603287E | SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY | 179,883 | 179,883 | 179,883 | 179,883 | 179,883 |
| 042 | 0603288D8Z | ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS | 12,000 | 12,000 | 4,500 | 12,000 | 12,000 |
| | | Program reduction | | | [-7,500] | | |
| 043 | 0603289D8Z | ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS | 60,000 | 50,000 | 45,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| | | Program reduction | | | [-10,000] | | |
| 044 | 0603294C | COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY | 25,639 | 25,639 | 25,639 | 25,639 | 25,639 |
| 045 | 0603384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 132,674 | 132,674 | 132,674 | 132,674 | 132,674 |
| 046 | 0603618D8Z | JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY | 10,965 | 10,965 | 10,965 | 10,965 | 10,965 |
| 047 | 0603648D8Z | JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 131,960 | 121,960 | 111,960 | 121,960 | 121,960 |
| | | Program reduction | | | [-20,000] | | |
| 052 | 0603680D8Z | DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM | 91,095 | 91,095 | 91,095 | 91,095 | 91,095 |
| 053 | 0603699D8Z | EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 33,706 | 33,706 | 33,706 | 33,706 | 33,706 |
| 054 | 0603712S | GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS | 16,836 | 16,836 | 16,836 | 16,836 | 16,836 |
| 055 | 0603713S | DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY | 29,683 | 29,683 | 29,683 | 29,683 | 29,683 |
| 056 | 0603716D8Z | STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM | 57,796 | 57,796 | 57,796 | 57,796 | 57,796 |
| 057 | 0603720S | MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT | 72,144 | 72,144 | 72,144 | 72,144 | 72,144 |
| 058 | 0603727D8Z | JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM | 7,405 | 7,405 | 7,405 | 7,405 | 7,405 |
| 059 | 0603739E | ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES | 92,246 | 92,246 | 92,246 | 92,246 | 92,246 |
| 060 | 0603760E | COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS | 243,265 | 243,265 | 243,265 | 243,265 | 243,265 |
| 062 | 0603766E | NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY | 386,926 | 386,926 | 386,926 | 386,926 | 386,926 |
| | | Program reduction | | | [-20,000] | | |
| 063 | 0603767E | SENSOR TECHNOLOGY | 312,821 | 312,821 | 312,821 | 312,821 | 312,821 |
| 064 | 0603769SE | DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 10,692 | 10,692 | 10,692 | 10,692 | 10,692 |
| 065 | 0603781D8Z | SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE | 15,776 | 15,776 | 15,776 | 15,776 | 15,776 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 066 | 0603826D8Z | QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS | 69,319 | 64,319 | 69,319 | -5,000 | 64,319 |
| | | Program decrease | | [-5,000] | | [-5,000] | |
| 068 | 0603832D8Z | DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | | 3,000 |
| 071 | 0603941D8Z | TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY | 81,148 | 81,148 | 81,148 | | 81,148 |
| 072 | 0604055D8Z | OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT | 31,800 | 31,800 | 31,800 | | 31,800 |
| 073 | 0303310D8Z | CWMD SYSTEMS | 46,066 | 46,066 | 46,066 | | 46,066 |
| 074 | 1160402B8 | SOF ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 57,622 | 57,622 | 57,622 | | 57,622 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 2,933,402 | 2,935,750 | 2,860,902 | -13,000 | 2,920,402 |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES | | | | | |
| 077 | 0603161D8Z | NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P | 41,072 | 41,072 | 41,072 | | 41,072 |
| 079 | 0603600D8Z | WALKOFF | 90,558 | 90,558 | 90,558 | | 90,558 |
| 080 | 0603714D8Z | ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM | 15,518 | 15,518 | 19,518 | 4,000 | 19,518 |
| | | Continue important test programs | | | [4,000] | [4,000] | |
| 081 | 0603851D8Z | ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM | 51,462 | 51,462 | 51,462 | | 51,462 |
| 082 | 0603881C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT | 299,598 | 299,598 | 284,598 | -6,800 | 292,798 |
| | | THAAD 2.0 early to need | | | [-15,000] | [-6,800] | |
| 083 | 0603882C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT | 1,003,768 | 1,043,768 | 1,033,768 | 40,000 | 1,043,768 |
| | | GMD reliability and maintenance improvements | | [40,000] | [30,000] | [40,000] | |
| 084 | 0603884BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEWVAL | 179,236 | 179,236 | 179,236 | | 179,236 |
| 085 | 0603884C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS | 392,893 | 392,893 | 392,893 | | 392,893 |
| 086 | 0603890C | BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS | 410,863 | 410,863 | 410,863 | | 410,863 |
| 087 | 0603891C | SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA | 310,261 | 310,261 | 310,261 | | 310,261 |
| 088 | 0603892C | AEGIS BMD | 929,208 | 929,208 | 929,208 | | 929,208 |
| 089 | 0603893C | SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM | 31,346 | 31,346 | 31,346 | | 31,346 |
| 090 | 0603895C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS | 6,389 | 6,389 | 6,389 | | 6,389 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----|------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 091 | 0603896C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATI. | 443,484 | 443,484 | 443,484 | -12,000 | 431,484 |
| | | Spiral 8.2-3—unjustified growth without baseline | | | | [-12,000] | |
| 092 | 0603898C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARRIGHTER SUPPORT | 46,387 | 46,387 | 46,387 | | 46,387 |
| 093 | 0603904C | MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC) | 58,530 | 58,530 | 58,530 | | 58,530 |
| 094 | 0603906C | REGARDING TRENCH | 16,199 | 16,199 | 16,199 | | 16,199 |
| 095 | 0603907C | SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX) | 64,409 | 64,409 | 64,409 | | 64,409 |
| 096 | 0603913C | ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS | 96,803 | 268,803 | 447,775 | 173,800 | 270,603 |
| | | Program increase for Israeli Cooperative Programs | | [172,000] | [175,000] | [173,800] | |
| | | Transfer from Procurement, Defense-Wide Line 34 | | | [175,972] | | |
| 097 | 0603914C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST | 386,482 | 386,482 | 386,482 | -20,000 | 366,482 |
| | | Test efficiencies | | | | [-20,000] | |
| 098 | 0603915C | BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS | 485,294 | 485,294 | 485,294 | | 485,294 |
| 099 | 0603920D8Z | HUMANITARIAN DEMINING | 10,194 | 10,194 | 10,194 | | 10,194 |
| 100 | 0603923D8Z | COALITION WARFARE | 10,139 | 10,139 | 10,139 | | 10,139 |
| 101 | 0604016D8Z | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM | 2,907 | 2,907 | 7,907 | 5,000 | 7,907 |
| | | Program increase | | | [5,000] | | |
| 102 | 0604250D8Z | ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES | 190,000 | 170,000 | 190,000 | -20,000 | 170,000 |
| | | Program decrease | | [-20,000] | | | |
| 103 | 0604400D8Z | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT. | 3,702 | 3,702 | 3,702 | | 3,702 |
| 104 | 0604445J | WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE | 53,000 | 53,000 | 53,000 | | 53,000 |
| 106 | 0604775D8Z | DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM | | | 75,000 | 75,000 | 75,000 |
| | | Program increase | | | [75,000] | | |
| 107 | 0604787J | JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION | 7,002 | 7,002 | 7,002 | | 7,002 |
| 108 | 0604828J | JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM | 7,102 | 7,102 | 7,102 | | 7,102 |
| 109 | 0604880C | LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3) | 123,444 | 123,444 | 123,444 | | 123,444 |
| 110 | 0604881C | AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT | 263,695 | 263,695 | 263,695 | | 263,695 |
| 113 | 0605170D8Z | SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | | 12,500 |
| 114 | 0303191D8Z | JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM | 2,656 | 2,656 | 2,656 | | 2,656 |
| 115 | 0305103C | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 961 | 961 | 961 | | 961 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES | 6,047,062 | 6,239,062 | 6,497,034 | 239,000 | 6,286,062 |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION | | | | | | | |
| 116 | 0604161D8Z | NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD | 7,936 | 7,936 | 7,936 | | 7,936 |
| 117 | 0604165D8Z | PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT | 70,762 | 70,762 | 70,762 | | 70,762 |
| 118 | 0604384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD | 345,883 | 345,883 | 335,883 | | 345,883 |
| | | Program under-execution | | | (-10,000) | | |
| 119 | 0604764K | ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) | 25,459 | 25,459 | 25,459 | | 25,459 |
| 120 | 0604771D8Z | JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS) | 17,562 | 17,562 | 17,562 | | 17,562 |
| 121 | 0605000BR | WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES | 6,887 | 6,887 | 6,887 | | 6,887 |
| 122 | 0605013BL | INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT | 12,530 | 12,530 | 12,530 | | 12,530 |
| 123 | 0605021SE | HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE | 286 | 286 | 286 | | 286 |
| 124 | 0605022D8Z | DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM | 3,244 | 3,244 | 3,244 | | 3,244 |
| 125 | 0605027D8Z | OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | | 6,500 |
| 126 | 0605070S | DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION | 15,326 | 15,326 | 15,326 | | 15,326 |
| 127 | 0605075D8Z | DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION | 19,351 | 19,351 | 19,351 | | 19,351 |
| 128 | 0605080S | DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM | 41,465 | 41,465 | 41,465 | | 41,465 |
| 129 | 0605090S | DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS) | 10,135 | 10,135 | 10,135 | | 10,135 |
| 130 | 0605210D8Z | DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES | 9,546 | 9,546 | 9,546 | | 9,546 |
| 131 | 0303141K | GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM | 14,241 | 14,241 | 14,241 | | 14,241 |
| 132 | 0305304D8Z | DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEM) | 3,660 | 3,660 | 3,660 | | 3,660 |
| | | SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION | 610,773 | 610,773 | 600,773 | | 610,773 |
| MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | | | | | | |
| 133 | 0604774D8Z | DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS) | 5,616 | 5,616 | 5,616 | | 5,616 |
| 134 | 0604875D8Z | JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT | 3,092 | 3,092 | 3,092 | | 3,092 |
| 135 | 0604940D8Z | CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP) | 254,503 | 254,503 | 254,503 | | 254,503 |
| 136 | 0604942D8Z | ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS | 21,661 | 21,661 | 21,661 | | 21,661 |

| | | | | | | |
|------|------------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 138 | 060510008Z | JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMTC) | 27,162 | 27,162 | 27,162 | 27,162 |
| 139 | 060510408Z | TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS | 24,501 | 24,501 | 24,501 | 24,501 |
| 142 | 06051261 | JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JAMDO) | 43,176 | 43,176 | 43,176 | 43,176 |
| 145 | 060514208Z | SYSTEMS ENGINEERING | 44,246 | 44,246 | 44,246 | 44,246 |
| 146 | 060515108Z | STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD | 2,665 | 2,665 | 2,665 | 2,665 |
| 147 | 060516108Z | NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY | 4,366 | 4,366 | 4,366 | 4,366 |
| 148 | 060517008Z | SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION | 27,901 | 27,901 | 27,901 | 27,901 |
| 149 | 060520008Z | GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE) | 2,855 | 2,855 | 2,855 | 2,855 |
| 150 | 06053848P | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 105,944 | 105,944 | 105,944 | 105,944 |
| 156 | 0605502KA | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH | 400 | 400 | 400 | 400 |
| 159 | 060579008Z | SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,634 | 1,634 |
| 160 | 060579808Z | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS | 12,105 | 12,105 | 12,105 | 12,105 |
| 161 | 0605801KA | Program reduction | 50,389 | 50,389 | 50,389 | 50,389 |
| 162 | 0605803SE | DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 | 8,452 |
| 163 | 060580408Z | R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION | 15,187 | 15,187 | 15,187 | 15,187 |
| 164 | 0605898E | DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION | [4,000] | [4,000] | [4,000] | [4,000] |
| 165 | 060610008Z | Program increase | 71,362 | 71,362 | 71,362 | 71,362 |
| 166 | 020334508Z | MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| 167 | 0204571J | BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS | 1,956 | 1,956 | 1,956 | 1,956 |
| 170 | 0303166I | DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI) | 10,321 | 10,321 | 10,321 | 10,321 |
| 172 | 030519308Z | JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT | 11,552 | 11,552 | 11,552 | 11,552 |
| 174 | 080476708Z | SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES | 6,748 | 6,748 | 6,748 | 6,748 |
| 175 | 0901598C | CYBER INTELLIGENCE | 44,005 | 44,005 | 44,005 | 44,005 |
| 176 | 090159808W | COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2) | 36,998 | 36,998 | 36,998 | 36,998 |
| 177A | 9999999999 | Program decrease—historical under-execution | 612 | 612 | 612 | 612 |
| | | MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA | 44,367 | 44,367 | 44,367 | 44,367 |
| | | MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS | 887,876 | 887,876 | 887,876 | 887,876 |
| | | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 891,876 | 891,876 | 891,876 | 891,876 |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | | | | |

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 178 | 0604130V | ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS) | 3,988 | 3,988 | 3,988 | | 3,988 |
| 179 | 0605127T | REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA. | 1,750 | 1,750 | 1,750 | | 1,750 |
| 180 | 0605147T | OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS). | 286 | 286 | 286 | | 286 |
| 181 | 0607210D8Z | INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT | 14,778 | 14,778 | 14,778 | | 14,778 |
| 182 | 0607310D8Z | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 2,953 | 2,953 | 2,953 | | 2,953 |
| 183 | 0607327T | GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS). | 10,350 | 10,350 | 10,350 | | 10,350 |
| 184 | 0607384BP | CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT). | 28,496 | 28,496 | 28,496 | | 28,496 |
| 185 | 0607828J | JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY | 11,968 | 11,968 | 11,968 | | 11,968 |
| 186 | 0208043J | PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS) | 1,842 | 1,842 | 1,842 | | 1,842 |
| 187 | 0208045K | C4I INTEROPERABILITY | 63,558 | 63,558 | 63,558 | | 63,558 |
| 189 | 0301144K | JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING | 3,931 | 3,931 | 3,931 | | 3,931 |
| 193 | 0302016K | NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT | 924 | 924 | 924 | | 924 |
| 194 | 0302019K | DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION | 9,657 | 9,657 | 9,657 | | 9,657 |
| 195 | 0303126K | LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS | 25,355 | 25,355 | 25,355 | | 25,355 |
| 196 | 0303131K | MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN) | 12,671 | 12,671 | 12,671 | | 12,671 |
| 197 | 0303135G | PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI) | 222 | 222 | 222 | | 222 |
| 198 | 0303136G | KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI) | 32,698 | 32,698 | 32,698 | | 32,698 |
| 199 | 0303140D8Z | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 11,304 | 11,304 | 11,304 | | 11,304 |
| 200 | 0303140G | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | 125,854 | 145,854 | 155,854 | 30,000 | 155,854 |
| | | Accelerate SHARKSEER deployment | | [20,000] | [30,000] | [30,000] | |
| 201 | 0303140K | INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM | | | | | 0 |
| | | Cyber Situational Awareness | | 12,600 | [9,400] | | |
| | | Transfer from line 212 (PE 0305103K) | | | [3,200] | | |

| | | | | | | |
|------|------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 202 | 0303150K | GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM | 33,793 | 33,793 | 33,793 | 33,793 |
| 203 | 0303153K | DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION | 13,423 | 13,423 | 13,423 | 13,423 |
| 204 | 0303170K | NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES) | 3,774 | 3,774 | 3,774 | 3,774 |
| 205 | 0303260D8Z | DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO) | 951 | 951 | 951 | 951 |
| 206 | 0303610K | TELEPORT PROGRAM | 2,697 | 2,697 | 2,697 | 2,697 |
| 208 | 0304210BB | SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES | 19,294 | 19,294 | 19,294 | 19,294 |
| 212 | 0305103K | CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE | 3,234 | 34 | 34 | 3,234 |
| | | Transfer to line 201 (PE 0303140K) | | [-3,200] | | |
| 213 | 0305125D8Z | CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP) | 8,846 | 8,846 | 8,846 | 8,846 |
| 217 | 0305186D8Z | POLICY R&D PROGRAMS | 7,065 | 7,065 | 7,065 | 7,065 |
| 218 | 0305199D8Z | NET CENTRICITY | 23,984 | 23,984 | 23,984 | 23,984 |
| 221 | 0305208BB | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 5,286 | 5,286 | 5,286 | 5,286 |
| 224 | 0305208K | DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 | 3,400 |
| 229 | 0305327V | INSIDER THREAT | 8,670 | 8,670 | 8,670 | 8,670 |
| 230 | 0305387D8Z | HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM | 2,110 | 2,110 | 2,110 | 2,110 |
| 239 | 0708011S | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 22,366 | 22,366 | 22,366 | 22,366 |
| 240 | 0708012S | LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 1,574 | 1,574 | 1,574 | 1,574 |
| 241 | 0902298I | MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS | 4,409 | 4,409 | 4,409 | 4,409 |
| 242 | 1105219BB | MQ-9 UAV | 9,702 | 9,702 | 14,902 | 9,702 |
| | | Capability Improvements | | [5,200] | | |
| 243 | 1105232BB | RQ-11 UAV | 259 | 259 | 259 | 259 |
| 245 | 1160403BB | AVIATION SYSTEMS | 164,233 | 164,233 | 164,233 | 164,233 |
| 247 | 1160403BB | INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 9,490 | 9,490 | 9,490 | 9,490 |
| 248 | 1160408BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 75,253 | 75,253 | 75,253 | 75,253 |
| 252 | 1160431BB | WARRIOR SYSTEMS | 24,661 | 24,661 | 24,661 | 24,661 |
| 253 | 1160432BB | SPECIAL PROGRAMS | 20,908 | 20,908 | 20,908 | 20,908 |
| 259 | 1160480BB | SO F TACTICAL VEHICLES | 3,672 | 3,672 | 3,672 | 3,672 |
| 262 | 1160483BB | MARITIME SYSTEMS | 57,905 | 57,905 | 57,905 | 57,905 |
| 264 | 1160489BB | GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES | 3,788 | 3,788 | 3,788 | 3,788 |
| 265 | 1160490BB | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE | 16,225 | 16,225 | 16,225 | 16,225 |
| 265A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 3,118,502 | 3,113,502 | 3,118,502 | 3,118,502 |
| | | Classified adjustment | | [-5,000] | | |

| SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | 4,032,059 | 4,047,059 | 4,076,559 | 30,000 | 4,062,059 |
| | | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | |
| | | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | -69,000 | -69,000 |
| | | DARPA undistributed reduction | | | | [-69,000] | |
| | | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | -69,000 | -69,000 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW | 16,766,084 | 16,989,432 | 17,181,906 | 221,000 | 16,987,084 |
| | | OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | | | | | |
| | | OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION | 74,583 | 74,583 | 74,583 | | 74,583 |
| 001 | 06051180TE | | | | | | |
| 002 | 06051310TE | | 45,142 | 45,142 | 45,142 | | 45,142 |
| 003 | 06058140TE | | 48,013 | 53,013 | 48,013 | | 48,013 |
| | | Information Assurance Testing and Exercises | | [5,000] | | | |
| | | SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT | 167,738 | 172,738 | 167,738 | | 167,738 |
| | | TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE | 167,738 | 172,738 | 167,738 | | 167,738 |
| | | TOTAL RDT&E | 63,533,947 | 63,791,399 | 63,484,398 | 292,318 | 63,826,265 |

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

| SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY | | | |
| | | ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | | | |
| 060 | 0603747A | SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| | | SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY | 4,500 | | 4,500 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY | | | |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | |
| 225 | 0305242M | UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP) | 940 | | 940 |
| 229A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 35,080 | | 35,080 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 36,020 | | 36,020 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY | 36,020 | | 36,020 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF | | | |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | | | |
| 250A | 9999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 14,706 | | 14,706 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT | 14,706 | | 14,706 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF | 14,706 | | 14,706 |
| | | RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW | | | |
| | | APPLIED RESEARCH | | | |
| 009 | 0602115E | BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY | 112,000 | | 112,000 |

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Program Element | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|-----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | | SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH | 112,000 | | 112,000 |
| | | OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | | | |
| 242 | 11052198B | MQ-9 UAV | | 5,200 | 5,200 |
| | | MQ-9 enhancements | | [5,200] | |
| 248 | 11604088B | OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS | 6,000 | | 6,000 |
| 265A | 99999999999 | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 163,447 | | 163,447 |
| | | SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT | 169,447 | 5,200 | 174,647 |
| | | TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW | 281,447 | 5,200 | 286,647 |
| | | TOTAL RDT&E | 336,673 | 5,200 | 341,873 |

TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.

| SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY | | | | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | | | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 969,281 | 1,069,281 | 969,281 | | 969,281 |
| | Restore Critical Operations Tempo | | [100,000] | | | |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 61,990 | 61,990 | 61,990 | | 61,990 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 450,987 | 450,487 | 450,987 | | 450,987 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 545,773 | 543,773 | 545,773 | | 545,773 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,057,453 | 1,046,453 | 1,057,453 | | 1,057,453 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-10,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 1,409,347 | 1,547,947 | 1,409,347 | | 1,409,347 |
| | Restore Critical Aviation Readiness | | [100,000] | | | |
| | UH-60A to UH-60L Conversions/ARNG Modernization | | [38,600] | | | |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 3,592,334 | 3,567,334 | 3,592,334 | -68,000 | 3,524,334 |
| | Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations—Army requested transfer to OM,ARNG and MP,ARNG | | | | [-68,000] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-19,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-5,500] | | | |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 411,388 | 411,388 | 411,388 | | 411,388 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,001,232 | 1,100,732 | 1,186,832 | | 1,001,232 |
| | Readiness funding increase | | | [185,600] | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [100,000] | | | |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 7,428,972 | 7,346,972 | 7,428,972 | | 7,428,972 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-27,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-55,000] | | | |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 2,066,434 | 1,976,434 | 2,179,434 | 88,000 | 2,154,434 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [18,750] | [18,750] | |
| | Readiness funding increase—fully funds 6% CIP | | | [94,250] | [94,250] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-7,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-58,000] | | | |
| | Transfer to Arlington National Cemetery | | [-25,000] | | | |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 411,863 | 411,363 | 411,863 | [-25,000] | 411,863 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 130 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 179,399 | 178,899 | 179,399 | | 179,399 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 170 | COMBATANT COMMANDS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 432,281 | 429,781 | 432,281 | | 432,281 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 20,018,734 | 20,142,834 | 20,317,334 | 20,000 | 20,038,734 |
| MOBILIZATION | | | | | | |
| 180 | STRATEGIC MOBILITY | 316,776 | 315,776 | 316,776 | | 316,776 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 190 | ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS | 187,609 | 186,109 | 187,609 | | 187,609 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 200 | INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | 6,463 | 86,463 | 6,463 | 80,000 | 86,463 |
| | Industrial Base Initiative-Body Armor | | [80,000] | | [80,000] | |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 510,848 | 588,348 | 510,848 | 80,000 | 590,848 |
| TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | | |
| 210 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 124,766 | 123,766 | 124,766 | | 124,766 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 220 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 51,968 | 51,468 | 51,968 | | 51,968 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 230 | ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING | 43,735 | 43,735 | 43,735 | | 43,735 |
| 240 | SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS | 456,563 | 456,063 | 456,563 | | 456,563 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 250 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 886,529 | 876,029 | 886,529 | | 886,529 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-8,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |
| 260 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 890,070 | 890,070 | 890,070 | | 890,070 |
| 270 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 193,291 | 190,291 | 193,291 | | 193,291 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 280 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 552,359 | 551,359 | 552,359 | | 552,359 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 290 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 466,927 | 461,427 | 466,927 | | 466,927 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-5,500] | | | |
| 300 | EXAMINING | 194,588 | 194,588 | 194,588 | | 194,588 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 205,782 | 197,782 | 205,782 | | 205,782 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-8,000] | | | |
| 320 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 150,571 | 149,071 | 150,571 | | 150,571 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| 330 | JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS | 169,784 | 162,784 | 169,784 | | 169,784 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-7,000] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 4,386,933 | 4,348,433 | 4,386,933 | | 4,386,933 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| 350 | SERVICEMIDE TRANSPORTATION | 541,877 | 541,877 | 541,877 | | 541,877 |
| 360 | CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES | 722,291 | 722,291 | 722,291 | | 722,291 |
| 370 | LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 602,034 | 604,034 | 602,034 | | 602,034 |
| | Corrosion Mitigation Activities | | [5,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 380 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 422,277 | 419,777 | 422,277 | | 422,277 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 405,442 | 404,942 | 405,442 | | 405,442 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 400 | SERVICEMIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 1,624,742 | 1,622,742 | 1,624,742 | | 1,624,742 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,500] | | | |
| 410 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 289,771 | 289,271 | 289,771 | | 289,771 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 420 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 390,924 | 385,424 | 390,924 | | 390,924 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-5,500] | | | |
| 430 | OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT | 1,118,540 | 1,117,040 | 1,118,540 | | 1,118,540 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| 440 | ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES | 241,234 | 239,734 | 241,234 | | 241,234 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| 450 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 243,509 | 242,509 | 243,509 | | 243,509 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 460 | FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT READINESS | 200,615 | 199,115 | 200,615 | | 200,615 |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 470 | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | | | | | | | |
| | INTERNATIONAL MILITARY HEADQUARTERS | 462,591 | [-1,500] | 462,591 | 462,591 | 462,591 | 462,591 | 462,591 | 462,591 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | | | | |
| 480 | MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS | 27,375 | 27,375 | 27,375 | 27,375 | 27,375 | 27,375 | 27,375 | 27,375 |
| 520A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,030,411 | 1,029,411 | 1,030,411 | 1,030,411 | 1,030,411 | 1,030,411 | 1,030,411 | 1,030,411 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | 8,323,633 | 8,307,633 | 8,323,633 | 8,323,633 | 8,323,633 | 8,323,633 | 8,323,633 | 8,323,633 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | |
| 530 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | | -513,700 | | | | | | |
| | Program decrease—overestimate of civilian personnel | | [-48,900] | | | | | | |
| | Training program to increase and improve financial literacy training for incoming and outgoing military personnel | | [-80,000] | | | | | | |
| | Travel savings | | [2,500] | | | | | | |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-387,300] | | | | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -513,700 | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY | 33,240,148 | 32,873,548 | 33,218,748 | 33,218,748 | 33,218,748 | 33,218,748 | 33,218,748 | 33,043,748 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES | | | | | | | | |
| 020 | OPERATING FORCES | | | | | | | | |
| | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 502,664 | 532,164 | 502,664 | 502,664 | 502,664 | 502,664 | 502,664 | 502,664 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | | | | |
| | Restore Critical Operations Tempo | | [30,000] | | | | | | |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 107,489 | 107,489 | 107,489 | 107,489 | 107,489 | 107,489 | 107,489 | 107,489 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 543,989 | 543,989 | 543,989 | 543,989 | 543,989 | 543,989 | 543,989 | 543,989 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 72,963 | 72,963 | 72,963 | 72,963 | 72,963 | 72,963 | 72,963 | 72,963 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 360,082 | 358,082 | 360,082 | 360,082 | 360,082 | 360,082 | 360,082 | 360,082 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 72,491 | 72,491 | 72,491 | | 72,491 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 58,873 | 93,873 | 73,873 | | 58,873 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [35,000] | [15,000] | | |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 388,961 | 386,461 | 388,961 | | 388,961 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 228,597 | 219,097 | 233,597 | 5,000 | 233,597 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [5,000] | [5,000] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-9,000] | | | |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 39,590 | 39,590 | 39,590 | | 39,590 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 2,390,899 | 2,441,399 | 2,410,899 | 5,000 | 2,395,899 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| 130 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 10,608 | 10,608 | 10,608 | | 10,608 |
| 140 | ADMINISTRATION | 18,587 | 18,587 | 18,587 | | 18,587 |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 6,681 | 6,681 | 6,681 | | 6,681 |
| 160 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 9,192 | 9,192 | 9,192 | | 9,192 |
| 170 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 54,602 | 54,102 | 54,602 | | 54,602 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 99,670 | 99,170 | 99,670 | | 99,670 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | |
| 180 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -38,700 | | | -13,800 |
| | Overestimation of civilian FTE targets | | | | | [-13,800] |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-38,700] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -38,700 | | | -13,800 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES | 2,490,569 | 2,501,869 | 2,510,569 | -8,800 | 2,481,769 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | | | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 660,648 | 909,748 | 683,648 | 23,000 | 683,648 |
| | National Guard combat training center rotations activities | | [70,000] | | | |
| | National Guard critical operations tempo activities | | [99,600] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Restore Critical Operations Tempo | | [80,000] | | | |
| | Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations | | | [23,000] | | |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 165,942 | 165,942 | 165,942 | | 165,942 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 733,800 | 733,800 | 733,800 | | 733,800 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 83,084 | 83,084 | 83,084 | | 83,084 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 22,005 | 22,005 | 22,005 | | 22,005 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 920,085 | 920,085 | 920,085 | | 920,085 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 680,887 | 673,887 | 680,887 | | 680,887 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-5,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 69,726 | 69,726 | 69,726 | | 69,726 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 138,263 | 185,863 | 138,263 | | 138,263 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,500] | | | |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [49,600] | | | |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 804,517 | 792,017 | 804,517 | -10,000 | 794,517 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-10,000] | | | |
| | Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase | | | | [-10,000] | |
| 110 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 490,205 | 471,705 | 495,205 | 5,000 | 495,205 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [5,000] | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-18,500] | | | |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 872,140 | 871,140 | 872,140 | | 872,140 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,641,302 | 5,899,002 | 5,669,302 | 18,000 | 5,659,302 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| 130 | SERVICEMANAGEMENT | 6,690 | 6,690 | 6,690 | | 6,690 |
| 140 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 1,765 | 1,765 | 1,765 | | 1,765 |
| 150 | ADMINISTRATION | 63,075 | 65,075 | 63,075 | | 63,075 |
| | National Guard State Partnership Program | | [2,000] | | | |
| 160 | SERVICEMANAGEMENT | 37,372 | 37,372 | 37,372 | | 37,372 |
| 170 | MANPOWER MANAGEMENT | 6,484 | 6,484 | 6,484 | | 6,484 |
| 180 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 274,085 | 269,585 | 260,285 | -13,800 | 260,285 |
| | Program decrease for advertising | | | [-13,800] | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-4,500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 389,471 | 386,971 | 375,671 | -13,800 | 375,671 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | |
| 190 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -72,400 | | | 0 |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-72,400] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -72,400 | 0 | | 0 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | 6,030,773 | 6,213,573 | 6,044,973 | 4,200 | 6,034,973 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | | | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 4,947,202 | 5,002,202 | 4,947,202 | | 4,947,202 |
| | FHP Unit Level Maintenance | | [56,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 020 | FLEET AIR TRAINING | 1,647,943 | 1,659,443 | 1,647,943 | 1,647,943 | 1,647,943 |
| | FHP Unit Level Maintenance | | [12,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 030 | AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES | 37,050 | 37,050 | 37,050 | 37,050 | 37,050 |
| 040 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 96,139 | 95,639 | 96,139 | 96,139 | 96,139 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 050 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 363,763 | 362,763 | 363,763 | 363,763 | 363,763 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 060 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 814,770 | 935,870 | 923,670 | 824,870 | 824,870 |
| | Aviation Depot Maintenance | | [111,000] | [108,900] | | |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [10,100] | | 10,100 | |
| 070 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 36,494 | 36,494 | 36,494 | 36,494 | 36,494 |
| 080 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 350,641 | 473,141 | 350,641 | 350,641 | 350,641 |
| | Aviation Logistics | | [123,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 090 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 3,865,379 | 3,959,879 | 3,865,379 | 3,865,379 | 3,865,379 |
| | CLF steaming days | | [13,000] | | | |
| | Corrosion Mitigation Activities | | [5,000] | | | |
| | Joint High Speed Vessel Operations | | [10,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-5,500] | | | |
| | T-AKES to Full Operational Status | | [72,000] | | | |
| 100 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 711,243 | 709,743 | 711,243 | 711,243 | 711,243 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 5,296,408 | 5,327,608 | 5,296,408 | 5,330,108 | 5,330,108 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [33,700] | | 33,700 | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | [33,700] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 120 | SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,339,077 | 1,335,877 | 1,339,077 | 1,339,377 | 1,339,377 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [300] | | 300 | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-3,500] | | [300] | |
| 130 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 708,634 | 706,634 | 708,634 | 708,634 | 708,634 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 140 | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | | |
| | ELECTRONIC WARFARE | 91,599 | 91,099 | 91,599 | | 91,599 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 150 | SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE | 207,038 | 206,538 | 207,038 | | 207,038 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 160 | WARFARE TACTICS | 432,715 | 431,715 | 432,715 | | 432,715 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 170 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 338,116 | 337,616 | 338,116 | | 338,116 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 180 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 892,316 | 891,316 | 892,316 | | 892,316 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 190 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 128,486 | 128,486 | 128,486 | | 128,486 |
| 200 | DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 2,472 | 2,472 | 2,472 | | 2,472 |
| 210 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 101,200 | 100,700 | 101,200 | | 101,200 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 220 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 188,920 | 186,420 | 188,920 | | 188,920 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| 230 | CRUISE MISSILE | 109,911 | 109,911 | 109,911 | | 109,911 |
| 240 | FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE | 1,172,823 | 1,172,823 | 1,174,123 | | 1,172,823 |
| | Additional FGET | | | [-1,300] | | |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 104,139 | 104,139 | 104,139 | | 104,139 |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 490,911 | 490,411 | 490,911 | | 490,911 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 270 | OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 324,861 | 323,861 | 324,861 | | 324,861 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 290 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION | 936,743 | 934,243 | 936,743 | | 936,743 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 300 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 1,483,495 | 1,422,995 | 1,587,495 | 104,000 | 1,587,495 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [18,750] | [18,750] | |
| | Readiness funding increase—fully funds 6% CIP | | | [85,250] | [85,250] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | | | | |
| 310 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 4,398,667 | [−60,500] | 4,398,667 | | 4,398,667 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | 4,364,167 | | | |
| | | | [−34,500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 31,619,155 | 31,941,255 | 31,853,355 | 148,100 | 31,767,255 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | | | | |
| 320 | SHIP REPOSITIONING AND SURGE | 526,926 | 526,926 | 526,926 | | 526,926 |
| 330 | READY RESERVE FORCE | 195 | 195 | 195 | | 195 |
| 340 | AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 6,704 | 6,704 | 6,704 | | 6,704 |
| 350 | SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS | 251,538 | 205,538 | 205,538 | −46,000 | 205,538 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [−46,000] | [−46,000] | [−46,000] | |
| 360 | EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS | 124,323 | 124,323 | 124,323 | | 124,323 |
| 370 | INDUSTRIAL READINESS | 2,323 | 2,323 | 2,323 | | 2,323 |
| 380 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 20,333 | 20,333 | 20,333 | | 20,333 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 932,342 | 886,342 | 886,342 | −46,000 | 886,342 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | |
| 390 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 156,214 | 155,714 | 156,214 | | 156,214 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [−500] | | | |
| 400 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 8,863 | 8,963 | 8,863 | 100 | 8,963 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [100] | | [100] | |
| 410 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS | 148,150 | 148,150 | 148,150 | | 148,150 |
| 420 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 601,501 | 604,201 | 601,501 | 7,200 | 608,701 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [7,200] | | [7,200] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [−4,500] | | | |
| 430 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 8,239 | 8,239 | 8,239 | | 8,239 |
| 440 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 164,214 | 165,362 | 164,214 | 1,000 | 165,214 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [1,000] | | [1,000] | |
| | Naval Sea Cadets | | [1,148] | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 450 | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | TRAINING SUPPORT | 182,619 | 183,019 | 182,619 | 900 | 183,519 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [900] | | [900] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 460 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 230,589 | 230,089 | 230,589 | 1,148 | 231,737 |
| | Naval Sea Cadet Corps | | | | [1,148] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 470 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 115,595 | 114,095 | 115,595 | | 115,595 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| 480 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 79,606 | 79,106 | 79,606 | | 79,606 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 490 | JUNIOR ROTC | 41,664 | 39,664 | 41,664 | | 41,664 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 1,737,254 | 1,736,602 | 1,737,254 | 10,348 | 1,747,602 |
| ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | | | | |
| 500 | ADMINISTRATION | 858,871 | 852,871 | 858,871 | | 858,871 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-6,000] | | | |
| 510 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 12,807 | 12,807 | 12,807 | | 12,807 |
| 520 | CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 119,863 | 119,863 | 119,863 | | 119,863 |
| 530 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 356,113 | 353,013 | 356,113 | 900 | 357,013 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [900] | | [900] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-4,000] | | | |
| 540 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 255,605 | 255,105 | 255,605 | | 255,605 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 550 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 339,802 | 337,802 | 339,802 | | 339,802 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | | |

| | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 570 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 172,203 | 172,203 | 172,203 | 172,203 |
| 590 | PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN | 283,621 | 283,621 | 283,621 | 283,621 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | [-500] | | | |
| 600 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 1,111,464 | 1,111,464 | 1,111,464 | 1,111,464 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | [-500] | | | |
| 610 | HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT | 43,232 | 43,232 | 43,232 | 43,232 |
| 620 | COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 25,689 | 25,689 | 25,689 | 25,689 |
| 630 | SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS | 73,159 | 73,159 | 73,159 | 73,159 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | [-500] | | | |
| 640 | NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 548,640 | 548,640 | 548,640 | 548,640 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | [-500] | | | |
| 700 | INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES | 4,713 | 4,713 | 4,713 | 4,713 |
| 720A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 531,324 | 531,324 | 531,324 | 531,324 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | [-500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 4,737,106 | 4,737,106 | 4,737,106 | 4,738,006 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | |
| 730 | UNDISTRIBUTED | -400,400 | -88,700 | -154,200 | -154,200 |
| | Civilian personnel underexecution | [-80,000] | | [-80,000] | |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | [-74,200] | [-74,200] | [-74,200] | |
| | Training program to increase and improve financial literacy training for incoming and outgoing military personnel | [2,500] | | | |
| | Travel savings | [-248,700] | [-14,500] | | |
| | Unobligated balances | -400,400 | -88,700 | -154,200 | -154,200 |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | 39,025,857 | 39,105,357 | -40,852 | 38,985,005 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | 38,885,305 | 39,105,357 | -40,852 | 38,985,005 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS | | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--------------------------------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| OPERATING FORCES | | | | | | |
| 010 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 905,744 | 944,044 | 939,544 | 33,800 | 939,544 |
| | Corrosion Mitigation Activities | | [5,000] | | | |
| | Crisis Response Operations Unfunded Requirement | | [33,800] | [33,800] | [33,800] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 020 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 921,543 | 920,543 | 921,543 | | 921,543 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 229,058 | 280,058 | 229,058 | | 229,058 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [51,000] | | | |
| 040 | MARITIME PREPOSITIONING | 87,660 | 87,660 | 87,660 | | 87,660 |
| 050 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 573,926 | 556,926 | 592,676 | 18,750 | 592,676 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [18,750] | [18,750] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-16,000] | | | |
| 060 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 1,983,118 | 1,977,618 | 1,983,118 | | 1,983,118 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-4,000] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 4,701,049 | 4,766,849 | 4,753,599 | 52,550 | 4,753,599 |
| TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | | |
| 070 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 18,227 | 18,227 | 18,227 | | 18,227 |
| 080 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 948 | 948 | 948 | | 948 |
| 090 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 98,448 | 98,448 | 98,448 | | 98,448 |
| 100 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 42,305 | 42,305 | 42,305 | | 42,305 |
| 110 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 330,156 | 328,156 | 330,156 | | 330,156 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 020 | INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE | 5,948 | 5,948 | 5,948 | | 5,948 |
| 040 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 82,636 | 84,936 | 82,636 | 2,300 | 84,936 |
| | CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [2,300] | | [2,300] | |
| 050 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 353 | 353 | 353 | | 353 |
| 060 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 7,007 | 7,007 | 7,007 | | 7,007 |
| 070 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 8,190 | 8,190 | 8,190 | | 8,190 |
| 080 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 556 | 556 | 556 | | 556 |
| 090 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 4,571 | 4,571 | 4,571 | | 4,571 |
| 100 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 14,472 | 14,472 | 14,472 | | 14,472 |
| 110 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 119,056 | 119,056 | 119,056 | | 119,056 |
| 120 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 1,852 | 1,852 | 1,852 | | 1,852 |
| 130 | ENTERPRISE INFORMATION | 25,354 | 25,354 | 25,354 | | 25,354 |
| 140 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 48,271 | 46,271 | 53,271 | 4,827 | 53,098 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [5,000] | [4,827] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |
| 150 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 101,921 | 101,421 | 101,921 | | 101,921 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 986,029 | 993,729 | 991,029 | 15,027 | 1,001,056 |
| ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | | | | |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION | 1,520 | 1,520 | 1,520 | | 1,520 |
| 170 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 12,998 | 12,998 | 12,998 | | 12,998 |
| 180 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 3,395 | 3,395 | 3,395 | | 3,395 |
| 190 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 3,158 | 3,158 | 3,158 | | 3,158 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 21,071 | 21,071 | 21,071 | | 21,071 |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 3,163,457 | 3,256,557 | 3,163,457 | 8,600 | 3,172,057 |
| | Corrosion Prevention | | [5,000] | | | |
| | Cyber Weapon System Ops | | [50,000] | | | |
| | Cyberspace Defense Weapon System and Cyber Mission Forces | | [30,000] | | | |
| | Nuclear Force Improvement Program—Security Forces | | [8,600] | | [8,600] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 020 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 1,694,339 | 1,686,339 | 1,694,339 | | 1,694,339 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-8,000] | | | |
| 030 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) | 1,579,178 | 1,574,678 | 1,579,178 | | 1,579,178 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,500] | | | |
| 040 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 6,119,522 | 6,111,522 | 6,119,522 | -91,122 | 6,028,400 |
| | RC/OC-135 Contractor Logistics Support Unjustified Growth | | [-8,000] | | [-8,000] | |
| | Unjustified program growth | | | | [-83,122] | |
| 050 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 1,453,589 | 1,447,989 | 1,472,339 | 22,150 | 1,475,739 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [18,750] | [18,750] | |
| | Nuclear Force Improvement Program—Installation Surety | | [3,400] | | [3,400] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-9,000] | | | |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT | 2,599,419 | 2,587,419 | 2,599,419 | -10,000 | 2,589,419 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-10,000] | | | |
| | Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase | | | | | |
| 070 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 908,790 | 919,861 | 908,790 | | 908,790 |
| | Program increase | | [14,571] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |
| 080 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS | 856,306 | 862,906 | 856,306 | 9,600 | 865,906 |

| | | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 090 | Nuclear Force Improvement Program—ICBM Training Hardware Reduction in contracts for Other Services | 800,689 | [9,600] [-3,000] | 792,689 [-8,000] | [9,600] | 800,689 |
| | TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| | RC-135 | | | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 100 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 282,710 | 282,710 | 282,710 | | 282,710 |
| 110 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 397,818 | 397,318 | 397,818 | | 397,818 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 120 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT PACOM Prepositioned Munition Shortfall Mitigation | 871,840 | 884,440 [19,100] | 860,840 | -11,000 | 860,840 |
| | Program decrease—classified program | | [-6,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | 237,348 | | | 237,348 |
| 130 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS | 237,348 | 237,348 | 217,348 | | 237,348 |
| | Program decrease—JECC | | [-20,000] | | | |
| 130A | AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM | | | 34,600 | | 34,600 |
| | Retain current AWACS fleet | | [34,600] | | | [34,600] |
| 130B | A-10 FLYING HOURS | | | 188,400 | | 188,400 |
| | Retain current A-10 fleet | | [188,400] | | | [188,400] |
| 130C | A-10 WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUSTAINMENT | | | 68,100 | | 68,100 |
| | Retain current A-10 fleet | | [68,100] | | | [68,100] |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 20,965,005 | 21,049,276 | 21,235,855 | 219,328 | 21,184,333 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | | | | |
| 140 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 1,968,810 | 1,966,310 | 1,968,810 | | 1,968,810 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,500] | | | |
| 150 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 139,743 | 139,243 | 139,743 | -14,073 | 125,670 |
| | Inflation pricing requested as program growth | | | | [-14,073] | |
| 160 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,534,560 | 1,534,560 | 1,534,560 | | 1,534,560 |
| 170 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 173,627 | 171,627 | 173,627 | | 173,627 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 688,801 | 686,301 | 688,801 | | 688,801 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,000] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 4,505,541 | 4,498,041 | 4,505,541 | -14,073 | 4,491,468 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | |
| 190 | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 82,396 | 82,396 | 82,396 | | 82,396 |
| 200 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 19,852 | 19,852 | 19,852 | | 19,852 |
| 210 | RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC) | 76,134 | 73,134 | 76,134 | | 76,134 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-3,000] | | | |
| 220 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 212,226 | 208,726 | 212,226 | | 212,226 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-3,500] | | | |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 759,809 | 754,309 | 759,809 | | 759,809 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-4,500] | | | |
| 240 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 356,157 | 356,157 | 356,157 | | 356,157 |
| 250 | FLIGHT TRAINING | 697,594 | 694,594 | 697,594 | | 697,594 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,500] | | | |
| 260 | PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION | 219,441 | 218,441 | 219,441 | | 219,441 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 270 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 91,001 | 91,001 | 91,001 | | 91,001 |
| 280 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 316,688 | 316,688 | 316,688 | | 316,688 |
| 290 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 73,920 | 73,920 | 73,920 | | 73,920 |
| 300 | EXAMINING | 3,121 | 3,121 | 3,121 | | 3,121 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 181,718 | 174,218 | 181,718 | | 181,718 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-7,500] | | | |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 320 | CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 147,667 | 147,167 | 147,667 | 147,667 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | |
| 330 | JUNIOR ROTC | 63,250 | 60,250 | 63,250 | 63,250 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-3,000] | | |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 3,300,974 | 3,273,974 | 3,300,974 | 3,300,974 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| 340 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 1,003,513 | 1,044,013 | 1,013,813 | 997,379 |
| | Inflation pricing requested as program growth | | | -6,134 | |
| | Readiness funding increase—PACOM unfunded priority list | | | [-6,134] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | | [10,300] | |
| | SDT Program | | [-500] | | |
| | Defense Finance and Accounting Services rate adjustment requested as pro- | | [41,000] | | |
| | gram growth | | 841,449 | | |
| 350 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 843,449 | 841,449 | 843,449 | 836,210 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | | | [-7,239] |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | |
| 360 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 78,126 | 78,126 | 78,126 | 78,126 |
| 370 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 247,677 | 244,177 | 247,677 | 247,677 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-3,500] | | |
| 380 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,103,442 | 1,096,442 | 1,103,442 | 1,103,442 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-5,500] | | |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 597,234 | 596,234 | 597,234 | 597,234 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 506,840 | 506,840 | 506,840 | 506,840 |
| 410 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 892,256 | 889,256 | 892,256 | 892,256 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-2,000] | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | |
| 420 | CIVIL AIR PATROL | 24,981 | 24,981 | 24,981 | 24,981 |
| 450 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 92,419 | 91,919 | 92,419 | 92,419 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 450A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,169,736 | 1,159,236 | 1,164,376 | -5,360 | 1,164,376 |
| | Classified adjustment | | | [-5,360] | [-5,360] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-9,500] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 6,559,673 | 6,572,673 | 6,564,613 | -18,733 | 6,540,940 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | |
| 460 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -240,400 | -69,200 | -131,900 | -131,900 |
| | Civilian personnel underexecution | | [-80,000] | | [-80,000] | |
| | Foreign Currency adjustments | | [-51,900] | [-51,900] | [-51,900] | |
| | Readiness support | | [221,500] | | | |
| | Training program to increase and improve financial literacy training for incoming and outgoing military personnel | | [2,500] | | | |
| | Travel savings | | | [-17,300] | | |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-332,500] | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -240,400 | -69,200 | -131,900 | -131,900 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE | 35,331,193 | 35,153,564 | 35,537,783 | 54,622 | 35,385,815 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE | | | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 1,719,467 | 1,719,467 | 1,719,467 | | 1,719,467 |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 211,132 | 211,132 | 211,132 | | 211,132 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 530,301 | 530,301 | 530,301 | | 530,301 |
| 040 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 85,672 | 84,672 | 90,672 | 5,000 | 90,672 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [5,000] | [5,000] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | | |

| | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 367,966 | 365,466 | 367,966 | 367,966 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-2,500] | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 2,914,538 | 2,911,038 | 2,919,538 | 2,919,538 |
| | ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| 060 | ADMINISTRATION | 59,899 | 59,899 | 59,899 | 59,899 |
| 070 | RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING | 14,509 | 14,509 | 14,509 | 14,509 |
| 080 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC) | 20,345 | 20,345 | 20,345 | 20,345 |
| 090 | OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP) | 6,551 | 6,551 | 6,551 | 6,551 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 101,304 | 101,304 | 101,304 | 101,304 |
| | UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | |
| 110 | UNDISTRIBUTED | | -13,400 | 0 | 0 |
| | Unobligated balances | | [-13,400] | | |
| | SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED | | -13,400 | 0 | 0 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE | 3,015,842 | 2,998,942 | 3,020,842 | 3,020,842 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG | | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | | |
| 010 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | 3,367,729 | 3,366,729 | 3,367,729 | 3,367,729 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 718,295 | 717,295 | 718,295 | 718,295 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,528,695 | 1,528,695 | 1,528,695 | 1,528,695 |
| 040 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 137,604 | 133,604 | 142,604 | 142,604 |
| | Facilities Sustainment | | | [-5,000] | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-4,000] | | |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 581,536 | 569,036 | 581,536 | 581,536 |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-12,500] | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 6,333,859 | 6,315,359 | 6,338,859 | 6,338,859 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------|
| | UFR Unit Readiness Training | | [20,000] | | [20,000] | |
| | USSOCOM Joint Special Operations University | | | | | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | [-2,560] | 5,168,552 | 5,273,952 | 8,702 | 5,233,054 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | | | |
| 030 | DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY | 135,437 | 135,437 | 135,437 | | 135,437 |
| 040 | NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY | 80,082 | 80,082 | 80,082 | | 80,082 |
| 050 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 371,620 | 371,620 | 371,620 | | 371,620 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 587,139 | 587,139 | 587,139 | | 587,139 |
| | ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | | | |
| 060 | CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS | 119,888 | 195,888 | 144,888 | 56,000 | 175,888 |
| | STARBASE | | [21,000] | [25,000] | | |
| | Youth Challenge | | [55,000] | | [31,000] | |
| 080 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 556,493 | 556,493 | 556,493 | | 556,493 |
| 090 | DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY | 1,340,374 | 1,339,874 | 1,340,374 | -40,500 | 1,299,874 |
| | Civilian personnel compensation—justification does not match summary of price and program changes | | | | | |
| | Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag | | | | [-20,500] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | | | [-20,000] | |
| 100 | DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY | 633,300 | 613,300 | 633,300 | 2,770 | 636,070 |
| | Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag | | | | [-1,230] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | | | | |
| | Suicide Prevention—transfer from SOCOM | | | | [4,000] | |
| 110 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY | 1,263,678 | 1,258,678 | 1,263,678 | | 1,263,678 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-4,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 130 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 26,710 | 26,710 | 26,710 | | 26,710 |
| 140 | DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY | 381,470 | 380,470 | 394,170 | 12,700 | 394,170 |
| | PTAP funding increase | | | | [12,700] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| 150 | DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY | 194,520 | 183,020 | 194,520 | | 194,520 |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| | Program decrease | | [-10,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,500] | | | |
| 160 | DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE | 21,485 | 21,485 | 21,485 | | 21,485 |
| 170 | DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY | 544,786 | 523,786 | 537,786 | 7,600 | 552,386 |
| | Global Security Contingency Fund | | [-30,000] | | | |
| | Program decrease—Combating terrorism fellowship | | | [-7,000] | [-2,400] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership For Peace | | [10,000] | | [10,000] | |
| 180 | DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE | 527,812 | 527,312 | 527,812 | | 527,812 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 200 | DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION | 32,787 | 32,787 | 32,787 | | 32,787 |
| 230 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY | 2,566,424 | 2,551,924 | 2,596,424 | | 2,566,424 |
| | Disability Impact Aid | | | [5,000] | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-6,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-8,500] | | | |
| | Supplemental Impact Aid | | | [25,000] | | |
| 240 | MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY | 416,644 | 415,144 | 416,644 | | 416,644 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-1,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in service contracts for facilities maintenance | | [-500] | | | |
| 260 | OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT | 186,987 | 106,391 | 106,387 | -80,596 | 106,391 |
| | Office of Economic Adjustment | | [-80,596] | [-80,600] | [-80,596] | |
| 265 | OFFICE OF NET ASSESSMENT | | 18,944 | 18,944 | | 18,944 |
| | Program increase | | [10,000] | | [10,000] | |
| | Transfer from line 270 | | [8,944] | | [8,944] | |
| 270 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 1,891,163 | 1,715,419 | 1,882,363 | -17,744 | 1,873,419 |
| | BRAC 2015 Round Planning and Analyses | | [-4,800] | | [-4,800] | |
| | Corrosion Prevention Program Office | | [5,000] | | | |

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | | | | | | |
| 010 | US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE | 13,723 | 13,723 | 13,723 | | 13,723 |
| 020 | OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID | 100,000 | 104,500 | 100,000 | | 100,000 |
| | Humanitarian Mine Action | | [5,000] | | | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| 030 | COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION | 365,108 | 354,608 | 365,108 | | 365,108 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-10,500] | | | |
| 040 | ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD | 212,875 | 209,375 | 212,875 | -129,841 | 83,034 |
| | Program decrease | | | | [-129,841] | |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-3,500] | | | |
| 050 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY | 201,560 | 201,560 | 201,560 | | 201,560 |
| 060 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY | 277,294 | 277,294 | 277,294 | | 277,294 |
| 070 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE | 408,716 | 408,716 | 408,716 | | 408,716 |
| 080 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE | 8,547 | 8,547 | 8,547 | | 8,547 |
| 090 | ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES | 208,353 | 208,353 | 208,353 | | 208,353 |
| 100 | OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND | 5,000 | | 5,000 | -5,000 | 0 |
| | Program decrease | | [-5,000] | | [-5,000] | |
| 110 | SUPPORT OF INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS, DEFENSE | 10,000 | 5,200 | 5,700 | -4,300 | 5,700 |
| | Reduction in contracts for Other Services | | [-500] | | | |
| | Unjustified program increase | | [-4,300] | | [-4,300] | |
| | SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | 1,811,176 | 1,791,876 | 1,806,876 | -139,141 | 1,672,035 |
| | TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS | 1,811,176 | 1,791,876 | 1,806,876 | -139,141 | 1,672,035 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | 165,721,818 | 164,545,441 | 166,094,965 | -304,538 | 165,417,280 |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY | | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | | |
| 010 | MANEUVER UNITS | 77,419 | 110,000 | 187,419 |
| | ERI: Armored Brigade Combat Team Presence | | [110,000] | |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 3,827 | | 3,827 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 22,353 | | 22,353 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 1,405,102 | | 1,405,102 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 452,332 | 15,000 | 467,332 |
| | ERI: Increased Global Response Force Exercises | | [15,000] | |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 47,522 | | 47,522 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,050,683 | 96,500 | 1,147,183 |
| | ERI: Increase Range Capacities and Operation, and Upgrade Training Sites | | [96,500] | |
| 080 | LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS | 166,725 | | 166,725 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 87,636 | 185,600 | 273,236 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [185,600] | |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 291,977 | | 291,977 |
| 140 | ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES | 7,316,967 | 90,294 | 7,407,261 |
| | ERI: NATO Exercises | | [13,100] | |
| | ERI: Strengthen the Capacity of NATO and NATO Partners | | [3,000] | |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 reprogramming | | [74,194] | |
| 150 | COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM | 10,000 | | 10,000 |
| 160 | RESET | 2,861,655 | | 2,861,655 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 13,794,198 | 497,394 | 14,291,592 |
| MOBILIZATION | | | | |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|--|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 190 | ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS | | 59,000 | 59,000 |
| | ERI: Armored Brigade Combat Team presence | | [40,000] | |
| | ERI: Army Prepo Infrastructure Projects | | [19,000] | |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | | 59,000 | 59,000 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 350 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 1,806,267 | | 1,806,267 |
| 380 | AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT | 45,537 | | 45,537 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 32,264 | | 32,264 |
| 420 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 98,171 | | 98,171 |
| 430 | OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT | 99,694 | | 99,694 |
| 450 | REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT | 137,053 | | 137,053 |
| 520A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,122,092 | -15,900 | 1,106,192 |
| | Program decrease | | [-15,900] | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES | 3,341,078 | -15,900 | 3,325,178 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY | 17,135,276 | 540,494 | 17,675,770 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 4,285 | | 4,285 |
| 050 | LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,428 | | 1,428 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 699 | | 699 |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 35,120 | | 35,120 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 41,532 | | 41,532 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES | 41,532 | | 41,532 |

| | | | | |
|-----|--|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MANUEVER UNITS | 12,593 | 1,200 | 13,793 |
| | ERI: Leverage State Partnership Program | | [1,200] | |
| 020 | MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES | 647 | | 647 |
| 030 | ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE | 6,670 | | 6,670 |
| 040 | THEATER LEVEL ASSETS | 664 | | 664 |
| 060 | AVIATION ASSETS | 22,485 | | 22,485 |
| 070 | FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 14,560 | | 14,560 |
| 090 | LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE | | 49,600 | 49,600 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [49,600] | |
| 100 | BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 13,923 | | 13,923 |
| 120 | MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS | 4,601 | | 4,601 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 76,143 | 50,800 | 126,943 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 150 | ADMINISTRATION | 318 | | 318 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 318 | | 318 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG | 76,461 | 50,800 | 127,261 |
| | AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND | | | |
| | MINISTRY OF DEFENSE | | | |
| 010 | AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND | 2,915,747 | | 2,915,747 |
| | SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE | 2,915,747 | | 2,915,747 |
| | MINISTRY OF INTERIOR | | | |
| 020 | MINISTRY OF INTERIOR | 1,161,733 | | 1,161,733 |
| | SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR | 1,161,733 | | 1,161,733 |
| | DETAINEE OPS | | | |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 030 | IRAQ TRAINING FACILITY | 31,853 | | 31,853 |
| | SUBTOTAL DETAINEE OPS | 31,853 | | 31,853 |
| | TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND | 4,109,333 | | 4,109,333 |
| | IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | | | |
| | IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | | | |
| 010 | IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 1,618,000 | | 1,618,000 |
| | SUBTOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 1,618,000 | | 1,618,000 |
| | TOTAL IRAQ TRAIN AND EQUIP FUND | 1,618,000 | | 1,618,000 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 573,123 | 3,000 | 576,123 |
| | ERI: Seabreeze and European Multinational Exercises | | [3,000] | |
| 040 | AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT | 2,600 | | 2,600 |
| 050 | AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 22,035 | | 22,035 |
| 060 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 192,411 | 111,000 | 303,411 |
| | Aviation Depot Maintenance | | [111,000] | |
| 070 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT | 1,116 | | 1,116 |
| 080 | AVIATION LOGISTICS | 33,900 | | 33,900 |
| 090 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 1,153,500 | 4,950 | 1,158,450 |
| | ERI: Black Sea Multinational Exercises | | [4,950] | |
| 100 | SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING | 20,068 | | 20,068 |
| 110 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,922,829 | 150,000 | 2,072,829 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [150,000] | |

| | | | |
|-----|---|------------------|------------------|
| 130 | COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS | 31,303 | 31,303 |
| 160 | WARFARE TACTICS | 26,229 | 26,229 |
| 170 | OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY | 20,398 | 20,398 |
| 180 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 676,555 | 685,675 |
| | ERI: BALTOPS Multinational Exercises | | 9,120 |
| | ERI: Black Sea Information Sharing Initiatives | | [500] |
| | ERI: EUCOM Information Sharing Initiatives | | [620] |
| | ERI: EUCOM Information Sharing Initiatives | | [8,000] |
| 190 | EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | 10,662 | 10,662 |
| 250 | IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 90,684 | 90,684 |
| 260 | WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | 233,696 | 233,696 |
| 300 | SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION | 16,220 | 16,420 |
| | ERI: European Multinational Exercise Infrastructure Support | | 200 |
| | ERI: European Multinational Exercise Infrastructure Support | | [200] |
| 310 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 88,688 | 88,688 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,116,017 | 278,270 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,116,017 | 5,394,287 |
| | MOBILIZATION | | |
| 360 | EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS | 5,307 | 5,307 |
| 380 | COAST GUARD SUPPORT | 213,319 | 213,319 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 218,626 | 218,626 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | |
| 420 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 48,270 | 48,270 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 48,270 | 48,270 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | |
| 500 | ADMINISTRATION | 2,464 | 2,464 |
| 510 | EXTERNAL RELATIONS | 520 | 520 |
| 530 | MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT | 5,205 | 5,205 |
| 540 | OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT | 1,439 | 1,439 |
| 570 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 186,318 | 186,318 |
| 590 | PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN | 1,350 | 1,350 |
| 600 | ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT | 11,811 | 11,811 |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|------|--|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 640 | NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE | 1,468 | | 1,468 |
| 720A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 6,380 | | 6,380 |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 216,955 | | 216,955 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY | 5,599,868 | 278,270 | 5,878,138 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 010 | OPERATIONAL FORCES | 477,406 | 13,210 | 490,616 |
| | ERI: BALTOPS Multinational Exercises | | [1,500] | |
| | ERI: Black Sea Rotational Force Increased Presence | | [8,910] | |
| | ERI: Cold Response Multinational Exercises | | [800] | |
| | ERI: NATO Multinational Exercises | | [2,000] | |
| 020 | FIELD LOGISTICS | 353,334 | | 353,334 |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 426,720 | 10,000 | 436,720 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [10,000] | |
| 060 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 12,036 | | 12,036 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 1,269,496 | 23,210 | 1,292,706 |
| | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | |
| 110 | TRAINING SUPPORT | 52,106 | | 52,106 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 52,106 | | 52,106 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 150 | SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION | 162,980 | | 162,980 |
| 160 | ADMINISTRATION | 1,322 | | 1,322 |
| 180A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,870 | | 1,870 |

| | | | |
|-----|---|-----------|-----------|
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 166,172 | 166,172 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS | 1,487,774 | 1,510,984 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS | 16,133 | 16,133 |
| 040 | AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 6,150 | 6,150 |
| 070 | MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS | 12,475 | 12,475 |
| 090 | SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| 110 | COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES | 8,418 | 8,418 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 45,876 | 45,876 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES | 45,876 | 45,876 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | OPERATING FORCES | 9,740 | 9,740 |
| 040 | BASE OPERATING SUPPORT | 800 | 800 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 10,540 | 10,540 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE | 10,540 | 10,540 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | |
| 010 | PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES | 1,352,604 | 1,419,934 |
| | ERI: Baltic Air Policing | | [10,000] |
| | ERI: Eastern European Countries Exercise Support | | [2,300] |
| | ERI: Retain Air Superiority Presence | | [55,000] |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 reprogramming | | [30] |
| 020 | COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES | 893,939 | 898,339 |
| | ERI: Baltic Intelligence, Surveillance and Reconnaissance | | [4,400] |

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---------------------|--|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 030 | AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) | 8,785 | | 8,785 |
| 040 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 1,146,099 | | 1,146,099 |
| 050 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 78,000 | 27,890 | 105,890 |
| | ERI: Improve Airfield Infrastructure | | [9,890] | |
| | ERI: Improve Support Infrastructure | | [400] | |
| | ERI: Improve Weapons Storage Facilities | | [17,600] | |
| 060 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,226,834 | | 1,226,834 |
| 070 | GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING | 92,109 | | 92,109 |
| 080 | OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS | 168,269 | | 168,269 |
| 090 | TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES | 26,337 | | 26,337 |
| 100 | LAUNCH FACILITIES | 852 | | 852 |
| 110 | SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS | 4,942 | | 4,942 |
| 120 | COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT | 99,400 | 168 | 99,568 |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 reprogramming | | [168] | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 5,098,170 | 99,788 | 5,197,958 |
| MOBILIZATION | | | | |
| 140 | AIRLIFT OPERATIONS | 2,894,280 | 2,600 | 2,896,880 |
| | ERI: Persistent IMAF Capability | | [2,000] | |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 reprogramming | | [600] | |
| 150 | MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS | 138,043 | | 138,043 |
| 160 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 437,279 | 160,000 | 597,279 |
| | Restore Critical Depot Maintenance | | [160,000] | |
| 170 | FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION | 2,801 | | 2,801 |
| 180 | BASE SUPPORT | 15,370 | | 15,370 |
| | SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION | 3,487,773 | 162,600 | 3,650,373 |

| | | | | |
|------|--|------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 190 | TRAINING AND RECRUITING | | | |
| | OFFICER ACQUISITION | 39 | | 39 |
| 200 | RECRUIT TRAINING | 432 | | 432 |
| 230 | BASE SUPPORT | 1,617 | | 1,617 |
| 240 | SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING | 2,145 | | 2,145 |
| 310 | OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION | 163 | | 163 |
| | SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING | 4,396 | | 4,396 |
| | ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | | | |
| 340 | LOGISTICS OPERATIONS | 85,016 | | 85,016 |
| 350 | TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES | 934 | | 934 |
| 380 | BASE SUPPORT | 6,923 | | 6,923 |
| 390 | ADMINISTRATION | 151 | | 151 |
| 400 | SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS | 162,106 | 2,250 | 164,356 |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 reprogramming | | [2,250] | |
| 410 | OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 246,256 | | 246,256 |
| 450 | INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT | 60 | | 60 |
| 450A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 17,408 | -11,498 | 5,910 |
| | Program decrease | | [-11,498] | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES | 518,854 | -9,248 | 509,606 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE | 9,109,193 | 253,140 | 9,362,333 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE | | | |
| | OPERATING FORCES | | | |
| 030 | DEPOT MAINTENANCE | 72,575 | | 72,575 |
| 050 | BASE SUPPORT | 5,219 | | 5,219 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 77,794 | | 77,794 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE | 77,794 | | 77,794 |
| | OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG | | | |

1111

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Line | Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| OPERATING FORCES | | | | |
| 010 | AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS | | 2,300 | 2,300 |
| | ERI: Eastern European Countries Exercise Support | | [2,000] | |
| | ERI: Leverage State Partnership Program | | [300] | |
| 020 | MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS | 20,300 | | 20,300 |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 20,300 | 2,300 | 22,600 |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG | 20,300 | 2,300 | 22,600 |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE | | | | |
| OPERATING FORCES | | | | |
| 010 | JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | | 100 | 100 |
| | ERI: EUCOM Support to NATO Exercises in Chairman's Joint Exercise Program | | [100] | |
| 020 | SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND/OPERATING FORCES | 2,490,648 | 158,315 | 2,648,963 |
| | ERI: Increased Partnership Activities in Central and Eastern Europe | | [10,557] | |
| | Replenishment of source funds in FY15-02 reprogramming | | [147,758] | |
| | SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES | 2,490,648 | 158,415 | 2,649,063 |
| ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| 080 | DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY | 22,847 | | 22,847 |
| 090 | DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY | 21,516 | | 21,516 |
| 110 | DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY | 36,416 | | 36,416 |
| 130 | DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY | 105,000 | | 105,000 |
| 150 | DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY | 6,251 | | 6,251 |
| 170 | DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY | 1,660,000 | | 1,660,000 |
| 230 | DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY | 93,000 | | 93,000 |
| 270 | OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE | 115,664 | 10,000 | 125,664 |

| | | | | | |
|------|---|-------------------|--|------------------|-------------------|
| 290 | ERI: Intelligence and Warning | | | | |
| | WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES | 2,424 | | 2,424 | |
| 290A | CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS | 1,617,659 | | 1,613,059 | |
| | Program decrease | | | (-4,600) | |
| | SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES | 3,680,777 | | 3,686,177 | |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE | 6,171,425 | | 6,335,240 | |
| | TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | 45,503,372 | | 1,312,029 | 46,815,401 |

TITLE XLIV—MILITARY PERSONNEL

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)

| Item | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Military Personnel Appropriations | 128,957,593 | 129,007,023 | 128,910,683 | -477,985 | 128,479,608 |
| AGR Pay and Allowance—projected underexecution | | | | [-84,500] | |
| Air Force airborne warning and control system personnel | | [12,200] | | | |
| CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [48,000] | | [48,000] | |
| Foreign Currency adjustments | | [-193,200] | | | |
| Inactive Duty Training—projected underexecution | | | | [-79,000] | |
| Increase state ESGR personnel | | | [4,000] | | |
| Individual Clothing and Uniform Allowance—excess to requirement | | | | [-10,000] | |
| Lower than budgeted average strength levels | | | | [-66,500] | |
| Military Personnel Historical Underexecution | | | [-761,610] | [-628,000] | |
| Non-Prior Service Enlistment Bonus—excess to requirement | | | | [-4,000] | |
| Operational training excess to requirement | | | | [-3,000] | |
| Operational travel excess to requirement | | | | [-10,800] | |
| Readiness funding increase—CTC rotations for Army National Guard | | | [45,000] | | |
| Recalculation from CPL-1 to CPI | | [534,900] | | [215,300] | |
| Reduction in meals-ready-to-eat | | | [-20,000] | | |
| Restore assumed savings for TRICARE consolidation | | | [78,000] | | |
| Restore lost savings relating to retiree COLA | | | [500,000] | | |
| Retain current A-10 fleet | | | [82,800] | [74,615] | |
| Retain current AWACS fleet | | | [24,900] | [24,900] | |
| Special training and exercises for National Guard State Partnership Program | | [8,000] | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Transfer funding for 2 CTC rotations: Army-requested from line 121, O&M Army | | | | [45,000] | |
| Unobligated balances | | | [-360,470] | | |
| Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions | 6,236,092 | 6,237,092 | 6,236,092 | 6,236,092 | 6,236,092 |
| CVN 73 Refueling and Complex Overhaul (RCOH) | | [1,000] | | | |
| Total, Military Personnel | 135,193,685 | 135,244,115 | 135,146,775 | -477,985 | 134,715,700 |

SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

| SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Item | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized | Agreement Authorized |
| Military Personnel Appropriations | 5,536,340 | 1,500 | 5,537,840 | 5,537,840 |
| ERI: Strengthen the Capacity of NATO and NATO Partners | | [1,500] | | |
| Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions | 58,728 | | 58,728 | 58,728 |
| Total, Military Personnel Appropriations | 5,595,068 | 1,500 | 5,596,568 | 5,596,568 |

TITLE XLV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY | | | | | |
| PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS | 13,727 | 13,727 | 13,727 | | 13,727 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY | 13,727 | 13,727 | 13,727 | | 13,727 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | | | | | |
| SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS (MEDICAL/DENTAL) | 61,717 | 61,717 | 61,717 | | 61,717 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | 61,717 | 61,717 | 61,717 | | 61,717 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | | | | | |
| DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) | 44,293 | 44,293 | 39,293 | | 44,293 |
| Program decrease—MRES | | | [-5,000] | | |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | 44,293 | 44,293 | 39,293 | | 44,293 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | | | | | |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | 1,114,731 | 1,214,731 | 1,314,731 | 100,000 | 1,214,731 |
| Restore Commissary Reduction | | [100,000] | [200,000] | [100,000] | |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA | 1,114,731 | 1,214,731 | 1,314,731 | 100,000 | 1,214,731 |
| NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND | | | | | |
| NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND | | | 100,000 | | |
| National Sea-based Deterrence Fund | | | [100,000] | | |

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| TOTAL NATIONAL SEA-BASED DETERRENCE FUND | | | 100,000 | | |
| CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION | | | | | |
| OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | 222,728 | 222,728 | 222,728 | | 222,728 |
| RDT&E | 595,913 | 595,913 | 595,913 | | 595,913 |
| PROCUREMENT | 10,227 | 10,227 | 10,227 | | 10,227 |
| TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION | 828,868 | 828,868 | 828,868 | | 828,868 |
| DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF | | | | | |
| DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | 719,096 | 719,096 | 739,096 | | 719,096 |
| Additional SOUTHCOM ISR Support | | | [20,000] | | |
| DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM | 101,591 | 101,591 | 101,591 | | 101,591 |
| TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF | 820,687 | 820,687 | 840,687 | | 820,687 |
| OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | | | | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 310,830 | 310,830 | 310,830 | | 310,830 |
| PROCUREMENT | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | | 1,000 |
| TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 311,830 | 311,830 | 311,830 | | 311,830 |
| DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | | | | | |
| IN-HOUSE CARE | 8,799,086 | 8,860,686 | 8,769,086 | 50,085 | 8,849,171 |
| Implementation of Benefit Reform Proposal | | [-53,700] | [-30,000] | [-56,715] | |
| Restoration of MHS Modernization | | [92,000] | | [92,000] | |
| USSOCOM Behavioral Health and Warrior Care Management Program | | [23,300] | | [14,800] | |
| PRIVATE SECTOR CARE | 15,412,599 | 15,374,599 | 15,354,599 | -1,095,000 | 14,317,599 |
| Behavioral health treatment of TRICARE beneficiaries | | [20,000] | | | |
| Historical underexecution | | | | [-855,000] | |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Implementation of Benefit Reform Proposal | | | | | | | | | |
| Pharmaceutical drugs—excess growth | | | | | | | | | |
| CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,462,096 | 2,358,396 |
| Historical underexecution | | | | | | | | | [−58,000] |
| Travel excess growth | | | | | | | | | [−182,000] |
| INFORMATION MANAGEMENT | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 | 1,557,347 |
| MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 | 366,223 |
| EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 | 750,866 |
| BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 | 1,683,694 |
| R&D UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | | |
| R&D RESEARCH | 10,317 | 20,317 | 10,317 | 10,317 | 10,317 | 10,317 | 10,317 | 10,317 | 10,317 |
| Surgical Critical Care Research | | [10,000] | | | | | | | |
| R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 | 49,015 |
| R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 | 226,410 |
| R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 | 97,787 |
| R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 | 217,898 |
| R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 | 38,075 |
| R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 | 15,092 |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | | | | | | | | | |
| PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 | 13,057 |
| PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 | 283,030 |
| PROC THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 | 3,145 |
| PROC IEHR | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 | 9,181 |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | −161,857 | −566,557 | −161,857 | −161,857 | −161,857 | −161,857 | −161,857 | −161,857 | −161,857 |
| Foreign Currency adjustments | | [−13,100] | | | | | | | |
| Mental Health Assessments | | | | | | | | | |
| Private study to identify challenges confronting the DoD's care of wounded war- | | | | | | | | | |
| riors | | [20,000] | | | | | | | |
| Unobligated balances | | [−411,600] | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | 31,833,061 | 31,461,961 | 31,755,061 | −1,148,615 | 30,664,446 | | | | |

| SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars) | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Program Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
| TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 35,028,914 | 34,757,814 | 35,265,914 | -1,048,615 | 33,980,299 |

SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|-----------------|------------------|----------------------|
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | | | |
| C-17 CLS ENGINE COST INCREASE | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| FUEL | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | | | |
| DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA) | 86,350 | | 86,350 |
| TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE | 86,350 | | 86,350 |
| DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF | | | |
| DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE | 189,000 | 20,000 | 209,000 |
| SOUTHCOM ISR | | [20,000] | |
| TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF | 189,000 | 20,000 | 209,000 |
| OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | | | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 7,968 | | 7,968 |
| TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL | 7,968 | | 7,968 |
| DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | | | |
| IN-HOUSE CARE | 65,902 | | 65,902 |
| PRIVATE SECTOR CARE | 214,259 | | 214,259 |
| CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT | 15,311 | | 15,311 |
| EDUCATION AND TRAINING | 5,059 | | 5,059 |
| TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM | 300,531 | | 300,531 |

SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
 (In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE | | | |
| EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE | 925,000 | -554,287 | 370,713 |
| ERI: Military Assistance and Support for Ukraine | | [75,000] | |
| ERI: Transfer out to appropriations for proper execution | | [-629,287] | |
| TOTAL EUROPEAN REASSURANCE INITIATIVE | 925,000 | -554,287 | 370,713 |
| COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | | | |
| COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | 4,000,000 | -2,700,000 | 1,300,000 |
| Funding ahead of need | | [-2,700,000] | |
| TOTAL COUNTERTERRORISM PARTNERSHIPS FUND | 4,000,000 | -2,700,000 | 1,300,000 |
| TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 5,513,849 | -3,234,287 | 2,279,562 |
| TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS | 5,513,849 | -3,234,287 | 2,279,562 |

TITLE XLVI—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Army | CALIFORNIA | Concord | ACCESS CONTROL POINT | 9,900 | 9,900 | 9,900 | | 9,900 |
| Army | CALIFORNIA | Concord | GENERAL PURPOSE MAINTENANCE SHOP | 5,300 | 5,300 | 5,300 | | 5,300 |
| Army | CALIFORNIA | Fort Irwin | UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR | 45,000 | 45,000 | 45,000 | | 45,000 |
| Army | COLORADO | Fort Carson, Colorado | AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 60,000 | 60,000 | 60,000 | | 60,000 |
| Army | COLORADO | Fort Carson, Colorado | UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR | 29,000 | 29,000 | 29,000 | | 29,000 |
| Army | GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA | Guantanamo Bay | DINING FACILITY | 12,000 | 12,000 | 12,000 | | 12,000 |
| Army | GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA | Guantanamo Bay | HEALTH CLINIC | 11,800 | 11,800 | 11,800 | | 11,800 |
| Army | GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA | Guantanamo Bay | HIGH VALUE DETAINEE COMPLEX | 0 | 69,000 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army | HAWAII | Fort Shafter | COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY COMPLEX | 96,000 | 83,000 | 86,400 | -11,000 | 85,000 |
| Army | JAPAN | Kadena AB | MISSILE MAGAZINE | 10,600 | 10,600 | 10,600 | | 10,600 |
| Army | KENTUCKY | Blue Grass Army Depot | SHIPPING AND RECEIVING BUILDING | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Army | KENTUCKY | Fort Campbell, Kentucky | UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | | 23,000 |
| Army | NEW YORK | Fort Drum, New York | UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE HANGAR | 27,000 | 27,000 | 27,000 | | 27,000 |
| Army | NEW YORK | U.S. Military Academy | CADET BARRACKS, INCR 3 | 58,000 | 58,000 | 58,000 | | 58,000 |
| Army | PENNSYLVANIA | Letterkenny Army Depot | REBUILD SHOP | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| Army | SOUTH CAROLINA | Fort Jackson | TRAINEE BARRACKS COMPLEX 3, PHI | 52,000 | 52,000 | 52,000 | | 52,000 |
| Army | TEXAS | Fort Hood | SIMULATIONS CENTER | 0 | 46,000 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army | VIRGINIA | Fort Lee | ADV. INDIVIDUAL TRAINING BARRACKS COMPLEX, PHASE 3 | 0 | 86,000 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army | VIRGINIA | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | TACTICAL VEHICLE HARDSTAND | 7,700 | 7,700 | 7,700 | | 7,700 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Army | Worldwide UN-Specified Locations | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | HOST NATION SUPPORT FY15 | 33,000 | 33,000 | 33,000 | | 33,000 |
| Army | Worldwide UN-Specified Locations | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MINOR CONSTRUCTION FY15 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| Army | Worldwide UN-Specified Locations | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN FY15 | 18,127 | 18,127 | 18,127 | | 18,127 |
| Military Construction, Army Total | | | | 539,427 | 742,427 | 544,827 | 4,000 | 543,427 |
| Navy | ARIZONA | Yuma | AVIATION MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT COMPLEX | 16,608 | 16,608 | 16,608 | | 16,608 |
| Navy | BAHRAIN ISLAND | SW Asia | P-8A HANGAR | 27,826 | 27,826 | 27,826 | | 27,826 |
| Navy | CALIFORNIA | Bridgeport | E-LMR COMMUNICATIONS TOWERS | 16,180 | 16,180 | 16,180 | | 16,180 |
| Navy | CALIFORNIA | Lemoore | F-35C FACILITY ADDITION AND MODIFICATION | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16,594 | 16,594 |
| Navy | CALIFORNIA | Lemoore | F-35C OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,391 | 22,391 |
| Navy | CALIFORNIA | San Diego | STEAM DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM DECENTRALIZATION | 47,110 | 47,110 | 47,110 | | 47,110 |
| Navy | DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | District of Columbia | ELECTRONICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY | 31,735 | 31,735 | 31,735 | | 31,735 |
| Navy | DJIBOUTI | Camp Lemonier, Djibouti | ENTRY CONTROL POINT | 9,923 | 9,923 | 9,923 | | 9,923 |
| Navy | FLORIDA | Jacksonville | MH60 PARKING APRON | 8,583 | 8,583 | 8,583 | | 8,583 |
| Navy | FLORIDA | Jacksonville | P-8A RUNWAY THRESHOLDS AND TAXIWAYS | 21,652 | 21,652 | 21,652 | | 21,652 |
| Navy | FLORIDA | Mayport | LCS OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY | 20,520 | 20,520 | 20,520 | | 20,520 |
| Navy | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | GSE SHOPS AT NORTH RAMP | 21,880 | 21,880 | 21,880 | | 21,880 |
| Navy | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | MWSS FACILITIES AT NORTH RAMP | 28,771 | 28,771 | 28,771 | | 28,771 |
| Navy | HAWAII | Kaneohe Bay | FACILITY MODIFICATIONS FOR VMU, MWSD, & CH53E | 51,182 | 51,182 | 51,182 | | 51,182 |
| Navy | HAWAII | Kaneohe Bay | ROAD AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS | 2,200 | 2,200 | 2,200 | | 2,200 |
| Navy | HAWAII | Pearl Harbor | SUBMARINE MANEUVERING ROOM TRAINER FACILITY | 9,698 | 9,698 | 9,698 | | 9,698 |
| Navy | JAPAN | Iwakuni | SECURITY MODS DPRI MC167-T (CW-5 E2D EA-18G) | 6,415 | 6,415 | 6,415 | | 6,415 |
| Navy | JAPAN | Kadena AB | AIRCRAFT MAINT HANGAR ALTERATIONS AND SAP-F | 19,411 | 19,411 | 19,411 | | 19,411 |
| Navy | JAPAN | MCAS Futenma | HANGAR & RINSE FACILITY MODERNIZATIONS | 4,639 | 4,639 | 4,639 | | 4,639 |
| Navy | JAPAN | Okinawa | LHD PRACTICE SITE IMPROVEMENTS | 35,685 | 35,685 | 35,685 | | 35,685 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Navy | MARYLAND | Annapolis | CENTER FOR CYBER SECURITY STUDIES BUILDING | 120,112 | 100,112 | 30,000 | -90,112 | 30,000 |
| Navy | MARYLAND | Indian Head | ADVANCED ENERGETICS RESEARCH LAB COMPLEX PH 2 | 15,346 | 15,346 | 15,346 | | 15,346 |
| Navy | MARYLAND | Patuxent River | ATLANTIC TEST RANGE FACILITY | 9,860 | 9,860 | 9,860 | | 9,860 |
| Navy | NEVADA | Fallon | AIR WING TRAINING FACILITY | 27,763 | 27,763 | 27,763 | | 27,763 |
| Navy | NEVADA | Fallon | FACILITY ALTERATION FOR F-35 TRAINING MISSION | 3,499 | 3,499 | 3,499 | | 3,499 |
| Navy | NORTH CAROLINA | Camp Lejeune | 2ND RADIO BN COMPLEX PHASE 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50,706 | 50,706 |
| Navy | NORTH CAROLINA | Cherry Point Marine Corps Air Station | WATER TREATMENT PLANT REPLACEMENT | 41,588 | 41,588 | 41,588 | | 41,588 |
| Navy | PENNSYLVANIA | Philadelphia | OHIO REPLACEMENT POWER & PROPULSION FACILITY | 23,985 | 23,985 | 23,985 | | 23,985 |
| Navy | SOUTH CAROLINA | Charleston | NUCLEAR POWER OPERATIONAL SUPPORT FACILITY | 35,716 | 35,716 | 35,716 | | 35,716 |
| Navy | SPAIN | Rota | SHIP BERTHING POWER UPGRADES | 20,233 | 20,233 | 20,233 | | 20,233 |
| Navy | VIRGINIA | Dahlgren | MISSILE SUPPORT FACILITY | 27,313 | 27,313 | 27,313 | | 27,313 |
| Navy | VIRGINIA | Norfolk | EOD CONSOLIDATED OPS & LOGISTICS FACILITIES | 39,274 | 39,274 | 39,274 | | 39,274 |
| Navy | VIRGINIA | Portsmouth | SUBMARINE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 9,743 | 9,743 | 9,743 | | 9,743 |
| Navy | VIRGINIA | Quantico | AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT EXPANSION | 12,613 | 12,613 | 12,613 | | 12,613 |
| Navy | VIRGINIA | Yorktown | BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 19,152 | 19,152 | 19,152 | | 19,152 |
| Navy | VIRGINIA | Yorktown | FAST COMPANY TRAINING FACILITY | 7,836 | 7,836 | 7,836 | | 7,836 |
| Navy | WASHINGTON | Bangor | REGIONAL SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT FACILITY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,833 | 13,833 |
| Navy | WASHINGTON | Bremerton | INTEGRATED WATER TREATMENT SYST. DD 1, 2, & 5 | 16,401 | 16,401 | 16,401 | | 16,401 |
| Navy | WASHINGTON | Kitsap | EXPLOSIVES HANDLING WHARF #2 (IMC) | 83,778 | 83,778 | 83,778 | | 83,778 |
| Navy | WASHINGTON | Port Angeles | TPS PORT ANGELES FORWARD OPERATING LOCATION | 20,638 | 20,638 | 20,638 | | 20,638 |
| Navy | WASHINGTON | Whidbey Island | P-8A AIRCRAFT APRON AND SUPPORTING FACILITIES | 24,390 | 24,390 | 24,390 | | 24,390 |
| Navy | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Worldwide Locations | F-35G FACILITY ADDITION AND MODIFICATION | 16,594 | 16,594 | 16,594 | -16,594 | 0 |
| Navy | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Worldwide Locations | F-35C OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY | 22,391 | 22,391 | 22,391 | -22,391 | 0 |
| Navy | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Worldwide Locations | MCON DESIGN FUNDS | 33,366 | 33,366 | 33,366 | | 33,366 |
| Navy | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 7,163 | 7,163 | 7,163 | | 7,163 |
| Military Construction, Navy Total | | | | 1,018,772 | 998,772 | 998,199 | -25,573 | 993,199 |
| AF | ALASKA | Clear AFS | EMERGENCY POWER PLANT FUEL STORAGE | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | | 11,500 |
| AF | ARIZONA | Luke AFB | F-35 AIRCRAFT MX HANGAR—SODN #2 | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | | 11,200 |
| AF | ARIZONA | Luke AFB | F-35 FLIGHTLINE FILLSTANDS | 15,600 | 15,600 | 15,600 | | 15,600 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| AF | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | GUAM STRIKE FUEL SYSTEMS MAINT.HANGAR INC 2 | 64,000 | 64,000 | 64,000 | | 64,000 |
| AF | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | PAR LOW OBSERVABLE/CORROSION CONTROL/COM- POSITE REPAIR SHOP | 0 | 0 | 34,400 | 34,400 | 34,400 |
| AF | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC—COMBAT COMM INFRASTR FACILITY | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,750 | | 3,750 |
| AF | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC—RED HORSE LOGISTICS FACILITY | 3,150 | 3,150 | 3,150 | | 3,150 |
| AF | GUAM | Joint Region Marianas | PRTC—SATELLITE FIRE STATION | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | | 6,500 |
| AF | KANSAS | McConnell AFB | KC-46A ADAL MOBILITY BAG STRG EXPANSION | 2,300 | 2,300 | 2,300 | | 2,300 |
| AF | KANSAS | McConnell AFB | KC-46A ADAL REGIONAL MX TNG FACILITY | 16,100 | 16,100 | 16,100 | | 16,100 |
| AF | KANSAS | McConnell AFB | KC-46A ALTER COMPOSITE MX SHOP | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | | 4,100 |
| AF | KANSAS | McConnell AFB | KC-46A ALTER TAXIWAY FOXTROT | 5,500 | 5,500 | 5,500 | | 5,500 |
| AF | KANSAS | McConnell AFB | KC-46A FUSELAGE TRAINER | 6,400 | 6,400 | 6,400 | | 6,400 |
| AF | MARYLAND | Fort Meade | CYBERCOM JOINT OPERATIONS CENTER, INCREMENT 2 | 166,000 | 166,000 | 166,000 | | 166,000 |
| AF | MASSACHUSETTS | Hanscom AFB | DORMITORY (72 RM) | 13,500 | 13,500 | 13,500 | | 13,500 |
| AF | NEBRASKA | Ofutt AFB | USSTRATCOM REPLACEMENT FACILITY- INCR 4 | 180,000 | 180,000 | 180,000 | | 180,000 |
| AF | NEVADA | Nellis AFB | F-22 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY | 14,000 | 14,000 | 14,000 | | 14,000 |
| AF | NEVADA | Nellis AFB | F-35 AIRCRAFT MX UNIT—4 BAY HANGAR | 31,000 | 31,000 | 31,000 | | 31,000 |
| AF | NEVADA | Nellis AFB | F-35 WEAPONS SCHOOL FACILITY | 8,900 | 8,900 | 8,900 | | 8,900 |
| AF | NEW JERSEY | Joint Base McGuire-Dix- Lakehurst | FIRE STATION | 5,900 | 5,900 | 5,900 | | 5,900 |
| AF | OKLAHOMA | Tinker AFB | KC-46A DEPOT MAINT COMPLEX SPT INFRASTR | 48,000 | 48,000 | 48,000 | | 48,000 |
| AF | OKLAHOMA | Tinker AFB | KC-46A TWO-BAY DEPOT MX HANGAR | 63,000 | 63,000 | 63,000 | | 63,000 |
| AF | TEXAS | Joint Base San Antonio | FIRE STATION | 5,800 | 5,800 | 5,800 | | 5,800 |
| AF | UNITED KINGDOM | Croughton RAF | JIAC CONSOLIDATION—PHASE 1 | 92,223 | 92,223 | 92,223 | | 92,223 |
| AF | WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED | Various Worldwide Loca- tions | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 10,738 | 10,738 | 10,738 | | 10,738 |
| AF | WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED | Various Worldwide Loca- tions | UNSPECIFIED MINOR MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 22,613 | 22,613 | 22,613 | | 22,613 |
| Military Construction, Air Force Total | | | | 811,774 | 811,774 | 846,174 | 34,400 | 846,174 |
| Def-Wide | ARIZONA | Fort Huachuca | JITC BUILDING 52120 RENOVATION | 1,871 | 1,871 | 1,871 | | 1,871 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Def-Wide | AUSTRALIA | Geraldton | COMBINED COMMUNICATIONS GATEWAY | 9,600 | 9,600 | 9,600 | 9,600 | 9,600 |
| Def-Wide | BELGIUM | Brussels | BRUSSELS ELEMENTARY/HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT | 41,626 | 41,626 | 41,626 | 41,626 | 41,626 |
| Def-Wide | BELGIUM | Brussels | NATO HEADQUARTERS FACILITY | 37,918 | 37,918 | 37,918 | 37,918 | 37,918 |
| Def-Wide | CALIFORNIA | Camp Pendleton, California | SOF COMMELEC MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 11,841 | 11,841 | 11,841 | 11,841 | 11,841 |
| Def-Wide | CALIFORNIA | Coronado | SOF LOGISTICS SUPPORT UNIT 1 OPS FACILITY #1 | 41,740 | 41,740 | 41,740 | 41,740 | 41,740 |
| Def-Wide | CALIFORNIA | Coronado | SOF SUPPORT ACTIVITY OPS FACILITY #2 | 28,600 | 28,600 | 28,600 | 28,600 | 28,600 |
| Def-Wide | CALIFORNIA | Lemoore | REPLACE FUEL STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION FAC. | 52,500 | 52,500 | 52,500 | 52,500 | 52,500 |
| Def-Wide | COLORADO | Peterson AFB | DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 | 15,200 |
| Def-Wide | CONUS | Various Locations | EAST COAST MISSILE SITE PLANNING AND DESIGN | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Def-Wide | CONUS CLASSIFIED | Classified Location | SOF SKILLS TRAINING FACILITY | 53,073 | 53,073 | 53,073 | 53,073 | 53,073 |
| Def-Wide | GEORGIA | Hunter Army Airfield | SOF COMPANY OPERATIONS FACILITY | 7,692 | 7,692 | 7,692 | 7,692 | 7,692 |
| Def-Wide | GEORGIA | Robins AFB | REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 19,900 | 19,900 | 19,900 | 19,900 | 19,900 |
| Def-Wide | GERMANY | Rhine Ordnance Barracks | MEDICAL CENTER REPLACEMENT INCR 4 | 259,695 | 189,695 | 189,695 | 189,695 | -70,000 |
| Def-Wide | GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA | Guantanamo Bay | REPLACE FUEL TANK | 11,100 | 11,100 | 11,100 | 11,100 | 11,100 |
| Def-Wide | GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA | Guantanamo Bay | W.T. SAMPSON E/M AND HS CONSOLID./REPLACEMENT | 65,190 | 65,190 | 65,190 | 65,190 | 65,190 |
| Def-Wide | HAWAII | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | REPLACE FUEL TANKS | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Def-Wide | HAWAII | Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam | UPGRADE FIRE SUPPRESSION & VENTILATION SYS. | 49,900 | 49,900 | 49,900 | 49,900 | 49,900 |
| Def-Wide | JAPAN | Misawa AB | EDGREN HIGH SCHOOL RENOVATION | 37,775 | 37,775 | 37,775 | 37,775 | 37,775 |
| Def-Wide | JAPAN | Okinawa | KILLIN ELEMENTARY REPLACEMENT/RENOVATION | 71,481 | 71,481 | 71,481 | 71,481 | 71,481 |
| Def-Wide | JAPAN | Okinawa | KUBASAKI HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT/RENOVATION | 99,420 | 99,420 | 99,420 | 99,420 | 99,420 |
| Def-Wide | JAPAN | Sasebo | E.J. KING HIGH SCHOOL REPLACEMENT/RENOVATION | 37,681 | 37,681 | 37,681 | 37,681 | 37,681 |
| Def-Wide | KENTUCKY | Fort Campbell, Kentucky | SOF SYSTEM INTEGRATION MAINTENANCE OFFICE FAC | 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 | 18,000 |
| Def-Wide | MARYLAND | Fort Meade | NSAW CAMPUS FEEDERS PHASE 1 | 54,207 | 54,207 | 54,207 | 54,207 | 54,207 |
| Def-Wide | MARYLAND | Fort Meade | NSAW RECAPITALIZE BUILDING #1/SITE M INC 3 | 45,521 | 45,521 | 45,521 | 45,521 | 45,521 |
| Def-Wide | MARYLAND | Joint Base Andrews | CONSTRUCT HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 18,300 | 18,300 | 18,300 | 18,300 | 18,300 |
| Def-Wide | MICHIGAN | Selfridge ANGB | REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES | 35,100 | 35,100 | 35,100 | 35,100 | 35,100 |
| Def-Wide | MISSISSIPPI | Stennis | SOF APPLIED INSTRUCTION FACILITY | 10,323 | 10,323 | 10,323 | 10,323 | 10,323 |
| Def-Wide | MISSISSIPPI | Stennis | SOF LAND ACQUISITION WESTERN MANUEVER AREA | 17,224 | 17,224 | 17,224 | 17,224 | 17,224 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|----------|----------------------------|--|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Def-Wide | NEVADA | Fallon | SOF TACTICAL GROUND MOB. VEHICLE MAINT FAC. | 20,241 | 20,241 | 20,241 | | 20,241 |
| Def-Wide | NEW MEXICO | Cannon AFB | SOF SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY (STS) | 23,333 | 23,333 | 23,333 | | 23,333 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina | LEJEUNE HIGH SCHOOL ADDITION/RENOVATION | 41,306 | 41,306 | 41,306 | | 41,306 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Camp Lejeune, North Carolina | SOF INTEL/OPS EXPANSION | 11,442 | 11,442 | 11,442 | | 11,442 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Fort Bragg | SOF BATTALION OPERATIONS FACILITY | 37,074 | 37,074 | 37,074 | | 37,074 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Fort Bragg | SOF TACTICAL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Fort Bragg | SOF TRAINING COMMAND BUILDING | 48,062 | 48,062 | 48,062 | | 48,062 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Seymour Johnson AFB | REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 8,500 | 8,500 | 8,500 | | 8,500 |
| Def-Wide | NORTH CAROLINA | Beaufort | REPLACE FUEL DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES | 40,600 | 40,600 | 40,600 | | 40,600 |
| Def-Wide | SOUTH DAKOTA | Ellsworth AFB | CONSTRUCT HYDRANT SYSTEM | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 | | 8,000 |
| Def-Wide | TEXAS | Fort Bliss | HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT INCR 6 | 131,500 | 201,500 | 131,500 | | 131,500 |
| Def-Wide | TEXAS | Joint Base San Antonio | MEDICAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT | 38,300 | 38,300 | 38,300 | | 38,300 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Craney Island | REPLACE & ALTER FUEL DISTRIBUTION FACILITIES | 36,500 | 36,500 | 36,500 | | 36,500 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Def Distribution Depot Richmond | REPLACE ACCESS CONTROL POINT | 5,700 | 5,700 | 5,700 | | 5,700 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Fort Belvoir | PARKING LOT | 7,239 | 7,239 | 7,239 | | 7,239 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Joint Base Langley-Eustis | HOSPITAL ADDITION/C/UP REPLACEMENT | 41,200 | 41,200 | 41,200 | | 41,200 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF HUMAN PERFORMANCE CENTER | 11,200 | 11,200 | 11,200 | | 11,200 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF INDOOR DYNAMIC RANGE | 14,888 | 14,888 | 14,888 | | 14,888 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek—Story | SOF MOBILE COMM DET SUPPORT FACILITY | 13,500 | 13,500 | 13,500 | | 13,500 |
| Def-Wide | VIRGINIA | Pentagon | REDUNDANT CHILLED WATER LOOP | 15,100 | 15,100 | 15,100 | | 15,100 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations | CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION | 9,000 | 0 | 9,000 | -9,000 | 0 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Lo- cations | ECIP DESIGN | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | 10,000 |

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | ENERGY CONSERVATION INVESTMENT PROGRAM | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,000 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | EXERCISE RELATED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 8,581 | 8,581 | 8,581 | 8,581 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 599 | 599 | 599 | 599 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 38,704 | 38,704 | 38,704 | 38,704 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 42,387 | 42,387 | 42,387 | 42,387 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 745 | 745 | 745 | 745 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 24,425 | 24,425 | 24,425 | 24,425 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 1,183 | 1,183 | 1,183 | 1,183 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 5,932 | 5,932 | 5,932 | 5,932 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 10,334 | 10,334 | 10,334 | 10,334 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 6,846 | 6,846 | 6,846 | 6,846 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 | 4,100 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 2,994 | 2,994 | 2,994 | 2,994 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | Various Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 24,197 | 24,197 | 24,197 | 24,197 |
| Military Construction, Defense-Wide Total | | | | 2,061,890 | 2,032,890 | 1,861,890 | 1,962,890 |
| Chem Demil | KENTUCKY | Blue Grass Army Depot | AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION PH XV | 38,715 | 38,715 | 38,715 | 38,715 |
| Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense Total | | | | 38,715 | 38,715 | 38,715 | 38,715 |
| | | | | -99,000 | | | 0 |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| NATO | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | NATO Security Investment Program | NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM | 199,700 | 199,700 | 174,700 | -25,000 | 174,700 |
| NATO Security Investment Program Total | | | | | | | | |
| Army NG | DELAWARE | Dagsboro | NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP | 0 | 10,800 | 0 | -25,000 | 174,700 |
| Army NG | MAINE | Augusta | NATIONAL GUARD RESERVE CENTER | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 2,000 | 32,000 |
| Army NG | MARYLAND | Havre de Grace | NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER | 12,400 | 12,400 | 12,400 | | 12,400 |
| Army NG | MONTANA | Helena | NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT | 38,000 | 38,000 | 38,000 | | 38,000 |
| Army NG | NEW MEXICO | Alamogordo | READINESS CENTER ADD/ALT | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Army NG | NEW MEXICO | Alamogordo | NATIONAL GUARD READINESS CENTER | 0 | 5,000 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army NG | NORTH DAKOTA | Valley City | NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP | 10,800 | 10,800 | 10,800 | | 10,800 |
| Army NG | VERMONT | North Hyde Park | NATIONAL GUARD VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SHOP | 4,400 | 4,400 | 4,400 | | 4,400 |
| Army NG | WASHINGTON | Yakima | ENLISTED BARRACKS, TRANSIENT TRAINING | 0 | 19,000 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army NG | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 17,600 | 17,600 | 17,600 | | 17,600 |
| Army NG | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 13,720 | 13,720 | 13,720 | | 13,720 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard Total | | | | | | | | |
| Army Res | CALIFORNIA | Fresno | ARMY RESERVE CENTER/AMSA | 22,000 | 22,000 | 22,000 | 7,000 | 133,920 |
| Army Res | COLORADO | Fort Carson, Colorado | ARMY RESERVE CENTER | 0 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Army Res | ILLINOIS | Fort Carson, Colorado | TRAINING BUILDING ADDITION | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | | 5,000 |
| Army Res | MISSISSIPPI | Arlington Heights | ARMY RESERVE CENTER | 0 | 26,000 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army Res | NEW JERSEY | Starkville | ARMY RESERVE CENTER | 0 | 9,300 | 0 | | 0 |
| Army Res | NEW JERSEY | Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst | ARMY RESERVE CENTER | 26,000 | 26,000 | 26,000 | | 26,000 |
| Army Res | NEW YORK | Mattytale | ARMY RESERVE CENTER/AMSA | 23,000 | 23,000 | 23,000 | | 23,000 |
| Army Res | VIRGINIA | Fort Lee | TASS TRAINING CENTER | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | | 16,000 |
| Army Res | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PLANNING AND DESIGN | 8,337 | 8,337 | 8,337 | | 8,337 |
| Army Res | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 3,609 | 3,609 | 3,609 | | 3,609 |

| Military Construction, Army Reserve Total | | 103,946 | 164,246 | 128,946 | 25,000 | 128,946 |
|--|--|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| N/MC Res | Pennsylvania Pittsburgh | 17,650 | 17,650 | 17,650 | | 17,650 |
| N/MC Res | Washington Everett | 0 | 0 | 47,869 | 47,869 | 47,869 |
| N/MC Res | Washington Whidbey Island | 27,755 | 27,755 | 27,755 | | 27,755 |
| N/MC Res | Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 2,123 | 2,123 | 2,123 | | 2,123 |
| N/MC Res | Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | | 4,000 |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve Total | | 51,528 | 51,528 | 99,397 | 47,869 | 99,397 |
| Air NG | Arkansas Fort Smith Municipal Airport | 0 | 0 | 13,200 | 13,200 | 13,200 |
| Air NG | Connecticut Bradley IAP | 16,306 | 16,306 | 16,306 | | 16,306 |
| Air NG | Iowa Des Moines MAP | 8,993 | 8,993 | 8,993 | | 8,993 |
| Air NG | Michigan W. K. Kellogg Regional Airport | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | | 6,000 |
| Air NG | New Hampshire Pease International Trade Port | 7,100 | 7,100 | 7,100 | | 7,100 |
| Air NG | New Hampshire Pease International Trade Port | 16,800 | 16,800 | 16,800 | | 16,800 |
| Air NG | New Hampshire Pease International Trade Port | 18,002 | 18,002 | 18,002 | | 18,002 |
| Air NG | Pennsylvania Willow Grove ARF | 5,662 | 5,662 | 5,662 | | 5,662 |
| Air NG | Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 7,700 | 7,700 | 7,700 | | 7,700 |
| Air NG | Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 8,100 | 8,100 | 8,100 | -2,000 | 6,100 |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard Total | | 94,663 | 94,663 | 107,863 | 11,200 | 105,863 |
| AF Res | Arizona Davis-Monthan AFB | 0 | 0 | 14,500 | 14,500 | 14,500 |
| AF Res | Georgia Robins AFB | 27,700 | 27,700 | 27,700 | | 27,700 |
| AF Res | North Carolina Seymour Johnson AFB | 9,800 | 9,800 | 9,800 | | 9,800 |
| AF Res | Texas Fort Worth | 3,700 | 3,700 | 3,700 | | 3,700 |
| AF Res | Worldwide Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 6,892 | 6,892 | 6,892 | | 6,892 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | HOUSING PRIVATIZATION | 40,761 | 40,761 | 40,761 | 40,761 | |
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | LEASING | 43,651 | 43,651 | 43,651 | 43,651 | |
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MAINTENANCE | 99,934 | 99,934 | 99,934 | 99,934 | |
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 47,834 | 47,834 | 47,834 | 47,834 | |
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 1,993 | 1,993 | 1,993 | 1,993 | |
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | SERVICES ACCOUNT | 12,709 | 12,709 | 12,709 | 12,709 | |
| FH Ops AF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 42,322 | 42,322 | 42,322 | 42,322 | |
| | | | Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Air Force Total | 327,747 | 327,747 | 327,747 | 327,747 | 0 |
| FH Con Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | DESIGN | 472 | 472 | 472 | 472 | |
| FH Con Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | IMPROVEMENTS | 15,940 | 15,940 | 15,940 | 15,940 | |
| | | | Family Housing Construction, Navy And Marine Corps Total | 16,412 | 16,412 | 16,412 | 16,412 | 0 |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 17,881 | 17,881 | 17,881 | 17,881 | |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | LEASING | 65,999 | 65,999 | 65,999 | 65,999 | |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 97,612 | 97,612 | 97,612 | 97,612 | |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 55,124 | 55,124 | 55,124 | 55,124 | |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 366 | 366 | 366 | 366 | |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COSTS | 27,876 | 27,876 | 27,876 | 27,876 | |
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | SERVICES ACCOUNT | 18,079 | 18,079 | 18,079 | 18,079 | |

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| FH Ops Navy | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 71,092 | 71,092 | 71,092 | | 71,092 |
| Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Navy And Marine Corps Total | | | | 354,029 | 354,029 | 354,029 | 0 | 354,029 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 3,362 | 3,362 | 3,362 | | 3,362 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 20 | 20 | 20 | | 20 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 746 | 746 | 746 | | 746 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | LEASING | 42,083 | 42,083 | 42,083 | | 42,083 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | LEASING | 11,179 | 11,179 | 11,179 | | 11,179 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 344 | 344 | 344 | | 344 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 2,128 | 2,128 | 2,128 | | 2,128 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 378 | 378 | 378 | | 378 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | SERVICES ACCOUNT | 31 | 31 | 31 | | 31 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 170 | 170 | 170 | | 170 |
| FH Ops DW | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 659 | 659 | 659 | | 659 |
| Family Housing Operation And Maintenance, Defense-Wide Total | | | | 61,100 | 61,100 | 61,100 | 0 | 61,100 |
| FHIF | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND | 1,662 | 1,662 | 1,662 | | 1,662 |
| DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund Total | | | | 1,662 | 1,662 | 1,662 | 0 | 1,662 |

| | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Base Realignment & Closure, Army | 84,417 | 84,417 | 84,417 | 84,417 | 84,417 | 84,417 |
| | Base Realignment and Closure—Army Total | | | | | | | |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Base Realignment & Closure, Navy | 57,406 | 57,406 | 57,406 | 57,406 | 57,406 | 57,406 |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 7,682 | 7,682 | 7,682 | 7,682 | 7,682 | 7,682 |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 21,416 | 21,416 | 21,416 | 21,416 | 21,416 | 21,416 |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 904 | 904 | 904 | 904 | 904 | 904 |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 6,066 | 6,066 | 6,066 | 6,066 | 6,066 | 6,066 |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 1,178 | 1,178 | 1,178 | 1,178 | 1,178 | 1,178 |
| | Base Realignment and Closure—Navy Total | | | | | | | |
| BRAC | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 94,692 | 94,692 | 94,692 | 94,692 | 94,692 | 94,692 |
| | Base Realignment and Closure—Air Force Total | | | | | | | |
| PYS | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PYS | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PYS | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Prior Year Savings Total | | | | | | | |
| GR | WORLDWIDE UN-SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | General Reductions Total | | | | | | | |
| | Total Military Construction | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6,557,447 | 6,532,970 | 6,452,243 | 6,551,843 | -5,604 | 6,551,843 |

SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4602. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Account | State/ Country | Installation | Project Title | FY 2015 Request | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|----------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Army | Romania | Mihail Kogalniceanu | ERI: FUEL STORAGE CAPACITY | 0 | 15,000 | 15,000 |
| Army | Romania | Mihail Kogalniceanu | ERI: HAZARDOUS CARGO RAMP | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 |
| Army | Romania | Mihail Kogalniceanu | ERI: MULTI MODAL IMPROVEMENTS | 0 | 17,000 | 17,000 |
| | | Military Construction, Army Total | | 0 | 37,000 | 37,000 |
| AF | Bulgaria | Graf Ignatievo | ERI: IMPROVE AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE | 0 | 3,200 | 3,200 |
| AF | Estonia | Amari | ERI: IMPROVE AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE | 0 | 24,780 | 24,780 |
| AF | Italy | Camp Darby | ERI: IMPROVE WEAPONS STORAGE FACILITY | 0 | 44,450 | 44,450 |
| AF | Latvia | Lielvarde | ERI: IMPROVE AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE | 0 | 10,710 | 10,710 |
| AF | Lithuania | Siauliai | ERI: IMPROVE AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE | 0 | 13,120 | 13,120 |
| AF | Poland | Lask | ERI: IMPROVE SUPPORT INFRASTRUCTURE | 0 | 22,400 | 22,400 |
| AF | Romania | Camp Turzii | ERI: IMPROVE AIRFIELD INFRASTRUCTURE | 0 | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| AF | WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | ERI: PLANNING AND DESIGN | 0 | 11,500 | 11,500 |
| | | Military Construction, Air Force Total | | 0 | 133,060 | 133,060 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE | Classified Location | CLASSIFIED PROJECT | 46,000 | 0 | 46,000 |
| Def-Wide | WORLDWIDE UN- SPECIFIED | Unspecified Worldwide Locations | ERI: UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 0 | 4,350 | 4,350 |
| | | Military Construction, Defense-Wide Total | | 46,000 | 4,350 | 50,350 |
| | | Total, Military Construction, OCO Funding | | 46,000 | 174,410 | 220,410 |

TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS.

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Discretionary Summary By Appropriation | | | | | |
| Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies | | | | | |
| Appropriation Summary: | | | | | |
| Energy Programs | | | | | |
| Nuclear Energy | 104,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104,000 |
| Advisory Board | | | | | |
| Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health | 0 | 0 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Atomic Energy Defense Activities | | | | | |
| National nuclear security administration: | | | | | |
| Weapons activities | 8,314,902 | 112,700 | 0 | -104,342 | 8,210,560 |
| Defense nuclear nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 10,000 | 285,000 | 219,602 | 1,774,758 |
| Naval reactors | 1,377,100 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,377,100 |
| Federal salaries and expenses | 410,842 | -24,000 | -7,500 | -23,979 | 386,863 |
| Total, National nuclear security administration | 11,658,000 | 108,700 | 277,500 | 91,281 | 11,749,281 |
| Environmental and other defense activities: | | | | | |
| Defense environmental cleanup | 5,327,538 | -437,000 | -463,000 | -443,000 | 4,884,538 |

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Other defense activities | 753,000 | 5,300 | -2,000 | 1,000 | 754,000 |
| Total, Environmental & other defense activities | 6,080,538 | -431,700 | -465,000 | -442,000 | 5,638,538 |
| Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities | 17,738,538 | -323,000 | -187,500 | -350,719 | 17,387,819 |
| Total, Discretionary Funding | 17,842,538 | -323,000 | -185,500 | -348,719 | 17,493,819 |
| Nuclear Energy | | | | | |
| Idaho sitewide safeguards and security | 104,000 | | | | 104,000 |
| Advisory Board | | | | | |
| Advisory Board on Toxic Substances and Worker Health | 0 | | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 |
| Weapons Activities | | | | | |
| Directed stockpile work | | | | | |
| Life extension programs | | | | | |
| B61 Life extension program | 643,000 | -7,500 | | | 643,000 |
| W76 Life extension program | 259,168 | 7,100 | | | 259,168 |
| W88 Alt 370 | 165,400 | 1,200 | | | 165,400 |
| Cruise missile warhead life extension program | 9,418 | 7,600 | 7,500 | 7,600 | 17,018 |
| Total, Life extension programs | 1,076,986 | 8,400 | 7,500 | 7,600 | 1,084,586 |
| Stockpile systems | | | | | |
| B61 Stockpile systems | 109,615 | | | | 109,615 |
| W76 Stockpile systems | 45,728 | | | | 45,728 |
| W78 Stockpile systems | 62,703 | 3,700 | | | 62,703 |
| W80 Stockpile systems | 70,610 | | | | 70,610 |
| B83 Stockpile systems | 63,136 | | | | 63,136 |
| W87 Stockpile systems | 91,255 | | | | 91,255 |

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|
| W88 Stockpile systems | 88,060 | | | 88,060 |
| Total, Stockpile systems | 531,107 | 3,700 | 0 | 531,107 |
| Weapons dismantlement and disposition | | | | |
| Operations and maintenance | 30,008 | | 10,000 | 40,008 |
| Stockpile services | | | | |
| Production support | 350,942 | 12,300 | | 350,942 |
| Research and development support | 29,649 | | -4,149 | 25,500 |
| R&D certification and safety | 201,479 | 11,000 | -41,479 | 160,000 |
| Management, technology, and production | 241,805 | | -15,805 | 226,000 |
| Plutonium sustainment | 144,575 | 28,300 | | 144,575 |
| Tritium readiness | 140,053 | | | 140,053 |
| Total, Stockpile services | 1,108,503 | 51,600 | -61,433 | 1,047,070 |
| Total, Directed stockpile work | 2,746,604 | 63,700 | -43,833 | 2,702,771 |
| Campaigns: | | | | |
| Science campaign | | | | |
| Advanced certification | 58,747 | | | 58,747 |
| Primary assessment technologies | 112,000 | | | 112,000 |
| Dynamic materials properties | 117,999 | | -7,999 | 110,000 |
| Advanced radiography | 79,340 | | | 79,340 |
| Secondary assessment technologies | 88,344 | | | 88,344 |
| Total, Science campaign | 456,430 | 0 | -7,999 | 448,431 |
| Engineering campaign | | | | |
| Enhanced surety | 52,003 | 2,400 | | 52,003 |
| Weapon systems engineering assessment technology | 20,832 | | | 20,832 |
| Nuclear survivability | 25,371 | | | 25,371 |
| Enhanced surveillance | 37,799 | 3,600 | | 37,799 |
| Total, Engineering campaign | 136,005 | 6,000 | 0 | 136,005 |

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign | | | | | |
| Ignition | 77,994 | | | | 77,994 |
| Support of other stockpile programs | 23,598 | | | | 23,598 |
| Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support | 61,297 | | | | 61,297 |
| Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion | 5,024 | | | | 5,024 |
| Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas | 9,100 | | | | 9,100 |
| Facility operations and target production | 335,882 | | -7,500 | | 335,882 |
| Undistributed | 0 | -20,000 | | | 0 |
| Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign | 512,895 | -20,000 | -7,500 | 0 | 512,895 |
| Advanced simulation and computing campaign | 610,108 | | | | 610,108 |
| Nonnuclear Readiness Campaign | 125,909 | | | -55,909 | 70,000 |
| Total, Campaigns | 1,841,347 | -14,000 | -7,500 | -63,908 | 1,777,439 |
| Readiness in technical base and facilities (RTBF) | | | | | |
| Operations of facilities | | | | | |
| Kansas City Plant | 125,000 | | | | 125,000 |
| Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory | 71,000 | | | | 71,000 |
| Los Alamos National Laboratory | 198,000 | | | | 198,000 |
| Nevada National Security Site | 89,000 | | | | 89,000 |
| Pantex | 75,000 | | | | 75,000 |
| Sandia National Laboratory | 106,000 | | | | 106,000 |
| Savannah River Site | 81,000 | | | | 81,000 |
| Y-12 National security complex | 151,000 | | | | 151,000 |
| Total, Operations of facilities | 896,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 896,000 |

| | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|
| Program readiness | 136,700 | | | 101,000 |
| Material recycle and recovery | 138,900 | | -35,700 | 138,900 |
| Containers | 26,000 | | | 26,000 |
| Storage | 40,800 | | | 40,800 |
| Maintenance and repair of facilities | 205,000 | 15,000 | | 220,000 |
| Recapitalization | 209,321 | 39,000 | | 231,321 |
| Subtotal, Readiness in technical base and facilities | 756,721 | 54,000 | 0 | 758,021 |
| Construction: | | | | |
| 15-D-613 Emergency Operations Center, Y-12 | 2,000 | | | 2,000 |
| 15-D-612 Emergency Operations Center, LLNL | 2,000 | | | 2,000 |
| 15-D-611 Emergency Operations Center, SNL | 4,000 | | | 4,000 |
| 15-D-301 HE Science & Engineering Facility, PX | 11,800 | | | 11,800 |
| 15-D-302, TA-55 Reinvestment project, Phase 3, LANL | 16,062 | | | 16,062 |
| 12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL | 6,938 | | | 6,938 |
| 11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL | 10,000 | | | 10,000 |
| 07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL | 15,000 | | | 15,000 |
| 06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12 | 335,000 | | | 335,000 |
| Total, Construction | 402,800 | 0 | 0 | 402,800 |
| Total, Readiness in technical base and facilities | 2,055,521 | 54,000 | 1,300 | 2,056,821 |
| Secure transportation asset | | | | |
| Operations and equipment | 132,851 | | | 132,851 |
| Program direction | 100,962 | | | 100,962 |
| Total, Secure transportation asset | 233,813 | 0 | 0 | 233,813 |
| Nuclear counterterrorism incident response | 173,440 | 9,000 | 9,000 | 182,440 |
| Counterterrorism and Counterproliferation Programs | 76,901 | | -6,901 | 70,000 |
| Site stewardship | | | | |

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Environmental projects and operations | 53,000 | | | | 53,000 |
| Nuclear materials integration | 16,218 | | | | 16,218 |
| Minority serving institution partnerships program | 13,231 | | | | 13,231 |
| Total, Site stewardship | 82,449 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,449 |
| Defense nuclear security | | | | | |
| Operations and maintenance | 618,123 | | | | 618,123 |
| Total, Defense nuclear security | 618,123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 618,123 |
| Information technology and cybersecurity | 179,646 | | | | 179,646 |
| Legacy contractor pensions | 307,058 | | | | 307,058 |
| Total, Weapons Activities | 8,314,902 | 112,700 | 0 | -104,342 | 8,210,560 |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | | | | | |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs | | | | | |
| Global threat reduction initiative | 333,488 | 80,000 | 40,000 | 50,000 | 383,488 |
| Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D Operations and maintenance | | | | | |
| Nonproliferation and verification | 360,808 | 70,000 | 30,000 | 32,593 | 393,401 |
| Total, Operations and Maintenance | 360,808 | 70,000 | 30,000 | 32,593 | 393,401 |
| Nonproliferation and international security | 141,359 | 36,400 | | 2,887 | 144,246 |
| International material protection and cooperation | 305,467 | -176,400 | 70,000 | -10,878 | 294,589 |

| | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Fissile materials disposition | | | |
| U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition | | | |
| Operations and maintenance | | | |
| U.S. plutonium disposition | 85,000 | | 85,000 |
| U.S. uranium disposition | 25,000 | | 25,000 |
| Total, Operations and maintenance | 110,000 | 0 | 110,000 |
| Construction: | | | |
| 99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC | 196,000 | 0 | 341,000 |
| 99-D-141-02 Waste Solidification Building, Savannah River, SC | 5,125 | | 5,125 |
| Total, Construction | 201,125 | 0 | 346,125 |
| Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition | 311,125 | 0 | 456,125 |
| Total, Fissile materials disposition | 311,125 | 0 | 456,125 |
| Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs | 1,452,247 | 10,000 | 1,671,849 |
| Legacy contractor pensions | | | |
| | 102,909 | | 102,909 |
| Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 10,000 | 1,774,758 |
| Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation | 1,555,156 | 10,000 | 1,774,758 |
| Naval Reactors | | | |
| Naval reactors operations and infrastructure | | | |
| Naval reactors development | 412,380 | | 412,380 |
| Ohio replacement reactor systems development | 425,700 | | 425,700 |
| S8G Prototype refueling | 156,100 | | 156,100 |
| Program direction | 126,400 | | 126,400 |
| | 46,600 | | 46,600 |
| Construction: | | | |
| 15-D-904 NRF Overpack Storage Expansion 3 | 400 | | 400 |
| 15-D-903 KL Fire System Upgrade | 600 | | 600 |
| 15-D-902 KS Engineer room team trainer facility | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| 15-D-901 KS Central office building and prototype staff facility | 24,000 | | 24,000 |
| 14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF | 141,100 | | 141,100 |

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL | 14,420 | | | | 14,420 |
| 13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO | 20,100 | | | | 20,100 |
| 10-D-903 Security upgrades, KAPL | 7,400 | | | | 7,400 |
| 08-D-190 Expended Core Facility M-290 receiving/discharge station, | | | | | |
| Naval Reactor Facility, ID | 400 | | | | 400 |
| Total, Construction | 209,920 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 209,920 |
| Total, Naval Reactors | 1,377,100 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 | 1,377,100 |
| Federal Salaries And Expenses | | | | | |
| Program direction | 410,842 | -24,000 | -7,500 | -23,979 | 386,863 |
| Total, Office Of The Administrator | 410,842 | -24,000 | -7,500 | -23,979 | 386,863 |
| Defense Environmental Cleanup | | | | | |
| Closure sites: | | | | | |
| Closure sites administration | 4,889 | | | | 4,889 |
| Hanford site: | | | | | |
| River corridor and other cleanup operations | 332,788 | 20,000 | | 20,000 | 352,788 |
| Central plateau remediation | 474,292 | | | | 474,292 |
| Construction: | | | | | |
| 15-D-401 Containerized sludge (RI-0012) | 26,290 | | | | 26,290 |
| Total, Central plateau remediation | 833,370 | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 853,370 |
| Richland community and regulatory support | 14,701 | | | | 14,701 |
| Total, Hanford site | 848,071 | 20,000 | 0 | 20,000 | 868,071 |

| | | | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------------|
| Idaho National Laboratory: | | | | | |
| Idaho cleanup and waste disposition | 364,293 | | | | 364,293 |
| Idaho community and regulatory support | 2,910 | | | | 2,910 |
| Total, Idaho National Laboratory | 367,203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 367,203 |
| NNSA sites | | | | | |
| Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory | 1,366 | | | | 1,366 |
| Nevada | 64,851 | | | | 64,851 |
| Sandia National Laboratories | 2,801 | | | | 2,801 |
| Los Alamos National Laboratory | 196,017 | | | | 196,017 |
| Construction: | | | | | |
| 15-D-406 Hexavalent chromium D & D (V-LanI-0030) | 28,600 | | | | 28,600 |
| Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites | 293,635 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 293,635 |
| Oak Ridge Reservation: | | | | | |
| OR Nuclear facility D & D | | | | | |
| OR Nuclear facility D & D | 73,155 | | | | 73,155 |
| Construction: | | | | | |
| 14-D-403 Outfall 200 Mercury Treatment Facility | 9,400 | | | | 9,400 |
| Total, OR Nuclear facility D & D | 82,555 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82,555 |
| U233 Disposition Program | 41,626 | | | | 41,626 |
| OR cleanup and disposition: | | | | | |
| OR cleanup and disposition | 71,137 | | | | 71,137 |
| Construction: | | | | | |
| 15-D-405—Sludge Buildout | 4,200 | | | | 4,200 |
| Total, OR cleanup and disposition | 75,337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 75,337 |
| OR reservation community and regulatory support | 4,365 | | | | 4,365 |
| Solid waste stabilization and disposition, | | | | | |
| Oak Ridge technology development | 3,000 | | | | 3,000 |

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|---|------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Total, Oak Ridge Reservation | 206,883 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 206,883 |
| Office of River Protection: | | | | | |
| Waste treatment and immobilization plant | | | | | |
| 01-D-416 A-D/ORP-0060 / Major construction | 575,000 | | | | 575,000 |
| 01-D-16E Pretreatment facility | 115,000 | | | | 115,000 |
| Total, Waste treatment and immobilization plant | 690,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 690,000 |
| Tank farm activities | | | | | |
| Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition | 522,000 | | | | 522,000 |
| Construction: | | | | | |
| 15-D-409 Low Activity Waste Pretreatment System, Hanford | 23,000 | | | | 23,000 |
| Total, Tank farm activities | 545,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 545,000 |
| Total, Office of River protection | 1,235,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,235,000 |
| Savannah River sites: | | | | | |
| Savannah River risk management operations | 416,276 | | | | 416,276 |
| SR community and regulatory support | 11,013 | | | | 11,013 |
| Radioactive liquid tank waste: | | | | | |
| Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition | 553,175 | | | | 553,175 |
| Construction: | | | | | |
| 15-D-402—Saltstone Disposal Unit #6 | 34,642 | | | | 34,642 |
| 05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River | 135,000 | | | | 135,000 |
| Total, Construction | 169,642 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169,642 |
| Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste | 722,817 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 722,817 |
| Total, Savannah River site | 1,150,106 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,150,106 |

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

| Program | FY 2015 Request | House Authorized | Senate Authorized | Agreement Change | Agreement Authorized |
|--|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Independent enterprise assessments | 24,068 | | | | 24,068 |
| Program direction | 49,466 | | | | 49,466 |
| Total, Independent enterprise assessments | 73,534 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,534 |
| Office of Legacy Management | | | | | |
| Legacy management | 158,639 | | -1,000 | | 158,639 |
| Program direction | 13,341 | | | | 13,341 |
| Total, Office of Legacy Management | 171,980 | 0 | -1,000 | 0 | 171,980 |
| Defense-related activities | | | | | |
| Defense related administrative support | | | | | |
| Chief financial officer | 46,877 | | | | 46,877 |
| Chief information officer | 71,959 | | | | 71,959 |
| Total, Defense related administrative support | 118,836 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118,836 |
| Office of hearings and appeals | 5,500 | | | | 5,500 |
| Subtotal, Other defense activities | 753,000 | 5,300 | -2,000 | 1,000 | 754,000 |
| Total, Other Defense Activities | 753,000 | 5,300 | -2,000 | 1,000 | 754,000 |

